Envelopes of Bisection Lines of Polygons
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Abstract. A bisecting line divides a polygon in two parts of equal areas and the envelope of bisecting lines $\mathcal{H}$ is a concatenation of hyperbolic arcs. Some concatenation points are cusps, while other are not. In this paper we consider two closely related sets, the mid-point locus $\mathcal{M}$ and the discrete envelope $\mathcal{E}$, that help in characterizing the cusp points. Moreover, these sets allow us to give a natural solution to the inverse problem, i.e., the problem of finding polygons with a given envelope of bisecting lines.
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1. Introduction

We call bisecting lines the lines dividing a polygon into two parts with equal area, and denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the envelope of these bisecting lines. In the case of a triangle, $\mathcal{H}$ is the concatenation of three arcs of hyperbolas (Figure 1, left, in red). This picture appears in some references ([1],[4]). For a regular pentagon, $\mathcal{H}$ is the concatenation of five hyperbolic arcs (Figure 1, right, in red). For a general polygon, the envelope of bisecting lines is a concatenation of hyperbolic arcs, each of them asymptotic to some pair of sides. In the present paper, we study the structure of these envelopes.

Observe in Figure 1, left, resp. right, that the envelope $\mathcal{H}$ has three, resp. five, special points where the bisecting line cuts it. They are called cusps and, in the case of a triangle, they coincide with the mid-points of the medians. We also observe that each bisecting line through a cup connects a vertex of the polygon with the mid-point of the opposite side, which is not a vertex. However, we can include these points in the set of vertices and it looks natural to
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do it. Taking this remark into account, we shall consider in this paper only polygons with an even number of vertices, say $2n$, and such that its principal diagonals, i.e., diagonals connecting opposite vertices, divide the polygonal region into two equal area parts. We shall call such polygons half-area polygons. One can see in Figure 2 a half-area octagon with four hyperbolic arcs and three cusps.

Besides the envelope of bisecting lines $\mathcal{H}$, there are two other closely related sets in this context. The first one, $\mathcal{M}$, is the $n$-polygon whose vertices are the mid-points of bisecting chords. The second one, $\mathcal{E}$, is the $n$-polygon whose vertices are the intersections of adjacent bisecting lines. We shall see that we can characterize the cusps of $\mathcal{H}$ just by properties of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}$. We can also check whether or not $\mathcal{H}$ has a cusp at a bisecting line by a simple condition involving the corresponding opposite arcs of the polygon. Based on this condition, we prove that the number of cusps of $\mathcal{H}$ is odd and at least three, which is a discrete version of the well-known smooth counterpart (5).
How about the inverse construction, i.e., can we recover the polygon from $\mathcal{H}$? It is expected that there exists a 1-parameter family of polygons with $\mathcal{H}$ as envelope of bisecting lines, as occurs with evolutes and involutes, but it is proved in [5] that a polygon with no parallel sides is uniquely determined by the envelope $\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, we prove that there exists exactly a one-parameter family of polygons with the same $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ as the original polygon. Thus, by considering $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ in instead of $\mathcal{H}$, we obtain a more natural answer to the recovering problem.

All the discrete constructions are inspired by their smooth counterparts. The parameterization of smooth curves that inspired the half-area polygons is presented in section 2. In fact, the subsequent sections, 3, 4 and 5, should be read comparing the definitions and results with those of section 2. In [2] and [3], one can find other classes of polygons considered as discrete counterparts of different types of parameterizations of smooth curves.
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2. Smooth Convex Planar Curves

Consider a planar closed convex curve parameterized by $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. For each $s \in S^1$, denote by $l(s)$ the line passing through $\gamma(s)$ that divides the convex region in two parts of equal area, and by $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t(s))$ the other intersection of $l(s)$ with $\gamma$. Denote by $[A, B]$ the determinant of the matrix whose columns are $A$ and $B$.

2.1. Half-area parameterization

Lemma 2.1. Given $a > 0$, we can always choose a parameterization $\gamma(s)$ such that

$$[\gamma'(s), \gamma(t(s)) - \gamma(s)] = a.$$  (2.1)

Proof. For a general parameterization $\gamma(s)$, denote $[\gamma'(s), \gamma(t) - \gamma(s)] = \lambda(s)$ and write

$$r(s) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^s \lambda(s)ds.$$

Then

$$[\gamma'(r), \gamma(t) - \gamma(r)] = \frac{ds}{dr} \lambda(s) = a,$$

thus proving the lemma. \qed

We shall call half-area any parameterization satisfying Equation (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. Consider a closed convex curve $\gamma : [0, 2T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. The following statements are equivalent:

...
1. The parameterization is half-area.
2. \( t'(s) = 1 \).
3. \( t = s + T_0 \).

**Proof.** Fix a pair \((s_0, t_0)\). Denote by \( A(s_0, s_0 + s) \) the area of the region bounded by the arc \( \gamma(s_0)\gamma(s_0 + s) \) and the chords \( \gamma(s_0)\gamma(t_0) \) and \( \gamma(t_0)\gamma(s_0 + s) \). Similarly, denote by \( A(t_0, t(s_0 + s)) \) the area of the region bounded by the arc \( \gamma(t_0)\gamma(t(s_0 + s)) \) and the chords \( \gamma(s_0 + s)\gamma(t_0) \) and \( \gamma(t(s_0 + s))\gamma(s_0 + s) \). Then by the definition of \( t(s) \), we have that
\[
A(s_0, s_0 + s) = A(t_0, t(s_0 + s)).
\]
Differentiating with respect to \( s \) and taking \( s = 0 \) we obtain
\[
[\gamma'(s_0), \gamma(t_0) - \gamma(s_0)] = [\gamma'(t_0), \gamma(s_0) - \gamma(t_0)]t'(s_0).
\]
We conclude that the parameterization is half-area if and only if \( t'(s) = 1 \). The equivalence between the second and third items is obvious. \(\square\)

**Basic equations.** Let \( \gamma : [0, 2T_0] \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) be a half-area parameterization of a convex closed planar curve and consider the transversal vector field
\[
v(s) = \gamma(s + T_0) - \gamma(s).
\]
Then we have that \([\gamma'(s), v(s)] = a\) and \([v(s), v'(s)] = 2a\). Writing
\[
v'(s) = \alpha v(s) + \beta \gamma'(s).
\]
we obtain that
\[
\alpha = \frac{[\gamma'(s), \gamma'(s + T_0)]}{a}, \quad \beta = -2.
\]

### 2.2.Envelope of bisecting lines

#### 2.2.1. Mid-points of bisecting chords.

For \((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2\), let
\[
F(x, y, s) = [(x, y) - \gamma(s), v(s)].
\]
The bisecting lines are defined by \( F = 0 \) and the envelope of the bisecting lines by \( F = F_s = 0 \). Observe that
\[
F_s = [(x, y) - \gamma(s), v'(s)] - [(\gamma'(s), v(s))].
\]
Now \( F = 0 \) implies that \((x, y) = \gamma(s) + \lambda(s)v(s)\), for some function \( \lambda(s) \). Then \( F_s = 0 \) implies that \( 2a\lambda - a = 0 \), which implies that \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \). The envelope of bisecting lines is thus given by
\[
\mathcal{E}(s) = \gamma(s) + \frac{1}{2}v(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma(s) + \gamma(s + T_0)).
\]
Geometrically \( \mathcal{E} \) correspond to the midpoints of bisecting chords.
2.2.2. Parallel tangent vertices and cusps. We say that \( s \) is a parallel tangent vertex, or more compactly pt-vertex, of \( \gamma \) if \( \alpha(s) = 0 \), or equivalently \( [\gamma'(s), \gamma'(s + T_0)] = 0 \). Geometrically, this condition means that the tangents of \( \gamma \) at \( s \) and \( s + T_0 \) are parallel. A pt-vertex is ordinary if \( \alpha'(s) \neq 0 \).

An stationary point of \( \mathcal{E} \) is a point \( \mathcal{E}(s) \) such that \( \mathcal{E}'(s) = 0 \). A cusp of \( \mathcal{E} \) is a stationary point such that \( \mathcal{E}''(s) \neq 0 \).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( \gamma \) be a convex closed curve and \( \mathcal{E} \) its bisecting lines envelope. Then

1. Stationary points of \( \mathcal{E} \) correspond to pt-vertices of \( \gamma \).
2. Cusps of \( \mathcal{E} \) correspond to ordinary pt-vertices of \( \gamma \).

**Proof.** The derivative of \( \mathcal{E} \) is

\[
\mathcal{E}'(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma'(s) + \gamma'(s + T_0)).
\]

If \( \mathcal{E}'(s) = 0 \), then clearly \( \alpha(s) = 0 \). Conversely, if \( \alpha(s) = 0 \), then \( v'(s) = -2\gamma'(s) \), which implies that

\[
\mathcal{E}'(s) = \gamma'(s) + \frac{1}{2} v'(s) = 0.
\]

We conclude that pt-vertices of \( \gamma \) correspond to stationary points of \( \mathcal{E} \).

For the second item, consider a point \( s \) with \( \alpha(s) = 0 \). Then

\[
v''(s) = \frac{\alpha'(s)}{a} v(s) - 2\gamma''(s).
\]

Thus

\[
\mathcal{E}''(s) = \gamma''(s) + \frac{1}{2} v''(s) = \frac{\alpha'(s)}{2a} v(s).
\]

We conclude that ordinary pt-vertices of \( \gamma \) correspond to cusps of \( \mathcal{E} \). \( \square \)

2.2.3. Three vertices theorem. It is easy to check that

\[
\alpha(s + T_0) = -\alpha(s). \quad (2.3)
\]

This condition implies that the number of vertices in the interval \([0, T_0]\) is odd. Moreover, Equation (2.3) implies that

\[
\int_0^{2T_0} \alpha(s)ds = 0. \quad (2.4)
\]

**Lemma 2.4.** We have that

\[
\int_0^{2T_0} \alpha(s)\gamma(s)ds = 0. \quad (2.5)
\]

**Proof.** Observe that

\[
\int_0^{2T_0} \alpha(s)\gamma(s)ds = \int_0^T \alpha(s)\gamma(s)ds + \int_0^{T_0} \alpha(s + T_0)\gamma(s + T_0)ds
\]
\[ - \int_0^{T_0} \alpha(s)v(s)ds = -\int_0^{T_0} a(v'(s) + 2\gamma'(s))ds = 0, \]
thus proving the lemma. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 2.5.** The number of pt-vertices in the interval \([0, T_0]\) is odd and at least 3.

**Proof.** By Equation (2.4), there exists at least one zero of \(\alpha\) in the interval \([0, T_0]\). Assume by contradiction that there is only one such zero \(t_0\) in the interval \([0, T_0]\). Write the equation of the bisecting line passing through \(\gamma(t_0) = (x_0, y_0)\) as \(A(x - x_0) + B(y - y_0) = 0\). We may assume that \(\alpha > 0\) in the region \(A(x - x_0) + B(y - y_0) > 0\) (or else change the signs of \(A\) and \(B\)). Then
\[
\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T_0} \alpha(A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2) > 0, \quad \int_{t_0+T_0}^{t_0+2T_0} \alpha(A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2) > 0,
\]
where \(\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\). But these contradicts Equation (2.5) \hfill \Box

### 2.3. Inverse construction

Can we recover \(\gamma\) from \(E(\gamma)\)? For \(c \in \mathbb{R}\), denote by \(\gamma_c\) the curve
\[
\gamma_c(s) = c\gamma(s) + (1-c)\gamma(s + T_0). \tag{2.6}
\]

**Proposition 2.6.** The envelope of bisecting lines of a curve \(\tilde{\gamma}\) coincides with the envelope of bisecting lines of \(\gamma\) if and only if \(\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_c\), for some \(c \in \mathbb{R}\).

**Proof.** Consider a convex curve \(\tilde{\gamma}\) and denote by \(s\) and \(s_1 = s_1(s)\) the parameters of intersection of \(l(s)\) with \(\tilde{\gamma}\). It is easy to verify that
\[
E(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\gamma}(s) + \tilde{\gamma}(s_1))
\]
if and only if we can write
\[
\tilde{\gamma}(s) = c(s)\gamma(s) + (1-c(s))\gamma(s + T_0), \tag{2.7}
\]
for some function \(c(s)\). From Equation (2.7), we obtain that
\[
\tilde{\gamma}(s + T_0) - \tilde{\gamma}(s) = (2c(s) - 1)(\gamma(s + T_0) - \gamma(s))
\]
and so
\[
[\tilde{\gamma}'(s), \tilde{\gamma}(s + T_0) - \tilde{\gamma}(s)] = (2c(s) - 1)^2. \tag{2.8}
\]
From Lemma 2.2, we conclude that the line \(l(s)\) is a bisecting line for \(\tilde{\gamma}\) if and only \(c(s)\) is constant, which proves the proposition. \hfill \Box
3. Half-Area Polygons

Consider a polygon $\gamma$ with $2n$ vertices $\gamma(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. We denote by $\gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})$ the side connecting $\gamma(i)$ and $\gamma(i + 1)$. Note that $(i + \frac{1}{2})$ here has not a quantitative meaning, it is just a notation to indicate that we are between $i$ and $(i + 1)$. In the same idea, for a function $f(i)$, we denote the discrete derivative of $f$ by

$$f'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = f(i + 1) - f(i).$$

All indices along the paper are taken modulus $2n$.

3.1. Half-area polygons and parallel central diagonals

Consider a convex $2n$-polygon $\gamma$. When the principal diagonals of $\gamma$, i.e., the lines $l(i)$ passing through $\gamma(i)$ and $\gamma(i + n)$, divide the polygon into parts with equal areas, we say that $\gamma$ is a half-area polygon.

Note that any convex polygon may be transformed into a half-area polygon by the addition of some vertices. In fact, by including the other intersection of the bisecting lines through the vertices in the list of vertices, we obtain a half-area polygon (see Figure 1). The new polygon may have collinear vertices, but this is allowed in our class. So, although in this paper we shall consider only half-area polygons, our results can be applied to any convex polygon.

Given a side $\gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})$, we shall call central the diagonals $\gamma(i)\gamma(i + n + 1)$ and $\gamma(i + 1)\gamma(i + n)$. It is not difficult to check that a convex polygon $\gamma$ is half-area if and only if, for each side $\gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})$, the corresponding central diagonal are parallel (see Figure 4).

3.2. The space of half-area polygons

**Lemma 3.1.** The space of $2n$ half-area polygons has dimension $3n$.

**Proof.** We begin with $n + 1$ arbitrary vertices $\gamma(1), \ldots, \gamma(n + 1)$, which has dimension $2n + 2$. Then $\gamma(n + 2)$ belongs to the line parallel to $\gamma(2)\gamma(n + 1)$ through $\gamma(1)$. By induction, for $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, $\gamma(n + k)$ belongs to the line parallel to $\gamma(k)\gamma(n + k - 1)$ through $\gamma(k - 1)$, which has dimension $n - 2$. Finally $\gamma(2n)$ belongs to the intersection of the line parallel to $\gamma(n)\gamma(2n - 1)$ through $\gamma(n - 1)$ and the line parallel to $\gamma(1)\gamma(n)$ through $\gamma(n + 1)$. □

**Example 1.** In case $n = 4$, we can choose arbitrarily the points $\gamma(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $\gamma(6)$ belongs to the line parallel to $\gamma(2)\gamma(5)$ through $\gamma(1)$ and $\gamma(7)$ belong to the parallel to $\gamma(3)\gamma(6)$ through $\gamma(2)$. Finally $\gamma(8)$ belongs to the intersection of the line parallel to $\gamma(4)\gamma(7)$ through $\gamma(3)$ and the line parallel to $\gamma(1)\gamma(4)$ through $\gamma(5)$ (see Figure 3). The dimension of the space of half-area octagons is 12.
3.3. Basic equations

Define the vector $v(i)$ by

$$v(i) = \gamma(i + n) - \gamma(i),$$

(3.1)

and the quantities $a^\pm(i + \frac{1}{2})$ by

$$a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i)], \quad a^-(i + \frac{1}{2}) = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i + 1)],$$

(3.2)

(see Figure 4). We can easily check that

$$a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) = a^-(i + n + \frac{1}{2}),$$

and in fact this equality, for every $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, characterizes half-area polygons. Similarly, we have that

$$a^-(i + \frac{1}{2}) = a^+(i + n + \frac{1}{2}).$$

and this equality, for every $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, also characterizes half-area polygons.

Denote

$$a(i + \frac{1}{2}) = a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) + a^-(i + \frac{1}{2})$$

(3.3)
and
\[ \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}) = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), \gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2})]. \tag{3.4} \]

**Lemma 3.2.** For a half-area polygon, the following relations hold:

1. \[ [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]
2. \[ a^-(i + \frac{1}{2}) - a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]
3. \[ [v(i), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = [v(i + 1), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = [v(i), v(i + 1)] = a(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]

**Proof.** For the first item,
\[ [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), \gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2})] = \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]

For the second item,
\[ a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) + \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}) = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i)] + [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i + 1)] = a^-(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]

For the third item,
\[ [v(i), \gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2}) - \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i)] + [\gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2}), v(i + n)] = a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) + a^+(i + n + \frac{1}{2}), \]
thus proving the lemma. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.3.** For a half-area polygon, we can write
\[ v'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \alpha_1 v(i) + \beta_1 \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \alpha_2 v(i + 1) + \beta_2 \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), \]
where
\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{\delta(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a^+(i + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \beta_1 = -\frac{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a^+(i + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\delta(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a^-(i + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a^-(i + \frac{1}{2})}. \]

**Proof.** Observe that
\[ a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) \alpha_1 = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})] = [\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), \gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2})] = \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]
Moreover
\[ a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) \beta_1 = [v'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i)] = -a(i + \frac{1}{2}). \]
The proofs for \(\alpha_2\) and \(\beta_2\) are analogous. \(\square\)
4. Envelopes of bisecting lines

4.1. The polygons $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{H}$

For a half-area polygon $\gamma$, the equation of the bisecting line passing through $\gamma(i)$ is given by $F = 0$, where

$$F(x, y, i) = [(x, y) - \gamma(i), v(i)] = 0.$$  

The discrete derivative with respect to the variable $i$ is given by

$$F'(x, y, i + \frac{1}{2}) = -[\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i)] + [(x, y) - \gamma(i + 1), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})],$$

or equivalently,

$$F'(x, y, i + \frac{1}{2}) = -[\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}), v(i + 1)] + [(x, y) - \gamma(i), v'(i + \frac{1}{2})].$$

A point $(x, y)$ of the discrete envelope satisfies

$$(x, y) = \gamma(i) + \mu v(i) = \gamma(i + 1) + \lambda v(i + 1)$$

which implies that

$$\mu = \frac{a^-(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \lambda = \frac{a^+(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}.$$  

Thus we write

$$\mathcal{E}(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \gamma(i) + \frac{a^-(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})} v(i) = \gamma(i + 1) + \frac{a^+(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})} v(i + 1). \quad (4.1)$$

Geometrically, $\mathcal{E}(i + \frac{1}{2})$ is the intersection of the bisecting lines $l(i)$ and $l(i+1)$ (Figure [5] red). We denote by $\mathcal{E}(i)$ the segment connecting $\mathcal{E}(i - \frac{1}{2})$ and $\mathcal{E}(i + \frac{1}{2})$. The polygon $\mathcal{E}$ will be called the discrete envelope of $\gamma$.

In the case of smooth curves, the bisecting lines envelope coincides with the set of mid-points of bisecting chords. For half-area polygons, $\mathcal{E}$ does not coincide with $\mathcal{M}$, the polygon whose vertices

$$\mathcal{M}(i) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma(i) + \gamma(i + n))$$

are exactly the mid-points of the principal chords (Figure [5] blue). The polygon $\mathcal{M}$ will be called the mid-points envelope of $\gamma$ and we denote by $\mathcal{M}(i + \frac{1}{2})$ the segment connecting $\mathcal{M}(i)$ and $\mathcal{M}(i + 1)$.

The envelope of the bisecting lines of a half-area polygon passing through any point at the sides of the polygon, not just the vertices, will be denoted $\mathcal{H}$. It is a concatenation of hyperbolic arcs $\mathcal{H}(i + \frac{1}{2})$ passing through $\mathcal{M}(i)$ and $\mathcal{M}(i + 1)$, tangent to $l(i)$ and $l(i + 1)$ at these points, and asymptotic to the support lines of the sides $\gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\gamma(i + n + \frac{1}{2})$ (Figure [5] green). We shall call $\mathcal{H}$ the hyperbolic envelope of $\gamma$. 
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4.2. Symmetric and skew-symmetric polygons

A half-area polygon is symmetric with respect to a point $O$ if $O$ is the midpoint of the principal diagonals $\gamma(i)\gamma(i+n)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. It is clear that the symmetry condition is equivalent to $M = O$ and also to $H = O$. Moreover, it is also equivalent to the side $\gamma(i)\gamma(i+1)$ being parallel to the side $\gamma(i+n)\gamma(i+n+1)$, or equivalently, $\delta(i + \frac{1}{2}) = 0$, for every $1 \leq i \leq 2n$.

The discrete envelope $E$ of a symmetric polygon reduces to a point, but the converse is not true. There exist non-symmetric half-area polygons whose discrete envelope is just a point (see Figure 6). We shall see below that they occur only for $n$ odd.

Denote $\lambda(i + \frac{1}{2})$ the ratio of the lengths of the central diagonals $\gamma(i+1)\gamma(i+n)$ and $\gamma(i)\gamma(i+n+1)$. We say that a half-area polygon is skew-symmetric if $\lambda(i + \frac{1}{2}) = c$, $i$ odd, and $\lambda(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{c}$, $i$ even, for some constant $c$. Of course, a skew-symmetric polygon with $c = 1$ is in fact symmetric. Another obvious remark is that, if $c \neq 1$, skew-symmetric polygons exist only for $n$ odd.

**Lemma 4.1.** $E(i - \frac{1}{2}) = E(i + \frac{1}{2})$ if and only if $\lambda(i - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \lambda(i + \frac{1}{2}) = 1$.

**Proof.** Each principal diagonal $l(i)$ and $l(i+1)$ is divided by $E(i + \frac{1}{2})$ into two segments whose ratios are exactly $\lambda(i + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\lambda^{-1}(i + \frac{1}{2})$. Similarly the principal diagonals $l(i-1)$ and $l(i)$ are divided by $E(i - \frac{1}{2})$ in segments whose ratios are $\lambda(i - \frac{1}{2})$ and $\lambda^{-1}(i - \frac{1}{2})$. But $E(i - \frac{1}{2})$ and $E(i + \frac{1}{2})$ divide $l(i)$ in segments with the same ratio if and only if they coincide. We conclude that $E(i - \frac{1}{2}) = E(i + \frac{1}{2})$ if and only if $\lambda^{-1}(i - \frac{1}{2}) = \lambda(i + \frac{1}{2})$, thus proving the lemma.

□
Corollary 4.2. A half-area polygon is skew-symmetric if and only if its discrete envelope reduces to a point.

![Figure 6](image_url) Figure 6. A skew-symmetric hexagon. Note that the discrete envelope is a point, while the mid-points envelope is a triangle (red).

4.3. Parallel tangent vertices and cusps

In the smooth case, a pt-vertex is a point such that \( \alpha = 0 \). For half-area polygons, we say that \( \gamma(i) \) is a pt-vertex of \( \gamma \) if \( \alpha_1 \) (or \( \alpha_2 \)) changes sign at \( i \). Equivalently, we say that \( \gamma(i) \) is a pt-vertex if

\[
\delta(i - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}) < 0.
\]

Lemma 4.3. The midpoint \( M(i) \) is outside the segment \( E(i) \) if and only if \( \gamma(i) \) is a pt-vertex of \( \gamma \).

Proof. Geometrically, one can observe that \( M(i) \) belongs to the segment \( \gamma(i)E(i + \frac{1}{2}) \) if and only if

\[
\frac{a^- (i + \frac{1}{2})}{2} < [\gamma(i) - E(i + \frac{1}{2}), \gamma(i + 1) - E(i + \frac{1}{2})].
\]

From Equation (4.1), this is equivalent to

\[
\frac{a^- (i + \frac{1}{2})}{2} < \frac{a^- (i + \frac{1}{2})a^+(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a^2 (i + \frac{1}{2})} [v(i), v(i + 1)]
\]

which reduces to \( 2a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) > a(i + \frac{1}{2}) \), or equivalently, \( \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}) > 0 \).

Similarly, one can show that \( M(i) \) belongs to the segment \( \gamma(i)E(i - \frac{1}{2}) \) if and only if \( \delta(i - \frac{1}{2}) < 0 \). We conclude that \( M(i) \) belongs to the segment \( E(i - \frac{1}{2})E(i + \frac{1}{2}) \) if and only if \( \delta(i - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \delta(i + \frac{1}{2}) > 0 \), thus proving the lemma. \( \square \)
We say that \( M(i) \) is a cusp of \( M \) if the line \( l(i) \) separates the segments \( M(i - \frac{1}{2}) \) and \( M(i + \frac{1}{2}) \). Similarly, we say that \( H(i) \) is a cusp of \( M \) if the line \( l(i) \) separates the hyperbolic arcs \( H(i - \frac{1}{2}) \) and \( H(i + \frac{1}{2}) \).

**Corollary 4.4.** The following statements are equivalent:

1. \( \gamma(i) \) is a pt-vertex of \( \gamma \),
2. The midpoint \( M(i) \) is outside the segment \( E(i) \).
3. \( M(i) \) is a cusp of \( M \).
4. \( H(i) \) is a cusp of \( H \).

**Corollary 4.5.** A skew-symmetric polygon has exactly \( n \) cusps.

### 4.4. Examples with maximal number of cusps

For \( n \) odd, the skew-symmetric polygons are examples of half-area polygons with maximal number \( n \) of cusps. For \( n \) even, there are not half-area polygons with \( n \) cusps, since, as we shall see below, the number of cusps of a half-area polygon is always odd. In this section we shall construct \( 2n \)-half-area polygons, \( n \) even, with \( (n - 1) \) cusps.

Starting from a skew-symmetric \( 2(n - 1) \)-half-area polygon \( \gamma \), we shall describe how to add two more vertices \( P \) and \( Q \) to \( \gamma \) and obtain a \( 2n \)-half-area polygon with \( 2(n - 1) \) cusps (see Figure 8). We shall denote by \( \gamma \cup \{P, Q\} \) the polygon obtained from \( \gamma \) by adding the vertices \( P \) and \( Q \), \( P \) between \( \gamma(2n - 2) \) and \( \gamma(1) \), \( Q \) between \( \gamma(n - 1) \) and \( \gamma(n) \).

The following lemma describes some elementary properties of a trapezoid:

**Lemma 4.6.** Let \( ABCD \) be a trapezoid with \( AB \) and \( CD \) parallel. For any point \( P \) in the plane, denote by \( Q = Q(P) \) the intersection of the lines parallel to \( PD \) through \( B \) and parallel to \( PA \) through \( C \).

1. \( Q \) is on the left (resp. at, resp. on the right) of the line through \( AD \) if and only if \( P \) is on the right (resp. at, resp. on the left) of the line through \( BC \) (Figure 7).
2. There is exactly one point \( P \) at the segment \( BC \) for which \( Q \) is at the segment \( AD \) and \( PQ \) is parallel to \( AB \).

**Proof.** By an affine change of coordinates, we may assume that \( A = (0, 0) \), \( B = (1, 0) \) and \( D = (0, 1) \). We may also assume that \( C = (c^2, 1) \), for some \( 0 < c < 1 \). Write \( P = (x_0, y_0) \). Then straightforward calculations show that \( Q = (x_1, y_1) \), where

\[
x_1 = 1 - x_0 - (1 - c^2)y_0, \quad y_1 = \frac{(1 - y_0)(x_0 + (1 - c^2)y_0)}{x_0}.
\]

But \((x_0, y_0)\) is on the right (resp. at, resp. on the left) of the line through \( BC \) if and only if \( x_0 > 1 - y_0(1 - c^2) \), (resp. =, resp. <). Thus \((x_0, y_0)\) is on the right (resp. at, resp. on the left) of the line through \( BC \) if and only if \( x_1 < 0 \), (resp. =, resp. >), which proves the first item of the lemma.
For the second item, assume $x_0 = 1 - (1 - c^2)y_0$. Then $x_1 = 0$ and

$$y_1 = \frac{1 - y_0}{1 - y_0(1 - c^2)}.$$ 

Solving the quadratic equation $y_1 = y_0$ we obtain $y_0 = \frac{1 \pm c}{1 - c^2}$. To obtain $0 < y_0 < 1$, we must choose the minus sign. We conclude that

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{1 + c},$$

which in fact belongs to the interval $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. □

Now we are in the position of constructing the promised examples.

**Example 2.** Let $\gamma$ be a $2(n - 1)$-half area skew-symmetric polygon and consider the trapezoid $\gamma(1)\gamma(n-1)\gamma(n)\gamma(2(n-1))$. By Lemma 4.6(3), there exist unique $P$ in the segment $\gamma(2(n-1))\gamma(1)$ and $Q$ in the segment $\gamma(n-1)\gamma(n)$ such that $PQ$ is parallel to $\gamma(1)\gamma(n-1)$ and $PQ$ divides the considered trapezoid in equal areas. By the parallelism of central diagonals, one can show that the polygon $\gamma \cup \{P, Q\}$ is half-area. Moreover, all mid-points of principal diagonals are cusps, except for $PQ$ (see Figure 8).

The above example has not truly $2n$-sides, since $P$ belongs to $\gamma(1)\gamma(2n-2)$ and $Q$ belongs to $\gamma(n-1)\gamma(n)$. However, we can modify it slightly in order to obtain a convex half-area polygon without 3 collinear vertices.

**Example 3.** Consider the notation of Example 2. We can choose $\bar{P}$ close to $P$ and outside $\gamma$ such that the corresponding $\bar{Q}$ is close to $Q$ and outside $\gamma$ (Lemma 4.6(1)). If $\bar{P}$ is sufficiently close to $P$, the polygon $\gamma \cup \{\bar{P}, Q\}$ is convex without collinear vertices. By the parallelism of central diagonals, the polygon $\gamma \cup \{P, Q\}$ is half-area. Moreover, if $\bar{P}$ is sufficiently close to $P$, all midpoints of principal diagonal are cusps, except for $\bar{PQ}$ (see Figure 9).
4.5. Three vertices theorem

Recall that $\gamma(i)$ is a vertex of $\gamma$ if $\delta(i - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \delta(i - \frac{1}{2}) < 0$. Now the condition $\delta(i + n + \frac{1}{2}) = -\delta(i + \frac{1}{2})$ implies that the number of vertices in the interval $[1, n]$ is odd. We shall verify now that in fact it should be at least three.

Let

$$g(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\delta(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}.$$
Then $i$ is a vertex if and only if $g(i - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot g(i - \frac{1}{2}) < 0$. Since $g(i + n + \frac{1}{2}) = -g(i + \frac{1}{2})$, we have that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(i + \frac{1}{2}) = 0. \tag{4.2}
\]

Lemma 4.7. Denote
\[
\tilde{\gamma}(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma(i) + \gamma(i + 1)).
\]
We have that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(i + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{\gamma}(i + \frac{1}{2}) = 0.
\]

Proof. We have that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(i + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{\gamma}(i + \frac{1}{2}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(i + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{v}(i + \frac{1}{2}).
\]
We can write
\[
g(i + \frac{1}{2})v(i) - \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{a^+(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}v'(i + \frac{1}{2}),
\]
\[
g(i + \frac{1}{2})v(i + 1) - \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{a^-(i + \frac{1}{2})}{a(i + \frac{1}{2})}v'(i + \frac{1}{2}).
\]
Summing we obtain
\[
g(i + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{v}(i + \frac{1}{2}) - \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} v'(i + \frac{1}{2}).
\]
Thus
\[
g(i + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{v}(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) + \gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2}) \right).
\]
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(i + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{v}(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma(n + 1) - \gamma(1) + \gamma(1) - \gamma(n + 1)) = 0,
\]
thus proving the lemma. \qed

Theorem 4.8. The number of vertices in the interval $[0, T_0]$ is odd and at least 3.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there is only one vertex $i_0$ in the interval $[1, n]$. Write the mid-area line passing through $\gamma(i_0) = (x_0, y_0)$ as $A(x - x_0) + B(y - y_0) = 0$. We may assume that $\delta > 0$ in the region $A(x - x_0) + B(y - y_0) > 0$ (or else change the signs of $A$ and $B$). Then
\[
\sum_{i_0}^{i_0+n} \delta(Ag_1 + Bg_2) > 0, \quad \sum_{i_0}^{i_0+2n} \alpha(Ag_1 + Bg_2) > 0,
\]
where $g = (g_1, g_2)$. But these contradicts Lemma 4.7. \qed
5. Inverse Construction

Consider the polygon $\bar{\gamma}$ defined by
$$\bar{\gamma}(i) = (1 - c(i))\gamma(i) + c(i)\gamma(i + n),$$ (5.1)
where $c(i)$ depends on $i$.

**Lemma 5.1.** We have that:

1. $\bar{v}(i) = (1 - 2c(i))v(i)$.
2. $\bar{\gamma}'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = (1 - c(i))\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) + c(i)\gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2}) + c'(i + \frac{1}{2})v(i + 1),$
   or equivalently,
   $$\bar{\gamma}'(i + \frac{1}{2}) = (1 - c(i + 1))\gamma'(i + \frac{1}{2}) + c(i + 1)\gamma'(i + n + \frac{1}{2}) + c'(i + \frac{1}{2})v(i).$$
3. $\bar{a}^-(i + \frac{1}{2}) = (1 - 2c(i + 1))\left(a^-(i + \frac{1}{2}) - c(i)a(i + \frac{1}{2})\right)$
   and
   $$\bar{a}^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) = (1 - 2c(i))\left(a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) - c(i + 1)a(i + \frac{1}{2})\right).$$

**Proof.** Straightforward calculations. □

**Proposition 5.2.** Assume that no opposite sides are parallel. If $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\gamma}) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\gamma}) = \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ has the form (5.1) with $c$ constant. Conversely, any polygon $\bar{\gamma}$ of the form (5.1) with $c$ constant has the same $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ envelopes as $\gamma$.

**Proof.** Assuming $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\gamma}) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\gamma}) = \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$, the line $l(i)$ and the midpoint $M(i)$ are the same for $\gamma$ and $\bar{\gamma}$, which implies that $\bar{\gamma}$ has the form (5.1). Now we have to check that $l(i)$ is also a mid-area line for $\bar{\gamma}$, which is equivalent to
$$\bar{a}^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) = \bar{a}^-(i + n + \frac{1}{2}).$$ (5.2)
for any $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. This condition is equivalent to
$$(2a^+(i + \frac{1}{2}) - a(i + \frac{1}{2})) c'(i + \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0.$$ 
Under the hypothesis that the opposite sides $\gamma(i)\gamma(i + 1)$ and $\gamma(i)\gamma(i + 1)$ are not parallel, this condition is equivalent to $c(i) = c(i + 1)$. Since this holds for any $i$, we conclude that $c$ is constant. For the converse, just follow the steps backwards. □

Thus the polygons with the same sets $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ as $\gamma$ form a one parameter family given by
$$\gamma_c(i) = (1 - c)\gamma(i) + c\gamma(i + n),$$
where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. However, we should point out that $\mathcal{H}(\gamma_c) \neq \mathcal{H}(\gamma)$. In fact, the hyperbola containing the arc $\mathcal{H}(\gamma)(i + \frac{1}{2})$ is asymptotic to the sides $\gamma(i + \frac{1}{2})$.
and $\gamma(i + n + \frac{1}{2})$ of $\gamma$, while the hyperbola containing the arc $H(\gamma_c)(i + \frac{1}{2})$ is asymptotic to the corresponding sides of $\gamma_c$. In fact, we have just proved that if $H(\bar{\gamma}) = H(\gamma)$ and $\gamma$ has no opposite parallel sides, then $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma$, thus recovering the result of [5].
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