Binary neutron star mergers as a probe of quark-hadron crossover equations of state
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It is anticipated that the gravitational radiation detected in future gravitational wave (GW) detectors from binary neutron star (NS) mergers can probe the high density equation of state (EOS) at supranuclear densities (see Refs. 1–2 for general reviews). Indeed, the first detection of gravitational waves (GWs) from the binary NS merger GW170817 by the LIGO-Virgo Collaboration 3–4 has provided fundamental new insights into the nature of dense neutron-star matter 5. Also, the simultaneous measurements of the mass and radius of the NSs by the NICER mission give strong constraints on the EOS 6–8.

The tidal effects signaled in premerger stage is detectable in the ground based GW detectors 9–11. In the LIGO observations, the effective tidal deformability (Λ) of a NS of mass $M = 1.4 \ M_{\odot}$ was initially deduced to be $\Lambda_{1,4} < 800$ at a 90% confidence level 3. This resulted in a radius constraint for a NS with a mass of $M = 1.4 \ M_{\odot}$ to be $R_{1,4} < 13.6 \ km$. Subsequently, this was further constrained to be $R_{1,4} = 11.9 \pm 1.4 \ km$ 3. The deduced primary constraints on the tidal deformability enables a further constraint on the maximum NS mass and the lower limit of the tidal deformability 12–18. Especially, incorporating the perturbative QCD for densities $> 50 \ n_0$ 19, where $n_0$ is the nuclear saturation density, the radius of a maximum-mass NS $R_{\text{max}} < 13.6 \ km$ and $\Lambda_{1,4} > 120$ have been reported 12. It has also been shown that EOSs with a phase transition can give $8.53 \ km < R_{1,4} < 13.74 \ km$ at the 2 $\sigma$ level and $\Lambda_{1,4} > 35.5$ at a 3 $\sigma$ level.

A softening of the EOS during a phase transition can lead to a variety of dynamical collapse patterns (see Fig. 1 of Ref. 20). Also, a softened EoS for the postmerger remnant can produce a noticeable shift of the peak frequency ($f_{\text{peak}}$) in the power spectral density (PSD) 21–22. This shift violates the universal relation noted for pure hadronic EOSs 23. In general, the $f_{\text{peak}}$ from the merger remnants composed of hybrid type of EOSs do not follow the empirical universal relations 24–28. Hence, observing such a shift could be a decisive indication of the existence of quark-matter or other exotic matter at high densities. However, this conclusion is quite model dependent and some studies have not indicated any significant shift of $f_{\text{max}}$ 29–30. This shift appears to depend on how long the merger remnants survive 20–21.32.

As the density increases it is generally believed that a critical point appears above which a weak first order chiral transition can occur 33. Nevertheless, the simplest treatment of the transition from hadronic matter to quark-gluon plasma (QGP) is that of a continuous crossover transition without the discontinuous jump associated with a first order transition. Considering the observations indicating the existence of NSs with high mass (> $2 \ M_{\odot}$) 34–35 and the relatively small maximum radius bounds from the LIGO observations, the EOSs that transition from soft to stiff and are consistent with these observations are very interesting for simulations of binary NS mergers.

In this letter, we utilize such EOSs for our numerical simulations of binary NS mergers. The particular EOS we employ is the quark-hadron crossover (QHC) EOS 36, henceforth referred to as the QHC19 EOSs. We study the general dynamics of the mergers and extract the premerger and postmerger characteristics such as the GW frequencies, the tidal deformability, the maximum chirp frequency $f_{\text{max}}$ and PSD frequency $f_{\text{peak}}$. Our goal is to investigate the possibility to identify unique observational signatures of nature of the QHC EOSs from the binary NS mergers.

Equation of state – The QHC19 EOSs are described in Ref. 36. The low density hadronic regime $< 2 \ n_0$ of the QHC is described by the Togashi EOS 37–38, which is an extended version of the APR 39, and therefore, a very soft hadronic EOS. The QHC19 EOS accounts for the nonpertur-
TABLE I: Piecewise polytropic parameterization for QHC19 EOSs. The $\rho_5$ and $\rho_6$ densities are given in $10^{14}$ g/cm$^3$ and $10^{15}$ g/cm$^3$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EOS</th>
<th>$\Gamma_3$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_6$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_7$</th>
<th>$\rho_5$</th>
<th>$\rho_6$</th>
<th>residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19B</td>
<td>2.179</td>
<td>3.340</td>
<td>2.230</td>
<td>2.233</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19C</td>
<td>2.382</td>
<td>3.479</td>
<td>2.191</td>
<td>2.699</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19D</td>
<td>2.646</td>
<td>3.743</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>3.945</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ematic QCD effects at high densities ($> 5 \rho_0$) in the context of the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model (see the review in 40–42). Among the four coupling constants, the scalar coupling ($G$), the coefficient of the Kobayashi-Maskawa–t Hooft vertex ($K$), the coupling for universal quark repulsion ($g_s$), and the diquark strength ($H$), only the two ($g_v$, $H$) are used to construct model. As these couplings increase, the matter pressure increases 36, 43. For the present work we utilize three parameter sets given in 36, QHC19B ($g_v$, $H$) = (0.8, 1.49), QHC19C ($g_v$, $H$) = (1.0, 1.55), and QHC19D ($g_v$, $H$) = (1.2, 1.61)] of QHC19 EOSs 36. The pressure in the crossover regime ($2 \rho_0 < n < 5 \rho_0$) is described by fifth-order polynomials of the baryonic chemical potential.

We implement the QHC19 EOSs using piecewise-polytropic fits as described by Read et. al. 44 for our numerical work. We utilize 7 polytropic EOS pieces and describe the crust EOS using 4 fixed pieces among the total 7 pieces. However, unlike the original work 44, the boundary locations of the highest two densities are not fixed, leaving three high density $\Gamma_i$’s undetermined. This allows us to obtain reduced residual values (< 0.02) for the QHC EOS fits. Our fitted parameters ($\Gamma_3$, $\Gamma_6$, $\Gamma_7$, $\rho_5$, $\rho_6$) and the residuals are summarized in Table I. Fig. 1 shows the pressure vs. energy density plots for the obtained piecewise-polytropic QHC EOSs (ppQHCs) along with the purely hadronic SLy 45 and GNH3 46 EOSs for reference of soft and the stiff hadronic EOSs, respectively.

The QHC EOSs exhibit two defining features. The first is the transition from a soft to a stiff EOS with increasing energy density, and the other is the quark matter phase becomes more stiff as the coupling strengths increase (from B to C to D). However, since the original QHC EOSs are interpolated using fifth-order polynomials at the crossover densities, it is not possible to describe the crossover region with a single or a few polytropic pieces as in 44. Accordingly, the Mass-Radius curves (Fig. 1 inset) show a deviation up to a few percent in maximum NS mass $M_{\text{max}}$ and radius of a NS of maximum mass $R_{\text{max}}$ from those of the original QHCs. The fits have slightly soft pressures near the nuclear saturation densities. Hence, the M-R curves turn around at slightly smaller radii and the maximum mass is a little bit smaller than that based upon the original QHC19s. Nevertheless, the PP description captures the characteristics of the original QHC EOSs sufficiently well. Hence, we use them to study the NS binary composed of the crossover-like EOS matter. The tidal deformability of the ppQHCs satisfies the observational bound from LIGO ($\Lambda < 800$ for $M_0 = 1.4 M_\odot$) 3, where $M_0$ is the gravitational mass at infinite separation of the binary component) and it is similar to that of soft hadron EOSs such as the SLy and APR4.

**Code description** – We evolve our merger simulations with the use of the numerical relativity software platform, the Einstein Toolkit (ET) 47. This is done in full general relativity in three spatial dimensions under the BSSN-NOK formalism 48–52. We use the GRHydro code 53,54 for the general relativistic hydrodynamics based on the Valencia formulation 55, 57. We use the HLLE Riemann solver 58, and the WENO-Z 59 is used for the fifth-order reconstruction method. Initial data for the NS binary is generated using LORENE 60,61 for irrotational binaries 62,63. The thorn Carpet 64,65 is used for the adaptive mesh refinement with 6 mesh refinement levels and a minimum grid size of 0.3125 in Cactus unit ($\approx 367$ m) for most of the models. The EOSs are supplemented by a thermal pressure component implemented in GRHydro with a constant $\Gamma_{\text{th}} = 1.8$ 53.

The GWs emitted during the evolution are captured using the Newman-Penrose formalism in the form of a multipole expansion of the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics of the Weyl scalar $\Psi_4^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi, t) = h_+^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi, t) + i h_\times^{(l,m)}(\theta, \phi, t)$. This is then summed over $(l, m)$ modes and integrated twice over time to calculate the $h_+(\theta, \phi, t)$ and $h_\times(\theta, \phi, t)$. The GWs are extracted close to the simulation boundary at 700 Cactus units ($\approx 1033$ km).

The initial models we evolve in this work have baryonic masses of $M_B = 1.45$, 1.50, 1.55 $M_\odot$ with an initial coordinate separation between centers of 45 km. The corresponding gravitational masses at infinite separation ($M_0$) and the ADM masses ($M_{\text{ADM}}$) are summarized in Table II. Some complementary models are also simulated to confirm our results or
to confirm successful runs having no unavoidable numerical artifacts. For the ppQHC19D, we only ran a single case with \( M_B = 1.55 \, M_\odot \). This was sufficient to confirm the expected nature based on the results of the QHC19B and QHC19C. As reference hadronic models for a soft and the stiff EOS, we have chosen the SLy and GNH3, respectively.

**Results** – We summarize our simulation parameters and outputs in Table II. The inspiral time is defined as the time at which the maximum density reaches its first minimum. In general this occurs \( \sim 0.5 \) ms ahead of the time at which the maximum GW strain occurs. The \( t_{BH} \) is the time for a black hole to form from the merger remnant. The time interval \( t_{BH} - t_{\text{inspiral}} \) defines the duration of the postmerger period of the binaries. Except for the three cases of ppQHC19C with \( M_B = 1.45, 1.50 \, M_\odot \) and ppQHC19D with \( M_B = 1.55 \, M_\odot \), all the other models form a black hole long before \( t \approx 100 \) ms. The three models having no black holes end up with the formation of intermediate-hypermassive NS (HMNS) that are stabilizing from the merger event by loosing non-axisymmetry. They do not have a noticeable disk surrounding them but just enter into the so called NS ringdown stage [64]. Most of the black hole forming models have a dynamical disk surrounding the black hole. The SLy binary with \( M_B(M_0) = 1.55 \, (1.40) \, M_\odot \) promptly collapses into a black hole. But the ppQHC19B binary with \( M_B = 1.55 \, (1.40) \, M_\odot \) sustains a few more dynamical times while the stiff GNH3 binary with \( M_B = 1.55 \, (1.43) \, M_\odot \) manifests a delayed collapse into a black hole with a disk system.

During the inspiral, the stars in the binary system tidally deform and start to coalesce with each other, as such \( t_{\text{inspiral}} \) largely depends on the tidal deformability and the stiffness of the EOS at densities lower than the initial central densities. For most of the cases, the initial central densities of the ppQHCs lie in the range of \( 2.95 - 3.15 \, n_0 \) where the ppQHCs are close to but a little bit stiffer than the SLy. The resulting difference of \( t_{\text{inspiral}} \) is just numeric but would reveal larger differences for unequal mass binaries and special orbital motions where there is an earlier reference time.

The postmerger duration, i.e. the lifetime of the HMNS, strongly depends on the stiffness at the crossover densities. Below \( \sim 3.5 \, n_0 \), GNH3 is the stiffest EOS in this study, hence their binary mergers have a longer post merger duration in general. Whereas the opposite applies for the soft EOSs like SLy. As apparent on Fig. 1 even though SLy is the stiffest at very high densities \( (6 - 10 \, n_0) \), it is not possible to prevent the HMNS from collapsing into a black hole due to already accelerated dynamics. However, the QHC19 EOSs become stiffer than SLy and GNH3 at densities \( 3.5 - 6 \, n_0 \). Due to the increased stiffness, the postmerger remnants from QHC19 binaries have sufficient pressure to avoid the gravitational collapse and exhibit longer postmerger lifetimes. As the stiffness of the QHC models increases, the longer lifetimes of their HMNS remnants are very much noticeable. Even for the slightly enhanced ppQHC19B cases, it produces much longer postmerger duration compared to the other hadronic EOSs. The ppQHC19C with \( M_B = 1.45 \) and \( 1.50 \, M_\odot \) don’t collapse to a black hole in the simulation time. Only the highest mass \( (M_B = 1.55) \) collapses. In ppQHC19D even the highest mass case does not collapse, and suggests that the lower mass cases won’t either. These longer post merger periods are all caused by the enhanced stiffness of the QHC19 EOSs at the crossover densities. The stiff nature of the QHC19 EOSs at cross over densities is in contrast to their consistency with the SLy at densities \( < 2.5 \, n_0 \).

The aforementioned dual nature of the QHC19 crossover EOSs can also be found in analyses of the GW frequencies and the PSD of the strain. First of all, the instantaneous GW frequency of the maximum chirp strain amplitude, \( f_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{d \phi}{d t_{\text{max}}} \), where \( \phi \) is the phase of the strain (See. e.g. [70]), has been suggested to have tight universal relations with the tidal deformability [26, 68, 73]. The top panel of Fig. 2 shows the relation between \( f_{\text{max}} \) and the dimensionless tidal deformability \( \Lambda \) for our models along with the universality relations found in Refs. [68, 70]. A validation of these universality relations is beyond the scope of our work. However, it should be noted that the \( f_{\text{max}} \) of the GNH3 cases are closer to the universality curve of Ref. [70] and the other SLy and ppQHCs are closer to the universality relation of Ref. [68]. Moreover, the \( f_{\text{max}} \) values for the ppQHCS are closely aligned with those of the SLy, showing the characteristics of a soft EOS.

The universal relations between \( f_{\text{peak}} \) and \( \Lambda \) have been found in [21, 68, 73, 75] and are well satisfied for pure hadronic EOSs [23]. The connection between \( f_{\text{peak}} \) values and other properties, such as compactness and radius for a fixed fiducial mass can be also found in [24, 67, 76, 82]. In this work, we show the \( f_{\text{peak}} \) as a function of the pseudoaverage rest-mass density \( (2M_0/R_{\text{max}}^3) \) (as was done in in Ref. [70]) in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. Since the compactness, in general, reflects the stiffness of the EOSs, the stiff GNH3 models are located in the small compactness region while the opposite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EOS</th>
<th>( M_B )</th>
<th>( M_0 )</th>
<th>( M_{ADM} )</th>
<th>( t_{\text{inspiral}} )</th>
<th>( t_{BH} )</th>
<th>( f_{\text{peak}} )</th>
<th>( f_{\text{max}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19B</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td>2.612</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>53.84</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>1898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19B</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>2.678</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>29.38</td>
<td>3291</td>
<td>1813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19B</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>3336</td>
<td>1887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19B</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>2.771</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19C</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td>2.612</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3113</td>
<td>1864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19C</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>2.692</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>1818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19C</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.391</td>
<td>2.771</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>3287</td>
<td>1837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppQHC19D</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>2.769</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3183</td>
<td>1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>2.620</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>48.05</td>
<td>3332</td>
<td>1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>2.668</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>3545</td>
<td>1849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>3727</td>
<td>1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>2.779</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNH3</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td>2.672</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>23.89</td>
<td>2534</td>
<td>1504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNH3</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>2.718</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>1557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNH3</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>2.751</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>2604</td>
<td>1525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNH3</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>2.834</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>2736</td>
<td>1595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Asterisk (*) denotes cases in which a black hole is not formed in the simulation time.

b Hyphen (-) marks cases for which finding the \( f \)-modes is difficult.
FIG. 2: Top panel shows $f_{\text{max}}$ vs. the tidal deformability ($\Lambda^{1/3}$) along with the universality relations suggested in previous work [68, 70] as labeled. Lower panel shows $f_{\text{peak}}$ vs. pseudoaverage rest-mass density $(2M_0/R_{\text{max}}^3)^{1/2}$.

is true of the soft SLy models. The ppQHC models are notably clustered in the middle between them. It can be said that the ppQHCs are mild EOSs in between soft and stiff EOSs in terms of the compactness. The $f_{\text{peak}}$ values of the ppQHCs are also distinctively clustered in the middle and they are smaller than those of the SLy models. A recent work by Ref. [83] has also found a diminished $f_{\text{peak}}$ value from their stiffened EOS models. Since the ppQHCs’s $\Lambda_3$, $\Lambda_3$ are similar to that of the SLy, the small $f_{\text{peak}}$ of the ppQHCs will violate the universal relation in terms of $\Lambda$ and show a shift below the universality relation contrary to the shift that appeared above in the phase transition involved models (See, Fig. 3 of [21]). However, the $f_{\text{peak}}$ values of our SLy models are quite larger than the previously known values, even violating the universal relation. We have found that this issue comes from the fact that our simulations depend on the initial separation of the binaries. As we increase the separation from the current 45 km, we could get the $f_{\text{peak}}$ approaching the universal values. The $f_{\text{peak}}$ values of the GNH3 and ppQHC vary within the error bound described in the next paragraph as the separation changes. We shall address this issue in a separate paper.

We note that the resolution adopted in this work only corresponds to the medium resolution of Ref. [84] which used the same code environment, i.e. the GRHydro and the Carpet thorns in the Einstein Toolkit package. We have performed convergence tests by taking resolutions of (0.375, 0.3125, 0.25) in Cactus units. Owing to the strong dynamical variations and shock formation during the postmerger, the postmerger duration increases as the resolution increases. This is inevitable with the current resolution. However, the characteristic frequencies such as $f_{\text{max}}$ and $f_{\text{peak}}$ vary within 0.05 kHz and our qualitative conclusions will not change with increasing resolution. Even with the uncertainty, we anticipate that detecting both $f_{\text{max}}$ and $f_{\text{peak}}$ from the next generation GW detectors, may reveal effects of the enhanced QCD interactions above the crossover densities.

Conclusion – We have simulated the merger dynamics of binary NSs for ppQHC19 EOSs and found exciting features in their dynamical evolution and waveform frequencies. We have shown that the dual nature of the QHCs (having both softness and stiffness) can be revealed in $f_{\text{max}}$ and $f_{\text{peak}}$. Therefore, in addition to allowing an estimation of $R_{\text{max}}$ or $\Lambda$, NS mergers could reveal (or significantly constrain) quark interaction physics at supranuclear densities.

Due to the stiffness of the EOS at the crossover densities, the merger dynamics shows an observably longer postmerger duration compared to that of soft or stiff EOSs. However, it is not easy to quantify the postmerger durations in relation to the dynamical features, since our current numerical setup misses thermal nuclear EOSs and the microphysics such as neutrino cooling and thermal nuclear interactions. Nevertheless, the hydrodynamical features found in this work will significantly affect the binary NS studies taking into account those realistic considerations. Also, there may be more chances of stabilizing HMNS formation and the formation of supramassive NSs [85]. Moreover, for either equal or unequal mass binaries, the longer lifetime of the core will cause the ejecta dynamics [86] to show different patterns compared to that of the binary NSs with a normal hadronic EOS. This could also affect the electromagnetic counterpart and corresponding nuclear processes in the ejecta.

As a concluding remark, the future work should elaborate the results of the current work to give more precise estimates of $f_{\text{max}}$ and $f_{\text{peak}}$ in conjunction with the universality relations and devise the ways of elucidating the physics of the crossover EOSs.
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