Fate of the false vacuum in string-inspired infinite-derivative non-local field theory
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Abstract: We study Coleman bounce in infinite-derivative non-local theories which are motivated from string field theory. The kinetic term is extended via infinite series of high-order derivatives, which comes into play at an energy scale $M$, without introducing any new states or ghosts in the mass spectrum. We calculate the bubble nucleation in thin-wall approximation treating the system in semi-classical manner. We find that the effect of non-local scale $M$ in the theory is to suppress the vacuum tunneling rate from false to true vacuum compared the standard local bouncing scenario. We show that as we move further away from the bubble wall, the effects of non-locality gets reduced and this suppression is significant only around the wall of the nucleated bubble. From our investigations we conclude that the main effect is due to the fact that the non-locality smears the solution of the local bubble profile. However the energy of the bubble wall remains unaffected by the microscopic non-local behavior of the theory in the thin-wall approximation. We also discuss the cases for Lee-Wick theories and applications of our result to cosmology.
1 Introduction

An inspiration from string theory often entails that strings are non-local objects [1–15]. However the original motivation for infinite-derivative non-local field theory was to formulate a novel pathway for possible UV-regularized theories as inspired from string field theory [16] in the context of weakly-coupled non-local Quantum Field Theory (QFT) as studied in Refs. [1–22]. This became an alternative way to address the divergence and the hierarchy problems in the Standard Model (SM) by generalizing the kinetic energy operators (which is second in order) to an infinite series of higher order derivatives that are suppressed due the scale of non-locality (M) [24, 25]. Such modifications to the kinetic energy sector via introducing higher derivatives are free of ghosts [26], and also cures the vacuum instability problem of the Standard Model (SM) Higgs [27–29] as have been studied by one of the authors. It was found out that the β-functions, reaches a conformal limit resolving the issue of Landau-poles [28]. Thus via capturing the infinite derivatives by exponential of an entire function we get softened ultra-violate (UV) behavior in the most desirable manner, without introducing any new degrees of freedom that contribute to the particle mass spectrum, as they contain no new poles in the propagators (see Refs.

---

1In local QFT, re-normalization leads to ultraviolet (UV) divergences, which in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, a similar issue infamously known as the hierarchy problem where the Electroweak (EW) scale or the Higgs mass and Planck scale (M_{pl}) are separated by 18 orders-of-magnitude apart leading to huge fine-tuning in the SM [23] which for example needs several new particles at the or near the EW scale, like in supersymmetry (SUSY) etc. but no new physics results from the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has been obtained, so alternative solutions are actively being pursued.

2For other conformal theory applications to cosmology, see [30] for dark matter and [31] for inflation. just for some examples.

---
for astrophysical implications, dimensional transmutation and dark matter phenomenology in these theories.). An estimated bound on the scale of non-locality from such observations is \( M \gtrsim O(10) \text{ TeV} \) (Refs. [25, 33]). The non-local theory further provided interesting implications in proton decay and Grand Unified Theories (GUT) recently in Ref. [34], as well as in braneworld models [35]. On the other hand, the non-perturbative strongly-coupled regimes and exact \( \beta \)-functions, and conditions of confinement, in higher-derivative non-local theories are being actively investigated by one of the authors [36–40]. The results obtained so far shows that the effect of the non-locality in the strong coupling limits is to dilute any mass gap in the UV that the system generates. In context to gravity theories extensions of the Einstein gravity with such infinite derivatives it has been shown that one can get rid of classical singularities, such as black hole singularities [41–52] and cosmological singularities [53–58] 3

Understanding tunneling and investigating the false vacuum of a scalar field theory and the process of its decays via the nucleation of true vacuum bubbles have been an important direction in field theory with huge implications of the analysis in phase transitions and gravitational waves (GW) signals in cosmology, however first studied in detail decades ago [62, 63]. As we understand from the available studies, the bubble nucleation rate is exponentially suppressed, with the exponent being twice the Brillouin-Kramers-Wentzel (WKB) barrier penetration integral or, equivalently, one can say the Euclidean action of the bounce solution to the Euclidean field equations [63]. Via the implementation of path integral methods to estimate the energy density of the false vacua, the pre-exponential factor of the decay rate can be estimated [64], which is just the \( [\det S''_E(\phi_{\text{bounce}})]^{-1/2} \), where \( S''_E \) denotes the second functional variation of the Euclidean action under consideration4. However, an essential ingredient of this calculation is the fact that \( S''_E(\phi_{\text{bounce}}) \) has a single negative eigenvalue, which arises from a mode corresponding to expansion or contraction of the bounce. Because of the square root, this negative mode leads to a factor of \( i \) that makes the false vacuum energy complex, with its imaginary part interpreted in terms of a rate of decay by bubble nucleation; the bounce with lowest action has one, and only one, negative mode as shown in Ref. [67].

Coleman and De Luccia (CDL) [68] proposed that this formalism can be extended to include gravitational effects by adding a Euclidean Einstein-Hilbert term to the action. Although they did not address the issue of the pre-factor, it seems natural to assume that it should be analogous to that for the non-gravitational case, and that the dominant bounce should again have a single negative mode, however the analysis complicated as in gravity one also needs to take into account the fluctuations about the bounce which enjoy a gauge freedom, corresponding to the invariance under coordinate transformations of the underlying theory, leading to some constraints that must be imposed on the possible fluctuations.

3The unitarity issues are well addressed and understood in Euclidean space and using Cutkosky rules, the results obtained there-in can be analytically continued to the Minkowski space [59–61]. To be consistent with such results, we assume an Euclidean metric everywhere in this work.

4Coleman’s method does not hold to be true in non-perturbative regimes which require different strategy; such studies have been of recent interest, see Refs. [65, 66].
Motivated by the non-local UV-completion of the QFT and the standard model, the goal of this study is to compute the bubble nucleation and tunneling rate in a toy model with a scalar field with 2 slightly non-degenerate vacua, such as one may perform the thin-wall approximation. In order to get an approximate solution for the Coleman bounce with weak non-locality\(^5\), we use the “diffusion” method of Refs. \([72–76]\), and compare with the local case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we remind the main features of an infinite derivative theory for a scalar field. In Section 3, we present the toy model under study, and we express the vacuum decay rate and the energy of the bubble wall in the thin-wall approximation. In Section 4, we study the non-local soliton solution, which enters in the Coleman bounce in the thin-wall approximation. In Section 5, we summarize our results.

2 Short Review: Infinite Derivatives Scalar Field Theory

Typically the action for the infinite derivative theory given by \([25]\):

\[
S = \int d^4x \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi e^{f(\Box)}(\Box - m^2)\phi - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4 \right)
\]  

(2.1)

where the normalization of \(\phi\) is so chosen such that the residue at the \(p^2 = -m^2\) pole is unity in this case, and \(\Box = \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\partial^\nu\) \((\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3)\) with the convention of the metric signature \((-++,+++,++)\), \(m\) is the mass of the scalar particle, and \(M\) is the energy scale of the non-locality which is usually taken to be below the Planck scale. The most important thing to note here is the fact that the kinetic energy terms are generalized with higher derivatives suppressed by the non-local energy scale \(M\), whilst the scalar self-interaction is the typical quartic potential one. This action is reduced into the standard local field theory taking the limit of \(M \to \infty\)\(^6\).

In Euclidean space \((p^0 \to ip^0_E)\) the propagator is given by,

\[
\Pi(p^2) = \frac{ie^{f(-p^2_E)}}{\frac{p^2_E}{M^2} + m^2}
\]  

(2.2)

and the vertex factor is, as usual, given by \(-i\lambda\). It is can be clearly noted that that the non-local extensions of the local theory leads to the exponential suppression of the propagators for \(p^2_E > M^2\) region. What this essentially means is that the quantum corrections in the ultraviolet (UV) will be frozen at energies higher than \(M\) (basically all the \(\beta\)-functions vanish beyond the scale of non-locality \(M\) thereby making the theory reach an asymptotically conformal limit in the UV) \([27, 28]\) which is a desirable feature in any QFT. In the

\(^5\)Other works treating the effects of time quantum mechanical aspects in non-local theories, cf. \([69–71]\) for instance.

\(^6\)Regarding the non local form-factor \(e^{f(\Box)}\), several possibilities have been popularly considered in the existing literature \([77]\). Here, however, for simplicity, we will use \(e^{-\frac{\Box}{M^2}}\). Nonetheless, the essential criterion is that the non-local factor is an entire function without zeros which allows us to avoid the appearance of possible ghosts in the physical spectrum of the theory.
other popular form factor considered in Refs. [14, 19, 27, 28], one can even weaken the interaction above $M$ and achieve asymptotic freedom (the coupling goes to zero in the UV).

An equivalent description of the action in Eqn.(2.1) is the usual local Klein-Gordon kinetic operator via the following field re-definition [26]:

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}f(\square)}\phi(x) = \int d^4y F(x-y)\phi(y),$$

(2.3)

where $F(x-y) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}f(\square)}\delta(4)(x-y)$.

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left( \tilde{\phi}(x)(\square - m^2)\tilde{\phi}(x) - \int d^4x \frac{\lambda}{4!} \left( e^{\frac{1}{2}f(\square)}\tilde{\phi}(x) \right)^4 + j(x) e^{\frac{1}{2}f(\square)}\tilde{\phi}(x) \right)$$

(2.4)

Here we have we added a source term $j(x)$.

3 Model of the Bounce

In this section we show the model of the bounce via the scalar field in local theory and then we show how the picture changes when non-locality is introduced.

3.1 Non-local Scalar Field Theory

When we consider a scalar field theory on a 4D Euclidean space-time $\mathbb{R}^4$, such as the metric

$$ds^2 = \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad \delta_{\mu\nu} = (+1, +1, +1, +1).$$

(3.1)

we introduces a real scalar field $\phi(x)$, whose potential is even under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transformation ($\phi \mapsto -\phi$):

$$V_0(\phi) = V_\mu(\phi) + V_I(\phi) + \Lambda_C,$$

(3.2)

with the mass term ($\mu > 0$):

$$V_\mu(\phi) = -\frac{\mu^2}{2}\phi^2,$$

(3.3)

the quartic (interaction) term ($\lambda > 0$):

$$V_I(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4,$$

(3.4)

We will work in the Euclidean space, & in defining the relation between Minkowski and Euclidean metrics, we will assume the conclusions given in [59, 78] which is done via analytical continuation of the external momenta to real energies. Technically, at least in our computations, it is fine to go with a standard Wick rotation.

Correspondence with notation in Coleman’s article [63]: $\mu \mapsto \mu/\sqrt{2}$ and $\lambda \mapsto 3\lambda$. 


and the "cosmological constant" term $\Lambda_C$. The 2 symmetric minima of $V_0(\phi)$ are

$$\phi_{\pm} = \pm v = \pm \sqrt{\frac{6}{\lambda \mu}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

and the "cosmological constant" $\Lambda_C$ is defined such as

$$V_0(\pm v) = 0 \Rightarrow \Lambda_C = \left(\frac{\mu v}{2}\right)^2 > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Let us focus on the the Euclidean kinetic energy operator, which is now modified via infinite series of higher-order derivatives:

$$(\Box + \mu^2) e^{-\frac{\ell^2}{2}(\Box + \mu^2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

with the Euclidean d’Alembertian

$$\Box = \delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

such as the parameter $\ell$ interpolates between a local and a nonlocal field theory:

- in a local field theory: $\ell = 0$.
- in a string field theory-inspired non-local field theory: $\ell = 1/M \neq 0$, where $\ell$ is the non-local length scale.

Also let us have the parameter $\omega = (\mu \ell)^2$, such that $\sqrt{\omega}$ measures the separation between the energy scales $\mu$ and $M$.

We wish to investigate the bubble nucleation when one of the two vacua is metastable through quantum effects, and decay to the stable one by barrier penetration. Therefore, one needs to introduce an additional term $V_{\epsilon}(\phi)$ to the potential $V_0(\phi)$, which is asymmetric with respect to the $Z_2$ transformation, and thus leaves us with a certain degeneracy between the two vacua ($\phi_+ \neq \phi_-)$. The magnitude of $V_{\epsilon}(\phi)$ is controlled by a small parameter

$$\epsilon = V(\phi_+) - V(\phi_-) > 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

such as

$$V_{\epsilon}(\phi) = V(\phi) - V_0(\phi) = O(\epsilon),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

where $V(\phi)$ is the total scalar potential. Now, the Euclidean action of this scalar field theory is thus

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

with the Euclidean Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi (\Box + \mu^2) e^{-\frac{\ell^2}{2}(\Box + \mu^2)} \phi + U(\phi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)
where the total potential is now
\[ U(\phi) = U_0(\phi) + V_\epsilon(\phi), \quad U_0(\phi) = V_0(\phi) - V_\mu(\phi). \] (3.13)

One can rescale the field \( \phi \mapsto e^{\epsilon/2}\phi \), such as the quartic coupling is also rescaled: \( \lambda \mapsto \tilde{\lambda} = e^{2\epsilon}\lambda \). It is also useful to defined the rescaled VEV \( \tilde{v} = \mu \sqrt{6/\lambda} = e^{-\epsilon}v \). By applying Hamilton’s principle, one arrives at the Euler-Lagrange equation for \( \phi \):
\[ (\Box + \mu^2)e^{-\epsilon^2\Box} = \partial_\phi U(\phi). \] (3.14)

First, let us assume that a bounce solution \([63]\) to Eq. (3.14) exists also in the non-local case, at least for some region of the parameter space (we will come back to this assumption at the end of Section 4). At the semi-classical level, the probability of decay of the false vacuum per unit time per unit volume takes the form \([63]\)
\[ \Gamma/V = Ae^{-B/\hbar}[1 + O(\hbar)], \] (3.15)
where \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck constant\(^9\). In the same spirit as in Ref. \([63]\), one of the goals of this article is to compute the coefficient \( B \) for \( \epsilon \ll 1 \). This coefficient \( B \) is given by the on-shell Euclidean action
\[ B = S(\phi) - S(\phi_+) , \] (3.16)
where \( \phi(x) \) is is a bounce solution of Eq. (3.14), i.e. satisfying the following properties:

- The bounce \( \phi(x) \) is not constant;
- The bounce \( \phi(x) \) approaches the false vacuum \( \phi_+ \) at Euclidean infinity;
- The Euclidean action of the bounce \( \phi(x) \) is less or equal to that of any other solution satisfying the two previous properties.

Under the assumption that the bounce \( \phi(x) \) has a \( O(4) \) symmetry, one introduces hyperspherical coordinates, such as \( \phi(x) = \phi(\rho) \), where \( \rho \geq 0 \) is the radial direction coordinate:
\[ \rho = \sqrt{\delta_{\mu\nu}x_\mu x_\nu}. \] (3.17)

The Euclidean d’Alembertian applied on \( \phi(\rho) \) gives us
\[ \Box \phi(\rho) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{3}{\rho} \frac{\partial_\rho}{\partial_\rho} \right) \phi(\rho). \] (3.18)

\(^9\)In the rest of the article, we work in the natural unit system, where \( \hbar = 1 \) and the speed of light in vacuum is \( c = 1 \).
Therefore, the coefficient $B$ is the on-shell Euclidean action:

\[
B = S = 2\pi^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^3 d\rho \mathcal{L}, \\
= 2\pi^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^3 d\rho \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \phi \partial_\phi U(\phi) + U(\phi) \right].
\] (3.19)

Thus we arrive at a generic expression for $B$, however in order to proceed we need to make some assumptions and compute the quantities in those limits.

### 3.2 Thin-Wall Approximation

As shown in Ref. [63] and what is famously known as the thin-wall approximation, which is usually valid when $\epsilon \ll 1$, one considers the bubble nucleates at a large time $\rho = R \gg 1/\mu, \ell$, $\rho$ traverses the real line, and the bounce $\phi(\rho)$ goes monotonically from $\phi_- \to \phi_+$. Here the bounce looks like a large 4D bubble of radius $R$, with a thin wall separating the two vacua $\phi_\pm$. At the end of the computations, it is important to check if one gets $R \gg 1/\mu, \ell$ when $\epsilon \ll 1$. In the thin-wall approximation, $\phi(\rho)$ has the form:

\[
\phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
-\tilde{v} & \text{if } \rho \ll R, \\
\phi_w(\rho - R) & \text{if } \rho \sim R, \\
+\tilde{v} & \text{if } \rho \gg R.
\end{cases}
\] (3.20)

Indeed, far away from the bubble wall, the field theory is in the vacuum $\phi_\pm$, and the infinite derivatives play no role, since $\phi(\rho)$ varies only in the thin-shell of the wall, where $\rho \sim R$, where $\phi(\rho)$ can be approximated by a function $\phi_w(\rho - R)$. For $\rho \sim R$, one can solve the Euclidean Euler-Lagrange equation (3.14), with the approximate symmetric potential $U(\phi) \sim U_0(\phi)$, and the approximate kinetic operator

\[
(\Box + \mu^2) e^{-\ell^2 \Box} \phi_w \sim (\partial_\rho^2 + \mu^2) e^{-\ell^2 \partial_\rho^2} \phi_w,
\] (3.21)

with the hypothesis that the viscous damping term in the d’Alembertian (3.18) can be neglected ($\Box \phi_w \sim \partial_\rho^2 \phi_w$), which will be checked at the end of the computation. If one performs the change of variable $r = \mu(\rho - R)$, and one defines $\varphi(\omega, r) = \phi_w(r)/\tilde{v}$, the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\phi_w(r)$ is approximated by the equation of a soliton for $\varphi(\omega, r)$:

\[
(\partial_r^2 + 1)e^{-\omega \partial_r^2} \varphi(\omega, r) - \varphi^3(\omega, r) = 0,
\] (3.22)

whose solution is odd with respect to $r = 0$, thus for $R \gg 1/\mu, \ell$, it is clear that the viscous damping term neglected in Eq. (3.21) will give a small perturbation to the approximate soliton profile. Eq. (3.22) can be obtained from Hamilton’s principle applied to the Euclidean action

\[
\int_{-\mu R}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_w = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dr \mathcal{L}_w,
\] (3.23)
with
\[ \mathcal{L}_w = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi (\partial_\tau^2 + 1) e^{-\omega \partial_\tau^2} \varphi + \varphi^4 + \Lambda_C . \] (3.24)

The on-shell Euclidean action for the bounce near the bubble wall is then
\[ S_w(\omega) \simeq \frac{\Lambda_C}{\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dr \left[ 1 - \varphi^4(\omega, r) \right] . \] (3.25)

In the thin-wall approximation, from Eqs. (3.20) and (3.19), the coefficient \( B \) is given by
\[ B = B_i + B_w + B_o , \] (3.26)
where the contribution inside the bubble is
\[ B_i = -\frac{\pi^2}{2} R^4 \epsilon , \] (3.27)
the contribution of the wall is
\[ B_w = 2\pi^2 R^3 S_w , \] (3.28)
the contribution outside the bubble is
\[ B_o = 0 , \] (3.29)
and the value of \( R \) is given by the one which minimizes the on-shell action \( B \):
\[ \frac{dB}{dR} = 0 \Rightarrow R = \frac{3S_w}{\epsilon} . \] (3.30)

One can thus see that as long as \( S_w(a) > 0 \), we can choose \( \epsilon \) sufficiently small in order to justify the thin-wall approximation, such as \( R \gg 1/\mu, \ell \), which justified a posteriori all the previous approximations. In this case, one obtains
\[ B = \frac{27\pi^2 S_w^4}{2\epsilon^3} , \] (3.31)
which has the same qualitative form in both the local and non-local cases. In what follows, one thus needs to determine the quantity \( S_w(\omega) \). In order to compare the on-shell action near the bubble wall \( S_w \) for the non-local versus local field theory, it is useful to introduce a function
\[ Q(\omega) = \frac{S_w(\omega)}{S_w(0)} , \] (3.32)
such as with Eq. (3.31) becomes:
\[ B(\omega) = B(0) Q^4(\omega) , \] (3.33)
and the thin-wall approximation means that we require \( Q(\omega) > 0 \).
Before computing $Q(\omega)$ in the section 4, it is interesting to estimate the energy of the wall $E_w$. Outside the bubble wall, where non-locality plays no role, one can perform an analytical continuation of the bounce in Minkowski space-time ($x^0 = it$):

$$\phi \left( t, \vec{x} \right) = \phi \left( \rho = \sqrt{\frac{2}{x^2 - t^2}} \right).$$

(3.34)

In the limit where the bubble wall can be considered as a thin-shell, a section of this bubble wall at rest carries energy $S_w$, per unit area. Therefore, a section of the wall expands with a velocity

$$v = \frac{\vec{x}}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{\vec{x}^2 - R^2}{\vec{x}}},$$

(3.35)

and carries an energy

$$S_w \sqrt{1 - v^2}$$

(3.36)

per unit area. At a time when the radius of the bubble is $|\vec{x}|$, the energy of the wall is then

$$E_w = \frac{4\pi \vec{x}^2 S_w}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}} = \frac{4\pi \vec{x}^3 S_w}{R} = \frac{4\pi \epsilon \vec{x}^3}{3},$$

(3.37)

where one used Eq. (3.35) to get the second and third lines respectively. At the end, one sees that $E_w$ depends only on the parameter $\epsilon$, which controls the magnitude of the asymmetry of the scalar potential, and not on the microscopic scales $\mu$ and $M$, in the thin-wall approximation. It is not so surprising because the scales $\mu$ and $\Lambda$ mainly determines the width of the soliton-like profile of the bubble wall (cf. Eq. (3.22)), which we have considered as negligible in the computation.

4 Solution of the Soliton

4.1 Local Solution

In this subsection, we will determine $\varphi(0, r)$ which is the toy model of Ref. [63]. The exact solution of the local soliton equation is (cf. Fig. 1)

$$\varphi(0, r) = \tanh \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

(4.1)
Since the soliton equation gives the profile of the bubble wall in the approximation \( |r| \gg 1 \), one can only keep an asymptotic expansion (cf. Fig. 1):

\[
\varphi(0, r) \sim \begin{cases} 
\sgn(r) \left( 1 - 2e^{-\sqrt{2|r|}} \right), & |r| \ll 1
\end{cases}
\] (4.2)

with the sign function defined as

\[
\sgn(r) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } r < 0, \\
0 & \text{if } r = 0, \\
+1 & \text{if } r > 0.
\end{cases}
\] (4.3)

The on-shell action \( S_w(0) \) for the local problem is

\[
S_w(0) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \mu v^2,
\] (4.4)

for both the exact and asymptotic profiles of the local soliton.

### 4.2 Nonlocal Solution

In order to solve the nonlocal soliton equation, one can use the “diffusion” method of Refs. [72–76] to get an approximate solution for \( |r| \gg 1 \). One considers that the field \( \varphi(\omega, r) \), where \( \omega \) is an auxilliary coordinate, satisfies the diffusion equation:

\[
\left( \partial^2_r - \frac{\partial \omega}{\beta} \right) \varphi(\omega, r) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(\omega, r) = e^{\beta \omega \partial^2_r} \varphi(0, r),
\] (4.5)

where \( \beta > 0 \). By definition:

- \( \varphi(0, r) \) is the profile of the soliton in the local case (\( \omega = 0 \));
- \( \varphi(\omega, r) \) is the profile of the soliton in the nonlocal (\( \omega \neq 0 \)).

One introduces the normalized Gaussian function

\[
G(\sigma, r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2} \right),
\] (4.6)

such as it defines the heat kernel as

\[
G(\sigma, r - r') = \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial^2_{rr} \right) \delta(r - r').
\] (4.7)

One can then rewrite

\[
\varphi(\omega, r) = e^{\beta \omega \partial^2_r} \varphi(0, r),
\]

\[
= \int dr' \ e^{\beta \omega \partial^2_r} \delta(r - r') \varphi(0, r').
\] (4.8)
By performing the change of variable $\sigma^2 = 2 \beta \omega$, one gets

$$\varphi(\sigma, r) = \int dr' G(\sigma, r - r') \varphi(0, r') ,$$

$$= G(\sigma, r) \ast \varphi(0, r) ,$$

(4.9)

where $\ast$ is the convolution product with respect to $r$. The new variable $\sigma \propto \sqrt{\omega}$ and is thus related to the separation of the scales $\mu$ and $M$. One can compute numerically the convolution of the exact soliton profile (4.1) with the Gaussian function (4.7), where one can see in Figs. 2 and 3 that non-locality ($\sigma \neq 0$) smears the behavior of the local soliton near the kink when $\sigma$ increases. Far from the wall, the non-local soliton smoothly recovers the asymptotic behavior of the local one. Again, the effect of infinite derivatives is important when spacetime variations of the field are important, such as near the bubble wall.

In this study, one needs only the asymptotic behavior for $|r| \gg 1$ to compute the coefficient $B$ in the thin-wall approximation. Therefore, from the asymptotic local solution (4.2) of $\varphi(0, r)$, one gets (cf. Fig. 4):

$$G(\sigma, r) \ast \varphi(0, r) = \text{erf} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) - e^{\sigma^2 - \sqrt{2}r} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} - \sigma \right) + e^{\sigma^2 + \sqrt{2}r} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} + \sigma \right) ,$$

(4.10)

with the error function

$$\text{erf}(r) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^r du \ e^{-u^2} ,$$

(4.11)

and the complementary error function

$$\text{erfc}(r) = 1 - \text{erf}(r) .$$

(4.12)

However, only the asymptotic behavior $|r| \gg 1$ of this result is meaningful (cf. Fig. 4):

$$\varphi(\sigma, r) \sim \text{sgn}(r) \left( 1 - 2e^{\sigma^2 - \sqrt{2}|r|} \right) .$$

(4.13)

We see on Fig. 5 that when $\sigma \neq 0$ increases, the departure of the non-local soliton profile from the local one is more important near the wall, since the nonlocal scale $M$ is closer to the mass scale $\mu$ of the scalar potential, and thus the nonlocal effects are more important.

After that, one has to determine for which value of $\beta$ the soliton profile (4.13) is the best approximation for a solution of the non-local soliton equation (3.22). To achieve this
task, we introduce the function
\[
E(\beta, \omega, r) = \begin{cases} 
(\partial^2_r + 1)\varphi(0, r) - e^{(1-\beta)\omega} \varphi(0, r) & \text{if } \beta < 1, \\
(\partial^2_r + 1)e^{\beta\omega} \varphi(0, r) - e^{\beta\omega} \varphi(0, r) & \text{if } \beta \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]
which evaluates the non-local soliton equation (3.22) for the soliton profile (4.13). It is straightforward to study the function \(E(\beta, \omega, r)\): there is only one minimum at \(\beta = 1\) for \(r \gg 1\), and one can check numerically that \(E(1, \omega, r \gg 1) \ll 1\), such as \(\varphi(1, r \gg 1)\) is a good approximation for the solution of Eq. (3.22). Since the soliton is an odd function with respect to \(r = 0\), the same analysis holds for \(r \ll -1\). In the following, one will thus take \(\beta = 1\).

Now, one knows the bounce in the thin-wall approximation, which allows to determine the function \(Q(\sigma)\) from Eq. (3.32) (cf. Fig 6):
\[
Q(\sigma) = 3 - \frac{9e^2\sigma^2}{2} + 4e^{2\sigma^2} - \frac{3e^{3\sigma^2}}{2}.
\]  
(4.15)
The thin-wall approximation is valid as long as \(Q(\sigma) > 0\), i.e. for \(\sigma \lesssim 0.67\). One can interpret this result by the fact that for larger values of \(\sigma\), the bubble wall is so smeared by non-locality that the thin-wall approximation is meaningless, such as one needs a finer analysis to understand this region of the parameter space, which is beyond the scope of this first study. In the local case \((\sigma = 0)\), one can always do a thin-wall approximation. When \(M \gg \mu\),
\[
Q(\sigma) \sim 1 - \sigma^2,
\]  
(4.16)
and we see in Fig 6 that the behavior is closed to the local case.

Let us come back to our original assumption that a bounce solution to Eq. (3.14) exists. In Ref. [63], Coleman shows that a bounce solution always exists in his toy scalar model. Note that if \(M \gg \mu\) in our weakly non-local case, it is clear from the decoupling of scales that such deep-UV non-local scale will not spoil the existence of a bounce solution for the scalar field with a deep-IR mass scale in the potential. Now, if the non-local scale is closer to the mass scale of the potential with \(M \geq \mu\), and that the thin-wall approximation is reliable, we showed that Eq. (3.14) reduces to the soliton equation (3.22), for which we were able to give an approximate solution by the “diffusion” method developed in Refs. [72–76]. Therefore, we showed that a bounce solution exists for \(M \geq \mu\) in the region of parameter space where the thin-wall approximation is valid. The analysis of this paper does not treat the general case (for which the existence of a bounce solution may not be guaranteed), that needs to be investigated beyond the thin-wall approximation.
Figure 1. In green: exact profile $\varphi(0, r)$ (Eq. (4.1)) of the local soliton. In blue: asymptotic profile $\varphi(0, r)$ (Eq. (4.2)) of the local soliton ($|r| \gg 1$).

Figure 2. For $\sigma = 1/2$, in red: the gaussian function (4.7); in green: the exact convolution product (4.10) with the exact local soliton (4.1); in blue: the asymptotic nonlocal profile (4.13) of the soliton $\varphi(\sigma, r)$ with $|r| \gg 1$. 
Figure 3. In (blue, red), exact convolution product (4.10) with the exact local soliton (4.1) for \( \sigma = (0, 0.6) \).

Figure 4. For \( \sigma = 1/2 \), in red: the gaussian function (4.7); in green: the exact convolution product (4.10) with the asymptotic local soliton (4.2); in blue: the asymptotic nonlocal profile (4.13) of the soliton \( \varphi(\sigma, r) \) with \( |r| \gg 1 \).
Figure 5. In (blue, green, red), asymptotic nonlocal profile (4.13) of the soliton $\varphi(\sigma, r)$ with $|r| \gg 1$ for $\sigma = (0, 0.3, 0.6)$.

Figure 6. In green: $Q(\sigma)$ in the thin-wall approximation; in blue: $Q(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \ll 1$. 
5 Discussion and Conclusion

In a simple quartic scalar field theory generalised to infinite sets of higher-order derivatives, as motivated from string field theory approaches, we investigated the false vacuum decay rate and bubble nucleation. We found that the effect of non-locality completely changes the rate of bubble nucleation. We summarize the main findings of our paper as follows:

- We investigated the Coleman bounce in non-local scalar field theory in the thin-wall approximation. We used the method where non-locality is treated as a diffusion process in an auxiliary extra dimension (cf. Eq. (4.5)) in order to get an approximate solution for the bounce.

- In the limit $M \to \infty$, the false vacuum decay rate becomes just like in the local theory (cf. Eq. (4.16)), which is consistent in any non-local theory in weak coupling limits.

- We observed that the non-local effects, implemented via an infinite derivative kinetic term for the scalar field smear the width of the bubble wall, and have no effect far away from it (cf. Figs. 3 and 5).

- We notice that when the scale of non-locality is close to the mass scale of the potential $\mu$, the false vacuum decay rate is exponentially suppressed (cf. Eq. (4.15) and Fig. 6).

- For any given non-local scale, we showed that the thin-wall approximation breaks down when $M \sim \mu$, because of the smearing effect of non-locality on the bubble wall (cf. Fig. 6).

- We computed the bubble wall energy density and found that it is independent of the microscopic scales $\mu$ and $M$, as long as the thin-wall approximation is valid (cf. Eq. (3.37)).

- Our bounce solution should be also valid for higher-derivative scalar field theories (Lee-Wick theories [79, 80]), with derivatives of finite largest order $N \gg 1$. Indeed, it was shown in Ref. [81] that such theory is asymptotically non-local when $N \to \infty$, such as it is equivalent to our non-local model. For $N \sim 1$, a specific analysis is needed that is beyond the scope of the present draft.

Thus from our comparison between approximate analytical solutions and numerical solutions with the boundary conditions can help to understand the diligence of the diffusion method that have been employed. Particularly we have found that the kink-type profiles used to describe non-perturbative transitions between non-degenerate vacua of the theory. Now since string-inspired infinite-derivative theories provide simplified playgrounds for the study of non-locality in inflationary models, the search for background solutions could be of practical importance for alternative cosmological scenarios and also extend to strongly-coupled regimes. We envisage that our results will pave the way to understand the finite temperature effects on non-local solitons and understanding of the critical temperatures of
such systems, with further applications in phase transitions and early universe cosmology including predictions of gravitational waves (GW) (from inflation, strong first-order phase transitions, etc.) in current and future GW detectors and recently searched by LIGO[82–84]. However such study is beyond the scope of the present manuscript and will taken up in future publication.
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