

SESHADRI CONSTANTS OF PARABOLIC VECTOR BUNDLES

INDRANIL BISWAS, KRISHNA HANUMANTHU, SNEHAJIT MISRA, AND NABANITA RAY

ABSTRACT. Let X be a complex projective variety, and let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X . We introduce the notion of *parabolic Seshadri constants* of E_* . It is shown that these constants are analogous to the classical Seshadri constants of vector bundles, in particular, they have parallel definitions and properties. We prove a Seshadri criterion for parabolic ampleness of E_* in terms of parabolic Seshadri constants. We also compute parabolic Seshadri constants for symmetric powers and tensor products of parabolic vector bundles.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Parabolic sheaves	3
2.2. Semistability of parabolic bundles	5
2.3. Orbifold bundles	5
2.4. Parabolic bundles as ramified $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles	6
3. Definition of Seshadri constant of parabolic bundles	8
4. Properties of parabolic Seshadri constants	15
4.1. Examples and further questions	19
Acknowledgements	21
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex projective variety, and let L be a nef line bundle on X . For a point $x \in X$, the *Seshadri constant* of L at x , denoted by $\varepsilon(X, L, x)$, is defined to be

$$\varepsilon(X, L, x) := \inf_{x \in C} \frac{L \cdot C}{\text{mult}_x C},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible and reduced curves $C \subset X$ passing through x ; here $L \cdot C$ denotes the intersection number, while $\text{mult}_x C$ denotes the multiplicity of the curve C at x .

Alternatively, we can define Seshadri constants as follows. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of X at x , and let E denote the exceptional divisor. Then

$$\varepsilon(X, L, x) = \sup \{ \lambda \geq 0 \mid \pi^*(L) - \lambda E \text{ is nef} \}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C20, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Parabolic bundle, Seshadri constant, nef cone, ample cone, multiplicity.

This constant was first introduced by Demailly in [Dem] while he was studying problems related to generation by jets and Fujita's Conjecture. The name originated from an ampleness criterion of Seshadri [Har2, Theorem I.7.1]. Seshadri constant of line bundles blossomed into a very active area of research, especially in connection with the positivity properties of the line bundle L . Seshadri constants for vector bundles of arbitrary rank have also been studied. They were defined in [Hac] and studied in depth in [FM]. See [HMP, BHN, DKS, MR2] for some results on Seshadri constants of vector bundles.

A *parabolic vector bundle* on a curve is a vector bundle E with some additional structures given by filtrations of certain fibers of E along with weights attached to these filtrations (see Definition 2.1). We recall that parabolic vector bundles were introduced by Seshadri (see [Se]) while studying certain representations of the fundamental groups of punctured Riemann surfaces; they were further studied by Mehta and Seshadri in [MS]. The notion of parabolic vector bundle was generalized to higher dimensions by Maruyama and Yokogawa in [MY].

There has been a lot of research on parabolic vector bundles, starting with a description of their moduli spaces in [MS, MY]. In [Bi2], certain orbifold bundles were associated to parabolic bundles (see also [Bo1], [Bo2]). The notion of a *ramified* principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle, which generalizes the notion of principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles, was introduced in [BBN]. It was shown there that parabolic vector bundles can be viewed as ramified $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles. Using this identification, [BL] constructed a projectivization of a parabolic vector bundle as well as the tautological line bundle on it.

Many positivity properties, such as ampleness of line bundles, have been generalized to vector bundles. Some of these notions have also been generalized to the context of parabolic vector bundles. For example, the notion of ample parabolic bundles was defined in [Bi1]. The ampleness of a parabolic vector bundle is characterized by the ampleness of the tautological bundle on the projectivization associated to that parabolic bundle (see [BL]).

Proceeding further in this direction, it is natural to ask whether other positivity notions can also be generalized to the set-up of parabolic vector bundles. As remarked earlier, Seshadri constant is an important invariant in the study of positivity. In this paper, we generalize the notion of Seshadri constants to parabolic vector bundles on projective varieties. Crucial ingredients in our construction are orbifold bundles associated to the parabolic bundles and projectivization of parabolic bundles. Many of our results and proofs are motivated by analogous results of Fulger and Murayama in [FM].

In Section 2, we recall the definition and basic properties of parabolic vector bundles that we use. They are included for the sake of completeness and also for the convenience of the reader.

In Section 3, we give the definition of Seshadri constant for parabolic vector bundles; see Definition 3.1. In Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, we give alternative characterizations of parabolic Seshadri constant. We also prove an analogue of Seshadri's criterion for ampleness for parabolic bundles (see Theorem 3.6). An upper bound for parabolic Seshadri constants is given in Theorem 3.7.

In Section 4, we prove several properties of parabolic Seshadri constants. We define the notion of multipoint Seshadri constants for the usual vector bundles and relate it to the parabolic Seshadri constants. We also compute parabolic Seshadri constants of symmetric powers (see Theorem 4.5)

and tensor products (see Theorem 4.6). Some examples and questions are mentioned in Section 4.1.

We work throughout over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. The field of real numbers is denoted by \mathbb{R} .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly recall the definitions, properties and constructions associated to parabolic vector bundles; more details can be found in [Bi1, Bi2, Bi3, BS, BBN, BL].

2.1. Parabolic sheaves. We start by defining the parabolic vector bundles.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a connected smooth complex projective variety of dimension d , and let $D \subset X$ be an effective divisor on X .

- (1) Let E be a torsion-free coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. A *quasi-parabolic structure* on E with respect to D is a filtration by \mathcal{O}_X -coherent subsheaves

$$E = \mathcal{F}_1(E) \supset \mathcal{F}_2(E) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_l(E) \supset \mathcal{F}_{l+1}(E) = E(-D), \quad (2.1)$$

where $E(-D) := E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$. The above integer l is called the *length* of the filtration.

- (2) A *parabolic structure* on E with respect to D is a quasi-parabolic structure as above together with a system of *weights* $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\}$, where each α_i is a real number such that $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_{l-1} < \alpha_l < 1$. The numbers $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^l$ are called *parabolic weights* and we say that α_i is attached to $\mathcal{F}_i(E)$.
- (3) A *parabolic sheaf* is a torsion-free coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module E with a parabolic structure. We denote a parabolic sheaf by the triple $(E, \mathcal{F}_*, \alpha_*)$, where \mathcal{F}_* denotes the above filtration and α_* denotes the system of parabolic weights. When \mathcal{F}_* and α_* are clear from the context, we denote $(E, \mathcal{F}_*, \alpha_*)$ by E_* .
- (4) If E is a vector bundle on X , then E_* is called a *parabolic vector bundle with parabolic divisor D* . The *rank* of a parabolic vector bundle is simply the rank of the underlying vector bundle E .

For any parabolic sheaf E_* defined as above, and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define the following filtration $\{E_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of coherent sheaves parametrized by \mathbb{R} :

$$E_t = \mathcal{F}_i(E)(-[t]D),$$

where $[t]$ is the integral part of t and $\alpha_{i-1} < t - [t] \leq \alpha_i$ with $\alpha_0 = \alpha_l - 1$ and $\alpha_{l+1} = 1$. The filtration $\{E_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ evidently determines the parabolic structure $(E, \mathcal{F}_*, \alpha_*)$ uniquely. Note that any coherent subsheaf $M \subset E$ has an induced parabolic structure such that the corresponding filtration $\{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is defined by $M_t := (E_{t-[t]} \cap M)([t]D)$.

Consider the decomposition

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^n n_j D_j, \quad (2.2)$$

where every D_i is a reduced irreducible divisor, and $n_j \geq 1$. Let

$$f_j : n_j D_j \longrightarrow X$$

denote the inclusion map of the subscheme $n_j D_j$. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, choose a filtration

$$0 = F_{l_j+1}^j \subset F_{l_j}^j \subset F_{l_j-1}^j \subset \cdots \subset F_1^j = f_j^* E. \quad (2.3)$$

Fix real numbers α_k^j , $1 \leq k \leq l_j + 1$, such that

$$1 = \alpha_{l_j+1}^j > \alpha_{l_j}^j > \alpha_{l_j-1}^j > \cdots > \alpha_2^j > \alpha_1^j \geq 0.$$

For every $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq l_j + 1$, define the coherent subsheaf $\overline{F}_j^k \subset E$ using the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{F}_k^j \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow (f_j^* E)/F_k^j \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.4)$$

For $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, let l_t^j be the smallest number in the set of integers

$$\{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_j + 1\} \mid \alpha_k^j \geq t\}.$$

Finally, set

$$E_t := \bigcap_{j=1}^n \overline{F}_{l_t^j}^j((t - [t])D) \subseteq E((t - [t])D). \quad (2.5)$$

The filtration $\{E_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ in (2.5) defines a parabolic structure on E . It is straightforward to check that all parabolic structures on E , with D as the parabolic divisor, arise this way.

Let

$$\phi : X \setminus D \longrightarrow X \quad (2.6)$$

denote the inclusion map of the complement of D . Let E_* and W_* be two parabolic sheaves on X , with D as the parabolic divisor, such that $E_0|_{X \setminus D}$ and $W_0|_{X \setminus D}$ are locally free. For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define M_c to be the subsheaf of $\phi_* \phi^*(E \otimes W)$ generated by all $E_s \otimes W_t$ with $s + t \geq c$, where ϕ is the map in (2.6). The parabolic sheaf given by the filtration $\{M_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called the *parabolic tensor product* of E_* and W_* , and it is denoted by $E_* \otimes W_*$. The *parabolic m -fold symmetric product* $S^m(E_*)$ is the invariant subsheaf of the m -fold parabolic tensor product of E_* for the natural action of the permutation group for the factors of the tensor product. The underlying sheaf of the parabolic sheaf $S^m(E_*)$ will be denoted by $S^m(E_*)_0$.

Definition 2.2 ([Bi1, Definition 2.3]). A parabolic bundle E_* is called *parabolic ample* if for any coherent sheaf F on X there is an integer m_0 such that for any $m \geq m_0$, the tensor product $F \otimes S^m(E_*)_0$ is globally generated.

Definition 2.3 ([BS, Definition 3.2]). A parabolic vector bundle E_* is called *parabolic nef* if there is an ample line bundle L over X such that $S^m(E_*) \otimes L$ is parabolic ample for every positive integer m .

2.2. Semistability of parabolic bundles. We fix an ample line bundle L on X . For any coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module E , the *degree of E with respect to L* is defined to be

$$\deg(E) := (c_1(E) \cup c_1(L)^{d-1}) \cap [X] \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2.7)$$

(see [MY]). The *parabolic degree of E_* with respect to L* , denoted by $\text{par_deg}(E_*)$, is defined as follows:

$$\text{par_deg}(E_*) := \int_{-1}^0 \deg(E_t) dt \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The *parabolic slope* of E_* , denoted by $\text{par_}\mu(E_*)$, is the quotient $\frac{\text{par_deg}(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E_*)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.4. A parabolic sheaf E_* is called *parabolic semistable* (respectively, *parabolic stable*) if for every subsheaf M of E such that $0 < \text{rank}(M) < \text{rank}(E)$, the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\text{par_}\mu(M_*) \leq \text{par_}\mu(E_*) \quad (\text{respectively, } \text{par_}\mu(M_*) < \text{par_}\mu(E_*)).$$

Assumption 2.5. Henceforth we will always impose the following four conditions on the parabolic bundles E_* , with parabolic divisor D , that we will consider:

- (a) The parabolic divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i D_i$ is a normal crossing divisor, i.e., all $n_i = 1$ and D_i are smooth divisors and they intersect transversally.
- (b) All F_j^i on D_i in sequence (2.3) are subbundles of $f_i^* E$ for every i .
- (c) All the weights α_j^i are rational numbers; so $\alpha_j^i = m_j^i/N$, where N is some fixed integer and $m_j^i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$.
- (d) Every point x of D has a neighborhood $U_x \subset X$, and a decomposition of $E|_{U_x}$ into a direct sum of line bundles, such that the filtration of all $E|_{U_x \cap D_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are constructed using the decomposition.

2.3. Orbifold bundles. Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety; its group of automorphisms will be denoted by $\text{Aut}(Y)$. Let $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y)$ be a finite group Γ acting on Y .

Definition 2.6. An *orbifold bundle* on Y , with Γ as the *orbifold group*, is a vector bundle V on Y together with a lift of the action of Γ on Y to V , i.e., Γ acts on the total space of V such that the action of any $g \in \Gamma$ gives a vector bundle isomorphism between V and $\psi(g^{-1})^* V$. A subsheaf F of an orbifold bundle V is called an *orbifold subsheaf* if the action of Γ on V preserves F .

Let \tilde{L} be an orbifold line bundle on Y which is also ample. Then we can define the degree of any coherent sheaf on Y using \tilde{L} as done in (2.7).

Definition 2.7. An orbifold bundle V on Y is called *orbifold semistable* (respectively, *orbifold stable*) if for any orbifold subsheaf F of V with $0 < \text{rank}(F) < \text{rank}(V)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\deg(F)}{\text{rank}(F)} \leq \frac{\deg(V)}{\text{rank}(V)} \quad \left(\text{respectively, } \frac{\deg(F)}{\text{rank}(F)} < \frac{\deg(V)}{\text{rank}(V)} \right).$$

Now let X be a smooth projective complex variety, and let D be an effective divisor on X satisfying Assumption 2.5(a). Fix an integer $N \geq 2$. From the Covering Lemma of Y. Kawamata,

[Ka, Theorem 17] and [KMM, Theorem 1.1.1], we know that there is a connected smooth projective variety Y and a (ramified) Galois covering morphism

$$\gamma : Y \longrightarrow X, \quad (2.8)$$

with Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K(Y)/K(X))$, such that $\tilde{D} := (\gamma^*D)_{\text{red}}$ is a normal crossing divisor on Y , and

$$\gamma^*D_i = k_i N(\gamma^*D_i)_{\text{red}} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where k_i are some positive integers.

Let E_* be a parabolic bundle on X . Then using the Galois cover $\gamma : Y \longrightarrow X$ in (2.8) we can construct an orbifold bundle E' on Y such that the parabolic bundle E_* is recovered from it by taking Γ -invariants of the direct image of the twists of E' using the irreducible components of D (see [Bi2], [Bo1], [Bo2] for the explicit construction).

Let L be an ample line bundle on X . Consider $\tilde{L} := \gamma^*L$ which is an orbifold line bundle on Y . Since γ is a finite morphism, and L is ample, the line bundle \tilde{L} is also ample. We will use L (respectively, \tilde{L}) to define the degree of a coherent sheaf on X (respectively, Y).

The above mentioned correspondence between parabolic bundles and orbifold bundles satisfies the following compatibility condition with semistability.

Proposition 2.8 ([Bi2, Lemma 2.7, Lemma 3.16]). *The above orbifold bundle E' corresponding to the parabolic bundle E_* is orbifold semistable with respect to \tilde{L} if and only if E_* is parabolic semistable with respect to L . Also, E' is semistable with respect to \tilde{L} in the usual sense if and only if E_* is parabolic semistable with respect to L .*

Proposition 2.9 ([Bi1, Lemma 4.6], [Bi3, Lemma 2.18], [BS, Proposition 3.2]). *A parabolic bundle E_* is parabolic ample (respectively, nef) if and only if the corresponding orbifold bundle E' is ample (respectively, nef) as a vector bundle (in the usual sense).*

Parabolic Chern classes, denoted by $c_i(E_*)$, of a parabolic bundle E_* have been introduced in [Bi3] (see also [IS]). Let E' be the associated orbifold bundle on Y corresponding to a parabolic bundle E_* (satisfying Assumption 2.5) for a suitable Galois covering map $\gamma : Y \longrightarrow X$. Then

$$\gamma^*c_i(E_*) = c_i(E')$$

for all $i \geq 0$ (see [Bi3, Section 3]).

2.4. Parabolic bundles as ramified $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles. Let X be a smooth complex projective variety, and let D be a normal crossing divisor on X . Let

$$\phi : E_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \longrightarrow X$$

be a *ramified principal $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over X with ramification over D* . This means the total space $E_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$ is a smooth complex quasiprojective variety equipped with an algebraic right action of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$

$$f : E_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \times \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow E_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$$

satisfying the following five conditions:

- (1) $\phi \circ f = \phi \circ p_1$, where p_1 is the natural projection of $E_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \times \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to $E_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$,

- (2) for each point $x \in X$, the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on the reduced fiber $\phi^{-1}(x)_{red}$ is transitive,
 (3) the restriction of ϕ to $\phi^{-1}(X - D)$ a principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over $X - D$,
 (4) for each irreducible component $D_i \subset D$, the reduced inverse image $\phi^{-1}(D_i)_{red}$ is a smooth divisor and

$$\widehat{D} := \sum_{i=1}^l \phi^{-1}(D_i)_{red}$$

is a normal crossing divisor on $E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$, and

- (5) for any point $x \in D$, and any point $z \in \phi^{-1}(x)$, the isotropy subgroup $G_z \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, for the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$, is a finite group, and if x is a smooth point of D , then the natural action of G_z on the quotient line $T_z E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} / T_z \phi^{-1}(D)_{red}$ is faithful.

There is a natural bijective correspondence between the complex vector bundles of rank n on X and the principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles on X . This bijection sends a principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle F to the vector bundle $F \times^{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}^n$ associated to F for the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^n . This correspondence extends to a bijective correspondence between the ramified principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles with ramification over D and parabolic vector bundles of rank n with D as the parabolic divisor (see [BBN, Theorem 1.1]).

We next recall the construction of projectivization of a parabolic bundle. Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle over X of rank n . Let

$$\phi : E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \longrightarrow X$$

be the corresponding ramified principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle with ramification divisor D . Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^n ; it induces an action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . The *projectivization* of E_* , denoted by $\mathbb{P}(E_*)$, is defined to be the associated (ramified) fiber bundle

$$\mathbb{P}(E_*) := E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) := E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \times^{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \longrightarrow X.$$

Take any point $x \in D$ and any $z \in \phi^{-1}(x)$. Let $G_z \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the isotropy subgroup for z for the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$. We recall that G_z is a finite group (by condition (5) above). Let n_x be the order of G_z . Note that order of G_z is independent of the choice of $z \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ because $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on $\phi^{-1}(x)$. The number of distinct integers n_x as x varies over D is finite. Let

$$N(E_*) = \mathrm{l.c.m.}\{n_x \mid x \in D\} \quad (2.10)$$

be the least common multiple of all these finitely many positive integers n_x .

For any point $y \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, let $H_y \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the isotropy subgroup for the natural action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} ; so H_y is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The group H_y then acts on the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ over the point y . From the definition of $N(E_*)$ in (2.10) it follows immediately that for any $z \in \phi^{-1}(D)$ and any $y \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, the subgroup $G_z \cap H_y \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts trivially on the fiber of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*)) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)^{\otimes N(E_*)}$ over the point y .

Consider the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*))$ constructed using the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^n . Let

$$E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*))) := E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \times^{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*)) \longrightarrow X$$

be the associated fiber bundle. As the natural projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ intertwines the actions $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*))$ and \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , it produces a projection

$$E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*))) \longrightarrow E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(E_*). \quad (2.11)$$

Using the above observation that $G_z \cap H_y$ acts trivially on the fibers of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*))$ over y it follows easily that the projection in (2.11) makes $E_{\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*)))$ an algebraic line bundle over the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(E_*)$. We denote this line bundle in (2.11) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$.

Let $E' \longrightarrow Y$ be the orbifold bundle over Y corresponding to the parabolic vector bundle E_* , where $\gamma : Y \longrightarrow X$ is a covering as in (2.8) with Galois group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma)$. Then the action of Γ on E' produces a left action of Γ on $\mathbb{P}(E')$. Clearly, we have $\mathbb{P}(E')/\Gamma = \mathbb{P}(E_*)$. The isotropy subgroups, for the action of Γ on $\mathbb{P}(E')$, act trivially on the corresponding fibers of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(N(E_*))$; this follows from condition (5) above in the definition of a ramified principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle and (2.10). Hence the quotient space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(N(E_*))/\Gamma$ is actually a line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E')/\Gamma = \mathbb{P}(E_*)$. We have a natural isomorphism of line bundles

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(N(E_*))/\Gamma = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1).$$

Note that the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$ to $\mathbb{P}(E')$ under the finite quotient map

$$\mathbb{P}(E') \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(E')/\Gamma = \mathbb{P}(E_*)$$

is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(N(E_*))$. The parabolic bundle E_* is parabolic ample if and only if the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(E_*)$ is ample [BL, Proposition 3.3]. Also, the following three statements are equivalent:

- the parabolic vector bundle E_* is nef,
- E' is nef, and
- $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(N(E_*))$ is nef

(see [BS, Proposition 3.2]). Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(N(E_*))$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$ under the surjective map

$$\mathbb{P}(E') \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(E')/\Gamma = \mathbb{P}(E_*),$$

we conclude E_* is nef if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$ is nef.

3. DEFINITION OF SESHADRI CONSTANT OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES

Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth projective variety X . We fix a point $x \in X$, and let

$$\psi_x : \mathrm{Bl}_x(X) \longrightarrow X$$

be the blow up of X at x with exceptional divisor $E_x = \psi_x^{-1}(x)$. Consider the following fiber product diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bl}_{\rho^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{P}(E_*)) = \mathbb{P}(E_*) \times_X \mathrm{Bl}_x(X) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}_x} & \mathbb{P}(E_*) \\ \downarrow \widetilde{\rho} & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathrm{Bl}_x(X) & \xrightarrow{\psi_x} & X \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

The above morphism ρ is the projectivization of the parabolic bundle E_* as constructed in Section 2.4.

Let ξ be the numerical equivalence class of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E_*)$.

Definition 3.1. Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth projective variety X . The *parabolic Seshadri constant* of E_* at a point $x \in X$, denoted by $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$, is defined to be

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) := \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \widetilde{\psi}_x^*(\xi) - \lambda \widetilde{\rho}^* E_x \text{ is nef} \right\}$$

(see (3.1)).

Remark 3.2. As mentioned before, there is a canonical bijection between the parabolic vector bundles of rank n with parabolic divisor D and the ramified principal $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles ramified over D . In particular, the constructions of the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(E_*)$ and the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$ for a parabolic bundle E_* are uniquely determined by E_* . So the parabolic Seshadri constant $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$ is well-defined.

The next theorem shows that the parabolic Seshadri constant $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$ can be computed by examining the intersection of ξ with certain curves on $\mathbb{P}(E_*)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth complex projective variety X , and let $x \in X$ be a point of X . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}$ be the set of all integral curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}(E_*)$ that intersect the fiber $\rho^{-1}(x)$ while not being contained in $\rho^{-1}(x)$. Then*

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C} \right\}.$$

Proof. First note that $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}$ if and only if $\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C > 0$. Let \widetilde{C} be the strict transform of a curve $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}$ under the map $\widetilde{\psi}_x$ in (3.1). We have

$$\widetilde{\rho}^* E_x \cdot \widetilde{C} = E_x \cdot \widetilde{\rho}_* \widetilde{C} = \mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ \widetilde{\psi}_x^*(\xi) - \lambda \widetilde{\rho}^* E_x \right\} \cdot \widetilde{C} \geq 0$$

if and only if $\xi \cdot C \geq \lambda \mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C$.

Let C' be an irreducible curve in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\rho^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{P}(E_*))$ which is contained in the exceptional locus $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}(E_x)$ of $\widetilde{\psi}_x$. Then

$$\widetilde{\rho}^* E_x \cdot C' = E_x \cdot \widetilde{\rho}_* C' < 0.$$

Since E_* is given to be parabolic nef, the line bundle ξ is nef. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\left\{ \widetilde{\psi}_x^*(\xi) - \lambda \widetilde{\rho}^* E_x \right\} \cdot C' = \left\{ \xi \cdot \widetilde{\psi}_x^* \widetilde{C}' - \lambda E_x \cdot \widetilde{\rho}_* C' \right\} \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$. Every irreducible curve on $\mathrm{Bl}_{\rho^{-1}(x)}(\mathbb{P}(E_*))$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- It is the strict transform of a curve $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}$.
- It is contained in the exceptional locus $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}(E_x)$ of $\widetilde{\psi}_x$.
- It does not intersect $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}(E_x)$.

Now using the nefness of $\widetilde{\psi}_x^*(\xi)$, we obtain the result. \square

Remark 3.4. Let $\rho : \mathbb{P}(E_*) \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection map, and let $\xi = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)$, which is constructed in Section 2.4. For any point $x \in X$, the fiber $\rho^{-1}(x)$ is isomorphic to the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , and $\xi|_{\rho^{-1}(x)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(N(E_*))$.

Let $y \in \mathbb{P}(E_*)$. We can relate the classical Seshadri constant $\varepsilon(\xi, y)$ of ξ and the parabolic Seshadri constant $\varepsilon_*(E_*, \rho(y))$ of E_* at $\rho(y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\xi, y) &= \inf_{y \in C \subset \mathbb{P}(E_*)} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\text{mult}_y C} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{C \not\subset \rho^{-1}(\rho(y)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(E_*)} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\text{mult}_y C} \right\}, \inf_{C \subset \rho^{-1}(\rho(y)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(E_*)} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\text{mult}_y C} \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\varepsilon(\xi, y) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{C \not\subset \rho^{-1}(\rho(y)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(E_*)} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\text{mult}_y C} \right\}, N(E_*) \right\} = \min \left\{ \varepsilon_*(E_*, \rho(y)), N(E_*) \right\}.$$

Now we give another alternative characterization of parabolic Seshadri constants. This characterization uses the Galois covering $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ and the orbifold bundle E' over Y associated to E_* ; see Section 2.3.

Theorem 3.5. *Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth irreducible complex projective variety X , and let $E' \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding orbifold bundle over Y , where $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering as in (2.8) with Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\gamma)$. Let*

$$\phi_x : \text{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y \rightarrow Y$$

be the blow up of Y along $\gamma^{-1}(x)$. Consider the following fiber product diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\phi_x^* E') = \mathbb{P}(E') \times_Y \text{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\phi}_x} & \mathbb{P}(E') \\ \downarrow \widetilde{\tau} & & \downarrow \tau \\ \text{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\phi_x} & Y \end{array}$$

Denote the numerical equivalence class of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E')}(1)$ by ξ' . Let $E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}$ be the exceptional divisor of the map ϕ_x . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) &= N(E_*) \cdot \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda \widetilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}) \text{ is nef} \right\} \\ &= N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}} \left\{ \frac{\xi' \cdot C}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_* C} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}$ is the set of all irreducible curves C in $\mathbb{P}(E')$ such that

$$C \not\subset \tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))$$

and $\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_* C > 0$, while $N(E_*)$ is defined as in (2.10).

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{P}(E') \times_Y \text{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y = \text{Bl}_{\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))} \mathbb{P}(E')$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & \mathrm{Bl}_x X \\ \downarrow \phi_x & & \downarrow \psi_x \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X \end{array}$$

such that $\tilde{\gamma}^*(E_x) = E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}$. Also, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}(E') \times_Y \mathrm{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_x} & \mathbb{P}(E') & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & \mathbb{P}(E_*) = \mathbb{P}(E')/\Gamma \\ \downarrow \tilde{\tau} & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathrm{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\phi_x} & Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X = Y/\Gamma. \end{array}$$

The universal property of a fiber product furnishes the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \xrightarrow{\gamma' \circ \tilde{\phi}_x} \\ & & & & \searrow \\ \mathbb{P}(E') \times_Y \mathrm{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & & & & \mathbb{P}(E_*) \\ & \searrow \Delta & & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_x} & \downarrow \rho \\ & & \mathbb{P}(E_*) \times_X \mathrm{Bl}_x X & & X \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\rho} & \xrightarrow{\psi_x} & \\ & & \mathrm{Bl}_x X & & \end{array}$$

Recall that $\gamma'^*(\xi) = N(E_*)\xi'$. Hence for any $\lambda > 0$, the following three statements are equivalent:

- the line bundle $\tilde{\psi}_x^*(\xi) - \lambda\tilde{\rho}^*E_x$ is nef,
- $\Delta^*(\tilde{\psi}_x^*(\xi) - \lambda\tilde{\rho}^*E_x)$ is nef, and
- $N(E_*)\tilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda\tilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)})$ is nef.

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \tilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda\tilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}) \text{ is nef} \right\}.$$

Next we claim that

$$\sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \tilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda\tilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}) \text{ is nef} \right\} = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}} \left\{ \frac{\xi' \cdot C}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \mathrm{mult}_y \tau_* C} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

To prove (3.2), let \tilde{C} be the strict transform of a curve $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}$ under the blow up map

$$\tilde{\phi}_x : \mathrm{Bl}_{\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))} \mathbb{P}(E') \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(E').$$

Note that

$$\tilde{\tau}^*E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} \cdot \tilde{C} = E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} \cdot \tilde{\tau}_*\tilde{C} = \sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \mathrm{mult}_y \tau_* C.$$

Hence $\{\tilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda\tilde{\tau}^*E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}\} \cdot \tilde{C} \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\xi' \cdot C \geq \lambda \sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \mathrm{mult}_y \tau_* C.$$

If C' is an irreducible curve in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))} \mathbb{P}(E')$, and it is contained in the exceptional locus $\widetilde{\tau}^{-1}(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)})$ of $\widetilde{\phi}_x$, then

$$\{\widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda \widetilde{\tau}^* E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}\} \cdot \widetilde{C} = \{\xi' \cdot \widetilde{\phi}_{x*} \widetilde{C} - \lambda E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} \cdot \widetilde{\tau}_* C'\} \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

Any irreducible curve on $\mathrm{Bl}_{\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))} \mathbb{P}(E')$ satisfies one of the following three conditions:

- It is the strict transform of a curve $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}$.
- It is contained in the exceptional locus $\widetilde{\tau}^{-1}(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)})$ of $\widetilde{\phi}_x$.
- It does not intersect $\widetilde{\tau}^{-1}(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)})$.

Now using the nefness of $\widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi')$ we conclude that (3.2) holds. This also completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.6 (Seshadri criterion for parabolic ampleness). *Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth irreducible projective variety X . Then E_* is parabolic ample if and only if*

$$\inf_{x \in X} \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) > 0,$$

where the infimum is taken over all points of X .

Proof. Suppose that E_* is parabolic ample. Then the numerical equivalence class of the tautological line bundle $\xi = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_*)}(1)]$ is ample on $\mathbb{P}(E_*)$. Let h be an ample divisor class on X such that $\xi - \epsilon \rho^* h$ is ample for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Now for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}$, we have

$$(\xi - \epsilon \rho^* h) \cdot C > 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{\xi \cdot C}{\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C} = \frac{(\xi - \epsilon \rho^* h) \cdot C}{\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C} + \frac{\epsilon \rho^* h \cdot C}{\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C} \geq \frac{\epsilon \rho^* h \cdot C}{\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C} \geq \varepsilon(h, x) > 0.$$

The last inequality follows using the usual Seshadri criterion for ample divisors, because h is ample. Hence

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho, x}} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\mathrm{mult}_x \rho_* C} \right\} \geq \varepsilon(h, x) > 0.$$

Consequently, from Theorem 3.3 it is deduced that

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \geq \varepsilon(h, x) > 0$$

for every point $x \in X$. Thus we have

$$\inf_{x \in X} \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \geq \inf_{x \in X} \varepsilon(h, x) > 0.$$

The last inequality again follows from the usual Seshadri criterion applied to the ample divisor h .

To prove the converse, assume that $\inf_{x \in X} \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, using Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\inf_{x \in X} \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}} \left\{ \frac{\xi' \cdot C}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \mathrm{mult}_y \tau_* C} \right\} > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

If E_* is not parabolic ample, then there exists a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}(E')$ such that

$$\xi' \cdot C = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

If C is not contained in any fiber of τ , then it contradicts (3.3). On the other hand, if C is contained in some fiber of τ , then $\xi' \cdot C > 0$, as ξ' restricted to any fiber of τ is ample; this contradicts (3.4). Thus E_* is parabolic ample. \square

The next theorem gives an upper bound for the parabolic Seshadri constants.

Theorem 3.7. *Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on X , and $x \in X$ a point. Then*

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \leq \left(\frac{N(E_*)^{\dim \tau(W)} \xi'^{\dim W} \cdot [W]}{\binom{\dim W}{\dim \tau(W)} \cdot |\Gamma| (\xi'^{\dim W}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} [W_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}])} \right)^{\frac{1}{\dim \tau(W)}},$$

as W ranges through the subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}(E')$ that meet $\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))$ without being contained in $\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))$, where τ and γ are as in Theorem 3.5. In the above inequality, $W_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} := \tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x)) \cap W$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\phi_x^* E') = \mathbb{P}(E') \times_Y \text{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\phi}_x} & \mathbb{P}(E') \\ \downarrow \widetilde{\tau} & & \downarrow \tau \\ \text{Bl}_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} Y & \xrightarrow{\phi_x} & Y \end{array}$$

where τ is the projectivization of the orbifold bundle E' over Y , and ϕ_x is the blow up of Y at $\gamma^{-1}(x)$. Recall from Theorem 3.5 that

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \lambda \widetilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}) \text{ is nef} \right\}.$$

Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}(E')$ be a subvariety that meets $\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))$ without being contained in $\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))$. Let $W' \subset \mathbb{P}(\phi_x^* E')$ be the strict transform of W by the blow up morphism $\widetilde{\phi}_x$. Then by the above observation we have

$$(N(E_*) \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \widetilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}))^{\dim W'} \cdot W' \geq 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$W' = \mathbb{P}(\phi_x^* E')$$

by restricting our attention to W' .

Let $\dim Y = n$ and $\dim \mathbb{P}(E') = n + e$. Thus

$$\left(N(E_*) \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi') - \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \widetilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}) \right)^{n+e} \geq 0.$$

Note that $E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}^k = 0$ for $k > n$. Expanding binomially we thus get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+e}{k} (-\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \widetilde{\tau}^*(E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}))^k N(E_*)^{n+e-k} \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi')^{n+e-k} \geq 0.$$

Note that $\widetilde{\phi}_{x_*}(-(-\widetilde{\tau}^* E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)})^k)$ are pseudoeffective since the restriction $-E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}|_{E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}}$ is ample. Hence using the projection formula we conclude that

$$N(E_*)^{n+e} \xi'^{n+e} + \binom{n+e}{n} N(E_*)^e [-\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \widetilde{\tau}^* E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}]^n \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi'^e) \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} [-\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \widetilde{\tau}^* E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}]^n \widetilde{\phi}_x^*(\xi'^e) &= \varepsilon_*^n(E_*, x) \widetilde{\phi}_{x_*}((-\widetilde{\tau}^* E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)})^n) \xi'^e \\ &= \varepsilon_*^n(E_*, x) \tau^*[(\phi_{x_*}(-E_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}))^n] \xi'^e \\ &= \varepsilon_*^n(E_*, x) \tau^*(-\gamma^{-1}(x)) \xi'^e \\ &= -\varepsilon_*^n(E_*, x) |\Gamma| (\xi'^e \cdot [W_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}]), \end{aligned}$$

where $W_{\gamma^{-1}(x)} = \tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x)) \cap W$.

From (3.5) it follows that

$$N(E_*)^n \cdot \xi'^{n+e} - \binom{n+e}{n} \varepsilon_*^n(E_*, x) |\Gamma| (\xi'^e \cdot [W_{\gamma^{-1}(x)}]) \geq 0.$$

Rearranging the terms we obtain the required bound on $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$. \square

For a parabolic vector bundle E_* , we define $\mu_{\min}^{\text{par}}(E_*)$ to be the parabolic slope of the minimal parabolic semistable subquotient of E_* , or in other words, $\mu_{\min}^{\text{par}}(E_*)$ is the parabolic slope of the final piece of the graded object for the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E_* . Note that if E' is the orbifold bundle on Y corresponding to E_* for the Galois morphism $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ as in (2.8), then we have

$$\mu_{\min}(E') = |\text{Gal}(\gamma)| \cdot \mu_{\min}^{\text{par}}(E_*).$$

Theorem 3.8. *Let E_* be a parabolic ample vector bundle over a smooth irreducible projective curve C with parabolic divisor D . Then for any point $x \in C$, the parabolic Seshadri constant satisfies the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) &= N(E_*) \cdot \mu_{\min}^{\text{par}}(E_*) \quad \text{when } x \notin D, \text{ and} \\ \varepsilon_*(E_*, x) &\geq N(E_*) \cdot \mu_{\min}^{\text{par}}(E_*) \quad \text{when } x \in D. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \geq \frac{N(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E)}$ for every point $x \in C$.

Proof. As in (2.8), let $\gamma : C' \rightarrow C$ be a ramified Galois covering with Galois group $\text{Gal}(\gamma) = \Gamma$. Then the cardinality of each fiber is

$$|\gamma^{-1}(x)| = |\Gamma|$$

if $x \notin D$, and it is $|\gamma^{-1}(x)| \leq |\Gamma|$ if $x \in D$.

Since C is a curve, the blow up map ϕ_x in Theorem 3.5 is the identity morphism, and hence $\widetilde{\phi}_x$ is also the identity morphism. Consequently,

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \xi' - \lambda \tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x)) \text{ is nef} \right\}.$$

Denote the numerical class of fiber of τ by f . Then

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \xi' - \lambda |\gamma^{-1}(x)| f \text{ is nef} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \frac{1}{|\gamma^{-1}(x)|} \varepsilon(E', y),$$

where $y \in C'$. From [Hac, Theorem 3.1], it follows that $\varepsilon(E', y) = \mu_{\min}(E')$. Using [Bi3, Equation 2.15], we also have $\mu_{\min}(E') = |\Gamma| \mu_{\min}^{\text{par}}(E_*)$. Hence the theorem follows. \square

Remark 3.9. If E_* is a parabolic nef bundle but it is not ample, then the corresponding orbifold bundle E' is also nef but not ample. In this case

$$\varepsilon(E', y) = \mu_{\min}(E') = 0.$$

Hence $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = 0$.

4. PROPERTIES OF PARABOLIC SESHADRI CONSTANTS

In this section we define the notion of *multipoint Seshadri constants* for nef vector bundles on irreducible projective varieties, generalizing the case of a single point. We will then give a description of the parabolic Seshadri constants using restriction to curves.

Definition 4.1. Let E be a vector bundle on an irreducible complex projective variety Y , and let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ be a set of distinct points of Y . Let $\phi_z : \text{Bl}_Z Y \rightarrow Y$ be the blow-up of Y along Z . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\phi^* E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_z} & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\tau} & & \downarrow \tau \\ \text{Bl}_Z Y & \xrightarrow{\phi_z} & Y \end{array}$$

Let ξ and ξ' be the tautological bundles on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\phi^* E)$ respectively, and let

$$E'_{z_i} = \tilde{\phi}_z^{-1}(\tau^{-1})(z_i)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the *multipoint Seshadri constant* of E at Z , denoted by $\varepsilon(E, Z)$, is defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon(E, Z) := \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \xi' - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n E'_{z_i} \text{ is nef} \right\}.$$

It is straight-forward to verify the following equivalent formulation:

$$\varepsilon(E, Z) = \inf_{C_{\tau, Z}} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{z_i} \tau_* C} \right\},$$

where $C_{\tau, Z}$ is the set of all irreducible curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ such that

- $C \cap \tau^{-1}(Z) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{z_i} \tau_* C > 0$, and
- $C \not\subseteq \tau^{-1}(Z)$.

Note that when Z is a singleton, the above definition recovers the usual single point Seshadri constant of a vector bundle.

The single point Seshadri constants of vector bundles over smooth curves have a particularly nice description. For a nef vector bundle E over a smooth curve Y , for any point $y \in Y$, we have

$$\varepsilon(E, y) = \inf_{\mathcal{C}_{\tau, y}} \{\xi \cdot C\} = \mu_{\min}(E),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\tau, y}$ is the set of curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ such that C intersects the fiber $\tau^{-1}(y)$ but it is not contained in $\tau^{-1}(y)$.

Remark 4.2. Let C be an integral curve and $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset C$ a finite subset. Then $\text{mult}_{z_i} C \geq 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Set $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ to be the normalization. For a vector bundle E over C , consider the following fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_{\eta^{-1}(Z)} \mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbb{P}(\nu^* E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\eta} & & \downarrow \eta \\ \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & C \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is the projective bundle over C associated to E and η is the natural map. Let ξ and ξ' denote the numerical classes of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\nu^* E)}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ respectively. Then

$$\tilde{\nu}^*(\xi) = \xi'.$$

Let $B' \subset \mathbb{P}(\nu^* E)$ (respectively, $B \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$) be a curve which is not in any fiber of $\tilde{\eta}$ (respectively, η). Suppose that B' is the strict transform of B under the blow up map $\tilde{\nu}$. Then

$$B' \cdot \xi' = B \cdot \xi.$$

Hence for any point $y \in \tilde{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(E, Z) &= \inf_{B \in \mathcal{C}_{\eta, Z}} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot B}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{z_i} \eta_* B} \right\} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{z_i} C} \left\{ \inf_{B' \in \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\eta}, y}} \{\xi' \cdot B'\} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{z_i} C} \varepsilon(\nu^* E, y) = \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{mult}_{z_i} C}. \end{aligned}$$

The next result describes how parabolic Seshadri constants can be computed using restriction to curves.

Theorem 4.3. *Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth irreducible complex projective variety X , and let $E' \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding orbifold bundle over Y (see Section 2.3). Then*

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E')}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves $C \subset Y$ such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, and $\nu : \bar{C} \rightarrow C$ is the normalization map.

Proof. Let $C \subset Y$ be an irreducible curve such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(E'|_C) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(E') \\ \downarrow \tau_c & & \downarrow \tau \\ C & \hookrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}$ is the set of all irreducible curves W in $\mathbb{P}(E')$ such that $W \not\subseteq \tau^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}(x))$ and $\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_* W > 0$.

Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_c, \gamma^{-1}(x)}$ the set of all irreducible curves $B \subset \mathbb{P}(E'|_C)$ such that

$$B \not\subseteq \tau_c^{-1}(C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x))$$

and $\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_{c*} B > 0$. Note that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)} = \bigcup_{C \subset Y} \mathcal{C}_{\tau_c, \gamma^{-1}(x)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the union is taken over all irreducible curves C in Y such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$. From Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau, \gamma^{-1}(x)}} \left\{ \frac{\xi' \cdot C}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_* C} \right\}.$$

Using (4.1) we have

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \inf_{B \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau_c, \gamma^{-1}(x)}} \left\{ \frac{\xi' \cdot B}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_{c*} B} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves C in Y such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Now observe that for an irreducible curve $C \subset Y$ with $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, using Remark 4.2 it follows that

$$\inf_{B \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau_c, \gamma^{-1}(x)}} \left\{ \frac{\xi' \cdot B}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y \tau_{c*} B} \right\} = \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E')}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For a parabolic vector bundle E_* of rank r we define its *parabolic discriminant*, denoted by $\Delta_{par}(E_*)$, as follows:

$$\Delta_{par}(E_*) := 2rc_2(E_*) - (r-1)c_1^2(E_*). \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.4. Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on X . Then, by Theorem 4.3, for any point $x \in X$

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E')}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves $C \subset Y$ such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, and $\nu : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$ is the normalization map. Note that

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E') \leq \mu(\nu^* E') = (\det(E') \cdot C) / \text{rank}(E').$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) \leq \frac{N(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E_*)} \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{\det(E') \cdot C}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\} = \frac{N(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E_*)} \varepsilon(\det(E'), \gamma^{-1}(x)).$$

Here $\varepsilon(\det(E'), \gamma^{-1}(x))$ denotes the multipoint Seshadri constants of E' at $\gamma^{-1}(x) \subset Y$. Note that the rank of the parabolic vector bundle E_* is simply the rank of the underlying vector bundle E .

Moreover, if E_* is a semistable parabolic ample vector bundle with $\Delta_{\text{par}}(E_*) = 0$ (see (4.2)), then E' is also semistable ample bundle on Y with vanishing discriminant. Hence in this case

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E') = \mu(\nu^* E')$$

(see [BB, Theorem 1.2]). Therefore, in this case we get the following equality:

$$\varepsilon_*(E_*, x) = \frac{N(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E_*)} \varepsilon(\det(E'), \gamma^{-1}(x))$$

for every point $x \in X$.

We now describe the parabolic Seshadri constants of symmetric powers and tensor products of parabolic vector bundles.

Theorem 4.5. *Let E_* be a parabolic nef vector bundle on a smooth irreducible complex projective variety X . Then for any positive integer m*

$$\varepsilon_*(S^m(E_*), x) = m\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$$

for every point $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $E' \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding orbifold bundle over Y as in Section 2.3. For any positive integer m , the parabolic bundle corresponding to the orbifold bundle $S^m(E')$ is the parabolic symmetric product $S^m(E_*)$ (see [Bi1]). Therefore, from Proposition 2.9 it follows that $S^m(E_*)$ is nef if E_* is nef. Thus by Theorem 4.3,

$$\varepsilon_*(S^m(E_*), x) = N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(S^m E'))}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves $C \subset Y$ such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, and $\nu : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$ is the normalization map.

As taking symmetric powers commutes with the operation of pullback, and

$$\mu_{\min}(S^m V) = m\mu_{\min}(V)$$

for a vector bundle V on a smooth complex projective curve \overline{C} , we have

$$\varepsilon_*(S^m(E_*), x) = N(E_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{m\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E')}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\} = m\varepsilon_*(E_*, x).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let E_* and F_* be two parabolic nef vector bundles on a smooth irreducible complex projective variety X having a common parabolic divisor $D \subset X$. Then for every point $x \in X$,*

$$\varepsilon_*(E_* \otimes F_*, x) = N(E_* \otimes F_*) \cdot \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)}{N(E_*)} + \frac{\varepsilon_*(F_*, x)}{N(F_*)} \right\}.$$

Proof. The correspondence between parabolic vector bundles and orbifold bundles takes tensor product of two orbifold bundles to the tensor product of the corresponding parabolic vector bundles (see [Bi1]). Let E' and F' be the orbifold bundles on Y corresponding to E_* and F_* respectively, where Y is the Galois cover of X as in Section 2.3. Then $E' \otimes F'$ corresponds to the parabolic bundle $E_* \otimes F_*$. By Theorem 4.3, for any point $x \in X$, we have

$$\varepsilon_*(E_* \otimes F_*, x) = N(E_* \otimes F_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E' \otimes F'))}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves $C \subset Y$ such that $C \cap \gamma^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, and $\nu : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$ is the normalization map (see Theorem 4.3). For the smooth curve \overline{C} , we also have

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E' \otimes F')) = \mu_{\min}(\nu^* E') + \mu_{\min}(\nu^* F').$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_*(E_* \otimes F_*, x) &= N(E_* \otimes F_*) \cdot \inf_{C \subset Y} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E')}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} + \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* F')}{\sum_{y \in \gamma^{-1}(x)} \text{mult}_y C} \right\} \\ &= N(E_* \otimes F_*) \cdot \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)}{N(E_*)} + \frac{\varepsilon_*(F_*, x)}{N(F_*)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

4.1. Examples and further questions. Let X be a smooth complex projective variety. Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X with E as the underlying vector bundle. If X has dimension one, and E is ample, then it follows that E_* is parabolic ample; see [Bi1, Theorem 3.1]. But in general this is not the case. We will give two examples to show that the parabolic ampleness of E_* does not imply the ampleness of E and vice versa.

Let D be an effective divisor on X and suppose that $D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ is the decomposition of D into irreducible components. A parabolic line bundle on X with parabolic divisor D is a pair $L_* = (L, \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\})$, where L is a line bundle on X and the parabolic weight $0 \leq \alpha_i < 1$ corresponds to the divisor D_i . Assume that $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all i . Then L_* is parabolic ample if and only if

$$c_1(L_*) := c_1(L) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [D_i] \in H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

lies in the ample cone of X .

Example 4.7. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}_C(W) \rightarrow C$ be a ruled surface over an elliptic curve C defined by the normalized rank 2 bundle W which sits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(p) \rightarrow 0$$

for some point $p \in C$. Therefore the associated invariant $e = -\deg(W)$ is -1 (see [Har3, Proposition 2.8]). We consider the simple normal crossing divisor $\sigma + f$, where σ denotes the normalized section of π such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(\sigma) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)$ and f denotes a fiber of the map π .

Let L be a line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_C(W)$ which is numerically equivalent to $\frac{1}{2}\sigma - \frac{1}{2}f$. Then L is not ample by [Har3, Proposition 2.21]. Next consider the parabolic bundle

$$L_* = (L, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$$

on $\mathbb{P}_C(W)$ with parabolic weights $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that

$$c_1(L_*) = c_1(L) + \alpha_1\sigma + \alpha_2f = \sigma$$

which is inside the ample cone of $\mathbb{P}_C(W)$. Thus L_* is parabolic ample, but L is not ample.

Example 4.8. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}_C(W) \rightarrow C$ be a ruled surface over \mathbb{P}_C^1 defined by the normalized rank 2 bundle $W = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^1}(-1)$. Then the associated invariant e is equal to 1. As done above, we consider the simple normal crossing divisor $\sigma + f$, where σ denotes the normalized section of π such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(\sigma) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)$ and f denotes a fiber of the map π .

Let $L \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sigma + f$ be a line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_C(W)$. Then L is ample by [Har3, Proposition 2.20]. Next consider the parabolic bundle

$$L_* = (L, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$$

with parabolic weights $\alpha_1 = \frac{7}{8}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{4}$. Note that

$$c_1(L_*) = c_1(L) + \alpha_1\sigma + \alpha_2f = \frac{11}{8}\sigma + \frac{10}{8}f$$

which does not lie in the ample cone of $\mathbb{P}_C(W)$. Thus L_* is not parabolic ample, but L is ample.

In view of Example 4.7 and Example 4.8, using the Seshadri criterion for parabolic ampleness (see Theorem 3.6) we see that there can not be an inequality between $\varepsilon_*(L_*, x)$ and $\varepsilon(L, x)$, in general. It is still interesting to ask the following question.

Question 4.9. Suppose E_* is an ample parabolic bundle on a projective variety X such that the underlying vector bundle E is also ample. Then can we compare $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$ and $\varepsilon(E, x)$?

Example 4.10. Let Z be a smooth complex projective variety, and let W be a vector bundle on Z . Let

$$\rho : X := \mathbb{P}(W) \longrightarrow Z$$

be the associated projective bundle on Z . Take $D \subset Z$ to be a normal crossing divisor on Z , and let F_* be a semistable parabolic bundle of rank r on Z with parabolic divisor D . Then ρ^*F_* is a parabolic semistable bundle on X with parabolic divisor $D' = \rho^*(D)$. Let $D' = \sum_{i=1}^n D'_i$ be the decomposition of D' into irreducible components.

Let L_* be a parabolic line bundle with parabolic divisor D' on X . So L_* is given by a pair $(L, \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\})$, where L is a line bundle on X and $0 \leq \alpha_i < 1$ corresponds to the divisor D'_i . Assume that $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all i . Then

$$E_* = \rho^*(F_*) \otimes L_*$$

is parabolic semistable with $\Delta_{par}(E_*) = 0$. Note that

$$c_1(L_*) := c_1(L) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [D'_i].$$

We may choose L_* in such a way that

$$c_1(E_*) = c_1(\rho^*F_*) + rc_1(L_*)$$

lies in the ample cone of X . This way we can produce parabolic ample bundles on X with $\Delta_{par}(E_*) = 0$ (see [MR1, Example 22]). Using Remark 4.4 we can compute the parabolic Seshadri constants for such parabolic bundles.

In this situation, there is the following result on the relationship between the parabolic ampleness of E_* and the ampleness of its first parabolic Chern class.

Theorem 4.11 ([MR1, Theorem 19]). *Let E_* be a semistable parabolic vector bundle of rank r on a smooth complex projective variety X such that $\Delta_{par}(E_*) = 0$. Then E_* is parabolic ample if and only if its parabolic first Chern class $c_1(E_*)$ is in the ample cone of X .*

So one can ask the following question.

Question 4.12. In the situation of Theorem 4.11, can we compare $\varepsilon_*(E_*, x)$ and $\varepsilon(c_1(E_*), x)$?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second and the fourth authors are partially supported by a grant from Infosys Foundation. The third author is supported financially by SERB-NPDF fellowship (File no : PDF/2021/000282). The first author is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [Bi1] I. Biswas, Parabolic ample bundles, *Math. Ann.* **307** (1997), 511–529.
- [Bi2] I. Biswas, Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles, *Duke Math. J.* **88** (1997), 305–325.
- [Bi3] I. Biswas, Chern classes for parabolic bundles, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **37** (1997), 597–613.
- [BB] I. Biswas and U. Bruzzo, On semistable principal bundles over a complex projective manifold, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2008**, no. 12, Art. ID rnn035, 28 pp.

- [BHN] I. Biswas, K. Hanumanthu and D. S. Nagaraj, Positivity of vector bundles on homogeneous varieties, *Internat. J. Math.* **31** (2020), no. 12, 2050097, 11 pp.
- [BBN] V. Balaji, I. Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj, Ramified G -bundles as parabolic bundles, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18** (2003), 123–138.
- [BL] I. Biswas and F. Laytimi, Parabolic k -ample bundles, *Internat. J. Math.* **22** (2011), 1647–1660.
- [BS] I. Biswas and S. Subramanian, Parabolic ample bundles. III. Numerically effective vector bundles, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **109** (1999), 41–46.
- [Bo1] N. Borne, Fibrés paraboliques et champ des racines, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, **16**, Art. ID rnm049, 38, (2007).
- [Bo2] N. Borne, Sur les représentations du groupe fondamental d’une variété privée d’un diviseur à croisements normaux simples, *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.* **58** (2009), 137–180.
- [Dem] J.-P. Demailly, Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles, *Complex algebraic varieties* (Bayreuth, 1990), 87–104, Lecture Notes in Math., 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [DKS] J. Dasgupta, B. Khan and A. Subramaniam, Seshadri constants of equivariant vector bundles on toric varieties, *J. Algebra* **595** (2022), 38–68.
- [FM] M. Fulger and T. Murayama, Seshadri constants for vector bundles, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **225** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 106559, 35 pp.
- [Hac] C. D. Hacon, Remarks on Seshadri constants of vector bundles, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **50** (2000), 767–780.
- [Har1] R. Hartshorne, Ample vector bundles, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **29** (1966), 63–94.
- [Har2] R. Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1970.
- [Har3] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [HMP] M. Hering, M. Mustață and S. Payne, Positivity properties of toric vector bundles, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **60** (2010), 607–640.
- [IS] J. N. N. Iyer and C. T. Simpson, A relation between the parabolic Chern characters of the de Rham bundles, *Math. Ann.* **338** (2007), 347–383.
- [Ka] Y. Kawamata, Characterization of abelian varieties, *Compositio Math.* **43** (1981), 253–276.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, *Algebraic geometry*, Sendai, 1985, 283–360, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [MR1] S. Misra and N. Ray, On Ampleness of vector bundles, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **359** (2021), 763–772.
- [MR2] S. Misra and N. Ray, Nef cones of projective bundles over surfaces and Seshadri constants, to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*
- [MY] M. Maruyama and K. Yokogawa, Moduli of parabolic stable sheaves, *Math. Ann.* **293** (1992), 77–99.
- [Se] C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 124–126.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM 603103, INDIA

Email address: krishna@cmi.ac.in

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM 603103, INDIA

Email address: snehajitm@cmi.ac.in

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM 603103, INDIA

Email address: nabanitar@cmi.ac.in