

# REAL STRUCTURES ON COMPLEX ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES WITH A REDUCTIVE GROUP ACTION

RONAN TERPEREAU

ABSTRACT. This is a survey article devoted to the study of real structures on complex algebraic varieties endowed with a reductive group action.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Real group structures on reductive groups	4
2. Equivariant real structures on $G$ -varieties	6
3. Real structures on linear representations and nilpotent orbits	9
4. Real structures on varieties with a torus action	12
5. Real structures on spherical varieties	17
6. Real structures on almost homogeneous $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds	21
7. Some open questions	24
References	25

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic variety. We call *real form* of  $X$  a real algebraic variety  $W$  together with an isomorphism  $X \simeq W_{\mathbb{C}} := W \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$  of complex algebraic varieties. (Note that for some authors, the definition of real form is slightly different from ours and applies to  $W$  instead of  $X$ ; this does not change the Key Problem we will consider, it modifies only its formulation.) Determining the real forms of a given complex algebraic variety is a classical problem in algebraic geometry that usually splits into two parts.

**Problem 1** (real forms in the classical setting).

- (i) *Does the complex algebraic variety  $X$  admit a real form?*
- (ii) *If yes, then how many are there (up to isomorphism)?*

However, in the present article we are mainly interested in algebraic varieties endowed with a reductive group action, and so only real forms with symmetries coming from the group action will be taken into account. Let us explain what this means. Let  $G$  be a complex reductive group, let  $F$  be a real algebraic group

---

*Date:* March 3, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L30, 14M17, 14M27, 14P99, 20G20, 11S25.

The author is supported by the ANR Project FIBALGA ANR-18-CE40-0003-01. This work received partial support from the French "Investissements d'Avenir" program and from project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-IS-IDEX-OOOB). The IMB receives support from the EIPHI Graduate School (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002).

such that  $G \simeq F_{\mathbb{C}}$  (i.e.  $F$  is a real form of  $G$  in the category of algebraic groups), and let now  $X$  be a complex algebraic  $G$ -variety; we call *real  $F$ -form* of  $X$  a real algebraic  $F$ -variety  $W$  together with an isomorphism  $X \simeq W_{\mathbb{C}}$  of complex algebraic  $G$ -varieties. In this setting, Problem 1 admits the following reformulation.

**Problem 2** (real forms in the equivariant setting).

- (i) *Does the complex algebraic  $G$ -variety  $X$  admit a real  $F$ -form?*
- (ii) *If yes, then how many are there (up to  $F$ -equivariant isomorphism)?*

It follows from the work of Weil [Wei56] and Borel–Serre [BS64] that there is a correspondence between the notions of real forms and of *real structures* (i.e. antiregular scheme involutions). More precisely, real forms of complex algebraic groups correspond to *real group structures* (see Definition 1.1) and real forms of complex algebraic varieties endowed with a reductive group action correspond to *effective equivariant real structures* (see Definition 2.1). This correspondence is usually referred to as *Galois descent*. In practice, it is more convenient to work with real structures instead of real forms; indeed, real structures can be extended or restricted with respect to a dense open subset, and they are parametrized by some Galois cohomology pointed set (see Proposition 2.6). Consequently, when studying real forms of complex algebraic varieties endowed with a reductive group action, we are spontaneously led not to consider Problem 2, but rather to the following equivalent one.

**Key Problem** (real structures in the equivariant setting).

- (i) *Given a real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $G$ , does there exist an effective  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on the complex algebraic  $G$ -variety  $X$ ?*
- (ii) *If yes, then how many are there (up to equivalence)?*

The goal of this survey article is to succinctly present the current state of the art concerning the Key Problem, with a special focus on progress made over the past decade. Concretely, we consider the Key Problem for several families of algebraic  $G$ -varieties<sup>1</sup> for which there exist well-established combinatorial descriptions. Since each of these descriptions is however quite technical, we do not to go into details, but rather try to focus on the big picture. We will see that each time, a complete answer to question (i) of the Key Problem can be given in terms of Galois group actions on the combinatorial data (sometimes with an additional cohomological condition), but that in most cases Proposition 2.6 is the best answer that can be made to question (ii) of the Key Problem.

**Structure of the article.** Section 1 contains preliminaries on real group structures on reductive groups and a definition of the famous  $\star$ -action of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  on the based root datum associated to a triple  $(G, B, T)$  with  $B \subseteq G$  a Borel subgroup and  $T \subseteq B$  a maximal torus; this action will play a crucial role when answering question (i) of the Key Problem. Section 2 contains preliminaries on equivariant real structures on  $G$ -varieties, with particular emphasis on the case of almost homogeneous  $G$ -varieties. In Section 3 we then consider the Key Problem for two classical families of algebraic varieties with a reductive group action, namely the linear representations and the nilpotent orbits (and the normalizations of their closures) in semisimple Lie algebras. Section 4 is devoted to varieties with a torus

<sup>1</sup>In all this article, varieties are assumed to be normal as this assumption is crucial in the different combinatorial descriptions that will appear.

action; we first consider the case of toric varieties, then the case of arbitrary affine  $T$ -varieties. In Section 5 we pass from the toric case to the spherical case; this generalization is done in two steps: we first consider spherical homogeneous spaces, then their equivariant embeddings. In Section 6 we consider the Key Problem for the almost homogeneous  $SL_2$ -threefolds for which, contrary to the spherical case, the  $\star$ -action is not sufficient to respond combinatorially to question (i) of the Key Problem. Finally, Section 7 contains some open questions and lines of research related to the Key Problem.

**Foreword.** This is a survey article. We have tried to be quite exhaustive, but the choices we made so that this article is not too long or too technical certainly reflects the author’s interests.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Adrien Dubouloz, Pierre-Alexandre Gillard and Lucy Moser-Jauslin for their valuable comments and suggestions, which helped me to improve the quality of this article.

**Notation.** In this article, a *variety* (over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ ) is a separated scheme of finite type (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ) that is geometrically integral and **normal**, and an *algebraic group* (over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ ) is a finite type group scheme (over  $\mathbb{K}$ ). By an *algebraic subgroup*, we always mean a closed subgroup scheme. A connected linear algebraic group  $G$  is called *reductive* if its largest smooth connected unipotent normal subgroup is trivial. We refer to [Hum75] for a general reference on linear algebraic groups, and to [Con14] for a more advanced reference on reductive group schemes.

We denote by  $G$  a complex reductive group, and we will always assume (except in Sections 1 and 2) that  $G$  is of *simply-connected type*, i.e. isomorphic to the product of a torus and a simply-connected semisimple group. We denote by  $Z(G)$  the center of  $G$ , by  $B$  a Borel subgroup of  $G$ , by  $T$  a maximal torus of  $G$  contained in  $B$ , and by  $U$  the unipotent radical of  $B$  (which is also a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ ). We write  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}(T) = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$  for the character group of  $T$ ,  $\mathbb{X}^\vee = \mathbb{X}^\vee(T) = \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$  for the cocharacter group of  $T$ , and  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee := \mathbb{X}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  for the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces. If  $H$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N_G(H)$  denotes the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . When  $G$  is semisimple, we denote its Dynkin diagram by  $\text{Dyn}(G)$ .

For  $c \in G$ , we write

$$\text{inn}_c : G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto cgc^{-1}.$$

We say that  $\text{inn}_c$  is an *inner* automorphism of  $G$ . An automorphism of  $G$  that is not inner is called *outer*. The set of inner automorphisms of  $G$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(G)$  whose quotient group identifies with  $\text{Aut}(\text{Dyn}(G))$  when  $G$  is semisimple.

The Galois group of the extension  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is denoted by

$$\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{\text{Id}, \gamma\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

If  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group, then the first Galois cohomology pointed set associated to  $A$  is denoted by  $H^1(\Gamma, A)$ . If furthermore  $A$  is an abelian group, then we can also consider  $H^2(\Gamma, A)$ , and both  $H^1(\Gamma, A)$  and  $H^2(\Gamma, A)$  are abelian groups. We refer to [Ser02] for a general reference on Galois cohomology, and to [Man20] for a general reference on real algebraic geometry.

## 1. REAL GROUP STRUCTURES ON REDUCTIVE GROUPS

In this section, we introduce the notion of *real group structures* on complex algebraic groups. In particular, since we are above all interested in the case of reductive groups, we explain how to obtain all real group structures on complex reductive groups by piecing together real group structures on complex tori and on complex simply-connected simple groups. Then we recall how the choice of a real group structure on a reductive group induces a  $\Gamma$ -action (the so-called  $\star$ -action) on its based root datum; this  $\star$ -action will play an important role when looking at the Key Problem in the next sections for linear representations, nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras,  $T$ -varieties, and spherical varieties.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a complex algebraic group.

- (i) A *real form* of  $G$  is a pair  $(F, \Theta)$  with  $F$  a real algebraic group and  $\Theta: G \rightarrow F_{\mathbb{C}}$  an isomorphism of complex algebraic groups. (Most of the time we will drop the isomorphism  $\Theta$  and simply write that  $F$  is a form of  $G$ , but it is important to remember that a real form of  $G$  is a pair, and not just a real algebraic group.)
- (ii) A *real group structure*  $\sigma$  on  $G$  is a scheme involution on  $G$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Spec}(z \mapsto \bar{z})} & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

commutes, and such that the induced morphism  $G \rightarrow \gamma^*G$  is an isomorphism of complex algebraic groups, where  $\gamma^*G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$  is the base change of  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$  along the morphism  $\mathrm{Spec}(z \mapsto \bar{z})$ . (This last condition means that  $\iota_G \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \iota_G$  and  $m_G \circ (\sigma \times \sigma) = \sigma \circ m_G$ , where  $\iota_G: G \rightarrow G$  is the inverse morphism and  $m_G: G \times G \rightarrow G$  is the multiplication morphism.)

- (iii) Two real group structures  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  on  $G$  are *equivalent* if there exists a group automorphism  $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{gr}}(G)$  such that  $\sigma' = \psi \circ \sigma \circ \psi^{-1}$ .
- (iv) If  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are two real group structures on  $G$ , we may write  $\sigma_2 = \varphi \circ \sigma_1$  with  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{gr}}(G)$ , and we say that  $\sigma_2$  is an *inner* (resp. *outer*) *twist* of  $\sigma_1$  if  $\varphi$  is an inner (resp. an outer) automorphism of  $G$ . Let us note that the relation ( $\sigma_2$  is an inner twist of  $\sigma_1$ ) is an equivalence relation on the set of real group structures on  $G$ .
- (v) If  $G$  is a complex algebraic group with a real group structure  $\sigma$ , then the set of fixed points  $G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma$  is called the *real locus* (or *real part*) of  $(G, \sigma)$ .

There is a correspondence between real group structures on  $G$  and real forms of  $G$  given as follows (see also [FSS98, Section 1.4]).

- If  $F$  is a real form of  $G$ , then the composition  $G \simeq F_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id} \times \mathrm{Spec}(z \mapsto \bar{z})} F_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq G$  is a real group structure on  $G$ .
- Conversely, if  $\sigma$  is a real group structure on  $G$ , then the categorical quotient  $F := G/\langle \sigma \rangle$  gives a real form of  $G$ ; an isomorphism  $G \simeq F_{\mathbb{C}}$  being induced by  $(q, f)$ , where  $q: G \rightarrow F$  is the quotient morphism and  $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$  is the structure morphism.
- Moreover, two real forms of  $G$  are isomorphic (as real algebraic groups) if and only if the corresponding real group structures are equivalent.

*Remark 1.2.* The real locus of  $(G, \sigma)$  is a real Lie group that identifies with the group of  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of the real algebraic group  $G/\langle \sigma \rangle$  (see [Ben16, Proposition 3.14] for details), whence the name.

We now assume that  $G$  is a complex reductive group. There exists a central isogeny  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ , where  $\tilde{G}$  is the direct product of a torus  $T$  and of a simply-connected semisimple group  $G'$ , such that every real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $G$  lifts uniquely to a real group structure  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $\tilde{G}$  (see [MJT21a, Section 1.1] for details), and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  stabilizes the two factors  $T$  and  $G'$ . Hence, determining real group structures on complex reductive groups reduces to determining real group structures on complex tori and on complex simply-connected semisimple groups.

Both classifications are well-known: for complex tori it is recalled in Lemma 1.3 below, and for simply-connected semisimple groups, it reduces to the case of simply-connected simple groups (see Lemma 1.4), in which case real group structures are classified in terms of Dynkin diagrams enriched by blackening a subset of the nodes and connecting some pairs of vertices by arrows (the *Satake diagrams* or the *Vogan diagrams*); see e.g. [GW09, Section 1.7.2] or [Kna02, Section VI.10] for a summary of the classification of real group structures on simple groups.

**Lemma 1.3.** (see [MJT21a, Lemma 1.5] and [Cas08, Theorem 2])

Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex torus.

- (i) If  $n = 1$ , then  $T$  has two inequivalent real group structures, defined by  $\sigma_0: t \mapsto \bar{t}$ , corresponding to  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}}$ , and by  $\sigma_1: t \mapsto t^{-1}$ , corresponding to the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$ .
- (ii) If  $n = 2$ , then  $\sigma_2: (t_1, t_2) \mapsto (\bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_1)$  defines a real group structure on  $T$  corresponding to the Weil restriction  $R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}})$ .
- (iii) If  $n \geq 1$ , then every real group structure on  $T$  is equivalent to exactly one real group structure of the form  $\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \sigma_1^{\times n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}$ , where  $n = n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2$ . In other words, every real torus is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}}^{n_0} \times (\mathbb{S}^1)^{n_1} \times R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}})^{n_2}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** (see [MJT21a, Lemma 1.7]) Let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on a complex simply-connected semisimple group  $G' \simeq \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ , where the  $G_i$  are the simple factors of  $G'$ . Then, for a given  $i \in I$ , we have the following possibilities:

- (i)  $\sigma(G_i) = G_i$  and  $\sigma|_{G_i}$  is a real group structure on  $G_i$ ; or
- (ii) there exists  $j \neq i$  such that  $\sigma(G_i) = G_j$ , then  $G_i \simeq G_j$  and  $\sigma|_{G_i \times G_j}$  is equivalent to  $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto (\sigma_0(g_2), \sigma_0(g_1))$  for an arbitrary real group structure  $\sigma_0$  on  $G_i \simeq G_j$ .

**Example 1.5.** There are two inequivalent real group structures on  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  given by  $\sigma_s(g) = \bar{g}$ , whose real locus is  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and its inner twist  $\sigma_c(g) = {}^t \bar{g}^{-1}$ , whose real locus is  $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . (Here  $\bar{g}$  denotes the complex conjugate.) There are four inequivalent real group structures on  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  given by  $\sigma_i \times \sigma_j$  with  $(i, j) \in \{(s, s), (s, c), (c, c)\}$ , and  $\sigma: (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (\sigma_s(g_2), \sigma_s(g_1))$ , whose real locus is  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Similarly, we let the reader check that there are six inequivalent real group structures on  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  and nine inequivalent real group structures on  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ .

Let  $B \subseteq G$  be a Borel subgroup, and let  $T \subseteq B$  be a maximal torus. We say that  $(T, B)$  is a *Borel pair* in  $G$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $G$ . Then  $(T', B') := (\sigma(T), \sigma(B))$  is another Borel pair in  $G$ , and so there exists  $c_\sigma \in G$  (unique up to left multiplication by an element of  $T$ ) such that

$$c_\sigma T' c_\sigma^{-1} = T \quad \text{and} \quad c_\sigma B' c_\sigma^{-1} = B.$$

Let  $\theta := \text{inn}_{c_\sigma} \circ \sigma$  that is an antiregular automorphism of  $G$ . Since  $\theta(T) = T$  and  $\theta(B) = B$ , the automorphism  $\theta$  induces lattice automorphisms of  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}(T)$  and  $\mathbb{X}^\vee = \mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$  defined as follows:

$$\forall \chi \in \mathbb{X}, \chi \mapsto \gamma\chi := \sigma_0 \circ \chi \circ \theta^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{X}^\vee, \lambda \mapsto \gamma\lambda := \theta \circ \lambda \circ \sigma_0,$$

where  $\sigma_0(t) = \bar{t}$  is the complex conjugation. One can check that these two lattice automorphisms are of order  $\leq 2$ , that they do not depend on the choice of  $c_\sigma$ , and that they stabilize the sets of roots  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ , simple roots  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , coroots  $\mathcal{R}^\vee \subseteq \mathbb{X}^\vee$ , and simple coroots  $\mathcal{S}^\vee \subseteq \mathcal{R}^\vee$  associated with the triple  $(G, B, T)$ ; see e.g. [Con14, Remark 7.1.2] or [MJT21a, Section A.2] and references therein for details.

**Definition 1.6.** With the previous notation, the  $\Gamma$ -action on the based root datum  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}^\vee, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^\vee, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^\vee)$  associated with  $(G, B, T)$  is called the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$ .

**Example 1.7.** If  $G = B = T$  and  $\sigma$  is a real group structure on  $T$ , then the corresponding  $\star$ -action is given by

$$\forall \chi \in \mathbb{X}, \chi \mapsto \gamma\chi = \sigma_0 \circ \chi \circ \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{X}^\vee, \lambda \mapsto \gamma\lambda = \sigma \circ \lambda \circ \sigma_0.$$

*Remark 1.8.* If  $\sigma$  is an inner twist of a *split* real group structure  $\sigma'$  on  $G$  (i.e.  $G$  contains a maximal torus  $T_0$  preserved by  $\sigma'$  and such that  $\sigma'|_{T_0} = \sigma_0^{\times \dim(T_0)}$ , with the notation of Lemma 1.3), then the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  is trivial.

## 2. EQUIVARIANT REAL STRUCTURES ON $G$ -VARIETIES

In this section, we first introduce the notion of *equivariant real structures* on algebraic varieties endowed with an algebraic group action, then we give a general criterion for the existence of an equivariant real structure in the homogeneous case, and finally we recall how Galois cohomology can be used to parametrize equivalence classes of equivariant real structures.

Let  $G$  be a complex linear algebraic group, let  $F$  be a real form of  $G$ , and let  $\sigma$  be the corresponding real group structure on  $G$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic  $G$ -variety.

- A *real  $F$ -form* of  $X$  is a pair  $(W, \Xi)$  with  $W$  a real algebraic  $F$ -variety and  $\Xi: X \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{C}}$  an isomorphism of complex algebraic  $G$ -varieties. (As for real forms of complex algebraic groups, we will most of the time drop the isomorphism  $\Xi$  and simply write that  $W$  is a form of  $X$ .)
- A  $(G, \sigma)$ -*equivariant real structure* on  $X$  is an antiregular involution  $\mu$  on  $X$ , that is, a scheme involution on  $X$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}(z \mapsto \bar{z})} & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

and satisfying the condition

$$(E1) \quad \forall g \in G, \forall x \in X, \mu(g \cdot x) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x).$$

- A  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is *effective* if  $X$  is covered by  $\Gamma$ -stable affine open subsets ( $\Gamma$  acting on  $X$  through  $\mu$ ), i.e. if the categorical quotient  $X/\langle \mu \rangle$ , which always exists as a real algebraic space, is in fact a real algebraic variety (see [SGA03, Proposition V.1.8]).

- Two  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  on  $X$  are *equivalent* if there exists a  $G$ -equivariant automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}^G(X)$  such that  $\mu' = \varphi \circ \mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . They are *strongly equivalent* if we can choose  $\varphi = \text{inn}_c$  for some  $c \in G$ .
- The *real locus* (or *real part*) of a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is the (possibly empty) set of fixed points  $X(\mathbb{C})^\mu$ ; it identifies with the set of  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of the corresponding real form  $X/\langle \mu \rangle$  and is furthermore endowed with an action of the real Lie group  $G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma$ .

As for algebraic groups (see Section 1), there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of real  $F$ -forms of  $X$  (as real algebraic  $F$ -varieties) and equivalence classes of effective  $(G, \rho)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$  (see [Bor20, Section 5]).

*Remark 2.2.* If  $X$  is quasiprojective or covered by  $\Gamma$ -stable quasiprojective open subsets, then  $X$  is covered by  $\Gamma$ -stable affine open subsets. In particular, since homogeneous spaces under the action of a linear algebraic group are quasiprojective (this follows from a theorem of Chevalley; see [Hum75, Section 11.2, Theorem]), equivariant real structures on homogeneous spaces under  $G$  are always effective.

The following lemma gives a general criterion for the existence of an equivariant real structure in the case where the algebraic  $G$ -variety  $X$  is a homogeneous space. (This criterion is however not so useful in real life as condition (ii) of Lemma 2.3 is generally difficult to check.)

**Lemma 2.3.** ([MJT21a, Lemma 2.4]) *Let  $X = G/H$  be a homogeneous space. Then  $X$  has  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure if and only if there exists  $t \in G$  such that*

- (i)  $\sigma(H) = tHt^{-1}$  ( $(G, \sigma)$ -compatibility condition); and
  - (ii)  $\sigma(t)t \in H$  (involution condition);
- in which case a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$  is given by*

$$\forall k \in G, \mu(kH) := \sigma(k)tH.$$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $G$  be a complex reductive group with a real group structure  $\sigma$ , and let  $X = G/P$  be a flag variety. Then Lemma 2.3 implies that  $X$  has a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure if and only if  $\sigma(P)$  is conjugate to  $P$ . This last condition can be interpreted combinatorially. Let  $B \subseteq G$  be a Borel subgroup and let  $T \subseteq B$  be a maximal torus, and consider the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  on the based root datum  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}^\vee, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^\vee, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^\vee)$  associated with the triple  $(G, B, T)$ . Conjugacy classes of parabolic subgroup of  $G$  are in bijection with the powerset of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and one can check that  $\sigma(P)$  is conjugate to  $P$  if and only if the subset of simple roots corresponding to the conjugacy class of  $P$  is  $\Gamma$ -stable.

Moreover, if a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure exists on  $X$ , then it is unique up to equivalence (this will be a consequence of Proposition 2.6 since  $\text{Aut}^G(X)$  is trivial when  $X$  is a flag variety).

Let us mention that if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are two real group structures on  $G$  conjugate by an inner automorphism, then there is a bijection between the equivalence classes of  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures and of  $(G, \sigma')$ -equivariant real structure on  $X = G/H$  (see [MJT, Proposition A]). On the other hand, if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are conjugate by an outer automorphism, then these two sets may have different cardinality.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $G = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}^2$ , let  $H = \{1\} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: G \rightarrow G, & (u, v) &\mapsto (\bar{u}, \overline{v^{-1}}); \\ \varphi &: G \rightarrow G, & (u, v) &\mapsto (uv, v); & \text{and} \\ \sigma' = \varphi \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1} &: G \rightarrow G, & (u, v) &\mapsto (\overline{uv^{-2}}, \overline{v^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\sigma(H) = H$  but  $\sigma'(H) = \{(t^2, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}\} \neq H$ , and so Lemma 2.3 implies that  $X = G/H$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure but no  $(G, \sigma')$ -equivariant real structure.

If we now suppose that  $X$  is an *almost homogeneous*  $G$ -variety, i.e. an algebraic  $G$ -variety with a dense open orbit  $X_0 = G/H$ , then condition (E1) implies that an equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X$  must stabilize  $X_0$ , and so  $\mu|_{X_0}$  is an equivariant real structure on  $X_0$ . But an equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  need not extend to  $X$  (however, if such an extension exists, then it is unique). That is why, when studying equivariant real structures on almost homogeneous varieties, we are naturally led to study first equivariant real structures on homogeneous spaces. Let us note that the restriction map  $\mu \mapsto \mu|_{X_0}$  induces a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon &: \{\text{equivalence classes of } (G, \sigma)\text{-equivariant real structure on } X\} \\ &\rightarrow \{\text{equivalence classes of } (G, \sigma)\text{-equivariant real structure on } X_0\} \end{aligned}$$

that is generally neither injective nor surjective (see Example 6.5). The map  $\Upsilon$  is however injective when the natural injective homomorphism  $\text{Aut}^G(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}^G(X_0)$  is an isomorphism (which is for instance the case for toric varieties).

Let us mention that a general strategy to determine the equivariant real structures on almost homogeneous varieties, relying on Luna-Vust theory, is detailed in [MJT, Section 2.5]; it is this strategy that will be applied to determine the equivariant real structures on toric varieties (in Section 4.1), on spherical embeddings (in Section 5.2), and on almost homogeneous  $\text{SL}_2$ -threefolds (in Section 6).

Once we know the existence of a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on an algebraic  $G$ -variety  $X$ , Galois cohomology can be used to parametrize the equivalence classes of all of them. First, observe that the group of  $G$ -equivariant automorphisms  $\text{Aut}^G(X)$  is endowed with a  $\Gamma$ -group structure as follows:

$$\Gamma \times \text{Aut}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}^G(X), (\gamma, \varphi) \mapsto \mu \circ \varphi \circ \mu.$$

The next result is then a straightforward consequence of the definition of cohomologous 1-cocycles with values in the  $\Gamma$ -group  $\text{Aut}^G(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** (see [Wed18, Corollary 8.2] or [MJT21a, Lemma 2.11])

*Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic  $G$ -variety with a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu_0$ . The Galois group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\text{Aut}^G(X)$  by  $\mu_0$ -conjugacy as above. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H}^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X)) &\rightarrow \{\text{equivalence classes of } (G, \sigma)\text{-equivariant real structures on } X\} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi \circ \mu_0 \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection that sends the trivial cohomology class to the equivalence class of  $\mu_0$ .*

**Corollary 2.7.** (see [MJT, Corollary 1.8]) *Let  $X = G/H$  be a homogeneous space. Then  $X$  admits a finite number of equivalence classes of  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures.*


3. REAL STRUCTURES ON LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS AND NILPOTENT ORBITS

In this section, we consider the Key Problem for two classical families of varieties with a reductive group action and related to representation theory, namely the linear representations (in Section 3.1) and the nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras, as well as the normalizations of their closures (in Section 3.2).

**3.1. Real structures on linear representations.** In this subsection, based on the appendix of [MJT21a] written by Borovoi (and based itself on the work of Tits in [Tit71]), we consider the Key Problem for finite-dimensional linear representations of complex simple groups. To simplify the situation, we only consider the case of irreducible representations.

Let  $G$  be a complex (simply-connected) simple group, let  $B \subseteq G$  be a Borel subgroup, let  $U$  be unipotent radical of  $B$ , and let  $T \subseteq B$  be a maximal torus. Recall that the restriction map  $\mathbb{X}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(T)$ ,  $\chi \rightarrow \chi|_T$  induces an isomorphism of character groups, and so we can identify  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}(T)$  with  $\mathbb{X}(B)$ . A *dominant weight* of  $G$  with respect to  $(B, T)$  is a character  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}$  such that  $\langle \lambda, \gamma \rangle \geq 0$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}^\vee$ . The subset  $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$  of dominant weights satisfies  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{X} \cap C$  in  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $C \subseteq \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a polyhedral cone known as the *fundamental Weyl chamber*.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_3$ . Then  $\mathbb{X}$  is a rank two lattice generated by  $\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ , and the fundamental Weyl chamber is the grey cone. The dominant weights of  $G$  are then all the linear combinations of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  contained in the grey cone.



Let  $V$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then  $V^U$  is a line on which  $B$  acts through some character  $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}$  called *highest weight* of the representation  $V$ . The map that associates to an irreducible representation its highest weight induces a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible representations (up to isomorphism) and the dominant weights. For a given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ , we denote by  $V_\lambda$  the corresponding irreducible representation.

Let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $G$ . Let  $Z = Z(G)$  be the center of  $G$ ; it is stable by  $\sigma$ , and so we can view  $Z$  as an abelian  $\Gamma$ -group and consider the abelian group  $H^2(\Gamma, Z)$ . We denote by  $\delta([\sigma]) \in H^2(\Gamma, Z)$  the *Tits class* of  $\sigma$ ; see [Tit71, Section 4.2] or [MJT21a, Section 1.3] for the definition of the Tits class.

*Remark 3.2.* Tits classes for all complex (simply-connected) simple groups have been computed by Borovoi using Theorem 3.3 below; complete tables can be found in [MJT21a, Appendix].

The  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  stabilizes the subset  $\mathbb{D}$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  (see [MJT21a, Lemma A.1]). Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \lambda = \lambda$ . This implies that the restriction  $\lambda|_Z: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}$  satisfies  $\lambda|_Z \circ \sigma|_Z = \sigma_0 \circ \lambda|_Z$ , with  $\sigma_0(t) = \bar{t}$ ; in other words,  $\lambda|_Z: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}$  is a morphism of abelian  $\Gamma$ -groups, and so it induces a homomorphism between

second cohomology groups

$$\lambda_*: H^2(\Gamma, Z) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}) = \{\pm 1\}.$$

If we restrict ourselves to considering only real forms in the category of linear representations, then the next result gives a complete answer to question (i) of the Key Problem for irreducible linear representations.

**Theorem 3.3.** ([MJT21a, Theorem A.2], see also [Tit71, Theorem 7.2])

*We keep the previous notation, and we denote by  $F = G/\langle\sigma\rangle$  the real form of  $G$  corresponding to  $\sigma$ . Let  $V_\lambda$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then  $V_\lambda$  admits a real  $F$ -form (in the category of linear representations of  $F$ ) if and only if*

- (i) *the dominant weight  $\lambda$  is fixed by the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$ ; and*
- (ii) *the cohomology class  $\lambda_*(\delta([\sigma])) \in H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}})$  is trivial.*

*Remark 3.4.* If only condition (i) of Theorem 3.3 is satisfied, then  $V_\lambda \oplus V_\lambda$  admits a real  $F$ -form (in the category of linear representations of  $F$ ) but not  $V_\lambda$ .

If a linear representation of  $G$  admits a real  $F$ -form (in the category of linear representations of  $F$ ), then it follows from Schur's lemma that this real  $F$ -form is unique (in the category of linear representations of  $F$ ), up to isomorphism. Complete tables with the list of all the irreducible representations of  $G$  admitting a real  $F$ -form, in the category of linear representations of  $F$ , can be found in [Tit67]. However we do not know if there exists a linear representation of  $G$  admitting a real  $F$ -form that is not a linear representation of  $F$ .

**3.2. Real structures on nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras.** In this subsection, based on the work of Moser-Jauslin–Terpereau [BMJT], we consider the Key Problem for nilpotent orbits in complex semisimple Lie algebras and for the normalizations of their closures; those are examples of varieties with symplectic singularities (whose symplectic desingularizations are quite well understood), and they furthermore have a series of applications in the representation theory of algebraic groups, Lie algebras and related objects (such as Weyl groups). We refer to [CM93] for a general reference on nilpotent orbits.

Let  $G$  be a complex semisimple group, let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $G$ , and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Denote by

$$d\sigma_e: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

the differential of  $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$  at the identity element. One can check that  $d\sigma_e$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , viewed as a  $G$ -variety for the adjoint action, and that  $d\sigma_e$  stabilizes the (finite) set of nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{O}$  is a nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $d\sigma_e(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ , then  $(d\sigma_e)|_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ . However, there are also equivariant real structures on nilpotent orbits that are not obtained by differentiating a real group structure on  $G$ , nor even by restricting an equivariant real structure from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; this assertion is illustrated by the following example.

**Example 3.5.** ([BMJT, Example 1.1])

- We keep the previous notation, and assume that  $d\sigma_e(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mu_\theta: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, \quad v \mapsto e^{i\theta} d\sigma_e(v)$$

is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathcal{O}$  which is not obtained by differentiating a real group structure on  $G$  when  $\theta \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  (because, in this case,  $\mu_\theta$  does not preserve the Lie bracket).

- Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_3$  with  $\sigma(g) = \bar{g}$  for all  $g \in G$  (here  $\bar{g}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $g$ ), and let  $\mathcal{O}_{reg}$  be the *regular* nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  (i.e. the unique nilpotent orbit whose closure contains all the other nilpotent orbits). Then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that the map

$$\mu: \mathcal{O}_{reg} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{reg}, g \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \sigma(g) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{reg}$ . Moreover,  $\mu$  does not extend to a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  (see [BMJT, Section 3.4]).

We recall that to every nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is associated a unique *weighted Dynkin diagram*  $\Delta(\mathcal{O})$ , i.e. a Dynkin diagram of type  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a label in  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  for each node; see [CM93, Section 3.5] for details. Let us note however that not every weighted Dynkin corresponds to a nilpotent orbit of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ . Then the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the union of three nilpotent orbits, corresponding to the partitions  $[3]$ ,  $[2, 1]$ ,  $[1^3]$ , whose corresponding weighted Dynkin diagrams are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overset{2}{\circ} - \overset{2}{\circ} - \overset{2}{\circ} & \overset{1}{\circ} - \overset{0}{\circ} - \overset{1}{\circ} & \overset{0}{\circ} - \overset{0}{\circ} - \overset{0}{\circ} \end{array}$$

Also, denoting by  $\gamma \cdot \Delta(\mathcal{O})$  the weighted Dynkin diagram obtained from  $\Delta(\mathcal{O})$  by applying the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  (see Definition 1.6), we have that

$$\gamma \cdot \Delta(\mathcal{O}) = \Delta(d\sigma_e(\mathcal{O})).$$

The following theorem provides a complete answer to the Key Problem for nilpotent orbits and for the normalizations of their closures in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .


**Theorem 3.7.** ([BMJT, Main Theorem]) *We keep the previous notation.*

- The nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure if and only if  $\gamma \cdot \Delta(\mathcal{O}) = \Delta(\mathcal{O})$ , in which case  $(d\sigma_e)|_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover, all  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $\mathcal{O}$  are equivalent.*
- Every  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathcal{O}$  extends uniquely to the normalization  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover, all  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  are equivalent.*

*Remark 3.8.*

- The nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \Delta(\mathcal{O}) = \Delta(\mathcal{O})$  are determined in [BMJT, Section 3.1]. It turns out that, except for a few cases in type  $D_n$ , every nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is fixed by the  $\star$ -action when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple.
- When the nilpotent orbit closure  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  is non-normal, we do not know whether every  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathcal{O}$  extends to  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . A short summary on what is known about the (non-)normality of nilpotent orbit closures in semisimple Lie algebras can be found in [BMJT, Section 3.3].
- Assume that  $G$  is simple, and let  $F = G/\langle \sigma \rangle$  be the real form corresponding to  $\sigma$ . For every nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})^{d\sigma_e} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^{d\sigma_e}$  is a real manifold (possibly empty) whose  $F(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits, usually called *real nilpotent orbits*, are classified (see [CM93, Section 9]).

**Example 3.9.** ([BMJT, Example 3.5]) In type  $D_4$ , the Hasse diagram for nilpotent orbit closures is the following (see [CM93, Section 6.2] for details).



Let  $G = \text{Spin}_8$ , and let  $\sigma_s$  be the real group structure on  $G$  whose real locus is the non-compact real Lie group usually denoted by  $\text{Spin}(4, 4)$ . If  $\sigma$  is an inner twist of  $\sigma_s$ , then the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  stabilizes each nilpotent orbit of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , while if  $\sigma$  is an outer twist of  $\sigma_s$ , then the corresponding  $\star$ -action swaps two pairs of orbits (depending on the choice of  $\sigma$  in its equivalence class). The dotted arrows in the opposite picture indicate which pairs of orbits can be swapped by the  $\star$ -action in this last case.

#### 4. REAL STRUCTURES ON VARIETIES WITH A TORUS ACTION

In this section, we consider the Key Problem when the reductive group acting (effectively) is a complex torus  $T$ . There are basically two cases to consider:

- The first case is when  $T$  acts with a dense open orbit (case of *toric varieties*), in which case there is a well-known combinatorial description of the  $T$ -varieties in terms of fans, and a complete answer to the Key Problem can be provided.
- The second case is when a general  $T$ -orbit is of codimension  $c \geq 1$  (this number  $c$  is called the *complexity* of the  $T$ -variety), in which case there is again a combinatorial description due to Altmann–Hausen [AH06] (affine case) and Altmann–Hausen–Süß [AHS08] (general case) that makes possible to provide a satisfactory answer to question (i) of the Key Problem, at least in the affine case, but implies a rather heavy technical machinery. (Let us mention that the combinatorial description of Altmann–Hausen extends combinatorial descriptions obtained by Demazure in [Dem88] and by Flenner–Zaidenberg in [FZ03].)

**4.1. Real structures on toric varieties.** We refer to [Ful93] or [CLS11] for a complete account on toric varieties over the field of complex numbers. Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}^n$  be a complex torus of dimension  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $T$ , and let  $X$  be a complex toric variety with open orbit  $X_0 \simeq T$ . Our goal in this subsection is to explain how to determine all the equivalence classes of  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$ .

Let us note that, since the Galois descent  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is always effective for complex toric varieties (see e.g. [Hur11, Remark 1.11]), there is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of equivariant real structures on  $X$  and isomorphism classes of real forms of  $X$  in the category of real toric varieties.

By Lemma 1.3, there exists  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(T)$  and  $n_0, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  such that

$$\sigma = \psi \circ (\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \sigma_1^{\times n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}) \circ \psi^{-1}, \quad \text{with } n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2 = n.$$

Furthermore, denoting

$$\tau_1 : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}, \quad t \mapsto -\overline{t^{-1}},$$

which is a  $(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}, \sigma_1)$ -equivariant real structure on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ , we verify that the antiregular involution  $X_0 \rightarrow X_0$  defined by

$$\psi \circ (\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \rho_1 \times \cdots \times \rho_{n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}) \circ \psi^{-1}, \text{ where } \rho_i \in \{\sigma_1, \tau_1\} \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n_1,$$

is a  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0 \simeq T$  (seen as a  $T$ -variety for the usual multiplication on  $T$ ). Furthermore, any  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  is equivalent to such a such one, and two equivariant real structures  $\psi \circ (\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \rho_1 \times \cdots \times \rho_{n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}) \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ (\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \rho'_1 \times \cdots \times \rho'_{n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}) \circ \psi^{-1}$  on  $X_0$  are equivalent if and only if  $\rho_i = \rho'_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n_1$ ; in particular,  $X_0$  admits exactly  $2^{n_1}$  equivalence classes of  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures. (This can be proved for instance using Proposition 2.6 since  $H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^T(X_0)) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n_1}$  by [MJT21a, Proposition 1.18].)

*Remark 4.1.* Let  $\mu$  be a  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$ . Then, either  $\mu$  is equivalent to  $\sigma$ , in which case  $X_0/\langle \mu \rangle \simeq T/\langle \sigma \rangle$  is the trivial  $(T/\langle \sigma \rangle)$ -torsor, or else  $X_0/\langle \mu \rangle$  is a  $(T/\langle \sigma \rangle)$ -torsor with no real points (in particular it is irrational).

It remains to determine which  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$  extend to  $X$ , and which ones stay equivalent after extension. Let us first note that  $\text{Aut}^T(X) \simeq \text{Aut}^T(X_0) \simeq T$ , which implies two things:

- If  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two equivalent  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$ , then  $\mu_1$  extends to  $X$  if and only if  $\mu_2$  extends to  $X$ .
- Two  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$  are equivalent if and only if their restrictions on  $X_0$  are equivalent.

Therefore, we are left with determining which  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures  $\psi \circ (\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \rho_1 \times \cdots \times \rho_{n_1} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}) \circ \psi^{-1}$  on  $X_0$  extend to  $X$ . It turns out that the answer, obtained by Huruguen in [Hur11], only depends on  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** ([Hur11, Proposition 1.19 and Theorem 1.25])

*With the previous notation, a  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X_0$  extends to  $X$  if and only if the  $\star$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  induced by  $\sigma$  (see Example 1.7) stabilizes the fan  $\Sigma$  associated with the  $T$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ .*

It follows from Theorem 4.2 and the discussion above that the complex toric variety  $X$  admits either  $2^{n_1}$  equivalence classes of  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures (when the  $\star$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  stabilizes the fan  $\Sigma$ ) or none. In particular, we have a complete answer to the Key Problem for toric varieties in terms of combinatorial objects easy to implement in a software system. We now give some examples.


**Example 4.3.** Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be the fan in  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$  generated by  $e_1 := 1$ ; it corresponds to the natural embedding  $X_0 = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow X = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ .

- If  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ , then the corresponding  $\star$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  is trivial, and so any  $(T, \sigma_0)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  extends to  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  (and they are all equivalent); it corresponds to the real  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}}$ -form  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ , up to isomorphism.
- If  $\sigma = \sigma_1$ , then the corresponding  $\star$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  is  $r \mapsto -r$ , and so none of the two inequivalent  $(T, \sigma_1)$ -equivariant real structures  $\sigma_1$  and  $\tau_1$  extends to  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  has no real  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -form.

However, if we now take for  $\Sigma$  the complete fan in  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$  generated by  $e_1$  and  $-e_1$ , then any  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  extends to  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  since both  $\star$ -actions stabilize  $\Sigma$ ; it gives rise to the real  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}}$ -form  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  when  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ , and to the two real  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -forms  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  and  $\emptyset$  (the empty conic) when  $\sigma = \sigma_1$ .

Let us mention that if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are two equivalent real group structures on  $T$ , it may happen that  $X$  admits  $2^{n_1}$  inequivalent  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures but no  $(T, \sigma')$ -equivariant real structure. Hence, it is essential to set a real group structure  $\sigma$ , and not just its equivalence class, when studying the equivariant real structures on toric varieties.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^2$ , and let  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  be the two equivalent real group structures defined in Example 2.5. The  $\star$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}^\vee \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$  induced by  $\sigma$  is given by  $(m, n) \mapsto (m, -n)$ , while the one induced by  $\sigma'$  is given by  $(m, n) \mapsto (m-2n, -n)$ . Consider now the  $T$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  corresponding to the following complete fan in  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee$ :



We denote this fan by  $\Sigma$ . The  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  swaps the cones  $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_4$  and  $C_2 \leftrightarrow C_3$ , so  $\Sigma$  is  $\Gamma$ -stable and  $X$  admits 2 equivalence classes of  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures (corresponding to the isomorphism classes of the two real  $(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ -forms  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  and  $\emptyset$ ). But the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma'$  maps  $e_2$  to  $-2e_1 - e_2$ , so  $\Sigma$  is not  $\Gamma$ -stable and  $X$  admits no  $(T, \sigma')$ -equivariant real structure.

#### Some related works.

- Equivariant real structures (in a slightly broader sense than in the present article) on projective smooth toric surfaces and threefolds have been classified by Delaunay in [Del03, Del04]; she has also studied the topology of the corresponding real loci.
- Descent data and  $\mathbb{K}$ -forms for toric varieties, with  $\mathbb{K}$  an arbitrary field, have been studied by Huruguen in [Hur11] for arbitrary toric varieties (focusing on determining when a given descent datum on the open orbit extends to the whole variety), by Elizondo–Lima-Filho–Sottile–Teitler in [ELFST14] for projective spaces and toric surfaces (with a detailed classification of the possible descent data in these cases), and by Duncan in [Dun16] who has considered and compared  $\mathbb{K}$ -forms of toric varieties in three different categories, namely the abstract varieties, the toric varieties, and the *neutral* toric varieties (i.e. toric varieties whose open orbit is a trivial torsor).

**4.2. Real structures on arbitrary affine  $T$ -varieties.** In this subsection, based on the work of Gillard [Gil22], we still consider torus actions on complex varieties, but we do not assume that there is an open orbit anymore, and we restrict ourselves to the affine setting (in which case the Galois descent  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is always effective). Our main goal in this subsection is to outline the combinatorial answer obtained by Gillard to question (i) of the Key Problem for affine  $T$ -varieties.

We start by recalling, very briefly, the combinatorial description of affine  $T$ -varieties due to Altmann–Hausen (see [AH06] for details): Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^n$  be a complex torus, with character lattice  $\mathbb{X} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and let  $X$  be a complex affine  $T$ -variety. The  $T$ -action on  $X$  corresponds to an  $\mathbb{X}$ -grading of the coordinate ring

$\mathbb{C}[X]$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathbb{X}} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\chi} \text{ with } \mathbb{C}[X]_{\chi} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X] \mid \forall t \in T, \forall x \in X, (t^{-1} \cdot f)(x) = \chi(t)f(x)\}.$$

Let  $\omega$  be a full dimensional polyhedral cone in  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , let  $Y$  be a *semi-projective* variety (i.e.  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and the affinization morphism  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y))$  is projective), and let  $\mathcal{D} := \sum \Delta_i \otimes D_i$  be a *polyhedral divisor* on  $Y$ ; this means that the  $D_i$  are prime divisors on  $Y$  and the coefficients  $\Delta_i$  are convex polyhedra in  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  depending on  $\omega$ . Then, for every  $\chi \in \omega \cap \mathbb{X}$ , a Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$  is given by  $\mathcal{D}(\chi) := \sum \min\{\langle \chi | \Delta_i \rangle\} \otimes D_i$ , where  $\langle - | - \rangle : \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is the natural pairing. We assume furthermore that  $\mathcal{D}$  is *proper*, i.e. that any  $\mathcal{D}(\chi)$  is a semiample rational Cartier divisor on  $Y$ , which is big whenever  $\chi$  belongs to the relative interior of the cone  $\omega$ . From such a triple  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$ , that we will call an *AH datum* in the rest of this subsection, Altmann and Hausen construct an  $\mathbb{X}$ -graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra defined by

$$A[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}] := \bigoplus_{\chi \in \omega \cap \mathbb{X}} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{D}(\chi))) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(Y)[\mathbb{X}].$$

**Theorem 4.5.** (see [AH06, Theorems 3.1 and 3.4])

- (i) *Let  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$  be an AH datum. Then  $Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}] := \text{Spec}(A[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}])$  is a complex affine  $T$ -variety that is  $T$ -equivariantly birational to  $T \times Y$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $X$  be a complex affine  $T$ -variety. Then there exists an AH datum  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$  such that there is an isomorphism of  $T$ -varieties  $X \simeq Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}]$ .*

*Remark 4.6.* The semiprojective variety  $Y$  in Theorem 4.5 (ii), which is not unique for a given  $X$ , can be obtained as the normalization of the main component of the inverse limit over all GIT-quotients of  $X$  or by *toric downgrading* (see [AH06, Section 11] for details).

Based on the Altmann–Hausen combinatorial description of affine  $T$ -varieties (Theorem 4.5), Gillard has obtained the following criterion that provides a complete answer to question (i) of the Key Problem for affine  $T$ -varieties.

**Theorem 4.7.** ([Gil22, Theorems 4.3 and 4.6]) *Let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $T$ .*

- (i) *Let  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$  be an AH datum, and let  $X := Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}]$  be the corresponding complex affine  $T$ -variety. If there exists a real structure  $\rho_Y$  on  $Y$  and a monoid homomorphism  $h : \omega \cap \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(Y)^*$  such that*

$$(E2) \quad \forall \chi \in \omega \cap \mathbb{X}, \quad \rho_Y^*(\mathcal{D}(\chi)) = \mathcal{D}(\gamma\chi) + \text{div}_Y(h(\gamma\chi)) \text{ and } h(\chi)\rho_Y^*(h(\gamma\chi)) = 1,$$
*where  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}$  through the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  (see Example 1.7), then  $X$  admits a  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu_{[\omega, (Y, \rho_Y), \mathcal{D}, h]}$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $X$  be an affine  $T$ -variety that admits a  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure. Then there exists an AH datum  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$ , together with a real structure  $\rho_Y$  on  $Y$  and a monoid homomorphism  $h : \omega \cap \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(Y)^*$  satisfying (E2), such that there is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant isomorphism of  $T$ -varieties  $X \simeq Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}]$ .*

*Remark 4.8.*

- The criterion obtained by Gillard is in fact constructive in the sense that it produces an explicit  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu_{[\omega, (Y, \rho_Y), \mathcal{D}, h]}$  on  $Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}]$ .

- For a given complex affine  $T$ -variety  $X$  admitting a  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure, Gillard gives an explicit recipe to construct the pair  $(Y, \rho_Y)$  by  $\Gamma$ -equivariant toric downgrading (see [Gil22, Section 4.1]).

Theorem 4.7 (ii) simplifies a bit when the real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $T$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}$  (no  $\sigma_1$  factor, with the notation of Lemma 1.3); indeed, we can then find  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $Y$  such that  $\rho_Y^*(\mathcal{D}(\chi)) = \mathcal{D}(\gamma\chi)$ , i.e. take  $h = 1$ . However, this simplification is not always possible when there is a  $\sigma_1$  factor (see Example 4.10).


**Example 4.9.** ([Gil22, Examples 2.14, 3.6 and 5.3]) Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^2$  and let  $\sigma := \sigma_2$  (with the notation of Lemma 1.3). Let  $X := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$  on which  $T$  acts by

$$(s, t) \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := (sx_1, tx_2, st^2x_3, s^2tx_4),$$

and let  $\mu$  be the  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$  defined by

$$\mu: X \rightarrow X, (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_1}, \overline{x_4}, \overline{x_3});$$

it corresponds to a real  $R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}})$ -variety isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^4$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{X} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$  and let  $\omega := \text{Cone}(e_1, e_2)$ . Let  $Y$  be the projective toric surface corresponding to the fan left below, and let  $\mathcal{D} := \sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i \otimes D_i$  be the polyhedral divisor on  $Y$  such that each divisor  $D_i$  corresponds to the ray generated by  $v_i$  and the coefficients  $\Delta_i \subseteq \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  are the convex polyhedra pictured right below.



Then  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$  is an AH datum such that  $X \simeq Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}]$ . Moreover, if we denote by  $\rho_Y$  the extension to  $Y$  of the real group structure  $\sigma_2$  on the dense open orbit  $Y_0 \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^2$ , and take  $h = 1$ , then one can check that the pair  $(\rho_Y, h)$  satisfies (E2).

**Example 4.10.** (see [DL, Section 4.1]) Let  $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}$ , let  $\sigma := \sigma_1$  (the real group structure corresponding to the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$ ), and let  $\omega = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $Y$  be the complex surface with the real structure  $\rho_Y$  whose corresponding real form is the real sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2 := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1))$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} := \{1\} \otimes D$ , where  $D := \{1 - z = x + iy = 0\}$  is a Cartier divisor on  $Y = \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , and let  $h: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(Y)^*$ ,  $n \mapsto (1 - z)^{-n}$ . Then  $(\omega, Y, \mathcal{D})$  is an AH datum, the pair  $(\rho_Y, h)$  satisfies (E2), and the corresponding  $(T, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu_{[\omega, (Y, \rho_Y), \mathcal{D}, h]}$  on  $X := Z[\omega, Y, \mathcal{D}]$  corresponds to the real  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -variety  $\mathbb{S}^3$  whose quotient  $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$  is an algebraic model of the famous Hopf fibration (which means that the continuous map  $\mathbb{S}^3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R})$  induced by  $f$  is the Hopf fibration). Let us note that, in this example, it is not possible to reduce to the case  $h = 1$ .

As mentioned already, Theorem 4.7 provides a complete answer to question (i) of the Key Problem for affine  $T$ -varieties. It is then natural to ask what about question (ii) of the Key Problem for these varieties. Unfortunately, for an arbitrary affine  $T$ -variety  $X$ , the group  $\text{Aut}^T(X)$  can be quite wild, and so in general we cannot say much about the cardinal of the set  $\text{H}^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^T(X))$  that parametrizes the equivalence classes of equivariant real structures on  $X$ .

**Some related works.**

- Descent data and  $\mathbb{K}$ -forms for affine complexity-one  $T$ -varieties, with  $\mathbb{K}$  an arbitrary field, have been studied by Langlois in [Lan15]; in particular, if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  and the real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $T$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_0^{\times n_0} \times \sigma_2^{\times n_2}$  (no  $\sigma_1$  factor), then [Lan15, Theorem 5.10] coincides with Theorem 4.7.
- Real  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -forms of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ -varieties have been described by Dubouloz–Liendo in [DL]; in particular, [DL, Theorem 2.7] coincides with Theorem 4.7 when  $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_1$ . Also, the case of smooth  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ -surfaces has been considered more closely by Dubouloz–Petitjean in [DP20]; as an application, they establish that every compact differentiable  $\mathbb{S}^1(\mathbb{R})$ -surface admits a unique smooth rational real affine model up to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -equivariant birational diffeomorphism ([DP20, Theorem 1]).
- An example of a non-linearizable  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -action on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^4$ , whose complexification is a linearizable  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ -action on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$ , was obtained by Freudentburg–Moser–Jauslin in [FM04]. (Note that Koras–Russell proved in [KR13] that any  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -action on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^3$  is linearizable.) Later, a systematic approach to find uncountably many non-linearizable  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -actions on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^4$  that are all pairwise inequivalent was obtained by Moser–Jauslin in [Mos19] (see also [DL, Section 4.2]).

5. REAL STRUCTURES ON SPHERICAL VARIETIES

In this section, we leave the world of torus actions and consider the Key Problem for another famous class of algebraic varieties endowed with an algebraic group action, namely the *spherical varieties*.

Let  $G$  be a complex reductive group (of simply-connected type). A  $G$ -variety  $X$  is called *spherical* if a Borel subgroup of  $G$  acts on  $X$  with a dense open orbit. This condition implies of course that  $G$  itself acts on  $X$  with a dense open orbit, and consequently the classification of spherical varieties is generally done in two steps.

- First step: classify the spherical homogeneous spaces under the  $G$ -action.
- Second step: for a given spherical homogeneous space  $G/H$ , classify the  $G$ -equivariant embeddings of  $G/H$  (also known as *spherical embeddings*).

There is a well established combinatorial description for both parts of this classification, but we will not go into details to avoid making this survey article too technical. We refer to [Tim11] and the references therein for a complete account on spherical homogeneous spaces and their equivariant embeddings.

**5.1. Real structures on spherical homogeneous spaces.** In this subsection we consider the Key Problem for spherical homogeneous spaces. Let  $G$  be a complex reductive group, let  $B \subseteq G$  be a Borel subgroup, and let  $T \subseteq B$  be a maximal torus. As mentioned above, a homogeneous space  $X_0 = G/H$  is called *spherical* if  $B$  acts on  $X_0$  with a dense open orbit; we also say that  $H$  is a *spherical* subgroup of  $G$ . Conjugacy classes of spherical subgroups are classified by some discrete invariants introduced by Luna (see [Lun01, Section 2] or [Tim11, Section 30.11]) under the names of *homogeneous spherical data*. Roughly speaking, it is a quadruplet  $(\Lambda, \Pi^p, \Sigma, \mathcal{D}^a)$  with  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{X}$  a sublattice,  $\Pi^p$  and  $\Sigma$  two finite subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^a$  a finite subset of the dual of the sublattice of  $\mathbb{X}$  generated by  $\Sigma$ , which satisfy some compatibility conditions (see [Tim11, Definition 30.21]). Let us also mention that, for any spherical subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ , the quotient group  $N_G(H)/H$  is a diagonalizable group ([BP87, Section 5.2]); in particular, it is an abelian group.

Let us fix a real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $G$ . The  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  on the based root datum associated with the triple  $(G, B, T)$  (see Definition 1.6) induces a  $\Gamma$ -action on the set of homogeneous spherical data (see [BG21, Sections 2.15-2.16] for details, but beware that the notations are different from [Tim11, Section 30.11]).

The next result gives a complete answer to question (i) of the Key Problem for spherical homogeneous spaces.

**Theorem 5.1.** ([BG21, Main Theorem 1.13] with  $k_0 = \mathbb{R}$ )

*We keep the previous notation. Let  $X_0 = G/H$  be a spherical homogeneous space. Then  $X_0$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure if and only if*

- (i) *the homogeneous spherical datum of the conjugacy class of  $H$  is preserved by the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$ ; and*
- (ii) *a certain cohomology class  $\kappa_*(\delta([\sigma])) \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H)$  is trivial (see [BG21, Section 3] for the precise definition of this cohomology class).*

*Remark 5.2.*

- Condition (i) of Theorem 5.1 is equivalent to the fact that  $\sigma(H)$  is conjugate to  $H$  in  $G$ , which is condition (i) of Lemma 2.3.
- If  $Z(G) \subseteq H$  or if  $\sigma$  is *quasi-split*, i.e.  $\sigma$  stabilizes a Borel subgroup of  $G$ , then the cohomology class  $\kappa_*(\delta([\sigma]))$  is trivial, and so  $X_0$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure if and only if condition (i) of Theorem 5.1 holds.
- We observe that Theorem 5.1 looks very similar to Theorem 3.3, but we do not know what a common generalization of these two results could be.

**Example 5.3.** ([MJT21b, Example 2.2]) Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n^{\times 3}$  with  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\sigma: (g_1, g_2, g_3) \mapsto (\overline{g_2}, \overline{g_1}, {}^t g_3^{-1})$  that is a real group structure on  $G$ . Let

$$H := \{(h_1, h_1, h_2); h_1 \in \mathrm{SL}_n \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathrm{O}_n\} \text{ and } H' := \psi(H),$$

where  $\psi: G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \mapsto (g_3, g_2, g_1)$  is an outer automorphism of  $G$ . Then  $H$  and  $H'$  are both spherical subgroups of  $G$ , but the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  stabilizes only the homogeneous spherical datum of the conjugacy class of  $H$ .

Moreover, the cohomology class  $\kappa_*(\delta([\sigma])) \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H)$  is trivial, and so  $X_0 = G/H$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure by Theorem 5.1.

**Example 5.4.** ([BG21, Example 11.5]) Let  $G = \mathrm{SO}_{10}$ , let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $G$ , and let  $H \subseteq G$  an algebraic subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SO}_9$ . Then  $X_0 = G/H$  is a spherical homogeneous space, and the homogeneous spherical datum of the conjugacy class of  $H$  is stable for the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\sigma'$  be the real group structure on  $G$  whose real locus is the non-compact real Lie group usually denoted by  $\mathrm{SO}^*(10)$ . If  $\sigma$  belongs to the equivalence class of  $\sigma'$ , then the cohomology class  $\kappa_*(\delta([\sigma])) \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Gamma, N_G(H)/H)$  is non-trivial, and so  $X_0$  does not admit a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure. For any other  $\sigma$ , the cohomology class  $\kappa_*(\delta([\sigma]))$  is trivial, and so  $X_0$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure.

Let us now quickly consider question (ii) of the Key Problem for spherical homogeneous spaces. It follows from Corollary 2.7 that, if the spherical homogeneous space  $X_0 = G/H$  admits a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure, then  $X_0$  admits a finite number of them, up to equivalence. Moreover, as mentioned earlier, the group  $\mathrm{Aut}^G(X_0) \simeq N_G(H)/H$  is diagonalizable, and so it fits into an exact sequence of abelian  $\Gamma$ -groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^G(X_0)^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^G(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{Aut}^G(X_0)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{Aut}^G(X_0)^\circ$  is a torus and  $\pi_0(\text{Aut}^G(X_0))$  is a finite abelian group, which makes it possible in practice to compute the cardinal of  $H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X_0))$  through a long exact sequence in Galois cohomology. (See [MJT21a, Section 3.4] and [MJT21b, Section 3] for some explicit examples of computation of  $H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}^G(X_0))$ .)

### Some related works.

- In [BG21], Borovoi and Gagliardi study more generally descent data and  $\mathbb{K}$ -forms for spherical varieties, with  $\mathbb{K}$  an arbitrary base field of characteristic zero (but not specifically the field of real numbers).
- Theorem 5.1 was first proved for *horospherical* homogeneous spaces (i.e. homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  with  $H$  containing a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ ) by Moser-Jauslin–Terpereau in [MJT21a], and then later generalized by Borovoi–Gagliardi to arbitrary spherical homogeneous spaces over a base field of characteristic zero.
- Equivariant real structures on spherical homogeneous spaces  $X_0 = G/H$ , under the extra assumption that  $\text{Aut}^G(X_0) \simeq N_G(H)/H$  is finite, have been studied by Akhiezer in [Akh15], by Akhiezer–Cupit-Foutou in [ACF14], by Cupit-Foutou in [CF15], by Borovoi in [Bor20], and by Snegirov in [Sne20].
- In the particular case where  $X_0 = G/H$  is a *symmetric space* (i.e.  $G^\theta \subseteq H \subseteq N_G(G^\theta)$  with  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(G)$  a group involution), a practical criterion for the existence of an equivariant real structure on  $X_0$ , using the involution  $\theta$  instead of the homogeneous spherical data of the conjugacy class of  $H$ , has been obtained by Moser-Jauslin–Terpereau in [MJT21b].
- Let  $X_0 = G/H$  be a spherical homogeneous space with a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  such that  $X_0(\mathbb{C})^\mu$  is non-empty. Then the real Lie group  $G(\mathbb{C})^\sigma$  acts on  $X_0(\mathbb{C})^\mu$  with finitely many orbits. When  $X_0$  is a symmetric space, a combinatorial description of these orbits using Galois cohomology has been obtained by Cupit-Foutou–Timashev in [CFT18] (see also [BJ06, Chp. 6]), and when  $X_0$  is arbitrary but  $\sigma$  is split, a parametrization of these orbits through geometric methods has been obtained by Cupit-Foutou–Timashev in [CFT].

**5.2. Extension of real structures to spherical embeddings.** In the previous subsection we investigated the Key Problem for spherical homogeneous spaces. In this subsection we go to the next step and consider the Key Problem for spherical embeddings (although, historically, things have rather worked the other way around). More precisely, given a spherical homogeneous space  $X_0 = G/H$  and a  $G$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ , we give the combinatorial criterion obtained by Huruguen in [Hur11] to determine when an equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  extend to  $X$ , generalizing what was done in Section 4.1 for toric varieties. For brevity, we do not give details regarding the theory of spherical embeddings; the interested reader is referred to [Kno91, Tim11, Per14] for a detailed presentation.

We keep the same notation as in the previous subsection, and we fix a spherical homogeneous space  $X_0 = G/H$ . The *weight lattice* of  $X_0$  is

$$\mathbb{X}(X_0) = \{\chi \in \mathbb{X}(B) \mid \mathbb{C}(X_0)_\chi^{(B)} \neq \{0\}\} \subseteq \mathbb{X}(B) := \text{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(B, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathbb{X}(T),$$

where

$$\mathbb{C}(X_0)_\chi^{(B)} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}(X_0) \mid \forall b \in B, b \cdot f = \chi(b)f\} \quad \text{with } \chi \in \mathbb{X}(B).$$

It is a free abelian group of finite rank. The (finite) set of *colors* of  $X_0$  is

$$\mathcal{D}(X_0) = \{B\text{-stable prime divisors of } X_0\}.$$

A *colored cone* for  $X_0$  is a pair formed by a strictly convex polyhedral cone in  $\mathbb{X}(X_0)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee}$  and a subset of  $\mathcal{D}(X_0)$  satisfying some conditions. A *colored fan* for  $X_0$  is a finite collection of colored cones for  $X_0$  satisfying some extra conditions. (See [Kno91, Section 3] for details.)

**Theorem 5.5.** ([Kno91, Theorem 3.3]) *There is a bijection between isomorphism classes of  $G$ -equivariant embeddings of  $X_0$  and colored fans for  $X_0$ .*

Let now  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $G$ , and let  $\mu$  be a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$ . Then  $\mu$  induces a  $\Gamma$ -action on the weight lattice  $\mathbb{X}(X_0)$  and on the set of colors  $\mathcal{D}(X_0)$ ; see [Hur11, Section 2.2] for the detailed description of this  $\Gamma$ -action. This  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbb{X}(X_0)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(X_0)$  in turn induces a  $\Gamma$ -action on the set of colored fans for  $X_0$ . A somewhat surprising fact is that this  $\Gamma$ -action on the set of colored fans for  $X_0$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ , only on  $\sigma$ , and can be recovered from the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  on the based root datum associated the triple  $(G, B, T)$ ; see [BG21, Section 7] for details.

**Theorem 5.6.** ([Hur11, Theorem 2.23], see also [Wed18, Theorem 9.1])

*We keep the previous notation. Let  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -equivariant embedding of  $X_0$ . A  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X_0 = G/H$  extends to  $X$  if and only if the corresponding colored fan is  $\Gamma$ -stable.*

*Remark 5.7.*

- Theorem 4.2 for toric embeddings is a particular case of Theorem 5.6.
- Unlike the toric case, the Galois descent  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is not always effective for spherical varieties. This means that a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on a spherical variety  $X$  does not always correspond to a real  $(G/\langle\sigma\rangle)$ -form of  $X$ . We refer to [Hur11, Section 2.4] for an explicit example where this situation occurs. The fact that  $X$  admits a covering by  $\Gamma$ -stable quasi-projective open subsets can however be expressed combinatorially (see [Per14, Corollary 3.2.12]). See also [Hur11, Proposition 2.27] for a list of sufficient conditions to guaranty effectiveness.

**Example 5.8.** ([MJT21a, Example 3.34]) Let  $U$  be a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ . Then  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/U \simeq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  is a spherical homogeneous space whose non-trivial equivariant embeddings, and the corresponding colored fans, are the following:  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , and  $\mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ .



(The small white dot corresponds to the unique color of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/U$ .) We saw in Example 1.5 that there are two inequivalent real group structures on  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , which we denoted by  $\sigma_s$  and  $\sigma_c$ . It follows from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 2.6 that there exists a unique equivalence class of  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma_s)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$ , but that there is no  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma_c)$ -equivariant structure on  $X_0$  as the cohomology class  $\Delta_U([\sigma_c])$  is non-trivial. Moreover, the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma_s$  is trivial (see Remark 1.8), and so any  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma_s)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  extends to any  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ . All  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma_s)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$  are furthermore equivalent since  $\mathrm{Aut}^G(X) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}^G(X_0) (\simeq \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}})$ .

Let us now move on to question (ii) of the Key Problem for a given spherical variety  $X$  with open orbit  $X_0$ . As for toric varieties, once the real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $G$  is fixed, we have the following alternative: Either all  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$  extend to  $X$ , or none of them do. However, contrary to the toric case, it may happen that two equivalent  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$  extend to inequivalent  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$ ; indeed, the group inclusion  $\text{Aut}^G(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}^G(X_0)$  may not be an isomorphism. Consequently, to answer question (ii) of the Key Problem, the best option seems again to write a long exact sequence in Galois cohomology as in the homogeneous case.

**Some related works.**

- In [Hur11], Huruguen works any perfect base field, not necessarily the field of real numbers. Let us note that Huruguen assumes that the equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X_0$  satisfies  $X_0(\mathbb{C})^\mu \neq \emptyset$ , but this condition plays no role in its proof of Theorem 5.6. The results of Huruguen have been later generalized by Wedhorn in [Wed18] to the case where the base field is arbitrary. Also, Wedhorn prefers to work in the category of algebraic spaces instead of schemes, which allows him to avoid the problem of effectiveness for the Galois descent.
- Akhiezer and Cupit-Foutou study in [Akh15, ACF14, CF15] the extension problem for an equivariant real structure on a spherical homogeneous space  $X_0$  admitting a wonderful compactification  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ .
- In addition to proving Theorem 5.1, Borovoi–Gagliardi prove in [BG21, Section 7] a reformulation of part of the results obtained by Huruguen in [Hur11]. They also provide many examples in [BG21, Section 11] of spherical varieties for which they apply Theorem 5.1 to solve question (i) of the Key Problem.
- As a byproduct of Theorems 5.1 and 5.6, a classification of the equivariant real structures on smooth projective horospherical varieties of Picard rank 1 (classified by Pasquier in [Pas09]) has been obtained by Moser-Jauslin–Terpereau in [MJT21a, Section 3.6].

6. REAL STRUCTURES ON ALMOST HOMOGENEOUS  $\text{SL}_2$ -THREEFOLDS

Let  $G$  be a complex reductive group, and let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$ . We recall that the *complexity* of a  $G$ -variety is the codimension of a general  $B$ -orbit. For instance, the complexity-zero varieties are precisely the spherical varieties considered in Section 5. In this section, based on the work of Moser-Jauslin–Terpereau [MJT, Section 3], we study the Key Problem for a family of complexity-one varieties admitting a combinatorial description quite similar to that of spherical embeddings, namely the *almost homogeneous  $\text{SL}_2$ -threefolds*. Examples include the rank 1 Fano threefolds  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $X_5$  or  $X_{22}^{\text{MU}}$  (with the notation of [IP99, Section 12.2]), and the  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ -bundle  $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$ .

We have seen in Example 1.5 that any real group structure on  $\text{SL}_2$  is equivalent either to  $\sigma_s: g \mapsto \bar{g}$  or to  $\sigma_c: g \mapsto {}^t\bar{g}^{-1}$ , with corresponding real loci  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$  respectively. Moreover,  $\text{SL}_2$  has no outer automorphism, and so we can assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma \in \{\sigma_s, \sigma_c\}$  when studying  $(\text{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $\text{SL}_2$ -varieties (see the second part of [MJT, Proposition A]). Let us also note that, for any real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $\text{SL}_2$ , the  $\star$ -action induced by  $\sigma$  is trivial (see Remark 1.8), and so the  $\star$ -action will play no role when considering the Key Problem for almost homogeneous  $\text{SL}_2$ -threefolds (contrary to

the spherical case studied in Section 5, where the  $\star$ -action was playing a leading role to answer question (i) of the Key Problem).

Let  $X$  be an almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefold. Then it contains a dense open orbit  $X_0$  isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H$  with  $H \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2$  a finite subgroup; those are well-known (see [Kle93]): there are the cyclic groups of order  $n$  (conjugate to  $A_n$ ), the binary dihedral groups of order  $4n-8$  (conjugate to  $D_n$  with  $n \geq 4$ ), and the binary polyhedral groups (conjugate to  $E_n$  with  $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ ).

**Theorem 6.1.** ([MJT, Theorem D]) *Let  $H$  be a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ . Then  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/H$  admits an  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure. Moreover, the equivalence classes of  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$  and their real loci are listed in [MJT, Appendix C]; there are 1, 2 or 3 equivalence classes depending on  $H$  and  $\sigma$ .*

Following the strategy outlined in Section 2 to describe the equivariant real structures on almost homogeneous varieties, we now consider the problem of determining when an  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/H$  extends to a given  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ . As for spherical embeddings, there exists a combinatorial classification of the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embeddings of  $X_0$ . (In fact, both classifications are particular cases of the Luna-Vust theory to classify  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embeddings of arbitrary homogeneous spaces.) Consider the sets

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{SL}_2} = \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(X_0) = \{\mathrm{SL}_2\text{-invariant geometric valuations}^2 \text{ of } \mathbb{C}(X_0)\}$$

and, for a given Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}^B = \mathcal{D}^B(X_0) = \{B\text{-stable prime divisors of } X_0\} \simeq B \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2/H \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1/H.$$

The idea of the classification of the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embeddings of  $X_0$  is that any such embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  corresponds to a collection of *colored data*, i.e. a collection of pairs  $(\mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{R}_i)_{i \in I}$ , with  $\mathcal{W}_i \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_i \subseteq \mathcal{D}^B$ , satisfying some conditions. In fact, each colored datum corresponds to an  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -orbit of  $X$ , and the conditions that the collection of pairs  $(\mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{R}_i)_{i \in I}$  must satisfy encode the fact that the union of the corresponding  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -orbits is indeed an  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -variety. Also,  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -orbits are divided into six different types (depending on their colored data) denoted by

- $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{AB}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_+$ , or  $\mathcal{B}_-$  for orbits isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ ;
- $\mathcal{B}_0$  for fixed points; and
- $\mathcal{C}$  for 2-dimensional orbits.


This combinatorial classification was first given by Luna–Vust in [LV83, Section 9] for equivariant embeddings of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , and then later generalized to equivariant embeddings of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H$ , with  $H \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2$  a finite subgroup, by Moser-Jauslin in [MJ87, MJ90] and Bousquet in [Bou00]; we refer to [MJT, Appendix B] for a self-contained summary.

*Remark 6.2.* Let us mention that there is an alternative description of equivariant embeddings of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H$ , in terms of *colored hypercones* and *colored hyperfans*, due to Timashev; see [Tim11, Section 16].

---

<sup>2</sup>Recall that a *valuation* of  $\mathbb{C}(X_0)$  is a group homomorphism  $\nu: (\mathbb{C}(X_0)^*, \times) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}, +)$  satisfying  $\nu(a+b) \geq \min(\nu(a), \nu(b))$  when  $a+b \neq 0$ , whose kernel contains  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , and whose image is a discrete subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ . A valuation  $\nu$  is called *geometric* if  $\nu = \nu_D$  for some divisor  $D$  on  $X_0$ , where  $\nu_D(f)$  is the order of vanishing of  $f$  along  $D$ .

In practice, the collection of colored data corresponding to a given equivariant embedding of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H$  is represented by a *skeleton diagram*. For instance the skeleton diagrams corresponding to the varieties  $Q_3$ ,  $V_5$  and  $V_{22}^{\mathrm{MU}}$  are respectively



The choice of a pair  $(\sigma, \mu)$ , with  $\sigma$  a real group structure on  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $\mu$  an  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$ , induces a  $\Gamma$ -action on the sets  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^B$  (see [MJT, Section 3.3] for details). This  $\Gamma$ -action can be visualized on the skeleton diagrams; indeed, it corresponds to a permutation of certain spokes of the same length. The following result provides a complete answer to question (i) of the Key Problem for almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds.

**Theorem 6.3.** ([MJT, Theorem E]) *We keep the previous notation. Let  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  be an  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embedding of  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/H$ . An  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on  $X_0$  extends to an  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $X$  if and only if the  $\Gamma$ -actions on  $\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^B$  induced by the pair  $(\sigma, \mu)$  stabilize the collection of colored data corresponding to the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -orbits of  $X$ . Moreover, the real structure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $X$  is effective if and only if every  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -orbit of  $X$  of type  $\mathcal{B}_0$  or  $\mathcal{B}_-$  is fixed by the  $\Gamma$ -action.*

*Remark 6.4.* It must be stressed that, contrary to the spherical case (see Section 5), the  $\Gamma$ -action on the colored equipment of  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/H$  depends not only on  $\sigma$ , but also on  $\mu$ . In fact, it is even possible, for a given  $\sigma$ , to have two equivalent  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$  such that only one of them extends to a given  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ .

**Example 6.5.** ([MJT, Example 3.10]) Let  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  on which  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  acts diagonally. Then the stabilizer of the point  $x = ([1 : 1], [1 : 0], [0 : 1])$  is  $H = \{\pm I_2\} = A_2$ , and so  $(X, x)$  is an  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embedding of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ . The orbit decomposition of  $X$  is  $\ell \sqcup S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3 \sqcup X_0$ , where  $X_0 \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_2$  is the dense open orbit,  $S_i \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus \Delta$ , and  $\ell \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ . Let us note that

$$\mathfrak{S}_3 \simeq \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(X_0) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad (12) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ i & -i \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } (23) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  acts on  $X$  by permuting the three factors.

We fix a real group structure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ . By [MJT, Theorem D], there are exactly two equivalence classes of  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$ . Using Theorem 6.3, one can show that there always exists an  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X_0$  that extends to  $X$ , and using Proposition 2.6, a direct computation of  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(X))$  yields that  $X$  admits exactly two equivalence classes of

$(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures. Moreover, all  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$  restrict to equivalent  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X_0$ .

Other examples where Theorem 6.3 has been applied to determine the equivariant real structures on certain almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds can be found in [MJT, Section 3.3]. Also, a classification of the equivariant real structures on minimal smooth completions of  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/H$ , when  $H$  is non-cyclic, has been obtained in [MJT, Section 3.4]. (Here we call *minimal smooth completion* of  $X_0$  any  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$  such that  $X$  is a smooth complete variety and any birational  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant morphism  $X \rightarrow X'$ , with  $X'$  smooth, is an isomorphism.)

### Some related works.

- Examples of smooth compact connected three-dimensional Moishezon manifolds which are not schemes and on which  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  acts with a dense open orbit have been obtained by Luna–Moser–Jauslin–Vust in [LMJV89]. (One way to produce such examples in the real setting is to take the quotient  $X/\langle \mu \rangle$  with  $X$  a complete almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefold and  $\mu$  an  $(\mathrm{SL}_2, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$  that is not effective.)
- Let  $G$  be any complex reductive group, and let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $G$ . A combinatorial criterion to determine if a  $(G, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$  on an arbitrary homogeneous space  $X_0 = G/H$  extends to a given  $G$ -equivariant embedding  $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ , relying on the classical Luna–Vust theory (see [LV83]), has been obtained by Moser–Jauslin–Terpereau in [MJT], generalizing Theorems 5.6 and 6.3, but the combinatorics is then more involved.

## 7. SOME OPEN QUESTIONS

We finish this survey paper with some open questions related to the Key Problem. In all this last section, we denote by  $G$  a complex reductive group.

In Section 6, we have studied the Key Problem for almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds. On the other hand, there exists a combinatorial description for complexity-one  $G$ -varieties due to Timashev, in terms of *colored hypercones* and *colored hyperfans* (see [Tim11, Section 16]), that generalizes the one given by Luna–Vust in [LV83, Section 9] for almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds.

**Question 1.** *Is it possible to extend the results obtained for almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds (see Section 6) to arbitrary complexity-one  $G$ -varieties?*

(Let us mention that for affine varieties endowed with a complexity-one torus action, a positive answer to question 1 follows from the work of Langlois ([Lan15]) and Gillard ([Gil22]); see Section 4.2).

In [MJT, Section 3.4], we have determined the equivariant real structures on the minimal smooth completions of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H$  when  $H$  is a non-cyclic finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  (in which case the underlying  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -variety is projective, and so any real structure is effective). But “most” of the almost homogeneous  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -threefolds that appear in the literature are actually related to minimal smooth completions of  $\mathrm{SL}_2/H$  with  $H$  cyclic.

**Question 2.** *What are the effective equivariant real structures on the minimal smooth completions of  $X_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2/H$  when  $H$  is cyclic?*

It is certainly possible to try to answer question 2 using the same techniques as in [MJT, Section 3.4] when  $H$  is a non-cyclic finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ . However, when  $H$  is cyclic, the group  $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(X_0)$  is infinite, which makes it more complicated to compute  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(X_0))$ , and there are between seven and eleven minimal smooth completions of  $X_0$  to consider (depending on the cardinal of  $H$ ). Moreover, the underlying  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -varieties are not all projective, which makes the question of the effectiveness of the Galois descent non-trivial in this case.

Among all the complex Fano threefolds (see [IP99, Section 12.2] for the list of the smooth ones), many of them are varieties of complexity  $\leq 1$ . Therefore, results obtained in recent years concerning the equivariant real structures on spherical and complexity-one varieties should allow a complete classification of the real structures on these varieties.

**Question 3.** *What are the (equivariant) real structures on the complex Fano threefolds of complexity  $\leq 1$ ? And what are the corresponding real loci?*

Let us now consider  $X_0 = G/H$  an arbitrary homogeneous space. If  $H$  is connected and  $\dim(X_0) \leq 10$  or if  $X_0$  is spherical, then it is known that  $X_0$  is a rational variety (see [CZ17, Theorem 5.9] and [Per14, Corollary 2.1.3]). However, a real form of a rational variety is not necessarily rational.

**Question 4.** *Given a rational homogeneous space  $X_0 = G/H$ , what are the rational real forms of  $X_0$ ? And is it possible to characterize rationality via the real locus?*

Let us now focus on question (ii) of the Key Problem. The automorphism group  $\mathrm{Aut}^G(X)$  of a spherical  $G$ -variety  $X$  is a diagonalizable group; in particular, it is a linear algebraic group, and so the set  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Aut}^G(X))$  is finite. On the other hand, there are examples of complexity-two varieties with infinitely many equivalence classes of equivariant real structures.

**Question 5.** *Does a complexity-one variety always admit a finite number of equivalence classes of equivariant real structures?*

Finally, for a random projective variety  $X$ , the neutral component of its automorphism group  $\mathrm{Aut}^\circ(X)$  is usually neither a linear algebraic group nor an abelian variety. It is therefore natural to consider the Key Problem without the assumption of reductivity, and even of linearity.

**Question 6.** *Let  $K$  be a connected algebraic group (not necessarily linear), let  $X$  be a complex  $K$ -variety, and let  $\sigma$  be a real group structure on  $K$ . What can be said concerning the  $(K, \sigma)$ -equivariant real structures on  $X$ ?*

#### REFERENCES

- [ACF14] Dmitri Akhiezer and Stéphanie Cupit-Foutou. On the canonical real structure on wonderful varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 693:231–244, 2014.
- [AH06] Klaus Altmann and Jürgen Hausen. Polyhedral divisors and algebraic torus actions. *Math. Ann.*, 334(3):557–607, 2006.
- [AHS08] Klaus Altmann, Jürgen Hausen, and Hendrik Süß. Gluing affine torus actions via divisorial fans. *Transform. Groups*, 13(2):215–242, 2008.
- [Akh15] Dmitri Akhiezer. Satake diagrams and real structures on spherical varieties. *Internat. J. Math.*, 26(12):1550103, 13, 2015.
- [Ben16] Mohamed Benzerga. *Real structures on rational surfaces* (available on <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01471071>). PhD thesis, 2016.

- [BG21] Mikhail Borovoi and Giuliano Gagliardi. Existence of Equivariant Models of Spherical Varieties and Other  $G$ -varieties. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 07 2021.
- [BJ06] Armand Borel and Lizhen Ji. *Compactifications of symmetric and locally symmetric spaces*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [BMJT] Michael Bulois, Lucy Moser-Jauslin, and Ronan Terpereau. Real structures on nilpotent orbit closures. [arXiv:2106.04444](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.04444), preprint.
- [Bor20] Mikhail Borovoi. Equivariant models of spherical varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 25(2):391–439, 2020.
- [Bou00] Gilles Bousquet. *Plongements homogènes de  $SL(2)$  modulo un sous-groupe fini* (available on <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-02899185>). PhD thesis, 2000.
- [BP87] Michel Brion and Franz Pauer. Valuations des espaces homogènes sphériques. (Valuations of spherical homogeneous spaces). *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 62:265–285, 1987.
- [BS64] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre. Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 39:111–164, 1964.
- [Cas08] Bill Casselman. Computations in real tori. In *Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups*, volume 472 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 137–151. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [CF15] Stéphanie Cupit-Foutou. Anti-holomorphic involutions and spherical subgroups of reductive groups. *Transform. Groups*, 20(4):969–984, 2015.
- [CFT] Stéphanie Cupit-Foutou and Dmitry A. Timashev. Real orbits of complex spherical homogeneous spaces: the split case. [arXiv:1909.04958](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.04958), preprint.
- [CFT18] Stéphanie Cupit-Foutou and Dmitry A. Timashev. Orbits of real semisimple Lie groups on real loci of complex symmetric spaces. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 34(3):439–453, 2018.
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 124. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2011.
- [CM93] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern. *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [Con14] Brian Conrad. Reductive group schemes. In *Autour des schémas en groupes. Vol. I*, volume 42/43 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages 93–444. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2014.
- [CZ17] CheeWhye Chin and De-Qi Zhang. Rationality of homogeneous varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(4):2651–2673, 2017.
- [Del03] Claire Delaunay. Real structures on smooth compact toric surfaces. In *Topics in algebraic geometry and geometric modeling*, volume 334 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 267–290. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Del04] Claire Delaunay. *Real structures on compact toric varieties*, volume 2004/18 of *Prépublication de l'Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée [Prepublication of the Institute of Advanced Mathematical Research]*. Université Louis Pasteur, Département de Mathématique, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Strasbourg, 2004. Thèse, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 2004.
- [Dem88] Michel Demazure. Anneaux gradués normaux. In *Introduction à la théorie des singularités. II: Méthodes algébriques et géométriques. (Introduction to the theory of singularities. II: Algebraic and geometric methods)*, pages 35–68. Paris: Hermann, 1988.
- [DL] Adrien Dubouloz and Alvaro Liendo. Normal real affine varieties with circle actions. [arXiv:1810.11712](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.11712), preprint (to appear in *Ann. Inst. Fourier*).
- [DP20] Adrien Dubouloz and Charlie Petitjean. Rational real algebraic models of compact differential surfaces with circle actions. In *Polynomial rings and affine algebraic geometry. Selected papers based on the presentations at the conference, PRAAG 2018, Tokyo, Japan, February 12–16, 2018*, pages 109–142. Cham: Springer, 2020.
- [Dun16] Alexander Duncan. Twisted forms of toric varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 21(3):763–802, 2016.
- [ELFST14] E. Javier Elizondo, Paulo Lima-Filho, Frank Sottile, and Zach Teitler. Arithmetic toric varieties. *Math. Nachr.*, 287(2-3):216–241, 2014.

- [FM04] Gene Freudenburg and Lucy Moser-Jauslin. Real and rational forms of certain  $O_2(\mathbb{C})$ -actions, and a solution to the weak complexification problem. *Transform. Groups*, 9(3):257–272, 2004.
- [FSS98] Yuval Z. Flicker, Claus Scheiderer, and R. Sujatha. Grothendieck’s theorem on non-abelian  $H^2$  and local-global principles. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 11(3):731–750, 1998.
- [Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [FZ03] Hubert Flenner and Mikhail Zaidenberg. Normal affine surfaces with  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -actions. *Osaka J. Math.*, 40(4):981–1009, 2003.
- [Gil22] Pierre-Alexandre Gillard. Real torus actions on real affine algebraic varieties. *Math. Z. (online publication)*, 2022.
- [GW09] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, volume 255 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [Hum75] James E. Humphreys. *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21.
- [Hur11] Mathieu Huruguen. Toric varieties and spherical embeddings over an arbitrary field. *J. Algebra*, 342:212–234, 2011.
- [IP99] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov. Fano varieties. In *Algebraic geometry, V*, volume 47 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 1–247. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [Kle93] Felix Klein. *Vorlesungen über das Ikosaeder und die Auflösung der Gleichungen vom fünften Grade*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel; B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1993. Reprint of the 1884 original, Edited, with an introduction and commentary by Peter Slodowy.
- [Kna02] Anthony W. Knap. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.
- [Kno91] Friedrich Knop. The Luna-Vust theory of spherical embeddings. In *Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conference on Algebraic Groups (Hyderabad, 1989)*, pages 225–249. Manoj Prakashan, Madras, 1991.
- [KR13] M. Koras and P. Russell. Separable forms of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -actions on  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . *Transform. Groups*, 18(4):1155–1163, 2013.
- [Lan15] Kevin Langlois. Polyhedral divisors and torus actions of complexity one over arbitrary fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(6):2015–2045, 2015.
- [LMJV89] Domingo Luna, Lucy Moser-Jauslin, and Thierry Vust. Almost homogeneous Artin-Moïsezon varieties under the action of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . In *Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988)*, volume 80 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 107–115. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Lun01] D. Luna. Variétés sphériques de type A. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 94:161–226, 2001.
- [LV83] D. Luna and Th. Vust. Plongements d’espaces homogènes. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 58(2):186–245, 1983.
- [Man20] Frédéric Mangolte. *Real algebraic varieties*. Cham: Springer, 2020.
- [MJ87] L. Moser-Jauslin. *Normal embeddings of  $SL(2)/\Gamma$* . PhD thesis, 1987.
- [MJ90] Lucy Moser-Jauslin. Smooth embeddings of  $SL(2)$  and  $PGL(2)$ . *J. Algebra*, 132(2):384–405, 1990.
- [MJT] Lucy Moser-Jauslin and Ronan Terpereau. Forms of almost homogeneous varieties over perfect fields. [arXiv:2008.05197](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05197), preprint.
- [MJT21a] Lucy Moser-Jauslin and Ronan Terpereau. Real Structures on Horospherical Varieties. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, (Advance Publication):1 – 38, 2021.
- [MJT21b] Lucy Moser-Jauslin and Ronan Terpereau. Real structures on symmetric spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 149(8):3159–3172, 2021.
- [Mos19] Lucy Moser-Jauslin. Sur des familles infinies d’actions non équivalentes du cercle réel sur l’espace affine de dimension 4. *Épjournal de Géom. Algèbr., EPIGA*, 3:11, 2019. Id/No 1.
- [Pas09] Boris Pasquier. On some smooth projective two-orbit varieties with Picard number 1. *Math. Ann.*, 344(4):963–987, 2009.
- [Per14] Nicolas Perrin. On the geometry of spherical varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 19(1):171–223, 2014.

- [Ser02] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, english edition, 2002. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author.
- [SGA03] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*, volume 3 of *Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1960-61], Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin; MR0354651 (50 #7129)].
- [Sne20] Stephan Snegirov. Spherical varieties over large fields. *J. Lie Theory*, 30(3):653–672, 2020.
- [Tim11] Dmitry A. Timashev. *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, volume 138 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8.
- [Tit67] Jacques Tits. *Tabellen zu den einfachen Lie Gruppen und ihren Darstellungen*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [Tit71] Jacques Tits. Représentations linéaires irréductibles d’un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 247:196–220, 1971.
- [Wed18] Torsten Wedhorn. Spherical spaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 68(1):229–256, 2018.
- [Wei56] André Weil. The field of definition of a variety. *Am. J. Math.*, 78:509–524, 1956.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BOURGOGNE, UMR 5584 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ BOURGOGNE  
FRANCHE-COMTÉ, F-21000 DIJON, FRANCE

*Email address:* `ronan.terpereau@u-bourgogne.fr`