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Abstract
Our concern in this paper is to study the qualitative properties for harmonic functions related to the fractional Laplacian. Firstly we classify the polynomials in the whole space and in the half space for the fractional Laplacian defined in a principle value sense at infinity. Secondly, we study the fractional harmonic functions in half space with singularities on the boundary and the related distributional identities.
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1 Introduction

Let \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( s \in (0,1) \) be such that \( N > 2s \) and \( (-\Delta)^s \) is the fractional Laplacian defined by
\[
(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} c_{N,s} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} \frac{u(x) - u(x + z)}{|z|^{N+2s}} \, dz,
\]
where \( B_r(A) \) is the ball centered at \( A \) and with the radius \( r \), \( B_r = B_r(0) \) and
\[
c_{N,s} = 2^{2s} \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} s \frac{\Gamma(N+2s)}{\Gamma(1-s)} > 0
\]
is a normalized constant such that the Fourier transform holds
\[
\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s u)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \quad \text{for} \quad u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)
\]
and \( \Gamma \) is the Gamma function.

In recent years, there has been a renewed and increasing interest in the study of Dirichlet problems involving linear and nonlinear integro-differential operators, especially for the simplest model— the fractional Laplacian. This growing interest is justified both by seminal advances in the understanding of nonlocal phenomena from a PDE or a probabilistic point of view, see e.g. \cite{3,5,11,23} and the references therein, and by important applications. The study of the Green kernel of the fractional Laplacian started as early as in 1961 \cite{7} working on a ball. In various settings, \cite{6,15,18} provide more estimates on the Green kernels in bounded domains and the authors in \cite{9} derive the formula of the Green kernel in half space. For the \( s \)-harmonic functions, we refer to \cite{22}
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in cones and in bounded domains. The Poisson kernels in bounded domain could see [15, 21] and some interesting discussions of distributional identities with outside sources could see [10].

From the integral-differential form of the fractional Laplacian, a natural restriction for the function $u$ is

$$\|u\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}} dx < +\infty.$$ 

With the restriction, the $s$-harmonic functions can be grown over $|x|^{2s}$, see the references [8, 15]. However, the principle value sense at infinity in the definition of the fractional Laplacian allows (1.1) to be well defined for some special functions not being in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for example, functions with oddness in some variables. Our purpose in this article is to study the $s$-harmonic functions in the whole space and in upper the half space.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let’s denote the $m$-order polynomials’ set

$$\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=m} b_\alpha x^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N \right\},$$

where $\mathbb{N}$ is the nonnegative integers’ set and $x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i^{\alpha_i}$. We start the present paper from the the $s$-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^N$ i.e. the classical solution of

$$(-\Delta)^s u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.2)$$

For $s \in (0, 1]$ let

$$\mathbb{H}_m^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ H \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) : H \text{ is } s\text{-harmonic in } \mathbb{R}^N \right\}.$$ 

Particularly,

$$\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{H}_m^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

which is the set of $m$ order polynomials harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^N$, i.e.

$$-\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.3)$$

**Theorem 1.1** Let $N \geq 2$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\mathbb{H}_m^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

That is, the $m$ order harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is fractional harmonic.

From Theorem [1.1] we know that the fractional harmonic polynomial set inherit the properties of $\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and we refer the readers to [1].

Secondly, the $s$-harmonic in half space

$$\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+ , \\
 u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_*
\end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\mathbb{R}^N_+ = (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R}^N_* = (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}.$$ 

We denote

$$\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N_+) := \left\{ u \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ verifies (1.4)} \right\}.$$ 

It is well-known that $(x_1)_+^s$ is a $s$-harmonic function (1.4), see the proof in Section s5.
Theorem 1.2 Let $N \geq 3$, $s \in (0,1)$ and

$$A_{s,m}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{ (x_1)^s H_m(x') : H_m \in \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}) \},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_1)_+ = \max\{x_1,0\}$. Then

$$A_{s,m}(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N_+ \setminus \{0\}) \text{ for } m \geq 0.$$

The second part in this paper is devoted to the $s$-harmonic functions with isolated singular on the boundary

$$(−\Delta)^s u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,\quad u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$  

Note that when $s = 1$, the prototype problem

$$\begin{cases}
−\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,
\quad u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+ \setminus \{0\}
\end{cases}$$

has the well-known fundamental solution

$$P_1(x) = c_N |x|^{-N} x_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,$$

where $c_N > 0$. Moreover, $P_1$ is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases}
−\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,
\quad u = \delta_0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+
\end{cases}$$

in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} P_1(x)(−\Delta)\varphi(x)dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\varphi(0), \quad \forall \varphi \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \cap C^0_0(\mathbb{R}^N_+).$$

Note that the second equation of (1.6) holds in the trace sense

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} P_1(t,x')\zeta(x')dx' = \zeta(0) \quad \text{for } \zeta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}).$$

The semilinear elliptic problem involving the Radon measure data $\mu$, $\nu$

$$−\Delta u + g(u) = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = \mu \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

has been studied deeply in [17][19][23].

Back to our problem (1.5), we want to study the counterpart of $P_1$ for the fractional Laplacian in the upper half space. To this end, we let

$$\mathcal{P}_s(x) = \begin{cases}
\mathcal{K}_s |x|^{-N} x_1^s & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\
0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+ \setminus \{0\},
\end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where, in the sequel, we use the following constants

$$\mathcal{K}_s = k_{N,s} 2^{2s-1} \pi^{-s} \text{ and } \kappa_{N,s} = \pi^{-(N/2+1)} \Gamma(N/2) \sin(\pi s).$$

In order to state the distributional identity, we need the following test functions’ space:

*Given a domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^N$, let $\mathcal{X}_s(\Omega) \subset C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the space of functions $\xi$ satisfying:*
(i) \( \xi \) has the compact support in \( \bar{\Omega} \);
(ii) \( \rho(x)^{-s} \xi \) is continuous in \( \bar{\Omega} \) and \( \|(-\Delta)^s \xi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty \), where \( \rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \);
(iii) there exist \( \varphi \in L^1(\Omega, \rho^s dx) \) and \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that \( |(-\Delta)^s \xi| \leq \varphi \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), for all \( \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0] \).

We remark that \( \rho(x) = x_1 \) if \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) and \( C^\infty_c(\Omega) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X}_s(\Omega) \).

**Theorem 1.3** Let \( P_s \) be defined in (1.8) and \( e_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N \). Then \( P_s \) is \( s \)-Harmonic in the upper half space i.e. a solution of (1.5) and it is a weak solution of

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} P_s(x)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx = \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_1^s} \varphi(0) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+),
\]

(1.9)

where

\[
\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_1^s} \varphi(0) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi(te_1)}{t^s}.
\]

It is remarkable that, unlike (1.6), in the trace sense \( P_s \) is not Dirac mass in the boundary trace, i.e. it isn’t a weak solution of

\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,

u = \delta_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N_+,
\end{cases}
\]

(1.10)

which, from [10], has no weak solution. Thanks to the nonlocal property of the fractional Laplacian, the source outside of the domain is taken in the calculation of the first equation of (1.10) directly, which makes the situation of outside source play a different role from the Laplacian problem.

Our next aim is to understand the singularity holds in what trace sense. To this end, we approximate the fundamental function \( P_s \) by the Green kernel and Poisson kernel in the half space. Subject to zero Dirichlet boundary condition in \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), the Green kernel \( G_{s,\infty} \) has the formula (see [9]):

\[
G_{s,\infty}(x,y) = \begin{cases}
\frac{k_{N,s}}{2} |x - y|^{2s-N} \int_0^{\psi_\infty(x,y)} \frac{t^{-s-1}}{(t+1)^{N/2}} dt & \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N_+,

0 & \text{if } x \text{ or } y \notin \mathbb{R}^N_+,
\end{cases}
\]

(1.11)

where

\[
\psi_\infty(x,y) = \frac{4x_1y_1}{|x - y|^2}.
\]

The Poisson kernel in half space is written as following

\[
P_{s,\infty}(x,y) = K_s \left( \frac{x_1}{-y_1} \right)^s |x - y|^{-N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^N_+,
\]

(1.12)

where \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ = (-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \).

**Theorem 1.4** Let \( P_{s,\infty}, \ G_{s,\infty} \) be Green kernel and Poisson kernel in \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), then as \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \), there hold

\[
e^{-s}G_{s,\infty}(\cdot, \epsilon e_1) \to P_s
\]

\[
e^sP_{s,\infty}(\cdot, -\epsilon e_1) \to P_s
\]

in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s) \) and uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), where \( d\mu_s = (1 + |x|)^{-N-2s} dx \).
Our final aim is to study the solution of

\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,

u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_-
\end{cases}
\]  

(1.13)

subject to the blowing up on the whole boundary of \(\mathbb{R}^N_+\).

**Theorem 1.5** Let

\[
Q_s(x) = \begin{cases}
x_1^{s-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+ \\
0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_-
\end{cases}
\]

Then \(Q_s\) is a solution of (1.13) and it verifies that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} Q_s(x) (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) \, dx = C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_1^s} \varphi(0, x') \, dx' \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+),
\]

(1.14)

where

\[
C_s = 2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{s}{\sin(\pi s)} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s)}.
\]

Moreover, as \(\epsilon \to 0^+\), there hold

\[
C_s \epsilon^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}(\cdot, -\epsilon \omega_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}) \, dx \to Q_s
\]

in \(L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s)\) and uniformly in any compact set of \(\mathbb{R}^N_+,\) where \(\omega_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}\) is the Hausdorff measure of \(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}_.\)

Note that the main tool of the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the Poisson kernel in half space. From which, we can also get the well-known \(s\)-harmonic function \(x_1^{s-1}\) in half space \(\mathbb{R}^N_+\) and we also refer to [15, Theorem 5.1] for the uniqueness.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 is devoted to the \(s\)-harmonic polynomials in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) and in \(\mathbb{R}^N_+\). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.5 by the Green kernel \(G_{s, \infty}^+\) via passing to the limit with \(y = \epsilon e_1\) as \(\epsilon \to 0\). Section 4 is devoted to the derivation of the Poisson kernel \(\mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}\) and then show the approximation to the fundamental solution \(\mathcal{P}_s\) by this Poisson kernel. Finally, we obtain the whole boundary explosive solution \(x_1^{s-1}\) by the Poisson kernel \(\mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}\) and give the proof of Theorem 1.5 in Section 5.

2 Harmonic polynomials

2.1 In the whole space

Here we involve general kernel for nonlocal problem

\[
\mathcal{L}_K u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
\]

(2.1)

where

\[
\mathcal{L}_K u(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{B_\epsilon \setminus B_\epsilon} \left( u(x) - u(x + z) \right) K(|z|) \, dz
\]

(2.2)
and the kernel $K : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is continuous. We set

$$\mathbb{H}_m^K(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ H \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) : H \text{ verifies (2.1)} \right\}.$$  

We have the following classifications:

**Theorem 2.1** Let $N \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $K$ verify that

$$\int_0^1 K(t)t^2dz < +\infty \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\int_1^{+\infty} K(t)t^{N+1}dz = +\infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{H}_m^K(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

**Particularly, for** $m = 0, 1$

$$\mathbb{H}_m^K(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

**Proposition 2.1** Let $N \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K$ verify (2.3), then

$$\mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset \mathbb{H}_m^K(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

**Particularly, for** $m = 0, 1$

$$\mathbb{H}_m^K(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

**Proof.** Let $h_m \in \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $h_m(\cdot + z)$ is also harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Note that

$$h_0(x) = c_0 \text{ and } h_1(\cdot + z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i(x_i + z_i)$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $i = 0, \ldots, N$, then

$$h_1(x + z) - h_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i z_i$$

Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} (h_1(x) - h_1(x + z))K(|z|)dz = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} z_iK(|z|)dz = 0$$

by the oddness of $h_1$. Therefore, we obtain that for $m = 0, 1$

$$\mathbb{H}_m^K(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

**Now we deal with the case:** $m \geq 2$. Note that

$$h_m(x + z) = \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m} u_{\alpha_1}(x)v_{\alpha_2}(z),$$
where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}^m \),

\[ u_{\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{P}_{|\alpha_1|}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{P}_{|\alpha_2|}(\mathbb{R}^N). \]

Particularly, \( v_{\alpha_2} = 1 \) if \( |\alpha_1| = m \). We have the decomposition

\[
h_m(x + z) - h_m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i(x)z_i + \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2|\geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) v_{\alpha_2}(z), \tag{2.5}
\]

where \( u_i \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( u_{\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{P}_{m-|\alpha_2|}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \).

Note that the first term in the right hand of (2.5) is linear for variable \( z \), this term could be dealt with as the case \( m = 1 \).

Next we claim that for \( |\alpha_2| \geq 2 \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} v_{\alpha_2}(z) d\omega(z) = 0, \tag{2.6}
\]

where \( \mathbb{S}^N \) be the unit sphere centered at the origin, \( d\omega \) is the Hausdorff measure of \( \mathbb{S}^N \).

In fact, thanks to the harmonic property of \( h_m \), we have that

\[
(\Delta)z (h_m(x + z) - h_m(x)) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N
\]

and the mean value property

\[
(N|\mathbb{S}^N|)^{-1} r^{1-N} \int_{\partial B_r} (h_m(x + z) - h_m(x)) d\omega(z) = h_m(x + 0) - h_m(x) = 0,
\]

then, together with (2.5), we obtain that

\[
0 = \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2|\geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{\partial B_r} v_{\alpha_2}(z) d\omega_r(z)
= \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2|\geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) r^{|\alpha_2|+N-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} v_{\alpha_2}(z) d\omega(z).
\]

where \( d\omega_r \) is the Hausdorff measure of \( \partial B_r \) and \( d\omega_r = r^{N-1} d\omega \).

Let

\[
t_{\alpha_2} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} v_{\alpha_2}(z) d\omega(z),
\]

then taking \( x \) satisfy \( u_{\alpha_1}(x) \neq 0 \), and \( r = 1, \cdots, m^N \), we get the system

\[
\sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2|\geq 2} b_{\alpha_2} n^{|\alpha_2|+N-1} t_{\alpha_2} = 0
\]

where the coefficients are given

\[
b_{\alpha_2} = u_{\alpha_1}(x) \neq 0.
\]

We obtain that

\[
t_{\alpha_2} = 0,
\]

which implies that (2.6) holds.
Therefore, for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$
\int_{B_{\epsilon} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} (h_m(x) - h_m(x + z)) K(|z|)dz
$$

$$
= \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{B_{\epsilon} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} v_{\alpha_2}(z) K(|z|)dz
$$

$$
= |S^N| \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} K(r)r^{m_2 + N-1} \int_{S^N} v_{\alpha_2}(z)d\omega(z)dr
$$

$$
= 0
$$

Now passing to the limit as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, we obtain that $h_m \in \mathbb{H}^K_m(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We complete the proof. □

**Proposition 2.2** Let $N \geq 2$, $K$ verify (2.3), (2.4), $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, then

$$
\mathbb{H}^K_m(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).
$$

**Proof.** Let

$$
h_m(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| = m} b_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^K_m(\mathbb{R}^N)
$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $b_\alpha \neq 0$. Then direct computation shows that

$$
h_m(x + z) = \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m} u_{\alpha_1}(x) v_{\alpha_2}(z),
$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $u_{\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{P}_{|\alpha_1|}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $v_{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{P}_{|\alpha_2|}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Moreover,

$$
h_m(x + z) - h_m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i(x) z_i + \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) v_{\alpha_2}(z), \quad (2.7)
$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

From the definition of fractional laplacian, Therefore, we obtain that for $m_2 \geq 2$

$$
0 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{B_{\epsilon} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} (h_1(x) - h_1(x + z)) K(|z|)dz
$$

$$
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left( \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{B_{\epsilon} \setminus B_{\epsilon}} v_{\alpha_2}(z) K(|z|)dz \right)
$$

$$
= |S^N| \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left( \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} K(r)r^{m_2 + N-1}dr \left( \sum_{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| = m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{S^N} v_{\alpha_2}(z)d\omega(z) \right) \right).
From (2.4) and $|\alpha_2| \geq 2$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^{1} K(r)r^{|\alpha_2|+N-1}dr = +\infty,$$

then it must be

$$\sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{\partial B_r} v_{\alpha_2}(z)d\omega(z) = 0.$$ 

This means, for any $r > 0$

$$\sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{\partial B_r} v_{\alpha_2}(z)d\omega(z) = 0$$

by the homogeneity of $v_{\alpha_2}$. Therefore, we obtain that for any $r > 0$

$$\int_{\partial B_r} (h_m(x+z) - h_m(x))d\omega_r(z) = 0$$

$$= \left| S^N \right| \sum_{|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|=m, |\alpha_2| \geq 2} u_{\alpha_1}(x) \int_{\partial B_r} v_{\alpha_2}(z)d\omega_r(z)$$

$$= 0$$

$$= h_m(x + 0) - h_m(x),$$

and the converse of mean value property implies that

$$-\Delta_x h_m(x) = -\Delta_z h_m(x + 0) = -\Delta_z (h_m(x + 0) - h_m(x)) = 0.$$ 

By the arbitrary of $x$, we obtain $h_m \in \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We complete the proof.  

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Theorem 2.1 follows by Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 directly.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 When $K(z) = c_{N,s}|z|^{-N-2s}$, $L_K = (-\Delta)^s$, $\mathbb{H}_m^{s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ coincides $\mathbb{H}_m^{s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then Theorem 1.1 follows by Theorem 2.1 We complete the proof.

2.2 In the upper half space

For simplicity, according to the variable separable form $u(x_1, x') = x_1^s H_m(x')$, we can take an equivalent definition of the fractional Laplacian in the principle value sense

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x_1, x') = c_{N,s} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)^n} \int_{B_\epsilon^* \setminus B_{\epsilon'}^*} \frac{u(x_1, x') - u(x_1 + z_1, x' + z')}{|z|^{N+2s}} d\omega(z) d\omega(z')$$

where $B_r^*$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius $r$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $N \geq 3$, $h_m \in \mathbb{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$ and

$$u_m(x_1, x') = (x_1)^s h_m(x'),$$

Then
where
\[(x_1)_+ = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{for } x_1 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x_1 \leq 0. \end{cases}\]

Then
\[(-\Delta)^s u_m = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.\]

**Proof.** We use the definition (2.8) to obtain that
\[
\frac{1}{c_{N,s}} (-\Delta)^s u_m (x_1, x')
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}) \cup (\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\epsilon})} \left( (x_1)^+ - (x_1 + \epsilon)^+ \right) \int_{B_1^s \setminus B_r^s} \frac{h_m(x')}{|z'|^{N+2s}} dz' dz_1 \\
+ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}, -\epsilon) \cup (\epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon})} (x_1 - \epsilon)^+ \int_{B_1^s \setminus B_r^s} \frac{h_m(x') - h_m(x' + \epsilon)}{|z'|^{N+2s}} dz' dz_1 \\
= h_m(x') \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}, -\epsilon) \cup (\epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon})} \frac{(x_1)^+ - (x_1 + \epsilon)^+}{z_1^{1+2s}} dz_1 \int_{B_1^s \setminus B_r^s} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^{N+2s})} dz' \\
+ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}, -\epsilon) \cup (\epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon})} (x_1 - \epsilon)^+ \int_{B_1^s \setminus B_r^s} \left( h_m(x') - h_m(x' + \epsilon) \right) K_{z_1}(|z'|) dz' dz_1,
\]
where
\[
\int_{(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}, -\epsilon) \cup (\epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon})} \frac{(x_1)^+ - (x_1 + \epsilon)^+}{z_1^{1+2s}} dz_1 \to 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0^+,
\]
\[
\int_{B_1^s \setminus B_r^s} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^{N+2s})} dz' \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^{N+2s})} dz' \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0^+
\]
and for any \(z_1, K_{z_1}\) verifies (2.8), the proof of Proposition 2.1 we see that
\[
\int_{B_1^s \setminus B_r^s} \left( h_m(x') - h_m(x' + \epsilon) \right) K_{z_1}(|z'|) dz' = 0 \text{ for } \epsilon \in (0, 1).
\]

Then passing to the limit, we obtain that
\[(-\Delta)^s u_m = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.\]

We complete the proof. \(\square\)

### 3 Approximation by the Green kernel

Note that the Green function associated with \((-\Delta)^s\) in the unit ball \(B_1\) was computed by Blumenthal, Getoor and Ray in [7] with the formula
\[
G_{s,1}(x, y) = \kappa_{N,s} |x - y|^{2s-N} \int_0^{(x,y)} (\psi(x,y)+1)^{1/2} \frac{(z^2 - 1)^{s-1}}{z^{N-1}} dz \\
= \frac{\kappa_{N,s}}{2} |x - y|^{2s-N} \int_0^{(x,y)} \frac{z^{s-1}}{(z + 1)^{N/2}} dz
\]
for \( x, y \in B_1 \) and \( \mathcal{G}_{s,1}(x, y) = 0 \) if \( x \notin B_1 \) or \( y \notin B_1 \), where
\[
\psi(x, y) = \frac{(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)}{|x - y|^2}
\]
and \( k_{N,s} \) is the normalization constant
\[
k_{N,s} = \pi^{-(N/2+1)} \Gamma(N/2) \sin(\pi s).
\]
If \( N = 1 = 2s \), then direct computations give
\[
\int_0^{\psi(x,y)} \frac{z^{-1/2}}{(z+1)^{1/2}} \, dz = 2 \ln \frac{1 - xy + (1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - y^2)^{1/2}}{|x - y|}
\]
and in this case we have that
\[
\mathcal{G}_{s,1}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1 - xy + (1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - y^2)^{1/2}}{|x - y|}.
\]
By the dilation, the Green function for the ball \( B_R \) with \( R > 0 \) is given by
\[
\mathcal{G}_{s,R}(x, y) = R^{2s-N} \mathcal{G}_{s,1}\left(\frac{x}{R}, \frac{y}{R}\right)
\]
with \( \psi_R(x, y) = \frac{(R^2-|x|^2)(R^2-|y|^2)}{R^2|x-y|^2} \). From [9, (3.1)], the Green function \( G^+_{s,\infty} \) in the half space \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) has the formula
\[
\mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(x, y) = k_{N,s} |x - y|^{2s-N} \int_0^{\psi_{\infty}(x,y)} \frac{r^{s-1}}{(r+1)^{N/2}} \, dr \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N_+, \quad (3.1)
\]
where
\[
\psi_{\infty}(x, y) = \frac{4x_N y_N}{|x - y|^2}.
\]

Our next aim is to obtain \( \mathcal{P}_s \) by passing to the limit of Green kernel \( G^+_{s,\infty}(x, y) \).

**Lemma 3.1** Let \( \mathcal{G}_{s,\infty} \) be defined in (3.1), then there holds that
\[
e^{-s} \mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(\cdot, \epsilon e_1) \to \mathcal{P}_s \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0^+
\]in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s) \) and uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \).
Moreover, for \( \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \)
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} e^{-s} \mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(x, \epsilon e_1)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \mathcal{P}_s(x)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) \, dx. \quad (3.3)
\]

**Proof.** We first claim that for any open set \( O, \overline{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^N_+ \),
\[
e^{-s} \mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(\cdot, \epsilon e_1) \to \mathcal{P}_s \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0^+ \quad \text{uniformly in } O. \quad (3.4)
\]
Note that

\[ |x - c\epsilon_1| = |x|^2 \left( 1 - 2|x|^{-2}x_1\epsilon + |x|^{-2}\epsilon^2 \right) \]

and

\[
\frac{4x_1}{|x|^2} \epsilon < \psi_\infty(x, y_c) = \frac{4x_1 \epsilon}{|x|^2 \left( 1 - 2\epsilon|x|^{-2}x_1 + |x|^{-2}\epsilon^2 \right)} < \frac{4x_1}{|x|^2} \left( 1 + 2\epsilon|x|^{-2}x_1 \right) \epsilon,
\]

then

\[
|x|^{2s-N} < |x - c\epsilon_1|^{2s-N} < |x|^{2s-N} \left( 1 + 4(N-2s)|x|^{-2}x_1\epsilon \right),
\]

\[
\int_0^{\psi_\infty(x, y_c)} \frac{r^{s-1}}{(r+1)^{\frac{s}{2}}} dr < \int_0^{\psi_\infty(x, y_c)} r^{s-1} dr = s^{-1}\psi_\infty^s(x, y_c)
\]

\[
< 4^s s^{-1} \frac{x_1}{|x|^{2s}} \left( 1 + 2\epsilon|x|^{-2}x_1 \right)^s \epsilon^s
\]

\[
< 4^s s^{-1} \frac{x_1}{|x|^{2s}} \left( 1 + 2s \epsilon^s |x|^{-s} \right) \epsilon^s
\]

and

\[
\int_0^{\psi_\infty(x, y_c)} \frac{r^{s-1}}{(r+1)^{\frac{s}{2}}} dr > \int_0^{\psi_\infty(x, y_c)} \left( r^{s-1} - N\epsilon^s \right) dr
\]

\[
= s^{-1}\psi_\infty^s(x, y_c)^s - \frac{N}{1+s} \psi_\infty^s(x, y_c)^{1+s}
\]

\[
> 4^s s^{-1} \frac{x_1}{|x|^{2s}} \epsilon^s - \frac{4x_1}{|x|^2} \left( 1 + 2\epsilon|x|^{-2}x_1 \right)^{1+s} \epsilon^{1+s}
\]

\[
> 4^s s^{-1} \frac{x_1}{|x|^{2s}} \epsilon \left( 1 - 2^{1+s} \epsilon \right)
\]

Thus we conclude that

\[ \epsilon^{-s}\mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(x, c\epsilon_1) > 4^s s^{-1} \left( 1 - 2^{1+s} \epsilon \right)|x|^{-N} x_1^s \]

and for some \( c > 0 \)

\[ \epsilon^{-s}\mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(x, c\epsilon_1) < 4^s s^{-1} \left( 1 + c\epsilon^s |x|^{-s} \right)|x|^{-N} x_1^s, \]

which imply that for \( \epsilon > 0 \) small

\[ \left| \epsilon^{-s}\mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(x, c\epsilon_1) - \mathcal{P}_s(x) \right| \leq c\epsilon^s |x|^{-N}. \]

Thus, (3.2) holds uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \).

Now for any \( R > 1 > \sigma > 0 \) large enough

\[
\int_{B^+_R \setminus B^+_\sigma} \left| \epsilon^{-s}\mathcal{G}_{s,\infty}(x, c\epsilon_1) - \mathcal{P}_s(x) \right| dx < c\epsilon^s \int_{B^+_R \setminus B^+_\sigma} |x|^{-N} dx
\]

\[
\leq c\epsilon^s \ln \frac{1}{\sigma}
\]
and
\[ \int_{B^+} \left| e^{-s} G_{s, \infty}(x, \varepsilon e_1) - P_s(x) \right| \, dx \leq \int_{B^+} \left( e^{-s} G_{s, \infty}(x, \varepsilon e_1) + P_s(x) \right) \, dx \leq c(e^{-s} \sigma^2 + \sigma^s). \]

Taking \( \sigma = 4\varepsilon \), we can see that
\[ \int_{B_R^+} \left| e^{-s} G_{s, \infty}(x, \varepsilon e_1) - P_s(x) \right| \, dx \leq c(e^s \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + e^{-s} \sigma^2 + \sigma^s) \]

\[ \leq c(e^s \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^s) \]

\[ \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+. \]

Therefore, (3.2) holds in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s) \).

For \( \varphi \in X_s(\mathbb{R}^N) \), we have that \((-\Delta)^s \varphi\) is bounded in \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) and
\[ |(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{N+2s}} \]
for some \( c > 0 \), then we deduce (3.3). We complete the proof. \( \square \)

In the approximation of the fundamental solution \( P_s \), we need the following regularity results:

**Lemma 3.2** [13, Lemma 3.1] Assume that \( w \in C^{2s+\varepsilon}(\overline{B_1}) \) with \( \varepsilon > 0 \) satisfies
\[ (-\Delta)^s w = f \quad \text{in} \quad B_1, \]
where \( f \in C^1(\overline{B_1}) \). Then for \( \beta \in (0, 2s) \), there exist \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \) such that
\[ \|w\|_{C^{2s+\beta}(B_{1/2})} \leq c_1 \left( \|w\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, d\mu_s)} \right) \]
(3.5)
and
\[ \|w\|_{C^{2s+\beta}(B_{1/4})} \leq c_2 \left( \|w\|_{C^s(B_{1/4})} + \|f\|_{C^s(B_{1/4})} + \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, d\mu_s)} \right). \]
(3.6)

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.3

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** From (3.3), for any compact set \( O \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), there exists \( c > 0 \) such that
\[ \|e^{-s} G_{s, \infty}(\cdot, \varepsilon e_1)\|_{C^{2s+\beta}(O)} \leq c_2 \|e^{-s} G_{s, \infty}(\cdot, \varepsilon e_1)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, d\mu_s)}. \]

Therefore, up to subsequence, there holds
\[ e^{-s} G_{s, \infty}(\cdot, \varepsilon e_1) \to P_s \quad \text{in} \quad C^{2s+\beta}(O) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+, \]
which, together with (3.2) in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s) \), implies that for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+ \)
\[ (-\Delta)^s P_s(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon^{-s}(-\Delta)^s G_{s, \infty}(x, \varepsilon e_1) = 0. \]
Therefore, we obtain that
\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)^s P_s(x) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,
\quad P_s(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+ \setminus \{0\},
\end{aligned}
\]

From (3.3), we have that
\[
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} P_s(x)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x)dx &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} e^{-s} G_{s,\infty}(x, \varepsilon e_1) (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x)dx \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} e^{-s} \varphi(\varepsilon e_1) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \varphi(0).
\end{aligned}
\]

We complete the proof. \(\square\)

4 Approximation by the Poisson kernel

In this section, we approximate the fundamental solution \(P_s\) by the Poisson kernel and we consider the solution of
\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)^s u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,

u &= t^s \delta_{-e_1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+ \setminus \mathbb{B}_{r}(re_1),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(t \in (0, 1)\).

**Theorem 4.1** Problem (4.1) has a unique nonnegative solution
\[
u_t(x) = \begin{cases}
K_{s,x}^1|x + e^s e_1|^{-N} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+,

e^s \delta_{-e_1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, there holds
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} u_t(x)(-\Delta)^s \xi(x)dx = e^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \xi(x) \Gamma_t(x)dx, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+),
\]
where
\[
\Gamma_t(x) = \frac{c_{N,s}}{|x + e^se_1|^{N+2s}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+.
\]

Before the proof of Theorem 4.1, we investigate the solution of
\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)^s u &= 0 \quad \text{in } B_{r}(re_1),

u &= t^s \delta_{-e_1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r}(re_1),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(t \in (0, 1)\).
Proposition 4.1 Problem (4.4) has a unique nonnegative solution
\[ u_{t,r}(x) = \mathcal{K}_s \left( \frac{2rx_1 - |x|^2}{2tr + t^2} \right)^s |x + t^s e_1|^{-N}, \quad \forall x \in B_r(re_1) \] (4.5)
and
\[ \int_{B_r(re_1)} u_{t,r}(x)(-\Delta)^s \xi(x)dx = t^s \int_{B_r(re_1)} \xi(x)\Gamma_s(x)dx, \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^\infty(B_r(re_1)). \] (4.6)

Proof. Step 1: approximation of the Dirac mass. Let \( g_0 : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, 1] \) be a radially symmetric decreasing \( C^2 \) function with the support in \( B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \) such that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_0(x)dx = 1 \).

For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \), we denote
\[ g_n(x) = n^N g_0(n(x + te_1)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]
Then we certainly have that
\[ g_n \rightharpoonup \delta_{-te_1} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty \]
in the distribution sense and for any \( t > 0 \), there exists \( m_t > 0 \) such that for any \( n \geq m_t \),
\[ \text{supp}(g_n) \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}}(-te_1). \]

For \( t > 0 \), problem
\[ \begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in} \ B_r(re_1), \\
u = t^s g_n & \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(re_1)
\end{cases} \]
admits a unique solution \( w_n \).

Denote that
\[ \tilde{g}_n(x) := c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g_n(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}dy, \quad \forall x \in B_r(re_1). \]
For \( n \geq m_t \), we have that \( \text{supp}(g_n) \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}}(-te_1) \) and then \( \tilde{g}_n \in C^1(B_{1}(e_1)) \) and
\[ \tilde{w}_n = w_n - g_n \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N. \]

By the definition of fractional Laplacian, it implies that
\[ (-\Delta)^s \tilde{w}_n(x) = (-\Delta)^s w_n(x) - (-\Delta)^s g_n(x) \]
\[ = c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g_n(z)}{|z - x|^{N+2s}}dz = \tilde{g}_n(x). \]

Then \( \tilde{w}_n \) is the unique solution of
\[ \begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = t^s \tilde{g}_n & \text{in} \ B_r(re_1), \\
u = 0 & \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(re_1)
\end{cases} \]
and
\[ \int_{B_r(re_1)} w_n(x)(-\Delta)^s \xi(x)dx = t^s \int_{B_r(re_1)} \xi(x)\tilde{g}_n(x)dx, \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^\infty(B_r(re_1)). \] (4.7)
Step 2: we prove that $\tilde{g}_n$ converges to $\Gamma_1$ uniformly in $B_r(\epsilon_1)$ and in $C^0(B_r(\epsilon_1))$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

It is obvious that $\tilde{g}_n$ converges to $\Gamma_1$ every point in $B_{1}(\epsilon_1)$. For $x, y \in B_{1}(\epsilon_1)$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$|\tilde{g}_n(x) - \tilde{g}_n(y)| = c_{N,s}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(\epsilon_1)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{N+2s}} - \frac{1}{|y-z|^{N+2s}}|g_n(z)|dz$$

$$\leq c_{N,s}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(\epsilon_1)} \frac{|x-z|^{N+2s} - |y-z|^{N+2s}}{|x-z|^{N+2s} |y-z|^{N+2s}}g_n(z)dz$$

$$\leq c_{N,s}(N + 2s)|x - y|\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(\epsilon_1)} \frac{|x-z|^{N+2s-1} + |y-z|^{N+2s-1}}{|x-z|^{N+2s} |y-z|^{N+2s}}g_n(z)dz$$

$$\leq c_3|x - y|\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(\epsilon_1)} g_n(z)dz$$

$$= c_3|x - y|,$$

where $c_3 > 0$ independent of $n$. So $\{\tilde{g}_n\}_n$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{0,1}(B_r(\epsilon_1))$. Combining the converging

$$\tilde{g}_n \to \Gamma_1$$

every point in $B_r(\epsilon_1)$.

We conclude that $\tilde{g}_n$ converges to $\Gamma_1$ uniformly in $B_r(\epsilon_1)$ and in $C^0(B_r(\epsilon_1))$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Step 3: pass to the limit. We denote $\mathcal{O}_i$ the open sets with $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_3 \subset \mathcal{O}_3 \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$

By Lemma 32, for $\beta \in (0, s)$, there exist $c, c' > 0$ independent of $n$ such that

$$\|w_n\|_{C^{2s}(\mathcal{O}_2)} \leq c(\|w_n\|_{L^1(B_1(\epsilon_N))} + \|\tilde{g}_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O}_2)} + \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O}_3)}) \leq c'$$

and

$$\|w_n\|_{C^{2s}(\mathcal{O}_3)} \leq c(\|w_n\|_{L^1(B_1(\epsilon_N))} + \|\tilde{g}_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{O}_2)} + \|w_n\|_{C^0(\mathcal{O}_3)}) \leq c'.$$

Therefore, by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, there exist $u_{t,r} \in C_{\text{loc}}^{2s+\epsilon}$ in $B_r(\epsilon_1)$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, \beta)$ and a subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}_k$ such that

$$w_{n_k} \to u_{t,r} \text{ locally in } C^{2s+\epsilon} \text{ as } n_k \to \infty.$$

Passing the limit of 4.7 as $n_k \to \infty$, we obtain 4.6.

The Poisson kernel of $B_r$ (see [7]) has the formula

$$\mathcal{P}_{s,r}(x,y) = K_s \left( \frac{r^2 - |x|^2}{|y|^2 - r^2} \right)^s |x-y|^{-N}, \quad |y| > r, |x| < r \quad (4.8)$$

and $\mathcal{P}_{s,r}(x,y) = 0$ elsewhere. The constant $K_s$ is chosen such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{P}_{s,r}(x,y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r} \mathcal{P}_{s,r}(x,y) dy = 1 \quad \text{for every } x \in B_r.$$
Then
\[ u_{t,r}(x) = \mathcal{P}_{s,r}(x - re_1, -(t^s + r)e_1) = \mathcal{K}_s \left( \frac{2rx_1 - |x|^2}{2t^s + t^s} \right)^s |x + t'e_1|^{-N}. \]

We complete the proof. \(\Box\)

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Since
\[ B_r(re_1) \subset B_R(Re_1) \text{ for } R \geq r \text{ and } \mathbb{R}^N_+ = \bigcup_{r>1} B_r(re_1). \]

From (4.5) with \( t = \epsilon \), we obtain that \( u_{t,r} \) converges to \( u_\epsilon \) on any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) and in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu) \) and
\[ u_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} K_s x^1 |x + e^s e_1|^{-N} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ e^s \delta_{-e_1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_. \end{cases} \quad (4.9) \]

Then for any \( \varphi \in C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^N_+) \), there exists \( r > 0 \) such that the support of \( \varphi \) is a subset of \( B_r(re_1) \) and passing to the limit of (4.6), we obtain that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} u_\epsilon(x)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx = e^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \varphi(x) \Gamma_\epsilon(x) dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^N_+). \]

Finally, this identity holds for \( \xi \in X_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \) since \( C_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^N_+) \) is dense in \( X_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \). \(\Box\)

From the above proof, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1** Let \( \mu \) be Radon measure with the support in \( \mathbb{R}^N_- \) and
\[ \mathcal{P}_{s,\infty}(x, y) = \mathcal{K}_s \left( \frac{x_1}{-y_1} \right)^s |x - y|^{-N} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+, y \in \mathbb{R}^N_. \]

Then
\[ \mathcal{P}_{s,\infty}[\mu](x) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_-} \mathcal{P}_{s,\infty}(x, y) d\mu(y) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ \mu & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_- \end{cases} \]
is the unique solution of
\[ \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ u = \mu & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_. \end{cases} \quad (4.10) \]

and the following distributional identity holds
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \mathcal{P}_{s,\infty}[\mu](x)(-\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \xi(x) \Gamma_\mu(x) dx, \quad \forall \xi \in X_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+), \]

where
\[ \Gamma_\mu(x) = c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_-} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}. \]
Proof of Theorem 1.4. From Lemma 3.1

\[ e^{-\varepsilon G_{\infty}}(\cdot, \varepsilon e_1) \to \mathcal{P}_s \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+ \quad (4.12) \]

in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N, d\mu_s) \) and uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}_+^N \).

We next show

\[ e^s \mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}(\cdot, -\varepsilon e_1) \to \mathcal{P}_s \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+ \]

in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N, d\mu_s) \) and uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}_+^N \).

Recall that \( u_\varepsilon \), defined in (4.9), is the solution of (4.4) and \( u_\varepsilon(x) = e^s \mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}(x, -\varepsilon e_1) = K_\varepsilon x_1^s |x + \varepsilon e_1|^{-N}, \)

then \( e^s \mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}(\cdot, -\varepsilon e_1) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N, d\mu_s) \)

\[ e^s \mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}(x, -\varepsilon e_1) - \mathcal{P}_s(x) = \frac{x_1^s}{|x|^N} + \frac{\varepsilon^s}{|x + \varepsilon e_1|^N} x_1^s, \]

which implies that for any open set \( O, \mathring{O} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N \),

\[ e^s \mathcal{P}_{s, \infty}(\cdot, -\varepsilon e_1) \to \mathcal{P}_s \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+ \quad \text{uniformly in} \ O. \quad (4.13) \]

Moreover, let \( \sigma = \varepsilon^\theta \) with \( \theta \in (0, \frac{N}{2N - 2s}) \) and we see that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |x_1^s |x + \varepsilon e_1|^{-N} - x_1^s |x|^{-N}|(1 + |x|)^{-N - 2s} dx \\
\leq 4^N e^\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{x_1^s}{|x|^N} + \frac{\varepsilon^s}{|x + \varepsilon e_1|^N} x_1^s (1 + |x|)^{-N - 2s} dx \\
\leq 4^N e^\frac{N}{2} \left( \int_{B_1^2(0)} \frac{x_1^s}{|x|^N} e^{-\varepsilon^s |x + \varepsilon e_1|^N} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus B_s(0)} \frac{(1 + |x|)^{-N - 2s}}{|x|^{N - s} |x + \varepsilon e_1|^N} dx \right) \\
\leq 4^N e^\frac{N}{2} \left( \varepsilon^{s - \frac{N}{2}} + \varepsilon^{s - \frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} (1 + |x|)^{-N - 2s} dx \right) \\
\leq \varepsilon^{s - \frac{N}{2} - (s - \frac{2N}{N})\theta} \\
\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+. \]

Moreover, we recall that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} u_\varepsilon(x) (-\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx = e^s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \xi(x) \Gamma_\varepsilon(x) dx, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}_+^N). \quad (4.14) \]

The left hand side of (4.14) has the the convergence

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} u_\varepsilon(x) (-\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \mathcal{P}_s(x) (-\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+ \]

and the right hand does

\[ e^s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \xi(x) \Gamma_\varepsilon(x) dx \to \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_{1}} \xi(0) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^+. \]

Thus we deduce the identity (1.9) from the Poisson kernel. \( \square \)
5 The solution blowing up on whole boundary

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let
\[ f_1(-t, y') = t^s dx' \quad \text{with} \quad t > 0, \]
where \( dx' \) is the Hausdorff measure of \( \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \). From the Poisson kernel expression, we obtain that
\[
Q_{s,t}(x_1, x') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} P_{s,\infty}(x, y) f_1(y) dy
\]
\[
= K_s x_1^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left( (x_1 + t)^2 + |x' - y'|^2 \right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} dx'
\]
\[
= C_1 x_1^s (x_1 + t)^{-1}
\]
\[
\rightarrow C_1 x_1^{s-1} \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow 0^+
\]
and the above convergence holds in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s) \) and uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), where
\[
C_1 = K_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (1 + |z'|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} dz'.
\]
Recall that
\[
\Gamma_{f_1}(x) = c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f_1(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy
\]
\[
= c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{t^s}{((x_1 + t)^2 + |x' - y'|^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dy' = C_2 t^s (x_1 + t)^{-2s}
\]
where
\[
C_2 = c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (1 + |z'|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} dz'.
\]
Then (1.11) with \( \mu = f_1 \), implies that for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N) \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_{s,t}(x_1, x')(-\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx = C_2 t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)(x_1 + t)^{-2s} dx.
\]
Note that
\[
C_2 t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)(x_1 + t)^{-2s} dx \rightarrow C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_1^s} \xi(0, x') dx'.
\]
Therefore, we obtain that for any \( \xi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N) \)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x_1^{-s-1}(-\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx = \frac{C_2}{C_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial x_1^s} \xi(0, x') dx',
\]
where
\[
\frac{C_2}{C_1} = \frac{c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (1 + |z'|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} dz'}{K_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (1 + |z'|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} dz'}
\]
\[
\frac{\mathrm{c}_{N,s} \omega_{N-2} B(\frac{N+2s}{2} - \frac{N-1}{2}, \frac{N-1}{2})}{\kappa_s \omega_{N-2} B(\frac{N}{2} - \frac{N-1}{2}, \frac{N-1}{2})} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{s}{\sin(\pi s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s + \frac{1}{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(s)}.
\]

Here we use the fact that for \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \tau < -\frac{M}{2} \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^M} (1 + |z|^2)^{-\tau} dz = \omega_M \int_0^{+\infty} (1 + r^2)^{-\tau} r^{M-1} dr
\]
\[
= \omega_M \int_0^1 t^{-\tau-1} t^{M-1} dt
\]
\[
= \omega_M B\left(-\tau, \frac{M}{2}\right)
\]
\[
= \omega_M \frac{\Gamma\left(-\tau - \frac{M}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{M}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(-\tau)}.
\]

where \( \omega_M \) is the volume of the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^M \) and \( B \) is the beta function. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.1** Let \( \mathcal{R}_s(x) = (x_1)_+^s \), then \( \mathcal{R}_s \) is a solution of
\[
(\Delta)^s u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N_+
\]
and it verifies that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{R}_s(x)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_s(\mathbb{R}^N_+). \tag{5.1}
\]

**Proof.** We take
\[
f_2(-t, y') = t^{1+s} dx' \quad \text{with} \quad t > 0.
\]
From the Poisson kernel expression, we obtain that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{P}_{s,\infty}(x, y)f_2(y)dy = \kappa_s x_1^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nu' + t)^{\frac{N}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} dx'
\]
\[
= C_1 x_1^s \nu'(x_1 + t)^{-1}
\]
\[
\to C_1 x_1^s \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+
\]
and the above convergence holds in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^N_+, d\mu_s) \) and uniformly in any compact set of \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \), where
\[
C_1 = \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |z'|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} dz'.
\]
Recall that
\[
\Gamma f_2(x) = c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t^{1+s}}{((x_1 + t)^2 + |x' - y'|^2)^{\frac{N+s}{2}} dx'} = C_1 t^{1+s}(x_1 + t)^{-2s}
\]

From (4.11) with $\mu = f_2$, we obtain that for any $\xi \in X_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q_s,t(x_1, x')(\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx = C_2 t^{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)(x_1 + t)^{-2s} dx
$$

Note that

$$
C_2 t^{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi(x)(x_1 + t)^{-2s} dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+.
$$

Therefore, we obtain that for any $\xi \in X_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x_1^s (\Delta)^s \xi(x) dx = 0.
$$

We complete the proof. \hfill \Box

Acknowledgement: This work is supported by NNSF of China, No: 12001252, 12071189, by Jiangxi Provincial Natural Science Foundation, No: 2021ACB211005, 20202ACBL201001, by Science and Technology Research Project of Jiangxi Provincial Department of Education, No: GJJ200307 and GJJ200325.

References


