

ALGEBRAIC DEFORMATIONS AND FOURIER–MUKAI TRANSFORMS FOR CALABI–YAU MANIFOLDS

HAYATO MORIMURA

ABSTRACT. Given a pair of derived-equivalent Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two, we prove that the derived equivalence can be extended to general fibers of versal deformations. As an application, we give a new proof of the Pfaffian–Grassmannian derived equivalence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_0 be a Calabi–Yau manifold of dimension more than two in the strict sense, i.e., a smooth projective variety over a field \mathbf{k} with trivial canonical bundle and $H^i(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = 0$ for $0 < i < \dim X_0$. Then the deformation functor

$$F_{X_0} = \text{Def}_{X_0} : \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

of X_0 has a universal formal family (R, ξ) , which is effective by [GD61, Theorem III 5.4.5] and there exists an effectivization X_R flat and projective over R , whose formal completion along the closed fiber X_0 is isomorphic to ξ . Since deformations of Calabi–Yau manifolds are unobstructed, the complete local noetherian ring R is regular and we have

$$R \cong \mathbf{k}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]],$$

where $d = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} H^1(X_0, \mathcal{T}_{X_0})$. By [Art69b, Theorem 1.6] there exists a versal deformation X_S flat and of finite type over S , where S is an algebraic \mathbf{k} -scheme with a distinguished closed point s such that the formal completion along the closed fiber X_0 over s is isomorphic to ξ . It is known that the triple (S, s, X_S) is unique only locally around s in the étale topology. Unwinding the construction, one finds a nonsingular affine variety S over which the versal deformation X_S is smooth projective. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.1). *Let X_0 and X'_0 be derived-equivalent Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two. Then there exists a nonsingular affine variety S over \mathbf{k} such that general fibers of smooth projective versal deformations X_S and X'_S over S are derived-equivalent. In particular, after possible shrinking of the base scheme S , the schemes X_S and X'_S are derived-equivalent.*

The relationship between deformations and Fourier–Mukai transforms has been addressed in [Tod09] for first order deformations of smooth projective varieties, in [BBP07] for formal deformations of complex tori, and in [HMS09] for formal deformations of K3 surfaces by deforming Fourier–Mukai kernels. In the above cases, a relative Fourier–Mukai transform of n -th order deformations induces an isomorphism which associates to the direction of a $(n + 1)$ -th order deformation of one side that of the other side. So the fiber product deforms along the pair of the directions to yield the fiber product of the $(n + 1)$ -th order deformations. Then it is natural to ask whether one can deform the Fourier–Mukai kernel to a perfect complex on the fiber product of the $(n + 1)$ -th order deformations, and the relative integral functor defined by the deformed perfect complex is an equivalence.

SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265, 34136 TRIESTE, ITALY
E-mail address: hmorimur@sisssa.it.

For Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two, the isomorphism induced by a relative Fourier–Mukai transform connects a pair of $(n + 1)$ -th order deformations of complex structures. Moreover, since the effectivizations X_R and X'_R are smooth over R , the obstruction class to deforming a perfect complex [Low05, Lie06] is given by the product of the relative Atiyah class and the relative Kodaira–Spencer class [HT10, Corollary 3.4]. In this paper, based on the argument in [HMS09, Section 3], we deform a Fourier–Mukai kernel defining the derived equivalence of X_0 and X'_0 along the sequence of the natural quotient maps

$$\cdots \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+2} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n \rightarrow \cdots .$$

From the compatible system of deformed Fourier–Mukai kernels, we obtain an effectivization as a perfect complex by [Lie06, Proposition 3.6.1]. Passing through a filtered inductive system of finitely generated subalgebras of R whose colimit is R , we obtain a perfect complex on $X_S \times_S X'_S$ which restricts to the Fourier–Mukai kernel on $X_0 \times X'_0$ by [Lie06, Proposition 2.2.1] and the construction of the versal deformations. Then the standard argument shows that the relative integral functor defined by the perfect complex is an equivalence. One can also show the derived equivalence of effectivizations of universal formal families, in particular, that of formal deformations of X_0 and X'_0 .

As an application, we give a new (but slightly weaker) proof of the Pfaffian–Grassmannian equivalence, which is conjectured in [Rød00], explained in [HT07] from a physical perspective, and proved in [BC09, Kuz, ADS15]. Via Theorem 1.1, the derived equivalence is induced by that of the complete intersections of G_2 -Grassmannians [Kuz18, Ued19]. Similarly, due to [IIM19, Proposition 4.7] the derived equivalence of the intersections of two Grassmannians in \mathbb{P}^9 [BCP20] is induced by that of the complete intersections in $G(2, 5)$ [KR19, Mor21]. We expect to find a new example of Fourier–Mukai partners through deformation methods using Theorem 1.1.

Notations and conventions. *We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0 throughout the paper. For an augmented \mathbf{k} -algebra A , by \mathfrak{m}_A we denote its augmentation ideal. For a noetherian formal scheme \mathcal{X} , by $D^b(\mathcal{X})$ we denote the bounded derived category of the abelian category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X})$ of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} .*

Acknowledgements. *The author would like to express his gratitude to Kazushi Ueda for suggesting the problem. The author would like to thank Paolo Stellari for inviting him to the university of Milan, his hospitality, and helpful discussions. The author also would like to thank Andrea Tobia Ricolfi for offering many corrections to earlier versions of this paper. The author thanks Yukinobu Toda for informing the author on the paper [HMS09], Atsushi Ito and Makoto Miura on the paper [Küc96].*

2. SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VERSAL DEFORMATIONS

When it comes to deformations, Calabi–Yau manifolds are equipped with nice geometric features. In this section, after reviewing some basics on deformation theory of schemes, we explain how to construct smooth projective versal deformations of Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two.

2.1. Infinitesimal deformations of schemes. Let X be a \mathbf{k} -scheme. A deformation of X over a local artinian \mathbf{k} -algebra A with residue field \mathbf{k} is a pair (X_A, i_A) , where X_A is a scheme flat over A and $i_A: X \hookrightarrow X_A$ is a closed immersion such that the induced map $X \rightarrow X_A \times_A \mathbf{k}$ is an isomorphism. Two deformations (X_A, i_A) and (Y_A, j_A) are said to be equivalent if there is an A -isomorphism $X_A \rightarrow Y_A$ compatible with i_A and j_A . The deformation functor $F_X = \text{Def}_X: \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ sends each $A \in \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbf{k}}$ to the set of equivalence classes of deformations of X over A .

Assume that X is projective over \mathbf{k} . Then F_X satisfies Schlessinger's criterion [Sch68] and there exists a miniversal formal family (R, ξ) for F_X [Har10, Theorem 18.1], where R is a complete local noetherian \mathbf{k} -algebra with residue field \mathbf{k} , and ξ belongs to the limit

$$\hat{F}_X(R) = \varprojlim F_X(R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n)$$

of the inverse system

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_X(R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+2}) \rightarrow F_X(R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1}) \rightarrow F_X(R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n) \rightarrow \cdots$$

induced by the natural quotient maps $R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^n$. The formal family ξ corresponds to a natural transformation

$$(2.1) \quad h_R = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}}(R, -) \rightarrow F_X,$$

which sends each homomorphism $f \in h_R(A)$ factorizing through $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1} \xrightarrow{g} A$ to $F_X(g)(\xi_n)$ [Har10, Proposition 15.1]. The functor (2.1) is strongly surjective by versality of ξ . So for every surjection $B \rightarrow A$ in $\mathbf{Art}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the map

$$h_R(B) \rightarrow h_R(A) \times_{F_X(A)} F_X(B)$$

is surjective. In particular, the map $h_R(A) \rightarrow F_X(A)$ is surjective for each $A \in \mathbf{Art}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Let X_n be the schemes which define ξ_n . Then by [Har10, Proposition 21.1] there is a noetherian formal scheme \mathcal{X} over R such that $X_n \cong \mathcal{X} \times_R R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1}$ for each n . By abuse of notation, we use the same symbol ξ to denote the formal scheme \mathcal{X} . Thus any scheme which defines an equivalence class $[X_A, i_A]$ can be obtained as the pullback of ξ along some morphism of noetherian formal schemes $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} R$. If X is regular, then the Zariski tangent space of $\mathrm{Spec} R$ at the closed point is $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$. Assume further that $H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = 0$, i.e., the scheme X has no infinitesimal automorphisms which restrict to the identity of X . Then every equivalence class $[X_A, i_A]$ is just a deformation (X_A, i_A) and we have $h_R \simeq F_X$ [Har10, Corollary 18.3]. In this case, the functor F_X is said to be pro-representable and (R, ξ) a universal formal family for F_X .

2.2. Algebraization. Towards an algebraic family of deformations of X , the first step is to find a scheme X_R flat and of finite type over R whose formal completion along the closed fiber X is isomorphic to ξ . If X is projective and $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, i.e., deformations of any invertible sheaf on X are unobstructed, then by [GD61, Theorem III5.4.5] there exists such a scheme X_R . In this case, the formal family (R, ξ) is said to be effective. One sees that the scheme X_R appeared in the proof of [GD61, Theorem III5.4.5] is projective over R . We will call such X_R an effectivization of ξ .

The next step is to find an algebraic \mathbf{k} -scheme S with a distinguished closed point $s \in S$, and a scheme X_S flat and of finite type over S whose formal completion along the closed fiber X over s is isomorphic to ξ . The deformation functor F_X can naturally be extended to a functor defined on the category $\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}^{\mathrm{aug}}$ of augmented noetherian \mathbf{k} -algebras. By abuse of notation, we use the same symbol F_X to denote the extended functor, which sends each $(B, \mathfrak{m}_B) \in \mathbf{k}\text{-alg}^{\mathrm{aug}}$ to the set of equivalence classes of deformations over (B, \mathfrak{m}_B) . The following is the well-known fact necessary to prove the existence of such a triple (S, s, X_S) . We provide a proof as we could not find any reference in the literature.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be an algebraic \mathbf{k} -scheme. Then the functor F_X is locally of finite presentation, i.e., for every filtered inductive system of augmented noetherian \mathbf{k} -algebras $\{(B_i, \mathfrak{m}_{B_i})\}_{i \in I}$ whose colimit is B , the canonical map*

$$\varinjlim F_X((B_i, \mathfrak{m}_{B_i})) \rightarrow F_X((B, \mathfrak{m}_B))$$

is bijective.

Proof. To show the surjectivity, let $[X_B, i_B]$ be an element in $F_X((B, \mathfrak{m}_B))$. By [GD66, Corollary IV11.2.7] for some index $\lambda \in I$ there exists a scheme X_{B_λ} flat and of finite type over B_λ with a B -isomorphism $X_B \rightarrow X_{B_\lambda} \times_{B_\lambda} B$. Then an element $\{[X_{B_k}, i_{B_k}]\}_{k \geq \lambda} \in \varinjlim F_X((B_i, \mathfrak{m}_{B_i}))$ is sent to $[X_B, i_B]$ by the canonical map.

To show the injectivity, let $\{[X_{B_k}, i_{B_k}]\}_{k \geq j}$ and $\{[Y_{B_k}, j_{B_k}]\}_{k \geq j}$ be two elements sent to the same equivalence class $[X_B, i_B] = [Y_B, j_B]$. By [GD66, Theorem IV8.8.2, Corollary IV8.8.2.4] for some index $l \geq j$ there is a B_l -isomorphism $X_{B_l} \rightarrow Y_{B_l}$ sent to the B -isomorphism $X_B \rightarrow Y_B$. Since we have $[X_B, i_B] = [Y_B, j_B]$, the isomorphism is compatible with i_{B_l} and j_{B_l} . Thus $\{[X_{B_k}, i_{B_k}]\}_{k \geq l}$ and $\{[Y_{B_k}, j_{B_k}]\}_{k \geq l}$ define the same element in $\varinjlim F_X((B_i, \mathfrak{m}_{B_i}))$. \square

By Lemma 2.1 one can apply [Art69b, Theorem 1.6] to obtain such a triple (S, s, X_S) . The scheme X_S is said to be a versal deformation over S and the miniversal formal family (R, ξ) is said to be algebraizable. Since some details are necessary in the sequel, we show the existence of a versal deformation when X is a higher dimensional Calabi–Yau manifold.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X_0 be a Calabi–Yau manifold of dimension more than two. Then every effective universal formal family (R, ξ) for F_{X_0} is algebraizable.*

Proof. Let $T = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ and $t \in T$ be the closed point corresponding to a maximal ideal (t_1, \dots, t_d) . Since the formal completion of $\mathcal{O}_T(T)$ along (t_1, \dots, t_d) is isomorphic to R , there is a filtered inductive system $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_T(T)$ -subalgebras of R whose colimit is R . Choose a finite type presentation

$$R_i = \mathcal{O}_T(T)[Y]/(f(Y)),$$

where $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Then we have the solution $\hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_N)$ of $f(Y) = 0$ in R corresponding to the canonical homomorphism $R_i \rightarrow R$ [Art69a, Corollary 1.6]. Since F_{X_0} is locally of finite presentation, $[X_R, i_R]$ is the image of some element $\zeta_i \in F_{X_0}((R_i, \mathfrak{m}_{R_i}))$ by the canonical map $F_{X_0}((R_i, \mathfrak{m}_{R_i})) \rightarrow F_{X_0}(R)$. By [Art69a, Corollary 2.1] there exist an étale neighborhood S of t in T , and a solution $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ in $\mathcal{O}_S(S)$ with

$$(2.2) \quad y_i \equiv \hat{y}_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R^2},$$

i.e., y and \hat{y} induce the same element in $F_{X_0}(R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2)$. Let $\varphi: R_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(S)$ be the homomorphism corresponding to the solution y , and let $[X_S, i_S]$ be the image of ζ_i by the map $F_{X_0}(\varphi)$ and $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the formal family induced by $[X_S, i_S]$. From (2.2) it follows

$$F_{X_0}(\psi_1)([X_R, i_R]) = \xi_1 = \eta_1,$$

where $\psi_1: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2$ is the natural surjection. By versality of (R, ξ) there is a compatible sequence of homomorphisms $\psi_n: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1}$ lifting ψ_{n-1} and such that $F_{X_0}(\psi_n)([X_R, i_R]) = \eta_n$ for every positive integer n . The sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induces a homomorphism $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$F_{X_0}(\psi)([X_R, i_R]) \equiv \eta_n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1}}.$$

Since ψ is the identity modulo \mathfrak{m}_R^2 , it is an automorphism. Thus the formal completion of X_S along the closed fiber X_0 is isomorphic to ξ . \square

2.3. Smoothness and projectivity. If S' is an étale neighborhood of s in S , then the scheme $X_{S'}$ obtained in the same way gives another versal deformation. The following lemma is crucial for the rest of the paper.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X_0 be a Calabi–Yau manifold of dimension more than two. Then there exists a nonsingular affine variety S over \mathbf{k} with a versal deformation X_S which is projective and smooth of relative dimension $\dim X_0$ over S .*

Proof. An étale neighborhood of t in T is smooth over \mathbf{k} . Since an open immersion is étale, we may assume that S is connected. Then S must be irreducible, otherwise the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ has more than one minimal prime ideal for every point s in the intersection of irreducible components. We already know that X_S is flat over S . Since X_R is projective over R , by [GD66, Theorem IV8.10.5] there exists an index j such that for all $k \geq j$ the schemes X_{R_k} are projective over R_k . A base change of projective morphism is projective [SP, Tag 02V6].

Since S is irreducible and $\pi_S : X_S \rightarrow S$ is flat and proper, the restriction of π_S to each irreducible component of X_S is surjective. In particular, each irreducible component contains the closed fiber X_0 and we have

$$\text{rel. dim}(\pi_S) = \dim X_0.$$

Note that the function

$$n_{X_S/S} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\},$$

which sends every point $s \in S$ to the dimension of the fiber over s is locally constant, since π_S is flat and proper [SP, Tag 0D4J]. Again, we have used the irreducibility of S .

Due to Lemma 2.4 below, the morphism $\pi_R : X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is smooth. We claim that there is an index l such that for all $k \geq l$ the morphisms $X_{R_k} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R_k$ are smooth. To show this, we may assume that X_{R_i} are affine. Let $R \rightarrow B$ be the ring homomorphism corresponding to π_R . Then there exists a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra R_0 of R and a smooth ring homomorphism $R_0 \rightarrow B_0$ such that $B \cong B_0 \otimes_{R_0} R$ [SP, Tag 00TP]. By [SP, Tag 07C3] the inclusion $R_0 \rightarrow R$ factors through R_l for some index l . Since smoothness is stable under base change, the claim follows. \square

Lemma 2.4. *The scheme X_R is regular and the morphism $\pi_R : X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is smooth of relative dimension $\dim X_0$.*

Proof. We adapt the proof of [Har77, Proposition III10.4]. Since the base scheme $\text{Spec } R$ has the only one closed point, every closed point $x \in X_R$ belongs to the closed fiber X_0 . By [SP, Tag 031E] the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X_R,x}$ is regular, so X_R is regular. Note that X_R is irreducible. From the proof of [SP, Tag 031E] one sees that π_R induces the injection $\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_R,x}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_R,x}}^2$, which is dual to the surjection

$$T_{\pi_R} : T_x X_R \rightarrow T_{\pi_R(x)} R$$

of Zariski tangent spaces. It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}(x)} (\Omega_{X_R/R} \otimes \mathbf{k}(x)) = \dim X_0$$

for every closed point $x \in X_R$. Since π_R is flat and of finite type, we also have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{k}(\zeta)} (\Omega_{X_R/R} \otimes \mathbf{k}(\zeta)) = \dim X_0$$

for the generic point ζ of X_R [Har77, Theorem I4.8A, Theorem II8.6A]. Then by [Har77, Lemma II8.9] the coherent sheaf $\Omega_{X_R/R}$ is locally free of rank $\dim X_0$. \square

Remark 2.1. From the proof of Lemma 2.3, one sees that the scheme X_S must be irreducible, otherwise X_0 becomes disconnected.

3. DEFORMATIONS OF FOURIER–MUKAI KERNELS

In order to define a relative integral functor from $D^b(X_S)$ to $D^b(X'_S)$, we deform the Fourier–Mukai kernel \mathcal{P}_0 to a perfect complex \mathcal{P}_S on the fiber product $X_S \times_S X'_S$ of smooth projective versal deformations. Although applying the main theorem in [Lie06] might suffice, we adopt a more concrete approach based on [HMS09, HT10], still using some results from [Lie06]. Here, for a deformation X_B of a \mathbf{k} -scheme X over an augmented noetherian \mathbf{k} -algebra (B, \mathfrak{m}_B) ,

by a deformation of a perfect complex E on X over (B, \mathfrak{m}_B) we mean a pair (E_B, u_B) , where $E_B \in D^b(X_B)$ and $u_B: E_B \otimes_B^L \mathbf{k} \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism.

3.1. Derived equivalence and relative Hochschild cohomology. Let X_n and X'_n be derived-equivalent schemes smooth and projective over R_n . The schemes X_n, X'_n , and their fiber product $X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n$ over R_n form the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n & \\ q_n \swarrow & & \searrow p_n \\ X_{R_n} & & X'_{R_n} \end{array}$$

with the natural projections q_n and p_n . For any perfect complex \mathcal{P}_n on $X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n$, the relative integral functor

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}(-) = R p_{n*}(\mathcal{P}_n \otimes^L q_n^*(-))$$

sends each object in $D^b(X_n)$ to $D^b(X'_n)$. Due to the Grothendieck–Verdier duality the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ has the right adjoint, which we denote by $\Phi_{(\mathcal{P}_n)_R}$.

Assume that $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is an equivalence. Then two functors

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1: D^b(X_n \times_{R_n} X_n) &\rightarrow D^b(X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n) \\ \mathcal{G} &\mapsto \mathcal{P}_n * \mathcal{G}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2: D^b(X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n) &\rightarrow D^b(X'_n \times_{R_n} X'_n) \\ \mathcal{G}' &\mapsto \mathcal{G}' * (\mathcal{P}_n)_R \end{aligned}$$

respectively induce isomorphisms

$$\psi_1: \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X_n}(-, -) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}(\Psi_1(-), \Psi_1(-)),$$

$$\psi_2: \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}(-, -) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{X'_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}(\Psi_2(-), \Psi_2(-)).$$

The composition defines the isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HH}^*} = \psi_2 \circ \psi_1: \text{HH}^*(X_n/R_n) \rightarrow \text{HH}^*(X'_n/R_n)$$

of the relative Hochschild cohomology complex [Cäl10], which gives rise to the isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HT}^*} = (\mathbb{I}_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}})^{-1} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HH}^*} \circ \mathbb{I}_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}}: \text{HT}^*(X_n/R_n) \rightarrow \text{HT}^*(X'_n/R_n),$$

where

$$\mathbb{I}_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}}: \text{HT}^*(X_n/R_n) \rightarrow \text{HH}^*(X_n/R_n),$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}}: \text{HT}^*(X'_n/R_n) \rightarrow \text{HH}^*(X'_n/R_n)$$

are the relative Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg isomorphisms. Namely, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{HT}^*(X_n/R_n) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I}_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}}} & \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X_n}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}) \\ \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HT}^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HH}^*} = \psi_2 \circ \psi_1 \\ \text{HT}^*(X'_n/R_n) & \xleftarrow{(\mathbb{I}_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}})^{-1}} & \text{Ext}_{X'_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta'_n}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta'_n}). \end{array}$$

3.2. Relative Atiyah class and HKR isomorphism. For a perfect complex E_n on X_n the relative Atiyah class is the element

$$A(E_n) \in \text{Ext}_{X_n}^1(E_n, E_n \otimes \Omega_{\pi_n})$$

induced by the boundary morphism of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_n/I_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X_n}/I_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n} \rightarrow 0,$$

where I_n is the defining ideal sheaf of the relative diagonal. Composition in $D^b(X_n)$ and exterior product $\Omega_{\pi_n}^{\otimes i} \rightarrow \Omega_{\pi_n}^i$ yield the exponential

$$\exp(A(E_n)) \in \bigoplus \text{Ext}_{X_n}^i(E_n, E_n \otimes \Omega_{\pi_n}^i).$$

Consider the automorphism $\tau: X_n \times_{R_n} X_n \rightarrow X_n \times_{R_n} X_n$ interchanging the two factors. Since the conormal bundle I_n/I_n^2 consists of elements of the form $x \otimes_{R_n} 1 - 1 \otimes_{R_n} x$, the pullback τ^* acts on $H^p(X_n, \wedge^q \mathcal{T}_{\pi_n})$ by $(-1)^q$. Then as a straightforward generalization of [Tod09, Lemma 5.8], one obtains two commutative diagrams

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{HT}^*(X_n/R_n) & \xrightarrow{\tau^* \circ I_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}}} & \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X_n}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n}) \\ \downarrow q_n^* & & \downarrow \psi_1 \\ \text{HT}^*(X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n/R_n) & \xrightarrow{\exp(A(\mathcal{P}_n))} & \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}^*(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n), \end{array}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{HT}^*(X'_n/R_n) & \xrightarrow{I_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}}} & \text{Ext}_{X'_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta'_n}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta'_n}) \\ \downarrow p_n^* & & \downarrow \psi_2^{-1} \\ \text{HT}^*(X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n/R_n) & \xrightarrow{\exp(A(\mathcal{P}_n))} & \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}^*(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n). \end{array}$$

3.3. Obstruction class. There exists an obstruction for a perfect complex E_n on X_n to deform to some perfect complex on X_{n+1} [Low05, Lie06]. By [HT10, Corollary 3.4] it has the explicit expression as the product of the truncated Atiyah class of E_n and the truncated Kodaira–Spencer class of the thickenings $X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$ defined by a square zero ideal. In our setting, the deformation X_{n+1} is smooth over R_{n+1} . So the truncated Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes coincide with the relative Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes respectively. Then the obstruction class is given by

$$\varpi(E_n) = (\text{id}_{E_n} \otimes \kappa_n) \circ A(E_n) \in \text{Ext}_{X_n}^2(E_n, E_n^{\oplus l_n}),$$

where $\kappa_n \in \text{Ext}_{X_n}^1(\Omega_{\pi_n}, \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^{\oplus l_n})$ denotes the relative Kodaira–Spencer class, which is the extension class of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^{\oplus l_n} \xrightarrow{\cdot(d s_1 \cdots d s_{l_n})} \Omega_{X_{n+1}|X_n} \rightarrow \Omega_{\pi_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $l_n = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1}/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+2}$ and $\{s_1, \dots, s_{l_n}\}$ is a fixed basis of the \mathbf{k} -vector space $\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+1}/\mathfrak{m}_R^{n+2}$.

Suppose that there exists a thickening $X'_n \hookrightarrow X'_{n+1}$ whose relative Kodaira–Spencer class is $\kappa'_n = (\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HT}^2})^{\oplus l_n}(\kappa_n) \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{\pi_n})^{\oplus l_n}$. Let $\kappa_n \boxplus \kappa'_n = q_n^* \kappa_n + p_n^* \kappa'_n \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{\pi_n \times \pi'_n})^{\oplus l_n}$. Adapting [HMS09, Lemma 3.7] to our setting in a straightforward way, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.1. *Under the above assumption there exists a perfect complex \mathcal{P}_{n+1} on $X_{n+1} \times_{R_{n+1}} X'_{n+1}$ with an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{n+1} \otimes_{R_{n+1}}^L R_n \cong \mathcal{P}_n$ such that the integral functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}}: D^b(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow D^b(X'_{n+1})$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. We show the vanishing of the obstruction class

$$A(\mathcal{P}_n) \cdot (\kappa_n \boxplus \kappa'_n) \in \text{Ext}_{X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n}^2(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n)^{\oplus l_n}.$$

We write κ_n, κ'_n as $\kappa_n = (\kappa_n^1, \dots, \kappa_n^{l_n}), \kappa'_n = (\kappa_n'^1, \dots, \kappa_n'^{l_n})$ with respect to the fixed basis. By commutativity of the diagrams (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathcal{P}_n) &= A(\mathcal{P}_n) \cdot (\kappa_n^i \boxplus \kappa_n'^i) \\ &= \psi_1 \left(\tau^* \left(I_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}}(\kappa_n^i) \right) \right) + \psi_2^{-1} \left(I_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}}(\kappa_n'^i) \right) \\ &= \psi_2^{-1} I_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}} \left(- \left(I_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}} \right)^{-1} \left(\psi_2 \left(\psi_1 \left(I_{X_n}^{\text{HKR}}(\kappa_n^i) \right) \right) \right) + \kappa_n'^i \right) \\ &= \psi_2^{-1} I_{X'_n}^{\text{HKR}} \left(-\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HT}^2}(\kappa_n^i) + \kappa_n'^i \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each i . Note that, as mentioned above, the pullback τ^* acts on $H^1(X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n, \mathcal{T}_{\pi_n \times \pi'_n})$ by -1 . So there exists a deformation \mathcal{P}_{n+1} of \mathcal{P}_n . Then by [LST13, Proposition 1.3] the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}}$ is an equivalence, since \mathcal{P}_0 defines an equivalence and π_n, π'_n are smooth projective. \square

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 below, one sees that if the closed fibers X_0 and X'_0 are higher dimensional Calabi–Yau manifolds, then one can always deform a Fourier–Mukai kernel on $X_0 \times X'_0$ to some Fourier–Mukai kernel on $X_n \times_{R_n} X'_n$ for arbitrary order n .

Lemma 3.2. *If the closed fiber of X'_0 is a Calabi–Yau manifold of dimension more than two, then there exists a thickening $X'_n \hookrightarrow X'_{n+1}$ whose relative Kodaira–Spencer class is $\kappa'_n = \left(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HT}^2} \right)^{\oplus l_n}(\kappa_n)$.*

Proof. First, we show the vanishing of cohomology $H^0(\wedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{\pi'_n})$ and $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X'_n})$. Since π'_n is a projective morphism of noetherian schemes and the sheaves $\wedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{\pi'_n}, \mathcal{O}_{X'_n}$ are flat over R_n , by [Har77, Theorem III12.8] there is a Zariski open neighborhood $U \subset \text{Spec } R_n$ of the closed point such that $\dim_{k(y)} H^0(\wedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{\pi'_n, y}) = 0$ and $\dim_{k(y)} H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X'_n, y}) = 0$ for all $y \in U$. Then we have $U = \text{Spec } R_n$, as the complement does not contain the only closed point of $\text{Spec } R_n$.

Next, we construct the thickening $X'_n \hookrightarrow X'_{n+1}$. Fix an affine open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X'_n . The element $\kappa'_n \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{\pi'_n})^{\oplus l_n}$ is represented by 2-cocycles $\{\theta_{ij}\}$ with respect to $\{U_i\}$. By [Har10, Propostion 3.6, Exercise 5.2] these cocycles define automorphisms of the trivial deformations $U_{ij} \times_{R_n} \text{Spec } R_{n+1}$ that can be glued to make a global deformation X'_{n+1} of X'_n . By definition, the relative Kodaira–Spencer class of this thickening is κ'_n . \square

3.4. Algebraization. Now, we have a system of deformations $\mathcal{P}_n \in \text{perf}(X_{R_n} \times_{R_n} X'_{R_n})$ of \mathcal{P}_0 with compatible isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}_{n+1} \otimes_{R_{n+1}}^L R_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$. By [Lie06, Proposition 3.6.1] there exists an effectivization, i.e., a perfect complex \mathcal{P}_R on $X_R \times_R X'_R$ with compatible isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}_R \otimes_{R_i}^L R_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$. Recall that in Section 2 to algebraize X_R we have used a filtered inductive system $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_T(T)$ -subalgebras of R whose colimit is R . For a sufficiently large index i , there are deformations X_{R_i}, X'_{R_i} of X_0, X'_0 over R_i whose pullback along the canonical homomorphism $R_i \rightarrow R$ are X_R, X'_R . So we have

$$X_R \times_R X'_R \cong \left(X_{R_i} \times_{R_i} X'_{R_i} \right) \times_{R_i} R.$$

By [Lie06, Proposition 2.2.1] there exists a perfect complex \mathcal{P}_{R_i} on $X_{R_i} \times_{R_i} X'_{R_i}$ with an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{R_i} \otimes_{R_i}^L R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_R$. Then the derived pullback $\mathcal{P}_S \in \text{perf}(X_S \times_S X'_S)$ along $R_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(S)$ is a deformation of \mathcal{P}_0 . Finally, we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a Fourier–Mukai kernel defining the derived equivalence of Calabi–Yau manifolds X_0 and X'_0 of dimension more than two. Then there exists a perfect complex \mathcal{P}_S*

on the fiber product $X_S \times_S X'_S$ of smooth projective versal deformations with an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S(S)}^L \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0$.

Remark 3.1. For fixed universal formal families (R, ξ) and (R, ξ') of X_0 and X'_0 , the deformations X'_n associated with the images $\kappa'_n = \left(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{\text{HT}^2}\right)^{\oplus l_n}(\kappa_n)$ determine another universal formal family $(R, \tilde{\xi}')$ of X'_0 . Since two universal formal families (R, ξ') and $(R, \tilde{\xi}')$ are isomorphic up to unique isomorphism, by the construction of our versal deformations we may algebrize X_R and X'_R simultaneously.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The smooth projective versal deformations X_S , X'_S , and their fiber product $X_S \times_S X'_S$ over S form the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_S \times_S X'_S & \\ q \swarrow & & \searrow p \\ X_S & & X'_S \end{array}$$

with the natural projections q and p . The relative integral functor

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}(-) = Rp_*\left(\mathcal{P}_S \otimes^L q^*(-)\right)$$

sends each object in $D^b(X_S)$ to $D^b(X'_S)$, where $\mathcal{P}_S \in \text{perf}(X_S \times_S X'_S)$ is a deformation of \mathcal{P}_0 over $(\mathcal{O}_S(S), \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_S(S)})$. In this section, after possible shrinking of the base scheme S , we show that the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}$ is an equivalence. One can also show similar results for formal deformations and their effectivizations.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X_0 and X'_0 be derived-equivalent Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two. Then there exists a nonsingular affine variety S over \mathbf{k} such that general fibers of smooth projective versal deformations X_S and X'_S over S are derived-equivalent. In particular, after possible shrinking of the base scheme S , the schemes X_S and X'_S are derived-equivalent.*

Proof. Due to the Grothendieck–Verdier duality the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}$ has the left adjoint, which we denote by $\Phi_{(\mathcal{P}_S)_L}$. For every object $E \in D^b(X_S)$ the counit morphism $\eta: \Phi_{(\mathcal{P}_S)_L} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S} \rightarrow \text{id}_{D^b(X_S)}$ gives a distinguished triangle

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi_{(\mathcal{P}_S)_L} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}(E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow F := \text{Cone}(\eta(E)).$$

We may assume that E and F are perfect complexes on X_S . Let $i_s: X_s \hookrightarrow X_S$, $i'_s: X'_s \hookrightarrow X'_S$, and $j_s = i_s \times i'_s: X_s \times X'_s \hookrightarrow X_S \times_S X'_S$ be the closed immersions for every closed point $s \in S$. By the derived flat base change we have

$$Li_s^* \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}(E) \cong \Phi_s(E_s),$$

where $E_s = Li_s^*(E)$ and $\Phi_s = \Phi_{j_s^* \mathcal{P}_S}$. We also denote by $(\Phi_s)_L$ the left adjoint of Φ_s with kernel $(j_s^* \mathcal{P}_S)_L$. Then (4.1) restricts to a distinguished triangle

$$(\Phi_s)_L \circ \Phi_s(E_s) \rightarrow E_s \rightarrow F_s.$$

Note that the restriction of the counit morphism is the counit morphism. Since $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ is an equivalence, the restriction of F to X_0 is quasi-isomorphic to 0. So the support $\text{supp}(F) = \bigcup \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^l(F)$ of the perfect complex F is a proper Zariski closed subset of X_S . Let $U \subset S$ be the complement of the image $\pi_S(\text{supp}(F))$. Since U contains the image of the closed fiber X_0 , it is a nonempty open subset of S and $\pi_S^{-1}(U)$ does not intersect with $\text{supp}(F)$. In particular, we have $F_s \cong 0$ for every closed point $s \in U$. If E is a strong generator of $D^b(X_S)$, this implies that $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_U}$ is fully faithful. Here \mathcal{P}_U denotes the restriction of \mathcal{P}_S to $q^{-1}\pi_S^{-1}(U)$.

Recall that a triangulated category is strongly finitely generated if there exist an object E and nonnegative integer k such that every object can be obtained from E by taking isomorphisms, direct summands, shifts, and not more than k times cones. Since X_S is noetherian, separated, and regular, $D^b(X_S)$ is strongly finitely generated by [BB03, Theorem 3.1.4]. Since $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}$ and $\Phi_{(\mathcal{P}_S)_L}$ commute with direct sums on $D^b(X_S)$ by [BB03, Corollary 3.3.4], we may assume that E has no nontrivial direct summands. Using the cohomology long exact sequence induced by a distinguished triangle, one inductively sees that on $\pi_S^{-1}(U)$ the cone of the counit morphism for any object is quasi-isomorphic to 0. Similarly, one finds a Zariski open subset $V \subset S$ such that $(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_V})_L$ is fully faithful. Finally, we obtain an equivalence $\Phi_s: D^b(X_s) \rightarrow D^b(X'_s)$ for every closed point $s \in U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, as a fully faithful functor which admits either fully faithful left or right adjoint is an equivalence. In particular, $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_S}$ is an equivalence after possible shrinking of the base scheme S . \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let X_0 and X'_0 be derived-equivalent Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two. Then all effectivizations X_R and X'_R of universal formal families ξ and ξ' projective over R are derived-equivalent.*

Proof. Replace X_S, X'_S , and S by X_R, X'_R , and R in the above proof. Then $\pi_R(\text{supp } F)$ is a Zariski closed subset of $\text{Spec } R$ which does not contain the only one closed point. This implies that $\text{supp } F$ is empty. It remains to show that the derived equivalence does not depend on the choice of X_R and X'_R . Given an effectivization $\pi_R: X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ of ξ , we have the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi \cong \hat{X}_R & \hookrightarrow & X_R \\ \hat{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_R \\ \text{Spf } R & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec } R \end{array}$$

of noetherian formal schemes, where X_R is considered as the formal completion along itself. Since π_R is projective and R is a complete local noetherian ring, by [GD61, Corollary III5.1.6] the functor

$$\text{coh}(X_R) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\hat{X}_R),$$

which sends each coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_R to its formal completion $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ along the closed fiber is an equivalence of abelian categories. So we have

$$D^b(X_R) \simeq D^b(\hat{X}_R).$$

In particular, all effectivizations of ξ are derived-equivalent. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let X_0 and X'_0 be derived-equivalent Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension more than two. Then for any formal deformation $\mathcal{X} = \hat{X}_{\mathbf{k}[[t]]}$ of X_0 there exists a formal deformation $\mathcal{X}' = \hat{X}'_{\mathbf{k}[[t]]}$ of X'_0 which is derived-equivalent to \mathcal{X} .*

Proof. From the argument in Section 3 and the above proof, it follows immediately. \square

5. PFAFFIAN–GRASSMANNIAN EQUIVALENCE

By Theorem 4.1 the derived equivalence of central fibers of versal deformations can be extended to that of general fibers. After studying deformations of the relevant Calabi–Yau 3-folds, we show that the Pfaffian–Grassmannian derived equivalence is induced by the derived equivalence of IMOU varieties.

5.1. Grassmannian side. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on a smooth projective variety Z over \mathbb{C} and let Y_0 be the zero scheme of a section $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{E})$ with $\text{codim } Y_0 = \text{rank } \mathcal{E}$ and the defining ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{Y_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z$. By [Weh84] a sufficient condition for every algebraic deformation of Y_0 to be obtained by varying the section in $H^0(Z, \mathcal{E})$ is the vanishing of cohomology

$$H^1(Z, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Y_0}), \quad H^1(Y_0, \mathcal{T}_Z|_{Y_0}).$$

Now, we will consider the case

$$Y_0 = \text{Gr}(2, V_7)_{17} := \text{Gr}(2, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}(W),$$

where V_7 is a 7-dimensional complex vector space and W is a 14-dimensional general quotient vector space of $\wedge^2 V_7 \twoheadrightarrow W$.

Lemma 5.1. *Every deformation of $Y_0 = \text{Gr}(2, V_7)_{17}$ can be obtained by varying the section s .*

Proof. It suffices to show the vanishing of cohomology

$$H^1(\text{Gr}(2, V_7), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(2, V_7)}(1)^{\oplus 7} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Y_0}), \quad H^1(Y_0, \mathcal{T}_{\text{Gr}(2, V_7)}|_{Y_0}).$$

By [Küc96, (1.4)] we have two spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(\text{Gr}(2, V_7), \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^{q+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(2, V_7)}(-1)) &\Rightarrow H^{p-q}(\text{Gr}(2, V_7), \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Y_0}), \quad q \geq 0, \\ H^p(\text{Gr}(2, V_7), \mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^q \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(2, V_7)}(-1)) &\Rightarrow H^{p-q}(\text{Gr}(2, V_7), \mathcal{F}|_{Y_0}) \end{aligned}$$

for any locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\text{Gr}(2, V_7)$. Then Borel–Bott–Weil theorem gives the desired result. \square

5.2. Pfaffian side. Let $H_{Y'_0}: \mathbf{Art}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be the functor of embedded deformations of a projective scheme $Y'_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ over \mathbb{C} . Then we have the forgetful functor $H_{Y'_0} \rightarrow F_{Y'_0}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{H_{Y'_0}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{F_{Y'_0}}$ be the induced map of tangent spaces, which is given by

$$H_{Y'_0}(\mathbb{C}[t]/t^2) \rightarrow F_{Y'_0}(\mathbb{C}[t]/t^2).$$

Now, we will consider the case

$$Y'_0 = \text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}(W^\perp) \cong \text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}^6,$$

where $W^\perp = \text{Coker}(W^\vee \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 V_7^\vee)$.

Lemma 5.2. *The induced map of tangent spaces*

$$\mathfrak{t}_{H_{Y'_0}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{F_{Y'_0}}$$

is surjective.

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(-7) \rightarrow 7\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(-4) \rightarrow 7\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y'_0} \rightarrow 0.$$

From the cohomology of (5.1) and the restriction of Euler sequence we obtain $H^1(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_{Y'_0}) \cong 0$. Since Y'_0 is nonsingular, the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{Y'_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_{Y'_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y'_0/\mathbb{P}^6} \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{T}_{Y'_0}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_{Y'_0}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{N}_{Y'_0/\mathbb{P}^6}) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1(\mathcal{T}_{Y'_0}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_{Y'_0}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{N}_{Y'_0/\mathbb{P}^6}) \rightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary map δ^0 coincides with $\mathfrak{t}_{H_{Y'_0}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{F_{Y'_0}}$ by [Har10, Proposition 20.2]. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Every deformation of $Y'_0 = \text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$ lifts to an embedded deformation in \mathbb{P}^6 .*

Proof. It is well-known that $H_{Y'_0}$ is pro-representable and unobstructed. We also know that $F_{Y'_0}$ is pro-representable. Then Lemma 5.2 allows us to apply [Har10, Exercise 15.8] and the forgetful functor $H_{Y'_0} \rightarrow F_{Y'_0}$ is strongly surjective. In particular, it is surjective. \square

5.3. Induced derived equivalence. Let X_0 be the complete intersection in G_2 -Grassmannian $\mathbf{G} = G_2/P$ associated with the crossed Dynkin diagram \Leftrightarrow defined by an equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{(1,1)} \cong G_2 \times_P V_{(1,1)}^P$, which is a flat degeneration of Y_0 [IIM19, Proposition 5.1]. Let X'_0 be the complete intersection in G_2 -Grassmannian $\mathbf{Q} = G_2/Q$ associated with the crossed Dynkin diagram \Rrightarrow defined by an equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)} \cong G_2 \times_Q V_{(1,1)}^Q$, which is a flat degeneration of Y'_0 [KK16, Theorem 7.1]. It is known that the Calabi–Yau 3-folds X_0 and X'_0 are derived-equivalent [Kuz18, Ued19].

Corollary 5.4. *The Calabi–Yau 3-folds $Y_0 = \text{Gr}(2, V_7)_{17}$ and $Y'_0 = \text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$ are derived-equivalent.*

Proof. By definition of Y_0 and Lemma 5.1 general fibers of a versal deformation of X_0 are isomorphic to $\text{Gr}(2, V_7)_{17}$. By [KK16, Corollary 6.3] and Lemma 5.3 general fibers of a versal deformation of X'_0 are isomorphic to $\text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$. Then $\text{Gr}(2, V_7)_{17}$ and $\text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$ are derived-equivalent by Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark 5.1. The derived-equivalent pair obtained here does not carry any information about W and W^\perp , while the original Pfaffian–Grassmannian equivalence connects $\text{Gr}(2, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}(W)$ with $\text{Pf}(4, V_7) \cap \mathbb{P}(W^\perp)$ for every W . We have proved that for a generic choice of W the Y_0 is derived-equivalent to the Y'_0 associated with some other W .

REFERENCES

- [ADS15] N. Addington, W. Donovan, and E. Segal, *The Pfaffian–Grassmannian equivalence revisited*, *Algebr. Geom.* 2(3), 332-364 (2015).
- [Art69a] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, *Publ. Math-Paris.* 36, 23-58 (1969).
- [Art69b] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli: I*, *Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira)*, University of Tokyo Press, 21-71 1969, ISBN:978-1-4008-7123-0.
- [BB03] A. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh, *Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry*, *Mosc. Math. J.* 3(1), 1-36 (2003).
- [BBP07] O. Ben-Bassat, J. Block, and T. Pantev, *Non-commutative tori and Fourier-Mukai duality*, *Compos. Math.* 143(2), 423-475 (2007).
- [BC09] L. Borisov and A. Căldăraru, *The Pfaffian–Grassmannian derived equivalence*, *J. Algebraic. Geom.* 18(2), 201-222 (2009).
- [BCP20] L. Borisov, A. Căldăraru, and A. Perry, *Intersections of two Grassmannians in \mathbb{P}^9* , *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* 2020(760), 133-162 (2020).
- [Că110] A. Căldăraru, *The Mukai pairing, I: a categorical approach*, *New York J. Math.* 16, 61-98 (2010).
- [GD61] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Elements de géométrie algébrique: III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérent, première partie.*, *Publ. Math-Paris.* 11, 5-167 (1961).
- [GD66] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Elements de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie.*, *Publ. Math-Paris.* 28, 5-255 (1966).
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977, ISBN: 0-387-90244-9.
- [Har10] R. Hartshorne, *Deformation theory*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 257, Springer-Verlag, 2010, ISBN: 978-1-4419-1596-2.
- [HMS09] D. Huybrechts, E. Macrì, and P. Stellari, *Derived equivalences of K3 surfaces and orientation*, *Duke Math. J.* 149(3), 461-507 (2009).
- [HT07] K. Hori and D. Tong, *Aspects of non-abelian gauge dynamics in two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$* , *J. High Energy Phys.* 79(5), 41pp (2007).
- [HT10] D. Huybrechts, R. Thomas, *Deformation-obstruction theory for complexes via Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes*. *Math. Ann.* 346, 545 (2010).

- [IIM19] D. Inoue, A. Ito, and M. Miura, *Complete intersection Calabi–Yau manifolds with respect to homogeneous vector bundles on Grassmannians*, *Math. Z.* 292(1-2), 677-703 (2019).
- [KK16] G. Kapustka and M. Kapustka, *Calabi–Yau threefolds in \mathbb{P}^6* , *Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl.* 195(2), 529-556 (2016).
- [KR19] M. Kapustka and M. Rampazzo, Marco, *Torelli problem for Calabi–Yau threefolds with GLSM description*, *Commun. Num. Theory. Phys.* 13(4), 725-761 (2019).
- [Küc96] O. Küchle, *Some properties of Fano manifolds that are zeros of sections in homogeneous vector bundles over Grassmannians*, *Pac. J. Math.* 175(1), 117-125 (1996).
- [Kuz] A. Kuznetsov, *Homological projective duality for Grassmannians of lines*, arXiv:math/0610957
- [Kuz18] A. Kuznetsov, *Derived equivalence of Ito–Miura–Okawa–Ueda Calabi–Yau 3-folds*, *J. Math. Soc. Jap.* 70(3), 1007-1013 (2018).
- [Lie06] M. Lieblich, *Moduli of complexes on a proper morphism*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 15, 175-206 (2006).
- [Low05] W. Lowen, *Obstruction theory for objects in abelian and derived categories*, *Comm. Algebra.* 33, 3195-3223 (2005).
- [LST13] A.C. López Martín, D. Sánchez Gómez, and C. Tejero Prieto, *Relative Fourier–Mukai transforms for Weierstrass fibrations, abelian schemes and Fano fibrations*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge.* 155(1), 129-153 (2013).
- [Mor21] H. Morimura, *Derived equivalences for the flops of type C^2 and A_G^4 via mutation of semiorthogonal decomposition*, *Algebras Represent. Theory*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-021-10036-y>
- [Rød00] A. Rødland, *The Pfaffian Calabi–Yau, its mirror, and their link to the Grassmannian $G(2, 7)$* , *Compos. Math.* 122(2), 135-149 (2000).
- [Sch68] M. Schlessinger, *Functors of Artin rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130, 208-222 (1968).
- [SP] The Stacks Project authors, *Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Ued19] K. Ueda, *G_2 -Grassmannians and derived equivalences*, *Manuscripta Math.* 159(3-4), 549-559 (2019).
- [Tod09] Y. Toda, *Deformations and Fourier–Mukai transforms*, *J. Differential. Geom.* 81(1), 197-224 (2009).
- [Weh84] J. Weher, *Deformation of varieties defined by sections in homogeneous vector bundles*, *Math. Ann.* 268(4), 519-532 (1984).