

Local Complete Intersections and Weierstrass Points

André Contiero & Sarah Mazzini *

Abstract

We provide a simple proof that the moduli space of complete integral Gorenstein curves with prescribed symmetric Weierstrass semigroup S is a projective space whenever the affine monomial curve associated to S is a local complete intersection and S is realizable. In addition, we also prove the same statement by assuming that the affine monomial curve is a complete intersection but not requiring that S is realizable.

1 Introduction

Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup of genus $g \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ its associated moduli space parameterizing smooth pointed curves defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} and whose Weierstrass semigroup at the marked point is equal to S . The purpose of this paper is to provide simple proofs for the next two results.

Theorem A. *Assuming $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = 0$, if the affine monomial curve $\mathcal{C}_S = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[S]$ is a local complete intersection and $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is nonempty, then we get a compactification*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-}),$$

whose closure is compounded by integral Gorenstein curves with Weierstrass semigroup S at a smooth point.

The vector space $\mathbf{T}^{1,-}$ stands for the negatively graded part of the first module of the cotangent complex associated to the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[S] = \bigoplus_{n \in S} t^n \mathbf{k}$, namely $\mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S]) = \mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]) \oplus \mathbf{T}^{1,+}(\mathbf{k}[S])$, which was introduced by Lichtenbaum and Schlessinger in [LS67] and whose graduation was given by Pinkham [Pi74].

It is well known that the affine monomial curve has a unique unibranch singular point at the origin $\mathbf{0}$, whose singularity degree is $g = g(S)$. Thus \mathcal{C}_S , or even its closure on a suitable projective space obtained by adding just a smooth point at the infinity, is a local complete intersection if, and only if, the local ring of its unique singularity is a complete intersection.

The hypothesis that $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is non empty can be removed by assuming that S is a complete intersection numerical semigroup as follows.

*During the preparation of this paper the first author was partially supported by ICTP-INdAM Research in Pairs Programme, Trieste, Italy and by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), Brazil, grant no. APQ-00798-18. The second author was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

Keywords and Phrases: Weierstrass points, Deformation of singularities, local complete intersections, Moduli of curves.

2020 MSC: 14H10, 14H55, 14B07, 14M10.

Theorem B. *If S is a complete intersection semigroup minimally generated by $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$ and the characteristic of \mathbf{k} is zero or not a divisor of any $\mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{a}_i$ with $j > i$, then $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ admits a compactification*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-}),$$

whose closure is compounded by integral complete intersection curves with Weierstrass semigroup S at a smooth point.

A numerical semigroup S is a complete intersection if the affine monomial curve associated \mathcal{C}_S is a complete intersection in \mathbb{A}^r , where r is the embedding dimension of \mathcal{C}_S , i.e. r is the smallest number of elements that are required to generate S . Equivalently, the semigroup algebra $\mathbf{k}[S]$ is a complete intersection when we consider it as the quotient of $\mathbf{k}[X_{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{a}_r}]$ by the kernel \mathbf{I} of the surjective map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}[X_{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{a}_r}] &\longrightarrow \mathbf{k}[S] \\ X_{\mathbf{a}_i} &\longmapsto t^{\mathbf{a}_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is just automatic to see that \mathbf{I} is the defining ideal of $\mathcal{C}_S \subset \mathbb{A}^r$.

It is well known that if $\mathbf{k}[S]$ is a complete intersection, then there are no obstructions to (formally) deform \mathcal{C}_S , i.e. the second cohomology module of the cotangent complex associated to \mathcal{C}_S is null, $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{C}_S}^2 = 0$, c.f. [LS67]. Hence one may conclude that $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is smooth. Furthermore, the base space \mathcal{T}^- of the miniversal deformation in negative degrees is an affine space \mathbb{A}^N . So, one may derive that a closure of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is also a projective space, whenever we apply Pinkham's construction of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ for smooth fibers $\mathcal{X}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^-$ of the miniversal deformation (see section 3.4 below for more details). The advantage of our techniques is that the proof of Theorem B presented here is rather explicit and simple, working for suitable fields of positive characteristic, also describing the curves that lies on the boundary, and does not requires to know *a priori* Pinkham's construction.

The proof of Theorem A is obtained as follows. Since $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is nonempty, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is at least $2g - 1 - \dim \mathbf{T}^{1,+}$, c.f. [CFSV21]. The associated canonical Gorenstein monomial curve in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} is a local complete intersection, then we are able to show that $\dim \mathbf{T}^{1,-} = 2g - \dim \mathbf{T}^{1,+}$. At this point we just have to apply the results due to Stoehr and Contiero–Stoehr [St93, CS13] assuring that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-})$.

The hypothesis that $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is nonempty is valid when we assume that S is a complete intersection semigroup, c.f. Schlessinger [Sch64] and Pinkham [Pi74]. Hence, the same proof described above works to prove the Theorem B when the characteristic of \mathbf{k} is zero. Proceeding in this way, we hide the beautiful fact that Stoehr's techniques are enough to prove Theorem B, providing a self-contained proof, where it does not required to know any bound for the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$, not even Pinkham's results on formal deformation in negative degrees. The main idea of the second proof is to apply a variant of Hauser's algorithm, c.f. [Hau83, Hau85] and [Stev13], deforming the affine monomial curve $\mathcal{C}_S \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ instead of the associated canonical Gorenstein monomial curve in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} , as required by Stoehr's original construction [St93]. All we have to do is to take the unfold of the $r - 1$ defining polynomials of the ideal of \mathcal{C}_S . Next, since \mathcal{C}_S is complete intersection, we are able to see that none relation between the unfolded coefficients arises from Syzygies, with exception of $\frac{1}{2}r(r + 1)$ normalizations to zero. The condition on the characteristic of the ground field arises from the normalizations. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S} = \mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is the \mathbf{k} -vector space spanned by the normalized unfolded coefficients. Finally, we just have to refer that V is in bijection with $\mathbf{T}^{1,-}$, c.f. [St93, Appendix]

By applying the Jacobian criterion, we obtain a naive and immediate known consequence of the above Theorem B.

Corollary 1.1 (Schlessinger [Sch64] and Pinkham [Pi74]). *If a numerical semigroup is a complete intersection, then it is realized as a Weierstrass semigroup of a smooth curve.*

And the following immediate consequence of Theorem A.

Corollary 1.2. *If \mathcal{C}_S is a local complete intersection and $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is nonempty, then the associated affine monomial curve can be negatively smoothed without any obstruction.*

In general, it is far from being easy to describe a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$, describing the curves that compound its boundary. The authors are aware of two main ways to consider geometrical features concerning on a closure of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ and properties of curves that lies on its boundary. In the following two subsections we cite some results concerning on these two ways. There are many high standing works that are not cited here, most of them are referred in the works cited below.

1.1 $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ as a locally closed subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$

By noting that the i -th gap defines a semi-continuous function, we can consider $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ as a locally closed subset of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$. We then may take its closure into Deligne–Mumford moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, whose border is compounded by suitable DM-stable curves.

On the on hand, we are addressed to the theory of limit linear series, in particular, limit of Weierstrass points, whose beginning is assigned to the remarkable work due to Eisenbud–Harris [EH87]. However, it is hard to describe all the possible limits of Weierstrass points. When its is done, many beautiful geometrical features arise, see for example a characterization of limit of special Weierstrass points on stable curves with two components by Cumino, Esteves and Gatto [CEG08].

More recently, N. Pflueger provided the firsts examples of classes of numerical semigroups S such that the locally closed subset $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ is not of pure dimension, see [Pfl20, Thm. 1.1]. More precisely, Pflueger show that if one take the numerical semigroup $S_{r,d} = \langle d+r-1, \dots, d \rangle$, with $d \geq 2r+1$, and assume that $r \geq 4$ and $(r-1)|(d-1)$, or $r=5$ and d is even, then $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^{S_{r,d}}$ has two irreducible components of different dimensions as well. Pflueger’s approach use a result due to Ciliberto on the Hilbert schemes of Castelnuovo curves [Cili87].

On the other hand, since the intersection theory of the moduli stack of stable curves is well established, one also may consider to describe classes coming from Weierstrass points in terms of the generating cycles of the Chow ring $A(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$. In this direction, there are two remarkable classical works by E. Arbarello, namely [Arba74, Arba78], where are studied the subloci $\overline{W}_{n,g} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ of curves admitting a Weierstrass point of weight not smaller than n . Among many others beautiful and high standing works describing cycles coming from Weierstrass points, for instance the remarkable [Di85], we would like to cite a particular one due to L. Gatto, c.f. [Ga01]. In this work, Gatto computes the class in the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of the locus of curves admitting a Weierstrass point of weight at least 2. It is noteworthy that Gatto’s computations relies on the notion of derivative of a relative Wronskian introduced in [GaPo99], extending this notion to suitable families of Deligne–Mumford stable curves, that what we consider an original and significant approach.

1.2 $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ coming from versal deformation

The general theory on versal deformation of singularities back dates to 60's and 70's with the remarkable works by M. Schlessinger [Sch64] and M. Artin [Ar76]. The connection between the spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ and the miniversal deformation in negative degrees was made by Pinkham in his PhD thesis [Pi74], using an affine monomial curve associated to the semigroup S . We shall briefly describe this connection in subsection 3.4 below, that is one of the main techniques that relies this paper.

Some works have been devoted to the study of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ coming from versal deformation. As can be read off from Pinkham's paper [Pi74], the miniversal deformation offers a way to realize a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ that, in suitable cases, the resulting closure of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ can be easy to describe, even computationally.

In [St93], Stoehr presents a rather explicit way to realize a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ as a variant of the so-called Hauser's algorithm, when S is assumed to be a suitable symmetric semigroup. Stoehr's construction relies on the unfold of the defining equations of the canonically embedded projective monomial curve associated to \mathcal{C}_S , extending Petri's analysis of the canonical ideal and then exploring appropriated syzygies coming from the defining equations of \mathcal{C}_S . It is obtained a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ as a closed subset of a weighted projective space by allowing irreducible Gorenstein curves at the boundary. Later on, Contiero-Stoher [CS13] and Contiero-Fontes [CF18] extend the Stoehr's construction to all symmetric semigroups, making it totally implementable as well. In section 3.4 below, we briefly recall this construction. We also refer to [Maz21], where the second authoress presents some algorithms to compute the defining equations of \mathcal{C}_S and their unfolding, the defining equations of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ and the equivariant tangent space $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{C}_S}^1$ of the versal deformation space, whenever S is symmetric.

Nakano [Nak08] computed $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ using Pinkham's approach by determining (computationally) the base space of the miniversal deformation in negative degrees of the monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S , whenever $g \leq 5$. Nakano shows that for $g \leq 5$, the base space is an irreducible rational variety, except for one case, namely, the semigroup $\langle 4, 6, 11, 13 \rangle$ when it has a structure of a projective quasi-cone over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. In this case the base space is also irreducible, but in negative degrees it contains two components, one smooth and other that contains a curve with a double point, see [CFSV21, Remark 2.9].

In a recent paper, Stevens [Stev22] extend the results of Nakano [Nak08] and explicitly computes the defining equations of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ for many cases of genus at most seven and determine the dimension for all semigroups of genus not bigger than seven. Steven's method is to use above cited Hauser's algorithm in a number of cases, and in one case Steven uses the projection method developed by De Jong and Van Straten [DJVS90].

2 Reviewing Weierstrass points

We recall that a numerical semigroup S is a subset of the nonnegative integers \mathbb{N} containing 0, closed under addition such that only a finitely number of elements are missing from S . The genus of S is the number of positive integers, called gaps of S , that are not in S ,

$$g(S) := \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus S) = \#\{1 = \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_g\},$$

and we easily see that the largest gap ℓ_g is not bigger than $2g - 1$.

Given an irreducible smooth pointed curve $(\mathcal{C}, P) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ of genus g , its associated Weierstrass semigroup S_P is the subset of all non-negative integers n such that

$$H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}((n-1)P)) \subsetneq H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(nP)), \quad (1)$$

i.e. $n \in S_P$ if and only if there is a rational function on \mathcal{C} whose pole divisor is exactly nP . The point $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is called a Weierstrass point if S_P is different from the ordinary semigroup $\{g+1, g+2, \dots\}$. The Riemann–Roch Theorem implies that the genus of the Weierstrass semigroup S_P is equal to the genus of the curve \mathcal{C} . It is well known that there exists only a finite number of Weierstrass points on a curve.

Since the i -th gap is an upper semicontinuous function in $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is a local closed subset in $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$. But is also well known that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ can be empty, equivalently, there are numerical semigroups that are not realizable as Weierstrass semigroups of a pointed smooth curve. Does not exist a purely arithmetical criterion for determine when a numerical semigroup is realizable. A necessary numerical condition is yet that one given by Buchweitz in [Buch76].

On the other hand, one can see that any numerical semigroup can be realized as a Weierstrass semigroup of a monomial curve. Taking $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$ a numerical semigroup, let $\mathbf{k}[S] := \bigoplus_{n \in S} \mathbf{k} t^n$ be the associated semigroup algebra. The affine monomial curve attached to S is

$$\mathcal{C}_S = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[S] \subset \mathbb{A}^r, \quad (2)$$

that in parametric terms is just $\mathcal{C}_S = \{(t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_r}) \in \mathbb{A}^r \mid t \in \mathbb{A}^1\}$. It is easy to produce the closure of \mathcal{C}_S in \mathbb{P}^r by adding just a smooth point P at the infinity, and so the Weierstrass semigroup at P is S . Here a Weierstrass point on an integral curve \mathcal{C} at a smooth point P is defined in the same way that when \mathcal{C} is smooth, i.e. for a smooth point P on \mathcal{C} , a positive integer n is a nongap if and only if equation (1) holds.

A criterion for determine if a numerical semigroup S is realizable was given by Pinkham in his PhD Thesis. Namely, a numerical semigroup S is realizable if and only if the affine monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S admits a negative smoothing, c.f. [Pi74, pg. 108]. Unfortunately is far from being easy of dealing with this criterion.

3 Gorenstein curves and subcanonical points

Throughout this section \mathcal{C} stands for a non-hyperelliptic Gorenstein curve with a smooth subcanonical point P , i.e. the associated Weierstrass semigroup S at P is symmetric. Recall that a numerical semigroup is symmetric if the Frobenius number ℓ_g of S is the biggest possible, $\ell_g = 2g - 1$. Equivalently,

$$\ell_{g-i} = 2g - 1 - n_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq g-1),$$

where $0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ are the nongaps of the semigroup. Since it is assumed S to be non-hyperelliptic, we may impose that $\ell_2 = 2$, equivalently, $n_{g-1} = 2g - 2$.

We also fix at once a system of generators, $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$. We are interesting on two suitable system of generators, the minimal one where r is the embedding dimension of S , and the canonical system of generators, i.e. $r = g - 1$. In this former case S is generated by its firsts g nongaps, $S = \langle n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{g-1} \rangle$.

As a general comment, if a curve \mathcal{C} is a local complete intersection, then \mathcal{C} is Gorenstein and non-hyperelliptic as well, because the dualizing sheaf of a local complete intersection always induces an embedding.

3.1 A P-hermitian basis

By virtue of Max Noether Theorem for non-hyperelliptic Gorenstein curves, c.f. [CS13], the maps

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^n) \quad (3)$$

are surjective for all $n \geq 1$, where $\omega \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}((2g-2)P)$ stands for the dualizing sheaf of \mathcal{C} . Hence each vector space $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^n)$ admits a so called P-hermitian basis, i.e. given $n \geq 1$, for each nongap $s \leq n(2g-2)$ we can choose a meromorphic function on \mathcal{C} of the form $\mathbf{x}_s^\alpha := x_{\mathbf{a}_0}^{\alpha_0} \dots x_{\mathbf{a}_r}^{\alpha_r}$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\infty, P} \mathbf{x}_s^\alpha = \sum \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i = s,$$

where each $x_{\mathbf{a}_i}$ is a regular function on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{P\}$ whose pole order at P is $\mathrm{ord}_{\infty, P}(x_{\mathbf{a}_i}) = \mathbf{a}_i$. We also may declare $\mathbf{a}_0 = 0$, so one can assume that $x_{\mathbf{a}_0} = 1$. Hence, each $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^n)$ admits a base formed by meromorphic functions on \mathcal{C} whose pole orders at P are pairwise distinct.

In order to have a uniqueness between the chosen basis elements \mathbf{x}_s^α , one can take them in a way that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} := (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ is a minimal element according to the lexicographical order

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i, \sum_{i=0}^r \mathbf{a}_i \alpha_i, -\alpha_0, -\alpha_{r-1}, \dots, -\alpha_1 \right). \quad (4)$$

Hence

$$H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^n) = \mathrm{Span} \bigcup_{s \leq n(2g-2)} \{\mathbf{x}_s^\alpha; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ is minimal}\}. \quad (5)$$

For each $n \geq 1$, Δ_n stands for the vector subspace of $\mathbf{k}[X_{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{a}_r}]$ spanned by the lifting of the above monomial basis of $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^n)$, namely

$$\Delta_n := \mathrm{Span} \left(\bigcup_s \{X_s^\alpha; s \leq n(2g-2) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ minimal}\} \right), \quad (6)$$

where $X_s^\alpha := X_{\mathbf{a}_0}^{\alpha_0} \dots X_{\mathbf{a}_r}^{\alpha_r}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{a}_i \alpha_i = s$. We define $\deg(X_{\mathbf{a}_i}) = \mathbf{a}_i$. It follows from the Riemann–Roch Theorem for singular curves that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_n = (2n-1)(g-1)$ and so

$$\dim \mathbf{k}[X_{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{a}_r}]_{\leq n} = \binom{n+g-1}{n} - (2n-1)(g-1), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{k}[X_{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{a}_r}]_{\leq n}$ stands for vector space over \mathbf{k} given by the isobaric polynomials of (weighted) degree not bigger than $n(2g-2)$.

Remark 3.1. *Considering the canonical system of generators for $S = \langle n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{g-1} \rangle$, the above process produces a base for Δ_n (respectively for $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^n)$) that is formed just by monomials on X_{n_i} (respectively on x_{n_i}) all of the same degree n , which does not happen when we consider the minimal system of generators. For instance, the base elements of Δ_2 , respectively Δ_3 , are*

given by quadratic forms $X_{a_s}X_{b_s}$ with $s \leq 4g - 4$, respectively by cubic forms $X_{u_\sigma}X_{v_\sigma}X_{w_\sigma}$ with $\sigma \leq 6g - 6$, according to the order fixed in (4). We also may conclude that

$$\dim \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]_n = \binom{n+g-1}{n} - (2n-1)(g-1),$$

where $\mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]_n$ stands for the usual vector space given by the forms of degree n .

3.2 The ideal of the canonically embedded \mathcal{C}

We start by identifying \mathcal{C} with its image under the canonical embedding given by its dualizing sheaf ω . In this way \mathcal{C} can be viewed as a curve of genus g and degree $2g - 2$ in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} . Let $I(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{j=2}^{\infty} I_j(\mathcal{C})$ be the homogeneous ideal of \mathcal{C} . By Riemann's Theorem, for each $j \geq 2$, the codimension of $I_j(\mathcal{C})$ in the vector space $\mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]_j$ is $(2j-1)(g-1) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_j$. Then we obtain

$$\mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]_j = \Delta_j \oplus I_j(\mathcal{C}), \quad \text{for each } j \geq 2.$$

We recall, see [OI91, Theorems 1.7 and 1.9], that each nongap $s \leq 4g - 4$ can be written in ν_s different ways as a sum of two non gaps, namely

$$s = a_{s1} + b_{s1} = \dots = a_{s\nu_s} + b_{s\nu_s},$$

where $a_{s1} < \dots < a_{s\nu_s}$ and $a_{si} \leq b_{si}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, \nu_s$. Analogously, there are ν_σ different ways to write each nongap $\sigma \leq 6g - 6$ as a sum of three nongaps,

$$\sigma = u_{\sigma 1} + v_{\sigma 1} + w_{\sigma 1} = \dots = u_{\sigma \nu_\sigma} + v_{\sigma \nu_\sigma} + w_{\sigma \nu_\sigma}.$$

Since $x_{a_{si}}x_{b_{si}} \in H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^2)$ and $x_{u_{\sigma j}}x_{v_{\sigma j}}x_{w_{\sigma j}} \in H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega^3)$, we may assume that $x_{a_{s1}}x_{b_{s1}} := x_{a_s}x_{b_s}$ and $x_{u_{\sigma 1}}x_{v_{\sigma 1}}x_{w_{\sigma 1}} := x_{u_\sigma}x_{v_\sigma}x_{w_\sigma}$ are basis elements of Δ_2 and Δ_3 , respectively, c.f. Remark 3.1. Hence for each $i = 2, \dots, \nu_s$ and each $j = 2, \dots, \nu_\sigma$ the elements $x_{a_{si}}x_{b_{si}}$ and $x_{u_{\sigma j}}x_{v_{\sigma j}}x_{w_{\sigma j}}$ can be written as a linear combination of basis elements, preserving the pole order at P , namely

$$x_{a_{si}}x_{b_{si}} = c_{sin}x_{a_n}x_{b_n} + \sum_{n < s} c_{sin}x_{a_n}x_{b_n} \quad (8)$$

$$x_{u_{\sigma j}}x_{v_{\sigma j}}x_{w_{\sigma j}} = d_{\sigma jm}x_{u_m}x_{v_m}x_{w_m} + \sum_{m < \sigma} d_{\sigma jm}x_{u_m}x_{v_m}x_{w_m} \quad (9)$$

where n and m run over the nongaps and $c_{sin}, d_{\sigma jm} \in \mathbf{k}$ are suitable constants. We also may assume that $c_{s1s} = d_{\sigma j\sigma} = 1$ because they must be different from zero. By construction, the $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-3)$ quadratic forms

$$F_{si} := X_{a_{si}}X_{b_{si}} - X_{a_s}X_{b_s} - \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} c_{sin}X_{a_n}X_{b_n} \in \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}] \quad (10)$$

and the $\binom{g+2}{3} - (5g-5)$ cubic forms

$$G_{\sigma j} = X_{a_{\sigma j}}X_{b_{\sigma j}}X_{c_{\sigma j}} - X_{a_\sigma}X_{b_\sigma}X_{c_\sigma} - \sum_{n=0}^{\sigma-1} d_{\sigma jn}X_{a_n}X_{b_n}X_{c_n} \in \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}], \quad (11)$$

vanish identically on the canonical curve \mathcal{C} , are linearly independent and because of their number, form a basis of the vector spaces $I_2(\mathcal{C})$ and $I_3(\mathcal{C})$, respectively.

Assuming that \mathcal{C} is non-hyperelliptic, nontrigonal and not isomorphic to a quintic plane curve, Petri's Analysis assures, at least when \mathcal{C} is smooth, that the ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadratic relations, while it assures that $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadratic and cubic forms, provided that \mathcal{C} is only non-hyperelliptic. It turns out that if the semigroup S is such that $3 < n_1 < g$ and $S \neq \langle 4, 5 \rangle$, then \mathcal{C} is non-trigonal and not isomorphic to a quintic plane curve. Hence the ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ is also generated by the quadratic forms (10), c.f. 3.4. In addition, if S is such that $n_1 = 3$ or $S = \langle 4, 5 \rangle$, then the ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by the quadratic (10) and suitable cubic forms picked up from (11), c.f. [CF18, Thm 3.7]. So Petri's analysis can also be carried out to non-hyperelliptic Gorenstein curves.

It is worth to note that each non-hyperelliptic numerical semigroup S can be realized as the Weierstrass semigroup of a Gorenstein (canonical) curve. Namely, taking the canonical monomial curve

$$\mathcal{C}^{(0)} := \{(a^{n_0} b^{\ell_{g-1}} : a^{n_1} b^{\ell_{g-1}-1} : \dots : a^{n_{g-1}} b^{\ell_1-1} \mid (a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}, \quad (12)$$

the Weierstrass semigroup at $P = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$ is equal to S , c.f. [St93, p.190]. Moreover, the ideal of $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ is generated by the $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-3)$ *folded quadratic forms* (see [CS13, Lemma 2.3])

$$F_{si}^{(0)} = X_{a_{si}} X_{b_{si}} - X_{a_s} X_{b_s}, \quad (13)$$

provided that $3 < n_1 < g$ and $S \neq \langle 4, 5 \rangle$, and by these folded quadratic forms plus suitable *folded cubic forms*

$$G_{\sigma j}^{(0)} = X_{a_{\sigma j}} X_{b_{\sigma j}} X_{c_{\sigma j}} - X_{a_\sigma} X_{b_{b_\sigma}} X_{c_\sigma}, \quad (14)$$

when $n_1 = 3$ or $S = \langle 4, 5 \rangle$, c.f. [CF18, Lemma 3.3].

3.3 Unfolding the defining equations

Given a monomial curve $\mathcal{C}_S \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ associated to a numerical semigroup $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$, not necessarily symmetric, a result due to Herzog [Her70] assures that the generators of the ideal $I(\mathcal{C}_S)$ can be chosen to be *isobaric forms* given by the difference of two monomials in variables the X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r} , namely

$$H_s^{(0)} = \mathbf{X}_s^\alpha - \mathbf{X}_s^\beta, \quad (15)$$

such that $\alpha_i \beta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\sum a_i \alpha_i = \sum a_i \beta_i = s$. Here we are following the notation introduced in section 3.1.

Now let us fix a non-hyperelliptic symmetric semigroup S generated by a_1, \dots, a_r . Due to Herzog, $I(\mathcal{C}_S)$ can be generated by isobaric forms H like in (15). Since S is symmetric, the space of global sections of each n -th power ω^n of the dualizing sheaf ω associated to \mathcal{C}_S , admits a P -hermitian base that is shifted to a monomial base of the vector subspace $\Delta_n \subset \mathbf{k}[X_{a_0}, X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}]$, eq. (6) of section 3.1.

For each isobaric defining form $H^{(0)} = \mathbf{X}_s^\alpha - \mathbf{X}_s^\beta \in I(\mathcal{C}_S)$ of weight s , let us take n be the smallest positive integer such that $s \leq n(2g-2)$. The *unfolding* of $H^{(0)}$ is the polynomial

$$H_s = H_s^{(0)} + \sum_{j < s} c_{sj} \mathbf{X}_j^\gamma |_{X_{a_0}=1} \in \mathbf{k}[\{c_{sj}\}] \otimes \mathbf{k}[X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}], \quad (16)$$

where each \mathbf{X}_j^γ is the unique basis element of Δ_n of weight j , $\mathbf{X}_j^\gamma|_{X_{a_0}=1}$ is the monomial obtained from \mathbf{X}_j^γ making $X_{a_0} = 1$ and c_{sj} are variables over the ground field \mathbf{k} . We attach weight $s - j$ to each c_{sj} . Since the weight of X_{a_0} is zero, the unfold H of $H^{(0)}$ is also an isobaric form of degree s in the variables $c_{sj}, X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}$.

If we assume that S is symmetric and non-hyperelliptic, then the canonical ideal of the monomial projective curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ can be generated by isobaric forms that are also homogeneous polynomials (quadratic and cubic) in the usual sense, as can be read from the previous section, forms (13) and (14). Then the *unfold* of its $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-3)$ defining quadratic equations $F_{si}^{(0)}$ are just

$$F_{si} = F_{si}^{(0)} - \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} c_{sin} X_{a_n} X_{b_n} \in \mathbf{k}[\{c_{sij}\}] \otimes \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}], \quad (17)$$

while the *unfold* of its cubic defining equations $G_{sj}^{(0)}$ are

$$G_{sj} = G_{sj}^{(0)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\sigma-1} d_{sjn} X_{a_n} X_{b_n} X_{c_n} \in \mathbf{k}[\{d_{sij}\}] \otimes \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]. \quad (18)$$

Note that the unfold of the quadratic and cubic defining equations of the canonical curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, are again quadratic and cubic forms in $\mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]$ and isobaric as well, provided the weight of c_{sin} and d_{sjn} are $s - n$ and $\sigma - n$, respectively.

It is clear that the unfold of the defining equations of a monomial curve is a perturbation of its defining ideal. In order to get a deformation preserving at least the dimension and the arithmetical genus over the fibers, these perturbations can not be chosen independently. In general they are related by relations coming from syzygies. That is exactly the contents of the next subsection.

3.4 A variant of Hauser's algorithm

In his PhD Thesis [Pi74], Pinkham constructs the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ by means of the so called equivariant (versal) deformation theory. In a nutshell, Pinkham starts by considering the versal deformation space of the affine monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S , say

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \cong \mathcal{C}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{t_0\} = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T} \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{T} = \text{Spec } A$ and A is a local, complete noetherian \mathbf{k} -algebra, c.f. [Ar76]. The \mathbb{G}_m -action on \mathcal{C}_S , given by $(\zeta, X_{a_i}) \mapsto \zeta^{a_i} X_{a_i}$, can be extended to the total and parameter spaces, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{T} . This induces a natural grading on the tangent space $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{C}_S}^1 \cong \mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S])$ to \mathcal{T} , that is the cotangent complex associated to \mathcal{C}_S . An algorithmic description of $\mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S])$, including its graduation, is in [Buch80].

We declare that a deformation has negative weight $-e$ if it decreases the weights of the defining equations of the curve and the corresponding deformation variable has then (positive) weight e . It is more than convenient to note that the unfolds of the defining equations of \mathcal{C}_S and $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ in formulas (16), (17) and (18) of the preceding subsection occur in negative degrees, once provided that they define a deformation of \mathcal{C}_S and $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ respectively.

Let \mathbf{I} be the ideal of A generated by the elements corresponding to the positive graded part $\mathbf{T}^{1,+}(\mathbf{k}[S])$. The space $\mathcal{T}^- := \text{Spec } A/\mathbf{I}$ is the subspace of \mathcal{T} in negative degrees and the restriction $\mathcal{X}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^-$ is the versal deformation in negative degrees,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \cong \mathcal{C}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}^- \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{t_0\} = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}^- = \text{Spec}(A/\mathbf{I}) \end{array}$$

and both \mathcal{X}^- and \mathcal{T}^- are defined by polynomials. In general, the total and the parameter spaces associated to an analytic singularity can not be defined by only polynomial equations, sometimes not having finite dimension, while this not happens when we are deforming quasi-homogeneous singularities.

Next Pinkham produces a fiberwise compactification $\overline{\mathcal{X}}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^-$ of the versal deformation in negative degrees $\mathcal{X}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^-$ without compactify the parameter and total space, avoiding technical problems coming from inverse limits. Doing this, Pinkham shows that each fibre of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^-$ is an integral curve in a weighted projective space with one point P at infinity whose associated Weierstrass semigroup is exactly S . All the fibres over a given \mathbb{G}_m orbit of \mathcal{T}^- are isomorphic, and two fibres are isomorphic if and only if they lie in the same orbit. This is proved in [Pi74] for smooth fibres and in general in the Appendix of [Lo84].

Now let us invert the above considerations starting with a possible singular integral curve \mathcal{C} , of arithmetic genus $g > 1$ defined over \mathbf{k} . Given a smooth point P of \mathcal{C} , let S be the Weierstrass semigroup of \mathcal{C} at P . Consider the line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(P)$ and form the ring of sections $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{C}, L^i)$. This leads to an embedding of $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R})$ in a weighted projective space, with coordinates X_{a_0}, \dots, X_{a_r} with $\deg(X_{a_0}) = 1$. The space $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ is the corresponding quasi-cone in affine space. Setting $X_{a_0} = 0$ defines the monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S , all other fibres are isomorphic to $\mathcal{C} \setminus P$. In particular, if \mathcal{C} is smooth, this construction defines a smoothing of \mathcal{C}_S .

Theorem 3.2 ([Pi74, Thm. 13.9]). *Let $\mathcal{X}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^-$ be the equivariant miniversal deformation in negative degrees of the monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S for a given semigroup S and denote by \mathcal{U}^- the open subset of \mathcal{T}^- given by the points with smooth fibers. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is isomorphic to the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S = (\mathcal{U}^-)/\mathbb{G}_m$ of \mathcal{U}^- by the \mathbb{G}_m -action.*

The remaining of this subsection is dedicated to make Pinkham's Theorem 3.2 explicit when S is assumed to be a non-hyperelliptic symmetric semigroup, presenting a construction made by Stoehr [St93] and Contiero–Stoehr [CS13]. This construction can be viewed as a variant of Hauser's algorithm to compute the versal deformation space of a singularity, see [Hau83, Hau85] and [Stev13].

We start by fixing a numerical symmetric semigroup $S = \langle n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{g-1} \rangle$ of genus $g > 3$ and such that

$$3 < n_1 < g \text{ and } S \neq \langle 4, 5 \rangle .$$

If \mathcal{C} is a Gorenstein curve with a smooth point whose associated Weierstrass semigroup is S , then \mathcal{C} can be identified with this image under the canonical embedding in such a way that the Weierstrass point P that realizes S is the point $P = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$ at the infinity. Hence by section 3.2, the canonical ideal $I(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]$ is generated by the $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-3)$ quadratic

forms

$$F_{si} = X_{a_{si}}X_{b_{si}} - X_{a_s}X_{b_s} - \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} c_{sin}X_{a_n}X_{b_n} \in \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}],$$

where c_{sij} are suitable constants in \mathbf{k} , the forms $F_{si}^{(0)} = X_{a_{si}}X_{b_{si}} - X_{a_s}X_{b_s}$ generate the ideal of the canonical monomial curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ defined in (12), and each $X_{a_j}X_{b_j}$ belongs to the fixed base Δ_2 in equation (6).

Now let us invert the considerations on the previous paragraph. Let

$$F_{si}^{(0)} = X_{a_{si}}X_{b_{si}} - X_{a_s}X_{b_s}$$

be the defining polynomials of the canonical curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ as in (13). Now let us take their unfolding

$$F_{si} = F_{si}^{(0)} - \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} c_{sin}X_{a_n}X_{b_n} \in \mathbf{k}[[c_{sij}]] \otimes \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}],$$

defined in section 3.3 equation (17). We want to determine the constants c_{sin} in order that the intersection of the $V(F_{si})$ in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} is a canonical Gorenstein curve of genus g whose Weierstrass semigroup at a smooth point P at the infinity is S .

Since the coordinates functions x_n introduced in section 3.1, where $n \in S$ and $n \leq 2g-2$, are not uniquely determined by their pole divisor nP , we may transform

$$X_{n_i} \mapsto X_{n_i} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_{n_i n_{i-j}} X_{n_{i-j}},$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, g-1$, and so we can normalize $\frac{1}{2}g(g-1)$ of the coefficients c_{sin} to be zero, see [St93, Proposition 3.1]. Due to these normalizations and the normalizations of the coefficients $c_{sin} = 1$ with $n = s$, the only freedom left to us is to transform $x_{n_i} \mapsto c^{n_i} x_{n_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-1$.

The first step to the explicit construction of a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ due to Contiero–Stoehr is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 (Syzygy Lemma [CS13]). *For each of the $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-5)$ quadratic binomials $F_{s'i'}^{(0)}$, different from $F_{n_i+2g-2,1}^{(0)}$, $i = 0, \dots, g-3$, there is a syzygy of the form*

$$X_{2g-2}F_{s'i'}^{(0)} + \sum_{n, si} \epsilon_{n, si}^{(s'i')} X_n F_{si}^{(0)} = 0 \quad (19)$$

where the coefficients $\epsilon_{n, si}^{s'i'}$ are integers equal to 1, -1 or 0 and where the sum is taken over the nongaps $n \leq 2g-2$ and the double indices si with $n + s = 2g-2 + s$.

The algorithmic construction of the closure of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ starts by replacing the initials binomials $F_{s'i'}^{(0)}$ and $F_{si}^{(0)}$ in equation (19) by the corresponding unfolded forms $F_{s'i'}$ and F_{si} displayed in equation (17) of section 3.3, obtaining a linear combination of cubic monomials of weight smaller than $s' + 2g - 2$. By virtue of [CS13, Lemma 2.4] and its proof, this linear combination of cubic monomials admits the following decomposition.

$$X_{2g-2}F_{s'i'} + \sum_{n, si} \epsilon_{n, si}^{(s'i')} X_n F_{si} = \sum_{n, si} \eta_{n, si}^{(s'i')} X_n F_{si} + R_{s'i'},$$

where the sum on the right hand side is taken over the nongaps $\mathfrak{n} \leq 2g - 2$ and the double indexes \mathfrak{si} with $\mathfrak{n} + s < s' + 2g - 2$, the coefficients $\eta_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{si}}^{(s'i')}$ are constants, and where $R_{s'i'}$ is a linear combination of cubic monomials of pairwise different weights smaller than $s' + 2g - 2$.

For each nongap $\mathfrak{m} < s' + 2g - 2$, let $\rho_{s'i'\mathfrak{m}}$ be the unique coefficient of $R_{s'i'}$ of weight \mathfrak{m} . It is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial expression of weight $s' + 2g - 2 - \mathfrak{m}$ in the coefficients $c_{\mathfrak{si}\mathfrak{n}}$.

All the objects that are required to construct the compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ were introduced above. The main results due to Stoher and Contiero-Stoehr are the following.

Theorem 3.4 (c.f. Thm 2.6 of [CS13]). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical symmetric semigroup of genus g satisfying $3 < \mathfrak{n}_1 < g$ and $S \neq \langle 4, 5 \rangle$. Then the $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-3)$ quadratic forms $F_{\mathfrak{si}} = F_{\mathfrak{si}}^{(0)} - \sum_{\mathfrak{n}=0}^{s-1} c_{\mathfrak{si}\mathfrak{n}} X_{\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{n}} X_{\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{n}}$ cut out a canonical integral Gorenstein curve in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} if and only if the coefficients $c_{\mathfrak{si}\mathfrak{n}}$ satisfy the quasi-homogeneous equations $\rho_{s'i'\mathfrak{m}} = 0$. In this case, the point $P = (0 : 0 : \dots : 1)$ is a smooth point of the canonical curve with Weierstrass semigroup S .*

Theorem 3.5 (c.f. Thm. 2.7 of [CS13]). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ a symmetric semigroup of genus $g := \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus S)$ satisfying $3 < \mathfrak{n}_1 < g$ and $S \neq \langle 4, 5 \rangle$. The isomorphism classes of the pointed complete integral Gorenstein curves with Weierstrass semigroup S correspond bijectively to the orbits of the $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbf{k})$ -action*

$$(c, \dots, c_{\mathfrak{si}\mathfrak{n}}, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, c^{s-\mathfrak{n}} c_{\mathfrak{si}\mathfrak{n}}, \dots)$$

on the affine quasi-cone of the vectors whose coordinates are the coefficients $c_{\mathfrak{si}\mathfrak{n}}$ of the normalized quadratic forms $F_{\mathfrak{si}}$ that satisfy the quasi-homogeneous equations $\sigma_{s'i'\mathfrak{m}} = 0$.

4 Proof of Theorem A

Let $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be an integral projective algebraic curve of degree d , defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} with a unique singular point P whose local ring is denoted by \mathcal{O} . As usual we set

$$\delta := \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\mathcal{O}} / \mathcal{O}$$

for the singularity degree of $P \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ stands for the normalization of \mathcal{O} . Consider the well known exact sequence involving the tangent and normal sheaves of $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, namely

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathbf{T}^1 can be taken as the sheaf associated to $\text{coker}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}})$, that in our context coincide with the first module of cotangent complex of \mathcal{C} , see [LS67, Lemma 3.1.2]. Taking Euler characteristic we get

$$\chi(\mathbf{T}^1) = \chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}) - \chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}}) + \chi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}). \quad (20)$$

The differential sheaf $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbf{k}}$ of \mathcal{C} may not be torsion-free, but the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a coherent fractional ideal sheaf, hence the Riemann–Roch theorem for singular curves assures that

$$\chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \deg(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}) + 1 - g,$$

where g is the arithmetical genus of \mathcal{C} . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the nonsingular model of \mathcal{C} and \tilde{g} its geometrical genus. Since P is the unique singular point of \mathcal{C} , we get $\tilde{g} = g - \delta$. The differential sheaf $\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}/\mathbf{k}}$ of the nonsingular model is locally free of rank 1 and has degree $2\tilde{g} - 2$. Define

$$\mu := \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})}{\text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{O})}.$$

In the above definition of μ we have to assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, otherwise the definition of μ is not so simple, see [Del73] for a more general definition of μ . Thus $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ is locally free of rank 1 of degree $2 - 2\tilde{g}$ and $\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \deg(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}) - \mu + \delta = 2 - 2g - \mu + 3\delta$. Hence

$$\chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}) = 3 - 3g - \mu + 3\delta.$$

Recalling that for any coherent torsion free sheaf \mathcal{F} over an reduced curve, we obtain $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})(1 - g) + \deg(\mathcal{F})$. Thus

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}) - \chi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}}) = g - 1 + \deg(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}) - \deg(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}}).$$

Since we have $\det(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}}) = \det(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathbf{n} + 1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$, we conclude

$$\deg(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n|_{\mathcal{C}}}) = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{n} + 1).$$

Assuming that \mathcal{C} is a local complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^n , it follows from a basic result in Hartshorne's book, [Har77, Thm. III.7.11], that $\omega_{\mathcal{C}} = \omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \det(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}})$, and so

$$\deg(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}) = 2g - 2 + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{n} + 1).$$

Recalling that \mathbf{T}^1 is a skyscraper sheaf supported on the unique singular point P , we obtain $\chi(\mathbf{T}^1) = h^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{T}^1)$.

Summarizing the above computations, assuming that \mathcal{C} is a local complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^n , the equation (20) turns out

$$h^0(\mathbf{T}^1) = 3\delta - \mu. \tag{21}$$

After this initial usual computations, we can specialize to the case where \mathcal{C} is a closure of a suitable monomial curve to get a simple proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\mathcal{C}_S = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[S]$ be a monomial curve that is a local complete intersection in \mathbb{A}^r . Then its unique singularity is Gorenstein and so S is a symmetric semigroup, see [Kunz]. Let us take \mathcal{C} be a closure of \mathcal{C}_S in \mathbb{P}^r by adding just a point at the infinity. Thus the dualizing sheaf of \mathcal{C} is invertible. Assuming that \mathcal{C} is not a hyperelliptic curve, equivalently $S \neq \langle 2, 2g-1 \rangle$, the dualizing sheaf of \mathcal{C} induces an embedding in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} . Hence we can assume that \mathcal{C} is a local complete intersection monomial curve in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} of genus g and degree $2g - 2$. A parametrization of \mathcal{C} is easily computed, namely

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(t^{n_0} s^{\ell_{g-1}-1} : t^{n_1} s^{\ell_{g-2}-1} : \dots : t^{n_{g-1}} s^{\ell_1-1}) \mid (s : t) \in \mathbb{P}^1\},$$

where $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{g-1} = 2g - 2$ form the canonical system of generators for S and $1 = \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_g = 2g - 1$ are its gaps. Since \mathcal{C} is rational with a unique unramified singular point $P = (1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$, the dimension of the space of global sections of the first module of the cotangent complex associated do \mathcal{C} is

$$h^0(\mathbf{T}^1) = \dim \mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S]) = 3g - \mu,$$

as can be read from equation (21). To compute the invariant μ for \mathcal{C}_S , we can interchanging the local ring $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_P$ by the \mathbf{k} -algebra $\mathbf{k}[S]$ of regular functions of the affine curve \mathcal{C}_S . Thus

$$\mu = \dim \frac{\text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}[t])}{\text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}[S])}.$$

For any numerical semigroup S , let $\text{End}(S) := \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{n} \in S, \forall \mathbf{n} \in S \setminus \{0\}\}$ be the translations of S , that is also a numerical semigroup containing S . It is not hard to see that $\text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}[S]) = \mathbf{k}[\text{End}(S)]t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and so $\mu = g + 1 - \lambda(S)$, where $\lambda(S)$ is just $\#(\text{End}(S) \setminus S)$. Now, it is easy to check that S is symmetric if, and only if, $\text{End}(S) = S \cup \{\ell_g\}$, i.e. if and only if $\lambda(S) = 1$. By virtue of (21), we obtain

$$\dim \mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S]) = 2g.$$

The curve \mathcal{C}_S has a natural action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m := \mathbf{k}^*$. So the first module of the cotangent complex $\mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S])$ is a graded torsion $\mathbf{k}[S]$ -module, and then it splits into its negative and positive graded parts, $\mathbf{T}^1(\mathbf{k}[S]) = \mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]) \oplus \mathbf{T}^{1,+}(\mathbf{k}[S])$, hence we may write

$$\dim \mathbf{T}^{1,+}(\mathbf{k}[S]) = 2g - \dim \mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]).$$

Finally, assuming that $S \neq \langle 2, g-1 \rangle$ and deforming the canonical monomial curve \mathcal{C} above, Stoehr in [St93, Thm. 3.2, Thm. 3.4 and Appendix] and later on generalized by Contiero and Fontes in [CF18, Thm. 3.8], obtained a rather explicit construction of a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ by allowing Gorenstein curves at its boundary. In addition, this compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S}$ was realized as a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]))$. On the other hand, Contiero et. al. proved that $\dim \mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S \geq 2g - 2 + \lambda(S) - \dim \mathbf{T}^{1,+}(\mathbf{k}[S])$, whenever $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is nonempty, c.f. [CFSV21, Thm. 2.4]. By our assumptions, $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ is nonempty, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]))$ of dimension at least $\dim \mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]) - 1$, hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]))$. \square

5 Proof of Theorem B

5.1 A shrinking map

Let S be a non-hyperelliptic symmetric semigroup and $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_{g-1}$ its canonical system of generators. Let us also take $a_1 < \dots < a_r$ a minimal system of generators of S . Considering the polynomial rings $\mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]$ and $\mathbf{k}[X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}]$, we attach to the variable X_k the degree $\deg(X_k) = k$ and then $\deg(X_k^\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \deg(X_k)$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi : \mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}] &\longrightarrow \mathbf{k}[X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}] \\ X_{n_0} &\longmapsto 1 \\ X_{n_i} &\longmapsto \mathbf{X}_{n_i}^\alpha \end{aligned},$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{n_i}^\alpha$ is the monomial in the variables X_{a_i} introduced in above section 3.1, is a graded homomorphism between $\mathbf{k}[X_{n_0}, \dots, X_{n_{g-1}}]$ and $\mathbf{k}[X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}]$. Henceforward, the *shrinking map* stands to this homomorphism Π .

5.2 Proof of Theorem B

Let S be a numerical symmetric non-hyperelliptic semigroup of genus $g > 1$. Then S is realized as the Weierstrass semigroup of the canonical monomial curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ at the point $P = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$. Considering the affine open chart $X_0 = 1$, the parametrization of $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}|_{X_0=1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}^{(0)}|_{X_0=1} = \{(t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, \dots, t^{n_{g-1}}) \mid t \in \mathbb{A}^1\} \subset \mathbb{A}^{g-1}$$

On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ be the minimal system of generators of S and consider the affine monomial curve $\mathcal{C}_S = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[S]$. Then $\mathcal{C}_S \simeq \mathcal{C}^{(0)}|_{X_0=1} = \mathcal{C}^{(0)} \setminus P$ because their coordinate rings are both $\mathbf{k}[S]$. As the projectivization of $\mathcal{C}^{(0)} \setminus P$ and \mathcal{C}_S consists by adding a single point $P = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$ and $Q = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$, respectively, at infinity, we conclude that the projectivization of this two curves are also isomorphic. Since we do not lose information on the coefficients of the unfolded quadratic forms that generates the monomial curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$, we can adapt Stoehr's construction to provided a compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S$ using the monomial affine curve \mathcal{C}_S instead of the canonical one $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$. To do this we shrink all the forms that are involved in Stoehr's construction, in particular the P -hermitian basis and the and the unfolded quadratic forms.

Let us fix an algebraic closed field \mathbf{k} of arbitrary characteristic. In order to prove Theorem B, we first shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathcal{C} a non-hyperelliptic Gorenstein curve defined over \mathbf{k} and S a complete intersection numerical semigroup. Then \mathcal{C} realizes S at a smooth point $P \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if there is an embedding of \mathcal{C} into \mathbb{P}^r such that the defining equations of $\mathcal{C} \setminus P$ are given by the unfolding of the $r - 1$ defining equations of $\mathcal{C}_S \subseteq \mathbb{A}^r$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a complete integral Gorenstein curve and P be a smooth point on \mathcal{C} whose Weierstrass semigroup is equal to S . Let us take the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(P)$ and its associated ring of sections $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}^i)$. Since we are fixing a minimal system of generators for S , the ring \mathcal{R} induces an embedding of $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R})$ in a weighted projective space, with coordinates Y_0, \dots, Y_r with $\deg Y_0 = 0$. The space $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ is the corresponding quasi-cone in affine space. Setting $Y_0 = 0$ defines the monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S and all other fibres are isomorphic to $\mathcal{C} \setminus P$. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \setminus P$ is obtained by a deformation of \mathcal{C}_S , as predicted by Pinkham's construction. It is known that complete intersections are stable under flat deformations, see [Sch64]. Hence $\mathcal{C} \setminus P$ is a complete intersection in \mathbb{A}^r that is obtained by a flat deformation of $\mathcal{C}_S \subseteq \mathbb{A}^r$. Since every deformation of \mathcal{C}_S that realizes S at an added point at the infinity is obtained by unfolding the defining equations of \mathcal{C}_S , c.f. Theorem 3.4, we are done.

Conversely, let \mathcal{D} be an affine curve in \mathbb{A}^r that is given by the unfold of the regular sequence $G_{kj}^{(0)} \in \mathbf{k}[X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}]$ that generates the ideal of \mathcal{C}_S , where each $G_{kj}^{(0)}$ is an isobaric polynomial of degree k . So the ideal of \mathcal{D} is given by $G_{kj} = G_{kj}^{(0)} + \sum_i e_i \beta_i$, with $\beta_i \in \Gamma_2$, where Γ_2 is the shrink P -hermitian basis of $H^0(\mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \omega^2)$ fixed in (5), and $e_i \in \mathbf{k}$.

Following Stoehr's construction, a curve is in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}^S$ if and only if satisfies some quasi-homogeneous equations $\rho_{s'i'n} = 0$ come from suitable syzygies of the generators $F_{si}^{(0)}$ of the affine monomial curve $\mathcal{C}^{(0)}$, c.f. Theorem 3.4. Now, the Syzygy Lemma 19 assures the existence of $\frac{1}{2}(g-2)(g-5)$ syzygies of the form

$$S_{s'i'} := X_{2g-2} F_{s'i'}^{(0)} + \sum_{n, si} \epsilon_{n, si}^{(s'i')} X_n F_{si}^{(0)} = 0.$$

Taking the image of theses syzygies under the shrink map Π , we get

$$\Pi(S_{s'i'}) = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} M_{s'i'j} G_{kj}^{(0)} = 0,$$

where $M_{s'i'j} \in \mathbf{k}[X_{a_1}, \dots, X_{a_r}]$ are isobaric polynomials of weight $s' - k$. Using Koszul complex we observe that the relations between the generators $G_{ki}^{(0)}$, $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$, must be trivial, because

\mathcal{C}_S is a complete intersection. Thus when we exchange $G_{kj}^{(0)}$ by the unfold G_{kj} , the relations $\rho_{s^i} \nu_m = 0$ between the coefficients given by 3.4 are trivially satisfied. Then the projectivized unfolds of the forms that generates \mathcal{D} cut out an integral curve in \mathbb{P}^r with Weierstrass semigroup S in $Q(0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. By virtue of the above Theorem 5.1, an integral curve is in $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S}$ if and only if it is given by the unfolding of the regular sequence of the completed intersection monomial curve \mathcal{C}_S . Then the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S}$ is just determined by the coefficients of the unfolded forms. As the coordinate functions $x_n, n \in S$ are not uniquely determined by their pole divisors, because they are chosen as functions that have pole order n at the point, we can make the following changes:

$$X_n \mapsto X_n + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} d_{nm} X_m,$$

where the coefficients d_{nm} are constant. As there are r minimal generators in S we can normalize $\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)$ coefficients with weights determined in the unfolds of the generator polynomials of the complete intersection affine curve, provided the characteristic of the ground field \mathbf{k} is zero or not a divisor of any $a_j - a_i$ with $j > i$. After this normalization the only change we can make is to transform $x_{a_i} \mapsto c^{a_i} x_{a_i}, i = 1, \dots, r-1$, for some $c \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^*$. According to [St93, Appendix] the coefficients of the normalized unfolded polynomials form a basis for the negatively-graded part of the first cohomology module of the cotangent complex $\mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S])$. Hence we conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^S} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{T}^{1,-}(\mathbf{k}[S]))$. \square

References

- [Arba74] E. Arbarello, Weierstrass points and moduli of curves, *Compositio Mathematica* 29 (1974) 325–342.
- [Arba78] E. Arbarello, On subvarieties of the moduli space of curves of genus g defined in terms of Weierstrass points, *Lincoln-Memorie Sc. fisiche* 15 (1978) 1–20.
- [Ar76] M. Artin, ‘Lectures on deformations of singularities’, Notes by C. S. Seshadri, Allen Tannenbaum., *Lectures on Mathematics and Physics* 54, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay (1976).
- [Buch76] R.-O. Buchweitz, Über deformationen monomialer kurvensingularitäten und Weierstrasspunkte auf Riemannschen flächen, *Thesis, Hannover* (1976).
- [Buch80] R.-O. Buchweitz, On deformations of monomial curves, *Lecture notes in Mathematics* 777 (1980) 205–220.
- [Bull14] E. M. Bullock, Irreducibility and stable rationality of the loci of curves of genus at most six with a marked Weierstrass point, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (2014) 1121–1132.
- [Cili87] Ciro Ciliberto, On the Hilbert scheme of curves of maximal genus in a projective space, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 194 (1987) 351–363.

- [CF18] A. Contiero and A. Fontes, On the locus of curves with an odd subcanonical marked point, *arXiv preprint*, 2018, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.09797>.
- [CFSV21] A. Contiero, A. Fontes, J. Stevens & J. E. Quispe Vargas, On non-negatively graded Weierstrass points, *arXiv preprint*, 2021, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.07721>.
- [CS13] A. Contiero, K-O. Stohr, Upper bounds for the dimension of moduli spaces of curves with symmetric Weierstrass semigroups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 88 (2013) 580–598.
- [CEG08] C. Cumino, E. Esteves and L. Gatto, Limits of Special Weierstrass Points, *International Mathematics Research Papers* 2008.
- [Del73] P. Deligne, Intersections sur les surfaces régulières (SGA 7, Exposé X), *Lectures notes in Mathematics* 340 (1973) 1–37.
- [Di85] E. Diaz, Exceptional Weierstrass points and the divisor on moduli space that they define, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 56, 1985.
- [DJVS90] T. de Jong and D. van Straten, Deformations of the normalization of hyper-surfaces. *Math. Ann.* 288 (1990) 527–547.
- [EH87] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Existence, decomposition, and limits of certain Weierstrass points, *Invent. Math.* 87 (1987) 495–515.
- [GaPo99] L. Gatto and F. Ponza, Derivatives of Wronskians with applications to families of special Weierstrass points, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 351 (1999) 2233–2255.
- [Ga01] L. Gatto, On the closure in \overline{M}_g of smooth curves having a special Weierstrass point, *Math. Scand.* 88 (2001) 41–71.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, *Springer Verlag*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 52 (1977).
- [Hau83] H. Hauser, ‘An algorithm of construction of the semiuniversal deformation of an isolated singularity’, *Singularities, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 40. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Part 1 (1983) 567–573.
- [Hau85] H. Hauser ‘La construction de la déformation semi-universelle d’un germe de variété analytique complexe’, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 18 (1985) 1–56.
- [Her70] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings. *Manuscripta Math* 3 (1970) 175–193.
- [Kunz] E. Kunz, The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25 (1970) 748–751.
- [LS67] S. Lichtenbaum and M. Schlessinger, The Cotangent Complex of a Morphism, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 128 (1967) 41–70.
- [Lo84] E. Looijenga, ‘The smoothing components of a triangle singularity. II’, *Math. Ann.* 269 (1984) 357–387.

- [Maz21] S. Mazzini, Intersecções completas e pontos de Weierstrass, PhD Thesis, 2021. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil.
- [Nak08] T. Nakano, On the moduli space of pointed algebraic curves of low genus. II. Rationality, *Tokyo J. Math.* 31 (2008), 147–160.
- [Ol91] G. Oliveira, Weierstrass semigroups and the canonical ideal of nontrigonal curves, *Manuscripta Math.* 71 (1991) 431–450.
- [Pfl20] N. Pflueger, Weierstrass semigroups on Castelnuovo curves, *J. Algebra* 582 (2021) 117–135.
- [Pi74] H. Pinkham, Deformations of algebraic varieties with \mathbb{G}_m -action, *Astérisque* 20 (1974).
- [Sch64] M. Schlessinger, Infinitesimal deformations of singularities, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Harvard Univ., Cambridge, Mass., 1964.
- [Stev13] J. Stevens, Computing Versal Deformations of Singularities with Hauser’s Algorithm, *Deformations of Surface Singularities. Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, vol 23. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2013).
- [Stev22] J. Stevens, The Dimension of the Moduli Space of Pointed Algebraic Curves of Low Genus, arXiv preprint, 2022, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.09687>.
- [St93] K-O. Stöhr, On the moduli spaces of Gorenstein curves with symmetric Weierstrass semigroups, *J. reine angew. Math.* 441 (1993) 189–213.

André Contiero
contiero@ufmg.br
 Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

Sarah Mazzini
sarahfmazzini@gmail.com
 Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil