

NUMERICAL AND KODAIRA DIMENSIONS OF COTANGENT BUNDLES

FRÉDÉRIC CAMPANA

ABSTRACT. We conjecture the equality of the numerical and Kodaira dimensions $\nu_1^*(X)$ and $\kappa_1^*(X)$ for the cotangent bundle of a compact Kähler manifold X , similar to the classical case of the canonical bundle. We show it or reduce it to the classical case of the canonical bundle in some peculiar manifolds: among them, the rationally connected ones, or resolutions of varieties with klt singularities and trivial first Chern class, in which case we show that $\nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X) = q'(X) - \dim(X)$, where $q'(X)$ is the maximal irregularity of a finite étale cover of X . The proof rests on the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition, and a direct computation for smooth models of quotients A/G of complex tori by finite groups. We conjecture that these equalities hold true, much more generally, when X is ‘special’. The invariant κ_1^* was already introduced and studied by Fumio Sakai in [43], the particular case of the preceding conjecture when $\kappa_1^*(X) = -\dim(X)$ was introduced and studied in [29].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction: Cotangent dimensions.	2
2. Rationally connected manifolds.	6
2.1. Uniruledness and rational connectedness	6
2.2. Relative version	6
2.3. Divisors partially supported on the fibres of a fibration.	9
2.4. Motivations for the Conjecture 1.4	10
3. Submanifolds with ample normal bundle in Abelian varieties and Projective spaces.	11
4. Klt varieties with $c_1 = 0$ and their smooth models.	12
4.1. Comparing ν_1 and q^+ .	12
4.2. Comparing ν_1 and q' .	13
4.3. Comparing ν_1 and κ .	14
5. Torus quotients.	14
5.1. An elementary lemma on group actions.	14
5.2. Smooth models of torus quotients.	15
5.3. Proof of Lemma 5.14.	18
5.4. Proof of Lemma 5.16.	23
5.5. Decomposition of torus quotients.	24

Date: March 8, 2022.

6. Remarks on Special Manifolds.	28
7. Remarks on Surfaces not of general type.	30
8. Pseudoeffectivity of subsheaves and quotients of Ω_X^p .	33
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION: COTANGENT DIMENSIONS.

Let X be a connected compact complex manifold X of dimension n , and L a line bundle on X . Two invariants are classically defined¹: $\nu(X, L) \geq \kappa(X, L) \in \{-\infty, 0, \dots, n\}$. Both are preserved by surjective pullbacks. We consider here the case when X is projective, or compact Kähler.

The most important invariants of bimeromorphic geometry are the canonical bundle $L := K_X$, and $\kappa(X) := \kappa(X, K_X)$, $\nu(X) := \nu(X, K_X)$.

A central conjecture, with far-reaching consequences, is:

Conjecture 1.1. *If X is compact Kähler, one has: $\kappa(X) = \nu(X)$.*

If E is a vector bundle of rank $r > 0$ on X , let $\pi : P_E := \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the bundle of hyperplanes of E over X and $L_E := \mathcal{O}_{P_E}(1)$.

Definition 1.2. *In this situation, define²:*

$$\nu(X, E) := \nu(P_E, L_E) - (r - 1) \text{ and } \kappa(X, E) := \kappa(P_E, L_E) - (r - 1).$$

We shall also use the equivalent invariants $\nu^(X, E), \kappa^*(X, E)$ which coincide with $\nu(X, E), \kappa(X, E)$ respectively, except that the value $-\infty$ is replaced by $-r$. These stated invariants behave better under products.*

We say that E is not pseudoeffective if L_E is not pseudoeffective, that is: if $\nu(E) = -\infty$.

Subtracting $(r - 1) = \dim(P_E) - \dim(X)$ is a natural normalisation. Indeed: it does not change anything when $r = 1$, $\kappa(X, T \otimes L) = \kappa(X, L)$ and $\nu(X, T \otimes L) = \nu(X, L)$ when T is a trivial bundle, L is any line bundle. Finally: $\kappa(X, E) = n$ if and only if E is big (ie: if so is L_E).

Example 1.3. *In general, $\nu(E) \geq \kappa(E)$, the inequality can be strict. Let, for example, X be complex projective of dimension $n > 0$, and let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$ be a non-split extension. Then $\kappa(X, E) = -1$, and $\nu(X, E) = 0$ (proof in 6.2).*

• The cases $E = \Omega_X^p, p > 0$, are of central interest for the bimeromorphic classification. We write $\nu(X, \Omega_X^p) := \nu_p(X)$, $\kappa(X, \Omega_X^p) := \kappa_p(X)$, and so: $\kappa_n(X) = \kappa(X)$. We shall also use the equivalent variants $\nu_p^*(X) := \nu^*(X, \Omega_X^p)$ and $\kappa_p^*(X) := \kappa^*(X, \Omega_X^p)$.

We formulate the extension to every $p > 0$ of Conjecture 1.1:

¹ $\nu(X, L) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \forall D, \exists C(D) > 0 \mid h^0(X, mL + D) \leq C(D).m^k, m \rightarrow +\infty\}$, D effective.

²The invariant $\lambda(X, E)$ defined in [43] thus coincides with $\kappa^*(X, E)$.

Conjecture 1.4. *We have: $\nu_p(X) = \kappa_p(X)$ for any compact connected Kähler manifold X and any $p > 0$.*

There are two natural generalisations of Conjecture 1.4 which also need to be considered:

1. The case of smooth orbifold pairs (X, D) , needed to deal with the general classical case where $D = 0$ (see Remark 2.8 and §6).
2. The general T -symmetrical tensors associated to Young's tableaux studied in [11], which include both symmetric and alternating forms, and jet differentials, for their relevance to hyperbolicity problems.

The invariant $\kappa_1^*(X)$ has already been introduced and studied in [43]³, several ideas of which are used below. When $\kappa_1(X) = -\infty$, the preceding conjecture 1.4 coincides with the one independently⁴ formulated in [29]. The present text has important overlap with [29], especially in §7, but its scope seems to be different.

The invariants κ_p and ν_p for the cotangent bundles are easily seen (see [43], Theorem 1) to be preserved by finite étale covers and birational equivalence. For a product $X = Y \times Z$, we have: $\kappa_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(Y) + \kappa_1^*(Z)$, and $\nu_1^*(X) = \nu_1^*(Y) + \nu_1^*(Z)$. Also, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is onto, then $\nu_1(X) \geq \nu_1(Y)$, and $\kappa_1(X) \geq \kappa_1(Y)$. Depending on the property considered, either ν^*, κ^* or ν, κ are better suited for a simple formulation.

In contrast to $\kappa(X) = \kappa_n(X)$, $\kappa_1(X)$ and $\nu_1(X)$ are not deformation, and so not topological, invariants. This already happens for ('non-special') elliptic surfaces with $\kappa = 1$ (see [43], Example 3). We expect however that these two invariants are determined by the fundamental group of X whenever X is 'special' (see Conjecture 1.5), and show this when X is a smooth model of a Kähler variety with klt singularities and $c_1 = 0$ (see Theorem 4.2). The proof rests on the singular Bogomolov-Beauville decomposition and the elementary but lengthy case of quotients of compact tori by finite groups actions (which is the main contribution of this article).

Notice also that Conjecture 1.1, and 1.4 do not extend to arbitrary subsheaves of the cotangent bundle (although the known situations where they fail appear to be rather exceptional). See Remark 2.12.

The present text proves (or reduces to Conjecture 1.1) the above conjecture 1.4 in the following peculiar situations:

1. Rationally connected manifolds. (§2)

³Where it is denoted $\lambda(X)$

⁴We formulated the conjecture 1.4 in spring 2017 independently of [29], but did not succeed in proving it for non isotrivial elliptic surfaces with $\kappa = 1$ by an algebro-geometric approach, and let it thus unpublished. The proof given in [29] rests on analytic arguments.

Here we show, assuming Conjecture 1.1, that X is rationally connected if (and only if) $\kappa_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$. In other words: Conjecture 1.4 follows from Conjecture 1.1 when $\kappa_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$. We also show a relative version (see Theorem 2.4) which shows that Conjecture 1.4 holds for any X if it holds when X is not uniruled, or equivalently, assuming Conjecture 1.1, when $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. We finally stress some similarities between (complex projective) manifolds X with $\kappa_1(X) = -\infty$ and Rationally connected manifolds, and give our initial motivations for conjecture 1.4.

2. Submanifolds with ample normal bundle in Abelian varieties and projective spaces.(§3)

We prove Conjecture 1.4 for these manifolds, by a direct adaptation of Sakai's argument in [43], Theorem 7,8, who computed κ_1 explicitly (if the codimension is sufficiently large, when the ambient manifold is a projective space). These give peculiar examples of manifolds of general type, for which the only known relation of κ_1 to other geometric invariants is the property proved in [12]: the linear representations of $\pi_1(X)$ have finite image if $\kappa_1(X) = -\infty$.

3. Klt varieties with $c_1 = 0$ and their smooth models.(§4, §5)

We prove that, for any X admitting⁵ a good minimal model X' with klt singularities and $c_1 = 0$, we have: $\nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X) = q'(X) - \dim(X)$. Here $q'(X)$ is the maximum of the irregularities of the finite **étale** covers of X' , or equivalently the maximal rank of the Abelianisations of the finite index subgroups of these $\pi_1(X')$. The invariant $q'(X)$ should be carefully distinguished from its **quasi-étale** variant $q^+(X')$, which is not a deformation invariant of the smooth models. In §4, using the singular Bogomolov-Beauville decomposition, we reduce the computation of ν_1^* and κ_1^* to the case where $X' = A/G$ where A is a compact complex torus, and G a finite group of automorphisms of A . In §5, which is the main contribution of the present text, we then show the equalities above for any X' of the form A/G .

Smooth models of klt varieties with $c_1 = 0$, and especially of quotients A/G , build a small, but possibly representative class of 'special' manifolds considered in the next section.

4. Remarks on Special manifolds.(§6)

Recall that a compact Kähler manifold X is said to be 'special' if $\kappa(X, L) < p$, for any $p > 0$, and any rank-one coherent subsheaf $L \subset \Omega_X^p$.

If X has a dominant fibration $f : X \dashrightarrow Z$, with $\kappa(Z) = p = \dim(Z)$, it is not special. In particular, X is not special if it is of general type. The specialness condition is however considerably stronger than the absence of fibrations with base of general type.

⁵Conjecturally, this is true whenever $\kappa(X) = 0$.

Basic examples of special manifolds are manifolds either rationally connected, or with $\kappa = 0$. Conditionally in the Conjecture $C_{n,m}^{orb}$, special manifolds are exactly the ones which are towers of fibrations with fibres either rationally connected or with $\kappa = 0$ in a suitable ‘orbifold’ sense.

We conjecture that the property shown for smooth models of klt varieties with $c_1 = 0$ holds true for all special manifolds.

Conjecture 1.5. *If X is special, $\nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X) = q'(X) - \dim(X)$. In particular: $\nu_1^*(X) = -\infty$ if and only if $q'(X) = 0$.*

It is easy to see that $\kappa_1(X) \geq q'(X) - \dim(X)$ if X is special, so the content of the conjecture is the reverse inequality, saying that symmetric differentials come from the Albanese variety, after a suitable finite étale cover.

We explain in this same section how one could expect to reduce Conjecture 1.5 to statements about the behaviour of κ_1, ν_1 on fibrations with generic fibres having either $\kappa^+ = -\infty$, or $\kappa = 0$ and $q' = 0$, or Abelian varieties, however in the more delicate ‘orbifold’ context.

We also proposed in [18], Remark 7.3, the following conjecture relating numerical and Kodaira dimensions:

Conjecture 1.6. *If X is special, then $\nu(X, L) < p, \forall p > 0, \forall L \subset \Omega_X^p$, of rank one.*

This is proved for $p = 1$ in [39]. A subbundle $L \subset \Omega_X^1$ with $\nu(X, L) = 1$ does not need, however, to have $\kappa(X, L) = 1$, as the examples of Brunella and Mc Quillan show. See Remark 2.12.(2).

5. Remarks on surfaces not of general type. (§7)

For surfaces of general type, no general pattern seems to emerge to relate κ_1 or ν_1 to other algebro-geometric invariants if $c_1^2 \leq c_2$ on minimal models. With the exception of π_1 whose linear representations turn out to have finite images if $\kappa_1 = -\infty$ ([12]). Even the border case $c_1^2 = c_2$ is open. If $3c_1 < c_2$, by the classification established in [40], the algebraic π_1 is finite.

The following is essentially proved in [29]:

Proposition 1.7. *If X is a smooth projective surface not of general type, then $\nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X)$, unless possibly when $\kappa(X) = 1$, the elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow C$ is not isotrivial, and its orbifold base has a pseudoeffective canonical bundle.*

The proof rests on classification. The computation of κ_1 was essentially done in [43]. When $\kappa = 1$, and the elliptic fibration is isotrivial, we give a shorter proof of this equality.

We raise finally in §8 two questions motivated by the fact that the conjecture 1.4 only partially extend to saturated subsheaves of Ω_X^p .

2. RATIONALLY CONNECTED MANIFOLDS.

2.1. Uniruledness and rational connectedness. Recall first the standard observation:

Proposition 2.1. *Assume Conjecture 1.1. The following are then equivalent if X is projective.*

1. X is uniruled.
2. $\kappa(X) = -\infty$.

Proof. Assume $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. By Conjecture 1.1, $\nu(X) = -\infty$. Then X is uniruled, by [35] and [8]. The reverse implication is easy. \square

Let us next give a standard example in which Conjecture 1.4 follows the classical Conjecture 1.1:

Proposition 2.2. *Assume Conjecture 1.1 to be true. If $\kappa_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$, for some given X , then X is rationally connected, and so $\nu_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$.*

Proof. Let indeed $r_X : X \rightarrow R_X$ be the rational quotient (also called the MRC fibration) of X . If $p := \dim(R_X) > 0$, we have: $-\infty = \kappa_p(X) \geq \kappa_p(R_X) = \kappa(R_X)$. By Proposition 2.1, R_X is uniruled, contradicting [27] and $p > 0$. Hence $p = 0$, and so X is rationally connected, and it is easy then to see that $\nu_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$. \square

Remark 2.3. 1. *The preceding proof rests in an essential way on positive characteristic methods, through the use of [35].*

2. *When $-K_X$ is ample (i.e: X is Fano), X is rationally connected, and so the equalities $\nu_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$ are known unconditionally, but using [35], again. It were interesting to find a characteristic zero argument to deduce that $\nu_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$, or even just that $\kappa_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$, when $-K_X$ is ample.*

3. *Another partial result ([10], see Remark 3.3), proved unconditionally using L^2 methods, is that $\pi_1(X) = \{1\}$ if $\kappa_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$, without proving first that X is then rationally connected.*

2.2. Relative version. A relative version of the preceding result, applied to the rational quotient (or MRC), shows that Conjecture 1.4 is true for any X if it is true when X is not uniruled.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a fibration with rationally connected fibres, and X, B complex projective smooth. Then $\nu_p(X) + d_p(X) = \nu_p(B) + d_p(B)$ and $\kappa_p(X) + d_p(X) = \kappa_p(B) + d_p(B), \forall p > 0$, where $d_p(X) := rk(\Omega_X^p)$ ⁶.*

More generally, if $\delta_m(X) := \dim((\Omega_X^1)^{\otimes m})$, we have:

$$\nu(X, \otimes^m(\Omega_X^1)) + \delta_m(X) = \nu(B, \otimes^m(\Omega_B^1)) + \delta_m(B), \text{ and:}$$

$$\kappa(X, \otimes^m(\Omega_X^1)) + \delta_m(X) = \kappa(B, \otimes^m(\Omega_B^1)) + \delta_m(B), \forall m > 0.$$

⁶The addition of d_p is due to the normalisation of ν, κ .

Proof. We prove it for ν_1 , the proof for κ_1 being obtained by taking $D = 0$ in the next proof. The inequality $\nu_1(B) \leq \nu_1(X)$ is clear, so we just need to prove the opposite inequality. Using flattening, we shall assume that f is ‘neat’, which means that the f -exceptional divisor \mathcal{E} is also u -exceptional for some birational morphism $u : X \rightarrow X_0$, with X_0 smooth. By Hartog’s theorem, the sections of $Sym^m(\Omega_X^1) \otimes u^*(A_0)$ over $(X \setminus \mathcal{E})$ thus all extend to X , and we shall ignore their possible poles occuring over \mathcal{E} , for any $m > 0$, since those do not alter the spaces of sections, for any A_0 ample on X_0 , which are sufficient to compute $\nu_1(X)$.

To simplify notations, we write $S^m(X) := Sym^m(\Omega_X^1)$, $S^m(X/B) := (Sym^m(\Omega_{X/B}^1)/Torsion)^{**}$, and \bullet^{sat} for the saturation inside $S^m(X)$ of a subsheaf $\bullet \subset S^m(X)$.

Let $D \subset B$ be an irreducible divisor, and let $f^*(D) := \sum_k t_k \cdot E_k + R$, where R is f -exceptional, and the E_k ’s are distinct prime divisors such that $f(E_k) = D, \forall k$, the t_k ’s being positive integers. By [27], $inf_k(\{t_k\}) = 1$. Thus $E := f^*(D) - \sum_k E_k - R$ is ‘partially supported on the fibres of f ’ (see Definition 2.9 below).

At the generic point of any E_k , so in codimension at least 2 on X , we can choose local coordinates $(x) := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $f((x)) = (y_1 := x_1^{t_k}, y_2 = x_2, \dots, y_d := x_d)$ in suitable local coordinates $(y) = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ of B , where $d := dim(B)$. By a simple local computation in these coordinates, we thus have⁷: $f^*(S^{m-j}(B))^{sat} \otimes S^j(X/B) \subset f^*(S^{m-j}(B)((m-j) \cdot (t_k - 1) \cdot E_k)) \otimes S^j(X/B)$, and $f^*(S^{m-j}(B))^{sat} = f^*(S^{m-j}(B))$ on the generic point of the (always existing, by [27]) components E_k with $t_k = 1$.

We have, for any $m > 0$, over the generic fibre of f , a natural filtration of $S^m(X)$ with quotients $f^*(S^{m-j}(B)) \otimes S^j(X/B)$, for $0 \leq j \leq m$. By the preceding observation, we thus get outside of \mathcal{E} and an additional codimension 2 locus, a filtration of $S^m(X)$ with graded reflexive sheaves $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{j=m} f^*(S^{m-j}(B))^{sat} \otimes S^j(X/B)$. This implies, for any ample A on X , with $t \geq t_k, \forall k$, and E partially supported on the fibres of f , that:

$$h^0(X, S^m(X) \otimes A) \leq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{j=m} h^0(X, f^*(S^{m-j}(B))((m-j) \cdot t) E) \otimes S^j(X/B) \otimes A$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_j := S^j(X/B) \otimes A$. Since $\nu_1(X_b) = -\infty$ on the generic, smooth, fibre X_b of f , there is an integer m_A , independent of m , for A fixed, such that the torsion free sheaf $f_*(\mathcal{G}_j)$ vanishes generically, hence everywhere, on B , for $j \geq m_A$.

We thus have: $h^0(B, S^{m-j}(B) \otimes f_*(\mathcal{F}_j)) = 0$ if $j \geq m_A$, and so: $h^0(X, S^m(X) \otimes A) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{j=m_A} h^0(X, S^{m-j}(B)(s \cdot E) \otimes \mathcal{G}_j)$, where $s > 0$ is defined in Lemma 2.9 below, taken sufficiently large, so as to work simultaneously for all of the finitely many sheaves $\mathcal{G}_j, j \leq m_A$.

⁷A more precise version is obtained using Log-differentials, but the coarse one used here is sufficient for our purposes.

We thus have:

$$h^0(X, S^m(X) \otimes A) \leq \sum_{0 \leq j < m_A} h^0(X, S^{m-j}(B) \otimes f_*(\mathcal{G}_j(sE))).$$

Applying Lemma 2.5, we obtain an injection $f_*(\mathcal{G}_j(sE)) \rightarrow A'^{\oplus R}$ for some ample A' on B , and some positive integer R , this being valid for any $j \leq m_A$.

Thus $h^0(X, S^m(X) \otimes A) \leq R \cdot (\sum_{0 \leq j < m_A} h^0(X, S^{m-j}(B) \otimes A'))$.

Since $h^0(B, S^{m-j}(B) \otimes A') \leq C \cdot m^{\nu_1(B) + \dim(B) - 1}$, for some constant $C = C(A')$, we get: $h^0(X, S^m(X) \otimes A) \leq C \cdot R \cdot m^{\nu_1(B) + \dim(B) - 1}$, which is the claimed inequality.

For $\otimes^m(\Omega_X^1)$, and so each ν_p , the proof is the same, using filtration by ordered m -tuples. \square

We used the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a torsionfree sheaf on B . There exists an integer $R > 0$ and an ample line bundle A on B such that \mathcal{F} injects into $A^{\oplus R}$*

Proof. We may assume \mathcal{F} to be reflexive since it injects into its bidual \mathcal{F}^{**} . Choose A ample so that $\mathcal{F}^*(A)$ is generated by its global sections. Dualise the corresponding surjective map $\mathcal{O}_B^{\oplus R} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(A)$ to obtain the injective map $\mathcal{F}^{**}(-A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B^{\oplus R}$, and tensorise with A . \square

The proof of Theorem 2.4 actually works in a broader context:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a fibration between complex projective manifolds. Assume that:*

1. f is ‘neat’.
2. $\nu_1(X_b) = -\infty$, for X_b a generic smooth fibre of f .
3. For each prime divisor $D \subset B$, $f^*(D)$ contains at least one reduced component which is not f -exceptional.

Then $\nu_1(X) = \nu_1(B)$.

Remark 2.7. *The example of Remark 2.8 shows that the condition 3 in Corollary 2.6 is essential. With the notion of ‘orbifold base’ (B, Δ_f) of a fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$ introduced in [15], it means that $\Delta_f = 0$, or equivalently, that f has no multiple fibres (in the ‘infimum’, not ‘gcd’, sense) in codimension one.*

Remark 2.8. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration, with smooth fibres X_y such that $\nu_1(X_y) = -\infty$, it is not true in general that $\kappa_1^*(X) + \dim(X) = \kappa_1^*(Y) + \dim(Y)$, this inequality also fails for ν_1^* . A simple example is the following: Let S be an Enriques surface with universal cover T (a K3-surface), with $S = T/(t)$, where t is the Enriques involution. Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g > 1$ with hyperelliptic involution h . Let $X' := C \times T$, we have: $\kappa_1^*(X') = 1 + (-2) = -1$. On the other hand, let $(t \times h)$ be the involution acting without fixpoint on X' . Let $X := X'/(t \times h)$. We thus have $\kappa_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X') = -1$. But X*

is equipped with a fibration $f : X \rightarrow C/(h) = \mathbb{P}_1 := B$ with smooth fibres S , so that $\kappa_1^*(X) + \dim(X) = \kappa_1^*(X') + \dim(X') = 1 + (-2) + 2 = 1$, but $\kappa_1^*(B) + \dim(B) = 0$. The equality is however restored if we introduce the ‘orbifold base’ (\mathbb{P}_1, Δ_f) of f , and define suitably $\kappa_1(B, \Delta) = \kappa(B, K_B + \Delta)$. In higher dimensions, one needs to use the sheaves $\text{Sym}^{[m]}(\Omega^1(B, \Delta))$ introduced in [15] to define $\kappa_1(X, \Delta)$.

2.3. Divisors partially supported on the fibres of a fibration.

Definition 2.9. Let $f : T \rightarrow W$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map with connected fibres (a ‘fibration’) between connected complex manifolds, and let $E \subset T$ be a divisor. We say that E is ‘partially supported on fibres of f ’ if, for any irreducible component E' of E , $f(E') \subset W$ is a divisor of W , and if $E' \neq f^{-1}(f(E'))$. This means that $f^{-1}(f(E'))$ contains a component not contained in E' .

Example 2.10. 1. In the situation of 2.9, let $D \subset W$ be an irreducible divisor, and let $f^*(D) := \sum_{k \in K} t_k \cdot E_k + R$ be the scheme theoretic inverse image of D , where the E_k ’s are distinct irreducible divisors, and R is f -exceptional. Let $t := \inf_k \{t_k\}$, and let $E_t := \sum_k t \cdot E_k$. Then $E := f^*(D) - E_t - R$ is partially supported on the fibres of f (and empty if $R = 0$, and if $t_k = t, \forall k$).

2. The situation in which we will use this notion is the following one: assume that the fibres of f are rationally connected and T, W are projective. By [27], $f^*(D)$ contains at least a reduced component, for any $D \subset W$. Thus $f^*(D) - f^{-1}(D) := E_D$ is partially supported on the fibres of f .

Let $f : T \rightarrow W$ be as in Definition 2.9. Let $n := \dim(T), d := \dim(W)$, let A, H be sufficiently ample divisors, and

This notion appears in the following way, inspired from [15] and slightly extending [17], Lemmas 6.11, Corollary 6.13 (where \mathcal{F} is of rank one, there).

Lemma 2.11. Let $f : T \rightarrow W$ be a fibration, with T, W complex projective smooth and connected. Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be a torsionfree coherent sheaves on W, T respectively, and $E \subset T$ be partially supported on the fibres of f . There exists $s = s(\mathcal{G}) > 0$, such that, $\forall s' > s$ the natural inclusion map $: H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(sE) \otimes \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E) \otimes \mathcal{G})$ is surjective, hence bijective, where $f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E) \otimes \mathcal{G} := f^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T(s'E) \otimes \mathcal{G}$. The constant $s = s(\mathcal{G})$ depends on \mathcal{G} , but not on \mathcal{F} .

Proof. We shall first prove the lemma when T' is a surface, W' a curve. One reduces to this case by taking suitable complete intersections of the form $A^{n-d-1} \cdot f^*(H)^{d-1}$, by cutting T by generic $d-1$ generic members of the linear system $f^*(H)$, and then by $n-d-1$ generic members of the linear system A , if $d = \dim(W), n = \dim(T), A, H$ sufficiently ample on T, W respectively.

We thus assume that $f' : T' \rightarrow W'$ maps the surface T' onto the curve W' , and that E is partially supported on finitely many of the fibres of f' . This means thus that if E' is the part of E contained in a single fibre, E' is exceptional in T' , and (by [3], corollary I.2.11), we can and shall equip the components of each E' of positive integral multiplicities such that $E'.E_i < 0$, for each irreducible component E_i of E' , while $E'.F = 0$ if F is any fibre of f' .

Choose s' such that $(s'E' + A + E'').E_i < 0, \forall i$, for any $E'' \leq E'$ effective, that is: $s' > s(A) := [\max_{\{E'', i\}} \{ \frac{A.E_i + E''.E_i}{-E'.E_i} \}]$, this for any E' . The injective maps:

$$H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E + A + E'')) \rightarrow H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E + A + E'' + E_i))$$

are surjective for any (i, E'') , since their cokernels are contained in $H^0(E_i, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E + A + E'' + E_i))$, and $f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E + A + E'' + E_i)|_{E_i}$ is a direct sum of line bundles of negative degrees since $\mathcal{F}|_{E_i}$ is a trivial sheaf. By induction on the number of components of E'' , we thus deduce that $H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E + A)) \rightarrow H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})((s' + 1)E + A))$ is an isomorphism, too, for $s' > s(A)$.

By Lemma 2.5, if A is ample enough, we have: $\mathcal{G} \subset A^{\oplus R}$, for some $R > 0$, and $H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E) \otimes \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})((s' + 1)E + A) \otimes \mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism, too, for $s' > s(A) := s(\mathcal{G})$, so that:

$H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(sE) \otimes \mathcal{G}) = H^0(T, f^*(\mathcal{F})(s'E + A) \otimes \mathcal{G}), \forall s' > s(\mathcal{G})$, by the naturality of the maps going from s to $s + 1$ and from \mathcal{G} to $A^{\oplus R}$.

In order to see that this holds for any $n > d$, we just need to show that $s(A)$ does not depend on the choice of the generic members of the linear systems A, H used to define T', W' . This follows from the fact that, for a generic choice of these members, the E_i build algebraic families, and their mutual intersection numbers will be constant, as seen by going to the incidence graph of this family. \square

2.4. Motivations for the Conjecture 1.4.

Remark 2.12. 1. *Projective (or compact Kähler) manifolds X with $\nu_1(X) = -\infty$ appear to bear some similarities with the rationally connected ones, defined by $\nu_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$. The latter are simply-connected, while the former have finite fundamental groups, at least at the linear representation level, by [12]. On the other hand, they may lie at the opposite of the spectrum based on the classification according to the positivity of the canonical bundle: the former ones can have K_X ample, while the latter ones may be Fano (with $-K_X$ ample).*

2. *The initial reason here for considering manifolds with $\nu_1(X) = -\infty$ comes from the algebraicity criterion for foliations proved (but not explicitly stated) in [21], according to which a foliation $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ on X projective has algebraic leaves if its dual \mathcal{F}^* is not pseudo-effective. The examples of Brunella and Mc-Quillan of non-algebraic rank-one regular foliations \mathcal{F} such that $\nu(\mathcal{F}^*) = 1$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^*) = -\infty$ on irreducible*

quotients of the bidisc show that the condition $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^*) = -\infty$ does not imply the algebraicity of leaves.

Although the algebraicity criterion is void if $\mathcal{F} = T_X$, it suggests to consider manifolds X with Ω_X^1 not pseudo-effective, that is, with $\nu_1(X) = -\infty$. The link with rationally connected manifolds also stems from [21], where the case in which the negativity of the minimal slope of \mathcal{F} with respect to some movable class on X (which strengthens the non-pseudo-effectivity of \mathcal{F}^*) is shown to imply the rational connectedness of the leaves of \mathcal{F} . It is however not immediately clear whether or not the leaves should have a non-pseudo-effective cotangent bundle when \mathcal{F}^* is not pseudo-effective.

3. Let $\gamma d(X) := \dim(X) - \pi(X)$, where $\pi(X)$ is the largest dimension of a connected submanifold Z through a general point of X such that the image of $\pi_1(Z)$ inside $\pi_1(X)$ is finite.

The comparison theorem $\kappa^+(X) \geq \gamma d(X)$ if $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$ of [14] leads to bound from above $\kappa^+(X) := \max\{\kappa(X, \det(\mathcal{F})), \forall \mathcal{F} \subset \Omega_X^p, \forall p > 0\}$. In [14], $\kappa^+(X)$ was conjecturally bounded by $\kappa(X)$ if $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. When K_X is pseudo-effective, the bound $\kappa^+(X) \leq \nu(K_X)$ is proved in [21]. Both upper bounds coincide conditionally in Conjecture 1.1. Again, the MRC of X permits to reduce to the case when X is not uniruled, since $\gamma d(X)$ and $\kappa^+(X)$ are the same for X and its ‘rational quotient’.

3. SUBMANIFOLDS WITH AMPLE NORMAL BUNDLE IN ABELIAN VARIETIES AND PROJECTIVE SPACES.

When X is of general type, no algebro-geometric pattern is known to describe $\kappa_1(X)$, even for surfaces. From the arguments of [43], Theorems 7,8, we easily deduce the following classical, but peculiar, examples of solution of conjecture 1.4:

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be an abelian variety and $X \subset A$ be a submanifold with ample normal bundle. Then: $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X) = (n-1) + \min\{n, N-n\}$, where n is the dimension of X , N is the dimension of A , and $c := N-n$ the codimension of X in A .*

Proof. It is proved in [43], Theorem 7, that $\kappa_1(X) = (n-1) + \min\{n, c\}$. If $c \geq n$, $\kappa_1(X) = (2n-1) \geq \nu_1(X)$. Hence the (trivial) claim. If $c < n$, we just follow and adapt the proof of F. Sakai. Let thus H be an ample line bundle on A , H divisible by $(n+c)!$ in $\text{Pic}(A)$. The proof of Theorem 7 of [43] shows that it suffices to have $H^q(X, \wedge^p N_{X/A}^* \otimes H^{-1}) = 0, p > 0, q > p + (n-c) - 1$. This follows from [44], 1.14.1, the very same reference given by Sakai, by applying Serre duality. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_N$ be a smooth complete intersection. Assume that $2n > N$, then: $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X) = -\infty$, n being the dimension of X .*

Proof. We follow again the proof of [43] Theorem 8, which shows that $\kappa_1(X) = -\infty$.

The claim for ν_1 goes along exactly the same argument, using [31], p.521 as well, which states that: $H^j(X, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_N|X}^p) \otimes H^t) = 0$ for $j < n, m \geq t + 2$, H being the hyperplane section of \mathbb{P}_N . \square

Remark 3.3. 1. When $2n < N$, and the degree of X is sufficiently large, Ω_X^1 is ample for the generic member X , by [23]. The codimension inequality is thus optimal.

2. If X is a surface, and $(c_1^2 - c_2)(X) > 0$, then $\kappa(X, \Omega_X^1) = 3$ (Bogomolov). Already in the limit case $(c_1^2 - c_2)(X) = 0$, nothing seems to be known in general, not even for complete intersection surfaces in \mathbb{P}_N (see the list of possible multidegrees in [43]).

When $X \subset A$ is an ample divisor in an Abelian threefold A , we have $(c_1^2 - c_2)(X) = 0$ and $\kappa_1(X) = 2$ by the preceding proposition. In this case however, $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(A)$ is infinite. It were interesting to know the possible values of $(\kappa_1(X), \pi_1(X))$ for surfaces with $(c_1^2 - c_2) = 0$.

3. When $\kappa_1(X) = -\infty$, it is shown in [12] that every linear representation of $\pi_1(X)$ in any $GL(N, K)$, for any field K , has finite image. One may thus wonder whether $\pi_1(X)$ itself should be finite, then. This is true in the following cases at least:

3.a. X is a complete intersection in \mathbb{P}_N with $2n > N$, by [6].

3.b. X is a surface with $3.c_1^2 < c_2$, by the classification in [40].

3c. $\kappa_p(X) = -\infty, \forall p > 0$, by [10].

4. KLT VARIETIES WITH $c_1 = 0$ AND THEIR SMOOTH MODELS.

4.1. **Comparing ν_1 and q^+ .** The following proposition has also been established in [25], to which we refer for more results and interesting examples (see also Remark 5.28(6) below).

Proposition 4.1. *Let X' be a normal connected complex projective variety with klt singularities and trivial numerical class, and let X be a smooth model of X' .*

Then: $\kappa_1^(X) = \nu_1^*(X) \leq q^+(X') - n$, with equality after replacing X' by a suitable finite **quasi-étale** cover, where $q^+(X') \leq \dim(X)$ is the maximal ‘quasi-étale’ irregularity⁸ of X' . In particular: $\nu_1(X) = -\infty$ if and only if $q^+(X') = 0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the claims after passing to a suitable finite quasi-étale cover of X' . We can thus assume, by the singular

⁸Recall that $q^+(X')$ is defined as the largest irregularity of quasi-étale covers of X' . When X is smooth, $q^+(X) = q'(X)$, the latter being defined as the largest irregularity of any finite étale cover of X . Both are bounded by $n = \dim(X)$ if $\kappa(X) = 0$, but are infinite for example for curves of general type. For X normal, we define $q'(X)$ to be $q'(X^*)$, for some/any smooth model X^* of X . In general, we have $q^+(X) \geq q'(X)$, with strict inequality possible, for example when X is a Kummer $K3$, in which case $q^+ = 2$, and $q' = 0$.

Bogomolov-Beauville decomposition (see [28] and the references there, or [19] in the projective case, and [1] in the Kähler case), that $X' = Z' \times A$ is a product, with Z' a product of Hyperkähler and Calabi-Yau varieties, and A an Abelian variety of dimension $q^+(X') = q^+(X)$. By [28], $\nu_1(Z) = -\infty$ if Z' is either Hyperkähler or Calabi-Yau, or a product of such. Thus, by the behaviour of κ_1^*, ν_1^* under products, $\kappa_1^*(X) = \nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1(A) + (q^+(X) - n) = (q^+(X) - n)$. \square

4.2. Comparing ν_1 and q' . Without passing to a suitable finite quasi-étale cover, we have a similar statement, replacing q^+ by q' , but the proof, although elementary, becomes much longer, because of singular quotients A/G of compact complex tori by finite groups actions, treated in the next section:

Theorem 4.2. *Let X' be a normal connected complex projective variety with klt singularities and trivial numerical class, and let X be a smooth model of X' . Then:*

1. $\kappa_1^*(X) = \nu_1^*(X) = q'(X) - n$, $n := \dim(X)$.

In particular:

2. $\kappa_1(X) = \nu_1(X) = 0$ if and only if X' is smooth and is an étale undercover of an Abelian variety.

3. $\kappa_1^*(X) = \nu_1^*(X) = -n$ if and only if $q'(X) = 0$.

4. Assume X is smooth compact Kähler with $\kappa(X) = 0$. Assume the existence of a good minimal model of X (with klt singularities and $c_1 = 0$). Then Claims 1,2,3 hold true for X .

Remark 4.3. *In the situation of Claim 4, Claim 2 strengthens [34], in which the assumption $\kappa_1(X) = 0$ is replaced by the assumption that $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)$ is generated by its sections for large m .*

Proof. Claim2,3 are immediate consequences of Claim 1, which we now prove. After a finite and quasi-étale cover X_1 , Galois of group G_1 of X' , we have a product $X'_1 = Z' \times A$, as above, with $q(Z') = 0$, and so $\text{Aut}(Z')$ is discrete. We thus have: $\text{Aut}(X'_1) = \text{Aut}(Z') \times \text{Aut}(A)$, by [4], Lemma, p.8 (the proof of which works in the singular case as well). We thus have an injection: $G < G_1 := G' \times G$, where $G' < \text{Aut}(Z')$, $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ are finite groups of automorphisms of Z' and A respectively, images of the projections of G . From this injection, we get a natural finite quotient map: $X' \rightarrow (Z'/G') \times (A/G)$. By taking suitable smooth models, we deduce that $\nu_1^*(X') \geq \nu_1^*(Z'/G') + \nu_1^*(A/G)$. Since $\nu_1(Z') = \nu_1(Z'/G') = -\infty$, we deduce that $\nu_1^*(X') \geq \nu_1^*(A/G) + \nu_1^*(Z') = \nu_1^*(A/G) - \dim(Z')$. We shall prove in the next section that $\nu_1^*(A/G) = q'(A/G) - \dim(A)$. Thus $\nu_1^*(X') \geq q'(A/G) - \dim(A) - \dim(Z') = q'(A/G) - \dim(X') = q'(X') - \dim(X')$ since $q'(Z') = 0$, so that $q'(X') = q'(A/G)$, because the inequality $\nu_1^*(X') \leq q'(X') - \dim(X')$ is always true for this class of varieties, by Remark 5.7(3) below. Same proof for $\kappa_1^*(X')$. \square

4.3. Comparing ν_1 and κ . Without assuming the existence of good minimal models, we can prove only a much weaker statement than 4.2.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume X is smooth and complex projective with $\nu(X) = 0$. Then $\kappa_1(X) \leq \kappa(X) = 0$.*

Proof. K_X is pseudoeffective, since $\nu(X) = 0$. Thus, by [21], for any rank-one subsheaf $L \subset (\otimes^m \Omega_X^1)$, we have: $\nu(X, L) \leq \nu(X) = 0$. For any $m > 0$, if $E_m \subset \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)$ is the subsheaf generated by the global sections of $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)$, the rank r_m of E_m is equal to $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1))$, by the previous inequality $\nu(X, L) \leq 0$, applied to $L := \det(E_m) \subset (\otimes^m \Omega_X^1)$, since the inequality $r_m < h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1))$ would produce at least 2 linearly independent sections of L . So r_m grows as $m^{n-1+\kappa_1(X)}$ as m goes to $+\infty$. On the other hand, $r_m \leq \text{rk}(\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1))$, which grows like m^{n-1} . Thus $\kappa_1(X) \leq (n-1) - (n-1) = 0$. The last equality $\kappa(X) = 0$ if $\nu(X) = 0$ is proved in [30]. \square

More generally, the Conjecture Λ_0 in [43] claims that: $\kappa_1(X) \leq \kappa(X)$ if $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Conjecture 1.4 implies the following strengthening.

Conjecture 4.5. *1. If K_X is pseudoeffective, $\nu_1(X) \leq \kappa(X)$.*

2. If X is uniruled, and $r : X \rightarrow R_X$ is its MRC: $\nu_1(X) \leq \kappa(R_X)$.

When X is projective, Claim 1 and Theorem 2.4 imply Claim 2.

Corollary 4.6. *Assume $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, and $\nu(X_z) = 0$ for the generic fibre X_z of the Moishezon-Itaka fibration $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ of X . Then: $\kappa_1(X) \leq \kappa(X)$.*

Proof. We have (see [43], Prop.7): $\kappa_1(X) \leq \dim(Z) + \kappa_1(X_z) = \kappa(X) + \kappa_1(X_z)$. By 4.4, since $\nu(X_z) = 0$, we have: $\kappa_1(X_z) \leq 0$. \square

5. TORUS QUOTIENTS.

5.1. An elementary lemma on group actions. Let G be a multiplicative group acting on a set X . For $g \in G$, the fixpoint set of g is $X^g := \{x \in X | g.x = x\}$.

We say that G acts on X without fixpoints if $X^g = \emptyset, \forall g \neq 1_G$.

• We shall split the action of G into two parts: the action induced by the normal subgroup F' generated by elements having fixpoints, and the quotient action of the quotient group G/F' on the set of F' -orbits of X , which is shown to have no fixpoints. This splitting is essential in our study of quotients A/G of Abelian varieties by finite groups of automorphisms.

Let $E := \{g \in G | X^g = \emptyset\} \subset G$, and let $F := G \setminus E \subset G$ be its complement. These sets are invariant under conjugation in G .

Let E', F' be the subgroups of G generated by E, F respectively. These are thus normal subgroups of $G = E' \cup F'$.

We first have the classical lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Either $G = E'$, or $G = F'$.*

Proof. By contradiction: if not, there exists $a \in E' \setminus F'$ and $b \in F' \setminus E'$. But then either $ab = c \in E'$, or $ab = f \in F'$, since $G = E' \cup F'$. In the first case, $b = a^{-1}c \in E'$, contradiction. In the second case, $a = fb^{-1} \in F'$, contradiction again. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $G = E'$. Let $Y := F' \setminus X$ be the set of orbits of X under the action of F' . Then G/F' acts on $F' \setminus X$ without fixpoints.*

Proof. For $g \in G$, let $\bar{g} := g.F' \in G/F'$ be its image under the quotient $G \rightarrow G/F'$. In the same way, write $\bar{x} := F'.x$ for the image of x under the quotient $X \rightarrow F' \setminus X$. Let $x \in X$, and denote by $S_x < G$ the stabiliser of $x \in G$, and $S_{\bar{x}} < G/F'$ the stabiliser of \bar{x} in G/F' . We have: $\bar{g} \in S_{\bar{x}}$ if and only if $g.x = f.x$ for some $f \in F'$, or equivalently, if $g^{-1}f.x = x$, that is, if $g^{-1}f \in S_x$. But $S_x = (S_x \cap E^*) \cup (S_x \cap F')$, since $G = E^* \cup F'$, where $E^* \subset E$ is the complement (possibly empty) of F' in G . Since $S_x \cap E^* \subset S_x \cap E = \emptyset$, by the definition of E , we see that $S_x \subset F'$, and so $g^{-1}f \in F'$. Thus $g \in F'$, and $\bar{g} = 1_{G/F'}$, as claimed. \square

Remark 5.3. *I did not find a reference for this possibly standard lemma. It is thus proved in a detailed way, because it plays a crucial rôle in the next considerations.*

We give a simple illustrative example in which $\{1_G\} \neq F' \subsetneq G = E'$, the action of G being transitive, so $X = S \setminus G$, for some nontrivial subgroup S of G . Then F is the union of the conjugates sSg^{-1} of S . If $G = S_n$ is the symmetric group on $n \geq 5$ letters, and S , non-trivial contained in the alternating group A_n , then $F' = A_n, E' = S_n = G$. If $n = 4$, S generated by a product without fixpoint of two transpositions, then $F' = K$, the Klein 4-group, $F' \setminus S_4 = S_3$, and $S_4/K = S_3$ acts freely onto itself by multiplications.

Let us also notice the following easy fact:

Lemma 5.4. *With the preceding notations G, F, F' , if $F^* \subset F$ consists of elements of primary order (i.e.: power of a prime number), then F' is generated by F^* .*

Proof. A cyclic group generated by g is the direct product of its primary components, which all have at least as much fixpoints as g . \square

5.2. Smooth models of torus quotients. Let A be an n dimensional compact complex torus (i.e.: a quotient \mathbb{C}^n/Λ of \mathbb{C}^n by a cocompact lattice Λ). Let G be a finite group of complex affine automorphisms of A , $\pi : A \rightarrow A/G$ the quotient map, and $\rho : X \rightarrow A/G$ any desingularisation of A/G . By [32], $\rho_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(A/G)$ is an isomorphism (by a local version of Serre's covering trick, and rationality of quotient singularities). The Albanese map of A/G is thus well-defined,

and coincides with the one of X up to composition with ρ . In particular, the finite étale covers of X and A/G naturally correspond. Moreover, for any finite étale cover $(A/G)'$ of A/G , the Albanese map $a' : (A/G)' \rightarrow \text{Alb}((A/G)')$ of $(A/G)'$ is surjective with connected fibres (this is easy to see directly, and follows more generally from the fact that $(A/G)'$ is ‘special’). Thus: $q((A/G)') \leq n$, for each such $(A/G)'$, $q = \dim(\text{Alb}((A/G)'))$ being the irregularity.

Recall the:

Definition 5.5. *Let $q'(A/G)$ be the maximum of all $q((A/G)')$ as $(A/G)'$ runs over all finite étale covers of A/G .*

Remark 5.6. *The invariant q' should be carefully distinguished from the invariant $q^+(A/G)$, defined as the maximum of the $q((A/G)^+)'$ s, when $(A/G)^+$ runs over the finite **quasi-étale** covers of A/G . We indeed always have: $q^+(A/G) = n$, while $q'(A/G) = 0$ if A/G is a Kummer K3 surface. Easy examples show that the invariant $q'(X)$ takes on all possible values $0, 1, \dots, n$.*

Remark 5.7. *The following properties of q' , κ_1^* and ν_1^* are easily checked:*

1. *Preserved by bimeromorphic equivalence and finite étale covers.*
2. *$\nu_1^*(A/G) \leq \nu_1^*(A) = \kappa_1^*(A) = 0$, since Ω_A^1 is trivial.*
3. *Let $a : A/G \rightarrow \text{Alb}(A/G) := B$ be the Albanese map. Then $\kappa_1^*(A/G) \geq 0 - (n - \dim(B)) = \dim(B) - n = q(A/G) - n$, since the sections of $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_B^1)$ lift to sections of $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)$, for any $m > 0$.*
4. *$\kappa_1^*(A/G) \geq q'(A/G) - n$: choose $(A/G)' \rightarrow (A/G)$ étale such that $q((A/G)') = q'(A/G)$, then apply property 3.*

The objective of this section is to establish the following strengthened reverse inequality, which says that ν_1 and κ_1 are topological invariants for this class of varieties. These properties extend to *klt* projective (or compact Kähler) varieties with $c_1 = 0$, as seen in the previous section, using the singular Bogomolov-Beauville-Yau decomposition.

Theorem 5.8. *For A/G as above, we have:*

$$\nu_1^*(A/G) = \kappa_1^*(A/G) = q'(A/G) - n.$$

In the extreme cases $q' = 0, n$, we have various characterisations.

Corollary 5.9. *For A/G as above, the following are equivalent:*

1. $\kappa_1^*(A/G) = 0$
2. $\nu_1^*(A/G) = 0$
3. $q'(A/G) = n$
4. $\pi : A \rightarrow A/G$ is étale.
5. A/G is smooth and $\kappa(A/G) = 0$.

In particular: $\kappa_1^(A/G) = 0$ if and only if A/G is a finite étale undercover of a compact complex torus.*

Proof. All equivalences between 1-2-3-4 are immediate consequences of remark 5.7, except for $1 \implies 4$ which requires only a much weaker

form of Theorem 5.8, namely Lemma 5.10. The implication $4 \implies 5$ is obvious, the reverse implication $5 \implies 4$ again follows from Lemma 5.10 since if $\pi : A \rightarrow A/G$ is not étale, G contains an element $g \neq 1$ with fixpoint set nonempty and of codimension at least 2 since $\kappa(A/G) = 0$, contradicting Lemma 5.10. \square

Lemma 5.10. *G contains $g \neq 1$ such that $g(a) = a$ for some $a \in A$, if and only if $\kappa_1^*(A/G) < 0$.*

Lemma 5.10 follows immediately from Theorem 5.18.

Corollary 5.11. *For A/G as above, the following are equivalent:*

1. $\kappa_1^*(A/G) = -n$
2. $\nu_1^*(A/G) = -n$
3. $q'(A/G) = 0$
4. $\pi_1(A/G)$ is finite.

Proof. The equivalences between 1-2-3 are immediate from Theorem 5.8. The implication $4 \implies 3$ is obvious, the reverse implication follows from the next Lemma 5.12, which applies with $s = n$. \square

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\pi_* : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow \pi_1(A/G)$ be the natural map. Then $2q'(A/G) = 2(n - s)$ is the rank over \mathbb{Z} of its image, which is abelian, normal in $\pi_1(A/G)$, and of finite index dividing the order of G .*

Proof. The last two claims are a direct consequence of [13]. We replace A/G by its Galois étale cover $(A/G)' = A/G'$ such that $\pi_1(A/G')$ is $\pi_*(\pi_1(A))$. The existence of $G' \subset G$ achieving this equality follows from the fact that the map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/G$ lifts to $\pi' : A \rightarrow (A/G)'$. We thus assume that π_* is surjective, and that $\pi_1(A/G) = \pi_1(A)/K$, where K is a free abelian subgroup of $\pi_1(A)$ of \mathbb{Z} -rank r . Thus $\pi_1(A/G)$ is the direct sum of a torsion group and of a free abelian group of even \mathbb{Z} -rank $2n - r$, $r = 2s$. Any finite index subgroup of $\pi_1(A/G)$ has thus the same structure, with the same rank $r = 2s$, and so $q'(A/G) = n - s$. \square

Theorem 5.8 will be proved by combining the following three lemmas 5.13, 5.14, 5.16, proved in the next two subsections.

We use the notations of §5.1. Let $E \subset G$ be the set of g 's acting without fixpoint on A , and let F be its complement in G . Let E', F' be the (normal) subgroups of G generated by E, F respectively. Recall that either $G = E'$, or $G = F'$. In the first case, $G/F' = E'/F'$ acts without fixpoints on A/F' , in other words, the natural quotient map $A/F' \rightarrow A/G$ is étale, and the invariants κ_1, ν_1, q' coincide for A/F' and A/G . Moreover, (see Lemma 5.4), F' is generated by F^* , the set of its elements of primary orders (which have fixpoints, too), and we get the following:

Lemma 5.13. *We may (and shall) assume that G is generated as a group by F^* , the set of its elements which have fixpoints and primary orders.*

We shall also assume that G does not contain non-trivial translations. This is no restriction, and does not alter neither the hypothesis, nor the conclusions below.

Let $A^0 = \text{Aut}^0(A)$ be the group of translations of A . Any choice of $a \in A$ defines an isomorphism between the group A^0 and A , with any given a as zero element of the addition on A .

Lemma 5.14. *Let G be cyclic, generated by g , which has fixpoints on A . Let $C_g := \text{Im}(g - 1_A) < A^0$: this is a connected Lie subgroup. Let $a_g : A \rightarrow B_g := A/C_g$ be the quotient map.*

1. C_g is preserved by the action of g , and g acts trivially on B_g .
2. $a_g : \widetilde{B}_g \rightarrow B_g$ is an isogeny, if $\widetilde{B}_g \subset A$ is a component of the fixpoint set of g .
3. The addition map: $C_g \times \widetilde{B}_g \rightarrow A$ is a g -equivariant isogeny.
4. It induces a finite étale morphism $q_g : (C_g/G) \times \widetilde{B}_g \rightarrow A/G$.
5. If g is of primary order, then $\nu_1(C_g/G) = -\infty$ and $q'(C_g/G) = 0$.
6. $\nu_1^*(A/G) = \kappa_1^*(A/G) = q'(A/G) - n = -\dim(C_g)$.
7. $\pi_1(C_g/G)$ is finite, of exponent at most the order of g , if primary.

Corollary 5.15. *If A is simple (i.e: has no non-trivial subtorus), then, either G acts fixpoints freely and A/G is an étale undercover of A , or $\nu_1^*(A/G) = -n, q'(A/G) = 0$, and $\pi_1(A/G)$ is finite.*

Lemma 5.14 permits to deal with the general case.

Lemma 5.16. *Assume that G is generated by F^* , as in Lemma 5.13. Let $C_G < A^0$ be the connected Lie subgroup generated by the C'_g s, $g \in F^*$, and let $a_G : A \rightarrow B_G := A/C_G$ be the quotient map.*

1. C_G is preserved by the action of G , and G acts trivially on B_G .
2. $a_G : A \rightarrow A/G$ induces a fibration $q_G : A/G \rightarrow B_G$ which is analytically locally trivial with fibre C_G/G .
3. $\nu_1^*(A/G) = q'(A/G) - n = -\dim(C_G)$, and:
4. $\dim(B_G) = q(A/G) = q'(A/G) = \dim(B_G)$.
5. $q_G : A/G \rightarrow B_G$ is the Albanese map of A/G .
6. If C_G/G is not uniruled, there exists a finite étale cover $\beta : B'_G \rightarrow B_G$ such that if $(A/G)' := (A/G) \times_{B_G} B'_G$, then $(A/G)' = (C_G/G) \times B'_G$.

5.3. Proof of Lemma 5.14. We denote by $A^0 := \text{Aut}^0(A)$ the group of translations on A . The determination of the invariants of (A/G) for G cyclic, generated by g of order N , rests on the following qualitative description of the action of G on A : $(g - 1) : A \rightarrow A^0$ is nothing, but the affine map sending $x \in A$ to the translation from x to $g(x)$. Its image $\text{Im}(g - 1) \subset A^0$ is thus a translate by a torsion element of a subtorus C_g of A^0 . And $\text{Im}(g - 1)$ coincides with the subgroup C_g if (and only if) g has fixpoints on A . We now assume that g has fixpoints.

Lemma 5.17. *Assume g is of finite order $N > 1$, and has a fixed point a , used as the zero of the addition on A . For any $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$,*

$P(g) : A \rightarrow A$ is then an endomorphism of A , and has thus a kernel $\text{Ker}(P(g))$ and an image $\text{Im}(P(g))$ which are both abelian subgroup varieties of A . The fixed point set of g is then $\text{Ker}(g - 1_A)$, and if \widetilde{B}_g is its connected component containing the origin, it is an abelian subvariety ‘complementary’ to C_g , in the sense that $\widetilde{B}_g \cap C_g$ is a torsion subgroup of order dividing N , so that the addition map $s_g : C_g \times \widetilde{B}_g \rightarrow A$ is a G -equivariant isogeny, the action of G on C_g , which is preserved by G , being induced by that on A , the action on \widetilde{B}_g being trivial.

Proof. In $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, we have Bezout equality: $N = U(X) - (X - 1).V(X)$, with: $U(X) = 1 + X + \dots + X^{N-1}$, and:

$V(X) = (N - 1) + (N - 2).X + \dots + (N - 1 - j).X^j + \dots + X^{N-2}$. So that, for any $b \in A$, $N.b = U(g).b - (g - 1).(V(g).b)$, the first term is in B_g , the second is in C_g . If $b \in B_g \cap C_g$, both terms vanish, since C_g is preserved by g , because $g.(g - 1)a = (g - 1).ga$. \square

This establishes the first 3 claims, and hence the fourth claim, of Lemma 5.14. We now turn to its last claim, when $N = p^r$ is primary. We may thus assume that $A = C_g$, and we only need to show that $\nu_1(A/G) = -\infty$, and $q'(A/g) = 0$ if g has an isolated fixpoint a . We thus assume this now. The action of g on the tangent space to A has then all of its eigenvalues different from 1, and g acts linearly on global coordinates y_1, \dots, y_n on A , with an isolated singularity of type $\frac{1}{N}.(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $0 < a_i < N, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$, which means that g acts on these coordinates by $g.(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (u^{a_1}.y_1, \dots, u^{a_n}.y_n)$ where u is any primitive N -th root of unity. Moreover, since g has order $N = p^r$, not all of the a_i 's are divisible by p , and so at least one of them, say a_1 , is prime to p . Replacing thus g by g^k , where k is an inverse of a_1 modulo p^r , we shall assume that $a_1 = 1$. The claims then follow from the next two Theorems 5.18 and 5.19

Theorem 5.18. *Let A/G be as above. Assume that G is cyclic, of order N , and has an isolated fixpoint a at which A/G has a singularity of type $\frac{1}{N}(a_1 = 1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Then:*

For any effective divisor D on A/G , there exists $m(D)$ such that, if $m \geq m(D)$, $h^0(A/G, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{A/G}^1)(D)) = 0$.

Thus: $\nu_1(A/G) = \kappa_1(A/G) = -\infty$.

Proof. When $n = 1$, g is a quasi-reflexion, and all statements are easy (proved below by removing the coordinates $y_i, i > 1$). Let $\pi : (y_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) := (y_1, z) \rightarrow (y) := (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be the (birational) map defined by $y_i := z_i.y_1^{a_i}$ for $i = 2, \dots, d$. The map π is g -equivariant if we let g act on (y_1, z) by: $g.(y_1, z) := (u.y_1, z)$. Define the finite proper map $q' : (y_1, z) \rightarrow (y := y_1^N, z)$. There is a unique birational map $p : (y, z) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n/G)$ such that $p \circ q' = q'' \circ \pi : (y_1, z) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n/G)$. In fact, the map p is nothing, but a chart of the weighted blow-up Z of

the singularity $\frac{1}{N}(1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, and so is a resolution of \mathbb{C}^n/G at the generic point of the exceptional divisor over a .

We treat first⁹ the case when $D = 0$.

Let $w := \sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} dy^{(m)}$ be a ‘constant’ section of $Sym^m(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}^1)$, that is: $(m) := (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is an n -tuple of nonnegative integers of length $m := \sum_i m_i$ with $m > 0$, $dy^{(m)} := \otimes_i dy_i^{\otimes m_i}$, and the $c_{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants. Assume that there exists $v := \sum_{(h)} b_{(h)} dy^{\otimes h_1} \otimes dz^{(h')}$ such that $q^*(v) = \pi^*(w)$. Here $(h) = (h_1, h')$ is an n -tuple of nonnegative integers of length m , with $(h') = (h_2, \dots, h_n)$, and $b_{(h)}(y, z)$ are holomorphic functions in (y, z) . We shall prove that $c_{(m)} = 0$, for any (m) of positive length m .

We will show this vanishing by comparing the coefficients of $(dy_1)^{\otimes m}$ in $\pi^*(w)$ and $q^*(v)$. This coefficient in $q^*(v)$ is $b_{(m, 0, \dots, 0)} \cdot N^m \cdot y_1^{(N-1) \cdot m}$. On the other hand, the coefficient of $dy_1^{\otimes m}$ in $\pi^*(dy^{(m)})$ is easily seen to be $(a \cdot z)^{(m')} := (a_2 \cdot z_2)^{m_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_n \cdot z_n)^{m_n} \cdot y_1^{(\sum (a_i - 1) \cdot m_i)}$ for each $(m) = (m_1, m')$ with $m' = (m_2, \dots, m_n)$. The coefficient of dy_1^m in $\pi^*(w)$ is thus:

$$\left(\sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} \cdot (a \cdot z)^{(m')} \cdot y_1^{(\sum (a_i - 1) \cdot m_i)} \right).$$

Notice that, since $a_i < N, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $a_1 = 1$, we have:

$$\sum (a_i - 1) \cdot m_i \leq (N - 2) \cdot \left(\sum m_i \right) = (N - 1) \cdot m - m.$$

The equality $\pi^*(w) = q^*(v)$ thus implies that:

$$b_{(m, 0, \dots, 0)}(y, z) \cdot N^m \cdot y_1^{(N-1) \cdot m} = \sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} \cdot (a \cdot z)^{(m')} \cdot y_1^{(N-1) \cdot m - m - s((m))},$$

where $s((m)) := (N - 1) \cdot m - m - \sum (a_i - 1) \cdot m_i \geq 0, \forall (m)$. Hence:

$$b_{(m, 0, \dots, 0)}(y, z) \cdot N^m = \sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} \cdot (a \cdot z)^{(m')} \cdot y_1^{-m - s(m)}.$$

From which we conclude that $c_{(m)} = 0, \forall (m)$, since $m > 0$ and the $c_{(m)}$ are constants, the functions $z^{(m')}$ being linearly independent polynomials in the z'_i s. The theorem is thus proved when $D = 0$, with $m(D) = 1$.

We now prove the general case, when $D \neq 0$, by a completely similar computation. We may write a local equation of D near $q'((\pi^{-1})(a))$ in the form: $y^r \cdot F(y, z)$, r being the multiplicity of the divisor D at a , with F not vanishing on the divisor $y_1^N = 0$. We write a local section of $Sym^m(\Omega_Z^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(D)$ in the form: $v' := \frac{v}{y_1^{r \cdot N} \cdot F}$, where $v := \sum_{(h)} b_{(h)} dy^{\otimes h_1} \otimes dz^{(h')}$, as before. The coefficient of dy_1^m in $q^*(v')$ is then: $b_{(m, 0, \dots, 0)} \cdot N^m \cdot y_1^{(N-1) \cdot m - r \cdot N} \cdot F^{-1}$.

⁹This is logically not necessary, but may help following the computations.

If $q^*(v') = \pi^*(w')$, for some $w' = \frac{w}{f(y_1, \dots, y_d)}$, where w is as above and $f = f(y_1, \dots, y_d)$ is holomorphic nonzero, we get by the calculation above:

$$\left(\sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} \cdot (a.z)^{(m')} \cdot y_1^{\sum (a_i-1) \cdot m_i} \right) = y_1^{(N-1)m-r.N} \cdot f \cdot F^{-1} \cdot b_{(m,0,\dots,0)} \cdot N^m,$$

or equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} \cdot (a.z)^{(m')} \cdot y_1^{(N-1)m-m-s((m))} &= y_1^{(N-1)m-r.N} \cdot f \cdot F^{-1} \cdot b_{(m,0)} \cdot N^m \\ &= \sum_{(m)} c_{(m)} \cdot (a.z)^{(m')} \cdot y_1^{-s((m))} = y_1^{m-r.N} \cdot f \cdot F^{-1} \cdot b_{(m,0)} \cdot N^m. \end{aligned}$$

We saw that $s((m)) = (N-1)m - m - \sum (a_i-1)m_i \geq 0, \forall (m)$. So that $c_{(m)} = 0, \forall (m)$, if $m > r.N$. \square

The next lemma concludes the proof of Lemma 5.14.

Lemma 5.19. *Let G be a group of order $N > 1$ acting on A . Assume that G has an isolated fixed point $a \in A$. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow A/G$ be the natural quotient map. Then $\pi_* : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow \pi_1(A/G)$ is surjective, and $\pi_1(A/G)$ is finite, abelian, of exponent dividing N . In particular: $q'(A/G) = 0$.*

Proof. The surjectivity of π_* is clear, using a , and $\pi(a)$ as base points, since π is totally ramified at a (i.e: $\pi^{-1}(\pi(a)) = \{a\}$), so that loops at $\pi(a)$ lift to loops at a . The second claim means that the kernel of π_* is of finite index in $\pi_1(A)$. Choose a \mathbb{Z} -basis $e_j, j = 1, \dots, 2n$, of $\pi_1(A) = \Lambda$, seen both as translations on the universal cover $c : \tilde{A} = \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow A = \tilde{A}/\Lambda$ of A , and as loops on A based at a . We denote with $h' : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ the affine-linear lift of g fixing a' such that $c(a') = a$, thus such that $h'(a' + v) = a' + h(v)$, for $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and h complex linear. We thus need to show that $N \cdot \pi_*(e_j) = 0$ in $\pi_1(A/G), \forall j$. Fix some $j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$. The linear path γ'_j in \tilde{A} joining a' to $a' + e_j$ is mapped by h' to the linear path joining a' to $a' + h(e_j)$. More generally, if $\gamma_j = c_*(\gamma'_j)$, then $g^k(\gamma_j)$ lifts by c to any affine path joining a'' to $a'' + h^k(e_j)$, for any $a'' \in \tilde{A}$ such that $c(a'') = a$. All these paths are indeed mapped by π_* to the loop $g^k(\gamma_j)$ in A . Consider the composed path Γ_j in A equal to $(1 + g + g^2 + \dots + g^{N-1}) \cdot \gamma_j$. Its unique lift Γ'_j in \tilde{A} as a continuous path starting at a' joins first a' to $a' + e_j$, then $a' + e_j$ to $a' + e_j + h(e_j)$, and so on, until it reaches $a' + e_j + h(e_j) + h^2(e_j) + \dots + h^{N-1}(e_j)$. Because h acts linearly on \mathbb{C}^n with eigenvalues ζ which are all zeros of the polynomial $1 + X + \dots + X^{N-1}$, we have: $(1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{N-1})(e_j) = 0$ (by decomposing e_j into a \mathbb{C} -basis of eigenvectors). The composed path Γ'_j is thus a loop in \mathbb{C}^n , hence $\Gamma_j = 0$ in $\pi_1(A)$, and $\pi_*(\Gamma_j) = 0$ in $\pi_1(A/G)$. On the other hand, $\pi_*(g^k \cdot \gamma_j) = \pi_*(\gamma_j), \forall k \geq 0$, since g is the identity

on A/G . Thus $0 = \pi_*(\Gamma_j) = N.\pi_*(\gamma_j), \forall j$, and the claimed property $N.\pi_1(A/G) = 0$. \square

Notation: For A/G , and L a line bundle on A/G , we denote with $H^0(S^m(A/G) \otimes L) := H^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1) \otimes L_X)$, if $X \rightarrow A/G$ is a resolution of the singularities, and L_X the inverse image of L on X , this vector space being independent of the resolution. If B is a complex compact torus, $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_B^1)$ denotes both the corresponding trivial vector bundle on B , and its space of sections. Write $\alpha_g : \widetilde{A/G} := C_g/G \times \widetilde{B}_g \rightarrow A/G$ for the projection defined in the statement of Lemma 5.14.

Lemma 5.20. *In the situation above (G cyclic, generated by g of primary order p^r , $B_g, C_g, \widetilde{B}_g$ defined previously), we have:*

1. $H^0(B_g, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1)) = H^0(S^m(A/G)), \forall m \geq 0$.
2. *If L is a line bundle on A/G , there is a vector bundle L_{B_g} on B_g , a line bundle L_C on C_g/G , and an integer $m(L)$ such that:*
 $H^0(S^m(A/G) \otimes L) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L)} S_j \otimes \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1) \otimes H^0(B_g, L_{B_g})$
if $m \geq m(L)$, where $S_j := H^0(S^j(C_g/G) \otimes L_C)$.

Proof. **Claim 1.** We have $\forall j > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S^m((C_g/G) \times \widetilde{B}_g)) &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m} H^0(S^j(C_g/G)) \otimes \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1) \\ &= \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1), \text{ since } H^0(S^j(C_g/G)) = \{0\}, \text{ by Theorem 5.18.} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $H^0(S^m(\widetilde{A/G})) = H^0(S^m(A/G) \otimes (\alpha_g)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{A/G}}))$, since $\alpha_g : \widetilde{A/G} \rightarrow A/G$ is a Galois étale cover with abelian Galois group, and so $(\alpha_g)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{A/G}})$ is a direct sum of line bundles, one factor being trivial, while the others are torsion non-trivial. The first part of the argument, applied after tensorisation with $(\alpha_g)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{A/G}})$ then implies the claim.

Claim 2. The proof is similar, but uses in addition the fact that $q^1(C_g/G) = 0$, so that $\text{Pic}(C_g/G \times \widetilde{B}_g) = \gamma^*(\text{Pic}(C_g/G)) + \beta^*(\text{Pic}(\widetilde{B}_g))$, up to torsion, and so $L = \gamma^*(L_C) + \beta^*(L_B)$ with L_C, L_B line bundles on $C_g/G, \widetilde{B}_g$ respectively, and γ, β the obvious projections. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} &H^0(S^m(\widetilde{A/G}) \otimes L) \\ &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m} H^0(S^j(C_g/G) \otimes L_C) \otimes H^0(\widetilde{B}_g, \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1) \otimes L_B) \\ &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L_C)} H^0(S^j(C_g/G) \otimes L_C) \otimes H^0(\widetilde{B}_g, \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1) \otimes L_B), \\ &= \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L_C)} S_j \otimes \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1) \otimes H^0(\widetilde{B}_g, L_B), \text{ since there exists} \\ & \text{ } m(L_C) \text{ such that } H^0(S^j(C_g/G) \otimes L_C) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } j \geq m(L_C), \text{ by} \\ & \text{ } \text{Theorem 5.18, and since the vector bundles } \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{\widetilde{B}_g}^1) \text{ are trivial.} \end{aligned}$$

In order to get the Claim 2 (for A/G instead of $\widetilde{A/G}$), we just have to argue as in the proof of Claim 1, and to replace $H^0(\widetilde{B}_g, L_B)$ by $H^0(B_g, L_{B_g})$, with the vector bundle $L_{B_g} := (\alpha_g)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{A/G}})$. \square

5.4. Proof of Lemma 5.16. For each $g \in F^*$, $C_g := (g-1).A \subset A^0$ is a connected Lie subgroup, and thus so is C_G , the subgroup generated by the C'_g 's. Fix arbitrarily an origin a in A which identifies A and A^0 , and equips A with a Lie group structure. The group $G = [g]$ generated by g naturally acts on $C_g < A^0$ by: $h.(g-1).a := (g-1).h.a$ for any $h = g^k \in [g]$, and $a \in A$. This is well-defined, since $(g-1).g^k.a = 0$ if $(g-1).a = 0$, since then $g^{k+1}.a = g^k.a, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Claim 1. $C_G := \sum_{g \in F^*} C_g \subset A$ is preserved by the action of G . Indeed, for $h \in G$, we have:

$h.C_G := h.(\sum_{F^*} (g-1)A) = \sum_{F^*} (h.g.h^{-1} - 1).h(A) = C_G$, since F^* is stable by conjugation in G , and $h(A) = A$.

The quotient $a_G : A \rightarrow B_G := A/C_G$ is thus well-defined, and G -equivariant for the trivial action of G on B_G .

Claim 2. It thus induces a quotient map $q_G : A/G \rightarrow B_G$. For each $b \in B_G$, $q_G^{-1}(b)$ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient C_G/G . Indeed, by the equalities written above, for all $g \in F^*$, $a'_g s \in A$, we have:

$$h. \sum_{g \in F^*} (g-1).a_g = \sum_{g \in F^*} (hgh^{-1}-1).h.a_g = \sum_{F^*} (g-1).(h.a_{h^{-1}.g.h}),$$

which shows that this action of G is defined directly on $C_G < A^0$, independently of the chosen origin $a \in A$.

The fibration $q_G : A/G \rightarrow B$ is thus analytically locally trivial with fibre C_G/G .

Claim 3. We shall show that $\nu_1^*(A/G) \leq \dim(B_G) - n \leq q(A/G) - n$, and $\dim(B_G) = q(A/G) = q'(A/G)$. Assume we already proved that $\kappa_1^*(A/G) \leq \dim(B_G) - n$. By Remark 5.7, $\kappa_1^*(A/G) \geq q'(A/G) - n$, we thus have $q(A/G) - n \geq \dim(B_G) - n \geq q'(A/G) \geq q(A/G) - n$, and thus that: $\dim(B_G) = q(A/G) = q'(A/G)$, which is Claim 4. We thus only need to show the equality $\nu_1^*(A/G) = \kappa_1^*(A/G) = \dim(B_G) - n$. For any $g \in F^*$, recall that $C_g = (g-1).A < A^0$, and that $q'_g : A \rightarrow B_g := A/C_g$ is the quotient. Let $[g], g \in F^*$ be the subgroup of G generated by g , of primary order, and with fixpoints.

We have then two other quotients: $\pi_g : A/[g] \rightarrow A/G$, and $\beta_g : B_g \rightarrow B_G := B_g/(C_G/C_g)$, such that $\beta_g \circ q_g = q_G \circ \pi_g : A/[g] \rightarrow B_G$.

We first prove that $\kappa_1^*(A/G) \leq \dim(B_G) - n$. Denote, for $m \geq 0$, by $H^0(S^m(A/G))$ the vector space of sections of $Sym^m(\Omega_X^1)$ over X , if X is any smooth model of A/G . Similarly for $A/[g]$. For any $m \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism $q_g^* : H^0(B_g, Sym^m(\Omega_{B_g}^1)) \rightarrow H^0(S^m(A/[g]))$, and natural injective maps:

$$\beta_g^* : H^0(B_G, Sym^m(\Omega_{B_G}^1)) \rightarrow H^0(B_g, Sym^m(\Omega_{B_g}^1)), \text{ and:}$$

$$\pi_g^* : H^0(S^m(A/G)) \rightarrow H^0(S^m(A/[g])), \text{ hence an injective map:}$$

$$(\pi_g \circ \beta_g)^* = (q_G \circ \beta_g)^* : H^0(S^m(A/G)) \rightarrow H^0(B_g, Sym^m(\Omega_{B_g}^1)).$$

From this we deduce that:

$$H^0(S^m(A/G)) \subset \cap_{g \in F^*} H^0(B_g, Sym^m(\Omega_{B_g}^1)) \subset H^0(A, Sym^m(\Omega_A^1)).$$

The next Lemma 5.21 (trivial, but lack of reference) shows that:

$$\cap_{g \in F^*} H^0(B_g, Sym^m(\Omega_{B_g}^1)) = Sym^m(\cap_{g \in F^*} H^0(B_g, \Omega_{B_g}^1))$$

$= \text{Sym}^m(H^0(B_G, \Omega_{B_G}^1))$, since $B_G = A/C_G$, and $C_G = \sum_{g \in F^*} (C_g)$.

Hence $H^0(S^m(A/G)) \subset H^0(B_G, \text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{B_G}^1))$, $\forall m > 0$.

Which implies the claimed inequality:

$$\kappa_1^*(A/G) \leq \kappa_1^*(B_G) - (\dim(A/G) - \dim(B_G)) = 0 - (n - \dim(B_G)).$$

Lemma 5.21. *Let $F_j \subset E$ be a finite number of vector subspaces. For any $m \geq 0$, $\text{Sym}^m(\cap F_j) = \cap \text{Sym}^m(F_j) \subset \text{Sym}^m(E)$.*

Proof. Induction on the number $k \geq 1$ of the F_j 's. The case $k > 2$ reduces by an easy induction to the case $k = 2$, which we now consider, with $E = F_1 + F_2$. In this case, write $E = F \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$, with $F := F_1 \cap F_2$, $F_j = V \oplus V_j$. Then, with $(h) := (h, h_1, h_2)$ running through triples of nonnegative integers of sum m , we have:

$\text{Sym}^m(E) = \bigoplus_{(h)} \text{Sym}^h(F) \otimes \text{Sym}^{h_1}(V_1) \otimes \text{Sym}^{h_2}(V_2)$, with $\text{Sym}^m(F_1)$ (resp. $\text{Sym}^m(F_2)$) defined by the conditions $h_2 = 0$ (resp. $h_1 = 0$), their intersection thus defined by $h_1 = h_2 = 0$. \square

We now prove similarly that $\nu_1^*(A/G) = \dim(B_G) - n$, too. Let L be an effective line bundle on A/G , we denote by L_g, L_{B_g}, L_G, L_A its inverse image on $A/[g], B_g, B_G, A$ by means of the maps $\pi_g, \pi_g \circ q_g, q_G, q'_g \circ q_g \circ \pi_g$, if $q'_g : A \rightarrow B_g = A/C_g$ is the quotient.

By the previous argument, and Lemma 5.20, we get, for each $g \in F^*$, an injective map $H^0(S^m(A/G) \otimes L) \rightarrow H^0(S^m(A/[g] \otimes L_{A/[g]}))$, $\forall m > 0$, and, if $m \geq m(L, g)$, and $S_{g,j} := H^0(S^j(C_g/[g]) \otimes L_{C_g})$, an equality:

$$H^0(S^m(A/[g] \otimes L_{A/[g]}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L,g)} S_{g,j} \otimes \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{B_g}^1) \otimes H^0(B_g, L_{B_g}).$$

For any $g, j \leq m, m > 0$, define: $T_{g,j} := S_j \otimes H^0(B_g, L_{B_g})$, we thus write the preceding equality as:

$H^0(S^m(A/[g] \otimes L_{A/[g]}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L,g)} T_{g,j} \otimes \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{B_g}^1)$, so that we get for any $g \in F^*, m \geq m(L, g)$ an inclusion:

$$H^0(S^m(A/G) \otimes L) \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L,g)} T_{g,j} \otimes \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{B_g}^1).$$

If we define $m(L) := \sup_{g \in F^*} m(L, g)$, and $T_L := \bigoplus_{\{j \leq m(L), g \in F^*\}} T_{g,j}$, we get an inclusion, for $m > 0$:

$H^0(S^m(A/[g] \otimes L_{A/[g]}) \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L)} T_L \otimes (\cap_{g \in F^*} \text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{B_g}^1))$, and finally by Lemma 5.21:

$H^0(S^m(A/[g] \otimes L_{A/[g]}) \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L)} T_L \otimes (\text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_{B_G}^1))$, seen as a vector subspace of $\bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq m(L)} T_L \otimes (\text{Sym}^{m-j}(\Omega_A^1))$, from which we get: $h^0(S^m(A/G) \otimes L) \leq m(L) \cdot \dim(T) \cdot h^0(\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{B_G}^1))$, for $m > 0$.

This shows that $\nu_1^*(A/G) \leq \dim(B_G) - n$, as claimed.

Claim 5. $q(A/G) = \dim(B_G)$, so q_G is the Albanese map of A/G .

Claim 6. This follows from [19], Theorem 3.4, since $q(C_G/G) = 0$, C_G/G is not uniruled, and so $\text{Aut}(C_G/G)$ is discrete since $q(C_g/G) = 0$.

5.5. Decomposition of torus quotients. Let $\pi : A/G$ be the quotient of a compact complex torus A by a finite group G of affine automorphisms. Write $G = E \cup F$, with the same meaning as in §5.1 and Lemma 5.13. Let F' be the normal subgroup of G , and $Q := G/F'$

its quotient group. We have natural quotient maps: $\pi : A \rightarrow A/F'$ and $\pi_Q : A/F' \rightarrow A/G = (A/F')/Q$, the latter one being étale since the action of Q on A/F' is fixpoint free. Because of this, we shall assume that $G = F'$, since étale covers do not modify the invariants and fibrations we are considering here.

In this subsection we decompose A/G into simpler varieties of the same form by means of its MRC, followed by the Albanese map.

Proposition 5.22. *Assume that G is generated by its elements having fixpoints. Let $a_G : A/G \rightarrow A_G$ be its (surjective and connected) Albanese map. Then $a_G = b_G \circ r_G$, where $r_G : A/G \rightarrow B/H$ is the MRC, and $b_G : B/H \rightarrow A_G$ is the Albanese map.*

Here, $r : A \rightarrow B = A/K$ is the quotient of A by a subtorus K , preserved by a normal subgroup S of G such that $H = G/S$ acts effectively on B , with rationally connected quotient K/S , fibre of of the locally trivial fibre bundle r_G .

Next, b_G is a locally trivial fibre bundle with fibre L/H , where L is a subtorus of B preserved by H such that $A_G = B/L$. The fibre L/H has only canonical singularities, and a torsion \mathbb{Q} -Cartier canonical bundle¹⁰.

We start the proof of Proposition 5.22. First, recall the criteria for non-uniruledness of A/G (see [33] for example):

Proposition 5.23. *The following are equivalent, for a smooth model X of A/G*

1. X is not uniruled
2. $\kappa(X) = 0$
3. The singularities of A/G are canonical¹¹.

The structure of the ‘rational quotient’ (or MRC fibration) is then easy to describe (see [33] for a different proof in a more general situation):

Lemma 5.24. *Let A/G be as in 5.23. There exists a subtorus $K < A$ with quotient $q : A \rightarrow B := A/K$ and a normal subgroup $S < G$ preserving K , $H := G/S$ thus naturally acting on B with the following properties:*

The quotient $h : B \rightarrow B/H$ induces a quotient $r : A/G \rightarrow B/H$ such that $r \circ \pi = h \circ q : A \rightarrow B/H$ is the ‘rational quotient’ of A/G .

Its (rationally connected) fibres are isomorphic to K/S , its base B/H has canonical singularities and torsion canonical bundle.

Remark 5.25. *The proof in fact shows that the statements are true (except the last sentence of 5.24) if $f : A/G \dashrightarrow Z$ is any almost holomorphic fibration, not just the MRC of A/G .*

¹⁰the torsion index is bounded by the largest N such that $\varphi(N)$ divides n , φ being the Euler function, $n := \dim(A)$

¹¹This can be checked using the Reid-Tai criterion.

Proof. Let $r_0 : A/G \dashrightarrow R_0$ be any birational model of the MRC¹² of A/G . It is almost holomorphic, that is: its generic fibre does not meet its indeterminacy locus. We choose for r the ‘fibre-model’ of r_0 , defined as follows: for $z \in R_0$ generic, let Y_z be the reduced fibre of r_0 over z . This defines a meromorphic map $\varphi : R_0 \dashrightarrow \text{Chow}(A/G)$. We let R be the normalisation of its image, and denote by $Y^+ \subset R \times (A/G)$ the incidence graph of the family of cycles parametrised by R . The natural projection $d^+ : Y^+ \rightarrow (A/G)$ is thus birational, and $r := p \circ (d^+)^{-1} : (A/G) \dashrightarrow R$ is the model of the MRC of (A/G) we shall consider, with $p : Y^+ \rightarrow R$ the first projection. Let $r \circ \pi : A \dashrightarrow R$ be the composed map. It is almost holomorphic. Let $r \circ p = s \circ q$ be the Stein factorisation, with $q : A \dashrightarrow B$ connected, and $s : B \rightarrow B/H$ finite. From Lemma 5.26 below, we deduce that q is holomorphic, and that $B = A/K$ is a quotient abelian variety of A . The rest of the proof about H, S is then quite standard, since the fibres of r are exchanged by the affine action of G on A . The last sentence follows from the fact that B/H is not uniruled, by 5.23. \square

Lemma 5.26. *Let $q : A \dashrightarrow Z$ be an almost holomorphic map with connected fibres. The ‘fibre-model’ of q is then the quotient map from A onto a quotient Abelian variety $B = A/K$.*

Proof. Since q is almost-holomorphic, the image B^+ of the associated fibre-map $\psi : Z \rightarrow \text{Chow}(A)$ is an irreducible component of $\text{Chow}(A)$. Let A_z be a generic fibre of q not meeting the indeterminacy locus of q . Then B^+ contains all of the translates of A_z inside A . Since these translates cover A , and only one of them passes through the generic point of A , the family B^+ coincides with the family of translates of A_z , and also with the quotient of A by the group K of translations of A preserving A_z . Thus, A_z must be a single orbit of K , and so be a translate of an abelian subvariety of A . \square

Lemma 5.27. *Assume $G = F'$. Let $r : A/G \rightarrow B/H$ be the MRC of A/G described in 5.24, and let $a_G : A/G \rightarrow A_G$ be the Albanese map of A/G . Recall that $A_G = A/C_G$, and that a_G is a locally trivial fibre bundle with fibre C_G/G , with the notations of Lemma 5.16. Then $a_G = b_G \circ r_G$, where $b_G : B/H \rightarrow A_G$ is the Albanese map of B/H .*

Proof. The fibres of r_G are rationally connected and thus mapped to points by a_G , there thus exists a factorisation $a_G = b_G \circ r_G$ with $b_G : B/H \rightarrow A_G$ a fibration. Necessarily b_G is the Albanese map of B/H since a_G is the one of A/G . \square

The quotients A/G which are either rationally connected, or with canonical singularities (and so torsion canonical bundle) and finite fundamental groups are thus the two ‘building blocks’ (the tori being the

¹²It is easy to see that the MRC is defined for normal varieties, coincides with the one of a smooth model, and is almost holomorphic.

obvious third class) of this class of varieties. Their structure is not, by far, well-understood. Hence some remarks and questions about them.

Remark 5.28. *1. If A/G is rationally connected, is it unirational? Is it rational? Is it uniformly rational in the sense of Gromov? See [33] for a thorough study of pairs (A, G) such that A/G is rationally connected.*

2. What are the possible finite groups $\pi_1(A/G)$ when A/G is not uniruled, and $q'(A/G) = 0$, for n fixed?

3. What are the possible groups G/F' , for n fixed, Galois group of the étale cover $A/F' \rightarrow A/G$, for n fixed?

The groups G acting freely (i.e. with $F' = \{1\}$) on Abelian threefolds are classified in [38], they are solvable and build a very short list.

4. Classical examples of pairs (A, G) , especially when $n = 2$, are described in [6], §13. Poincaré reducibility shows that only the actions on products of simple abelian varieties give indecomposable examples.

5. Assume that A/G has canonical singularities and trivial fundamental group. When does A/G admit a crepant resolution (i.e.: a resolution X with K_X trivial)? This is always the case when $n = 2$, common when $n = 3$, but apparently quite rare when $n \geq 4$. The cases of holonomy SU and Sp behave differently.

6. If such a crepant resolution X does exist, the holonomy of a Ricci-flat Kähler metric on X decomposes with irreducible factors of type either SU or Sp . One can get information on this decomposition from the values of the dimensions of $H^{0,p}(A/G) = (H^{0,p}(A))^G$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{A/G})$. The local existence of crepant resolutions is proved for all $SU(3)$ quotients when $n = 3$ (see the references in [42]).

In particular, any threefold A/G with isolated canonical singularities and trivial canonical bundle is simply-connected, and has crepant resolutions X which thus admit Ricci-flat Kähler metrics of holonomy $SU(3)$. The simplest example is A/G , if $A = E^{\oplus 3}$, where E is the elliptic curve with complex multiplication μ by a primitive cubic root of unity in \mathbb{C}^ , and G the cyclic group of order 3 acting on A by μ simultaneously on each of the factors. There are only two other threefolds A/G with crepant resolution with holonomy $SU(3)$, by [37].*

The existence of quotients A/G with crepant resolutions and irreducible holonomy $SU(n)$ in dimension $n \geq 4$ seems to be less known¹³. Examples with irreducible Sp holonomy are well-known in each even dimension.

7. Any finite group G can be embedded in some symmetric group S_{n+1} on $n+1$ letters, acting transitively on them, and so act effectively on suitable abelian varieties, as follows ([4]). Let B be any abelian surface, S_{n+1} , and so G , acts on B^{n+1} by permutation of the factors, preserving the abelian subvariety $A := \{(b_0 \dots b_n) | b_0 + \dots + b_n = 0\}$.

¹³This is confirmed by the just posted preprint [26], in which the non-existence is shown in dimension 4, and the lack of examples in dimensions larger is stated.

There is then a natural finite quotient map $K_{(G)} := A/G \rightarrow A/S_{n+1} := K_{(n)}$. By [4], $K_{(n)}$ is simply connected, has canonical singularities, trivial canonical bundle, and admits a crepant resolution $K^{[n]}$ which is an irreducible hyperkähler manifold of dimension $2n$. Thus A/G has canonical singularities and trivial canonical bundle. Moreover, since any $1 \neq g \in S_{n+1}$ fixes the points $(b, \dots, b) \in A$, if $b \in B$ is a point of $(n+1)$ -torsion, we deduce from Lemma 5.19, that $\pi_1(A/G)$ is finite and abelian. Indeed, it is easy to check that $(H^{0,1}(A))^G = \{0\}$, so $q(A/G) = q'(A/G) = 0$, and Proposition 5.16 shows the finiteness of $\pi_1(A/G)$, which is abelian since $\pi : A \rightarrow A/G$ has total ramification at the previous torsion points, which implies the surjectivity of π_* on the π_1 's. Moreover, $(H^{0,2}(A))^G = \mathbb{C}$ in this situation.

8. In the preceding construction, if G is embedded in S_n , let S_n act on B^n by permutation of the factors. This action commutes with the action of S_2^n by multiplication by either $+1$ or -1 on each of the factors, and induces a Galois quotient $B^n \rightarrow T^n/S_n$, where $T = B/S_2$ is the Kummer surface of B . Taking the Hilbert scheme crepant resolution and deformations of T^n/S_n leads to the second classical family of Hyperkähler manifolds found in [4]. One can restrict the action of S_n on T^n to G in order to get a class a varieties having the same properties as in the preceding example K_G .

A different quotient A/G is given in [24], which constructs a crepant resolution X of a quotient E^4/G , with E the Gauss elliptic curve and G a group of order 32. However, X turns out to be a deformation of the Hilbert square of K3 surfaces.

9. Interesting and numerous examples of quotients A/G arise when A is the Jacobian variety of a curve C of genus $g > 1$ with a non-trivial group G of automorphisms.

6. REMARKS ON SPECIAL MANIFOLDS.

For more details on the orbifold notions, see [15] and [16].

We briefly recall the (conditional in the conjecture $C_{n,m}^{orb}$ of [15]) decomposition of the core map $c = (J \circ r)^n$, $n = \dim(X)$ described in this text, by means of iterated fibrations $J \circ r$, the fibrations r, J being defined as follows, for any smooth geometric orbifold (X, Δ) .

- $r : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (R, \Delta_r)$ is the birationally unique fibration such that its orbifold base (R, Δ_r) has $\kappa \geq 0$, and its generic (smooth) orbifold fibres $(X_r, \Delta_{|X_r})$ have $\kappa^+ = -\infty$. The meaning of $\kappa^+(Y, \Delta_Y) = -\infty$ is that for any rational fibration $f : (Y, \Delta_Y) \dashrightarrow (Z, \Delta_f)$, one has: $\kappa(Z, \Delta_f) = -\infty$. The conjecture $C_{n,m}^{orb}$ implies the existence of r .

When $\Delta = 0$, $r : X = (X, 0) \rightarrow (R, \Delta_r)$ is nothing else than the usual ‘rational quotient’ (or MRC) of X , with $\Delta_r = 0$ by [27].

- $J : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (J, \Delta_J)$ is the Moishezon-Iitaka fibration, defined when $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) \geq 0$, by sections of high divisible multiples of $(K_X +$

Δ). Its generic orbifold fibres have $\kappa = 0$, and $\dim(J) = \kappa(X, K_X + \Delta)$. It coincides with the usual Moishezon-Iitaka fibration when $\Delta = 0$.

Then $c := (J \circ r)^n : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (C, \Delta_c)$ is the ‘core map’, with its orbifold base (C, Δ_c) of general type, and orbifold fibres special. In particular, C is a point if and only if (X, Δ) is special.

The relative versions of the core map and its decomposition are also true: if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is any fibration (with X, Y compact Kähler smooth), the maps J_f, r_f are also defined over the base Y , inducing J, r on the general smooth fibres of f , and give the core decomposition of the general fibre of f .

We shall now show how the conjecture 1.5 claiming that $\nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X) = q'(X) - n$ could be possibly proved, when X is special and compact Kähler, n -dimensional.

We may and shall assume that $q(X) = q'(X)$, by replacing X by a suitable finite étale cover, which is still special, by [15]. The Albanese map $a_X : X \rightarrow A_X$ is a fibration with smooth fibres special, after [20], and we can apply to it the relative core decomposition.

Assume that (possibly after a finite étale cover), the orbifold fibres of the fibrations J, r in the relative decomposition sequence $c = (J \circ r)^n$ of the core map of a_X are all trivial¹⁴. The manifold X thus appears as a tower of fibrations with fibres either rationally connected, or with $\kappa = 0$. The latter ones conjecturally admit good minimal models, and thus fibre over their Albanese variety with fibres having $\nu_1 = -\infty$. Conditionally in this good minimal model conjecture, we thus inductively only need to prove that if $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a term of this decomposition, with trivial orbifold base, and with smooth fibres either rationally connected, or with $\kappa = 0$ and $\nu_1 = -\infty$, or complex tori, then $\nu_1(Y) + \dim(Y) = \nu_1(Z) + \dim(Z)$ since $q'(X) = q'(Y) = q'(Z)$.

In the rationally connected (resp. $\nu_1^* = -\infty$) case, this follows from Theorem 2.4 (resp. Corollary 2.6). The (highly) non-trivial case is when $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ has smooth fibres complex tori. Even when $\dim(Y) = 2, \dim(Z) = 1$, some cases are still open (see [29] and §7 below).

We raise this explicitly as a possibly tractable problem.

Question 6.1. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a fibration with Y, Z smooth projective. Assume that $q'(Y) = q'(Z)$, that the smooth fibres of f are Abelian varieties, and that f has no multiple fibre in codimension one. Do we then have: $\nu_1^*(Y) + \dim(Y) = \nu_1^*(Z) + \dim(Z)$? Is this true at least in the two extreme cases when f is either isotrivial, or has maximal variation? One can probably reduce to these two extreme cases in general.*

The computation of κ_1^* might be much easier (replacing ν_1 by κ_1 in the preceding question) when the variation of f is maximal. When

¹⁴This might follow from the ‘Abelianity conjecture’, claiming that $\pi_1(X)$ is virtually abelian if X is special.

fibre and base are one-dimensional, this is true as a consequence of the next proposition 6.2, given in Example 1.3. This is due to Atiyah when X is an elliptic curve (see also [43], Example 3).

Proposition 6.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$ be a non-split extension on X , smooth projective, and let A be an ample line bundle such that $h^1(X, A) = 0$. Then:*

1. $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E)) = 1, \forall m \geq 0$.
 2. $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes A) = (m+1) \cdot h^0(X, A), \forall m \geq 0$.
- In particular: $\kappa(X, E) = -1 < \nu(X, E) = 0$.*

Proof. Everything follows easily from (a small part of the special case when $\det(E) = 0$) of the Clebsch-Gordan formula for $m \geq 1$:

$$\text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes E = \text{Sym}^{m+1}(E) \oplus \text{Sym}^{m-1}(E), \text{ with } \text{Sym}^0(E) = \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Claim 1. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m(E) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes E \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m(E) \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes E) \leq 2 \cdot h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E))$, and so $2 \cdot h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E)) \geq h^0(X, \text{Sym}^{m+1}(E)) + h^0(X, \text{Sym}^{m-1}(E))$.

Since $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E)) = 1$ for $m = 0, 1$, we get inductively:

$$h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E)) \leq 1. \text{ The reverse inequality is clear.}$$

Claim 2. The proof is entirely similar, tensoring the exact sequences with A , and using the fact that $h^1(X, A) = 0$. The induction step includes however, not only that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes A) \leq (m+1) \cdot h^0(X, A)$, but also that $h^1(X, \text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes A) = 0, \forall m \geq 0$. The easy details are left to the reader. \square

7. REMARKS ON SURFACES NOT OF GENERAL TYPE.

We consider here smooth compact Kähler surfaces not of general type (see 3.3 for simple remarks on these). They have been already thoroughly studied in [29] from the same viewpoint as here, with which the present section overlaps considerably (and partially rests). Recall ([15]) that a compact Kähler surface X not of general type is ‘special’ if and only if no finite étale cover of X fibres over a curve of genus at least 2, or equivalently if $q'(X) \leq 2$.

Recall the statement:

Proposition 7.1. *If X is a smooth projective surface not of general type, then $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X)$, unless possibly when $\kappa(X) = 1$, the elliptic fibration is not isotrivial, and $q'(X) > 0$.*

Moreover, $\kappa_1(X) = q'(X) - 2$ if X is special.

If X is not special, replacing X by a suitable finite étale cover¹⁵, there is a fibration $f : X \rightarrow C$ over a curve C of genus at least 2 with smooth fibres F either rational or elliptic. If F is rational, $\kappa_1(X) = 0$. If F

¹⁵Except in the easy case when $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has two multiple fibres of different multiplicities. This particular case is easily treated by going to a cyclic (ramified) cover over the two multiple fibres, which fibres over \mathbb{P}^1 without multiple fibre.

is elliptic, $\kappa_1(X) = 0$ if f is not isotrivial, and $\kappa_1(X) = 1$ if f is isotrivial.

Remark 7.2. *The cases left open are the following ones: $\kappa(X) = 1$, and after a finite étale cover, the elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow C$ has no multiple fibre, and $g(C) \geq 1$. In these cases, $\kappa_1(X) = -1$ if $g(C) = 1$, and $\kappa_1(X) = 0$ if $g(C) \geq 2$.*

Proof. When $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, X is birationally a product $\mathbb{P}_1 \times C$, thus $\nu_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(X) = \kappa^*(\mathbb{P}_1) + \kappa_1^*(C) = -1 + \min\{1, (g-1)\}$.

If $\kappa = 0$, X is birationally, after a finite étale cover, either a complex torus, or a $K3$ surface. In the first case, $\nu_1(X) = 0$, in the second case, $\nu_1(X) = -\infty$ (by [28], for example).

The main case is thus when $\kappa(X) = 1$. In this case, replacing X by a suitable finite étale cover, the elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow C$ has no multiple fibre¹⁶.

We have different cases, according to the genus g of C , and to whether or not f is isotrivial.

- First case: f is not isotrivial.

We then have: $\kappa_1^*(X) = \kappa_1^*(C) - 1 = \min\{1, (g-1)\} - 1$. Thus $\kappa_1^*(X) = -2, -1, 0$ if $g = 0, 1$, or $g \geq 2$ respectively. This follows from [43], when $g(C) \geq 2$, but remains true if $g(C) \leq 1$. Indeed, since $\kappa(F, E) = -1$ when F is an elliptic curve and E is a non-split extension of two trivial line bundles over F (see Proposition 6.2), we have $f_*(\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)) = mK_X$ over the Zariski-open subset of C over which f is submersive. We thus have: $f_*(\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)) = f_*((f^*(mK_C)^{\text{sat}}))$, where $f^*(mK_C)^{\text{sat}}$ is the saturation inside $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)$. Since f has no multiple fibre¹⁷ by assumption, the quotient $f^*(mK_C)^{\text{sat}}/f^*(mK_C)$ is a divisor E ‘partially supported on the fibres of f ’ (see Definition 2.9), and so one has, by Lemma 2.11: $f^*(mK_C)^{\text{sat}} \subset f^*(mK_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(sE)$, for a certain positive integer s independent of m . Thus $f_*(f^*(mK_C)^{\text{sat}}) \subset mK_C \otimes f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(sE)) \subset (mK_C \otimes H)^{\oplus R}$, for a certain H ample on C , and $R > 0$, by Lemma 2.5. This shows the claim since $\nu(K_C) = \kappa(K_C)$ for every curve C .

The remaining problem is the equality $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X)$.

If $g = 0$, this is proved in [29] using analytic methods.

We are thus left with the two cases $g = 1, g \geq 2$.

If $g = 1$, we must show that $\nu_1^*(X) = -1$, thus exclude the existence of an ample A on X such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(X) \otimes A)$ grows at least linearly with m .

¹⁶Except in the easy case when $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has two multiple fibres of different multiplicities, treated as before.

¹⁷We implicitly use the fact that ‘classical’ and ‘non classical’ multiplicities coincide for elliptic fibrations.

If $g \geq 2$, we must show that $\nu_1^*(X) = -1$, thus exclude the existence of an ample A on X such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m(X) \otimes A)$ grows at least quadratically with m .

The difficulty is that, if F is a smooth fibre of $f : X \rightarrow C$, then $E := \Omega_{X|F}^1$ is a non-split extension of two trivial line bundles, and so (see proposition 6.2) $h^0(F, \text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes A) = (m+1)$, $\forall m > 0$, A ample on F , while $h^0(F, \text{Sym}^m(E)) = 1$. The equality $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X)$ cannot thus be deduced (at least in an immediate way) from local arguments near the generic fibre, as the ones given above in the isotrivial case.

We leave these two cases open.

• Second case: f is isotrivial. Let F be a smooth (elliptic) fibre of $f : X \rightarrow C$. When $C = \mathbb{P}_1$ and F has no automorphism other than translations, this is shown in [29] by expressing X as a global quotient $(F \times C')/G$. We shall prove this in general, and compute $\kappa_1(X) = \nu_1(X)$ by a different method, analyzing the sections of $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1)$ near a singular fibre of f (if any). We may indeed assume that X is minimal, and has at least one singular fibre, since otherwise X has a finite étale cover which is a product $F \times C'$. We are thus locally near such a singular fibre in the following situation.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that $f : X \rightarrow C$ is isotrivial with smooth fibres F , X being minimal. Let $a \in C$ be a point over which $f : X \rightarrow C$ has a singular fibre. Let $a \in U \subset C$ be a small disc around a , and assume that $X_U := f^{-1}(U)$ is a minimal resolution of $(U \times F)/G$, where G is a cyclic group of order $N = 2$ or 3 , generated by g , and acting on $U \times F$ by $g.(s, x) = (\zeta.s, \zeta^\varepsilon x)$, where ζ is a primitive N -th root of 1, and $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$.*

Let $w := \sum_{p=0}^{p=m} c_p(s) (ds)^p \cdot (dx)^{m-p}$ be a holomorphic G -invariant section of $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{U \times F}^1)$. Then w descends to a holomorphic section of $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_{X_U}^1)$ if and only if:

1. $w = \sum_{p=0}^{p=m} a_p(s^N) d(s^N)^{m-p} \cdot (s^{N-1} \cdot dx)^p$ if $\varepsilon = 1$,
2. $w = \sum_{p=0}^{p=m} a_p(s^N) d(s^N)^{m-p} \cdot (s \cdot dx)^p$ if $\varepsilon = -1$, the a_p 's being holomorphic in both cases.
3. Thus, if a_1, \dots, a_r are the points of C over which f has singular fibres, $\text{Sym}^m(\Omega_X^1) \subset f^*(\oplus_{p=0}^{p=m} (p \cdot K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-[\frac{m-p}{N}]) \cdot (a_1 + \dots + a_r))$.

Proof. Assume $\varepsilon = 1$, the second case is proved by a completely similar computation (just replacing $z = \frac{x}{s}$ by $z := \frac{x}{s^{N-1}}$). Let $(s, x) = (0, 0)$ be a fixed point of g on the fibre $\{0\} \times F$. Blow-up this point. We have thus coordinates $(s, z := \frac{x}{s})$ on this blow-up.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then: } w &= \sum_p c_p(s) \cdot ds^{m-p} \cdot (sdz + zds)^p \\ &= \sum_p c_p(s) \cdot ds^{m-p} \cdot (\sum_{h=0}^{h=p} C_{m-p}^h \cdot s^h \cdot z^{p-h} ds^{p-h} dz^h) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{h=m} s^h \cdot ds^{m-h} dz^h \cdot (\sum_{p=h}^{p=m} C_{m-p}^h c_p(s) z^{p-h}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in the coordinates (s^N, z) , w takes the form:

$$w = \sum_{h=0}^{h=m} b_h(s^N, z) \cdot d(s^N)^{m-h} \cdot dz^h, \text{ with } b_h \text{ holomorphic.}$$

Identifying the two expressions, we get:

$$(N-1)^{m-h}.b_h(s^N, z)s^{(N-1).(m-h)} = s^h.(\sum_{p=h}^{p=m} C_{m-p}^h c_p(s)z^{p-h}), \forall h.$$

For $h = 0$, this gives: $(N-1)^m.b_0(s^N, z)s^{(N-1).m} = (\sum_{p=0}^{p=m} c_p(s)z^p)$, which implies that $c_p(s) = s^{(N-1).m}a_p(s^N)$, $\forall p \geq 0$, a_p holomorphic.

Thus $w = \sum_p c_p(s).ds^{m-p}.dx^p = \sum_p a_p(s^N).s^{(N-1).m}.ds^{m-p}.dx^p = \sum_p a_p(s^N).s^{(N-1).(m-p)}.ds^{m-p}.s^{(N-1).p}dx^p$, which is claim 1.

Claim 3. The statement follows immediately from Claims 1 and 2 if all singular fibres of f arise from a cyclic quotient of order 2 or 3. Since a cyclic group G of automorphisms of any elliptic curve has order a divisor of 6 or 4, Claim 3 also applies to any isotrivial elliptic fibration $f : X \rightarrow C$ without multiple fibre, since we can factor a quotient $(U \times F)/G$ as $((U \times F)/H)/(G/H)$, with H of order $N = 2$, G/H of order 3 or 2, and the sections of $Sym^m(\Omega_{X_U}^1)$ for X_U a smooth model of $(U \times F)/G$ lift to those of a smooth model of $(U \times F)/H$ if G has order 6 or 4. (We could also have worked directly with these quotients at the expense of some more direct computations). \square

Corollary 7.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow C$ be an isotrivial elliptic fibration from a minimal surface X . Assume that f has no multiple fibre, and at least one singular fibre. Then $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X) = \kappa(C) - 1$ if $g(C) \leq 1$, and $\nu_1(X) = \kappa_1(X) = \kappa(C)$ if $g(C) \geq 2$.*

Proof. The inequality $\kappa_1(X) \geq \kappa(C) - 1$ is clear, since $f^*(mK_C) \subset Sym^m(\Omega_X^1)$ for each $m \geq 0$. We have equality if $g(C) \leq 1$, but $\kappa_1(X) = \kappa(C)$ if $g(C) \geq 2$, by [43], Example 3, p. 553. We shall thus prove that $\nu_1(X) \leq \kappa_1(X)$. Let A be an ample line bundle on X . By Lemma 2.5, there are an ample line bundle H on C , a positive integer R , and an injection $f_*(A) \subset H^{\oplus R}$. From the preceding Lemma 7.3, for each integer $m \geq 0$, there is an injection:

$$Sym^m(\Omega_X^1) \otimes A \subset (\oplus_{p=0}^{p=m} f^*((m-p)K_X \otimes -[\frac{p}{N}]L \otimes H))^{\oplus R},$$

where L is a line bundle of degree 1 on C , and $N = 2$, or 3. The degree of $(m-p)K_X \otimes -[\frac{p}{N}]L \otimes H$ is $d(m, p) = (m-p)2(g-1) - [\frac{p}{N}] + d$, if d is the degree of H . Let $h(m) := h^0(X, Sym^m(\Omega_X^1) \otimes A)$.

- If $g(C) = 0$, $d(m, p) < 0, \forall p, \forall m > Nd$. Thus $\nu_1(X) = -\infty$.
- If $g(C) = 1$, $d(m, p) = -[\frac{p}{N}] + d < 0$ if $p > Nd$. Thus:

$$h(m) \leq R.(\sum_{p=0}^{p=Nd} (d - [\frac{p}{N}])) \leq RNd^2 \text{ is bounded, and } \nu_1(X) = -1.$$

- If $g(C) \geq 2$, then $h(m) \leq R.(\sum_{p=0}^{p=m} ((2m(g-1)) + d))$
 $= R.(2(g-1).m^2 + m.d)$. Hence $\nu_1(X) = 2 - 1 = \kappa(C) = \kappa_1(X)$. \square

\square

8. PSEUDOEFFECTIVITY OF SUBSHEAVES AND QUOTIENTS OF Ω_X^p .

We raise here two questions motivated by the fact that the conjecture 1.4 only partially extend to saturated subsheaves of Ω_X^p .

A reflexive sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is said to be ‘ μ -pseudoeffective’ if its nonzero quotients Q have a pseudoeffective determinant: $\det(Q) \cdot \alpha \geq 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \text{Mov}(X)$, the cone of movable classes.

This is written: $\mu_{\alpha, \min}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \text{Mov}(X)$, in terms of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations, and is much stronger than pseudoeffectivity¹⁸ defined by $\nu(X, E) > -\infty$: the direct sum E of two line bundles of degrees 1 and -1 on \mathbb{P}_1 is not μ -pseudoeffective, but has $\nu(\mathbb{P}_1(E)) = 0$.

If \mathcal{F} is a saturated foliation of rank $(n - p)$ on X projective, and if $\det(\mathcal{F}^*)$ is pseudoeffective, then \mathcal{F}^* is μ -pseudoeffective ([21]).

We raise the similar question for the subsheaves¹⁹ $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 := (T_X/\mathcal{F})^*$ of Ω_X^1 , where $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a saturated foliation.

Question 8.1. *If $\det(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1) \subset \Omega_X^p$ is pseudoeffective, is $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ μ -pseudoeffective?*

When \mathcal{F} has algebraic leaves, the answer is yes, by [21]. The proof of [21] might partially adapt, if one proves a relative algebraicity criterion for two foliations $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ both with transcendental leaves, extending the algebraicity criterion of [21].

Pseudoeffective line bundles of the form $\det(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1)$ are particular examples of pseudoeffective line bundles $L \subset \Omega_X^p$ which have already been intensively studied. Bogomolov proved that $\kappa(X, L) \leq p$. The strengthening $\nu(X, L) \leq p$ has been obtained by [36], [7]. It is also proved in [22] that the distribution annihilating L is a foliation (however possibly of rank larger than $(n - p)$).

The equality $\nu = \kappa$ however fails in general for these sheaves (either subsheaves, or quotients of Ω_X^p). The failure seems however to be linked with quite peculiar and interesting geometrical situations.

Question 8.2. *The presently known examples of $L \subset \Omega_X^p$ of rank one, saturated, such that $\nu(X, L) > \kappa(X, L)$ have also $\kappa(X, L) = -\infty$.*

Do exist, or not, examples with $\nu(X, L) > \kappa(X, L) \geq 0$?

REFERENCES

- [1] B.Bakker-H. Guenancia-C.Lehn. Algebraic approximation and the decomposition theorem for Calabi-Yau Kähler varieties. *Inv. Math.* (2022)
- [2] W.Barth-M.Larsen. On the homotopy groups of complex projective algebraic manifolds. *Mathematica Scandinavica* Vol. 30 (1972), pp. 88-94.
- [3] W. Barth-C. Peters-A. Van de Ven. Compact complex surfaces. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete* Band 4. Springer 1984.
- [4] A. Beauville. Variétés Kählériennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Diff. Geom.* 1983, vol.18, p. 755-782.
- [5] A. Beauville. Remarks on Kähler manifolds with $c_1 = 0$, in *Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds*. K. Ueno ed. Progress in Math. 39 (1983), 1-26.

¹⁸Which could be called ‘ ν -pseudoeffectivity’.

¹⁹Usually called the conormal sheaf, written $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$, instead. When \mathcal{F} has algebraic leaves, $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ however coincides with the cotangent sheaf of the space of leaves of \mathcal{F} , hence the alternative notation used here.

- [6] C. Birkenhake-H. Lange. Complex Abelian varieties. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 302. Springer Verlag 2004.
- [7] S. Boucksom. Cônes positifs des variétés complexes compactes. Ph. D. Thesis (2002)
- [8] S. Boucksom-J.P. Demailly-M. Păun-Th.Peternell. The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. J. Alg. Geometry 22 (2013),201-248
- [9] Brotbek-Darondeau. Complete intersection varieties with ample cotangent bundles. Inv. Math. 212 (2018), 913-940.
- [10] Brunerbarbe-Campana. Fundamental group and pluridifferentials on compact Kähler manifolds. Moscow Math.J. 16 (2016), 651-658
- [11] P. Brückmann-H-G. Rackwitz. T-symmetrical tensor forms on complete intersections. Math. Ann. 288 (1990), 627-635.
- [12] Brunerbarbe-Klingler-Totaro. Symmetric differentials and the fundamental group. Duke Math. J. 162 (2013), 2797-2813.
- [13] F. Campana. Twistor spaces of class C . J. Diff. Geometry 33 (1991), 541-549
- [14] F. Campana. Fundamental group and positivity of cotangent bundles of compact Kähler manifolds. J.Alg.Geom.4(1995), 487-502
- [15] F. Campana. Special varieties and classification theory. Ann. Inst. Fourier 54 (2004), 499-630
- [16] Campana. Orbifolds géométriques spéciales et classification biméromorphe des variétés kählériennes compactes. J. Inst. math. Jussieu, 10 (2011), 809-934
- [17] F. Campana. Orbifold slope-rational connectedness. arxiv:1607. 07829.
- [18] F. Campana. Arithmetic aspects of orbifolds pairs. CRM short courses. 2020.
- [19] F. Campana. The Beauville-Bogomolov-Yau decomposition for klt projective varieties with trivial canonical class. Bull. SMF 149 (2021), 1-13
- [20] F. Campana-B. Claudon. Quelques propriétés de stabilité des variétés spéciales. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 283 (2016), 581-599.
- [21] F. Campana-M.Păun. Foliations with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles. Publ.math. IHÉS, 129 (2019), 1-49.
- [22] J.P. Demailly. On the Frobenius integrability of certain holomorphic p-forms, in Complex Geometry, Springer (2002) 93-98
- [23] M.Donten-Bury-M.Grab, M. Cox rings of some symplectic resolutions of quotient singularities. arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.07463.
- [24] M. Donten-Bury-J.Wisniewski. On 81 symplectic resolutions of a 4-dimensional quotient by a group of order 32. Kyoto Journal of Mathematics, 57(2), 395-434.
- [25] C. Gachet. Positivity of the cotangent sheaf of singular Calabi-Yau varieties. arXiv:2009.10044.
- [26] C. Gachet. Finite quotient of Abelian varieties with a Calabi-Yau resolution. arXiv:2201.00619
- [27] Graber-J. Harris-J. Starr. Families of rationally connected varieties. Journal of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 16 (2003), pp. 57-67
- [28] A. Höring-T. Peternell. Algebraic integrability of foliations with numerically trivial canonical bundle. Invent. math. 216, 395-419 (2019)
- [29] A. Höring-T. Peternell. A nonvanishing conjecture for cotangent bundles. arXiv:2006.05225
- [30] Y. Kawamata. On the abundance conjecture in the case of numerical dimension zero. American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 135 (2013), pp. 115-24
- [31] S. Kobayashi. The first Chern class and holomorphic symmetric tensor fields. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 32 (1980), 325-329.
- [32] J.Kollàr. Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties, Inv. Math.113 (1993), 177-215

- [33] J. Kollàr-M.Larsen. Quotients of Calabi-Yau varieties. In Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry (2009), 179-211. Birkhäuser Boston.
- [34] E. Mistretta. Holomorphic symmetric differentials and a birational characterization of Abelian Varieties. arXiv:math/1808.00865
- [35] Y. Miyaoka-S. Mori. A numerical criterion for uniruledness. Annals of Mathematics, 124 (1986), 65-69.
- [36] C. Mourougane. Versions kählériennes du théorème d'annulation de Bogomolov. Collectanea Mathematica (1998), 433-445.
- [37] K.Oguiso. On the complete classification of Calabi-Yau threefolds of type III_0 . in Higher Dimensional Complex Varieties. M. Andreatta, T. Peternell Ed. de Gruyter (1996)
- [38] K.Oguiso-J.Sakurai. Calabi-Yau threefolds of quotient type. arXiv preprint math/9909175.
- [39] J.Pereira-E.Rousseau-F.Touzet. Numerically nonspecial varieties. arXiv:math/2106.12275
- [40] M. Reid. Surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 2$ (unpublished). www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~miles/surf/K2=2.ps
- [41] F. Sakai. Symmetric powers of the cotangent bundle and classification of algebraic varieties. LNM 732, 545-563.
- [42] K. Sato-Y. Sato. Crepant property of Fujiki-Oka resolution for Gorenstein Abelian quotient singularities. arXiv:2004. 03522.
- [43] F. Sakai. Symmetric powers of the cotangent bundle and classification of algebraic varieties. LNM 732, 545-563.
- [44] A. Sommese. Submanifolds of Abelian Varieties. Math. Ann. 233, 229-256 (1978).

UNIVERSITÉ LORRAINE, INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN, NANCY,
Email address: `frederic.campana@univ-lorraine.fr`