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I. INTRODUCTION

Nontopological solitons (NTSs) are localized solutions carrying a Noether charge in nonlinear field theories that have a continuous global symmetry. Rosen [1], in his pioneering work, showed that a self-interacting complex scalar field theory admits particlelike NTS solutions, and Coleman [2] proved the existence theorem of spherically symmetric NTS solutions with a conserved charge, he called them ‘Q-balls’, in nonlinearly self-coupling complex scalar field theories with some conditions. Friedberg, Lee, and Sirlin [3] studied a coupled system of a complex scalar field and a real scalar field with a double-well potential, and showed the existence of the NTS solutions (see e.g. reviews [4, 5] and textbooks [6]). The NTS solutions in extended field theories including a gauge field were also studied in the works [7–9]. The NTSs are interested as a possible candidate of dark matter [10–14], and as sources for baryogenesis [15–17].

Recently, NTS solutions were constructed in the theory that consists of a complex scalar field, a U(1) gauge field, and a complex Higgs scalar field with a Mexican hat potential which causes the spontaneous symmetry breaking [18–20]. In this model, there are interesting properties: the charges carried by two scalar fields are screened each other; and NTS solutions with infinitely large mass can exist [20]. These would suggest that NTSs with astrophysical scale in this model can play important roles in cosmology. However, infinite mass should be prohibited if we take gravity produced by the NTS into account, namely, the mass should be limited by a maximum mass for self-gravitating NTSs.

It is also investigated that localized objects made by self-gravitating complex scalar fields, so-called boson stars. In the model of a free massive complex scalar field with gravity, the gravitational mass of the localized solutions is quite small then the solutions are called mini boson stars [23, 24], while if the complex scalar field has nonlinear self-coupling, the mass of solution can be large [25]. Boson stars in various field models are studied in Refs. [26–28] (see also [29–31] for review). Furthermore, self-gravity of the NTS is also studied in the Colman’s model [32] and the Friedberg-Lee-Sirlin’s model [33–36].

In this paper, we consider the coupled system of two complex scalar fields and a U(1) gauge field, which is studied in Refs. [18–20], with Einstein gravity. The local U(1) symmetry of the system is spontaneously broken in a vacuum state where one of the scalar field has an expectation value. The system has two dimensionful parameters, the symmetry breaking scale, $\eta$, and the Plank scale, $M_P$. 

\footnote{NTSs in a generalized model are discussed in Refs. [21, 22].}
then the dimensionless parameter $\eta/M_P$ is an important quantity that characterizes the model.

Assuming spherically symmetry, stationary rotation of the phase of complex scalar fields, and static geometry, we derive a set of coupled ordinary differential equations. We obtain numerical solutions that describe self-gravitating objects, we call them ‘nontopological soliton stars (NTS stars)’ in this paper, and investigate properties of the solutions, especially, mass and radius that depend on $\eta/M_P$. It is interesting that NTS stars with mass of astrophysical scale are possible, e.g., the solar mass is possible for $\eta \sim 1\text{GeV}$, and the NTS stars can be relativistically compact for $\eta/M_P \ll 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we present the model that has a symmetry breaking scale, and derive basic equations on the assumptions of the geometrical symmetries of the fields. In Sec.III, we solve the basic equations numerically, and present NTS star solutions. In Sec.IV, we investigate internal structures of the NTS stars: energy density, pressure, and charge density. In Sec.V, we study mass and radius of the NTS stars, and see that the maximum mass appears for each breaking scale. Paying attention to the NTS stars with maximum mass in various breaking scales, we investigate scale dependence of the maximum mass and the compactness in Sec.VI. Section VII is devoted to conclusions.

II. BASIC MODEL

We consider the action

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x \left( \frac{R}{16\pi G} + \mathcal{L}_m \right),$$

where $R$ is the scalar curvature with respect to a metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$, and $g := \det(g_{\mu\nu})$. The matter Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}_m$, is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -g^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu \psi)^*(D_\nu \psi) - g^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu \phi)^*(D_\nu \phi) - \frac{\lambda}{4}(|\phi|^2 - \eta^2)^2 - \mu |\phi|^2 |\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta},$$

where it consists of a complex matter scalar field $\psi$, the field strength $F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ of a U(1) gauge field $A_\mu$, and a complex Higgs scalar field $\phi$. The gauge field couples to the scalar fields through the gauge covariant derivative, $D_\mu := \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu$. The parameters $e, \lambda$, and $\mu$ are coupling constants, and the constant $\eta$ gives a scale of symmetry breaking.
The Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \) has local U(1) × global U(1) symmetry under the gauge transformation given by

\[
\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) = e^{i(\chi(x) - \gamma)} \psi(x),
\]
\( \phi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(x) = e^{i(\chi(x) + \gamma)} \phi(x), \)
\( A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + e^{-1} \partial_\mu \chi(x), \)

where \( \chi(x) \) is an arbitrary function that depends on spacetime coordinate, and \( \gamma \) is an arbitrary constant. Concerning to this invariance, conserved currents,

\[
j_\psi^\mu := ie (\psi^*(D_\mu \psi) - (D_\mu \psi)^* \psi), \quad j_\phi^\mu := ie (\phi^*(D_\mu \phi) - (D_\mu \phi)^* \phi)
\]

and conserved charges

\[
Q_\psi := \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \rho_\psi, \quad Q_\phi := \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \rho_\phi,
\]

are defined, where \( \rho_\psi := j_\psi^t \) and \( \rho_\phi := j_\phi^t \) are charge densities induced by the complex scalar field \( \psi \) and \( \phi \), respectively.

Owing to the potential term of the complex Higgs scalar field \( \phi \) in (2), \( \phi \) takes a nonzero vacuum expectation value \( \eta \) in a vacuum state. As a result, the symmetry is spontaneously broken, then, the scalar field \( \psi \) and the U(1) gauge field \( A_\mu \) acquire masses, \( m_\psi := \sqrt{\mu \eta} \) and \( m_A := \sqrt{2e \eta} \), respectively, through interactions with the complex Higgs field. Simultaneously, a real scalar field as a fluctuation of the amplitude of \( \phi \) around \( \eta \) also acquires the mass \( m_\phi := \sqrt{\lambda \eta} \).

From the action (1), we can derive field equations

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} D_\mu \left( \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} D_\nu \psi \right) - \mu \psi |\phi|^2 = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} D_\mu \left( \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} D_\nu \phi \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \phi (|\phi|^2 - \eta^2) - \mu |\psi|^2 \phi = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} F^{\mu \nu}) = j^\nu_\psi + j^\nu_\phi,
\]
\[
G_{\mu \nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu \nu},
\]

where \( G_{\mu \nu} := R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R \) is the Einstein tensor and \( T_{\mu \nu} \) is the energy-momentum tensor given
by

\[ T_{\mu\nu} := -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}L_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \]

\[ = 2(D_\mu \psi)^* (D_\nu \psi) - g_{\mu\nu} (D_\alpha \psi)^* (D^\alpha \psi) + 2(D_\mu \phi)^* (D_\nu \phi) - g_{\mu\nu} (D_\alpha \phi)^* (D^\alpha \phi) \]

\[ - g_{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} (|\phi|^2 - \eta^2)^2 + \mu |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 \right) + \left( F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \right). \]  

(12)

Here, we assume stationary and spherically symmetric fields in the form:

\[ \psi = e^{-i\omega t} u(r), \quad \phi = e^{-i\omega' t} f(r), \quad A = A_t(r) dt, \]  

(13)

where we use a spherical coordinate \((t, r, \theta, \varphi)\). The parameters \(\omega\) and \(\omega'\) are constant angular frequencies of the complex scalar fields. Owing to the gauge transformation (3)-(5), we can fix the variables as

\[ \phi(r) \rightarrow f(r), \quad \psi(t, r) \rightarrow e^{i\Omega t} u(r), \quad A_t(r) \rightarrow \alpha(r) := A_t(r) + e^{-1}\omega', \]  

(14)

where \(\Omega := \omega' - \omega\) is the parameter which characterizes the solution, and takes a positive value without loss of generality.

We also take static and spherically symmetric spacetime anzats in the form

\[ ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \]

\[ = - \sigma(r)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r} \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2, \]  

(15)

where \(\sigma(r)\) and \(m(r)\) are functions of \(r\). Note that \(\sigma(r)\) is dimensionless, and \(m(r)\) has dimension of length. The Einstein equations reduce to

\[ G_t^t = 8\pi G T_t^t, \quad G_r^r = 8\pi G T_r^r, \quad G_\theta^\theta = G_\varphi^\varphi = 8\pi G T_\theta^\theta = 8\pi G T_\varphi^\varphi. \]  

(16)

Substituting anzats (14) and (15) into (8)-(10), we obtain equations for the fields \(\psi, \phi,\) and \(A\)
to be solved in the form:

\[ u'' + \left( \frac{2}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{m - rm'}{r - 2m} \right) + \frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} \right) u' + \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{(e\alpha - \Omega)^2 u}{\sigma^2(1 - 2m/r)} - \mu f^2 u \right) = 0, \quad (17) \]

\[ f'' + \left( \frac{2}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{m - rm'}{r - 2m} \right) + \frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} \right) f' 
+ \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{e^2 f^2 \sigma^2}{\sigma^2(1 - 2m/r)} - \frac{\lambda}{2} f(f^2 - 1) - \mu f u^2 \right) = 0, \quad (18) \]

\[ \alpha'' + \left( \frac{2}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \alpha' + \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( -2e^2 f^2 \alpha - 2e(e\alpha - \Omega)u^2 \right) = 0, \quad (19) \]

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( r \). Here and hereafter, \( r, u(r), f(r), \alpha(r), m(r), \) and \( \Omega \) are normalized by \( \eta \).

As for the Einstein equations, we solve the time-time component of (16) and the combination

\[ G^r_r - G^t_t = 8\pi G(T^r_r - T^t_t). \quad (20) \]

The rests are guaranteed by the Bianchi identity. Explicit form of the energy-momentum tensor is given in Appendix. Then, we have

\[ \frac{2m'}{r^2} - 8\pi G\eta^2 \left( \frac{e^2 f^2 \alpha^2}{\sigma^2(1 - 2m/r)} + \left( \frac{(e\alpha - \Omega)^2 u^2}{\sigma^2(1 - 2m/r)} + \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) (f^2 + u^2) 
+ \frac{\lambda}{4} (f^2 - 1)^2 + \mu f^2 u^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \alpha^2 \right) = 0, \quad (21) \]

and

\[ \frac{(1 - 2m/r)\sigma'}{r \sigma} - 8\pi G\eta^2 \left( \frac{e^2 f^2 \alpha^2}{\sigma^2(1 - 2m/r)} + \left( \frac{(e\alpha - \Omega)^2 u^2}{\sigma^2(1 - 2m/r)} 
+ \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) (f^2 + u^2) \right) = 0. \quad (22) \]

The dimensionless parameter \( G\eta^2 = (\eta/M_P)^2 \) represents the symmetry breaking scale with respect to the Planck mass, \( M_P \). In the limiting case of \( G\eta^2 \to 0 \), the gravitational field decouples with the matter fields, where the matter system (17)-(19) with \( \sigma(r) = 1 \) and \( m(r) = 0 \) are studied in Refs.[18–20].

We require regularity of the spherically symmetric fields at the origin described by

\[ \sigma' = 0, \quad m = 0, \quad u' = 0, \quad f' = 0, \quad \alpha' = 0. \quad (23) \]
In addition, we assume that the solutions are localized in finite regions. Therefore, for the matter fields, we assume

\[ u = 0, \quad f = 1, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad (24) \]

at spatial infinity. The energy-momentum tensor \( T_{\mu\nu} \) of the matter fields satisfying (23) and (24) is localized in a compact region, then we require that the gravitational fields satisfy

\[ \sigma = 1, \quad m = m_\infty = \text{const.} \quad (25) \]

at spatial infinity.

### III. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

In this section, we obtain solutions by solving the set of equations (17), (18), (19), (21), and (22), numerically, and study properties of the numerical solutions. In this article, we fix the coupling constants as \( e = 1.0, \mu = 1.4, \) and \( \lambda = 1.0, \) for an example. On the other hand, we consider various symmetry breaking scales. For the Planck breaking scale, \( \eta/M_P = 1, \) we have \( m_\psi \sim m_\phi \sim m_A \sim 10^{19} \text{GeV}, \) and for the lower breaking scale, \( \eta/M_P = 10^{-3}, \) \( m_\psi \sim m_\phi \sim m_A \sim 10^{16} \text{GeV}, \) respectively.

At a large distance, \( u(r) \) should decrease quickly so that the energy is localized in a compact region. The boundary conditions at the spatial infinity, (24) and (25), require \( f = 1, \alpha = 0, \) and \( \sigma = 1, m = \text{const}, \) then the equation (17) for \( u(r) \) reduces to

\[ u'' - (\mu - \Omega^2)u = 0. \quad (26) \]

Then, \( \Omega \) is bounded above by \( \Omega_{\text{max}} := \sqrt{\mu}. \) There is also the lower bound \( \Omega_{\text{min}}, \) which depends on \( \eta/M_P, \) for existence of a solution, and, as is seen later, the solution has the maximum mass for \( \Omega \) near \( \Omega_{\text{min}}. \) We can find numerical solutions for the parameter \( \Omega \) in the range

\[ \Omega_{\text{min}} \leq \Omega < \Omega_{\text{max}}. \quad (27) \]

Typical behaviors of the fields obtained by numerical calculations are shown as functions of \( r \) in Fig.1 for the Planck breaking scale case, and in Fig.2 for the lower breaking scale case. They show that the matter fields and the gravitational field are localized in a finite region in each case.
Thus, they represent nontopological solitons with self-gravity, we call them nontopological soliton star (NTS star) solutions.

Planck breaking scale: $\eta/M_P = 1$

(a) $\Omega = 1.183$

(b) $\Omega = 1.178$

(c) $\Omega = 1.008$

FIG. 1: Field configurations of numerical solutions in the Planck breaking scale case $\eta/M_P = 1$. We show three subcases of the parameter: (a) $\Omega = 1.183$ (left column), (b) $\Omega = 1.178$ (middle column), and (c) $\Omega = 1.008$ (right column). The scalar fields $u, f$ and the gauge field $\alpha$ are plotted in the upper panels, and the metric components $\sigma$ and $m$ are plotted in the lower panels.

Lower breaking scale: $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$

(d) $\Omega = 1.183$

(e) $\Omega = 1.178$

(f) $\Omega = 0.783$

FIG. 2: The same ones as Fig.1 in the lower breaking scale, $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$. We show for (d) $\Omega = 1.183$ (left column), (e) $\Omega = 1.178$ (middle column), and (f) $\Omega = 0.783$ (right column).
In the cases (a) and (b) in the Planck breaking scale, and (d) in the lower breaking scale, the function $u$ has Gaussian forms, while $f \sim 1$ and $\alpha \sim 0$ almost everywhere. In these cases, the scalar field $\phi$ and the gauge field $A$ are not excited anywhere, and only the scalar field $\psi$, which has the mass $m = m_\psi$ by the Higgs mechanism, becomes a source of gravity. The behavior that the massive scalar field with gravity yields compact objects is just the same as ‘mini boson star’ solutions [23][24]. On the other hand, in the cases (e) and (f) in the lower breaking scale, $\psi, \phi,$ and $A$ are exited inside the stars. In these cases, interaction between the scalar fields and the gauge field plays an important role for appearance of solutions [18][20].

As for the gravity, in the cases (a), (b), (d), and (e), the lapse function, $\sigma$, is almost constant. It means that the gravity is weak so that the Newtonian description is possible. In contrast, in the cases (c) and (f), $\sigma$ varies significantly from $r = 0$ to infinity, i.e., it means the gravity requires relativistic description. Paying attention to the scale of horizontal axises, we see the size of the NTS stars depend on $\Omega$. The dependence is discussed later in detail.

IV. ENERGY DENSITY, PRESSURE, AND CHARGE DENSITIES

A. Energy density and pressure

The energy density and the pressure, defined by (A1)-(A3) in Appendix A, are plotted in Fig.3 for numerical solutions. We see that the pressures can be ignored compared to the energy density in the cases (a), (b) in the Planck breaking scale case, and in the cases (d), (e) in the lower breaking scale case. Then, NTS stars in these cases behave as ‘gravitating dust balls’. On the other hand, in the cases (c) and (f), the radial and tangential pressures become large in the central regions.

B. Charge distribution

We plot the charge density of $\psi$, $\rho_\psi$, and charge density of $\phi$, $\rho_\phi$, and total one $\rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_\psi + \rho_\phi$ of the NTS stars in Fig.4. In all cases except (c), $\rho_\psi$ is compensated by $\rho_\phi$ then charge screening occurs everywhere [18]. In the case (c), $\rho_{\text{total}}$ is positive in the central region, and negative surround the region. Total charge, integration of $\rho_{\text{total}}$ from $r = 0$ to the large $r$, vanishes. Namely, the charge is totally screened. This fact is consistent with that the gauge field, $A$, becomes massive, and $\alpha$ decays quickly as $r \to \infty$. 
Planck breaking scale: $\eta/M_P = 1$

(a) $\Omega = 1.183$

(b) $\Omega = 1.178$

(c) $\Omega = 1.008$

Lower breaking scale: $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$

(d) $\Omega = 1.183$

(e) $\Omega = 1.178$

(f) $\Omega = 0.783$

FIG. 3: The energy density $\epsilon$, the radial pressure $p_r$, and the tangential pressure $p_\theta$, where $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum value of $\epsilon$. The upper panels correspond to the Planck breaking scale case, $\eta/M_P = 1$, and the lower does the lower breaking scale case, $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$.

Planck breaking scale: $\eta/M_P = 1$

(a) $\Omega = 1.183$

(b) $\Omega = 1.178$

(c) $\Omega = 1.008$

Lower breaking scale: $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$

(d) $\Omega = 1.183$

(e) $\Omega = 1.178$

(f) $\Omega = 0.783$

FIG. 4: The charge densities $\rho_\psi$, $\rho_\phi$, and the total charge density $\rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_\psi + \rho_\phi$ are plotted, where these charge densities are normalized by the maximum value of $\rho_\psi$, $\rho_{\phi_{\text{max}}}$. The upper panels correspond to the Planck breaking scale case, $\eta/M_P = 1$, and the lower does the lower breaking scale case, $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$. 
V. MASS AND RADIUS

We see in Figs.1, 2, 3, that the size of NTS solutions much depend on the parameter $\Omega$. We study total mass and radius of the solutions in this section.

A. Gravitational Mass

The gravitational mass of the NTS star, $M_G$, is given by

$$M_G = \frac{m_\infty}{G\eta} \tag{28}$$

For a fixed $\eta/M_P$, we have a NTS star solution for each $\Omega$, then $M_G$ is a function of $\Omega$. In Fig.5, curves represent $M_G$ as functions of $\Omega$ for various breaking scales $\eta/M_P$. We find each curve has a spiral shape at the left end. Then there appears lower limit of $\Omega$, $\Omega_{\text{min}}$, for existence of NTS star solutions. Numerically, we have $\Omega_{\text{min}} \sim 0.91$ for $\eta/M_P \gtrsim 10^{-1}$, while $\Omega_{\text{min}} \sim 0.765$ for $\eta/M_P \lesssim 10^{-2}$. The gravitational mass $M_G$ is multi-valued in $\Omega$ near a region $\Omega \sim \Omega_{\text{min}}$. For a fixed $\eta/M_P$, there exists maximum of $M_G$ near $\Omega \sim \Omega_{\text{min}}$. We call the NTS star with the maximum mass ‘maximum NTS star’. The maximum NTS stars for $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$ and $\eta/M_P = 1$ are marked by asterisks in Fig.5. In Fig.3 the central pressure in the maximum NTS star cases, (c) and (f), become large in the order of $1/4 \sim 1/3$ times the central energy density. The pressure gradient balances to the gravitational force by the large mass.

For $\eta/M_P \gtrsim 10^{-1}$, the curves are shifted upward, as a whole, as $\eta/M_P$ decreases, while for $\eta/M_P \lesssim 10^{-2}$, the curves are modified, and middle part of the curves converge to the limiting curve of $\eta/M_P = 0$. Let us pay attention to the curve of $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$, for example (see Fig.5). The curve is divided into three parts: the middle segment that lies on the limiting curve $\eta/M_P = 0$, the right segment apart downward from the limiting curve, the left segment apart leftward. Firstly, solutions on the right segment are ‘mini boson stars’ as mentioned before. Secondly, solutions on the middle are NTS stars whose gravity can be neglected, while the interaction of the scalar fields and the gauge field is important, then we call them ‘matter-interacting NTS stars’. Thirdly, solutions on the left segment are NTS stars whose gravity is important, then we call them ‘gravitating NTS stars’. In the family of the gravitating NTS stars, the mass quickly increases as $\Omega$ approaches $\Omega_{\text{min}}$. The points (a) - (f) on the curves in Fig.5 correspond to the solutions shown in Figs.1 and 2 respectively.
FIG. 5: The gravitational mass of NTS stars as a function of $\Omega$ for various breaking scales $\eta/M_P$. The vertical axis is taken for $M_G/\eta$ and the horizontal axis for $\log(\Omega_{\text{max}} - \Omega)$. The (red) broken curve denotes the mass of NTS solutions, dust balls [19, 20], decouple to gravity, i.e., $\eta/M_P \to 0$. The points (a) - (f) correspond to the solutions shown in Figs 1-4.

FIG. 6: The gravitational mass of NTS stars for the lower breaking scales $\eta/M_P = 10^{-3}$. In this case, the NTS star solutions are classified into three types: mini boson stars, matter-interacting NTS stars, and gravitating NTS stars.
B. Surface Radius

We define the surface radius of the numerical solutions, say $r_s$, by

$$m(r_s) := 0.99 \, m_{\infty}. \quad (29)$$

Namely, 99% of total mass of the NTS stars includes within the surface radius $r_s$. We plot gravitational mass $M_G$ of the NTS stars normalized by $M_P$ as a function of dimensionful radius $R := r_s/\eta$ for various breaking scales in Fig. 7. For a fixed breaking scale in the range $\eta/M_P \gtrsim 10^{-1}$, $M_G$ increases toward the maximum mass as $R$ decreases, while in the range $\eta/M_P \lesssim 10^{-5/2}$, $M_G$ depends on $R$ in a complicated way: in the region $M_G/M_P \lesssim 10$, local maximum and local minimum appear and in the region $M_G/M_P \gtrsim 10$, $M_G$ increases toward the maximum mass as $R$ increases.

**FIG. 7:** The gravitational mass $M_G$ of the NTS stars as a function of surface radius $R$ for various breaking scales. In the vertical axis $M_G$ is normalized by the Planck mass $M_P$, and in the horizontal axis $R$ is normalized by the Planck length $R_P := \sqrt{G}$. 
C. Compactness

Next, we investigate the compactness, $C$, defined by

$$C := \frac{2GM_G}{R} = \frac{2m_\infty}{r_s}. \quad (30)$$

In the Schwarzschild geometries, which are exterior of NTS stars, if $C \geq 2/3$ there exists the photon sphere and if $C \geq 1/3$ the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) appears.

In Fig. 8 we show the compactness $C$ of the NTS stars as a function of the gravitational mass $M_G$ for various breaking scales. For a fixed breaking scale, $C$ increases monotonically toward the maximum value as $M_G$ increases. The maximum value of $C$ depends on the breaking scale: $C < 1/3$ for $\eta/M_P \gtrsim 10^{-1/2}$, and $C > 1/3$ for $\eta/M_P \lesssim 10^{-1}$. In the latter case a NTS star can be so compact that the star has ISCO around it.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.7\textwidth]{fig8}
\caption{The compactness of NTS stars as a function of the gravitational mass $M_G$ for various breaking scales.}
\end{figure}

D. Binding Energy

Here, we consider stability of the NTS stars in terms of the binding energy defined by $B_G := M_G - M_{\text{free}}$, where $M_{\text{free}}$ is sum of mass of free $\psi$ particles that carry totally the same charge $Q_\psi$.
of the NTS stars. A NTS star with $B_G > 0$, ($M_G/M_{\text{free}} > 1$), would disperse into free particles, i.e., the NTS star is energetically unstable, while a NTS star with $B_G < 0$, ($M_G/M_{\text{free}} < 1$), is stable against dispersion.

In Fig. 9 we plot the mass ratio, $M_G/M_{\text{free}}$, as a function of $M_G$ for various breaking scales. The asterisk marks represent the maximum NTS solutions. It shows that the maximum NTS stars in all breaking scales have maximum negative binding energies where $M_G/M_{\text{free}} < 1$, then solutions near the maximum NTS stars are stable in all breaking scales.

![Fig. 9: The mass ratio $M_G/M_{\text{free}}$ as a function of $M_G$ for various breaking scales. The asterisk mark represents the maximum NTS solution for each breaking scale.](image_url)

**VI. BREAKING SCALE DEPENDENCE**

As shown in the previous section, in each breaking scale, there exists the maximum NTS star that has maximum gravitational mass. The mass, the surface radius, and the compactness of the maximum NTS star much depend on the breaking scale. Here, we show how these properties of the maximum NTS star depend on the breaking scale.

In Fig. 10 we plot the mass, $M_*$, and the surface radius, $R_*$, of the maximum NTS stars for the various values of the breaking scale $\eta/M_P$. We observe that the both $M_*$ and $R_*$ obey power laws...
of $\eta/M_P$ with two different power indices as

$$
\frac{M_*}{M_P} \propto \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{\eta}{M_P} \right)^{-2} & \text{for } \eta < \eta_{cr}^M, \\
\left( \frac{\eta}{M_P} \right)^{-1} & \text{for } \eta > \eta_{cr}^M,
\end{cases}
$$

(31)

and

$$
\frac{R_*}{R_P} \propto \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{\eta}{M_P} \right)^{-2} & \text{for } \eta < \eta_{cr}^R, \\
\left( \frac{\eta}{M_P} \right)^{-1} & \text{for } \eta > \eta_{cr}^R,
\end{cases}
$$

(32)

where the critical values $\eta_{cr}^M$ and $\eta_{cr}^R$ are of order $0.1 M_P$, and the ratio is $\eta_{cr}^M / \eta_{cr}^R \sim 3.3$. The power index in $\eta \gg \eta_{cr}$ is the same as mini boson stars studied in [23, 24], and the one in $\eta \ll \eta_{cr}$ is the same as soliton stars studied in [34, 35].

We consider simple model formulae for $M_*$ and $R_*$ shown in Fig.10 as

$$
M_* = \frac{M_{cr}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_{cr}^M} \right)^{-2} + \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_{cr}^M} \right)^{-1} \right),
$$

(33)

$$
R_* = \frac{R_{cr}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_{cr}^R} \right)^{-2} + \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_{cr}^R} \right)^{-1} \right),
$$

(34)

where $M_{cr}$ and $R_{cr}$ are constants. If we can extrapolate (33) and (34) for much lower breaking scale than the cases calculated in the present paper, typical scales of $M_*$ and $R_*$ for the maximum NTS stars are listed in Table.I. We see that the maximum NTS star would be an astrophysical scale for $\eta \lesssim 100 \text{GeV}$.  

FIG. 10: The mass of the maximum NTS stars (left panel), and the surface radius (right panel) for each breaking scale $\eta/M_P$ are plotted as dots. The plots are fitted by double power laws, respectively.
Symmetry Breaking Scale | $M_*$[kg] | $R_*$[m]
--- | --- | ---
$\eta \sim 10^{19}$ GeV | $\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^{-35})$
$\eta \sim 10^{16}$ GeV | $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^{-29})$
$\eta \sim 10^2$ GeV | $\mathcal{O}(10^{30})$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$
$\eta \sim 1.0$ GeV | $\mathcal{O}(10^6 M_\odot)$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^9)$
$\eta \sim 1.0$ MeV | $\mathcal{O}(10^{12} M_\odot)$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^{15})$
$\eta \sim 1.0$ keV | $\mathcal{O}(10^{18} M_\odot)$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^{21})$
$\eta \sim 1.0$ eV | $\mathcal{O}(10^{30}) \sim M_\odot$ | $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$

TABLE I: The mass, $M_*$, and the radius, $R_*$, of the maximum NTS star for various breaking scales. The symbol $M_\odot$ denotes the solar mass.

According to the model functions (33) and (34), we have

$$C_* = \frac{2GM_*}{R_*} = \frac{2GM_{cr}}{R_{cr}} \frac{\eta^M}{\eta^R} \left( \eta^M + \eta \right),$$

then $C_*$ takes the different constant values for $\eta \ll \eta_{cr}$ and $\eta \gg \eta_{cr}$, respectively, and the ratio of them becomes

$$\frac{C_*(\eta \ll \eta_{cr})}{C_*(\eta \gg \eta_{cr})} = \frac{\eta^M}{\eta^R} \sim 3.3.$$  

In Fig. 11, we depict the compactness of the maximum NTS stars, $C_*$, by the use of the numerical values of $M_*$ and $R_*$ as a function of the breaking scale. From numerical results we see $C_* \sim 0.553$ for $\eta/M_P \lesssim 10^{-2}$ and $C_* \sim 0.167$ for $\eta/M_P \gtrsim \eta_{cr}$. Therefore, the maximum NTS stars in $\eta \ll \eta_{cr}$ are relativistic self-gravitating objects that have innermost stable circular orbits while no photon sphere.

![FIG. 11: The compactness of the maximum NTS stars for various breaking scales.](image-url)
We studied the coupled system of field theory that consists of a complex scalar field, a U(1) gauge field, a complex Higgs scalar field that causes a spontaneous symmetry breaking, and Einstein gravity. The system has a dimensionless parameter, $\eta/M_P$, which represents the ratio of the symmetry breaking scale to the Plank scale. We obtained numerical solutions that describe nontopological soliton stars, parametrized by the angular phase velocity of the complex scalar field, $\Omega$. The solutions have a variety of properties depending on the parameters $\eta/M_P$ and $\Omega$.

In the case of the large breaking scale, $\eta/M_P \gtrsim 0.1$, the solutions are almost determined by the gravitational field and a scalar field that acquires its mass by the Higgs mechanism. Then, the solutions are almost same as the mini boson stars obtained in the system of the gravitational field and a massive complex scalar field. On the other hand, in the case of small breaking scale, $\eta/M_P \ll 1$, the solutions are classified into three types: mini boson stars, matter-interacting NTS stars, and gravitating NTS stars. For the first type, gravity and a scalar field contribute the solutions as same as the large breaking scale case. In the second type, interactions between matter fields are important as in the case of nontopological solitons discussed in Refs.[18–20]. In the last one, the both matter interaction and self-gravity are important, and NTS star solutions in this type can have much larger mass than other types. In the cases of mini boson stars and matter-interacting NTS stars, the gravity is weak because the lapse function is almost constant everywhere, while in the case of gravitating NTS stars, gravity requires relativistic description where the lapse varies significantly.

We found that the maximum mass, which depend on the breaking scale, obeys a double power law: $M_* \propto \eta^{-1}$ for $\eta \gtrsim \eta_{cr}$ and $M_* \sim \eta^{-2}$ for $\eta \lesssim \eta_{cr}$, where $\eta_{cr} \sim M_P/\sqrt{3}$. If we can extrapolate this for much lower breaking scale, the maximum mass of the NTS star can be astrophysical scale, the solar mass for $\eta \sim 1\text{GeV}$ and the cluster of galaxies scale for $\eta \sim 1\text{eV}$.

We studied the compactness, the gravitational radius over the radius of the NTS stars. The compactness of NTS stars with maximum mass, $C_*$, takes the value $C_* \sim 0.167$ for $\eta \gg M_P$ and $C_* \sim 0.553$ for $\eta \ll M_P$, and $C_*$ change in its value quickly around $\eta \sim 0.1M_P$. It means the NTS stars with maximum mass in the lower breaking scale are relativistically compact object that have the innermost stable circular orbits. Therefore, the NTS stars in the case $\eta \ll M_P$ can be seeds of supermassive black holes.

It is an important issue to clarify the stability of the NTS stars. We would expect that the NTS stars with maximum mass evolve to black holes if they become unstable. Linear perturbation of
the NTS stars would be our next work.

In this paper, we construct NTS star solutions whose internals are filled by kinetic energy of the scalar fields. These are self-gravitating solutions of dust balls [20]. There are other types of NTSs, potential balls and shell balls, in the model without gravity [20]. If we take gravity into account, a self-gravitating potential ball would be a ‘gravastar’ [37, 38] that join de Sitter and Schwarzschild spacetimes by a spherical shell, and a self-gravitating shell ball would join Minkowski and Schwarzschild spacetimes [39]. It is also interesting to construct these solutions.
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Appendix A: Energy-momentum tensor

On the assumptions of the scalar and the gauge field forms, we can reduce the energy-momentum tensor \[ (12) \] as

\[
T^t_t/\eta^4 = -\epsilon \\
= -\left(\frac{e^2 f^2 \alpha^2 + (e\alpha - \Omega)^2 u^2}{\sigma^2 (1 - 2m/r)}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \left((\frac{df}{dr})^2 + (\frac{du}{dr})^2\right) \\
- \frac{\lambda}{4} (f^2 - 1)^2 - \mu f^2 u^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\right)^2, \quad (A1)
\]

\[
T^r_r/\eta^4 = p_r \\
= \left(\frac{e^2 f^2 \alpha^2 + (e\alpha - \Omega)^2 u^2}{\sigma^2 (1 - 2m/r)}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \left((\frac{df}{dr})^2 + (\frac{du}{dr})^2\right) \\
- \frac{\lambda}{4} (f^2 - 1)^2 - \mu f^2 u^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\right)^2, \quad (A2)
\]

\[
T^\theta_\theta/\eta^4 = p_\theta = T^\phi_\phi/\eta^4 = p_\phi \\
= \left(\frac{e^2 f^2 \alpha^2 + (e\alpha - \Omega)^2 u^2}{\sigma^2 (1 - 2m/r)}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \left((\frac{df}{dr})^2 + (\frac{du}{dr})^2\right) \\
- \frac{\lambda}{4} (f^2 - 1)^2 - \mu f^2 u^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\right)^2, \quad (A3)
\]

where \( \epsilon \) represents an energy density of the fields, \( p_r \) and \( p_\theta \) denote pressure in the direction of \( r \) and \( \theta \).
[37] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, [arXiv:gr-qc/0109035 [gr-qc]].