

**TROPICAL LAGRANGIAN MULTI-SECTIONS
AND
TROPICAL LOCALLY FREE SHEAVES**

YAT-HIN SUEN

ABSTRACT. This article is a continuation of the work [4]. We generalize the notion of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections to any dimensions. Together with some linear algebra data, we construct a special class of locally free sheaves, called tropical locally free sheaves. We will also provide the reverse construction and show that there is a 1-1 correspondence between isomorphism classes of tropical locally free sheaves and tropical Lagrangian multi-sections modulo certain equivalence.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The Gross-Siebert program	2
3.	Tropical Lagrangian multi-sections	4
4.	From tropical Lagrangian multi-sections to locally free sheaves	6
5.	Tropical locally free sheaves and their associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section	12
6.	The correspondence	15
Appendix A. Construction of branched covering maps of tropical spaces via discrete data		16
Appendix B. Localization		17
References		18

1. INTRODUCTION

The Gross-Siebert program [6, 7, 8] gives an algebro-geometric understanding of SYZ mirror symmetry [14]. In [4], together with Chan and Ma, the author of this paper attempted to understand homological mirror symmetry [10] in terms of the Gross-Siebert setup. We introduced there the notion of *tropical Lagrangian multi-sections* over any 2-dimensional integral affine manifold of singularities B equipped with polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P} and constructed, by fixing certain local model, a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E}_0 over the associated scheme $X_0(B, \mathcal{P})$. We also provided a nice combinatorial condition for smoothability of the pair $(X_0(B, \mathcal{P}), \mathcal{E}_0)$ under some extra assumptions.

In this article, we will generalize the notion of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections to any dimension. We begin by reviewing some preliminary of the Gross-Siebert program in Section 2. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections over any integral affine manifold with singularities B equipped with a polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P} . For this purpose, we need the notion of *tropical spaces*, which has been introduced in [12]. Roughly speaking, tropical spaces are spaces that allow us to talk about sheaf of affine and piecewise linear functions. A tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} over (B, \mathcal{P}) is then a branched covering map $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$ between tropical spaces that respect the polyhedral decomposition $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ together with a multi-valued piecewise linear function φ on L . Here $\mu : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the multiplicity map. The multi-valued function φ should be thought of as certain tropical limit of the local potential of a Lagrangian multi-section of the SYZ fibration.

Given a tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} over (B, \mathcal{P}) . Due to its discrete nature, one shouldn't expect \mathbb{L} can determine a sheaf on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P})$ uniquely. Therefore, we need to prescribe some continuous data on top of the discrete data determined by \mathbb{L} . We will introduce in 4 two continuous data (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) which guarantee the existence of a locally free sheave on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P})$. The idea is to apply the technique in [15] to construct a

Date: March 7, 2022.

collection of toric vector bundles $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'))\}_{\pi(\tau')=\tau}$ on each toric piece X_τ by using the multi-valued piecewise linear function φ on L . The rank of each $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'))$ is given by the ramification degree of the cell $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$. Put

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau)) := \bigoplus_{\pi(\tau')=\tau} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau')),$$

which is a toric vector bundle on X_τ , whose rank is exactly the degree of the branched covering map $\pi : L \rightarrow B$. Then we glue the vector bundles $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ together by using the data \mathbf{h} . However, in general, we may encounter an extra twisting data \bar{s} when we glue the toric strata $\{X_\tau\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ together. In this case, there is an obstruction $o_{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{s}) \in H^2(L, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ for gluing $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$. This obstruction generalizes the obstruction maps appear in [6], Theorem 2.34 (the ample line bundle case) and [4], Theorem 5.5 (the 2-dimensional case).

Theorem 1.1 (=Theorem 4.6). *Suppose \bar{s} is the associated closed gluing data of an open gluing data s . The locally free sheaves $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ can be glued to a rank r locally free sheaf on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ via the data (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) if and only if $o_{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{s}) = 1$.*

The vanishing of $o_{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{s})$ will give us another continuous data \mathbf{k}_s , which can be combined with \mathbf{h} to form \mathbf{h}_s . We then write \mathbf{D}_s for the data $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}_s)$ and denote by $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L})$ the set of all such data. Theorem 1.1 says that a choice of data $\mathbf{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L})$ will provide us a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ on the scheme $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$.

In [5], the notion of unobstructed Lagrangian submanifolds ([1] for immersed Lagrangian submanifolds) was introduced. The main feature of an unobstructed Lagrangian submanifolds is that its Floer cohomology is well-defined and hence defines an object in the Fukaya category. In particular, unobstructed Lagrangian submanifolds should have the corresponding mirror objects. Therefore, we borrow this terminology here. Namely, for a fixed gluing data s , a tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} over (B, \mathcal{P}) is said to be *unobstructed* if $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}) \neq \emptyset$ and a pair $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ is called a *tropical Lagrangian brane* (Definition 4.8).

Section 5 will be devoted to the reverse construction. Based on the construction in Section 4, we introduce the notion of *tropical locally free sheaves* (Definition 5.1). To such a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E}_0 , we are able to construct a canonical tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$. Moreover, there is a natural data $\mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0) \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \cong \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0)).$$

We will end Section 5 by showing that we actually have an abundant sources of examples given by restricting toric vector bundles on the toric boundary of a toric variety (Theorem 5.9).

Given $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$, Section 4 has taught us how to construct a tropical locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ while Section 5 has provided a canonical tropical Lagrangian brane $(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0))$ out of \mathcal{E}_0 . It is natural to compare $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$. In general, we may not have $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$. This indicates the phenomenon that non-Hamiltonian isotopic or topologically different Lagrangian submanifolds can still be equivalent to each other in the derived (immersed) Fukaya category. See, for example, [3]. Therefore, we would still like to regard them as the same object. This brings us to Section 6, where we will introduce the notion of *combinatorial equivalence* of tropical Lagrangian branes and prove the following

Theorem 1.2 (=Theorem 6.6). *We have a canonical bijection*

$$\mathcal{F} : \frac{\{\text{Tropical locally free sheaves on } X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)\}}{\text{isomorphism}} \rightarrow \frac{\{(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s) \mid \mathbb{L} \text{ being unobstructed and } \mathbf{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L})\}}{\text{combinatorial equivalence}},$$

given by $\mathcal{E}_0 \mapsto (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0))$. Its inverse is given by $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$.

Theorem 1.2 provides a slightly more geometric understanding of homological mirror symmetry in the sense that we don't need any derived objects on both sides to achieve the correspondence. Although a tropical Lagrangian multi-section is still not yet an honest Lagrangian multi-section, one should expect that an unobstructed Lagrangian multi-section can be constructed from the data $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$. We left this for future research.

2. THE GROSS-SIEBERT PROGRAM

We give a brief review of how the scheme $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ is constructed. We follow [6] and use the *fan construction*.

Let B be an integral affine manifold with singularities equipped with a polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P} . Elements in \mathcal{P} are called *cells*. Throughout the whole article, we assume B is compact without boundary and all cells have no self-intersections. By taking the barycentric decomposition situation of \mathcal{P} , there is a

canonical open cover $\mathcal{W} := \{W_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$ of B so that $W_\tau \cap W_\sigma \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\tau \subset \sigma$. Denote by $\Delta \subset B$ the singular locus of B , which is a union of locally closed codimension 2 submanifolds inside the codimension 1 strata of B and Λ the lattice induced by the integral structure on $B \setminus \Delta$. For $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$, let

$$\Lambda_\tau := \{v \in \Lambda_y : v \text{ is tangent to } \tau \text{ at } y\},$$

for any $y \in \text{Int}(\tau) \setminus \Delta$. This lattice is independent of the choice of $y \in \text{Int}(\tau)$. We also define

$$\mathcal{Q}_\tau := \Lambda / \Lambda_\tau.$$

For $\tau \subset \sigma$, by parallel transport along a path in $B \setminus \Delta$ starting on $\text{Int}(\tau) \setminus \Delta$ and ending on $\text{Int}(\sigma)$, we get a projection $p : \mathcal{Q}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\sigma$. We always assume \mathcal{P} is *toric*, that is, for each $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a submersion $S_\tau : W_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. This gives a complete fan

$$\Sigma_\tau := \{r \cdot S_\tau(\sigma) \mid \sigma \supset \tau, r \geq 0\}$$

on $\mathcal{Q}_\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and hence a complete toric variety X_τ . To glue them together, Gross-Siebert introduced the category $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{P})$, whose objects are elements in \mathcal{P} and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{P})}(\tau, \sigma) := \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \tau \not\subset \sigma, \\ \{e\} & \text{if } \tau \subset \sigma. \end{cases}$$

For $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$, the inclusion $p_e^* : \mathcal{Q}_\sigma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\tau^*$ induces a natural inclusion $F(e) : X_\sigma \rightarrow X_\tau$ that satisfies

$$F(e_2 \circ e_1) = F(e_1) \circ F(e_2),$$

for all $e_1 : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2, e_2 : \sigma_2 \rightarrow \sigma_3$. Hence we can take the limit

$$\varinjlim X_\sigma$$

to obtain an algebraic space. One can twist this construction by a cocycle $[\bar{s}] \in H^1(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times)$. Such an extra twisting is called a *closed gluing data for the fan picture*. Such cocycle give us for each $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$, an element $\bar{s}_e \in \mathcal{Q}_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$, which defines an automorphism $\bar{s}_e : X_\sigma \rightarrow X_\tau$. Put

$$F_{\bar{s}}(e) := F(e) \circ \bar{s}_e.$$

Since \bar{s} is a 1-cocycle, we have $\bar{s}_{e_1 \circ e_2} = \bar{s}_{e_1} \circ \bar{s}_{e_2}$ and hence the \bar{s} -twisted limit

$$X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \bar{s}) := \varinjlim X_\sigma$$

makes sense and exists in the category of algebraic spaces. In [6], Gross-Siebert also introduced an other more refined gluing data, called the open gluing data.

Definition 2.1. *An open gluing data for the fan picture is a collection $s := \{s_e\}_e$, where for $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$, $s_e : \Lambda_\sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is piecewise multiplicative with respect to the fan $\check{\tau}^{-1}\Sigma_{\check{\sigma}}$ such that*

- (1) $s_{id} = 1$, for $id : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ the identity morphism.
- (2) If $e_3 = e_2 \circ e_1$, we have $s_{e_3} = s_{e_2} \cdot s_{e_1}$, whenever defined.

An open gluing data s is called trivial if there exists $t = (t_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$, with $t_\sigma \in \Gamma(\sigma, \mathcal{Q}_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times)$ such that $s_e = t_\tau t_\sigma^{-1}|_\tau$, for all $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$. The set of all open gluing data is denoted by $Z^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and the set of all trivial open gluing data is denoted by $B^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

The set of open gluing data modulo equivalence is parametrized by the group

$$H^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times) := \frac{Z^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times)}{B^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times)}.$$

For an open gluing data s , one associates the closed gluing data \bar{s} as follows. For $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$, define \bar{s}_e to be the image of s under the composition

$$\Gamma(\tau, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(W_\tau, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \Gamma(W_e, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times),$$

where the last map is given by restriction. This induces the open-to-closed map

$$H^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times),$$

which is injective by Proposition 2.32 in [6]. An important consequence of open gluing data is that the algebraic space $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \bar{s})$ can be built from some standard affine charts defined as follows. The open gluing data and the associated closed gluing data give the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\omega_2 \rightarrow \sigma} & \xrightarrow{s_{\omega_2 \rightarrow \sigma}} & V_{\omega_2 \rightarrow \sigma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{s}_{\omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_2} \\ V_{\omega_1 \rightarrow \sigma} & \xrightarrow{s_{\omega_1 \rightarrow \sigma}} & V_{\omega_1 \rightarrow \sigma} \end{array}$$

The colimit of the left hand side

$$V(\sigma) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma}$$

is actually an affine scheme and hence one can construct an algebraic space $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ by gluing $\{V(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}}$ and it was shown by using universal property of colimit that

$$X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s) \cong X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \bar{s}).$$

as algebraic spaces (Proposition 2.30 in [6]). The fact that all cells have no self-intersections implies $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ is actually a scheme. Moreover, Proposition 2.32 in [6] also showed that there is an isomorphism $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s) \cong X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s')$ preserving toric strata if and only if $[s] = [s']$.

Assume \mathcal{P} is simple and positive (see [6] for their definitions). With a suitable choice of open gluing data s , Gross-Siebert have shown in [6] that $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ carries a log structure that is log smooth off a codimension 2 locus Z , not containing any toric strata. Moreover, they proved in [8] that $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ is smoothable to a formal family over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$. In [13], Ruddat and Siebert proved that this formal family is in fact an analytic family.

3. TROPICAL LAGRANGIAN MULTI-SECTIONS

We introduce the notion of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections over any integral affine manifold with singularities equipped with a polyhedral decomposition, generalizing the definition of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections in [4]. We use the notion of tropical space introduced in [12].

Definition 3.1. A tropical piecewise linear space is a pair $(X, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X)$, where \underline{X} is a Hausdorff paracompact topological space and $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X$ is a sheaf of \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions on X such that for each $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood U of x , an open subset V of a polyhedral set in \mathbb{R}^n for some n , a homeomorphism $\phi : U \rightarrow V$ and an isomorphism $\phi^\# : \phi^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_U$. A tropical space is a tropical piecewise linear space $(X, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X)$ together with a choice of subsheaf $\text{Aff}_X \subset \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X$ that contains the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_X$. We simply write X for $(X, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X, \text{Aff}_X)$ when there are no confusion on the tropical space structure.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a tropical space. The sheaf of multi-valued piecewise linear functions is defined to be the quotient sheaf $\text{MP}\mathcal{L}_X := \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X / \text{Aff}_X$.

There is a natural notion of morphisms between tropical spaces.

Definition 3.3. Let X, Y be tropical spaces. A morphism from $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a pair $f := (f, f^\#)$ where $f : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ is a continuous map between the underlying topological spaces and $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_X$ is a morphism of sheaves that maps $f^{-1}\text{Aff}_Y$ to Aff_X and $f^{-1}\underline{\mathbb{R}}_Y$ to $\underline{\mathbb{R}}_X$. A morphism of tropical spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a submersion if the induced map $f^\# : f^{-1}\text{MP}\mathcal{L}_Y \rightarrow \text{MP}\mathcal{L}_X$ is surjective.

The following lemma is evident.

Lemma 3.4. Let \underline{X} be a topological space, Y be a tropical space and $f : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ be a continuous map. The triple $(\underline{X}, f^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_Y, f^{-1}\text{Aff}_Y)$ is a tropical space.

Remark 3.5. Any cone complex induced by a fan in some \mathbb{R} -vector space is naturally a tropical space. If $\pi : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a morphism of cone complexes and Σ is a fan, we always assume the underlying topological space $|\Sigma'|$ is equipped with the pull-back tropical structure.

Given two topological spaces L, B , a continuous map $\pi : L \rightarrow B$ and a function $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If for any $x \in B$, the preimage set $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is finite, then we can define a function $\text{Tr}_\pi(\mu) : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by

$$\text{Tr}_\pi(\mu)(x) := \sum_{x' \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \mu(x').$$

Now we can define branched covering map between tropical spaces.

Definition 3.6. Let L, B be tropical spaces. A branched covering map is a surjective morphism $\pi : L \rightarrow B$ and a function $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, called the multiplicity map, such that

- (1) For any $x \in B$, the preimage set $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is finite.
- (2) For any connected open sets $W \subset B$ and connected $W' \subset \pi^{-1}(W)$, the function $\text{Tr}_{\pi|_{W'}}(\mu)$ is constant on W .

The degree of π is defined to be the positive constant $\text{Tr}_{\pi}(\mu)$.

Let B be an integral affine manifold with singularities equipped with a polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P} . It carries a natural tropical space structure $\text{Aff}_B, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}$. See [6], Section 1. Unless specified, we use this tropical space structure for (B, \mathcal{P}) without further notice.

Definition 3.7. Let B be an integral affine manifold with singularities and \mathcal{P} a polyhedral decomposition. Let $\pi : (L, \mu) \rightarrow B$ be a branched covering map between tropical spaces. A polyhedral decomposition \mathcal{P}' of $\pi : (L, \mu) \rightarrow B$ is a locally finite covering of L by closed subsets (called cells) such that

- (1) If $\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$, then $\sigma'_1 \cap \sigma'_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$.
- (2) If $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'$, then $\pi(\sigma') \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (3) For any $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'$, define the relative interior of σ' to be

$$\text{Int}(\sigma') := \sigma' \setminus \bigcup_{\tau' \in \mathcal{P}' : \tau' \subsetneq \sigma'} \tau'.$$

The function $\mu|_{\text{Int}(\sigma')}$ is constant and $\pi|_{\sigma'} : \text{Int}(\sigma') \rightarrow \text{Int}(\pi(\sigma'))$ is an isomorphism of tropical spaces with respect to the pull-back tropical structures.

A cell σ' is called ramified if $\mu(\sigma') > 1$.

Remark 3.8. Condition (3) implies piecewise linear functions on any cell σ' are affine functions. We use the notations $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ and $\text{MP}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ for the sheaf of piecewise linear functions and the sheaf of multi-valued piecewise linear functions on L , respectively.

Given $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$. For $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$ and $\tau := \pi(\tau')$, define $W_{\tau'}$ to be the connected component of $\pi^{-1}(W_{\tau})$ that contains $\text{Int}(\tau')$.

Definition 3.9. Let $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$ be a branched covering map of tropical spaces equipped with polyhedral decompositions. Let $x' \in L$ and τ' be the unique cell so that $x' \in \text{Int}(\tau')$. Put $\tau := \pi(\tau')$. A fan structure at $x' \in L$ is a branched covering map of connected cone complexes $\pi_{\tau'} : \Sigma_{\tau'} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\tau}$ and a submersion of tropical spaces $S_{\tau'} : W_{\tau'} \rightarrow |\Sigma_{\tau'}|$ such that

$$\pi_{\tau'} \circ S_{\tau'} = S_{\tau} \circ \pi,$$

where $S_{\tau} : W_{\tau} \rightarrow |\Sigma_{\tau}|$ is the projection defining the fan structure at x . The data $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$ is called toric if it admits a fan structure at every point.

Suppose $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$ is toric and $\varphi : W_{\tau'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise linear function. Then there is an affine function $f' : W_{\tau'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a piecewise linear function $\varphi_{\tau'}$ on $|\Sigma_{\tau'}|$ such that

$$\varphi - f' = S_{\tau'}^* \varphi_{\tau'},$$

on $W_{\tau'}$. For $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{max}$ contains τ' , we denote by $m_{\tau}(\sigma') \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau}^*$ the slope of $\varphi_{\tau'}$ on $S_{\tau'}(\sigma')$. For $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, choose a path $\gamma \subset U_{\tau_1}$, which goes from a point in $\text{Int}(\tau_1) \setminus \Delta$ to a point in $\text{Int}(\tau_2) \setminus \Delta$. Parallel transport along γ gives a surjection $p_g : \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}$. Given $\varphi \in H^0(W', \text{MP}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}'})$ and representatives $\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}$, for $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2$, there exists an affine function $f_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2} : W_{\tau'_1} \cap W_{\tau'_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$S_{\tau'_2}^* \varphi_{\tau'_2} = S_{\tau'_1}^* \varphi_{\tau'_1} + f_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2},$$

whenever defined. Therefore, via the inclusion $p_g^* : \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1}^*$, for any $\sigma' \supset \tau'_2$,

$$p_g^* m_{\tau_2}(\sigma') = m_{\tau_1}(\sigma') + m_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2},$$

for some $m_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1}^*$ only depends on τ'_1, τ'_2 . We simply write

$$m_{\tau_2}(\sigma') = m_{\tau_1}(\sigma') + m_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2}$$

if there is no confusion.

Now we can define the main object that we are going to study in this paper.

Definition 3.10. Let B be an integral affine manifold with singularities and \mathcal{P} a polyhedral decomposition. A tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} over (B, \mathcal{P}) is a toric branched covering of tropical spaces $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$ equipped with polyhedral decomposition, together with a global section $\varphi \in H^0(L, \mathcal{MPL}_{\mathcal{P}'})$.

There is a special type of morphisms between tropical Lagrangian multi-sections over the same base (B, \mathcal{P}) .

Definition 3.11. A covering morphism of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections $f : \mathbb{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_2$ over (B, \mathcal{P}) is a surjective morphism of tropical spaces $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, mapping cells in \mathcal{P}'_1 isomorphically onto cells in \mathcal{P}'_2 such that $\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ f$, $Tr_f(\mu_1) = \mu_2$ and $\varphi_1 = f^*\varphi_2$.

4. FROM TROPICAL LAGRANGIAN MULTI-SECTIONS TO LOCALLY FREE SHEAVES

Let \mathbb{L} be a tropical Lagrangian multi-section over (B, \mathcal{P}) of degree r and s an open gluing data. By thinking \mathbb{L} as a Lagrangian multi-section of a Lagrangian torus fibration over B , the SYZ philosophy suggests the mirror of \mathbb{L} should be a holomorphic vector bundle, whose rank is same as the degree of the covering $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow B$. Therefore, in this section, we would like to construct a rank r locally free sheaf on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$. However, as mentioned in the introduction, one shouldn't expect \mathbb{L} itself can determine a locally free sheaf due to its discrete nature. We need some extra continuous data in analogous to the linear algebra data defined in [9].

To begin, let $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$ be a lift, we would like to construct a rank $\mu(\tau')$ locally free sheaf on the strata X_τ . Let $V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma} \subset X_\tau$ be the affine chart corresponds to the cone $K_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma} := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot S_\tau(\sigma) \in \Sigma_\tau$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\tau') := \mathcal{O}_{V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma}}^{\oplus \mu(\tau')}.$$

For $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$ contains τ , $\mu(\tau')$ equals to the number (count with multiplicity) of lifts of σ that contain τ' . We then obtain a frame $\{1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau)\}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \supset \tau'}$ for $\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\tau')$, parametrized by lifts of σ contains τ' , counting with multiplicity. To define transition maps, we use the function φ . By the toric assumption, there is a connected cone complex $\Sigma_{\tau'}$ over Σ_τ and a piecewise linear function $\varphi_{\tau'}$ such that $S_{\tau'}^* \varphi_{\tau'}$ represents $\varphi|_{W_{\tau'}}$. Let $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{max}$ be a lift of σ contains τ' and $m_\tau(\sigma') \in \mathcal{Q}_\tau^*$ be the slope of $\varphi_{\tau'}$ on the cone $S_{\tau'}(\sigma')$. For $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \supset \tau$, define $G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau') : \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_1}(\tau')|_{V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}(\tau')|_{V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}}$ by

$$G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau') : 1_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}}(\tau') \mapsto \sum_{\beta: \sigma_2^{(\beta)} \supset \tau'} g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau') z^{m_\tau(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_\tau(\sigma_2^{(\beta)})} 1_{\sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau'),$$

where $\{1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau')\}$ is a frame of $\mathcal{E}_\sigma(\tau')$. Put

$$G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau) := \sum_{\tau': \pi(\tau') = \tau} G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau').$$

The coefficient of each monomial entry of $G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau)$ will be denoted by $g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}$. We require them to satisfy the following

Definition 4.1. Let $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$. A τ' -Kaneyama data is a collection of invertible matrices

$$\mathbf{g}(\tau') := \{(g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau'))\}_{\tau' \subset \sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_2^{(\beta)} \in \mathcal{P}'_{max}} \subset GL(\mu(\tau'), \mathbb{C})$$

such that

- (G1) $g_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(\tau') = Id^{(\alpha\beta)}$, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$.
- (G2) $g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau') = 0$ if $m_\tau(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_\tau(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) \notin K_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}^\vee \cap \mathcal{Q}_\tau^*$.
- (G3) For any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$, we have

$$\sum_{\beta: \sigma_2^{(\beta)} \supset \tau'} g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau') g_{\sigma_2^{(\beta)} \sigma_3^{(\gamma)}}(\tau') = g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_3^{(\gamma)}}(\tau'),$$

for all $\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_3^{(\gamma)} \supset \tau'$.

A collection of Kaneyama data $\mathbf{g} := \{\mathbf{g}(\tau')\}_{\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'}$ is said to be compatible if $\mathbf{g}(\tau')$ is τ' -compatible for all $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$ and for each $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, there exist a collection of $r \times r$ matrices matrix

$$\mathbf{h}(g) := \{(h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g))_{\alpha, \beta}\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}} \subset GL(r, \mathbb{C})$$

such that

- (H1) For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$ contains τ_2 , we have $h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g) \neq 0$ only if $\sigma^{(\alpha)}, \sigma^{(\beta)}$ contains a common lift of τ_1 and $m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)}) \in K_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma}^\vee \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}^*$.

(H2) For any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$ contain τ_2 ,

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^r h_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_1^{(\beta)}}(g) g_{\sigma_1^{(\beta)} \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(\tau_1) = \sum_{\beta=1}^r g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau_2) h_{\sigma_2^{(\beta)} \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(g),$$

whenever $m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}) \in K_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}^{\vee} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}^*$.

(H3) For $g_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, g_2 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$ and $g_3 := g_2 \circ g_1$, we have

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^r h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g_2) h_{\sigma^{(\beta)} \sigma^{(\gamma)}}(g_1) = h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\gamma)}}(g_3),$$

whenever $\sigma \supset \tau_3$ and $m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\gamma)}) \in K_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma}^{\vee} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_3}^*$.

Remark 4.2. Being invertible and the cocycle condition (G3) are independent of the choice of the ordering $\sigma^{(1)}, \dots, \sigma^{(r)}$, so Definition 4.1 only depends on \mathbb{L} .

Remark 4.3. Conditions (G1)-(G3) are generalization of the linear algebra data given in [9] to affine manifold with singularities. Given a tropical Lagrangian multi-section with degree ≥ 2 , a Kaneyama data may not exist, even on a single toric piece (see [15], Example 5.1). Therefore, one may ask for the abundance of such data. We will prove in Theorem 5.9 that, at least in the case of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces, such data can be obtained from restricting toric vector bundles on the ambient toric variety to its boundary divisor.

Condition (G2) implies entries of $G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau')$ are regular functions. Condition (G1) and the cocycle condition (G3) immediately implies the existence of a rank $\mu(\tau')$ locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'))$ on the closed toric strata X_{τ} . Define

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau)) := \bigoplus_{\tau' : \pi(\tau') = \tau} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau')),$$

which is a rank r locally sheaf on X_{τ} .

Remark 4.4. The local representative $\varphi_{\tau'}$ of φ determines a $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'))$. Namely,

$$\lambda \cdot 1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau') := \lambda^{m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})} 1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau'),$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. One can easily check that this action is compatible with the transition maps. Hence $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'))$ carries a structure of toric vector bundle over X_{τ} . The existence of equivariant structure will be important when we perform the reverse construction in Section 5.

We would like to glue $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{D}}$ together. The idea is to embed $\mathcal{E}(\tau'_2)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\tau'_1)$ when $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2$. To do this, we first use the data $\{(h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g))\}$ to construct an isomorphism $H(g) : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2)) \rightarrow F(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_1))$. Define

$$H_{\sigma}(g) : 1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau_2) \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^r h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g) z^{m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)})} |_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \sigma}} F(g)^* 1_{\sigma^{(\beta)}}(\tau_1).$$

By Condition (H1), the entries of $H_{\sigma}(g)$ are regular functions on $V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \sigma}$. Given maximal $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \supset \tau_2$, the composition $F(g)^* G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau_1) \circ H_{\sigma_1}(g) |_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}}$ is given by

$$1_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}}(\tau_1) \mapsto \sum_{\beta, \gamma=1}^r h_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_1^{(\beta)}}(g) g_{\sigma_1^{(\beta)} \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(\tau_1) z^{m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)})} |_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}} F(g)^* 1_{\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(\tau_1).$$

On the other hand, the composition $H_{\sigma_2}(g) |_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}} \circ G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau_2)$ is given by

$$1_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}}(\tau_2) \mapsto \sum_{\beta, \gamma=1}^r g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau_2) h_{\sigma_2^{(\beta)} \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(g) z^{m_{\tau_2}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_2}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) + m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)})} |_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}} F(g)^* 1_{\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(\tau_1).$$

Now, we introduce a frequently used trick, called the *slope cancellation trick*. By definition of $G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau_2)$, the constant $g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau_2)$ is non-zero only if $\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_2^{(\beta)}$ contain a common lift of τ_2 , say τ'_2 , so in particular, they contains $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2$. On the other hand, by the construction of $H_{\sigma}(g)$, the constant $h_{\sigma_2^{(\beta)} \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}}(g)$ is non-zero only if $\sigma_2^{(\beta)}, \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}$ contains a common lift of τ_1 and it must be τ'_1 as $\sigma_2^{(\beta)} \supset \tau'_1$. As a whole, we conclude that

$\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_2^{(\beta)}, \sigma_2^{(\gamma)}$ all contain the lift τ'_1 of τ_1 . Moreover, via the inclusion $p_g^* : \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1}^*$, the piecewise linear function

$$f := p_g^* m_{\tau'_2}(\sigma') - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma')$$

is independent of σ' as long as $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2 \subset \sigma'$, which means f is actually an affine function. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\tau'_2}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau'_2}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) + m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}) &= m_{\tau_2}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - f - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}) \\ &= m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}). \end{aligned}$$

It is worth mentioning that we are not allowed to absorb f by $m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma_2^{(\gamma)})$ as $\sigma_2^{(\gamma)}$ may not contain τ'_2 .

By using the slope cancellation trick and Condition (H2), it is easy to see that

$$F(g)^* G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau_1) \circ H_{\sigma_1}(g)|_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}} = H_{\sigma_2}(g)|_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}} \circ G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}(\tau_2).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(H_{\sigma}(g)) &= \det(h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g)) z^{\sum_{\alpha=1}^r m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^r m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})} \\ &= \det(h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g)) \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H(g) : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2)) \rightarrow F(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_1))$ defines an isomorphism.

Let \bar{s} be the closed gluing data associated to the open gluing data s . We now define

$$H_{\bar{s}}(g) : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2)) \rightarrow F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_1))$$

to be the composition

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2)) \rightarrow \bar{s}_g^* \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2)) \xrightarrow{\bar{s}_g^* H(g)} F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_1))$$

where the first isomorphism is prescribed by the chosen equivariant structure on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2))$, which depends on the choice of local representatives $\{\varphi_{\tau'_2}\}$. Explicitly, it is given by

$$H_{\bar{s}}(g) : 1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau_2) \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^r h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g) \frac{\bar{s}_g(m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)}))}{\bar{s}_g(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} z^{m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)})} |_{V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \sigma}} F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* 1_{\sigma^{(\beta)}}(\tau_1).$$

To obtain a consistent gluing, we need the following cocycle condition

$$(1) \quad H_{\bar{s}}(g_3)^{-1} \circ F_{\bar{s}}(g_2)^* H_{\bar{s}}(g_1) \circ H_{\bar{s}}(g_2) = Id_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_3))},$$

for all $g_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, g_2 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$ and $g_3 := g_2 \circ g_1$. We only need to check this for any triple $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2 \subset \tau'_3$. Consider the composition

$$(2) \quad H_{\bar{s}}(g_3)^{-1} \circ F_{\bar{s}}(g_2)^* H_{\bar{s}}(g_1) \circ H_{\bar{s}}(g_2).$$

First note that the monomial part of a summand of (2) has exponent

$$(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\beta)})) + (m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\gamma)})) + (m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\gamma)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\delta)})),$$

with each bracketed term lies in $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_3}^*$. The corresponding summand is non-zero only if $\sigma^{(\alpha)}, \sigma^{(\beta)}, \sigma^{(\gamma)}, \sigma^{(\delta)}$ contain a common lift of τ_1 . Using the slope cancellation trick, the exponent reduces to

$$m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\delta)}) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_3}^*.$$

Now, the coefficient of the (α, δ) -entry of (2) is given by

$$\sum_{\beta, \gamma=1}^r h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g_2) h_{\sigma^{(\beta)} \sigma^{(\gamma)}}(g_1) h_{\sigma^{(\gamma)} \sigma^{(\delta)}}^{-1}(g_3) \bar{s}_{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3}^{(\alpha \beta \gamma \delta)}(\sigma),$$

where $\bar{s}_{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3}^{(\alpha \beta \gamma \delta)}(\sigma)$ is the product of the following factors

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{s}_{g_2}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\beta)})) \bar{s}_{g_2}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))^{-1}, \\ &\bar{s}_{g_3}(m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\gamma)})) \bar{s}_{g_1}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\beta)}))^{-1}, \\ &\bar{s}_{g_3}(m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\gamma)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\delta)})) \bar{s}_{g_3}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\delta)})). \end{aligned}$$

Using the slope cancellation trick again, all the slope difference becomes $m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\eta)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\xi)})$. Then one can easily show that

$$\bar{s}_{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3}^{(\alpha \beta \gamma \delta)}(\sigma) = \bar{s}_{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})^{-1},$$

where

$$\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) := \bar{s}_{g_1}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))\bar{s}_{g_2}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))\bar{s}_{g_3}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))^{-1}.$$

Using Condition (H3), the composition (2) can be simplified to

$$1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau_3) \mapsto \bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})^{-1}1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau_3).$$

Lemma 4.5. *The 2-cocycle $\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})$ only depends on the lifts $\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \tau'_3$ so that $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2 \subset \tau'_3 \subset \sigma^{(\alpha)}$. It is then closed with respect to the Čech differential $\check{\delta}$ on $\check{C}^2(\mathcal{W}', \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and its cohomology class is independent of the local representatives of φ .*

Proof. Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$ such that $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \supset \tau_3$. We first prove the special case that $\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_2^{(\beta)}$ contain the common lift τ'_3 so that

$$m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) \in K_{\tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}^\vee \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_3}^*.$$

In this case, via the inclusion $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_3}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1}^*$, we have

$$m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) = m_{\tau_2}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_2}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) = m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}).$$

Hence the cocycle condition of s implies

$$\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) = \bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}).$$

For general pair of $\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_2^{(\beta)}$ that contains τ'_3 , choose a sequence of maximal cells

$$\sigma_1^{(\alpha)} := \sigma_{i_1}^{(\alpha_1)}, \sigma_{i_2}^{(\alpha_2)}, \dots, \sigma_{i_k}^{(\alpha_k)} := \sigma_2^{(\beta)}.$$

such that $\sigma_{i_j}^{(\alpha_j)} \supset \tau'_3$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\pi(\sigma_{i_j}^{(\alpha_j)} \cap \sigma_{i_{j+1}}^{(\alpha_{j+1})}) = \sigma_{i_j} \cap \sigma_{i_{j+1}}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. Then continuity of $\varphi_{\tau'_3}$ implies

$$m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_{i_j}^{(\alpha_j)})|_{K_{\tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_{i_j} \cap \sigma_{i_{j+1}}}} = m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_{i_{j+1}}^{(\alpha_{j+1})})|_{K_{\tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_{i_j} \cap \sigma_{i_{j+1}}}}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. In particular,

$$m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_{i_j}^{(\alpha_j)}) - m_{\tau_3}(\sigma_{i_{j+1}}^{(\alpha_{j+1})}) \in K_{\tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_{i_j} \cap \sigma_{i_{j+1}}}^\vee \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_3}^*,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. By the special case, we have

$$\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) = \bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma_{i_2}^{(\alpha_2)}) = \dots = \bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}).$$

This proves the first part of the lemma. For the second part, it is obvious that $\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})$ is $\check{\delta}$ -closed. To show that its cohomology class is independent of the local representatives, note that any choice of another local representative of φ differ from $\varphi_{\tau'_i}$ by a local affine function $f_{\tau'_i} : W_{\tau'_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}^{old}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) = \bar{s}_{g_1}(f_{\tau'_2})\bar{s}_{g_2}(f_{\tau'_3})\bar{s}_{g_3}(f_{\tau'_3})^{-1}\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}^{new}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}),$$

which means $\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}^{old}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)})$ and $\bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}^{new}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)})$ define the same cohomology class. \square

Denote the cohomology class obtained in Lemma 4.5 by $[\bar{s}_{\tau'_1\tau'_2\tau'_3}] \in H^2(\mathcal{W}', \mathbb{C}^\times)$. We define $o_{\mathbb{L}} : H^1(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{W}', \mathbb{C}^\times)$ by

$$o_{\mathbb{L}} : [\bar{s}] \mapsto [\bar{s}_{\tau'_1\tau'_2\tau'_3}].$$

This map is well-defined because if $\bar{s}_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} = t_{\tau_1}^{-1}t_{\tau_2}|_{\tau_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) &= \frac{t_{\tau_2}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))}{t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} \frac{t_{\tau_3}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))}{t_{\tau_2}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} \frac{t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))}{t_{\tau_3}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} \\ &= \frac{t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))}{t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} \frac{t_{\tau_2}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))}{t_{\tau_2}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} \frac{t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))}{t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} \\ &= t_{\tau_1}(m_{\tau'_1\tau'_2})t_{\tau_2}(m_{\tau'_2\tau'_3})t_{\tau'_1}(m_{\tau'_1\tau'_3})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $o_{\mathbb{L}}$ is a group homomorphism. Since $W_{\tau'_0} \cap \dots \cap W_{\tau'_p}$ are contractible for all $p \geq 0$, it follows that $\{W_{\tau'}\}_{\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'}$ is an acyclic cover for \mathbb{C}^\times . It was also shown in [6] that $\{W_\tau\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ is an acyclic cover for $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$. Therefore, we can simply write $o_{\mathbb{L}} : H^1(B, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow H^2(L, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose \bar{s} is the associated closed gluing data of an open gluing data s . The locally free sheaves $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ can be glued to a rank r locally free sheaf on the scheme $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ via the data (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) if and only if $o_{\mathbb{L}}([\bar{s}]) = 1$.*

Proof. If $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ can be glued, then it is necessary that $s_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \tau'_3} = 1$. Hence its cohomology class equals to 1 too. Conversely, suppose $o_{\mathbb{L}}([\bar{s}]) = 1$. Then there exists a collection $\mathbf{k}_s := \{k_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2}\}_{\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2}$ such that

$$\bar{s}_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \tau'_3} = k_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2} k_{\tau'_2 \tau'_3} k_{\tau'_1 \tau'_3}^{-1}.$$

We modify $H_{\bar{s}}(g)$ to a map $\tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g)$, given by

$$1_{\tau'_2}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma) \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^r k_{\tau_1^{(\alpha)} \tau_2^{(\alpha)}}^{-1} \frac{\bar{s}_g(m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)}))}{\bar{s}_{g_1}(m_{\tau_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))} h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(g) z^{m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma^{(\beta)})} F_{\bar{s}}(g) * 1_{\tau'_1}^{(\beta)}(\sigma)$$

where, up to reordering, $\tau_1^{(\alpha)}, \tau_2^{(\alpha)}$ are determined by $\tau_1^{(\alpha)} \subset \tau_2^{(\alpha)} \subset \sigma^{(\alpha)}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(3) \quad F_{\bar{s}}(g_2) * \tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g_1) \circ \tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g_2) = \tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g_3).$$

We can then define the colimit $\mathcal{E}_0 := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau))$ with respect to $\{\tilde{H}_{\tau_1 \tau_2}(s)\}$.

It remains to prove locally freeness. To do this, we describe \mathcal{E}_0 on open subsets of $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$. For each maximal $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$, let

$$V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma) := \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma}.$$

Recall that $V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma) \cong V(\sigma)$, which is an affine scheme. Denote by $i_{\sigma} : V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma) \hookrightarrow X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, \bar{s})$ the inclusion, given by embedding an affine strata $V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma}$ to the closed strata $X_{\tau} \subset X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$. Then

$$X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}} i_{\sigma}(V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma)).$$

Let v_1, v_2 be two vertices of σ . By definition of the limit, $\xi_1(x_1) \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(v_1)_{x_1}$ is identified with $\xi_2(x_2) \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(v_2)_{x_2}$ if and only if there exists $\tau \subset \sigma$, $g_1 : v_1 \rightarrow \tau$, $g_2 : v_2 \rightarrow \tau$ and $x \in V_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma}$ with

$$F_{\bar{s}}(g_i)(x) = x_i$$

and there exists $\eta(x) \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(\tau)_x$ such that

$$\tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g_i) : \eta(x) \mapsto \xi_i(x_i),$$

for $i = 1, 2$. This is an equivalence relation due to the cocycle condition of $\{\tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g)\}_g$. For $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$. Define

$$\tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau) := \sum_{\beta=1}^r k_{\tau^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\alpha)}}^{-1} h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(e) z^{m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\beta)})} 1_{\sigma^{(\beta)}}(\tau).$$

By Condition (H1), $\{\tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau)\}_{\alpha=1}^r$ gives a frame for $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(\tau)$. We prove that if $g : \{v\} \rightarrow \tau$ is a vertex, then

$$\tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g) : \tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau) \mapsto F_{\bar{s}}(g) * \tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(v).$$

The coefficient of $\tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g)(\tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau))$ attached to the base vector $F_{\bar{s}}(g) * 1_{\sigma^{(\gamma)}}(v)$ equals to

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^r k_{\tau^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\alpha)}}^{-1} k_{v^{(\beta)} \tau^{(\beta)}}^{-1} h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\beta)}}(e) h_{\sigma^{(\beta)} \sigma^{(\gamma)}}(g) \frac{\bar{s}_{\{v\} \rightarrow \tau}(m_v(\sigma^{(\beta)}) - m_v(\sigma^{(\gamma)}))}{\bar{s}_{\{v\} \rightarrow \tau}(m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\beta)}))} z^{m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\beta)}) + m_v(\sigma^{(\beta)}) - m_v(\sigma^{(\gamma)})}$$

By (H1), $\sigma^{(\alpha)}, \sigma^{(\beta)}$ contain a common lift of τ , we must have $\tau^{(\beta)} = \tau^{(\alpha)}$ and so $v^{(\beta)} = v^{(\alpha)}$. In particular,

$$m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\beta)}) + m_v(\sigma^{(\beta)}) - m_v(\sigma^{(\gamma)}) = m_v(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_v(\sigma^{(\gamma)}).$$

Using the formula $\bar{s}_g(m_{\tau}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})) = k_{v^{(\alpha)} \tau^{(\alpha)}} k_{\tau^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\alpha)}} k_{v^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\alpha)}}^{-1}$ and (H3), the sum becomes

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^r k_{v^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\alpha)}}^{-1} h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)} \sigma^{(\gamma)}}(e \circ g) \bar{s}_{\{v\} \rightarrow \tau}(m_v(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_v(\sigma^{(\gamma)})) z^{m_v(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_v(\sigma^{(\gamma)})},$$

which is the coefficient attached to $F_{\bar{s}}(g) * 1_{\sigma^{(\gamma)}}(v)$ in $F_{\bar{s}}(g) * \tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(v)$. Hence $\{\tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(v)\}_{v \in \sigma}$ glue to a frame and gives a trivialization $\psi_{\sigma} : \mathcal{E}_0|_{V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma)}^{\oplus r}$. \square

Remark 4.7. The proof of Theorem 4.6 shows that for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$, the trivialization

$$\psi_\sigma : i_\sigma^* \mathcal{E}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'(\sigma)} \mathcal{O}_{V_{\bar{s}}(\sigma')}^{\oplus \mu(\sigma')},$$

is explicitly given by mapping $\{\tilde{1}_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(v)\}_{v \in \tau}$ to $1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}$. Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{max}$ and $\tau = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. With respect to this frame, the transition map $\psi_{\sigma_2} \circ \psi_{\sigma_1}^{-1}$, in terms of coordinates of the open subset $V(\tau) \subset V(\sigma_1)$, is given by

$$\psi_{\sigma_2} \circ \psi_{\sigma_1}^{-1} : 1_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}} \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^r \tilde{g}_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}\sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(s) z^{m_v(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_v(\sigma_2^{(\beta)})} 1_{\sigma_2^{(\beta)}},$$

for some $\tilde{g}_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}\sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(s) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending on the gluing data s . We emphasize that in the sum, we have

$$z^{m_v(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_v(\sigma_2^{(\beta)})} |_{V_{\{v\}} \rightarrow \tau} = 0$$

if $m_v(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}) - m_v(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}) \notin K_{\{v\} \rightarrow \tau}^\vee \cap \Lambda_{\sigma_1}^*$.

We combine the data \mathbf{h} in Definition 4.1 and the data \mathbf{k}_s obtained in Theorem 4.6, and simply write \mathbf{h}_s as this is the only data needed for the cocycle condition (3) to be satisfied. We denote the locally free sheaf obtained in Theorem 4.6 by $\mathcal{E}_0(\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ for instance, where \mathbf{D}_s is the data $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}_s)$. One would of course ask for the dependence of $\mathcal{E}_0(\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ on the local representatives $\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}$ and \mathbf{D}_s . It is not hard to see that if $\{\varphi'_{\tau'}\}$ is another choice of representative of φ , there exists another data $\mathbf{D}'_s = (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}'_s)$ such that $\mathcal{E}_0(\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}, \mathbf{D}_s) = \mathcal{E}_0(\{\varphi'_{\tau'}\}, \mathbf{D}'_s)$. To prove this, first note that for each $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ and each lift τ' of it, $G_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\tau')$ is independent of the choice of local representative of φ . It remains to consider the gluing maps $\{\tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g)\}$. For each $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}$, $f_{\tau'} := \varphi'_{\tau'} - \varphi_{\tau'}$ is an affine function defined on $W_{\tau'}$. Recall that we have

$$\bar{s}_{\tau'_1\tau'_2\tau'_3} = k_{\tau'_1\tau'_2} k_{\tau'_2\tau'_3} k_{\tau'_1\tau'_3}^{-1}.$$

If we define

$$k'_{\tau'_1\tau'_2} = k_{\tau'_1\tau'_2} \bar{s}_g(f_{\tau'_2}) \in \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

then

$$\bar{s}_{g_1}(m'_{\tau'_2}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})) \bar{s}_{g_2}(m'_{\tau'_3}(\sigma^{(\alpha)})) \bar{s}_{g_3}(m'_{\tau'_1}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}))^{-1} = k'_{\tau'_1\tau'_2} k'_{\tau'_2\tau'_3} k_{\tau'_1\tau'_3}^{-1}.$$

Thus if we modify $H_{\bar{s}}(g)$ by $\mathbf{k}'_s := \{k'_{\tau'_1\tau'_2}\}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.6, we have

$$\tilde{H}'_{\bar{s}}(g) = \tilde{H}_{\bar{s}}(g).$$

Thus we have $\mathcal{E}_0(\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}, \mathbf{D}_s) = \mathcal{E}_0(\{\varphi'_{\tau'}\}, \mathbf{D}'_s)$.

Definition 4.8. Let \mathbb{L} be a tropical Lagrangian multi-section define over (B, \mathcal{P}) and s be an open gluing data for the fan picture and \bar{s} be its associated closed gluing data. Suppose $\text{o}_{\mathbb{L}}([s]) = 1$ and denote the data $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}_s)$ by \mathbf{D}_s , where \mathbf{g} as in Definition 4.1 and $\mathbf{h}_s = (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}_s)$ as in Theorem 4.6. We denote the locally free sheaf obtained in Theorem 4.6 by $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$. The set of all \mathbf{D}_s is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L})$. We say \mathbb{L} is unobstructed if $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}) \neq \emptyset$ and a pair $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ is called a tropical Lagrangian brane.

Remark 4.9. One can enrich \mathbb{L} by a \mathbb{C}^\times -local system \mathcal{L} on the domain L . Regarding it as a constructible sheaf on L , we obtain a set of specialization maps $\{f_{\tau'_1\tau'_2}\}_{\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ that represent \mathcal{L} . Given $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{L})$, one can twist the gluing data \mathbf{h} by setting

$$h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}^{\mathcal{L}}(g) := h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}(g) f_{\tau'_1\tau'_2},$$

where $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ and $\tau'_1, \tau'_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$ are lifts of τ_1, τ_2 that are uniquely determined by requiring $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2 \subset \sigma^{(\alpha)} \cap \sigma^{(\beta)}$. By the definition that $h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}(g) \neq 0$ only if $\sigma^{(\alpha)}, \sigma^{(\beta)}$ contain a common lift of τ_1 , it is easy to see that $\mathbf{D}^{\mathcal{L}} := (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}^{\mathcal{L}}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{L})$.

5. TROPICAL LOCALLY FREE SHEAVES AND THEIR ASSOCIATED TROPICAL LAGRANGIAN MULTI-SECTION

As we have seen in the construction of $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ to a strata $X_\tau \subset X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ is actually a toric vector bundle whose equivariant structure is determined by the fan structure $S_{\tau'} : W_{\tau'} \rightarrow L_{\tau'}$ and the choice of local representative of $\varphi|_{W_{\tau'}}$. See Remark 4.4 for the description of the equivariant structure. Recall that a strata X_τ of a toric variety X_Σ is actually a closed orbit in X_Σ with respect to the big torus action. Hence if \mathcal{E} is a toric vector bundle on X_Σ , its restriction $\mathcal{E}|_{X_\tau}$ admits an induced big torus action and the inclusion map $\mathcal{E}|_{X_\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is equivariant with respect to the big torus action. It guides us to look at the following type of locally free sheaf over $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$.

Definition 5.1. *Let \mathcal{E}_0 be a locally free sheaf on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ and for $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$, put $\mathcal{E}(\tau) := q_\tau^* \mathcal{E}_0$. A tropical structure on \mathcal{E}_0 is a choice of toric vector bundle structure on $\mathcal{E}(\tau) := q_\tau^* \mathcal{E}_0$ such that for any $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ and toric indecomposable summand $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2)$ of $\mathcal{E}(\tau_2)$, there exists character χ_g on X_{τ_1} such that the embedding $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2) \hookrightarrow F_{\bar{s}}(g)^*(\mathcal{E}(\tau_1) \otimes (\chi_g))$ is $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant. A locally free sheaf on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$ that admits a tropical structure is called a tropical locally free sheaf.*

Definition 5.1 makes sense because of the following results.

Theorem 5.2 (=Theorem 1.2.3 + Corollary 1.2.4 in [2]). *Let E, F be two toric vector bundles over a complete toric variety X_Σ . Then the following statement are true.*

- (1) *E is indecomposable torically if and only if it is indecomposable as a ordinary vector bundle.*
- (2) *If E is a indecomposable summand of F , then there exists a character χ such that $E \otimes (\chi)$ is a toric summand of F .*

In particular, two indecomposable toric vector bundles E, F on a toric variety are isomorphic as ordinary vector bundles if and only if $E \cong F \otimes (\chi)$ as toric vector bundles, for some character χ .

Proposition 5.3 (=Proposition 1.2.6 in [2]). *Indecomposable summands of a toric vector bundle over a complete toric variety is unique up to reordering.*

As a whole, we obtain a classification of toric vector bundle structures on a vector bundle.

Corollary 5.4. *Let E be a toric vector over a complete toric variety X_Σ . Then indecomposable summands of E are toric vector bundle. Suppose F is a toric vector bundle such that $F \cong E$ as ordinary vector bundles. Then by shifting indecomposable summands of F , we have $F \cong E$ as toric vector bundles.*

Proof. Indecomposable summands of a vector bundle over complete reduced scheme are unique. Hence they must be the toric indecomposable summands of E by (1) in Theorem 5.2. If $F \cong E$ as ordinary vector bundles, then their indecomposable summands are isomorphic. By (2) in Theorem 5.2, they are torically isomorphic up to shift of characters. \square

The construction in Section 4 indeed gave us tropical locally sheaves.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ be a tropical Lagrangian brane. Then $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ is tropical.*

Proof. Choose any representative $\{\varphi_{\tau'}\}$ of φ to give $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'))$ a structure of toric vector bundle over the strata X_τ . For $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2$, recall that the gluing isomorphism $H_{\bar{s}}(g) : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_2)) \rightarrow F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau_1))$ takes the form

$$1_{\sigma(\alpha)}(\tau'_2) \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^r k_{\tau'_1(\alpha)\tau'_2(\alpha)}^{-1} h_{\sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta)}(g) z^{m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma(\alpha)) - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma(\beta))} F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* 1_{\sigma(\beta)}(\tau'_1)$$

on the chart $V_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \sigma} \subset X_{\tau_2}$ with respect to equivariant frames. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$. Applying λ to the left hand side, we have

$$\lambda \cdot 1_{\sigma}^{(\alpha)}(\tau'_2) = \lambda^{p_g^* m_{\tau'_2}(\sigma(\alpha))} 1_{\sigma}^{(\alpha)}(\tau'_2),$$

while when λ is applied to the right hand side, we have

$$\lambda^{m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma(\alpha))} \sum_{\beta=1}^r k_{\tau'_1(\alpha)\tau'_2(\alpha)}^{-1} h_{\sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta)}(g) z^{m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma(\alpha)) - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma(\beta))} F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* 1_{\tau'_1}^{(\beta)}(\sigma).$$

As $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2$, the slope difference $f := p_g^* m_{\tau'_2}(\sigma') - m_{\tau'_1}(\sigma')$ is independent of σ' as long as $\sigma' \supset \tau'_2$, which means $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1}^*$. This affine function gives a character χ_f on X_{τ_1} . Then it is easy to see that the map $H_{\bar{s}}(g)|_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'_2))} : \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'_2)) \rightarrow F_{\bar{s}}(g)^*(\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'_1)) \otimes (\chi_f))$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant embedding. In particular, $H_{\bar{s}}(g)$ is equivariant on any indecomposable summands of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau'_2))$. \square

Given a tropical locally free sheaf \mathcal{E}_0 on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$, we now construct a tropical Lagrangian multi-section over (B, \mathcal{P}) . Let $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$. By assumption, $\mathcal{E}(\tau) := q_\tau^* \mathcal{E}_0$ admits a structure of toric vector bundle over the toric strata X_τ . Let $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ be an indecomposable summand of $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ and define

$$\tau^{(\alpha)} := \tau \times \{\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau)\}.$$

As $\tau^{(\alpha)} \cong \tau$ via the first projection, we also refer them as *cells*. From now on, we write $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ as $\mathcal{E}(\tau^{(\alpha)})$ and $\mu_{\tau^{(\alpha)}}$ for the multiplicity of $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ in $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$. Let $\mathcal{P}'(\tau)$ be the collection of all cells (counting with multiplicity) with the first projection being τ . For $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, we define $\mathfrak{p}_{\tau_2\tau_1} : \mathcal{P}'(\tau_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'(\tau_1)$ by mapping τ_2' to τ_1' for which $\mathcal{E}(\tau_2')$ is summand of $F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\tau_1')$ via the equality $\mathcal{E}(\tau_2) = F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\tau_1)$. Since \mathcal{E}_0 is a global sheaf on $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$, it is clear that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\tau_2\tau_1} \circ \mathfrak{p}_{\tau_3\tau_2} = \mathfrak{p}_{\tau_3\tau_1},$$

whenever $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2 \subset \tau_3$. Define $\mu : \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by

$$\mu(\tau') := \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}(\tau')).$$

Then it is clear that $(\{\mathcal{P}'(\tau)\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}, \{\mathfrak{p}_{\tau_2\tau_1}\}_{\tau_1 \subset \tau_2}, \mu)$ defines an abstract branched covering (see Appendix A for this notion) over (B, \mathcal{P}) . By the construction in Appendix A, the data $(\{\mathcal{P}'(\tau)\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}, \{\mathfrak{p}_{\tau_2\tau_1}\}_{\tau_1 \subset \tau_2}, \mu)$ induce a branched covering map of tropical spaces and we denote it by $\pi_{\mathcal{E}_0} : (L_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mu_{\mathcal{E}_0}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$.

It remains to construct the fan structure and the piecewise linear function. Let's first make the following

Definition 5.6. *Let Σ be a complete fan. Two tropical Lagrangian multi-sections $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2$ over Σ is said to be differ by a shift of affine function if there exists an isomorphism of weighted cone complexes $f : (L_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2)$ such that $\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ f$ and $f^* \varphi_2 - \varphi_1$ is an affine function on L_1 .*

Let $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$ be the associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section (see [11] or [15] for the construction) of an indecomposable summand $\mathcal{E}(\tau')$, which is always separable (Definition 3.13 and Proposition 3.21 in [15]). By Theorem 5.2, different choice of equivariant structure on $\mathcal{E}(\tau')$ only leads to a shift of affine function on $L_{\tau'}$.

For $e : \tau \rightarrow \sigma$ and $\tau' \subset \sigma'$, $\mathcal{E}(\sigma')$ is by definition an indecomposable summand of $F_{\bar{s}}(e)^* \mathcal{E}(\tau')$. Hence by Theorem 5.2, up to a shift of affine function if necessary, the tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\sigma'}$ is a localization (see Appendix B for this notion) of $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$ along some cone in $\Sigma_{\sigma'}$ whose projection to Σ_τ is $K_\tau(\sigma)$. By Theorem B.4, such cone is unique as $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$ is separable. Define $S_{\tau'}|_{\sigma' \cap W_{\tau'}} : \sigma' \cap W_{\tau'} \rightarrow K_{\tau'}(\sigma')$ by

$$x' \mapsto ((S_\tau \circ \pi)(x'), m_{\tau'}(\sigma')),$$

for $x' \in \sigma' \cap W_{\tau'}$ and $m_{\tau'}(\sigma')$ the slope of $\varphi_{\tau'}$ on the cone $K_{\tau'}(\sigma')$. Since $\varphi_{\tau'}$ is continuous, it is not hard to see that $S_{\tau'}$ is well-defined and continuous. This gives the desired fan structure $S_{\tau'} : W_{\tau'} \rightarrow |L_{\tau'}|$. Define $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}_0} := \{S_{\tau'}^* \varphi_{\tau'}\}_{\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'}$. By Theorem 5.2, shifting $\mathbb{L}_{\tau_2'}$ by an affine function if necessary, we may assume it is a localization of $\mathbb{L}_{\tau_1'}$ if $\mathcal{E}(\tau_2')$ is a summand of $\mathcal{E}(\tau_1')|_{X_{\tau_2'}}$, which means $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}_0} \in H^0(L_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathcal{MPL}_{\mathcal{E}_0})$.

Definition 5.7. *Let \mathcal{E}_0 be a tropical locally free sheaf over $X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)$. The data $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0} := (L_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mu_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \varphi_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ is called the associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section of \mathcal{E}_0 .*

Given a tropical locally free sheaf \mathcal{E}_0 , we can also associate a data $\mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0) = (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}_s) \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0})$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \cong \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0)).$$

Indeed, \mathbf{g} exists because of the fact that each $\mathcal{E}(\tau')$ is toric. For \mathbf{h}_s , note that for $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, by definition, $\mathcal{E}(\tau_2')$ is mapped to a summand of $F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}(\tau_1')$ for a unique τ_1' . Let $\{1_\sigma^{(\alpha)}(\tau_1')\}, \{1_\sigma^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2')\}$ be equivariant frame of $\mathcal{E}(\tau_1'), \mathcal{E}(\tau_2')$, respectively. By definition, there is a character χ_g so that the natural map $H_{\bar{s}}(g) : \mathcal{E}(\tau_2') \rightarrow F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* (\mathcal{E}(\tau_1') \otimes (\chi_g))$ is $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant. Let $1_{\chi_g}(\sigma)$ be an equivariant frame of (χ_g) on the chart $V_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma} \subset X_{\tau_1}$. Then $H_{\bar{s}}(g)$ is of the form

$$1_\sigma^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2') \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^{\mu(\tau_1')} h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}(g)_s z^{m_{\tau_1'}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1'}(\sigma^{(\beta)})} F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* (1_\sigma^{(\beta)}(\tau_1') \otimes 1_\sigma(\chi_g)),$$

for some $h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}(g)_s \in \mathbb{C}$ that is non-zero only if $m_{\tau_1'}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1'}(\sigma^{(\beta)}) \in K_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \sigma}^\vee \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\tau_2}^*$. As (χ_g) is just the trivial line bundle on X_{τ_1} , we have a well-define map

$$1_\sigma^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2') \mapsto \sum_{\beta=1}^{\mu(\tau_1')} h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}(g)_s z^{m_{\tau_1'}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau_1'}(\sigma^{(\beta)})} F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* 1_\sigma^{(\beta)}(\tau_1').$$

This gives the data \mathbf{h}_s . On the other hand, the assignment

$$\mathbb{L} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s) \mapsto \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)}$$

rarely be the identity, even in the case of one toric piece [15]. This indicates the fact that non-Hamiltonian equivalent Lagrangian branes can still be equivalent in the derived Fukaya category (see [3] for this phenomenon). In our case, although \mathbb{L} and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)}$ are not isomorphic, they are related by a covering morphism (c.f. Definition 3.11).

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$. There is a covering morphism $f : \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$.*

Proof. For $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$, let $S(\tau')$ be the set of all lifts $\tau^{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ of $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ so that

$$\bigoplus_{\tau^{(\alpha)} \in S(\tau')} \mathcal{E}(\tau^{(\alpha)}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{g}(\tau')).$$

Then f is defined to be mapping all cells in $S(\tau')$ to $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$. It is clear from the definition of f that it is continuous, preserves polyhedral compositions, the piecewise linear functions and satisfies $Tr_f(\mu_{\mathcal{E}_0}) = \mu$. \square

We end this section by proving the following

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\Xi \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a polytope centered at the origin so that the natural affine structure with singularities on the boundary $B := \partial\Xi$ is integral and Σ be the fan obtained by taking cones of proper faces of Ξ . Let X_{Σ} be the projective toric variety associated to a Σ and $X_0 := \partial X_{\Sigma}$ the toric boundary. Then $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{E}|_{X_0}$ is a tropical locally free sheaf.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be given by proper faces of Ξ . The affine structure on B is given by the fan structure

$$W_v \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}\langle v \rangle,$$

for $v \in \Xi$ a vertex. By assumption, this affine structure with singularities is integral and we have $X_0 = X_0(B, \mathcal{P})$. Since each strata of X_0 is a toric strata of X_{Σ} , each $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ has a natural $N \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -equivariant structure. Since \mathcal{E} is toric, it has the corresponding tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}_{Σ} over Σ . Denote the piecewise linear function on \mathbb{L}_{Σ} by φ . For each proper face $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$, let $K(\tau) \in \Sigma$ be the corresponding cone. Choose a splitting ι_{τ} of the projection $p_{\tau} : N \rightarrow N/(\mathbb{R}K(\tau) \cap N) \cong \mathcal{Q}_{\tau}$ to equip $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ a $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -equivariant structure over X_{τ} . Let $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ be a toric indecomposable summand of $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{\tau}^{(\alpha)}$ be its associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section. It has been shown in Theorem B.4 that $\mathbb{L}_{\tau_2}^{(\alpha)}$ is a localization of \mathbb{L}_{Σ} and

$$f_{\tau} := p_{\tau}^* \varphi_{\tau}^{(\alpha)} - \varphi$$

is an affine function in a neighborhood of the cone that we localized. Therefore, for $g : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$,

$$p_{\tau_1}^* \varphi_{\tau_1}^{(\beta)} - p_{\tau_2}^* \varphi_{\tau_2}^{(\alpha)}$$

is also an affine function as long as $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2) \subset F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}^{(\beta)}(\tau_1)$. Note that we have $p_{\tau_2} = p_g \circ p_{\tau_1}$ and ι_{τ}^* is a left inverse of p_{τ}^* . By applying $\iota_{\tau_1}^*$, the difference

$$\varphi_{\tau_1}^{(\beta)} - p_g^* \varphi_{\tau_2}^{(\alpha)}$$

is an affine function. This affine function gives a character χ_g on X_{τ_1} so that $\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(\tau_2) \subset F_{\bar{s}}(g)^* \mathcal{E}^{(\beta)}(\tau_1)$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -equivariant embedding. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.9 provides us an abundant source of examples of tropical locally free sheaves that is *smoothable*. Indeed, when X_0 is the central fiber of a family of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces $\mathcal{X} \subset X_{\Sigma}$. By simply restricting the toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X_{Σ} to the family \mathcal{X} , the pair (X_0, \mathcal{E}_0) is tautologically smoothable to $(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_t})$. Although the smoothing problem in this case is trivial, it does provide us many examples of tropical locally free sheaves on X_0 that can be smoothed in *any* dimension.

6. THE CORRESPONDENCE

In this section, we would like to establish a correspondence between the set of tropical free sheaves modulo isomorphism and the set of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections modulo certain non-trivial equivalence.

If two tropical locally free sheaves $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}'_0$ are isomorphic, then for any $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{E}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{E}'(\tau)$ as ordinary vector bundles on the strata X_τ . By Corollary 5.4, their indecomposable summands are isomorphic as toric vector bundles up to shift of characters on X_τ . Hence the associated tropical Lagrangian multi-sections of their indecomposable summand only differ from each other by shifts of affine functions. This gives a covering isomorphism $f : \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}'_0}$ between their associated tropical Lagrangian multi-sections. We define the equivalence between data in $\mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L})$ on a fixed tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} tautologically.

Definition 6.1. *Let \mathbb{L} be an unobstructed tropical Lagrangian multi-section over (B, \mathcal{P}) and $\mathbf{D}_s, \mathbf{D}'_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L})$. We write $\mathbf{D}_s \sim \mathbf{D}'_s$ if $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s) \cong \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}'_s)$.*

Given two unobstructed tropical Lagrangian multi-sections $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2$ over (B, \mathcal{P}) and a data $\mathbf{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}_2)$. Suppose $f : \mathbb{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$ is a covering isomorphism. It is easy to provide a data $f_*\mathbf{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}_1)$ for \mathbb{L}_1 so that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}_1, f_*\mathbf{D}_s) \cong \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{E}_0 \cong \mathcal{E}'_0$, then $f_*\mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0) \sim \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}'_0)$. However, as we have pointed out in Section 5 that non-isomorphic tropical Lagrangian multi-sections can still give rise to isomorphic tropical locally free sheaves after choosing suitable brane data. These tropical Lagrangian multi-sections should be regarded as equivalent objects in some sense. In the remaining part of this section, we explore this non-trivial equivalence.

Definition 6.2. *Let $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2$ be two tropical Lagrangian multi-sections of same degree over (B, \mathcal{P}) . We write $\mathbb{L}_1 \leq \mathbb{L}_2$ if there exists a covering morphism $f : \mathbb{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$.*

Given a covering morphism $f : \mathbb{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$ and data $\mathbf{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}_2)$, we can define the *push-forward* $f_*\mathbf{D}_s$ of \mathbf{D}_s by

$$(f_*g)_{f(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)})f(\sigma_2^{(\beta)})}(f(\tau')) := g_{\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}\sigma_2^{(\beta)}}(\tau'), (f_*h)_{f(\sigma^{(\alpha)})f(\sigma^{(\beta)})}(g) := h_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}\sigma^{(\beta)}}(g),$$

where $\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}, \sigma_2^{(\beta)}, \tau'$ are some choices of preimage cells of $f(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}), f(\sigma_2^{(\beta)}), f(\tau')$ such that

$$m_{f(\tau')}(f(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)})) = m_{\tau'}(\sigma_1^{(\alpha)}), m_{f(\tau')}(f(\sigma_2^{(\beta)})) = m_{\tau'}(\sigma_2^{(\beta)})$$

and $\tau' \subset \sigma_1^{(\alpha)} \cap \sigma_2^{(\beta)}$. It is straightforward to check that $f_*\mathbf{D}_s \in \mathcal{D}_s(\mathbb{L}_1)$. Different choices of preimage cells of $f(\sigma^{(\alpha)})$ amount a permutation of the ordered frame $\{1_{\sigma^{(\alpha)}}(\tau)\}_{\alpha=1}^r$ that preserve the $\mathcal{Q}_\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Hence such choice won't affect the resulting tropical locally free sheaf.

Definition 6.3. *Let $(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)}), (\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s^{(2)})$ be two tropical Lagrangian branes. We write $(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)}) \leq (\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s^{(2)})$ if there exists a covering morphism $f : \mathbb{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_1$ such that $f_*\mathbf{D}_s^{(2)} \sim \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)}$.*

Definition 6.4. *Let $(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)}), (\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s^{(2)})$ be two tropical Lagrangian branes. We write $(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)}) \sim (\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s^{(2)})$ if there exists a tropical Lagrangian brane $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ over (B, \mathcal{P}) such that $(\mathbb{L}_i, \mathbf{D}_s^{(i)}) \leq (\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$, for all $i = 1, 2$. We say $(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)})$ is combinatorially equivalent to $(\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s^{(2)})$ if there exists a sequence of tropical Lagrangian branes $(\mathbb{L}'_1, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(1)}), (\mathbb{L}'_2, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(2)}), \dots, (\mathbb{L}'_k, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(k)})$ over (B, \mathcal{P}) such that $(\mathbb{L}'_1, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(1)}) = (\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbf{D}_s^{(1)}), (\mathbb{L}'_k, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(k)}) = (\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbf{D}_s^{(2)})$ and $(\mathbb{L}'_{i+1}, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(i+1)}) \sim_c (\mathbb{L}'_i, \mathbf{D}_s'^{(i)})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.*

Remark 6.5. *The relation \sim_c is only reflexive and symmetric. The notion of combinatorially equivalence is the transitive closure of \sim_c and hence, an equivalent relation. Geometrically, $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2$ are combinatorially equivalent means one can fold or unfold cells of \mathbb{L}_1 to obtain \mathbb{L}_2 in finite steps.*

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TLFS}(X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)) &:= \frac{\{\text{Tropical locally free sheaves on } X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)\}}{\text{isomorphism}} \\ \text{TLB}(B, \mathcal{P}, s) &:= \frac{\{\text{Tropical Lagrangian branes over } (B, \mathcal{P}, s)\}}{\text{combinatorial equivalence}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.8, we have the following

Theorem 6.6. *We have a canonical bijection*

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{TLFS}(X_0(B, \mathcal{P}, s)) \rightarrow \text{TLB}(B, \mathcal{P}, s),$$

given by $\mathcal{E}_0 \mapsto (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0))$. Its inverse is given by $(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s)$.

Proof. We have seen that the composition

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \mapsto (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0)) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s(\mathcal{E}_0))$$

is the identity. It remains to show that the assignment $\mathcal{E}_0 \mapsto (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{D}_s)$ is surjective, that is, given $(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)$, whether \mathbb{L} is combinatorially equivalent to $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbb{L}, \mathbf{D}_s)}$. This follows immediately from Proposition 5.8. \square

Remark 6.7. *Since every vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 splits in to direct sum of line bundles, we see that the ramification locus S' of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is always of codimension at least 2. In particular, every tropical Lagrangian brane is combinatorial equivalent to a tropical Lagrangian brane with $\text{codim}(S') \geq 2$.*

APPENDIX A. CONSTRUCTION OF BRANCHED COVERING MAPS OF TROPICAL SPACES VIA DISCRETE DATA

Let B be an integral affine manifold with singularities and \mathcal{P} a polyhedral decomposition. Branched covering of tropical spaces over (B, \mathcal{P}) can be constructed via discrete data.

Definition A.1. *Let B be an integral affine manifold with singularities and \mathcal{P} be a polyhedral decomposition. A covering data over (B, \mathcal{P}) is a triple $(\mathfrak{P}, \mathbf{p}, \mu)$ that satisfies the following*

(1) $\mathfrak{P} := \{\mathcal{P}'(\tau)\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a collection of finite sets, parametrized by \mathcal{P} . We put

$$\mathcal{P}' := \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}'(\tau)} \mathcal{P}'(\tau).$$

(2) $\mathbf{p} := \{\mathbf{p}_{\tau_1\tau_2}\}_{\tau_2 \subset \tau_1}$ is a collection of surjections $\mathbf{p}_{\tau_1\tau_2} : \mathcal{P}'(\tau_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'(\tau_2)$ such that for $\tau_3 \subset \tau_2 \subset \tau_1$, we have $\mathbf{p}_{\tau_2\tau_3} \circ \mathbf{p}_{\tau_1\tau_2} = \mathbf{p}_{\tau_1\tau_3}$.

(3) $\mu : \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a function such that

$$\sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'(\tau)} \mu(\tau')$$

is a constant independent of $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$.

We construct a branched covering map between tropical spaces as follows. Define a partial ordering \subset' on \mathcal{P}' by setting

$$\tau'_1 \subset' \tau'_2 \iff \tau_1 \subset \tau_2 \text{ and } \mathbf{p}_{\tau_1\tau_2}(\tau'_2) = \tau'_1.$$

Equip $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ the poset topology, that is, a subset $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{P}'$ is closed if and only if it satisfies

$$\sigma' \in \mathcal{Q}' \text{ and } \tau' \subset' \sigma' \implies \tau' \in \mathcal{Q}'.$$

Then the map $\mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ given by $\tau' \mapsto \tau$ is continuous. There is another map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ mapping $x \in B$ to $\tau_x \in \mathcal{P}$, the unique cell such that $x \in \text{Int}(\tau_x)$, which is also continuous. Define the topological space

$$L := B \times_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}'.$$

It is not hard to see that L is in fact Hausdorff and paracompact. There is a collection of closed subsets $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}' \cong \mathcal{P}'$. We can then write $\tau'_1 \subset \tau'_2$ instead of $\tau'_1 \subset' \tau'_2$ if we regard τ'_1, τ'_2 as subsets in L . Let $\pi : L \rightarrow B$ be the first projection. It maps elements in \mathcal{P}' homeomorphic to elements in \mathcal{P} . In particular, we can talk about the relative interior an element $\tau' \in \mathcal{P}'$, namely,

$$\text{Int}(\tau') := \pi^{-1}(\text{Int}(\tau)) \cap \tau'$$

Define $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by

$$\mu : x' \mapsto \mu(\tau'_{x'}),$$

where $\tau'_{x'}$ is the unique element in \mathcal{P}' for which $x' \in \text{Int}(\tau'_{x'})$. Define the *sheaf of piecewise linear functions* on L to be the sheaf

$$\mathcal{PL}_{\mathcal{P}'}(U') := \{\varphi \in C^0(U', \mathbb{R}) : \varphi|_{U' \cap \text{Int}(\sigma')} \circ \pi|_{U' \cap \text{Int}(\sigma')}^{-1} \text{ is an affine function for all } \sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{max}\}$$

and the *sheaf of affine functions* on L to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$\mathcal{Aff}_L(U') := \varinjlim_{U \supset \pi(U')} \mathcal{Aff}_B(U).$$

We have $\mathbb{R}_L \subset \mathcal{A}ff_L \subset \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}'}$. Define $\pi^\# : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}'}$ by pulling back a germ of piecewise linear functions on B to L . It is clear that $\pi^\#$ preserves affine functions. Hence $\pi : (L, \mathcal{P}', \mu) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{P})$ is a branched covering map between tropical spaces that preserves polyhedral decompositions.

APPENDIX B. LOCALIZATION

Let Σ be a complete fan and \mathbb{L} a tropical Lagrangian multi-section over it. We look at \mathbb{L} in a neighborhood of a cone $\tau' \in \Sigma'$ and construct another tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$ called the *localization of \mathbb{L} along τ'* .

Fix $\tau \in \Sigma$. Let $\iota_\tau : N_\tau \rightarrow N$ be a lift of $p_\tau : N \rightarrow N_\tau$, which induces a projection $\iota_\tau^* : M \rightarrow M_\tau$ and a fan Σ_τ on $N_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$. For any cone $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ such that $\pi(\sigma') = \sigma \supset \tau$, the slope $m(\sigma') \in M(\sigma)$ gives an element

$$m_\tau(\sigma') := \iota_\tau^* m(\sigma') \in M_\tau / (\sigma^\perp \cap M_\tau),$$

Define $K_\tau(\sigma') := K_\tau(\sigma) \times \{m_\tau(\sigma')\} \in \Sigma'_\tau$. Let $\tau' \in \Sigma'$ be a lift of τ . Define

$$\text{Star}^\circ(\tau') := \bigcup_{\sigma' \supset \tau'} \text{Int}(\sigma'),$$

the open star of τ' and

$$\Sigma'_{\tau'} := \{K_\tau(\sigma') \mid \sigma' \supset \tau'\}.$$

We write an element in $\Sigma'_{\tau'}$ as $K_{\tau'}(\sigma')$ to emphasis its dependence on τ' . This gives a topological space

$$L_{\tau'} := |\Sigma_\tau| \times_{\Sigma_\tau} \Sigma'_{\tau'},$$

a projection $\pi_{\tau'} : L_{\tau'} \rightarrow |\Sigma_\tau|$, a multiplicity map $\mu_{\tau'}(K_{\tau'}(\sigma')) := \mu(\sigma')$, and a piecewise linear function

$$\varphi_{\tau'}|_{K_{\tau'}(\sigma')} := m_\tau(\sigma').$$

The slope of $\varphi_{\tau'}$ on a cone $K_{\tau'}(\sigma') \in \Sigma'_{\tau'}$ will be denoted by $m_{\tau'}(\sigma') \in M_\tau$, which is of course, equals to $m_\tau(\sigma')$. It is clear that $(L_{\tau'}, \Sigma'_{\tau'}, \mu_{\tau'}, \pi_{\tau'}, \varphi_{\tau'})$ is a tropical Lagrangian multi-section over Σ_τ . We denote it by $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$. It's degree is given by $\mu(\tau')$.

To understand the relation between φ and $\varphi_{\tau'}$, we define a projection $p_{\tau'} : \text{Star}^\circ(\tau') \rightarrow L_{\tau'}$ by setting

$$p_{\tau'}|_{\text{Int}(\sigma')} : \text{Int}(\sigma') \rightarrow K_\tau(\sigma) \times \{m_\tau(\sigma')\},$$

where the first component is given by $p_\tau \circ \pi|_{\text{Star}^\circ(\tau')}$. Clearly, $p_{\tau'}$ maps cones to cones and by construction, we have

$$\pi_{\tau'} \circ p_{\tau'} = p_\tau \circ \pi|_{\text{Star}^\circ(\tau')}.$$

For $\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2 \in \Sigma'(n)$ such that $\tau' \subset \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2$, by continuity of φ , we have

$$m(\sigma'_1) - m(\sigma'_2) = p_\tau^*(m_{\tau'}),$$

for some $m_{\tau'} \in \tau^\perp \cap M = M_\tau$. As $\iota_\tau^* : M \rightarrow M_\tau$ is the left inverse of the inclusion $p_\tau^* : M_\tau \rightarrow M$, we have

$$m_{\tau'}(\sigma'_1) - m_{\tau'}(\sigma'_2) = \iota_\tau^*(m(\sigma'_1) - m(\sigma'_2)) = \iota_\tau^* p_\tau^*(m_{\tau'}) = m_{\tau'}.$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad p_\tau^*(m_{\tau'}(\sigma'_1) - m_{\tau'}(\sigma'_2)) = m(\sigma'_1) - m(\sigma'_2).$$

For τ' and a maximal cone $\sigma' \supset \tau'$, the function

$$f_{\tau'} := p_\tau^* m_{\tau'}(\sigma') - m(\sigma') \in M$$

is independent of $\sigma' \supset \tau'$ and hence a linear function defined on $\text{Star}^\circ(\tau')$. Thus

$$(6) \quad p_{\tau'}^* \varphi_{\tau'} = \varphi + f_{\tau'}$$

on $\text{Star}^\circ(\tau')$. We can generalize this to arbitrary pair of stratum as follows. For $\tau'_2 \subset \tau'_1$, we have $\text{Star}^\circ(\tau'_1) \subset \text{Star}^\circ(\tau'_2)$, so the difference

$$p_{\tau'_1}^* \varphi_{\tau'_1} - p_{\tau'_2}^* \varphi_{\tau'_2}$$

is a linear function on $\text{Star}^\circ(\tau'_1)$. As a whole, we proved the following

Theorem B.1. *For any $\tau \in \Sigma$, by choosing a lift of the projection $p_\tau : N \rightarrow N_\tau$, there is a collection of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections $\{\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}\}_{\tau':\pi(\tau')=\tau}$ over Σ_τ such that, for each lift τ' of τ , there is a map $p_{\tau'} : \text{Star}^\circ(\tau') \rightarrow L_{\tau'}$ so that*

$$\pi_{\tau'} \circ p_{\tau'} = p_\tau \circ \pi|_{\text{Star}^\circ(\tau')}.$$

Moreover, for $\tau'_2 \subset \tau'_1$, the difference

$$p_{\tau'_1}^* \varphi_{\tau'_1} - p_{\tau'_2}^* \varphi_{\tau'_2}$$

is an integral linear function on $\text{Star}^\circ(\tau'_1)$.

Recall the definition of separability introduced in [15], Definition 3.13.

Definition B.2. *A tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L} = (L, \Sigma_L, \mu, \pi, \varphi)$ over a fan Σ is said to be separable if it satisfies the following condition: For any $\tau \in \Sigma$ and distinct lifts $\tau^{(\alpha)}, \tau^{(\beta)} \in \Sigma_L$ of τ , we have $\varphi|_{\tau^{(\alpha)}} \neq \varphi|_{\tau^{(\beta)}}$.*

Separability is preserved under localization.

Proposition B.3. *If \mathbb{L} is separable, the tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$ is also separable.*

Proof. Let $K_\tau(\sigma) \in \Sigma_\tau$. Suppose $K_{\tau'}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}), K_{\tau'}(\sigma^{(\beta)})$ are two distinct lift of $K_\tau(\sigma)$ and contain distinct lifts of $K_\tau(\sigma)$. In particular, $\sigma^{(\alpha)} \neq \sigma^{(\beta)}$. As we have seen, the difference $p_{\tau'}^* \varphi_{\tau'} - \varphi$ is an affine function on $\text{Star}^\circ(\tau')$. Thus

$$m(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m(\sigma^{(\beta)}) = p_{\tau'}^*(m_{\tau'}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau'}(\sigma^{(\beta)})).$$

By separability, $m(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) \neq m(\sigma^{(\beta)})$. Hence for any $v \in \tau$,

$$\left(m_{\tau'}(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m_{\tau'}(\sigma^{(\beta)})\right)(p_\tau(v)) = \left(m(\sigma^{(\alpha)}) - m(\sigma^{(\beta)})\right)(v) \neq 0.$$

Hence $\mathbb{L}_{\tau'}$ is also separable. \square

The relation between restriction and localization is given by the following

Theorem B.4. *Let \mathcal{E} be a toric vector bundle on X_Σ and $X_\tau \subset X_\Sigma$ be a toric strata. Let $\mathcal{E}_\tau := \mathcal{E}|_{X_\tau}$. By choosing a lift of $p_\tau : N \rightarrow N/(\mathbb{R}\tau \cap N)$, $\mathcal{E}_\tau^{(\alpha)}$ admits a structure of toric vector bundle over X_τ . Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}_\tau^{(\alpha)}$ is an indecomposable summand of \mathcal{E}_τ , the associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_\tau^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^{(\alpha)}$ is a localization of $\mathbb{L}_\mathcal{E}$ along a unique cone.*

Proof. Let $\iota_\tau : N/(\mathbb{R}\tau \cap N) \rightarrow N$ be a lift of the projection $p_\tau : N \rightarrow N/(\mathbb{R}\tau \cap N)$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\bar{\lambda} \cdot v := \iota_\tau(\bar{\lambda}) \cdot v$$

defines a toric vector bundle structure on \mathcal{E}_τ over X_τ . It is by construction that the tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}_τ associated to \mathcal{E}_τ is a localization of $\mathbb{L}_\mathcal{E}$. Since $\mathcal{E}_\tau^{(\alpha)}$ is a summand, it must be toric by Corollary 5.4. There is an inclusion $\mathbb{L}_\tau^{(\alpha)} \subset \mathbb{L}_\tau$ which covering $|\Sigma|$. Hence $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_\tau^{(\alpha)}}$ is also a localization of $\mathbb{L}_\mathcal{E}$. Separability of $\mathbb{L}_\mathcal{E}$ implies the cone that we localize is unique. \square

REFERENCES

1. M. Akaho and D. Joyce, *Immersed Lagrangian Floer theory*, J. Differential Geom. **86** (2010), no. 3, 381–500.
2. A Klyachko Alexander, *Equivariant bundles on toral varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **35** (1990), 337–375.
3. K. Chan and Y.-H. Suen, *SYZ transforms for immersed Lagrangian multisections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 8, 5747–5780.
4. Kwokwai Chan, Ziming Nikolas Ma, and Yat-Hin Suen, *Tropical Lagrangian multi-sections and smoothing of locally free sheaves over degenerate Calabi-Yau surfaces*, to be appear in Adv. in Math, arXiv:2004.00523.
5. Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Part I*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
6. M. Gross and B. Siebert, *Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data. I*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), no. 2, 169–338.
7. ———, *Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data, II*, J. Algebraic Geom. **19** (2010), no. 4, 679–780.
8. ———, *From real affine geometry to complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 3, 1301–1428.
9. Tamafumi Kaneyama, *On equivariant vector bundles on an almost homogeneous variety*, Nagoya Math. J. **57** (1975), 65–86. MR 376680
10. M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 120–139.

11. S. Payne, *Toric vector bundles, branched covers of fans, and the resolution property*, J. Algebraic Geom. **18** (2009), no. 1, 1–36.
12. C. Renzo, G. Andreas, and M. Hannah, *Tropical ψ classes*, preprint (2021), arXiv:2009.00586.
13. H. Ruddat and B. Siebert, *Period integrals from wall structures via tropical cycles, canonical coordinates in mirror symmetry and analyticity of toric degenerations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **132** (2020), 1–82.
14. A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T-duality*, Nuclear Phys. B **479** (1996), no. 1-2, 243–259.
15. Y.-H. Suen, *Tropical Lagrangian multi-sections and toric vector bundles*, preprint (2021), arXiv:2106.05705.

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE (IBS), POHANG 37673, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: yhsuen@ibs.re.kr