

THE STABILIZER BITORSORS OF THE MODULE AND ALGEBRA HARMONIC COPRODUCTS ARE EQUAL

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ AND HIDEKAZU FURUSHO

ABSTRACT. In earlier work, we constructed a pair of "Betti" and "de Rham" Hopf algebras and a pair of module-coalgebras over this pair, as well as the bitorsors related to both structures (which will be called the "module" and "algebra" stabilizer bitorsors). We showed that Racinet's torsor constructed out of the double shuffle and regularization relations between multiple zeta values is essentially equal to the "module" stabilizer bitorsor, and that the latter is contained in the "algebra" stabilizer bitorsor. In this paper, we show the equality of the "algebra" and "module" stabilizer bitorsors. We reduce the proof to showing the equality of the associated "algebra" and "module" graded Lie algebras. The argument for showing this equality involves the relation of the "algebra" Lie algebra with the kernel of a linear map, the expression of this linear map as a composition of three linear maps, the relation of one of them with the "module" Lie algebra and the computation of the kernel of the other one by discrete topology arguments.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The stabilizer Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$	3
2.1. The ambient Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$	4
2.2. Lie algebra action of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	5
2.3. Corestriction of $-\cdot h$ to $\text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	6
2.4. The stabilizer Lie algebras $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ and $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$	6
2.5. Relation with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2]	7
3. The stabilizer Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$	7
3.1. Lie algebra action of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$	7
3.2. The stabilizer Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$	8
3.3. Relation with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2]	9
3.4. Relation of $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ with $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ (Theorem 3.10 from [EF0])	9
4. Decomposition of the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	11
4.1. The map $\mathbf{H} : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$	11
4.2. The map $\mathbf{h} : (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	11
4.3. The isomorphism $\mathbf{i} : \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	12
4.4. Decomposition of the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	13
5. Study of the constituents \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{H} of the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$	15
5.1. The endomorphisms ∂_n and degrees deg , $\text{deg}^{(1)}$ and $\text{deg}^{(2)}$	15
5.2. Convergence results in the discrete topology	16
5.3. Opposite versions of the results of §§5.1 and 5.2	18
5.4. Computation of $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$	19
5.5. A commutative square relating \mathbf{H} and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ and a commutative triangle	19
6. Proof of equality results	20
6.1. Proof of the equality of the stabilizer Lie algebras $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ and $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$	20
6.2. Proof of the equality of the stabilizer bitorsors $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\mathbb{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\mathbb{B}})(\mathbf{k})$	23
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

The multiple zeta values (MZVs) are the real numbers defined by

$$\zeta(n_1, \dots, n_s) := \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_s > 0} 1/(k_1^{n_1} \dots k_s^{n_s})$$

for $s \geq 1$ and $n_1 \geq 2, n_2, \dots, n_s \geq 1$ ([Z]). Two sets of algebraic relations between these numbers are particularly known : the double shuffle and regularization relations ([R, IKZ], see also [Ec] where these relations are given without proof) and the associator relations which follow from a combination of [Dr] and [LM]. Both sets of relations give rise to \mathbb{Q} -schemes denoted DMR and M, both of which are equipped with torsor structures. These sets of relations are conjectured to be equivalent in Racinet's PhD thesis, this being equivalent to the equality of schemes $M = \text{DMR}$. The unfinished preprint [DeT] presents ideas on the geometry of moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{0,4}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}$ as well as how to apply them to a proof of the inclusion $M \subset \text{DMR}$. A proof of this inclusion is given in [F] based on the study of Chen's bar calculus for the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,5}$.

In the series of papers [EF0, EF1, EF2, EF3], we give a proof of the inclusion $M \subset \text{DMR}$ based on the ideas of [DeT] and make explicit the bitorsor aspects of this torsor inclusion. To this end, we attach to each \mathbb{Q} -algebra \mathbf{k} and $\omega \in \{\text{B, DR}\}$ a Hopf algebra $(\hat{\mathcal{W}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \omega})$ and a module-coalgebra $(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \omega})$ over it, by which one understand that the action map $\hat{\mathcal{W}}^\omega \otimes \hat{\mathcal{M}}^\omega \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}^\omega$ is compatible with the coproducts (see [EF3], §3.9). Denote by $\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}$ (resp. $\mathbf{k}\text{-Hopf}$, $\mathbf{k}\text{-alg-mod}$, $\mathbf{k}\text{-HAMC}$), the category of \mathbf{k} -algebras (resp. \mathbf{k} -Hopf algebras, pairs (A, M) of a \mathbf{k} -algebra A and a \mathbf{k} -module M over A , pairs $((A, \Delta_A), (M, \Delta_M))$ of a \mathbf{k} -Hopf algebra (A, Δ_A) and a \mathbf{k} -module coalgebra (M, Δ_M) over it). For $\omega \in \{\text{B, DR}\}$, set $(\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}})^\omega := (\hat{\mathcal{W}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \omega})$ and $((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}}), (\hat{\mathcal{M}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}}))^\omega := ((\hat{\mathcal{W}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \omega}), (\hat{\mathcal{M}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \omega}))$. One constructs a diagram of bitorsors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{G}^{\text{DR, B}}(\mathbf{k}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg-mod}}((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\mathcal{M}})^{\text{DR/B}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}}(\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{DR/B}}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) & \xrightarrow{(*)} & \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-HAMC}}(((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}}), (\hat{\mathcal{M}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}}))^{\text{DR/B}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-Hopf}}((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}})^{\text{DR/B}}) \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR, B}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the ambient bitorsor of the bitorsor of associators (see [Dr], §5 and [EF3], §§2.3 and 3.6) and where for each category \mathcal{C} and map $\{\text{B, DR}\} \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ denoted $\omega \mapsto X^\omega$, we denote by $\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\text{DR/B}})$ the bitorsor $\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\text{B}}, X^{\text{DR}})$; the construction of the morphism $(*)$ relies the geometric interpretations of $(\hat{\mathcal{W}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \omega})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^\omega, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \omega})$, which are based on the ideas of [DeT]. The fibered product bitorsors

$$\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR, B}}(\mathbf{k}) \times_{\text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{W}^{\text{DR/B}})} \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-Hopf}}((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}})^{\text{DR/B}})$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR, B}}(\mathbf{k}) \times_{\text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg-mod}}((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\mathcal{M}})^{\text{DR/B}})} \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-HAMC}}(((\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}}), (\hat{\mathcal{M}}, \hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}}))^{\text{DR/B}})$$

are shown to be equal to bitorsors denoted respectively $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ (see [EF3], §3.9). The vertical maps being injective, the above diagram leads to a sequence of inclusions of bitorsors

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) \hookrightarrow \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \hookrightarrow \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k}).$$

The inclusion $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{DMR}(\mathbf{k})$ then follows from the identification $\text{DMR}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{G}_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k}) \cap \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ (based on [EF0]) and the easy inclusion $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathbf{G}_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k})$, where $\mathbf{G}_{\text{quad}}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathbf{G}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k})$ is a subbitorsor defined by quadratic conditions (see [EF3], §3.1).

The main result of the present paper is:

Theorem 1.1. *(see Theorem 6.7) The inclusion $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \hookrightarrow \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ is an equality of bitorsors.*

Here is an outline of the proof. One reduces the proof of the equality of these bitorsors to that of the underlying \mathbb{Q} -group schemes $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$, and then to that of their Lie algebras $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ and $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ (see proof of Theorem 6.7). Both Lie algebras are degree completions of semidirect products by a one-dimensional Lie algebra of graded Lie algebras $\mathfrak{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ and $\mathfrak{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$, which are stabilizer Lie algebras of linear maps $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$ (see §§2.2, 3.2 and Propositions 2.12, 3.5). This reduces the proof to that of the equality of these graded Lie algebras (see proof of Theorem 6.6).

This equality is proved as follows. One first expresses $\mathfrak{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ as the preimage by a Lie algebra morphism $\theta : \text{lie}(e_0, e_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$ of the kernel of a linear map $-\cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ (Lemma 2.5). One then decomposes $-\cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$ as a composition $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{H}$, where \mathbf{i} , \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{H} are linear maps (§4.4). One shows that \mathbf{i} is injective (§4.3), computes the kernel of \mathbf{h} by discrete topology methods (§5.4), and relates \mathbf{H} with $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ (§5.5). This leads to a proof of the inclusion of the kernel of $-\cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$ in a space $(-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))$ defined in terms of $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ (Proposition 6.1). Using the main result of [EF0], one relates the preimage by θ of $(-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))$ with $\mathfrak{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ (Proposition 3.7), which combined with the other results leads to the announced statement (Theorem 6.6).

Notation. For A a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, we denote by $\text{Der}(A)$ the Lie algebra of its derivations. If V, W are \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces, we denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(V, W)$ the \mathbb{Q} -vector space of linear maps from V to W . We set $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(V) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(V, V)$.

If V is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $V[d]$ the degree d component of V , so $V = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} V[d]$. For $v \in V$, we denote by $v[d]$ the component of v of degree d , so $v[d] \in V[d]$ and $v = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} v[d]$.

2. THE STABILIZER LIE ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$

This section deals with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2]. More precisely, in §2.1, we introduce Lie algebras $(\text{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ and a Lie algebra morphism $\theta : \text{lie}(e_0, e_1) \rightarrow$

\mathcal{V}_0 . In §2.2, we recall from [EF1] the algebra \mathcal{W}^{DR} (here denoted \mathcal{W}) and the action of \mathcal{V}_0 on the vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$; this leads to a stabilizer Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(h)$ of \mathcal{V}_0 for any h in this vector space. In §2.3, we show that if $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, then $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(h)$ may be identified with the kernel of a linear map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ where the target is the set of h -derivations of the algebra \mathcal{W} with values in $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, viewed as a \mathcal{W} -bimodule via h . In §2.4, we show that when h is equal to the algebra harmonic coproduct $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ from [EF1] (here denoted $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$), then the preimage under θ of $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(h)$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$, which after undergoing degree completion and semidirect product with the grading action of $\mathbb{Q}1$, gives the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}})$ from [EF2] (see Proposition 2.12).

2.1. The ambient Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ and $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$. Denote by \mathcal{V} the free associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with generators e_0, e_1 . Let $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1) \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the Lie subalgebra generated by e_0, e_1 (these objects are respectively denoted \mathcal{V}^{DR} and \mathfrak{f}_2 in [EF1], §1.1 when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}$). Then \mathcal{V} and $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ are equipped with compatible associative and Lie algebra $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -gradings, where e_0 and e_1 have degree 1. Let \mathcal{V}_0 be the direct sum of components of \mathcal{V} of positive degree.

For $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, let $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ be the algebra derivation of \mathcal{V} such that

$$\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} : e_0 \mapsto [v, e_0], e_1 \mapsto 0.$$

For $v, v' \in \mathcal{V}_0$, set

$$\langle v, v' \rangle := \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(v') - \text{der}_{v'}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(v) + [v', v].$$

Lemma 2.1. (a) $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded Lie algebra, of which $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ is a graded Lie subalgebra.

(b) The map $\text{der}_-^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} : (\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{V})$ is a Lie algebra morphism.

Proof. (a) Let $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$ be the degree completion of \mathcal{V}_0 (it is denoted $(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^{\text{DR}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in [EF2], §3.5). In [EF2], Lemma 3.8 (b), the space $\mathfrak{cm}^{\text{DR}} := \mathbb{Q} \oplus \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$ is equipped with a Lie bracket \langle, \rangle . One checks that $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$ and \mathcal{V}_0 are preserved by this bracket, and are therefore Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{cm}^{\text{DR}}$. One also checks the bracket to be graded.

Let also $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^{\wedge}$ be the degree completion of $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ (these spaces are denoted $(\mathfrak{f}_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$ and $(\mathfrak{f}_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in [EF2], §3.5). In *loc. cit.*, it is proved that the space $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}} := \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^{\wedge}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{cm}^{\text{DR}}$. One checks that $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^{\wedge}$ and $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ are Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g}^{DR} .

(b) In [EF2], Lemma 3.9, (c), a Lie algebra morphism denoted $\mathfrak{cm}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, $(\nu, x) \mapsto (\text{der}_{(\nu, x)}^{\mathcal{V}, (1), \text{DR}}, \text{der}_{(\nu, x)}^{\mathcal{V}, (10), \text{DR}})$ is constructed. By [EF2], Lemma 3.9 (a), $\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \times \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, so that the projection on the first factor of this product is a Lie algebra morphism. Post-composing the Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{cm}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with this projection and pre-composing it with the inclusion of \mathcal{V}_0 , one obtains a Lie algebra morphism $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$, whose image can be shown to be contained in the Lie subalgebra $\text{Der}(\mathcal{V})$ of $\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$. The resulting map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{V})$ is therefore a Lie algebra morphism, and one checks it to be given by $x \mapsto \text{der}_x^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$. \square

Let $\theta : \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$ be the map defined by $\theta(x) := x - (x|e_0)e_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n)(x|e_0^{n-1}e_1)e_1^n$, where $((-|w))_{w \text{ word in } e_0, e_1}$ is the collection of maps $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x = \sum_{w \text{ word in } e_0, e_1} (x|w)w$.

Lemma 2.2. *The map θ induces a Lie algebra morphism $\theta : (\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$.*

Proof. It follows from [EF2], Lemma 3.8 (c), that the map $\theta : \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{em}^{\text{DR}}$ given by $(\nu, x) \mapsto (\nu, \theta(x))$ is a Lie algebra morphism. One checks that this map takes the subspace $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ of the source to the subspace \mathcal{V}_0 of the target, which implies the statement. \square

2.2. Lie algebra action of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$. Let $\mathcal{W} := \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathcal{V}e_1$, then $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a subalgebra (it is denoted \mathcal{W}^{DR} in [EF1], §1.1 when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}$).

Lemma 2.3. (a) *For $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ restricts to a derivation $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$ of \mathcal{W} .*

(b) *The map $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{W})$, $v \mapsto \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$ is a Lie algebra morphism.*

Proof. (a) follows from the statement preceding [EF2], Lemma 3.10; it is an immediate consequence of $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}(e_1) = 0$. (b) follows from (a) and from Lemma 2.1; this can also be derived from [EF2], Lemma 3.10, (b). \square

Lemma 2.4. *The \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is equipped with a $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ -module structure, the action of $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$ on $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ being given by*

$$(2.2.1) \quad v \cdot h := (\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}) \circ h - h \circ \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}.$$

For $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, we denote by $- \cdot h : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ the map $v \mapsto v \cdot h$.

Proof. Composing the Lie algebra morphism from Lemma 2.3 (b), the Lie algebra inclusion $\text{Der}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W})$, and the Lie algebra morphism $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}))$ given by $f \mapsto (h \mapsto (f \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes f) \circ h - h \circ f)$, one obtains a Lie algebra morphism $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}))$, i.e. a $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ -module structure over $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, which is given by the announced formula. \square

Recall that if $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra morphism and if V is a \mathfrak{g} -module, then V is equipped with a \mathfrak{h} -module structure by $y \bullet v := \varphi(y) \cdot v$, called the pull-back module of the latter structure by φ . In particular, the pull-back of the $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ -module structure from Lemma 2.4 on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ by θ is a $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ -module structure on the same vector space.

Recall that if \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, the data of a pair (V, v) of a \mathfrak{g} -module V and an element $v \in V$ gives rise to a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(v)$ of \mathfrak{g} , defined as $\{x \in \mathfrak{g} | x \cdot v = 0\}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.*

(a) *The stabilizer Lie subalgebra of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ of h is $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(h) = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_0 | v \cdot h = 0\}$.*

(b) *One has $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(h) = \theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(h))$.*

Proof. (a) is a specialization of the definition of a stabilizer Lie subalgebra. (b) is a consequence of the fact that if $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra morphism, if V is a \mathfrak{g} -module and if $v \in V$, then $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{h}}(v) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(v))$. \square

2.3. **Corestriction of $-\cdot h$ to $\text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.** Assume that $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.

Definition 2.6. $\text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is the set of derivations of \mathcal{W} with values in $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, viewed as a \mathcal{W} -bimodule using h ; explicitly, this is the set of \mathbb{Q} -linear maps $\delta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ such that $\delta(w w') = \delta(w)h(w') + h(w)\delta(w')$.

Lemma 2.7. *The map $D \mapsto (D \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D) \circ h - h \circ D$ defines a linear map $\text{Der}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.*

Proof. This follows from the facts that if $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{W})$, then $h \circ D \in \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ and $D \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, and if $D' \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, then $D' \circ h \in \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *For $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, one has $v \cdot h \in \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, so the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, $v \mapsto v \cdot h$ admits a factorization $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.*

Proof. Follows by application of Lemma 2.7 to $D := \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *If $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, then $\text{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(h) = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_0 \mid v \cdot h = 0 \text{ (equality in } \text{Der}_h(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}))\}$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.5 (a) and Corollary 2.8. \square

2.4. **The stabilizer Lie algebras $\text{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ and $\text{stab}_{\text{lic}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$.** Define the family of elements $(\tilde{y}_a)_{a \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathcal{W} by

$$\tilde{y}_a := e_0^{a-1} e_1 \text{ for } a > 0, \quad \tilde{y}_0 := -1, \quad \tilde{y}_a := 0 \text{ for } a < 0.$$

Lemma 2.10. ([EF1], §1 (2)) *There is an element $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ (denoted $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}}$ in [EF1]), uniquely determined by*

$$(2.4.1) \quad \forall n > 0, \quad \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) := - \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{y}_i \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i}.$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that the algebra \mathcal{W} is freely generated by $(\tilde{y}_a)_{a>0}$. \square

Note that (2.4.1) is also valid for $n = 0$.

Lemma 2.11. (a) *The stabilizer Lie subalgebra of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$ is $\text{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}) = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_0 \mid v \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} = 0 \text{ (equality in } \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}))\}$.*

(b) *One has $\text{stab}_{\text{lic}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}) = \theta^{-1}(\text{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}))$.*

Proof. (a) follows by specialization from Lemma 2.9. (b) follows by specialization from Lemma 2.5, (b). \square

2.5. Relation with the Lie algebra $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2]. Recall that the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ is \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded. Denote by $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge, \langle, \rangle)$ its graded completion. Both Lie algebras are equipped with an action of the abelian Lie $\mathbb{Q}1$, the element $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ acting by the grading action (multiplying each graded element by its degree). By [EF2], Lemma 3.8 (b), the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^{DR} defined in [EF2], §3.5 is equal to the corresponding semidirect product, so that

$$(2.5.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}^{\text{DR}} = \mathbb{Q}1 \ltimes (\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge, \langle, \rangle).$$

Proposition 2.12. (a) *The Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ of $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ is graded. Denote by $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})^\wedge$ its graded completion.*

(b) *The Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})^\wedge$ of $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge, \langle, \rangle)$ is stable under the action of the abelian Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}1$.*

(c) *The Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ of \mathfrak{g}^{DR} from [EF2], §3.5 is equal to the corresponding semidirect product, i.e.*

$$\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}) = \mathbb{Q}1 \ltimes \mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})^\wedge.$$

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is equipped with a \mathbb{Z} -grading, compatible with the grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ and with its action on it, and that the element $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is homogeneous (of degree 0). (b) then follows from (a). Lemma 3.12 (d) in [EF2] implies that $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ is the semidirect product of $\mathbb{Q}1$ with the degree completion of the Lie subalgebra $\{x \in \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1) | (\Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} = \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}}\}$ of $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$. By the first equation of (3.5.4) in [EF2], $\Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)} = \text{der}_{\theta(0,x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$, so the latter Lie algebra is $\{x \in \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1) | (\text{der}_{\theta(x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \text{der}_{\theta(x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \text{der}_{\theta(x)}^{\mathcal{W},(1)} = 0\}$, which by Lemma 2.11, (a) is equal to $\theta^{-1}(\mathbf{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}))$, therefore by Lemma 2.11, (b) equal to $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$. This implies (c). \square

3. THE STABILIZER LIE ALGEBRA $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$

This section deals with the Lie algebra $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2]. In §3.1, we recall from [EF1] the \mathcal{W} -module \mathcal{M}^{DR} (here denoted \mathcal{M}) and we construct a Lie algebra action of \mathcal{V}_0 on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$. In §3.2, we recall from *loc. cit.* the definition of the element $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$ (henceforth denoted $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$) of this vector space, called the module harmonic coproduct. This leads to the construction of the stabilizer Lie algebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$, a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$. In §3.3, we show that the Lie algebra $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2] can be obtained from $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ via degree completion and semidirect product with $\mathbb{Q}1$ for the grading action (Proposition 3.5). In §3.4, we recall the relation between $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ and the set of primitive elements of \mathcal{M} for $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ (Theorem 3.1 in [EF0]).

3.1. Lie algebra action of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$. When equipped with the left regular action, \mathcal{V} may be viewed as a graded module over the graded algebra \mathcal{V} . Then \mathcal{V}_{e_0} is a

graded submodule of this \mathcal{V} -module. We denote by

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}e_0$$

the corresponding quotient graded module. We denote by $1_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{M}$ the image of $1 \in \mathcal{V}$. Then the canonical projection $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the map $v \mapsto v \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}$, which we denote by $-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}$ (the module \mathcal{M} and its element $1_{\mathcal{M}}$ are denoted \mathcal{M}^{DR} , 1_{DR} in [EF1], §1.1).

For $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, define $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$ to be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space endomorphism of \mathcal{V} such that

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{V}, \quad \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(10)}(a) = \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}(a) + av.$$

For any $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$ preserves the subspace $\mathcal{V}e_0$, therefore induces an endomorphism $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$ of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 3.1. *The maps $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{V})$, $v \mapsto \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$ and $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M})$, $v \mapsto \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$ are Lie algebra morphisms.*

Proof. By [EF2], Lemma 3.9 (c), the map $\mathbf{cm}^{\text{DR}} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}})$, $(\nu, x) \mapsto (\text{der}_{(\nu,v)}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR},(1)}, \text{der}_{(\nu,v)}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR},(10)})$ is a Lie algebra morphism. Precomposing it with the Lie algebra injection $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \subset \mathbf{cm}^{\text{DR}}$, $v \mapsto (0, v)$ and post-composing it with the sequence of Lie algebra morphisms $\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}) \subset \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}) \times \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}})$, where the second map is the projection on the second factor, one sees that the map $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}})$, $v \mapsto \text{der}_{(0,v)}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR},(10)}$ is a Lie algebra morphism. There is a diagram of Lie algebras $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{DR}}) \supset \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathcal{V})[n] \subset \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$, where $-[n]$ denotes the part of degree n . One checks that $\text{der}_{(0,v)}^{\mathcal{V},\text{DR},(10)}$ belongs to the Lie subalgebra $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathcal{V})[n]$, and that the composition of the resulting morphism $(\mathcal{V}, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathcal{V})[n]$ with the inclusion $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathcal{V})[n] \subset \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is the map $v \mapsto \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(10)}$, which is therefore a Lie algebra morphism. The same argument applies with \mathcal{M} replacing \mathcal{V} , using [EF2], Lemma 3.10, (b) instead of [EF2], Lemma 3.9 (c). \square

Lemma 3.2. *The \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ is equipped with a $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ -module structure, the action of $v \in \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ on $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ being given by*

$$(3.1.1) \quad v * h := (\text{der}_{\theta(v)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{der}_{\theta(v)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}) \circ h - h \circ \text{der}_{\theta(v)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}.$$

Proof. Composing the Lie algebra morphisms θ from Lemma 2.2, $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M})$ from Lemma 3.1 and $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}))$ given by $f \mapsto (f \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes f) \circ h - h \circ f$, one obtains a Lie algebra morphism $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}))$, i.e. a $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$ -module structure over $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$, which is given by the announced formula. \square

3.2. The stabilizer Lie algebra $\text{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$. The \mathbb{Q} -vector space \mathcal{M} is a free \mathcal{W} -module of rank 1 generated by $1_{\mathcal{M}}$ (see [EF1], §1.1). It follows that there is an element $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$, uniquely determined by

$$(3.2.1) \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{W}, \quad \Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(w \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}) = \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(w) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2}$$

(see [EF1], §1.2, where this element is denoted $\Delta^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}$).

Lemma 3.3. (a) *The stabilizer Lie algebra of $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ for the action (3.1.1) is*

$$\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}) = \{v \in \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1) \mid v * \Delta^{\mathcal{M}} = 0\}.$$

(b) $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ *is a graded Lie subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$.*

Proof. (a) follows from definitions. (b) follows from the facts that \mathcal{M} , and therefore $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ is a graded module over $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$, and that the element $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ of the latter module is homogeneous (of degree 0). \square

Remark 3.4. As explained in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the map $(v, h) \mapsto (\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{M},(10)} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{M},(10)}) \circ h - h \circ \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$ defines an action of the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ on the vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ so that as in Lemma 2.11, one may define the stabilizer Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ of $(\mathcal{V}_0, \langle, \rangle)$ and show that $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ is its preimage under θ . Oppositely to $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$, the Lie algebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ does not play a role in the sequel of the paper.

3.3. Relation with the Lie algebra $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ from [EF2]. Recall that $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge, \langle, \rangle)$ denotes the degree completion of the \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$. Denote by $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})^\wedge$ the degree completion of its \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ (see Lemma 3.3 (b)).

Recall that $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge, \langle, \rangle)$ is equipped with the grading action of the abelian Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}1$, and the identification of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^{DR} from [EF2], §3.5 with the corresponding semidirect product (see (2.5.1)). The following statement is an analogue of Proposition 2.12.

Proposition 3.5. (a) *The Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})^\wedge$ of $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge, \langle, \rangle)$ is stable under the action of the abelian Lie algebra $\mathbb{Q}1$.*

(b) *The Lie algebra isomorphism (2.5.1) restricts to an isomorphism of the Lie subalgebra $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ of \mathfrak{g}^{DR} from [EF2], §3.5 with the corresponding semidirect product, i.e.*

$$\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}) = \mathbb{Q}1 \ltimes \mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})^\wedge.$$

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})^\wedge$ is graded complete. Lemma 3.12 (e) in [EF2] implies that $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ is the semidirect product of $\mathbb{Q}1$ with the degree completion of the Lie subalgebra $\{x \in \mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1) \mid (\Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{M}} = \Delta^{\mathcal{M}} \circ \Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}\}$ of $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$. By the second equation of (3.5.4) in [EF2], $\Gamma \text{der}_{(0,x)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)} = \text{der}_{\theta(0,x)}^{\mathcal{M},(10)}$, which combined with Lemma 3.2 implies that this Lie algebra is equal to $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})^\wedge$. This implies (b). \square

3.4. Relation of $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ with $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ (Theorem 3.10 from [EF0]).

Lemma 3.6. *The set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) := \{m \in \mathcal{M} \mid \Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(m) = m \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} + 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes m\}$ is a graded subspace of \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. Follows from the fact that $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ is graded. \square

Then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ is the set of primitive elements of $(\mathcal{M}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$, which is a cocommutative coalgebra. One has

$$(3.4.1) \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Ker}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - (\text{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} + 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \text{id}) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}),$$

where the map $\text{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} + 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \text{id} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}$ is defined by $m \mapsto m \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} + 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes m$.

For $d \geq 1$, we define $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)[\check{d}] := \{x \in \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1) | x[d] = 0\}$, where we recall that $x[d]$ is the degree d component of an element $x \in \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)$. Then $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)[\check{d}]$ is the direct sum of all the homogeneous components of $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)$ of degree $\neq d$.

Proposition 3.7. $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ is the intersection with $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)[\check{2}]$ of the preimage of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ by the composed map $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1) \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{-1_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M}$, i.e.

$$(3.4.2) \quad \mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}) = \theta^{-1} \left((- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})) \right) \cap \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)[\check{2}].$$

In other terms, $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1) | x[2] = 0 \text{ and } \theta(x) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})\}$.

Proof. Combining Definitions 3.1 and 3.2 and Theorem 3.10 from [EF0] in the case $\Gamma = \{1\}$, one obtains the equality

$$(3.4.3)$$

$$\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star}) = \{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) | (\psi|x_0) = (\psi|x_1) = 0, (\psi_{\star}|y_{2,1}) = 0, \Delta_{\star}(\psi_{\star}) = \psi_{\star} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_{\star}\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}x_1,$$

where $\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star})$ and $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X)$ are the graded Lie algebras defined in [EF0], §2.5 and §2.1.1, the maps $\psi \mapsto (\psi|x_0)$, $\psi \mapsto (\psi|x_1)$ and $\tilde{\psi} \mapsto (\tilde{\psi}|y_{2,1})$ are defined in [R], §1.6, the map $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$, $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\star}$ is defined in [EF0], (2.5), where $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$ is the graded algebra defined in [EF0], §2.2, and $\Delta_{\star} : \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle^{\otimes 2}$ is the graded coproduct defined in [EF0], §2.2.

Both $\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star})$ and $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) | \Delta_{\star}(\psi_{\star}) = \psi_{\star} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_{\star}\}$ are graded subspaces of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X)$ and (3.4.3) implies that their components of any degree $d \neq 1, 2$ are equal. (3.4.3) also implies that $\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star})[1] = \mathbb{Q}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}x_1$, while the fact that any element of $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$ of degree one is primitive for Δ_{\star} , together with the fact that $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\star}$ is graded, implies $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) | \Delta_{\star}(\psi_{\star}) = \psi_{\star} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_{\star}\}[1] = \mathbb{Q}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}x_1$, therefore the degree 1 components of $\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star})$ and $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) | \Delta_{\star}(\psi_{\star}) = \psi_{\star} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_{\star}\}$ are equal. Finally, $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X)[2]$ is one-dimensional, spanned by $[x_0, x_1]$. One computes $[x_0, x_1]_{\star} = y_{2,1} - (1/2)(y_{1,1})^2$, which is primitive for Δ_{\star} , therefore $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) | \Delta_{\star}(\psi_{\star}) = \psi_{\star} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_{\star}\}[2] = \mathbb{Q} \cdot [x_0, x_1]$. On the other hand, $[x_0, x_1]_{\star} = y_{2,1} - (1/2)(y_{1,1})^2$ also implies $([x_0, x_1]_{\star}|y_{2,1}) = 1$, which together with (3.4.3) implies $\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star})[2] = 0$. Putting together these results, one obtains

$$(3.4.4) \quad \mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_{\star}) = \{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) | \psi[2] = 0 \text{ and } \Delta_{\star}(\psi_{\star}) = \psi_{\star} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_{\star}\}.$$

The map $x_0 \mapsto e_0, x_1 \mapsto -e_1$ defines a Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)$. The map taking, for any $r \geq 0$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, the element $y_{n_1,1} \cdots y_{n_r,1} \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$ to $(-1)^r e_0^{n_1-1} e_1 \cdots e_0^{n_r-1} e_1 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{M}$ defines a vector space isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with $1 \mapsto$

$1_{\mathcal{M}}$. These isomorphisms intertwine the maps $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$, $\psi \mapsto \psi_*$ and $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1) \mapsto \mathcal{M}$, $x \mapsto \theta(x) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}$. The latter isomorphism also intertwines the products $\Delta_* : \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle^{\otimes 2}$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}$. All this implies that the images by the isomorphism $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)$ of the subspaces $\mathfrak{stab}(\Delta_*)$, $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) \mid \psi[2] = 0\}$ and $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X) \mid \Delta_*(\psi_*) = \psi_* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_*\}$ of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{ib}(X)$ are respectively the subspaces $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$, $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)[\check{2}]$ and $\{x \in \mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1) \mid \theta(x) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})\}$ of $\mathfrak{lic}(e_0, e_1)$. The result then follows from applying this isomorphism to (3.4.4). \square

4. DECOMPOSITION OF THE MAP $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$

In this section, we make explicit a decomposition of the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$. Its constituents \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{i} are defined respectively in §§4.1, 4.2 and 4.3. The identification of the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ with $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{H}$ is obtained in §4.4 (Proposition 4.10).

4.1. The map $\mathbf{H} : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$. Since \mathcal{V} is freely generated by e_0, e_1 , a basis of \mathcal{V}_0 is

$$(4.1.1) \quad e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_1 e_0^{a_l-1}, \quad \text{where } l \geq 0, \quad a_0, \dots, a_l > 0 \quad \text{and } a_0 > 1 \quad \text{if } l = 0.$$

Definition 4.1. (a) For $k > 0$, $L_k, R_k : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ are the linear maps such that

$$(4.1.2) \quad L_k(e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_1 e_0^{a_l-1}) = \delta_{a_l, k} (\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \text{id} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \text{id})(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}}),$$

$$(4.1.3) \quad R_k(e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_1 e_0^{a_l-1}) = \delta_{a_0, k} (\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \text{id} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \text{id})(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}),$$

for any $l \geq 0$ and $a_0, \dots, a_l > 0$ such that $a_0 > 1$ if $l = 0$.

(b) For $i > 0$, $M_i : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is the linear map such that

$$(4.1.4) \quad M_i(e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_1 e_0^{a_l-1}) = \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-i} - \tilde{y}_{a_0-i} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}$$

for any $l \geq 0$ and $a_0, \dots, a_l > 0$ such that $a_0 > 1$ if $l = 0$; recall that $\tilde{y}_0 = -1$ and $\tilde{y}_a = 0$ for $a < 0$.

Definition 4.2. $\mathbf{H} : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$ is the linear map

$$\mathbf{H} := (\oplus_{k \geq 0} L_{k+1}) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} R_{k+1}) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} M_{i+1}).$$

Explicitly, if $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, then

$$\mathbf{H}(v) = ((L_{k+1}(v))_{k \geq 0}, (R_{k+1}(v))_{k \geq 0}, (M_{i+1}(v))_{i \geq 0}) \in (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}).$$

4.2. The map $\mathbf{h} : (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$. The set $\text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ of maps from $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ to $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ is equipped with the \mathbb{Q} -vector space structure inherited from the vector space structure of $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$. Let Δ be the element of $\text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ defined by

$$(4.2.1) \quad \forall n > 0, \quad \Delta(n) := -\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{y}_i \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Lemma 4.3. (a) For any $k \geq 0$, there is a unique pair of linear maps $\tilde{\ell}_k, \tilde{r}_k : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, such that

(4.2.2)

$$\forall w \in \mathcal{W}, \quad \forall n > 0, \quad \tilde{\ell}_k(w)(n) := w\Delta(n+k), \quad \tilde{r}_k(w)(n) := \Delta(n+k)w \quad (\text{equality in } \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}).$$

(b) For any $i \geq 0$, there is a unique linear map $m_i : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, such that

(4.2.3)
$$\forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad \forall n > 0, \quad \tilde{m}_i(v)(n) := v \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+i} + \tilde{y}_{n+i} \otimes v \quad (\text{equality in } \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}).$$

Proof. The follows from the fact that the right-hand sides of the equalities of (4.2.2) depend linearly on $w \in \mathcal{W}$, and the right-hand side of the equality in (4.2.3) depends linearly on $v \in \mathcal{V}$. \square

Definition 4.4. $\mathbf{h} : (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is the linear map

$$\mathbf{h} := (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\ell}_k) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} -\tilde{r}_k) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{m}_i).$$

Explicitly, if $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) \in (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$, with $\underline{a} = (a_k)_{k \geq 0}$, $\underline{b} = (b_k)_{k \geq 0}$, $\underline{z} = (z_i)_{i \geq 0}$, then $\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})$ is the element of $\text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ such that

(4.2.4)
$$\forall n > 0, \quad \mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (a_k \Delta(n+k) - \Delta(n+k) b_k) + \sum_{i \geq 0} (z_i \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+i} + \tilde{y}_{n+i} \otimes z_i),$$

where for $n > 0$, $\Delta(n)$ is given by (4.2.1).

4.3. The isomorphism $\mathbf{i} : \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.

Definition 4.5. $\text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is the set of derivations of \mathcal{W} with values in $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, viewed as a \mathcal{W} -bimodule using $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$; explicitly, this is the set of \mathbb{Q} -linear maps $\delta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ such that $\delta(w w') = \delta(w) \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(w') + \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(w) \delta(w')$.

Lemma 4.6. (a) For $(\delta_n)_{n > 0} \in \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, there is a unique element $\mathbf{i}((\delta_n)_{n > 0}) \in \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ such that for $l \geq 0$, $n_1, \dots, n_l > 0$,

$$\mathbf{i}((\delta_n)_{n > 0})(\tilde{y}_{n_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{n_l}) = \sum_{i=1}^l \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{n_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{n_{i-1}}) \delta_{n_i} \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{n_{i+1}} \cdots \tilde{y}_{n_l}).$$

(b) The map $\mathbf{i} : \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is a vector space isomorphism, inverse to the map $\text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ given by $\delta \mapsto (\delta(\tilde{y}_n))_{n > 0}$.

Proof. (a) Since \mathcal{W} is freely generated, as an algebra, by the family $(\tilde{y}_n)_{n > 0}$, a vector space basis of \mathcal{W} is the family $(\tilde{y}_{n_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{n_l})_{l \geq 0, n_1, \dots, n_l > 0}$ (the empty product, corresponding to $l = 0$, being equal to 1). It follows that $\mathbf{i}((\delta_n)_{n > 0})$ is well-defined as an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vec}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$. One checks that $\mathbf{i}((\delta_n)_{n > 0})$ belongs to $\text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.

(b) One checks that the map \mathbf{i} is linear. Let ev be the map $\delta \mapsto (\delta(\tilde{y}_n))_{n > 0}$. Then for $(\delta_n)_{n > 0} \in \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, the element $\text{ev} \circ \mathbf{i}((\delta_n)_{n > 0})$ is the map taking $n > 0$ to $\mathbf{i}((\delta_n)_{n > 0})(\delta_n) = \delta_n$ so $\text{ev} \circ \mathbf{i} = \text{id}$. For $\delta \in \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, $\mathbf{i} \circ \text{ev}(\delta)$ and δ are elements

of $\text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ whose restrictions to the set $\{y_n | n > 0\}$, which is a generating set of \mathcal{W} coincide, therefore they are equal. It follows that $\mathbf{i} \circ \text{ev} = \text{id}$. \square

4.4. Decomposition of the map $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$.

Lemma 4.7. *If $l \geq 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_l > 0$ with $a_0 > 1$ if $l = 0$ and $v := e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_l e_0^{a_l-1} \in \mathcal{V}_0$, then the derivation $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$ of \mathcal{W} is such that*

$$\forall n > 0, \quad \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}(\tilde{y}_n) = \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1+n} - \tilde{y}_{a_0-1+n} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}.$$

Proof. In the following computation in \mathcal{V} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}(\tilde{y}_n) &= \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}(\tilde{y}_n) = \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}(e_0^{n-1} e_1) = [v, e_0^{n-1}] e_1 = v e_0^{n-1} e_1 - e_0^{n-1} v e_1 \\ &= e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_l e_0^{a_l+n-2} e_1 - e_0^{a_0+n-2} e_1 \cdots e_l e_0^{a_l-1} e_1 = \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l+n-1} - \tilde{y}_{a_0+n-1} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}, \end{aligned}$$

the first equality follows from the fact that $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}$ is the restriction of $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ to \mathcal{W} , the second and last equalities follow from the definition of \tilde{y}_n (see §2.4), and the third equality follows from the definition of $\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{V},(1)}$ (§2.1). As $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$, the resulting identity holds in \mathcal{W} , as claimed. \square

Lemma 4.8. *For $l \geq 0$, $a_0, \dots, a_l > 0$ with $a_0 > 1$ if $l = 0$, $v := e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_l e_0^{a_l-1} \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and for $n > 0$, there holds (equality in $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$)*

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) &= \sum_{j \geq 0} m_j (\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1-j} - \tilde{y}_{a_0-1-j} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})(\tilde{y}_n) \\ &+ \left(-\ell_{a_l-1}(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}}) + r_{a_0-1}(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}) \right) (\tilde{y}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One computes

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4.1) \quad & (\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) = -(\text{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \text{id}) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{y}_i \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} \right) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1+i} - \tilde{y}_{a_0-1+i} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}) \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1-a_l}^n \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1+i} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} + \sum_{i=1-a_l}^0 \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1+i} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1-a_0}^n \tilde{y}_{a_0-1+i} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} - \sum_{i=1-a_0}^0 \tilde{y}_{a_0-1+i} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{a_l-1} \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1-j} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+j} - \sum_{i=0}^{a_0-1} \tilde{y}_{a_0-1-j} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+j} \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{n+a_l-1} \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_s \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+a_l-1-s} + \sum_{t=0}^{n+a_0-1} \tilde{y}_t \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+a_0-1-t} \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (2.4.1), the second equality follows from Lemma 4.7, the third equality follows from the addition of cancelling terms, and the last equality follows from the changes of variables $j := -i$, $s := a_l - 1 + i$ and $t := a_0 - 1 + i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) = -(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}}) \Delta(n + a_l - 1) \\
& + \Delta(n + a_0 - 1)(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}) \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{a_l-1} (\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1-j} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+j} + \tilde{y}_{n+j} \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1-j}) \\
& - \sum_{i=0}^{a_0-1} (\tilde{y}_{a_0-1-j} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+j} + \tilde{y}_{n+j} \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_0-1-j} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}). \\
& = -\ell_{a_l-1}(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}})(\tilde{y}_n) + r_{a_0-1}(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})(\tilde{y}_n) \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{a_l-1} m_j(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1-j})(\tilde{y}_n) - \sum_{j=0}^{a_0-1} m_j(\tilde{y}_{a_0-1-j} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})(\tilde{y}_n)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the symmetrization of (4.4.1) with respect to the exchange of factors of $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, together with the identification of the last line of this equation with $-(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \otimes 1) \Delta(n + a_l - 1) + \Delta(n + a_0 - 1)(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l} \otimes 1)$, and the second equality follows from (4.2.2) and (4.2.3). The statement then follows from $\tilde{y}_a = 0$ for $a < 0$ and the linearity of m_j for $j \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *For $l \geq 0$, $a_0, \dots, a_l > 0$ with $a_0 > 1$ if $l = 0$, $v := e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_l e_0^{a_l-1} \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and for $n > 0$, there holds*

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}(\tilde{y}_n) = \left(-\ell_{a_l-1}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}})) + r_{a_0-1}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})) \right) (\tilde{y}_n) \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Proof. One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}(\tilde{y}_n) &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1+n} - \tilde{y}_{a_0-1+n} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}) \\
&= -\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}}) \Delta(a_l - 1 + n) + \Delta(a_0 - 1 + n) \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}) \\
&= \left(-\ell_{a_l-1}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}})) + r_{a_0-1}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})) \right) (\tilde{y}_n).
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4.7, the second equality follows from the algebra morphism property of $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$ and (4.2.1), and the last equality follows from (4.2.2). \square

Proposition 4.10. *The map $-\cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ (see (2.2.1)) is equal to the composed map*

$$\mathcal{V}_0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}} (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$$

Proof. Let $n > 0$ and let $l \geq 0$, $a_0, \dots, a_l > 0$ with $a_0 > 1$ if $l = 0$, and $v := e_0^{a_0-1} e_1 \cdots e_l e_0^{a_l-1} \in \mathcal{V}_0$. Then

$$(4.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (v \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}})(\tilde{y}_n) &= (\mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)} \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) - \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \mathrm{der}_v^{\mathcal{W},(1)}(\tilde{y}_n) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} m_j (\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}} \tilde{y}_{a_l-1-j} - \tilde{y}_{a_0-1-j} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})(\tilde{y}_n) \\ &\quad + \left(\ell_{a_l-1}((\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \mathrm{id})(\tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}})) - r_{a_0-1}((\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \mathrm{id})(\tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l})) \right) (\tilde{y}_n) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (2.2.1) and the second equality from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9 and from the linearity of ℓ_{a_l-1} and r_{a_0-1} . Using (4.1.2), (4.1.3), (4.1.4) and $L_k(v) = \delta_{k,a_l} \tilde{y}_{a_0} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_{l-1}}$, $R_k(v) = \delta_{k,a_0} \tilde{y}_{a_1} \cdots \tilde{y}_{a_l}$, this implies

$$(4.4.3) \quad (v \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}})(\tilde{y}_n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \ell_k \circ L_{k+1}(v)(\tilde{y}_n) - \sum_{k \geq 0} r_k \circ R_{k+1}(v)(\tilde{y}_n) + \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i \circ M_{i+1}(v)(\tilde{y}_n) \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}.$$

For each $n > 0$, both sides of this identity depend linearly on $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, and since this identity is fulfilled for any v in the family (4.1.1), which is a basis of \mathcal{V}_0 , it holds for any $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$. Then for $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$, $v \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\sum_{k \geq 0} \ell_k \circ L_{k+1}(v) - \sum_{k \geq 0} r_k \circ R_{k+1}(v) + \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i \circ M_{i+1}(v)$ are elements of $\mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$, and their images by the map $\mathbf{i} : \mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ are the maps taking $n > 0$ to respectively the left and right hand sides of (4.4.3). By (4.4.3), these images are equal, and the injectivity of \mathbf{i} (see Lemma 4.6) then implies the equality

$$(4.4.4) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad v \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \ell_k \circ L_{k+1}(v) - \sum_{k \geq 0} r_k \circ R_{k+1}(v) + \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i \circ M_{i+1}(v) \in \mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}),$$

which by Definitions 4.2 and 4.4 implies the statement. \square

5. STUDY OF THE CONSTITUENTS \mathbf{h} AND \mathbf{H} OF THE MAP $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$

In this section, we study the maps \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{H} . The first main result is the computation of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$. To obtain it, one first defines endomorphisms and degrees on the algebras \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ (§5.1). These are used to construct sequences which are shown to be convergent in the discrete topology (i.e. eventually constant) in §5.2. The opposite analogues of the results of §§5.1 and 5.2 are obtained in §5.3, and the results of §§5.1-5.3 are put together in §5.4 to obtain the computation of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$ (Proposition 5.15). The second main result is a commutative square relating \mathbf{H} with $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ (§5.5, Proposition 5.16).

5.1. The endomorphisms ∂_n and degrees \deg , $\deg^{(1)}$ and $\deg^{(2)}$.

Lemma 5.1. (a) For each $n > 0$, there is a linear endomorphism ∂_n of \mathcal{W} , uniquely determined by the conditions $\partial_n(a\tilde{y}_m) = \delta_{nm}a$ for any $a \in \mathcal{W}$ and $m > 0$, and $\partial_n(1) = 0$.

(b) One has

$$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{W}, \quad \partial_n(ab) = a\partial_n(b) + \partial_n(a)\epsilon(b).$$

where $\epsilon : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the projection of \mathcal{W} on its degree 0 part.

Proof. (a) As the algebra \mathcal{W} is freely generated by the family $(\tilde{y}_n)_{n>0}$, a basis is given by the set of all the words in these elements. For each $n > 0$, the conditions uniquely determine the image of this basis, which determines ∂_n uniquely. (b) can be checked for a, b elements of this basis, which by linearity implies its validity for any a, b . \square

Lemma-Definition 5.2. (a) One has $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k>0} \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k)$.

(b) For $a \in \mathcal{W}$, one defines $\deg(a) := \min\{d \geq 0 \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k)\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that \mathcal{W} is freely generated by the family $(\tilde{y}_n)_{n>0}$. It implies that the family $(\mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k))_{d \geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence of subsets of \mathcal{W} whose union is \mathcal{W} , which justifies the definition (b). \square

Lemma 5.3. For $a \in \mathcal{W}$, one has $\partial_n(a) = 0$ for any $n > \deg(a)$.

Proof. One has $a \in \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^{\deg(a)} \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k)$, and the restriction of ∂_n to this space is 0 for any $n > \deg(a)$. \square

Lemma-Definition 5.4. (a) One has $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{W} \oplus (\oplus_{k>0} \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k \otimes \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k>0} \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k)$.

(b) For $a \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, one defines $\deg^{(1)}(a) := \min\{d \geq 0 \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{W} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k \otimes \mathcal{W})\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\deg^{(2)}(a) := \min\{d \geq 0 \mid a \in \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k)\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. (a) follows from Lemma-Definition 5.2, (a). It implies that $(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{W} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k \otimes \mathcal{W}))_{d \geq 0}$ and $(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k))_{d \geq 0}$ both are increasing sequences of subsets of $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ with union $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, which justifies the definition in (b). \square

Lemma 5.5. For any $a \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, one has

$$(\partial_n \otimes \text{id})(a) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > \deg^{(1)}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{id} \otimes \partial_n)(a) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > \deg^{(2)}(a).$$

Proof. One has $a \in (\mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^{\deg^{(1)}(a)} \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k)) \otimes \mathcal{W}$, and the restriction of $\partial_n \otimes \text{id}$ to this space is 0 for $n > \deg^{(1)}(a)$. Similarly, $a \in \mathcal{W} \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^{\deg^{(2)}(a)} \mathcal{W}\tilde{y}_k))$, and the restriction of $\text{id} \otimes \partial_n$ to this space is 0 for $n > \deg^{(2)}(a)$. \square

5.2. Convergence results in the discrete topology. Recall that any set S can be equipped with its *discrete topology*. A sequence $(s_n)_{n>0}$ with values in S is *convergent* in this topology iff there exists $s \in S$, such that $s_n = s$ for all but finitely many values of n . If $(s_n)_{n>0}$ is convergent, an element $s \in S$ with this property is necessarily unique and called the *limit* of $(s_n)_{n>0}$.

Lemma 5.6. If $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) \in (\oplus_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$, then for any $k \geq 0$, the sequence $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \ni n \mapsto (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n)) \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ is convergent in the discrete topology of $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, with limit $a_k - \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_k)1^{\otimes 2}$, where $\underline{a} = (a_l)_{l \geq 0}$, $\underline{b} = (b_l)_{l \geq 0}$.

Proof. Define $z_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for $i \geq 0$ by $\underline{z} = (z_i)_{i \geq 0} \in \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}$. Since the sequence $(b_l)_{l \geq 0}$ takes values zero for all but a finite number of indices, the same is true of the sequences $(\deg^{(1)}(b_l))_{l \geq 0}$, $(\deg^{(2)}(b_l))_{l \geq 0}$, so these sequences are bounded. Since the sequence $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ takes values zero for all but a finite number of indices, the same is true of the sequence $(\deg(z_i))_{i \geq 0}$, so this sequence is bounded. Set

$$N(\underline{b}, \underline{z}) := \max\left\{\max\{\deg^{(1)}(b_l) | l \geq 0\}, \max\{\deg^{(2)}(b_l) | l \geq 0\}, \max\{\deg(z_i) | i \geq 0\}\right\}.$$

Let $n > N(\underline{b}, \underline{z})$ and let $k \geq 0$.

For any $l \geq 0$, one has $(\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(a_l \Delta(2n+l)) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+l} a_l \delta_{i,n} \delta_{2n+l-i, n+k}$ therefore

$$(5.2.1) \quad \forall l \geq 0, \quad (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(a_l \Delta(2n+l)) = \delta_{kl} a_k.$$

Let $l \geq 0$. One has $n > \deg^{(1)}(b_l)$ and $n+k > \deg^{(2)}(b_l)$, which by Lemma 5.5 implies $(\partial_n \otimes \text{id})(b_l) = (\text{id} \otimes \partial_{n+k})(b_l) = 0$ and therefore

$$(5.2.2) \quad (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(b_l) = (\epsilon \otimes \partial_{n+k})(b_l) = (\partial_n \otimes \epsilon)(b_l) = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\Delta(2n+l)b_l) &= \Delta(2n+l)(\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(b_l) + (\partial_n \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(2n+l))(\epsilon \otimes \partial_{n+k})(b_l) \\ &\quad + (\text{id} \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\Delta(2n+l))(\partial_n \otimes \epsilon)(b_l) + (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\Delta(2n+l))\epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_l) \\ &= (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\Delta(2n+l))\epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_l) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n+l} \delta_{i,n} \delta_{2n+l-i, n+k}\right)\epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_l)1^{\otimes 2} = \delta_{kl}\epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_l)1^{\otimes 2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 5.1 (b), the second equality from (5.2.2) and the third equality from $\partial_k(\tilde{y}_i) = \delta_{ki}$ and the definition of $\Delta(2n+l)$. Therefore

$$(5.2.3) \quad \forall l \geq 0, \quad (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\Delta(2n+l)b_l) = \delta_{kl}\epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_l)1^{\otimes 2}.$$

For any $i \geq 0$, one has $\partial_n(z_i) = \partial_{n+k}(z_i) = 0$ by Lemma 5.3, therefore

$$(5.2.4) \quad \forall i \geq 0, \quad (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(z_i \otimes \tilde{y}_{2n+i} + \tilde{y}_{2n+i} \otimes z_i) = 0.$$

Subtracting the sum for all the values of $l \geq 0$ of (5.2.3) from the similar sum for (5.2.1), adding up the sum for all the values of $i \geq 0$ of (5.2.4), and applying $\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k}$ to the expression (4.2.4) of $\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n)$, one derives for any $k \geq 0$:

$$\forall n > N(\underline{b}, \underline{z}), \quad (\partial_n \otimes \partial_{n+k})(\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n)) = a_k - \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_k)1^{\otimes 2},$$

which implies the result. \square

Lemma 5.7. *If $\underline{z} = (z_j)_{j \geq 0} \in \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{W}$, then for any $i \geq 0$, the sequence $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \ni n \mapsto ((\epsilon \circ \partial_{n+i}) \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{h}(0, 0, \underline{z})(n)) \in \mathcal{W}$ is convergent in the discrete topology of \mathcal{W} , with limit z_i .*

Proof. If $\underline{z} \in \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{W}$, then the sequence $j \mapsto \deg(z_j)$ is bounded. Set $N(\underline{z}) := \max\{\deg(z_j) | j \geq 0\}$. Then if $n > N(\underline{z})$ and $i \geq 0$, then $n+i > N(\underline{z}) \geq \deg(z_i)$, which by Lemma 5.3 implies

$\partial_{n+i}(z_i) = 0$. Therefore

$$(5.2.5) \quad \text{if } n > N(\underline{z}) \text{ and } i \geq 0, \text{ then } \partial_{n+i}(z_i) = 0.$$

Then if $n > N(\underline{z})$ and $i \geq 0$,

$$((\epsilon \circ \partial_{n+i}) \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{h}(0, 0, \underline{z})(n)) = ((\epsilon \circ \partial_{n+i}) \otimes \text{id})(z_i \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+i} + \tilde{y}_{n+i} \otimes z_i) = 0 + z_i = z_i,$$

where the first equality follows from (4.2.4), and the second equation from $\partial_{n+i}(\tilde{y}_{n+i}) = 1$ and (5.2.5). This implies the result. \square

5.3. Opposite versions of the results of §§5.1 and 5.2.

Lemma 5.8. (a) For each $n > 0$, there is a linear endomorphism ∂'_n of \mathcal{W} , uniquely determined by the conditions $\partial'_n(\tilde{y}_m a) = \delta_{nm} a$ for any $a \in \mathcal{W}$ and $m > 0$, and $\partial'_n(1) = 0$.

(b) One has $\partial'_n(ab) = \partial'_n(a)b + \epsilon(a)\partial'_n(b)$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. There is a unique automorphism inv of the vector space \mathcal{W} , which is the identity on 1 and the \tilde{y}_m , $m \geq 1$ and satisfies $\text{inv}(ab) = \text{inv}(b)\text{inv}(a)$. One checks that for any $n \geq 1$, $\partial'_n = \text{inv} \circ \partial_n \circ \text{inv}$. The results then follow from Lemma 5.1. \square

Lemma-Definition 5.9. (a) One has $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k>0} \tilde{y}_k \mathcal{W})$.

(b) For $a \in \mathcal{W}$, one defines $\text{deg}'(a) := \min\{d \geq 0 \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \tilde{y}_k \mathcal{W})\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. (a) follows from Lemma-Definition 5.2 by applying inv (see proof of Lemma 5.8). Then $\text{deg}' = \text{deg} \circ \text{inv}$. \square

Lemma 5.10. For $a \in \mathcal{W}$, one has $\partial'_n(a) = 0$ for any $n > \text{deg}'(a)$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 5.3 and $\text{deg}' = \text{deg} \circ \text{inv}$. \square

Lemma-Definition 5.11. (a) One has $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{W} \oplus (\oplus_{k>0} \tilde{y}_k \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k>0} \mathcal{W} \otimes \tilde{y}_k \mathcal{W})$.

(b) For $a \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, one defines $\text{deg}'^{(1)}(a) := \min\{d \geq 0 \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{W} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \tilde{y}_k \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W})\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\text{deg}'^{(2)}(a) := \min\{d \geq 0 \mid a \in \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus (\oplus_{k=1}^d \mathcal{W} \otimes \tilde{y}_k \mathcal{W})\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. (a) follows from Lemma-Definition 5.4 by applying $\text{inv}^{\otimes 2}$. Then $\text{deg}'^{(1)} = \text{deg}^{(1)} \circ \text{inv}^{\otimes 2}$ and $\text{deg}'^{(2)} = \text{deg}^{(2)} \circ \text{inv}^{\otimes 2}$. \square

Lemma 5.12. For any $a \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, one has

$$(\partial'_n \otimes \text{id})(a) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > \text{deg}'^{(1)}(a) \quad \text{for } (\text{id} \otimes \partial'_n)(a) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > \text{deg}'^{(2)}(a).$$

Proof. Follows Lemma 5.5 by applied to $\text{inv}^{\otimes 2}(a)$. \square

Lemma 5.13. If $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) \in (\oplus_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$, then for any $k \geq 0$, the sequence $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \ni n \mapsto (\partial'_n \otimes \partial'_{n+k})(\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n)) \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ is convergent in the discrete topology of $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$, with limit $-b_k + \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(a_k)1^{\otimes 2}$, where $\underline{a} = (a_l)_{l \geq 0}$, $\underline{b} = (b_l)_{l \geq 0}$.

Proof. Set $\tilde{N}(\underline{a}, \underline{z}) := \max\{\max\{\deg^{(1)}(a_l) | l \geq 0\}, \max\{\deg^{(2)}(a_l) | l \geq 0\}, \max\{\deg'(z_i) | i \geq 0\}\}$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.6, and using Lemmas 5.10 and 5.13, one proves

$$\forall k \geq 0, \quad \forall n > \tilde{N}(\underline{a}, \underline{z}), \quad (\partial'_n \otimes \partial'_{n+k})(\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n)) = -b_k + \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(a_k)1^{\otimes 2}$$

which implies the result. \square

5.4. Computation of $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$.

Definition 5.14. $\mathbf{j} : \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$ is the linear map given by $\underline{c} \mapsto (\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0)$.

Proposition 5.15. *The sequence $\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}} (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ is exact.*

Proof. Using (4.2.4), one shows $\mathbf{h}(\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0) = 0$ for any $\underline{c} \in \oplus_{l \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}$, which implies $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{j} = 0$. It follows that $\text{Im}(\mathbf{j}) \subset \text{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$. Let us prove the opposite inclusion. If $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) \in (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W})$ belongs to $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$, then $\mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) = 0$, which implies that for any $k \geq 0$, the sequence $((\partial'_n \otimes \partial'_{n+k}) \circ \mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n))_{n > 0}$ is zero. Lemma 5.6 and the uniqueness of the limit of a convergent series in the discrete topology then implies

$$(5.4.1) \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad a_k = \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_k)1^{\otimes 2}.$$

Similarly, for any $k \geq 0$, the sequence $((\partial'_n \otimes \partial'_{n+k}) \circ \mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z})(2n))_{n > 0}$ is zero. Lemma 5.13 and the same uniqueness principle then implies

$$(5.4.2) \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad b_k = \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(a_k)1^{\otimes 2}.$$

Equations (5.4.1) and (5.4.2) imply that for any $k \geq 0$, $\epsilon^{\otimes 2}(a_k) = \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_k)$, and if one sets $c_k := \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(a_k) = \epsilon^{\otimes 2}(b_k) \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $a_k = c_k 1^{\otimes 2} = b_k$. Set now $\underline{c} := (c_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}$, then $\underline{a} = \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2} = \underline{b}$. Then $0 = \mathbf{h}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) = \mathbf{h}(\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0) + \mathbf{h}(0, 0, \underline{z}) = \mathbf{h}(0, 0, \underline{z})$, where the first (resp. second, third) equality follows from $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$ (resp. $\underline{a} = \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2} = \underline{b}$, $(\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0) \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$). Therefore $\mathbf{h}(0, 0, \underline{z}) = 0$. It follows that for any $i \geq 0$, the sequence $((\epsilon \circ \partial_{n+i}) \otimes \text{id}) \circ \mathbf{h}(0, 0, \underline{z})(n)_{n > 0}$ is zero. Then Lemma 5.7, together with the uniqueness of a limit in the discrete topology implies $z_i = 0$ for any $i \geq 0$, hence $\underline{z} = 0$. So $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{z}) = (\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0) = \mathbf{j}(\underline{c}) \in \text{Im}(\mathbf{j})$. \square

5.5. A commutative square relating \mathbf{H} and $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}$ and a commutative triangle.

Proposition 5.16. *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}} & (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \\ \downarrow \scriptstyle{-1_{\mathcal{M}}} & & \downarrow \scriptstyle{(-1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0)} \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - \text{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

where $p_0 : \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ is the projection on the summand $k = 0$.

Proof. One checks that the restriction of $L_1 : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ to \mathcal{V}_{e_0} is zero, and that its restriction to $\mathcal{V}_{e_1} \subset \mathcal{W}$ coincides with $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \text{id} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \text{id}$. This implies

$$(5.5.1) \quad L_1 = (\Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \text{id} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \text{id}) \circ \pi,$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is the map whose restriction to \mathcal{V}_{e_0} is the injection $\mathcal{V}_{e_0} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and with kernel \mathcal{V}_{e_0} . Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0)(\mathbf{H}(v)) &= L_1(v) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\pi(v)) - \pi(v) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \pi(v)) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2} \\ &= \Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(\pi(v) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}) - \pi(v) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \pi(v) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} = \Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(v \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}) - v \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes v \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from $(p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0)(\mathbf{H}(v)) = L_1(v)$ (see Definition 4.2), the second equality follows from (5.5.1), the third equality from (2.4.1), and the last equality from $\pi(v) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} = v \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$. This implies the commutativity of the square. \square

Proposition 5.17. *The following triangle is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{p_0 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2}} & \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \\ & \searrow \mathbf{j} & \nearrow (- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \\ & & (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) \end{array}$$

where p_0 is as in Proposition 5.16 and $\tilde{p}_0 : \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the projection on the component $k = 0$.

Proof. Let $\underline{c} = (c_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \circ \mathbf{j}(\underline{c}) &= (- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0)(\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0) \\ &= (- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2}(c_0 1^{\otimes 2}) = c_0 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2} = \tilde{p}_0(\underline{c}) 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from $\mathbf{j}(\underline{c}) = (\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0)$, the second equality follows from $(p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0)(\underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, \underline{c}1^{\otimes 2}, 0) = c_0 1^{\otimes 2}$, and the last equality follows from $\tilde{p}_0(\underline{c}) = c_0$. \square

6. PROOF OF EQUALITY RESULTS

In §6.1, we put together the results of §§4 and 5 to prove the equality between the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}})$ and $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}})$ (Theorem 6.6). In §6.2, we derive from this the equality of the stabilizer bitorsors $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}/\text{B}})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}/\text{B}})$ (Theorem 6.7).

6.1. Proof of the equality of the stabilizer Lie algebras $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}, \text{DR}})$ and $\mathfrak{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}, \text{DR}})$.

The subspace $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ is graded. It follows that the same holds for the subspace $(- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})) \subset \mathcal{V}_0$.

Proposition 6.1. *One has $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}) \subset (- \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))$ (inclusion of graded subspaces of \mathcal{V}_0).*

Proof. Both sides of this inclusion are graded, so it suffices to show the inclusion of homogeneous components for each degree. Let $a \in \mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}) \subset \mathcal{V}_0$ be homogeneous. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{M} & \xleftarrow{-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathcal{V}_0 & \xrightarrow{-\cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}} & \mathrm{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \\
\downarrow \Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \mathbf{H} & & \uparrow \simeq \mathbf{i} \\
\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} & \xleftarrow{(-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0)} & (\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{W}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} & \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}) \\
\uparrow \tilde{p}_0 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2} & & \nearrow \mathbf{j} & & \\
\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} & & & &
\end{array}$$

where the left square commutes by Proposition 5.16, the right square commutes by Proposition 4.10, the triangle commutes by Proposition 5.17, and the lower sequence of maps (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{j}) is exact by Proposition 5.15.

Since $\mathfrak{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}) = \mathrm{Ker}(-\cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ (see Lemma 2.11 (a)) and by the commutativity of the right square, $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{H}(a) = 0$, which by the injectivity of \mathbf{i} (see Lemma 4.6) implies that $\mathbf{H}(a)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{h})$. The exactness of the lower sequence of maps then implies the existence of $\underline{c} \in \oplus_{l \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}$, such that

$$(6.1.1) \quad \mathbf{H}(a) = \mathbf{j}(\underline{c}).$$

Then

$$(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(a \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}) = (-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \circ \mathbf{H}(a) = (-\cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}})^{\otimes 2} \circ (p_0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \circ \mathbf{j}(\underline{c}) = \tilde{p}_0(\underline{c}) 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2},$$

where the first equality follows from the commutativity of the left square, the second equality follows from (6.1.1), and the third equality follows from the commutativity of the triangle. It follows that $(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(a \cdot 1) \in \mathbb{Q} 1_{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes 2}$. The map $a \mapsto (\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(a \cdot 1)$ is graded and a has positive degree, which implies that $(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}} - \mathrm{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}} - 1_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(a \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}}) = 0$, which by (3.4.1) implies $a \cdot 1_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$. \square

Lemma 6.2. *The derivation $\Gamma \mathrm{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}$ of \mathcal{W} is such that for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\tilde{y}_n \mapsto (\tilde{y}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{y}_1^2) \tilde{y}_n + \tilde{y}_n (\tilde{y}_2 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{y}_1^2) - \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_{n+1} - \tilde{y}_{n+1} \tilde{y}_1.$$

Proof. One computes $\theta([e_0, e_1]) = [e_0, e_1] + (1/2)([e_0, e_1] | e_0 e_1) e_1^2 = [e_0, e_1] + (1/2) e_1^2$, therefore $\Gamma \mathrm{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)} = \mathrm{der}_{\theta([e_0, e_1])}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}$ is given by $e_0 \mapsto [[e_0, e_1] + e_1^2/2, e_0]$ and $e_1 \mapsto 0$. Then for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma \mathrm{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}(\tilde{y}_n) &= \Gamma \mathrm{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{V}, (1)}(e_0^{n-1} e_1) = [[e_0, e_1] + e_1^2/2, e_0^{n-1}] e_1 \\
&= (\tilde{y}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{y}_1^2) \tilde{y}_n + \tilde{y}_n (\tilde{y}_2 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{y}_1^2) - \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_{n+1} - \tilde{y}_{n+1} \tilde{y}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 6.3. *The derivation $\theta([e_0, e_1]) \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} = (\Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} - \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}$ of \mathcal{W} is the element of $\text{Der}_{\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2})$ such that for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\tilde{y}_n \mapsto 2(\tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+1} + \tilde{y}_{n+1} \otimes \tilde{y}_1) - 2((\tilde{y}_2 + \tilde{y}_1^2) \otimes \tilde{y}_n + \tilde{y}_n \otimes (\tilde{y}_2 + \tilde{y}_1^2)) + 2(\tilde{y}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{y}_k \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-k})(\tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_1)$$

Proof. Let σ be the permutation of tensor factor of $\mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$. Then using Lemma 6.2, one computes, for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}) \circ \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n) \\ &= (\text{id} + \sigma) \left(\Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}(\tilde{y}_n) \otimes 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}(\tilde{y}_k) \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the equality follows from (2.4.1) and from the σ -invariance of $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \Gamma \text{der}_{[e_0, e_1]}^{\mathcal{W}, (1)}(\tilde{y}_n) &= \Delta^{\mathcal{W}}((\tilde{y}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2)\tilde{y}_n + \tilde{y}_n(\tilde{y}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) - \tilde{y}_1\tilde{y}_{n+1} - \tilde{y}_{n+1}\tilde{y}_1) \\ &= (\text{id} + \sigma) \left(((\tilde{y}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) \otimes 1)(\tilde{y}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{y}_k \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-k}) \right. \\ &\quad + (\tilde{y}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{y}_k \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-k})((\tilde{y}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) \otimes 1 - \tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_1) \\ &\quad - (\tilde{y}_1 \otimes 1)(\tilde{y}_{n+1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+1} - \tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{y}_{k+1} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-k}) \\ &\quad \left. - (\tilde{y}_{n+1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{y}_{n+1} - \tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{y}_{k+1} \otimes \tilde{y}_{n-k})(\tilde{y}_1 \otimes 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 6.2 and the second equality from the identities $(\text{id} + \sigma)(ab) = (\text{id} + \sigma)(ab)$ and $b(\text{id} + \sigma)(a) = (\text{id} + \sigma)(ba)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{W}^{\otimes 2}$ such that b is σ -invariant, the σ -invariance and the explicit expressions of $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_n)$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_{n+1})$, and the equalities $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) = (\text{id} + \sigma)((\tilde{y}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) \otimes 1)$, $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) = (\text{id} + \sigma)((\tilde{y}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{y}_1^2) \otimes 1 - \tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_1)$ and $\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}(\tilde{y}_1) = (\text{id} + \sigma)(\tilde{y}_1 \otimes 1)$. The result follows from the computation of the difference of the two expressions. \square

Remark 6.4. It follows that $\theta([e_0, e_1]) \cdot \Delta^{\mathcal{W}} = \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}(\underline{a}^0, \underline{b}^0, \underline{z}^0)$ where $\underline{a}^0 = 0$, $\underline{b}^0 = (b_i^0)_{i \geq 0}$ where $b_0^0 = 2\tilde{y}_1 \otimes \tilde{y}_1$ and $b_i^0 = 0$ for $i > 0$, $\underline{z}^0 = (z_i^0)_{i \geq 0}$ where $z_0^0 = -2(\tilde{y}_2 + \tilde{y}_1^2)$, $z_1^0 = 2\tilde{y}_1$, and $z_i^0 = 0$ for $i > 1$. One can check that $\mathbf{H}([e_0, e_1]) = (\underline{a}^0, \underline{b}^0, \underline{z}^0)$. \square

Recall that $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1), \langle, \rangle)$.

Lemma 6.5. *The degree 2 component of $\mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ is zero.*

Proof. Since the degree 2 part of $\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)$ is one-dimensional, spanned by $[e_0, e_1]$, the statement is equivalent to $[e_0, e_1] \notin \mathbf{stab}_{\mathfrak{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$, which follows from Lemma 6.3. \square

Theorem 6.6. *The Lie subalgebras $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})$ and $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})$ of \mathfrak{g}^{DR} (see [EF2], §3.5) are equal.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M}}) \subset \mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W}})$ follows from [EF2], Corollary 3.14 (c). By Propositions 2.12 and 3.5, it implies the inclusion

$$(6.1.2) \quad \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}) \subset \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$$

of graded Lie subalgebras of $\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)$.

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}}) \cap \text{lie}(e_0, e_1)[\tilde{2}] &= \theta^{-1}(\mathbf{stab}_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})) \cap \text{lie}(e_0, e_1)[\tilde{2}] \\ &\subset \theta^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}1 \oplus \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})) \cap \text{lie}(e_0, e_1)[\tilde{2}] = \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}). \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 2.11 (c), the inclusion follows from Proposition 6.1, and the second equality follows from (3.4.2). Together with (6.1.2), this implies

$$(6.1.3) \quad \forall d \neq 2, \quad \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})[d] = \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})[d].$$

Then $\mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})[2] = 0 = \mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})[2]$, where the first equality follows from Lemma 6.5 and the second equality follows from (3.4.2). Together with (6.1.3), this implies the equality of the graded components of the Lie algebras $\mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{W}})$ and $\mathbf{stab}_{\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)}(\Delta^{\mathcal{M}})$ in any degree, hence the equality of these Lie algebras. The announced equality then follows from Propositions 2.12 and 3.5. \square

6.2. Proof of the equality of the stabilizer bitorsors $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$.

6.2.1. *Review of known results.* In [EF2], Definitions 2.16 and 2.20 and [EF3], Definitions 3.5 and 3.8, we introduced \mathbb{Q} -group schemes $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,??})$, where ? (resp. ??) is one of the symbols \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{W} (resp. DR, B); these are extensions of \mathbb{G}_m by pronipotent group schemes and give rise to functors $\mathbf{k} \mapsto \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,??})(\mathbf{k})$ from the category of \mathbb{Q} -algebras to that of groups; the Lie algebras of $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})$ are $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})$ (see [EF2], §3.5), and the Lie algebras $\mathbf{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{B}})$ of $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{B}})$ were defined in [EF3], §3.8.

In [EF2], Definitions 2.17 and 2.21, we introduced the \mathbb{Q} -schemes $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}/\text{B}})$, where ? is one of the symbols \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{W} , giving rise to functors $\mathbf{k} \mapsto \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ from the category of \mathbb{Q} -algebras to that of sets. For any \mathbf{k} , the set $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ is equipped with commuting left and right actions of the groups $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$. By [EF2], Theorem 3.1 (b) and (c) and [EF3], Lemmas 3.6 and 3.9, each of these actions is free and transitive, so that $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ is a *bitorsor* (see [EF3], Definition 1.1 (a), and [G], Chap. III, Definition 1.5.3).

In [EF2], Theorem 3.1 (a) and [EF3], Theorem 3.14 (b) we constructed for any \mathbf{k} , group inclusions $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and set inclusions $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$, which are compatible with the actions.

6.2.2. Equality results.

Theorem 6.7. *Let \mathbf{k} be a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Then the inclusion of the bitorsors attached to $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ is an equality, i.e.:*

- (a) *the group inclusion $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ is an equality;*
- (b) *the inclusion $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ is an equality;*
- (c) *the group inclusion $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ is an equality.*

Proof. (a) The sets $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ for $? \in \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{W}\}$ are subgroups of the group $\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR}}(\mathbf{k})$, which is $\mathbf{k}^\times \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$ equipped with the structure of semidirect product of the group $(\mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}), \otimes)$ by the action of \mathbf{k}^\times (see [EF2], Definitions 2.16 and 2.20 and §1.6.3, where $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is denoted $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{DR}}$). By [EF2], Lemmas 2.18 and 2.22, $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ contain \mathbf{k}^\times , which implies the equalities $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^\times \times \text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ of subsets of $\mathbf{k}^\times \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$, where $\text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ are the images of the intersections of $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{?,\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ with $\{1\} \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$ by the canonical isomorphism $\{1\} \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$ (see [EF2], Remark 2.23). The statement is therefore equivalent to the equality of the subgroups $\text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ of $(\mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}), \otimes)$.

Equip the completion $\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k}$ with the product $\text{cbh}_{\langle, \rangle}(\cdot, \cdot)$ taking (x, y) to the image by the Lie algebra morphism from the topologically free Lie algebra with generators a, b to $(\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k}, \langle, \rangle)$ given by $a \mapsto x, b \mapsto y$ of the element $\log(e^a e^b)$. Then $(\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k}, \text{cbh}_{\langle, \rangle}(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a group. The map $\exp_\otimes : \text{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}})$ defined in [R], (3.1.10.1) sets up a group isomorphism $(\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k}, \text{cbh}_{\langle, \rangle}(\cdot, \cdot)) \simeq (\mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}), \otimes)$ ([R], Corollary 3.1.10, see also [EF2], §3.5.2). It follows from [EF2], Lemma 3.14 (based on [EF0], Lemma 5.1) that \exp_\otimes restricts to bijections $\text{stab}_0(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{stab}_0(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$, the index 0 meaning the intersection of a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^{DR} with $\text{lie}(e_0, e_1)^\wedge \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k}$. As Theorem 6.6 implies the equality $\text{stab}_0(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k} = \text{stab}_0(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{k}$, one obtains the equality $\text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k}) = \text{Stab}_1(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$.

(b) follows from the fact that $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ are subtorsors of $\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k})$ with respective groups $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}})(\mathbf{k})$ (see [EF2], Theorem 3.1, (b) and (c)), from the torsor inclusion $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ (see [EF2], Theorem 3.1, (c)), from (a) and from [EF2], Lemma 2.7, (b).

(c): (a) and (b) imply that the subtorsors $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ of $\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k})$ are equal. If ${}_G X_H$ is a bitorsor and if ${}_{G'} X'_{H'}$ and ${}_{G''} X''_{H''}$ are subtorsors such that ${}_{G'} X'$ and ${}_{G''} X''$ are equal, then the subgroups H' and H'' of H are equal; indeed, [EF3], Lemma 1.12 implies that $H' \subset H''$, which by symmetry implies the equality. Applying this to ${}_{G'} X'_{H'}$ and ${}_{G''} X''_{H''}$ being the subtorsors of $\mathbf{G}^{\text{DR},\text{B}}(\mathbf{k})$ corresponding to $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{DR}/\text{B}})(\mathbf{k})$ (see [EF3], Lemmas 3.6 and 3.9) using the equality of the underlying torsors yields the claimed equality. \square

Corollary 6.8. *The Lie algebra inclusion $\text{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\text{B}}) \subset \text{stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\text{B}})$ is an equality.*

Proof. The group schemes $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{M},\mathbb{B}})$ and $\text{Stab}(\hat{\Delta}^{\mathcal{W},\mathbb{B}})$ are extensions of \mathbb{G}_m by pronipotent group schemes. Theorem 6.7, (c), then implies the equality of these group schemes, and therefore of their Lie algebras, which implies the statement. \square

REFERENCES

- [Dr] V. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), no. 4, 829–860.
- [DeT] P. Deligne, T. Terasoma, *Harmonic shuffle relation for associators*, preprint (2005)
- [Ec] J. Ecalle, *ARI/GARI. la dimorphie et l'arithmétique des multizetas: un premier bilan*. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 15 (2003), p 411–478.
- [EF0] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *A stabilizer interpretation of double shuffle Lie algebras*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2018, no. 22, 6870–6907.
- [EF1] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *The Betti side of the double shuffle theory. I. The harmonic coproduct*. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 27 (2021), no. 5, Paper No. 79, 106 pp.
- [EF2] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *The Betti side of the double shuffle theory. II. Double shuffle relations for associators*. Preprint arXiv:1807.07786, v5.
- [EF3] B. Enriquez, H. Furusho, *The Betti side of the double shuffle theory. III. Bitorsor structures*. Preprint arXiv:1908.00444, v5.
- [G] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 179. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [IKZ] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 2, 307–338.
- [LM] T.T.Q. Le, J. Murakami, *Kontsevich's integral for the Kauffman polynomial*. Nagoya Math. J. 142 (1996), 39–65.
- [R] G. Racinet, *Doubles mélanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l'unité*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **95** (2002), 185–231.
- [Z] D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*. First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 497–512, Progr. Math., 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, UMR 7501, UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG ET CNRS, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67000 STRASBOURG, FRANCE

Email address: `enriquez@math.unistra.fr`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: `furusho@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`