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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We study the orbital evolution of eccentric binary systems in Horndeski theory. This particular theory provides a testbed to give insightful comparisons with data. We compute the rate of energy loss and the rate of change of angular momentum for the binaries by calculating the multipole moments of the radiation fields. We have used appropriate parameters for the eccentric binaries to compute the decay rates of its orbital eccentricity and semi-major axis. We then compare this decay rate with that of GR.

\textsuperscript{1}chowdhuri_abhishek@iitgn.ac.in
\textsuperscript{2}abhattacharyya@iitgn.ac.in
1 Introduction

General Relativity is widely considered to be the most successful theory of classical gravity. It is so because it qualifies tests ranging from solar system, pulsar tests to sub-millimeter scales [1–8]. However, it is incomplete. It is widely expected that there will be a UV
completion of the theory by constructing a suitable quantum theory [9]. Nevertheless, GR, along with Standard Model being the two cornerstones of physics, the GR theory itself cannot explain all phenomena there is, the biggest instance being the occurrence of dark energy and dark matter [10]. Supernovae Type IA observations [11–14] show that our universe is expanding. To explain this in the context of GR, one introduces an extra piece, ”Dark energy”, which is not understood completely. Dark matter is yet another mysterious thing ever-present in galaxies and taking part in gravitational interactions [15–17]. All these questions naturally seek out an attempt to investigate alternate gravity theories [27,28].

One way we can modify GR is to add terms to it which are higher curvature terms and also add in additional degrees of freedom [19–26]. The simplest way is to add scalars [29–34]. Such theories with extra scalars do give solutions for some GR problems. For instance, the scalar field is considered to be one of the main components of dark energy and can also explain the reason for the accelerating expansion of the universe [35,36]. In this context, Horndeski gravity presents itself to be one of the most general of such theories evading instabilities of the Ostrogradsky type [37]. The theory has been extensively tested in cluster lensing experiments [38], CMB data [39,40], etc and widely studied in the context of cosmology [41–45]. However, on the question of its verification using LIGO data [46–52], some recent works do restrict some parameters of the theory using the event GW170817 [53,54].

In the Horndeski theory, there is a coupling between the scalar and the matter fields. If we have scalar fields describing dark energy, then there exists a mechanism called Vainshtein mechanism [55], responsible for suppressing the scalar interactions. Current experimental constraints also require this interaction to be screened in high-density medium [56,57]. One can define a radius, known as ‘Vainshtein radius’ beyond which one can neglect all the non-linearities arising due to the presence of higher derivative interactions [58–63]. In this paper, we consider a Horndeski theory, where this mechanism is neglected for binary pulsars in the strong field regime, and the scalar field equations reduce to a massive Klein-Gordon equation.

The first detection of gravitational waves was an indirect one based on orbital decays of binary pulsars [65], and it was only in 2015 we found a direct signal from the coalescence of two stellar-mass black holes [46]. GWs provides us with an opportunity to study dynamics and hence perform quantitative tests. The study of radiations clarifies predictions from GR

\[ \text{A massless version of this theory has been considered [64] where authors have computed time delay, Nordtvedt effects, etc.} \]
and the alternative theories of gravity. Therefore, studying orbital radiations is an important task, which is what we have done here. Gravitational fields for pulsars provide a strong test bed than the usual solar system tests. Also, there’s a high stability for pulse arrivals so that we can minutely study the orbital dynamics of such systems [66, 67]. These facts suffice to put pulsars as a particular interesting thing to study gravitational radiations. We can do so in different models of gravity, and some of them have been done in [68]. In [73], authors have considered circular orbits for binaries and constrained the effective mass of the scalar field using some binary pulsar data. However, we know that there are eccentric binaries pulsars [18] Motivated by that, in this paper, we study decay rates corresponding to energy and angular momentum for binary pulsar systems moving in eccentric orbits, with the hope that using these results, one can possibly improve the bound on the parameters of the theory. We compute the angular momentum flux from the method outlined in [69, 70] and use a Keplerian parametrization for the pulsar systems. We conclude by finding the expressions for the rate of change of eccentricity of the orbits of the binaries.

We organize the paper as follows: In Section (2), we give a brief introduction to the Horndeski theory. In Section (3), we look into the field equations of motion and investigate their weak limits. Section (4) deals with finding the equation of motion for the binaries in this particular gravity theory, followed by Section (5), which outlines the way we use to calculate the stress-tensor. We find solutions to the field equations in Section (6) which, along with the stress tensor, helps in calculating the energy and the angular momentum flux in Section (7). Finally, we analyze the orbital dynamics for such systems in section (8). We conclude with section (9) with a summary of our results and future directions.

**Conventions:** Choice of signature for the metric is (-,+,+,+). We use Greek indices (μ, ν, ..) running over 0,1,2,3, and the calculations are done in the CGS system.

## 2 Horndeski Gravity

The action functional for the Horndeski theory is given by [71]

\[ S = \frac{\kappa^4}{16\pi} \sum_{i=2}^{5} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} L_i + \int d^4x L_m (A^2(\phi)g^{\mu\nu}, \psi^{(j)}_m) \] (2.1)

\[ ^4\text{Also, we expect to detect gravitational waves from eccentric binary systems in future detectors like LISA [74]. Hence we expect to get better constraints on the parameter space of the theory.} \]
where $c$ is the speed of light, $g$ is the determinant of the metric, and $L_m$ is the matter part of the Lagrangian, the matter fields $\psi^j_m$, being labelled by $j$. $L_i$’s are the Lagrangian densities for the gravity part containing the metric and the scalar field $\phi$.

\[
L_2 = G_2(\phi, X), \quad L_3 = -G_3(\phi, X) \Box \phi, \quad L_4 = G_4(\phi, X) R + G_{4X}[(\Box \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2], \quad L_5 = G_5(\phi, X) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{G_{5X}}{6}[(\Box \phi)^3 + 2(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^3 - 3(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 \Box \phi].
\] (2.2)

$G_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual Einstein tensor, $R$ is the Ricci scalar. The ‘$X$’ is defined as

\[
X = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi.
\]

$G_i(\phi, X)$ are functions of the scalar field $\phi$ and $X$. Furthermore, $G_iX = \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial X}$.

Since we are interested in studying gravitational radiations from binary pulsar systems, we will concentrate on the flux carried away by these radiations at large distances. At large distances influences on the metric and scalar field $\phi$ are not significant from these pulsar sources. Hence we can perturb the field equations in a background, which is Minkowskian ($\eta_{\mu\nu}$) and expand the fields in the limit of $\frac{v}{c} \ll 1 \ [4, 72]$. The metric and the scalar field expansions are given by,

\[
\phi = \phi_0 + \tilde{\phi}
\]
\[
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}.
\] (2.3)

In the subsequent section we will solve these perturbations in terms of power series of $O(v/c)^2$, using the standard Post-Newtonian techniques.

The functions $G_i(\phi, X)$ are arbitrary and meanwhile can be expanded in Taylor’s series around $\phi_0$ which is the value of $\phi$ in flat spacetime background.

\[
G(\phi, X) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} G_{(m,n)} \tilde{\phi}^m X^n
\]
\[
G_{(m,n)} = \frac{1}{m!n!} \frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial \phi^m \partial X^n} G(\phi, X)|_{\phi=\phi_0,X=0}
\] (2.4)

To obtain the GR limit of this theory we can choose $G_4 = \frac{1}{G_N}$ and set all other $G_i = 0$. Taking into account all the existing constraints posed on the theory from various observational data
we reduce our original Lagrangian \(2.1\) to the following \(2.5\)

\[ L_2 = G_2(\phi, X), L_3 = -G_3(\phi) \Box \phi, L_4 = G_4(\phi) R, L_5 = 0. \]  

However, there are still some undetermined parameters left which one hopes to constrain. Using one results of this paper we hope to get back to this issue in a subsequent work.

Now, let’s concentrate on the matter part of the theory. Since we are studying gravitational point like masses, the matter action for such a system is given by

\[ S_m = -c^2 \sum_a m_a(\phi) d\tau_a. \]  

Here, \(m_a(\phi)\) is the inertial mass of the particles and \(\tau_a\) is the proper time along the world line of the particle, \(a\) being a label. The above action was first considered in the context of scalar-tensor theories to account for the internal structure of the bodies \(75\). This is so because alternate gravity theories like scalar tensor theories admit that laws of self gravitating bodies depend on their internal structure and there’s a violation of Gravitational Weak Equivalence Principle (GWEP) \(76,77\). The violation can be easily seen as the scalar field and hence the mass which depends on the field becomes position-dependent. Varying \(2.6\) with respect to the metric we obtain the energy-momentum tensor of the matter

\[ T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{-g}} \sum_a m_a(\phi) \frac{u^\mu u^\nu}{u^0} \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t)) \]  

and its trace is given by

\[ T = -\frac{c^3}{\sqrt{-g}} \sum_a \frac{m_a(\phi)}{u^0} \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t)) \]  

where, \(u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}\) is the four velocity of the \(a\)-th particle, \(d\tau = \frac{\sqrt{-ds^2}}{c}\), \(ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu\) is an interval, \(u_\mu u^\mu = -c^2\) and \(\delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t))\) is the three dimensional Dirac delta function. The inertial mass \(m\) which is dependent on \(\phi\), can also be expanded around \(\phi_0\) and takes the form,

\[ m_a(\phi) = m_a(\phi_0) \left[ 1 + s_a \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi_0} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi_0} \right)^2 \right] \]  

where \(s_a = \frac{\partial (\ln m_a)}{\partial (\ln \phi)} |_{\phi_0}\) and \(s'_a = \frac{\partial^2 (\ln m_a)}{\partial (\ln \phi)^2} |_{\phi_0}\).
Having obtained these expressions the field equations can be expressed in the weak field limit which we will do in the next section.

3 Weak field limits

As mentioned earlier, the scope of this paper is to explore radiations from a pulsar system at a large distance from the source such that there is no influence of the source on the metric and scalar field. Hence, the following perturbations can be performed

\[
\phi = \phi_0 + \tilde{\phi}, \\
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}.
\]  

(3.1)

This leads to a Taylor series expansion of the arbitrary function \( G_i(\phi, X) \) stated in the previous section.

The next thing to do is to obtain field equations in the above limit, called the weak field limit. Interested readers may refer to \[71, 78\] for the most general form of the field equations. Furthermore, following \[79\] we have neglected \( G_2(0,0) \) and \( G_2(1,0) \) as these terms have negligible effects on gravitational wave. The linearized field equations up to \( \mathcal{O}(\frac{v}{c})^4 \) takes the following form \[80\],

\[
- (G_{2(0,1)} - 2G_{3(1,0)}) \Box \tilde{\phi} - G_{2(2,0)} \tilde{\phi} + G_{4(1,0)} (\Box h - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{16\pi}{c^4} \partial T^{(1)} \\
G_{4(0,0)} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\nu h_\mu^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \Box h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta h^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box h) + G_{4(1,0)} \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box \tilde{\phi} - G_{4(1,0)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \tilde{\phi} = \frac{8\pi}{c^4} T_\mu^{(1)}
\]  

(3.2)

where \( T = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \) is the trace, \( \Box = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \) and the the superscript \( (1) \) refers to the leading order term.
By introducing
\[ \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}h - \frac{G_{4(1,0)}}{G_{4(0,0)}}\eta_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\phi}, \]
\[ \tilde{h} = -h - 4\frac{G_{4(1,0)}}{G_{4(0,0)}}\tilde{\phi}, \]
the field equations can be decoupled and further using the gauge \( \partial_\alpha h^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \), the field equations take the following form,
\[ \Box \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\pi}{c^4G_{4(0,0)}}T_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, \]
\[ \Box \tilde{\phi} - m_s^2\tilde{\phi} = \frac{16\pi}{c^4}\xi \left( T^{(1)} - \frac{2G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \frac{\partial T^{(1)}}{\partial \tilde{\phi}} \right) \]
where
\[ m_s^2 = \frac{G_{2(2,0)}}{2G_{3(1,0)} - G_{2(0,1)} - 3\frac{G_{4(1,0)}}{G_{4(0,0)}}}, \]
\[ \xi = -\frac{G_{4(1,0)}}{2G_{4(0,0)} \left( 2G_{3(1,0)} - G_{2(0,1)} - 3\frac{G_{4(1,0)}}{G_{4(0,0)}} \right)}. \]
In this paper, we have chosen CGS system of units which makes \( m_s \) a quantity of inverse length dimension and plays a role of inverse characteristic wavelength of the scalar field. The scalar field, whether it is massless or massive depends on the value of \( G_{2(2,0)} \) and the perturbation of the scalar field is sourced by a combination of energy-momentum stress tensor of the matter and the derivative of the trace of the tensor with respect to \( \phi \). This is however not the case for the equation of \( \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \), where the energy-momentum tensor of the matter field is sourcing the perturbation.

The energy-momentum tensor under the expansions look like
\[ T^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_a m_a u^\alpha u^\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}h^k_k - \frac{v^2_a}{2c^2} + s_a \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi_0} \right) \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t)). \]
Then the trace is
\[ T = -c^2 \sum_a m_a \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}h^k_k - \frac{v^2_a}{2c^2} + s_a \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi_0} \right) \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t)) \]
and the derivative with respect to \( \phi \) reads
\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial \phi} = -c^2 \sum_a \frac{m_a}{\phi_0} \left[ s_a \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} h'_k - \frac{v^2}{2c^2} \right) - (s_a - s_a^2 - s'_a) \frac{\ddot{r}}{\phi_0} \right] \delta^3(r - \vec{r}_a(t)). \tag{3.10}
\]

Given (3.9) and (3.10), we can solve (3.5) and (3.4) up to 1PN order to obtain the leading order static solution for \( \tilde{\phi} \):
\[
\tilde{\phi} = \frac{4\xi}{c^2} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} \left( 1 - 2\frac{s_a}{\phi_0} G_{4(0,0)} \right) \tag{3.11}
\]
and the tensor field \( \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \) within 1PN near zone limit,
\[
\tilde{h}_{00} = \frac{4}{c^2 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^4, \\
\tilde{h}_{ij} = \frac{4v_iv_j}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^6, \\
\tilde{h} = -\frac{4}{c^2 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^4. \tag{3.12}
\]

Using (3.3), we get the following expressions for metric perturbations at leading order
\[
h_{00} = \frac{2}{c^2 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} + \frac{4\xi}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} e^{-m_a r_a} \left( 1 - 2\frac{s_a}{\phi_0} G_{4(0,0)} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^4, \tag{3.13}
\]
\[
h_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \left[ \frac{2}{c^2 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} - \frac{4\xi}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} e^{-m_a r_a} \left( 1 - 2\frac{s_a}{\phi_0} G_{4(0,0)} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^4, \tag{3.14}
\]
\[
h = -\frac{4}{c^2 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} - \frac{16\xi}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_a \frac{m_a}{r_a} e^{-m_a r_a} \left( 1 - 2\frac{s_a}{\phi_0} G_{4(0,0)} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^4. \tag{3.15}
\]

4 **EIH Equations of motion**

As mentioned in Sec. (2), the Horndeski theory theory violates GWEP due to the fact that \( m_a \) depends on \( \phi \) which in turn is space-time dependent. This dependence leads to the fact that different bodies will respond differently to different fields. They’ll have different trajectories. To be more specific the mass is dependent on sensitivity parameters. In [81],
authors suggested a method by which we can see the effects of these sensitivities on the equations of motion and it starts with a Lagrangian which is called Einstein-Infeld-Hoffmann Lagrangian, $L_{EIH}$.

$$L_{EIH} = -c^2 \sum_a m_a(\phi) \frac{d\tau_a}{dt}$$

$$= -c^2 \sum_a m_a(\phi) \sqrt{-g_{00} - 2g_{0i} \frac{v^i_a}{c} - g_{ij} \frac{v^i_a v^j_a}{c^2}}$$

$$= - \sum_a m_a c^2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{v^2_a}{2c^2} - \sum_{b \neq a} \left[ \frac{1}{c^2 G_{4(0,0)} r_{ab}} \frac{m_b}{G_{4(0,0)} r_{ab}} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) e^{-m_s \phi r_{ab}} \right. \right. \right. \right.$$  

$$- \frac{4s_a \xi m_b}{c^2 \phi_0 r_{ab}} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) e^{-m_s \phi r_{ab}} \} + O(v^4) \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here, $r_{ab} = |\vec{r}_a(t) - \vec{r}_b(t)|$. The effective gravitational constant can be read off from the above equation which will be

$$G_{ab} = \left[ \frac{1}{G_{4(0,0)}} + 2\xi G_{4(1,0)} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) e^{-m_s \phi r_{ab}} - \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) e^{-m_s \phi r_{ab}} \frac{4s_a \xi}{\phi_0} \right]. \tag{4.2}$$

When we substitute the Lagrangian (4.1) into the Euler-Lagrange equation we get the n-body equations of motion at the leading order

$$\ddot{\vec{a}}_i = - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{G_{ij} m_j}{r^2_{ij}} \hat{r}_{ij} \tag{4.3}$$

with

$$G_{ij} = \frac{1}{G_{4(0,0)}} \left\{ 1 + (1 + m_s r_{ab}) e^{-m_s \phi r_{ab}} \left[ 2\xi G_{4(1,0)} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) \right. \right.$$  

$$- \frac{4s_a \xi G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) \right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Here, $\ddot{\vec{a}}_i = \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_i}{dt^2}$ is the acceleration of the i-th object, $\hat{r}_{ij}$ is the unit direction vector from the j-th particle to the i-th particle. The terms having exponents causes the inverse square law to not hold in this case. However, $m_s$ having dimension of inverse length which is of the order of cosmological scales and $r_{ab}$ being small compared to $m_s$ makes $m_s r_{ij} << 1$. Under
these approximations $e^{-m \tilde{\phi} r_{ab}} \rightarrow 1$ and

$$G_{ab} = \frac{1}{G_{4(0,0)}} \left\{ 1 + 2\xi G_{4(1,0)} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) - \frac{4s_a \xi G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) \right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that although the inverse square law form is restored the GWEP violation still happens. However, due to this inverse square law form we can now apply the usual orbital dynamical equations to our problem. We can calculate the orbital decay rate $\dot{P}$ given by

$$\dot{P} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{E}}{E},$$

and

$$a^3 \left( \frac{2\pi}{P} \right)^2 = G_{12} m$$

and $E = -\frac{\mathcal{E}_{mm}}{2a}$, where $a$ is the semi-major axis, $G_{12}$ is the effective gravitational constant. These relations will give us the energy loss of the system. But before that we need the energy-momentum tensor to complete the analysis which will be done in the next section.

## 5 Stress Tensor

In this section, we want to introduce the tools to calculate the radiations from the system. The system radiates away energy and also suffers a loss in angular momentum. The emission of these radiations include a scalar part and a gravitational part, and the radiations are monopole, dipole, octupole and dipole-octupole type. These will give us the necessary orbital decay rate and, eventually, the change in the orbital period. To find this, we need to first calculate the stress tensor of this system. There are many methods to calculate this (pseudo) stress-tensor [82, 83]. But, all these results give different stress tensors but lead to identical flux expressions. In [73], authors used Noether’s procedure to derive a non-symmetric stress tensor. But it poses no problem for calculating the energy flux. However, difficulty arises during the computation of angular momentum, for which we have to use a symmetric pseudo-tensor. In this paper, we have found a symmetric pseudo tensor using the method mentioned in [69, 70] which we describe below.

The approach works in the short wavelength limit, where $\lambda << \frac{1}{\sqrt{R^3}}$, where $R$ are the values of the background Riemann tensor components. Our background is flat, hence $R = 0$ and thus the condition is always satisfied and now the task remains is to take average of the quantities which requires the following rules:
• $\langle \partial_\alpha (\tilde{h}_{\beta\gamma} \partial_\delta \tilde{h}) \rangle = 0$

• $\langle \tilde{h} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \tilde{h}_{\gamma\delta} \rangle = - \langle \partial_\alpha \tilde{h} \partial_\beta \tilde{h}_{\gamma\delta} \rangle$

Same rules are applied for the terms involving scalar field as well.

In [64], this method has been used to compute a symmetric stress-tensor in vacuum using transverse-traceless (TT) gauge ($\partial_\alpha \tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tilde{h} = 0$). It is given by,

$$
\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{c^4}{32\pi} G_{4(0,0)} \partial_\mu \tilde{h}^{TT,\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu \tilde{h}^{TT}_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{2c_\phi G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu \tilde{\phi} + m_\phi^2 G_{4(1,0)} \tilde{\phi} \tilde{h}^{TT}_{\mu\nu} \right\rangle. \quad (5.1)
$$

In the limit that $G_4 = \frac{1}{G_N}$ and the remaining $G_i$'s vanish we get the effective stress tensor for GR. We now have everything to proceed to calculate the fluxes save for the solution of $\tilde{\phi}$ which will be done in the next section.

6 Radiations from the Binary Pulsars

The previous section gives us the energy-momentum tensor. However, we are yet to find the solution of $\tilde{\phi}$ so that we can calculate the $\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu \tilde{\phi}$ terms in addition to the $\tilde{h}$ terms. To compute the energy-momentum tensor we need the solutions to the following equations

$$
\Box \tilde{\phi} - m_\phi^2 \tilde{\phi} = \frac{16\pi}{c^4} \xi S, \quad (6.1)
$$

$$
\Box \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = - \frac{16\pi}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} T_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}. \quad (6.2)
$$

6.1 Solution to the tensor part

The solution to

$$
\Box \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = - \frac{16\pi}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} T_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \quad (6.3)
$$

is obtained by Green’s function method and takes the following form,

$$
\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{c^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \int d^3 r \frac{T_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(t - |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|/c)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \quad (6.4)
$$
Since we want to find the solution at the far limit then $|\vec{r}'| << |\vec{r}|$, $\vec{r}'$ being the source point and $\vec{r}$ the point where we want to find the field to. Taking this into account and expanding the denominator we get

$$\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{rc^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!l!} \int d^3r T_{1}^{(1)}(t - r/c, \vec{r}')(\hat{n}.\vec{r}')^l \quad (6.5)$$

where, $\hat{n} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r}$ being the unit vector. Upto leading order ($l = 0$) we can use the conservation equation for the $T_{1}^{(1)}$ tensor to rewrite it as

$$\tilde{h}_{ij} = \frac{4}{rc^4 G_{4(0,0)}} \int d^3r' T_{ij}^{(1)}(t - r/c, \vec{r}') \quad (6.6)$$

and eventually we get the following,

$$\tilde{h}_{ij} = \frac{2}{rc^6 G_{4}(0,0)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int d^3r' T_{00}^{(1)}(t - r/c, \vec{r}') r_i^l r_j^l \quad (6.7)$$

The above relation shows that upto leading order the tensorial radiation is dominated by quadrupole radiation, and that the monopole and dipole radiation are not present. This is exactly like GR. This happens because the graviton is spin-2 as well as massless. At the leading order PN, $T_{00}^{(1)}$ which is the sum total energy density of matter fields, is negligible. So

$$T_{00}^{(1)} = \sum_a m_a c^2 \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_a(t)). \quad (6.8)$$

Substituting this in (6.7) we get

$$\tilde{h}_{ij} = \frac{2}{rc^4 G_{4}(0,0)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} M_{ij}|_{ret} \quad (6.9)$$

where,

$$M_{ij} = \sum_a m_a r_i^a(t) r_j^a(t) \quad (6.10)$$

is the mass quadrupole moment. To find the flux for this tensor radiation part we first find out the TT part of $h_{ij}$ by using the projection operator $\Lambda_{ij,kl}$, called the Lambda tensor. The TT part is $h_{ij,TT} = \Lambda_{ij,kl} h^{kl} = \Lambda_{ij,kl} \tilde{h}^{kl}$. 
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6.2 Solution to the scalar part

As mentioned earlier we want to find the solution to the scalar equation. It takes the following form,

\[ \Box \tilde{\phi} - m_s^2 \tilde{\phi} = \frac{16\pi}{c^4} \xi \left[ T^{(1)} - \frac{2G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \frac{\partial T^{(1)}}{\partial \phi} \right] = \frac{16\pi}{c^4} \xi \tilde{T}^{(1)} \]  

(6.11)

where, \( \tilde{T}^{(1)} = \left[ T^{(1)} - \frac{2G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \frac{\partial T^{(1)}}{\partial \phi} \right] \). Again just like the tensorial part we can find the solution of the scalar equation using Greens function method. For the sake of simplifying the calculation we assume \( G_{2(2,0)} = 0 \) to make the equation massless. The usual Green’s function method works

\[ \Box G(x, x') = -4\pi \delta^4(x - x') \]  

(6.12)

and the solution is

\[ \tilde{\phi} = \frac{4\xi}{rc^4} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^l l!} \partial_l \int d^3r' (\hat{n}.\vec{r}')^l \tilde{T}^{(1)}. \]  

(6.13)

Again the integration region is similar to that of the tensorial part, i.e., we find the field at a far point such that \(|\vec{r}'| << |\vec{r}|\). Substituting the source term \( \tilde{T}^{(1)} \) from the expressions from (3.9) and after doing the algebra we arrive at the following expression,

\[ \tilde{\phi} = \frac{4\xi}{rc^4} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^l l!} \partial_l \mathcal{M}_l^L \]  

(6.14)

where

\[ \mathcal{M}_l^L = \mathcal{M}_l^{iiizz...i(t, r, z)} = \sum_a M_a(t - r/c) r_a^L (t - r/c) \]  

(6.15)

and

\[ M_a(t) = m_a \left[ 1 - 2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \phi_0 - \frac{r_a^2}{2c^2} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \phi_0 \right) - 3 \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{m_b}{ra(t)c^2G_{4(0,0)}} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \phi_0 \right) + \right. \]

\[ \left. \frac{6G_{4(1,0)}}{c^2G_{4(0,0)}} \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{m_b}{ra(t)} e^{-m_b R} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \phi_0 \right) - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{m_b}{ra(t)c^2 e^{-m_b R}} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} \phi_0 \right) \right. \]

\[ \left. \left( \frac{8\xi s_a}{\phi_0} - \frac{8}{\phi_0} \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} (s_a - s_a^2 + s_a) \xi \right) \right]. \]  

(6.16)
7 Calculation of fluxes

We now have the solution for the scalar part of the equation and also the tensorial part (5.1). Then the task remains is to put them into the of the pseudo-tensor. Also, we consider a system of binaries with masses $m_1$ and $m_2$ with $\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$ and $M = m_1 + m_2$. We take the plane of the orbit parametrized by polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ setting the origin at the centre of mass of the system. The we fix our frame at the centre of mass. While doing this we choose the following parametrization,

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \theta, \quad z = 0 \quad (7.1)$$

with

$$r = \frac{a (1 - e^2)}{1 - e \cos \theta} \quad (7.2)$$

where, $e$ is the eccentricity and $a$ is the semi-major axis of the binary orbit. We can compute the time derivatives of $\theta$ in the following manner. We show the result below which will be useful later using $L = \mu r^2 \dot{\theta}$, where $L$ is the angular momentum of the system

$$\dot{\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta} M}{G_4(0,0) a^3}} (1 - e^2)^{-3/2} (1 + e \cos \theta)^2$$ \quad (7.3)

where, $\bar{\beta} = \left[ 1 + 2\xi G_4(1,0) \left( 1 - \frac{2a}{\phi_0} G_4(0,0) \right) - \frac{4a_s}{\phi_0} G_4(1,0) \left( 1 - \frac{2a}{\phi_0} G_4(0,0) \right) \right].$

7.1 Energy loss for the binaries

The component of the energy momentum pseudo-tensor contributing to the energy flux of the system is the $T_{0r}$ component and we can calculate the energy-flux from the following integral

$$\langle \dot{E} \rangle = -c r^2 \int \langle T_{0r} \rangle d\Omega. \quad (7.4)$$

where, $\langle T_{0r} \rangle$ is defined in (5.1). At this for calculation ease we have set $G_2(2,0)$ to zero which kills the third term in in (5.1). Contribution to the energy flux due to the tensor part of the pseudo-tensor takes the following form,

$$\langle \dot{E}_T \rangle = \frac{c^5 r^2}{16\pi} \int d\Omega \left\langle \frac{G_4(0,0)}{2} \partial_0 \tilde{h}_{TT}^{\mu \nu} \partial_\nu \tilde{h}_{TT}^{\mu} \right\rangle = -\frac{c^5 r^2 G_4(0,0)}{32\pi} \int d\Omega \left\langle \partial_0 \tilde{h}_{TT}^{\mu \nu} \partial_0 \tilde{h}_{TT}^{\mu \nu} \right\rangle. \quad (7.5)$$
Then putting the solution for $\tilde{h}_{ij}$ from (6.9) we get

$$
\langle \dot{E}_T \rangle = -\frac{1}{5c^5G_{(4,0)}} \left( \dot{M}^{kl} \dddot{M}_k^l - \frac{1}{3} (\dddot{M}^{kk})^2 \right).
$$

(7.6)

Upon inserting the value of $M_{ij}$ from (6.10) we get the following,

$$
\langle \dot{E}_T \rangle = -\frac{32\mu^2 M^3}{5c^5G_{(4,0)}R^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4 \right) \left\{ 1 + 2\xi G_{(4,0)} \left[ 1 - \frac{2s_8 G_{(4,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{(4,1,0)}} \right] - \frac{4s_a \xi G_{(4,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{(4,1,0)}} \right\}.
$$

(7.7)

Now we can focus on the scalar energy flux. It takes the following form,

$$
\langle \dot{E}_\phi \rangle = \frac{c^5r^2}{16\pi} \int d\Omega \left( \frac{G_{(4,0)}}{2G_{(4,0)}G_\xi} \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\phi}} \right) = -\frac{c^5r^2 G_{(4,0)}}{32\pi G_{(4,0)}} \int d\Omega \langle \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\phi} \rangle
$$

(7.8)

Using the solution for $\tilde{\phi}$ and neglecting the terms $O(1/r^2)$ while taking the derivatives we get

$$
\langle \dot{E}_\phi \rangle = -\frac{2c^5 G_{(4,1,0)}G_\xi}{G_{(4,0)}} \frac{1}{c^5} \left( \dot{M}^\alpha \dddot{M}^\alpha + \frac{1}{3c^5} (\dddot{M}^k \dot{M}_k^k + \dddot{M}^0 \dot{M}_0^0) \right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{30c^{10}} \dddot{M}_2^k \dddot{M}_2^k + \frac{1}{60c^{10}} \dddot{M}_2^k \dddot{M}_2^k + \frac{2}{15c^{10}} \dddot{M}_2^k \dddot{M}_2^k
$$

(7.9)

where,

$$
\langle \dddot{M}_0 \dddot{M}_0 \rangle = \frac{2A^2 \beta^2 \mu^2 M^3}{G_{(4,0)}} \frac{e^2}{a^5(1 - e^2)^{7/2}} (1 + 2e^2),
$$

$$
\langle \dddot{M}_0 \dddot{M}_2 \rangle = \frac{7\alpha^2 A \tilde{A}^2}{a^5(1 - e^2)^{7/2}} (1 + 2e^2),
$$

$$
\langle \dddot{M}_2 \dddot{M}_2 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2 \tilde{A}^2}{a^5(1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left( 32 + 84e^2 + \frac{57}{8}e^4 \right),
$$

$$
\langle \dddot{M}_2 \dddot{M}_2 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2 \tilde{A}^2}{a^5(1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left( 32 + 84e^2 + \frac{57}{8}e^4 \right),
$$

$$
\langle \dddot{M}_1 \dddot{M}_3 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2 \tilde{A}^2}{a^5(1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left[ A_1 A^2 d^2 (1 - \frac{132}{8}e^2 - \frac{51}{8}e^4) - A_1 \tilde{A}^2 d^2 (1 - \frac{2192}{64}e^2 - \frac{3368}{64}e^4 - \frac{279}{64}e^6) \right],
$$

$$
\langle \dddot{M}_1 \dddot{M}_1 \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2 \tilde{A}^2}{a^5(1 - e^2)^{9/2}} \left[ A^2 d^2 (1 + 2e^2)^2 (1 + \frac{e^2}{2}) + \frac{A^2}{64} (64 + 608e^2 + 552e^4 + 36e^6) + 2A d \tilde{A}^2 \left( 1 + 3e^2 + \frac{3}{8}e^4 \right) \right],
$$

(7.10)
Here we have used \((6.14)\) and \((6.16)\). The details of the computation is given in Appendix \((A)\). Please note that we have truncated our expression in \((7.9)\) upto \(\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{e^M})\).

Then finally putting all these together we get,

\[
\langle \dot{E} \rangle = -\frac{32 \mu^2 M^3 \mathcal{G}_{12}^3}{5 \epsilon^2 \mathcal{G}^4_{4(0,0)} R^6} \frac{1}{(1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{73}{24} e^2 + \frac{37}{96} e^4 \right) - \frac{2 G_{4(1,0)} \xi}{c^5 \mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} \left[ \frac{2 A \beta \mu^2 M^3}{c^5} e^2 \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{4} \right) \right. \\
+ \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{3 \epsilon^2 a^6 (1 - e^2)^{9/2}} \left\{ A_d a_d^2 (1 - e^2)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\tilde{A}_d^2}{64} (64 + 608 e^2 + 552 e^4 + 36 e^6) + \\
2 A_d \tilde{A}_d \left( 1 + 3 e^2 + \frac{3}{4} e^4 \right) \right\} + \frac{7 \tilde{\alpha}^2 A \tilde{A} \mu^2 M}{6 \epsilon^2 a^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2} e^6} \left[ (1 + \frac{e^2}{4}) \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{1}{60 e^9 a^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \right] \left( A_1 A_d' \left( 1 - \frac{132}{8} e^2 - \frac{51}{8} e^4 \right) - \\
A_1 \tilde{A}_d \left( 1 - \frac{2192}{64} e^2 - \frac{3368}{64} e^4 - \frac{279}{64} e^6 \right) \right] ,
\]

\[(7.11)\]

where,

\[
A = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} - \frac{6 \mathcal{G}_{4(1,0)}}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} + \gamma_1 \right) \beta_2 + \left( \frac{3}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} - \frac{6 \mathcal{G}_{4(1,0)}}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} + \gamma_2 \right) \beta_1 \right] ,
\]

\[
A_d = \mu (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) , \quad \tilde{A} = 1 - \alpha',
\]

\[
\tilde{A}_d = \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^2} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} - \frac{6 \mathcal{G}_{4(1,0)}}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} + \gamma_1 \right) m_2 \beta_2 + \left( \frac{3}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} - \frac{6 \mathcal{G}_{4(1,0)}}{\mathcal{G}_{4(0,0)}} + \gamma_2 \right) m_1 \beta_1 \right] ,
\]

\[
\alpha_{1,2} = 2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)} s_{1,2}}{G_{4(1,0)} \phi_0} , \quad \beta_{1,2} = \left( 1 - \frac{2 G_{4(0,0)} s_{1,2}}{G_{4(1,0)} \phi_0} \right) ,
\]

\[
\gamma_{1,2} = \left( \frac{8 \xi s_{1,2}}{\phi_0} - \frac{8}{\phi_0} \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{G_{4(1,0)}} (s_{1,2} - s_{1,2}^2 + s_{1,2}) \xi \right) e^{-m_s R} ,
\]

\[
\alpha' = \frac{\alpha_1 m_2 + \alpha_2 m_1}{m_1 + m_2} , \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta M}}{G_{4(0,0)} a^3} .
\]

\[(7.12)\]

### 7.2 Angular Momentum loss rate for the binaries

Just like in the previous section where we calculated the energy flux for the binaries using the components of the energy-momentum tensor, the part of the tensor which contributes to
the angular momentum loss rate is given by $T_{ij}^{GW}$ component and we can calculate it from the following integral,

$$\langle \dot{L}^i \rangle = -c r^2 \epsilon^{ijk} \int d\Omega \langle T^{jk} \rangle$$

(7.13)

We can repeat the same step by step calculation from the previous section to obtain the integrals for calculating the tensor part and the scalar part. The integral for the tensor part takes the following form,

$$\langle \dot{L}_T \rangle = -\epsilon^{ijk} c^4 r^2 \frac{G_{4(0,0)}}{32\pi} \int d\Omega \langle \partial_0 \tilde{h}^{\mu\nu}_{TT} x^j \partial^k \tilde{h}^{TT}_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_0 \tilde{h}^{TT}_{ak} \tilde{h}^{TT}_{al} \rangle .$$

(7.14)

Then putting the solution for $\tilde{h}_{ij}$ mentioned in (6.7) and performing the orbital averaging we get,

$$\langle \dot{L}_T \rangle = -\frac{32\mu^2 M^{5/2}}{5e^5 G_{4(0,0)}^{7/2} R^5} \left\{ 1 + 2\xi G_{4(1,0)} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) - \frac{4s_a \xi G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0} \left( 1 - \frac{2s_b G_{4(0,0)}}{\phi_0 G_{4(1,0)}} \right) \right\}^{5/2}$$

$$\frac{1}{(1 - e^2)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{7}{8} e^2 \right) .$$

(7.15)

As for the scalar part, after using the solution for $\tilde{\phi}$ in (6.14) we get,

$$\langle \dot{L}_\phi \rangle = c r^2 \epsilon^{ikm} \int d\Omega \langle \frac{G_{4(1,0)}}{2G_{4(0,0)}} \frac{c^4}{16\pi} \partial_0 \tilde{\phi} x^k \partial^m \tilde{\phi} \rangle$$

$$\epsilon^{ikm} \langle \nabla_1^k \nabla_1^m \rangle = \frac{8}{15} \frac{\mu^2 \beta^3 m^3}{8 \pi^2 G_{4(0,0)} a^{7/2} (1 - e^2)} \left( 1 + \frac{7}{8} e^2 \right) ,$$

where,
\[ \epsilon^{ijm} \langle \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{ij} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^k \rangle = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^{5/2}}{10c^{10}a^{7/2}(1 - e^2)^2} A_1 A_d \left( 1 - \frac{7}{2} e^2 \right) - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^{5/2} A_1 \tilde{A}_d}{10c^{10}A_d a^{15/2}(1 - e^2)^6} \left( 3 - \frac{79}{2} e^2 - \frac{81}{4} e^4 \right) . \] (7.17)

Finally summing up the tensor and scalar contributions from (7.14) and (7.16) we get the full expression for the angular momentum flux.

\[ \langle \dot{L}^k \rangle = \frac{-2G_{4(1,0)}G_{4(0,0)}^2}{3G_{4(0,0)}} \left[ \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{c^7a^6(1 - e^2)^9/2} \left\{ A_2^2(1 - e^2)^2 + \tilde{A}_d^2(1 + 3 e^2 + \frac{3}{8} e^4) + \frac{1}{2} A_d \tilde{A}_d (1 - e^2)(3 + \frac{5}{4} e^2) \right\} - \frac{1}{a^{7/2}(1 - e^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{48\mu^2 \beta^3 M^3}{5e^5 G_{4(0,0)}^4} \left( \frac{G_{5/2}^{5/2}}{M^{1/2} G_{4(1,0)} R^5} \right) - \frac{1}{90c^2} \right\} \left( 1 + \frac{7}{8} e^2 \right) \right] 
- \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^{5/2} A_1 \tilde{A}_d}{10c^{10}A_d a^{15/2}(1 - e^2)^6} \left( 3 - \frac{79}{2} e^2 - \frac{81}{4} e^4 \right) \right] \] (7.18)

where,

\[ A_1 = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} - \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{m_1^2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{m_2^2} \right). \] (7.19)

## 8 Orbit dynamics

As discussed earlier, we have tacitly been able to restore the inverse-square law in this non-GR theory. The advantage is that at the leading order, which is supposed to be the Newtonian order, the inverse law in this seemingly non-GR theory allows using the usual planetary laws of Kepler to study the orbit dynamics. There is a coupling between the scalar field and the gravitational field in this theory. So there must be a manifestation of such coupling in the dynamics of the binaries. At the Newtonian order, we saw such effects in Sec. (4) through a redefinition of the Gravitational constant, providing an effective gravitational constant as mentioned in (4.5). Neglecting effects like radiation reaction on the system, we can write down the orbital energy and the orbital angular momentum of the system as

\[ E = -\frac{G_{12} M \mu}{2a}, \quad L^2 = G_{12} M \mu^2 a(1 - e^2) \] (8.1)

However, since the system is radiating energy we can expect a change of the orbit structures. We can however find this change by calculating the rate of change of energy angular momentum of the system. Then we can write down change in semi-major axis and the eccentricity.
in the following way,

\[ \langle \dot{a} \rangle = \frac{2a^2}{G_{12}M \mu} \langle \dot{E} \rangle \quad \langle \dot{c} \rangle = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{G_{12}M \mu c} \left[ \langle \dot{E} \rangle - \frac{(G_{12}M)^{1/2}}{a^{3/2}(1 - e^2)^{1/2}} \langle \dot{L} \rangle \right]. \quad (8.2) \]

Then using (7.11) and (7.18) we get from (8.2),

\[
\langle \dot{a} \rangle = - \frac{2a^2}{G_{12}M \mu} \left[ \frac{32\mu^2 M^3 G_{12}^3}{5c^5 G_{4(0,0)} R^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{73}{24} e^2 + \frac{37}{96} e^4 \right) + \frac{2A_2^2 \beta \mu^2 M^3}{c^5 G_{4(0,0)}} e^2 \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{4} \right) \right.
\]

\[
\quad + \frac{\alpha^2}{3c^7 a^6 (1 - e^2)^{9/2}} \left\{ A_2^2 \alpha^2 (1 - e^2)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\tilde{A}_d^2}{64} \right\} + 2A_d \tilde{A}_d \left( 1 + 3e^2 + \frac{3}{8} e^4 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{7} \right) + \frac{1}{60c^9 a^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} (160 + 424 e^2 + 393 e^4) + \frac{2}{15c^9 a^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left\{ A_1 A_d' \left( 1 - \frac{132}{8} e^2 - \frac{51}{8} e^4 \right) - A_1 \tilde{A}_d \left( 1 - \frac{2192}{64} e^2 - \frac{3368}{64} e^4 - \frac{279}{64} e^6 \right) \right\} \] \quad (8.3)

and

\[
\langle \dot{c} \rangle = - \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{G_{12}M \mu e} \left[ \frac{32\mu^2 M^3 G_{12}^3}{5G_{4(0,0)} R^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{73}{24} e^2 + \frac{37}{96} e^4 \right) + \frac{2c^5 G_{4(0,0)} \xi}{G_{4(0,0)}} \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{4} \right) \right.
\]

\[
\quad + \frac{\alpha^2}{3c^7 a^6 (1 - e^2)^{9/2}} \left\{ A_2^2 \alpha^2 (1 - e^2)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\tilde{A}_d^2}{64} \right\} + 2A_d \tilde{A}_d \left( 1 + 3e^2 + \frac{3}{8} e^4 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{7} \right) + \frac{1}{60c^9 a^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} (160 + 424 e^2 + 393 e^4) + \frac{2}{15c^9 a^5 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left\{ A_1 A_d' \left( 1 - \frac{132}{8} e^2 - \frac{51}{8} e^4 \right) - A_1 \tilde{A}_d \left( 1 - \frac{2192}{64} e^2 - \frac{3368}{64} e^4 - \frac{279}{64} e^6 \right) \right\} \]

\[
\quad - \frac{(G_{12}M)^{1/2} 2G_{4(0,0)} \xi}{a^{3/2}(1 - e^2)^{1/2} 3G_{4(0,0)}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{a^6 c^5 (1 - e^2)^{9/2}} \left\{ A_2^2 (1 - e^2)^2 + \tilde{A}_d^2 (1 + 3e^2 + \frac{3}{8} e^4) \right\} \right.
\]

\[
\quad + \frac{1}{a^7(1 - e^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{48\mu^2 \beta^2 M^3}{5c^5 G_{4(0,0)}^2} \left( \frac{G_{5/2}^{4(0,0)} G_{12}}{M^{1/2} G_{4(0,0)} R^5} - \frac{1}{90c^2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{7}{8} e^2 \right) \right\} - \frac{\alpha^5}{10c^9 A_1 A_d} \left( 1 - \frac{7}{2} e^2 \right) \left\{ \frac{\alpha^5}{10c^9 A_1 A_d} \left( 1 - \frac{7}{2} e^2 \right) \right\} \] \quad (8.4)
The expressions above in combination with the Kepler-Law

\[ a^3 \left( \frac{2\pi}{P} \right)^2 = G_{12} M \]

gives an off-hand estimate that the rate of change is somewhat related to the fraction of change in the orbital period of the binary. This provides an avenue to constrain some parameters of the theory as the orbital period of the binary is something we can measure accurately. Some observations can be made from the above expressions:

- The expressions for \( \langle \dot{e} \rangle \) and \( \langle \dot{a} \rangle \) have a common feature: the contribution from GR is followed by a contribution from scalar part followed by terms which arises due to Post-Newtonian corrections.

- Some terms in the corrections have a negative sign upfront which might seem to negate the possibility of decrease in major axis and eccentricity during inspiral motion. However, this is not the case since those terms turn out to be actually negative, e.g. \(- \left(1 - \frac{7}{2} e^2 \right)\) is actually a positive quantity for non-zero eccentricity value.

- The terms appearing in expressions are all subleading by which we mean that the terms are suppressed by powers of \( \frac{1}{c} \) than the GR term. So the whole expression inside the bracket is a positive contribution to the GR term having only a minus sign upfront. However, there are terms like,

\[- \frac{(G_{12} M)^{1/2} 2G d(1,0) \xi}{a^{15/2} (1 - e^2)^3} \frac{\alpha^2}{c^7} \left\{ A^2_d (1 - e^2)^2 + \tilde{A}^2_d (1 + 3e^2 + \frac{3}{8}e^4) + \frac{1}{2} A_d \tilde{A}_d (1 - e^2)(3 + \frac{5}{4} e^2) \right\} \]

which appear in the third line of the (8.4) with a minus sign gives an overall positive contribution to (8.4). But, such terms are again suppressed by powers of \( c \) compared to the GR term, hence eccentricity still decays and rate is faster than GR. Also note that we have truncated the (7.9) upto \( O(\frac{1}{c^m}) \). It will be interesting to investigate whether those higher-order terms would positively contribute to the eccentricity evolution rate and how they affect the decay rate.
9 Conclusion

In this paper, we have looked into the most general scalar-tensor theory called the Horndeski theory without considering any screening. Our aim was to explore the orbital dynamics of such a theory and look into the radiation coming from eccentric binaries of such systems, making our analysis more general than the previous studies. The calculations have been done upto the next leading order Post-Newtonian expansion to find the solutions for the scalar field. The theory generically violates GWEP and admits a deviation from inverse-square law force expressions. However, we managed to restore the inverse law leading to usual planetary law relations. The radiations are obtained from an energy-momentum tensor obtained from short-wavelength approximation outlined in [69]. We have also concluded that the orbital period change is related to the changes in the major axis and eccentricity of the orbit, which has a scalar and a tensor part. The tensor part is precisely like GR, and the only effect on the orbital period is the occurrence of an effective gravitational constant. Unlike the scalar sector, it has no monopole or dipole radiations. The monopole term in the scalar radiation vanishes for a quasi-circular case. However, since we have considered eccentric binaries, there’s a non-zero monopole contribution in addition to the other terms.

These expressions are sufficient to explore the theory-finding constraints on the parameters of the theory just as done in [84], using binary pulsar data. Our analysis can be utilized to look at the imprint of the signatures of such theories in GW chirping. Recent GW observations offer an excellent possibility to test such theories. The interpretation of such observations relies on the orbital dynamics in such theories, which is where our analysis becomes significant. The orbital decays show that the decay rate is faster than GR and that the decays have the theory’s parameters. The modifications in the expressions allow us to find some imprints in GW signals. An immediate effort is to find out a waveform for such theories using the expressions we have obtained and look into constraining the theory’s parameters using proper statistical methods, much in the lines of [85]. We hope to report it in an upcoming publication [86]. Also, one can look into search algorithms by constructing appropriate template banks of this theory and provide a statistical likelihood for finding such binaries. As a next step, we would like to look into the theory in the presence of screening mechanisms which will be the most general scenario. Furthermore, one might also consider the complete set of post-Keplerian parameters and explore the sensitivities of such theories to see whether they can be related to some astrophysical objects like its dependence on the star mass in this gravity theory. These will provide an exciting avenue to explore.
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A Multipole moments

We list below the expressions for time derivative of the individual multipole moments used in the calculation of fluxes in the main text.

\[
\dot{M}_0 = -A\mu M \frac{e \sin \theta}{a(1-e^2)} \sqrt{\frac{\beta m}{G(0,0)}} \frac{1}{a^3} (1-e^2)^{-3/2}(1+e \cos \theta), \tag{A.1}
\]

\[
\ddot{M}_1 = -\tilde{\alpha} \mu \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{(1-e^2)^3} a(1-e^2)
\]

\[
\left\{ \cos \left[ \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right] \left( 1 + e \cos \left[ \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right] \right)^2, \left( \sin \omega (t - \frac{r}{c}) \right) \left( 1 + e \cos \left[ \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right] \right) \right\},
\]

\[
\frac{\mu}{c^2} \left[ - \left( \frac{3}{G(0,0)} - 6 \frac{G(1,0)}{G(0,0)} \xi + \gamma_1 \right) m_2 \beta_2 + \left( \frac{3}{G(0,0)} - 6 \frac{G(1,0)}{G(0,0)} \xi + \gamma_2 \right) m_1 \beta_1 \right]
\]

\[
\left\{ \cos \left[ \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right] + e \cos^2 \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) - 2e \sin^2 \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \left( 1 + e \cos \left[ \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right] \right)^3, \right.
\]

\[
\left. \left( - \sin \omega (t - \frac{r}{c}) [1 + 3e \cos \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right)] \left( 1 + e \cos \left[ \omega \left( t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \right] \right)^3, 0 \right\}, \tag{A.2}
\]
\[ \mathcal{M}_{21}^{11} = \tilde{\alpha}^{3/2} \mu (1 - \tilde{\alpha}) a^2 (4 + 3e \cos \theta) \sin 2\theta (1 + e \cos \theta)^2, \]
\[ \mathcal{M}_{22}^{22} = -\tilde{\alpha}^{3/2} \mu (1 - \tilde{\alpha}) a^2 (8 \cos \theta + e(5 + 3 \cos 2\theta)) \sin 2\theta (1 + e \cos \theta)^2, \]
\[ \mathcal{M}_{22}^{11} = -\tilde{\alpha}^{3/2} \mu (1 - \tilde{\alpha}) a^2 (5e \cos \theta + 8 \cos 2\theta + 3e \cos 3\theta)(1 + e \cos \theta)^2, \]
\[ \mathcal{M}_{3}^{11} = -\frac{1}{4} \tilde{\alpha}^2 \mu^3 A_1 a^3 ((-4 + 29e^2) \cos \theta + 5e(8 \cos 2\theta + 3e \cos 3\theta))(1 + e \cos \theta)^2, \]
\[ \mathcal{M}_{3}^{11} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\alpha}^2 \mu^3 A_1 a^3 (-2 + 27e^2 + 5e(18 \cos \theta + 3e\cos 2\theta))(1 + e \cos \theta)^2. \] (A.3)
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