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Abstract

We investigate the dynamics of purely kinetic $k$-essence scalar field in three different scenarios. We begin with the case of a purely kinetic $k$-essence theory and try to formulate a late time accelerating universe model. Then we introduce a perfect fluid in presence of the purely kinetic $k$-essence field and calculate how the features of the previous model are modified. We discuss two cases primarily, in the first case the fluid and the scalar field does not exchange energy and momentum and in the later case the scalar field and the fluid interact non-minimally and exchange energy and momentum. Using dynamical analysis technique, we examine the stability of these systems in the background of a spatially flat Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe for a particular chosen functional form of $k$-essence kinetic energy term. From the evolution of the effective equation of state parameter of the field-fluid system we conclude that a non-minimally coupled field-fluid scenario can produce physically interesting results. We also find that the $k$-essence field can effectively hide in a radiation background.

1 Introduction

Our present universe is going through an epoch of accelerated expansion. During the last fifteen years, several observational evidences established this fact. Estimation of luminosity distance and redshift of type Ia supernova [1, 2, 3] are the key ingredients among the several observational ventures. Cosmic Microwave Background radiation [4], Baryon acoustic oscillation [5, 6] and Hubble constant [7] also play significant roles in suggesting late-time cosmic acceleration. Literature related to the accelerated expansion of the universe [1, 2, 3] suggests that dark energy is solely responsible for this late-time cosmic acceleration. The large negative pressure of dark energy prevents gravitational collapse and produces late-time cosmic acceleration.
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Despite countless theoretical approaches, the physical theory of dark energy is still not established. The earliest theory to probe the nature of dark energy is the ΛCDM model, which consists of both cosmological constant Λ and cold dark matter. This model was favored by particle physics community. Unfortunately, the ΛCDM model suffers from two drawbacks. The first difficulty of this model is related to the cosmological constant problem [8] and the other one is the cosmic coincidence problem [9]. These two basic problems motivate us to study alternative dark energy models. One type of such model involves the field-theoretic dark energy model, in which the scalar field plays a major role in producing a negative pressure resulting in the late-time cosmic acceleration. Based on the form of Lagrangian, mainly two kinds of scalar field models exist so far, one is ‘Quintessence’ model [9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20] and the other is ‘k-essence’ model [21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29]. Despite the presence of scalar field models, other kinds of dark energy models are also present in cosmology, like $f(R)$ theory of gravity [30,31], scalar-tensor theoretical models [32] and brane-world models [33]. In this present work, we will only concentrate upon some aspects of the k-essence type of scalar field dark energy model. One must note that non-canonical scalar field (k-essence type of scalar field) is not only used to study the nature of dark energy but they are also regularly used to characterize inflation [21,22], dark matter [29] and unified dark sector models [27]. Out of the several theoretical ventures to study the k-essence sector, one fascinating method is investigating the interacting field-fluid framework. Several interlinking field-fluid scenarios have already been investigated in the literature [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39]. In most of the cases, field part is composed of Quintessence scalar field. In some literature, k-essence [40] has taken the lead role in driving the cosmological dynamics. Algebraic and derivative types of coupling have been used to study this interlinked dark sector. Dynamical stability analysis plays an important role in the study of dark energy. Various applications of dynamical systems are applied in studying evolution of various field-fluid scenarios. We have extracted the essence of dynamical stability technique from various literature [36, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49] and implemented it in our cases.

Constant potential k-sector defines the purely kinetic k-essence models. The purely kinetic k-essence models can unify both the dark energy and the dark matter [27] sectors. It is assumed that the dark sectors interact gravitationally. Apart from gravity there exist some other phenomenological types of interaction that connect these two sectors. In [40] a non-minimal type of interaction between the field and the fluid sectors, where the k-essence potential plays a vital role, has been discussed thoroughly. Some recent works show that the equation of state of field-fluid sector of the universe can cross the phantom barrier [50, 51, 52]. Other recent works discuss about the issue of Hubble tension. One of the possible ways to address these issues is related to the introduction of the non-minimal interaction between field and fluid systems studied in [53, 54, 55]. In this paper we will investigate the field-fluid interaction between a purely kinetic k-essence field sector and the relativistic fluid sector. This study is important as the behavior of purely kinetic k-essence sector is very different from the standard k-essence sector where the field potential plays an important role. It is to be noted that there is no standard way to derive the field-fluid interaction using purely kinetic k-essence fields from the earlier study of field-fluid interaction using standard k-essence sector with a non-trivial potential [40] as the dynamical system used previously relies on a different set of dimensionless variables. Moreover the case dealing with pure kinetic k-essence and a hydrodynamic fluid may appear to be simpler compared to the general case, where the k-essence sector
has a nontrivial potential, but it will be shown that because of this apparent simple nature of the problem one can study the system more deeply. In the case where the potential is nontrivial the theory contains many parameters and it practically becomes difficult to have a physical understanding of the system. In this paper we will show that the field-fluid interaction for purely kinetic $k$-essence gives rise to complex cosmological dynamics.

In this article we have chosen three different cases of the $k$-essence sector, with a constant potential, to examine the dynamics of the universe. In the first case, we have taken only a $k$-essence scalar field and studied the stability of this case in the context of dynamical analysis. We have reproduced the results of [27] using dynamical analysis. In this case we have noted that the evolutionary dynamics also suggests that the pure kinetic $k$-essence model does not produce a stable matter dominated era, it can only partially describe the cosmic phenomenology related to the late time universe. The model in the above reference requires extra input to incorporate a matter dominated phase which precedes the accelerated expansion phase of the universe. That extra input can be a perfect fluid.

We have added a perfect fluid in the theory which already had a purely kinetic $k$-essence sector and have studied two different cases. In the first case the fluid and the $k$-essence sectors does not exchange energy-momentum and in the second case they do exchange energy-momentum via a non-minimal field-fluid interaction term. In the first case, cosmic evolution suggests that if the fluid is comprised of radiation ($P = \rho/3$), the system shows only radiation and late-time accelerating phases. If the fluid has vanishing pressure ($P = 0$), then the system exhibits a momentary radiation domination initially. The system then hovers around the matter dominated phase for a brief period before settling down in the accelerated expansion phase.

Then we have studied the non-minimal coupled field-fluid sector in the context of a multiplicative type of interaction model. In this case the interaction term consists of the kinetic term of $k$-essence sector and the fluid energy density. It is seen that simple non-minimal field-fluid scenarios can produce complex cosmological dynamics. Some of these models may introduce new types of singularities. To understand the system more deeply we have used two different models of non-minimal interaction. In the second model we get workable solutions. For all the cases the $k$-essence scalar field is purely kinetic and we have also chosen a particular form of $F(X) = AX + BX^2$ [28] to analyze the above scenario in the context of isotropic and homogeneous FLRW universe. Here $X$ stands for the kinetic term of the scalar field and $F(X)$ is an arbitrary function of $X$ appearing in the Lagrangian of the $k$-essence field. We see that non-minimal field-fluid coupling can to a certain extent mimic a three phase universe, the three phases are related to radiation domination, matter domination and ultimately an accelerating universe.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In section 2 we will discuss purely kinetic $k$-essence theory in a detailed manner. In section 3 we will introduce a perfect fluid where the fluid and the scalar field do not exchange energy-momentum between them. In section 4 we will introduce the non-minimal coupling and study the field-fluid theory. In our case studies we will use radiation like fluid and a fluid with zero pressure. Finally, we will conclude and discuss future perspectives in section 5.
2 Basics of $k$-essence theory

The basic idea of $k$-essence theory was first introduced by Armendariz-Picon et al.\cite{Armendariz-Picon:2000zn,Armendariz-Picon:2000ah} to explain the inflationary scenario of the early Universe; later, this theory has also been applied to study the late time phase of cosmic evolution. The $k$-essence theory is specified by a scalar field potential, $V(\phi)$, and a non-canonical function of the kinetic term $X \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi$. The action of pure $k$-essence field, minimally coupled to gravity, is given as:

$$S = \int d^4x \left[ \sqrt{-g} \frac{R}{2\kappa^2} - \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}(\phi, X) \right]$$

(1)

Here $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$. The first term in the square bracket characterizes the Einstein-Hilbert action and second term represents the $k$-essence Lagrangian. We consider a homogeneous and isotropic background given by Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric, whose line element is given by:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 dx^2$$

(2)

where $a$ is the scale factor of FLRW metric. Variation of the action with respect to $g^\mu\nu$ produces the energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^\mu\nu}.$$ 

(3)

Hence the energy-momentum tensor of $k$-essence scalar field is

$$T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{L}_X (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi) - g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}.$$ 

(4)

The energy density and pressure of the $k$-essence field are,

$$\rho_\phi = \mathcal{L} - 2X \mathcal{L}_X \quad \text{and} \quad P_\phi = -\mathcal{L}.$$ 

(5)

The pressure of the $k$-essence field configuration can be chosen as $P_\phi = V(\phi)F(X)$. In such a case the energy density will become

$$\rho_\phi = V(\phi)[2XF_{,X} - F],$$

(6)

where, $F_{,X} \equiv dF/dX$. Unlike the canonical scalar field the $k$-essence scalar field has a negative mass dimension. This makes the kinetic term $X$ dimensionless. The mass dimension of the $k$-essence Lagrangian (density), $\mathcal{L} = -V(\phi)F(X)$, solely comes from the potential term $V(\phi)$.

The energy momentum tensor of the field is conserved, $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, yielding

$$\dot{\rho}_\phi = -3H(\rho_\phi + P_\phi).$$

(7)

Time derivative of any function can be expressed as dot over that function. The equation of state (EOS) and sound speed squared parameters in $k$-essence field are given by, $\omega_\phi \equiv P_\phi/\rho_\phi$ and $c_s^2 = \frac{(\partial_p / \partial X)}{(\partial \rho / \partial X)}$. In the present case these quantities are given by

$$\omega_\phi = \frac{P_\phi}{2XF_{,X} - F}, \quad c_s^2 = \frac{F_{,X}}{F_{,X} + 2XF_{,XX}},$$

(8)
where \( F_{XX} \equiv d^2 F/dX^2 \). If we assume that the sound speed in the \( k \)-essence sector always follows \( 0 \leq c_s^2 \leq 1 \), then we have

\[
F_X \geq 0, \quad F_X + 2XF_{XX} > 0.
\]

Varying the \( k \)-essence action with respect to \( \phi \) in the background of FLRW universe produces the scalar field equation:

\[
(F_X + 2XF_{XX})\ddot{\phi} + 3HF_X\dot{\phi} + (2XF_X - F)\frac{V_\phi}{V} = 0,
\]

where, \( V_\phi \equiv dV/d\phi \) and \( H \) is the Hubble parameter, \( H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \). In purely kinetic \( k \)-essence scenario where the potential \( V(\phi) \) becomes a constant, the field equation reduces to

\[
(F_X + 2XF_{XX})\ddot{\phi} + 3HF_X\dot{\phi} = 0.
\]

In our discussion the matter part is solely comprised of the purely kinetic \( k \)-essence field.

In the present case the Friedmann equations are

\[
3H^2 = \kappa^2 \rho_\phi, \quad 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa^2 P_\phi.
\]

In the context of purely Kinetic \( k \)-essence Scherrer has shown [27] that both the dark sectors can be unified. In this unification, near the critical point the energy density \( \rho_{sch} \) of \( k \)-essence scalar field is comprised of a constant energy density and another energy density resembling non-relativistic matter contribution as:

\[
\rho_{sch} \simeq \rho_0 + \rho_1 a^{-3}.
\]

Here \( \rho_0 \) is the constant energy density representing the cosmological constant and \( \rho_1 \) is coefficient of the matter energy density obtained as first order perturbation in energy density.

In the next section, we will employ dynamical stability technique on purely kinetic \( k \)-essence sector to study the behavior of the system.

### 2.1 Dynamical stability in 1-D systems

An autonomous equation in a one dimensional system can be written as,

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = \dot{x} = f(x).
\]

Suppose, \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) is a fixed point of the autonomous equation such that \( f(x_0) = 0 \). To find the stability of the fixed point, we perturb the system around the fixed point as:

\[
x(t) = x_0 + \epsilon(t),
\]

where \( \epsilon(t) \ll 1 \). Using Taylor’s series expansion, \( f(x) \) can be expanded around \( x_0 \) as

\[
f(x) = f(x_0) + \frac{df}{dx}\big|_{x_0} (x - x_0) + \ldots .
\]
Inserting it in autonomous equation and terminating the series after the first order, we get
\[ \dot{\epsilon} = f'(x_0) \epsilon \implies \epsilon = C \exp[f'(x_0) t]. \]  

Here \( C \) is an integration constant. From the above equation we can conclude that perturbation increases with time if \( f'(x_0) > 0 \) and hence the system becomes unstable at this point. Similarly, if \( f'(x_0) < 0 \) the perturbation falls off rapidly. The system turns out to be stable at the fixed point if \( f'(x_0) < 0 \).

### 2.2 Case-I: Dynamical analysis of purely \( k \)-essence

In purely kinetic \( k \)-essence theory the Lagrangian density of the scalar field is \( \mathcal{L} = -V_0 F(X) \) where \( V_0 \) is a constant potential. Henceforth in this paper we will assume that the form of \( F(X) \) is always given by
\[ F(X) = AX + BX^2 \]  
as used by Scherrer in Ref. [27]. This fixed choice of \( F(X) \) will help us to compare the various cases discussed in this paper. In the present case the dynamical variable is \( x = \dot{\phi} \), which corresponds to the time derivative of the scalar field. The energy density and pressure of the \( k \)-essence can be expressed in terms of the dynamical variable as,
\[ \rho_\phi = V_0 \left( \frac{Ax^2}{2} + \frac{3Bx^4}{4} \right), \quad P_\phi = V_0 \left( \frac{Ax^2}{2} + \frac{Bx^4}{4} \right). \]  

Defining \( \Omega_\phi = \kappa^2 \rho_\phi / 3H^2 \), the first Friedmann equation becomes:
\[ \Omega_\phi = 1 \]  

which acts as the constraint equation. The equation of the state and the sound speed solely depend on \( k \)-essence field parameters as,
\[ \omega_\phi = \frac{Ax^2/2 + Bx^4/4}{Ax^2/2 + 3Bx^4/4}, \quad c_s^2 = \frac{F_{,X}}{x^2F_{,XX} + F_{,X}}. \]  

The autonomous equation then can be constructed by using the field equation, Eq.(10), as:
\[ x' = \dot{x}/H = -\frac{3xF_{,X}}{x^2F_{,XX} + F_{,X}}. \]  

From the 1-D autonomous equation as given in Eq. (14), we get three critical points, which are tabulated in Tab. [1]. These critical points are labeled as points \( P \) and \( Q_\mp \). Out of these three points, the critical point at \( P \) is unphysical as it predicts zero energy density for the scalar field. Henceforth we will omit this critical point from our analysis. The nature of the other critical points has been shown in Tab. [2], where pressure and energy density of the \( k \)-essence sector have been calculated along with the equation of state (EOS) and sound speed. At points, \( Q_\mp \), both the energy density and pressure depend on \( A, B \). For real critical points we choose \( A < 0, B > 0 \). At \( Q_\mp \), the equation of state is \(-1\) and sound speed is zero. These point is a stable fixed point. In Fig. [1] the evolution...
Table 1: Critical Points for purely kinetic $k$-essence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>$x$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_\mp$</td>
<td>$\mp \frac{i\sqrt{A}}{\sqrt{B}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Values of Cosmological variables and stability condition at the fixed point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>$P_\phi$</th>
<th>$\rho_\phi$</th>
<th>$\omega_\phi$</th>
<th>$c_s^2$</th>
<th>Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Q_\mp$</td>
<td>$\frac{\ln A}{4B}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\ln A}{4B}$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Evolution of various cosmological variables for $A = -2, B = 1$.

of the cosmological system is shown for $A = -2, B = 1$ and assuming $\kappa^2 V_0 = 1$. Our result matches with the result obtained by Scherrer [27] at late times but differs initially.

From Fig. 1, it is observed that initially the scalar field energy density is high and at late times it saturates to a fixed value. In the present case $\omega_\phi$ and $c_s^2$ both are equal to $1/3$ initially and this equality is maintained for a long time. At the late phase of the universe this equality is violated and we see that the sound speed settles to zero value whereas the EOS saturates to a value of $-1$. This shows that if we treat the purely kinetic $k$-essence sector as a fluid then this fluid mimics a simple barotropic fluid for some time, in our case it is like radiation with EOS $1/3$. This simple barotropic nature of the scalar field configuration does not depend upon the initial conditions chosen or the values of $A$ and $B$ used.

In the present case we see that purely kinetic $k$-essence cannot produce the matter dominated phase of the universe. Then one can check how the situation changes when one introduces a hydrodynamic fluid component.
3 Case-II: Cosmological dynamics in the presence of a purely kinetic $k$-essence field and a relativistic fluid

In this section we will study cosmological dynamics in presence of a $k$-essence scalar field and a relativistic fluid. The fluid may represent radiation or dust. In the present case the fluid and the field do not exchange energy-momentum, consequently they do not interact directly. Although the two matter sectors do not interact directly they affect each other through gravitational effects. We will call such a system to have pure gravitational interaction. In the next section we will deal with the non-minimally coupled field-fluid case. The action for a purely kinetic $k$-essence scalar field and a relativistic fluid can be written as:

$$S = \int_\Omega d^4x \left[ \sqrt{-g} \frac{R}{2k^2} - \sqrt{-g} \rho(n, s) + J^\mu (\varphi,_{\mu} + s\theta,_{\mu} + \beta_A \alpha^A,_{\mu}) - \sqrt{-g}L(X) \right]. \tag{23}$$

Here the first term inside the square bracket denotes the standard Einstein-Hilbert action, the next two terms describe the action for a perfect fluid, and the fourth term represents the action for the purely kinetic $k$-essence field. The commas in the subscripts specify covariant derivatives, they become partial derivatives for scalar functions. In the fluid action $\rho(n, s)$ denotes the energy density of the fluid, which depends on particle number density ($n$) and the entropy density per particle ($s$). The variables such as $\varphi, \theta,$ and $\beta_A$ are all Lagrange multipliers. The Greek indices run from 0 to 3, and $\alpha^A$ is a Lagrangian coordinate of the fluid where $A$ runs from 1 to 3. The current density $J^\mu$ is defined as,

$$J^\mu = \sqrt{-g} nu^\mu, \quad u^\mu u_\mu = -1, \quad |J| = \sqrt{-g} \rho J^\mu J^\nu, \quad n = \frac{|J|}{\sqrt{-g}}. \tag{24}$$

Here, $u^\mu$ is the 4-velocity of the perfect fluid. Variation of the action with respect to $J^\mu, s, \varphi, \theta, \beta_A, \alpha^A$ gives:

$$J^\mu : \quad u_\mu \varphi + s\theta,_{\mu} + \beta_A \alpha^A,_{\mu} = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$s : \quad -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s} + nu_\mu \theta,_{\mu} = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$\varphi : \quad J^\mu,_{\mu} = 0, \tag{27}$$

$$\theta : \quad (sJ^\mu),_{\mu} = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\beta_A : \quad J^\mu \alpha^A,_{\mu} = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\alpha^A : \quad (\beta_A J^\mu),_{\mu} = 0, \tag{30}$$

where $\mu$ is the chemical potential, which is defined as $\mu = \partial \rho / \partial n$. Variations with respect to $\varphi$ and $\theta$ constrains the particle number conservation and the entropy respectively

$$\nabla_\mu (nu^\mu) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\mu (nsu^\mu) = 0, \tag{31}$$

where $\nabla_\mu$ is the covariant derivative. $\beta_A$ further constrains the fluid velocity vector in Eq.(29) to be directed along the constant flow line $\alpha^A$. Variation with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ induces the energy-momentum tensor for the relativistic fluid as

$$T^{(M)}_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + \left( n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial n} - \rho \right) \left( u_\mu u_\nu + g_{\mu\nu} \right), \tag{32}$$
that produces $\rho_M = \rho$ and $P_M \equiv P = \left(n\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial n} - \rho\right)$. In this case the energy-momentum tensor of the field and fluid part is separately conserved i.e, $\nabla_{\mu} T_{\mu \nu}^M = 0$ and $\nabla_{\mu} T_{\mu \nu}^\phi = 0$. For the purely kinetic case the variation of the action with respect to $\phi$ is gives rise to the field equation as given in Eq. [10]. In the FLRW background the Friedmann equations can be written as,

$$1 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3H^2} (\rho_\phi + \rho), \quad (33)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa^2 (P_\phi + P_M). \quad (34)$$

In the next section we will explore the dynamics of this field-fluid system using the dynamical stability technique.

### 3.1 Dynamical stability in multi-dimensional systems

Here we will discuss the dynamical system technique in a general way to study the evolution of the coupled system. Suppose the autonomous equation of the system is

$$\dot{x} = \frac{dx}{dt} = \chi(x) \quad (35)$$

where, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots)$ and $\chi(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_n(x))$. Here $x$ signifies the dynamical variables of the system. Suppose the fixed point corresponding to the autonomous system of equations is $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$, then $\chi(y) = 0$. The critical point must be real for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

To understand the nature of the fixed points we will linearize the system of autonomous equations around the fixed points using Taylor series expansion up to first order:

$$f_i(x) = f_i(y) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (y) [x - y] + \ldots \quad (36)$$

Here $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$ is defined as $J$, the Jacobian matrix of the system. The matrix elements are

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}. \quad (37)$$

This matrix is also called the stability matrix. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix $J$, evaluated at the critical point $y$, yields important information about the stability of the system. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix could be real or complex. If the real part, of the eigenvalues, has a negative sign the fixed point is stable. If all eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix have a positive real part, the point becomes unstable. If at least one of the real parts of the eigenvalues has a negative sign and the rest are positive, the critical point is a saddle. If any eigenvalue is zero and the rest have negative non-zero real parts, then the linear stability theory fails.
Table 3: Critical points for gravitationally coupled field and fluid system for \( \omega \).

### 3.2 Dynamical analysis technique for gravitational interaction of pure kinetic \( k \)-essence field and the hydrodynamic fluid

To study the dynamical stability of this system we choose some dimensionless variables as:

\[
x = \dot{\phi}, \quad z = \frac{H_0}{H}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho}{3H^2}, \quad \Omega_\phi = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_\phi}{3H^2}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\phi = \frac{\kappa^2 P_\phi}{3H^2},
\]

where \( \sigma^2 \) is related to the fluid density \( \rho \), \( \Omega_\phi \) corresponds to \( k \)-essence energy density and \( \mathcal{P}_\phi \) is a new variable related to the pressure in the purely kinetic \( k \)-essence sector. In defining \( z \) we have used the parameter \( H_0 \). Here \( H_0 \) is the Hubble parameter at any instant of cosmological time. It is seen that all the five variables defined above are not independent of each other. Knowing the dynamics of \( x \) and \( z \) one can evaluate the values of \( \sigma^2 \), \( \Omega_\phi \) and \( \mathcal{P}_\phi \). Using Eq. (33) the constrained equation can be expressed as

\[
1 = \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} \left( x^2 F_{,X} - F \right),
\]

where the fluid energy density as \( 0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1 \). Here

\[
\alpha \equiv \frac{\kappa^2 V_0}{H_0^2},
\]

which is a dimensionless constant. The constraint equation shows that the phase space dynamics is actually two dimensional. We only require to investigate the dynamics of \( x \) and \( z \). From the constraint equation we can then infer the value of \( \sigma \).

The second Friedmann equation can be expressed as:

\[
-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{3}{2} (\omega \sigma^2 + \mathcal{P} + 1),
\]

where \( \omega \) is equation of the state of the relativistic fluid. For radiation, \( \omega = 1/3 \), and for pressure-less fluid, \( \omega = 0 \). The energy density and pressure of scalar field can be written in terms of dynamical variable \( x \) by using the chosen form of \( F(X) = AX + BX^2 \). The forms of the energy density and pressure in such a case are given in Eq. (19).

In the present case the set of autonomous equations, in the two dimensional phase space, is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
x' &= \dot{x}/H = -\frac{3xF_{,X}}{x^2F_{,XX} + F_{,X}}, \\
z' &= \dot{z}/H = \frac{3}{2} \left[ \omega \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F + 1 \right].
\end{align*}
\]

From the definition of \( \Omega_\phi \) and \( \mathcal{P}_\phi \), the total equation of state (EOS) and adiabatic sound speed in the \( k \)-essence sector \( (c_s^2) \) are written as:

\[
\omega_{\text{tot}} = \frac{P_{\text{tot}}}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} = \omega \sigma^2 + \mathcal{P}_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad c_s^2 = \frac{dP_\phi/dX}{d\rho_\phi/dX} = \frac{F_{,X}}{2XF_{,XX} + F_{,X}},
\]

where \( P_\phi \) is the pressure of the scalar field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>( \omega_{\text{tot}} )</th>
<th>( c_s^2 )</th>
<th>Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P )</td>
<td>( \sqrt{\frac{\dot{\phi}}{V_0}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2\sqrt{\dot{\phi}V_0}}{\sqrt{\dot{\phi}}} )</td>
<td>(-1)</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>Stable for ( \omega \geq 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where \( P_{\text{tot}} = P_\phi + \omega \rho \) and \( \rho_{\text{tot}} = \rho_\phi + \rho \). In the case of fluid, the square of the sound speed is equal to its equation of state \( \omega \). To exploit the dynamics of this coupled system, we first need to find the fixed points corresponding to the 2-D autonomous equations. The relevant critical point, for a general \( \omega \), and the nature of the point is tabulated in Tab. \[3\].

For this gravitationally coupled system there exists one non-trivial critical point \( P \) and the pair is real for \( A < 0, B > 0 \). In this case we do not list the other critical point \( x = 0, z = 0 \) as it is unphysical as we always assume \( \rho_\phi > 0 \). The relevant critical point is stable for \( \omega \geq 0 \). Near this point we have an accelerating universe with zero sound speed. One can see from the previous discussion on pure kinetic \( k \)-essence that the critical point remains practically the same (specially the value of \( x \)). The dynamics of the field-fluid case is purely determined by the dynamics of the pure kinetic \( k \)-essence field near the critical point. The fluid definitely shows its presence, by affecting the dynamics, far away from the critical point. In a certain sense this critical point is a fluid-phobic critical point, near it the fluid energy density practically becomes negligible and the whole energy density of the universe is dominated by the \( k \)-essence sector. To study the dynamics of the universe near the critical point we have constructed the phase space plots of the dynamical variables \( x \) and \( z \) for \( \omega = 1/3 \) and \( \omega = 0 \). These plots are shown in Fig. 2,3. It is observed that the phase plots of the system, for radiation and matter dominated fluid, are similar. The shape of the allowed region in the phase space, in two dimensions, is due to the constraints \( 0 \leq \Omega_\phi \leq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1 \).

In these figures the yellow shaded region specifies that part of the phase space which gives rise to the accelerating behavior of the universe i.e., \( -1 \leq \omega_{\text{tot}} < -1/3 \). Regions of the phase space where we have phantom behavior i.e., \( \omega_{\text{tot}} < -1 \), are indicated by red colour. In both these regions the sound speed limit, \( 0 \leq c_s^2 < 1 \) may not hold. In the blue coloured regions of phase space the sound speed condition \( 0 \leq c_s^2 < 1 \) holds. Except these regions we may have other regions, as the white regions, where none of these conditions

---

1Actually there are two critical points corresponding to \( x = \pm \frac{i \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{12}}, z = \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \sqrt{B}}{\alpha} \). Here \( z \) is always positive as in an expanding phase of the universe \( H > 0 \) and \( H_0 > 0 \). Both of the critical points produce the same dynamics near it and so we only concentrate on the positive root of \( x \).
Figure 4: Evolution of variables in the case of gravitational interaction of $k$-essence and radiation fluid for $A = -2, B = 1, \alpha = 10^3$.

may hold true. The highlighted/green arrows show the behavior of the points which are very near to the critical point. Throughout the dynamical evolution these points satisfy the sound speed limit. They move from non-accelerated region to the accelerated region and eventually are attracted towards $P$. The arrows which are in the red region does not follow the sound speed limit and hence the phantom region is unstable. These points are also eventually attracted towards the critical point $P$. Except these points there are some arrows, attached to points, which moves along trajectories on which the sound speed limit is not satisfied. These trajectories are in the region of accelerated expansion. These points are also attracted towards $P$. The point $P$ becomes the stable global attractor.

We have shown the dynamics of the model variables, such as the evolution of $\Omega_\phi, \sigma^2, c_s^2$ and $\omega_{\text{tot}}$ with respect to the logarithmic function of the scale-factor $a$ for different hydrodynamic fluids as radiation and matter in Fig. [4] and Fig. [5]. In the case where the hydrodynamic fluid corresponds to radiation we see that the early epoch is dominated by radiation fluid density $\sigma^2$. In the early epoch the purely kinetic $k$-essence energy density is minimal but non-zero. In this phase $\rho_\phi / \rho$ is very nearly a constant and resembles the scaling solution in quintessence. In this phase the scalar field energy density remains sub-dominant. As the universe evolves this scaling is broken and the scalar field starts to dominate. It is observed that as long as scaling behavior holds the effective fluid’s EOS and squared sound velocity remains the same, the system effectively behaves like a radiation dominated universe. Here one must note that we have two separate sound speeds in this case, one sound speed corresponds to perturbations in hydrodynamic fluid (related to EOS for the barotropic hydrodynamic fluid) while the other one corresponds to perturbations in the purely kinetic $k$-essence field sector. During the scaling regime, the sound speeds of the hydrodynamic fluid, scalar field sector and the effective fluid (field-fluid combination) all are the same.

If the fluid part is composed of dust then the $k$-essence energy density dominates initially, but unlike the previous case, here the scalar field energy density parameter goes down smoothly and remains near zero for a brief period of time after which it again rises sharply. Initially the pressure-less matter density is small and this energy density slowly increases with time. As the components of the field-fluid system are not interacting with each other directly, or not exchanging energy-momentum, one cannot interpret that the matter sector directly gets energy from the scalar field sector. The energy density
parameter of dust dominates for a brief period and then drops down to nearly zero value. In this case there is no scaling regime and the sound speeds of the components differ from each other. The effective fluid does not behave like any of its components. The evolution of the total EOS is less steep and the system spends more time around \( \omega_{\text{tot}} = 0 \). We effectively have a brief matter dominated phase in this case. In this case also we find the effective system starts with \( \omega_{\text{tot}} \sim 1/3 \), but soon the effective EOS starts to vary and slowly it evolves to its final value -1 around the stable critical point.

Both of the above cases show that pure gravitational coupling of the field-fluid sector can change the behavior of the dynamics initially, when compared with the previous case where we had only a scalar field. Near the critical point the effect of the fluid is minimal and the system settles down in an accelerating expansion phase. In this case the field configuration and the fluid part do not exchange energy-momentum and the stability of the system is practically guided by the pure kinetic k-essence sector. Radiation initially damps the scalar field energy density whereas the scalar field energy density damps the dust energy density both in the initial phase and the final phase of development. In the next section we will discuss how a non-minimal coupling in the field-fluid case can affect cosmological dynamics.

4 Case III: Cosmological dynamics in presence of a purely kinetic k-essence scalar field non-minimally interacting with a relativistic fluid

The field-fluid action in the present case corresponds to the action for non-minimal coupling of k-essence field and a relativistic fluid \([40]\), given by:

\[
S = \int d^4x \left[ \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{R}{2\kappa^2} - \sqrt{-g} \rho(n,s) + J^\mu(\varphi,\mu + s\theta,\mu + \beta_A\alpha^A_{\mu}) - \sqrt{-g}L(X) - \sqrt{-g}f(n,s,X) \right) \right].
\] (44)

The justification for the first four terms of the above action has already been discussed in the previous section. The last term of the action corresponds to non-minimal coupling term, which is \( f(n,s,X) \). The functional form of \( f \) depends on the choice of the model. Due to the proposed interaction, some of the fluid equations of motion are now generalized. Variation with respect to \( J^\mu \) and \( s \) generalize the thermodynamic equation of the fluid, while other constrained equations, as Eq.(27–30), remain the same. The modified equations are:

\[
J^\mu : \quad u_\mu(\mu_{\text{int}} + \mu) + \varphi,\mu + s\theta,\mu + \beta_A\alpha^A_{\mu} = 0,
\]

(45)

\[
s : \quad -\left[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right] + nu_\mu\theta,\mu = 0.
\]

(46)

Here, \( \mu_{\text{int}} = \partial f/\partial n \) is defined as the interacting chemical potential. Varying the total action with respect to \( g_{\mu\nu} \) gives the energy-momentum tensor as

\[
T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{int})} = n \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} u_\mu u_\nu + \left( n \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} - f \right) g_{\mu\nu} - f_x(\partial_\mu\phi)(\partial_\nu\phi).
\]

(47)
Comparing the above equation with the perfect fluid’s energy-momentum tensor yields the energy density and pressure of the interaction, which are expressed as

\[ \rho_{\text{int}} = f - 2X f_{,X}, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\text{int}} = \left( n \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} - f \right). \]  

(48)

Due to the introduction of non-minimal interaction, the energy-momentum tensor of each component of the field-fluid system is not conserved separately; rather, the total energy-momentum tensor is conserved. For more details one can refer to Ref. [40].

We can rewrite the energy-momentum tensors for both sectors as,

\[ T'_{\mu \nu} = T_{\mu \nu}^{(\phi)} - f_{,X}(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}_{\mu \nu} = T_{\mu \nu}^{(M)} + T_{\mu \nu}^{(\text{int})} + f_{,X}(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi). \]

Hence the Einstein field equation becomes,

\[ G_{\mu \nu} = \kappa^2 (T'_{\mu \nu} + \tilde{T}_{\mu \nu}). \]  

(49)

The covariant derivative of the field energy momentum tensor is

\[ \nabla_\mu T'_{\mu \nu} = -f_{,X}(\partial_\mu \phi)\nabla_\nu \phi \equiv Q_\nu. \]  

(50)

Here, \( Q_\nu \) is a 4-vector that defines energy exchange between the systems. Similarly, we can write conservation equation for fluid as,

\[ \nabla_\mu \tilde{T}_{\mu \nu} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial X} \nabla_\nu X \equiv -Q_\nu, \]  

(51)

showing that the total energy-momentum tensor \( \nabla^\nu(T'_{\mu \nu} + \tilde{T}_{\mu \nu}) = 0 \). Varying the action with respect to \( \phi \) yields

\[ -3H \dot{\phi} [\mathcal{L}_{,X} + f_{,X}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (P_{\text{int}} + f)(3H \dot{\phi}) - \ddot{\phi} [(\mathcal{L}_{,X} + f_{,X}) + 2X(\mathcal{L}_{,XX} + f_{,XX})] = 0. \]  

(52)

Friedmann equations can be written for this case in the context of FLRW background as,

\[ 3H^2 = \kappa^2 (\rho_M + \rho_\phi + \rho_{\text{int}}), \]  

(53)

\[ 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa^2 (P_M + P_\phi + P_{\text{int}}). \]  

(54)

In the next subsection we will elaborate the dynamical technique to investigate the dynamics of this proposed non-minimal coupled sector.

### 4.1 Dynamical analysis of non-minimally coupled field-fluid scenario

To analyze the behavior of this non-minimally coupled system, we choose some dimensionless variables as:

\[ x = \dot{\phi}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\kappa \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{3H}}, \quad y = \frac{\kappa^2 f}{3H^2}, \quad z = \frac{H_0}{H}, \quad C = \frac{\kappa^2 P_{\text{int}}}{3H^2} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{\kappa^2 f_{,X}}{3H^2}. \]  

(55)

Here \( x \) is defined as time derivative of the scalar field \( \phi \), \( \sigma^2 \) is related to the fluid energy density. The variable \( y \) is related to the interaction term and \( z \) captures the evolution of the Hubble parameter where \( H_0 \) is the Hubble parameter at any arbitrary time. Using the
variables $C$ and $D$ we can compactly write the autonomous equations. The constrained equation can be found from Friedmann Eq. (53) and it is:

$$1 = \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} (x^2 F_{,X} - F) + y - x^2 D, \quad (56)$$

where $\alpha \equiv \frac{\kappa^2 V_0}{H_0^2}$ is a non zero constant. The other Friedmann equation, as given in Eq. (54), written in terms of the dynamical variables become

$$\frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2} = - \left( \omega \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F + C + 1 \right). \quad (57)$$

Here we have used the EOS for the hydrodynamic fluid as $P = \omega \rho$. The total equation of state (EOS) of the system is:

$$\omega_{\text{tot}} = \frac{P_{\text{tot}}}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{\omega \rho + P_\phi + P_{\text{int}}}{\rho + \rho_\phi + \rho_{\text{int}}} = \omega \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F + C, \quad (58)$$

and the sound speed in the $k$-essence sector is:

$$c_s^2 = \frac{P_{\text{tot},X}}{\rho_{\text{tot}}X} = \frac{P_{\phi,X} + P_{\text{int},X}}{\rho_{\phi,X} + \rho_{\text{int},X}} = \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F_{,X} + C_{,X} \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} (x^2 F_{,XX} + F_{,X}) - x^2 D_{,X} - D. \quad (59)$$

The above sound speed is a suitable generalization of the sound speed in the pure kinetic $k$-essence sector. In order to have a stable theory the sound speed must be positive and satisfy the condition of $0 \leq c_s^2 \leq 1$. A negative value of the sound speed indicates instability of the theory. Next we introduce some new dimensionless variables as:

$$\Omega_\phi \equiv \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} (x^2 F_{,X} - F), \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\text{int}} \equiv y - x^2 D, \quad (60)$$

where $\Omega_\phi$, is the energy density of $k$-essence field and $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ is the interaction energy density.

Non-minimal interactions make the cosmological system more complex. The first hint of this complexity arises from the form of the interaction energy term $\Omega_{\text{int}}$. For matter components we can always use the standard constraints as $0 \leq \Omega_\phi \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1$ respectively. On the other hand $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ does not arise from any matter sector and consequently one may have negative values of interaction energy term. The fact that $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ is not positive semi-definite makes the constrained equation Eq. (56) less predictive as now both $\Omega_\phi$ and $\sigma^2$ can have values greater than one. In the present paper we will try to maintain the conventional bounds on the scalar field and matter energy densities and work out the cosmological dynamics when $0 \leq \Omega_\phi \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1$ respectively. We will see that in some cases $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ can indeed be negative and in many cases $\Omega_{\text{int}} = 0$. It must be pointed out here that having $\Omega_{\text{int}} = 0$ does not imply that non-minimal coupling has vanished, in such cases $P_{\text{int}} \neq 0$. As interaction energy density and pressure in general does not satisfy any energy conditions we can always have zero interaction energy term where a finite interaction pressure is there.
The autonomous equations for the present system are:

\[
x' = \frac{\dot{x}}{H} = \frac{3x \left( \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F + C_X \right)}{\left[ (D - \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F_X) + x^2 \left( D_X - \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F_{XX} \right) \right]},
\]

\[
z' = \frac{\dot{z}}{H} = \frac{3z}{2} \left[ \omega \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F + C + 1 \right].
\]

This 2-D autonomous system encapsulates the behavior of the system. The variables \(x\) and \(z\) are sufficient to describe the entire behavior of the system. To study further we will choose some form of the interaction term \(f = \rho(n,s)\xi(X)\), where \(\xi(X)\) is a function of the kinetic term \(X\). As we are dealing with purely kinetic \(k\)-essence field we assume that the interaction term also to be a function of \(\rho\) and \(X\). In the whole analysis the field \(\phi\) does not explicitly appear. In presence of a non-trivial potential for the scalar field \(\phi\) plays an important role. We work with the simplest model of non-minimal field-fluid interaction, more complicated interaction terms are not ruled out but their analysis will be complicated. We will soon see that the simplest model of non-minimal coupling between the field and the fluid actually turns out to be a complex model, with many possibilities. To unravel the nature of field-fluid coupling we will study two simple models which will adequately show the rich mathematical structure of these theories. We have made a list of the model parameters in Tab. 4.

Before we start analyzing the various models on non-minimal field-fluid interaction let us spend some time on explaining an important difference between these models and the cases we dealt previously in the earlier section. Unlike pure gravitational coupling case, in the present case the field and fluid sectors directly exchange energy and momentum with each other and consequently can create or destroy each other. The scalar field sector can pump energy and momentum to the fluid sector and can create the fluid or increase or decrease the energy density of the pre-existing fluid. In many of the cases presented in this section we will see that non-minimal interaction acts temporarily and it creates or energizes the fluid sector in a finite time window.

### 4.2 Cosmological dynamics in Model I: \(f = g\rho(n,s)X^\beta\)

Here we consider the form of interaction as \(f = g\rho(n,s)X^\beta\), where \(g\) is the coupling constant. Depending on the dynamics of the system \(g\) can take any real value. As \(X\) is dimensionless and \(\rho\) carries the dimension of inverse fourth power of mass, which is the same as the dimension of \(f\), the coupling constant \(g\) is dimensionless. In this model the fluid energy
density, $\rho$, contains the fluid parameter whereas $X$ signifies the field parameter and $\beta$ is a constant. In Tab. [4] some model variables are evaluated that depends on $\beta$ and $\omega$.

Before we specify the critical points in this model let us briefly discuss about some of the subtle properties of this simplest non-minimal interaction model. In Model I the interaction energy term is given by:

$$\Omega_{\text{int}} = g\sigma^2 X^\beta (1 - 2\beta). \quad (62)$$

Using the above expression one can write the constraint equation, Eq. [56], as

$$1 = \sigma^2 \left[ 1 + gX^\beta (1 - 2\beta) \right] + \Omega_\phi, \quad (63)$$

where $\Omega_\phi$ is a function of $x$ and $z$. From the above equation one can see that if there is a real $X$ for which $1 + gX^\beta (1 - 2\beta) = 0$ then the constraint equation becomes useless at that value (or values) of $X$ as $\sigma^2$ becomes indeterminate at this point (or points). As in the present case the dynamical system used to predict the behavior of the universe is a differential-algebraic system, at those values of $X$ the algebraic component fails resulting in a singularity. The roots of the equation giving rise to singularities are:

$$X^\beta = \frac{1}{g(1 - 2\beta)}. \quad (64)$$

We have calculated the above value of $X$ using the form of $F(X)$ given by $F(X) = AX + BX^2$. From the above expression one can see that in general one can always get real values for $X$ and as a consequence the resulting theory is singular. One can avoid such singularities only when

1. $g < 0$ and $\beta > 1/2$, or
2. $g > 0$ and $\beta < 1/2$.

The above choice of parameters show that the simplest non-minimal field-fluid coupling term is constrained. If the parameters $g$ and $\beta$ do not follow the constraints then the cosmological dynamics become indeterminate. Henceforth we will assume that the above constraints are satisfied.

When the constraints are satisfied we can find the critical points of the system. It turns out that the only non-trivial critical point in Model I is given by the contents in Tab. [3] in the last section. Near the stable critical point the fluid energy density diminishes and practically the whole energy density at late times is contributed by the kinetic $k$-essence sector.

To study the cosmological dynamics in Model I we have chosen a negative value of $\beta$ and a positive value of $g$. For this negative $\beta$ values $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ turns into positive semidefinite quantity. In the plots one will see that $\Omega_{\text{int}} \sim 0$ for some regions. A vanishing value of $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ does not specify zero non-minimal interaction, as discussed earlier. The non-zero value of interaction pressure affects the cosmological dynamics. For $\beta = -1$, $A = -2$, $B = 1$ and $g = 1$ and for any positive $\omega$ there exists only one non-trivial stable critical point about which we have said before. The nature of this point is given in Tab. [3]. We have omitted the other critical point, for which $x = 0$, as we are interested to see how the $k$-essence sector drives the dynamics. The non-trivial critical point is stable and can produce an accelerated universe with zero sound speed in the scalar field sector. Phase
space behavior for this case has been depicted in Fig.[6] and Fig.[7] for radiation and dust respectively. As described in the previous section, here also the red region specifies that part of phase space where the system evolves in a phantom matter dominated phase, the yellow region signifies the accelerating universe phase and in the blue region we have the sound speed in the $k$-essence part to be positive, for all the points there the sound speed is between 0 and 1. Except these there is a white region where none of these conditions hold. Henceforth this colour code will be used everywhere. Constraint on the phase space comes from $0 \leq \Omega_\phi \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1$ conditions and produces the bounded geometry as shown in the figures. In Fig.[6] and Fig.[7] we have shown the points which are attracted towards the point P while maintaining the scalar sound speed limit. In our study of cosmological models for the late time universe these points are essentially useful. In the phase space plots we see there are some trajectories which are not moving towards the non-trivial fixed point P. These paths avoid P and does not lie in the basin of attraction of P. The existence of these lines can be explained from the fact that in reality in all of these cases we have another fixed point at $x = 0$, $z = 0$ and consequently some of the trajectories do tend towards it. As because the other fixed point does not lie in our constrained space (as it is unphysical as $z = 0$ there) we can only see the flow towards it in our restricted phase plane. Moreover we have verified that all the points on the line $x = 0$ act like a non-isolated fixed point and as a consequence many of the phase space trajectories are going towards this line. As a consequence of these facts the whole region of restricted phase space is not the basin of attraction for the non-trivial fixed point P. These lines were also present in the phase space of the purely gravitationally interacting system, discussed in the previous section, but there those lines did not contribute much in the restricted phase space. Henceforth in many of our phase space plots we will see these kinds of lines, which are not attracted towards P, in all of those cases one can actually justify their existence by similar logic.

The evolution of the system variables are shown in Fig.[8] and Fig.[9] for the cases where the fluid part is composed of radiation or dust. In both cases, $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ plays a similar role, at an early epoch it starts from zero and then shows a peak, when $\omega_{\text{tot}}$ is zero, and as time evolves $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ falls off at late times when $\Omega_\phi$ dominates. In both the cases we see that the purely kinetic $k$-essence sector energy density dominates for most of the time. This is the main difference with the plots presented in the earlier section where radiation energy density dominated over the scalar field part initially. Presently it is seen that in
both the cases the effective fluid (whose EOS is $\omega_{\text{tot}}$) mimics “non-thermal radiation” as $\omega_{\text{tot}} = 1/3$ initially. In the initial phase the sound speeds in the scalar field sector and radiation remain the same. For radiation we see that initially $\rho_\phi/\rho$ remains constant for some time but this behavior does not correspond to conventional scaling in quintessence as here $\rho_\phi$ is not sub-dominant. Although in both the case the scalar field energy density dominates initially the effective fluid acts like some form of non-thermal radiation.

It is seen that purely kinetic $k$-essence non-minimally interacting with dust can elongate the radiation dominated phase of the universe by producing the non-thermal radiation phase. Effectively the universe comes out from this phase and spends briefly some time when $\omega_{\text{tot}} \sim 0$. One can adjust the periods by tuning the initial conditions or by readjusting the parameters in the model. This fact can have interesting and predictable physical outcomes specially in theories of structure formation. It is also seen that a purely kinetic $k$-essence field can safely hide its presence when the hydrodynamic fluid represents radiation. In this case we have the effective and a component fluid both of whom have the same EOS, i.e., $\omega = \omega_{\text{tot}} = 1/3$. At some point the $k$-essence sector starts to show its basic identity and the universe starts to tend towards an accelerated phase of expansion.

4.3 Cosmological dynamics in Model II: $f = gX^\beta (1 + \frac{2\rho}{V_0})$

In the previous model we saw that for certain choices of the parameters the cosmological dynamics became indeterminate. To tackle this difficulty we propose the next simplest model of non-minimal coupling. We consider the form of interaction as $f = gX^\beta (1 + \frac{2\rho}{V_0})$, where $g$ is a dimensional coupling constant. In this model the energy density, $\rho$ contains the fluid parameters whereas $X$ signifies the kinetic term and $\beta, \gamma, V_0$ are constants. In Tab. 4 the various model variables are evaluated and those variables in general depend on $A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, V_0, g$ and $\omega$. One must note that the present $f$ can be nonzero even if $\rho$ is zero. As a consequence of this the non-minimal coupling term can be effective even when fluid energy density is practically zero and at a certain period this coupling term can extract energy and momentum away from the purely kinetic $k$-essence sector and transfer it to the fluid sector. In such cases the fluid will be created from the scalar field sector. The critical points of the autonomous Eq. (61) are evaluated assuming $F(X) = AX + BX^2$ for the various hydrodynamic fluids as radiation and dust. The interaction energy density
Critical point and its nature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$\omega_{tot}$</th>
<th>$\omega'^2$</th>
<th>Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>$-0.998$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Model II critical points for radiation and matter dominated fluid with $\beta = \frac{1}{3}, \alpha = 10^3, A = 2, B = 1, V_0 = 1, \gamma = 1$ and $g = 2$.

term and pressure can be written as:

$$
\Omega_{\text{int}} = \frac{gX^\beta}{V_0} \left( \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} + \sigma^2 \gamma \right) (1 - 2\beta), \quad P_{\text{int}} = \frac{gX^\beta}{V_0} \left( \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \omega \rho - 1 \right). \quad (65)
$$

The total or effective EOS of the system can be written as:

$$
\omega_{tot} = \omega \sigma^2 + \frac{\alpha z^2}{3} F + \frac{gX^\beta}{V_0} (\sigma^2 \gamma \omega - \alpha z^2 / 3). \quad (66)
$$

To find the critical point of the system we have to specify some model parameters and then solve the equations $x' = 0$ and $z' = 0$. The model parameters are given in Tab. 4. In the present case the analytic solution for the critical points becomes too complicated and consequently we will give only the numerical solutions. To understand the dynamics of the autonomous system we will focus on the restricted phase space for the dynamical system by applying the conditions $0 \leq \Omega_{\phi} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1$. We have studied the dynamics of the system for fractional values of $\beta$, mainly $\beta = 1/3$ in this paper. A general study for any value of $\beta$ is too complicated, one has to work out the cosmological dynamics separately for each $\beta$ value.

In the present case we have evaluated the critical points for $\beta = 1/3$ with positive $k$-essence parameters $A = 2, B = 1$ and $g = 2$ when the fluid part is composed of radiation or dust. The critical point is tabulated in Tab. 5. One must note that in the present case we are using both $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ unlike the choice of the signs of $A$ and $B$ in the previous cases. In all the previous cases one had to choose $A < 0$ and $B > 0$ to obtain the non-trivial fixed point. In Model II the non-minimal coupling allows us to choose both $A$ and $B$ to be positive. In the Model I if one terminates the non-minimal coupling then the nature of the critical point does not change, as the critical point there coincides with the critical point in the purely gravitational coupling case. In the present case if we simply put the non-minimal coupling term to be zero then the critical point does not remain a fixed point any more. In Model II, due to the nature of the non-minimal coupling, it becomes practically impossible to present an analytic solution for the critical point and consequently we only provide the numerical value here. It is seen that in the present case one obtains one real critical point $P$, the effective EOS of the cosmological system around this point is $\approx -1$, and sound speed in the $k$-essence sector is near to zero. The interaction energy density is non zero for $\beta = 1/3$. The critical point is stable, to explore the dynamics around this point, in phase space, we have presented the phase space trajectories in Fig. 10 and Fig. 12. The two figures correspond to different kinds of hydrodynamic fluids, for the first case we have radiation and the second case corresponds to dust. The phase space plots are quite similar for both the fluid. The point $P$ acts as a stable fixed point since all the neighbourhood trajectories end up at $P$.

The dynamics of the system variables are shown in Fig. 11 and Fig. 13. Since for $\beta = 1/3$ we have non zero interaction density it is seen that at the early epoch both $k$-essence density $\Omega_{\phi}$ and $\Omega_{\text{int}}$ dominates over $\sigma^2$. Initially the fluid energy density remains
very low. The fluid energy density slowly increases in both the cases and after it reaches a maxima it slowly fades away in the accelerated expansion phase. In the case of radiation the effective EOS and $c^2_s$ are greater then 1/3 initially, exhibiting properties of a stiff matter phase. In this case also initially for a brief period of time $\rho_\phi/\rho$ remains approximately constant. The total EOS takes a steep downward trajectory and finally settles down at its desired value in the accelerated expansion phase. This model gives an interesting and testable model for non-minimal interaction as the total EOS is stiff and does not match with the EOS of any known cosmological fluid. In this case the universe does not have any effective matter domination as the system does not hover around $\omega_{\text{tot}} = 0$ point. In the final phase the $k$-essence energy density remains slightly more than the interaction energy density. The overall dynamics is smooth and predictable.

In the case of dust $\omega_{\text{tot}}$ and $c^2_s$ starts from 1/3 showing an effective non-thermal radiation domination in the early phase of the universe. As system evolves the $k$-essence energy density starts to decrease and $\sigma^2$ starts rising. In the case of dust, $\Omega_\phi$ starts to decrease and $\Omega_{\int}$ and $\sigma^2$ starts to increase. At the time when $\sigma^2$ attains the maximum, $c^2_s$ first increases and then decrease whereas $\omega_{\text{tot}}$ decreases. The interesting property of this case is that, there is a respectable amount of time for which the universe hovers around the point $\omega_{\text{tot}} = 0$. Effectively we have a matter dominated phase in this case. The duration of this phase can be altered by suitable changing the model parameters. Finally the universe settles in the accelerated expansion phase. In this model of non-minimal interaction we have three phases of the universe. One can try to apply this model in various ways in cosmology.

Before we conclude we want to specify that in Model II we have an additional freedom to choose the $k$-essence parameter in such a way that both positive and negative values of $A$ are possible. The system is not restricted to fractional values of $\beta$, but the fractional values of $\beta$ provides the freedom of choosing the sign of $A$ freely, whereas the integer values of $\beta$ does not allow us to choose $A > 0$ and $B > 0$. For positive integer values of $\beta$, $A$ must be negative to have real critical points. We are not exploring those possibilities in this manuscript since this analysis have provided a glimpse of the non-minimal interacting scenario.
Figure 12: Phase space of non-minimal coupling of $k$-essence with matter fluid for $A = 2, B = 1, \beta = 1/3, \alpha = 10^3, V_0 = 1, \gamma = 1$ and $g = 2$.

Figure 13: Evolution of the cosmological variables in the case of non-minimal coupling of $k$-essence with matter fluid for $A = 2, B = 1, \beta = 1/3, \alpha = 10^3, V_0 = 1, \gamma = 1$ and $g = 2$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have studied the dynamics of kinetic $k$-essence in three different scenarios, viz. in the case of pure $k$-essence, in the case when there is gravitational interaction between $k$-essence and a relativistic fluid and lastly the case related to non-minimal interaction of $k$-essence with relativistic fluid. In the last two cases we have taken the fluid representing radiation or dust. To study the whole work we have chosen a particular form of $F(X) = AX + BX^2$. As $k$-essence is purely kinetic type, the kinetic term only plays a vital role in investigating the whole picture. Even the non-minimal interaction terms are functions of $X$ and does not depend on the explicit field values. As a consequence of this the theory presented in this paper is not dependent on the exact field value $\phi$. Any shift as $\phi \rightarrow \phi + c$, where $c$ is a constant, will provide the same dynamics.

In only $k$-essence case $F(X) = AX + BX^2$ with a constant potential we found that the $k$-essence parameters should satisfy $A < 0, B > 0$ for most of the cases. If this condition is not satisfied then we do not get real, stable fixed points producing an accelerated phase of expansion. In presence of a particular non-minimal coupling, as studied in Model II, we find that this restriction can be evaded. It is seen that the choice $A < 0, B > 0$ practically always produces a stable fixed point which is fluid-phobic. At this critical point the fluid energy density dilutes to zero and even the non-minimal interaction energy density dilutes to zero near this point. On the other hand near the critical point for which $A > 0, B > 0$ we can have finite non-minimal interaction energy density. In theories involving standard $k$-essence fields one has the liberty of changing the parameters, appearing in the potential, and produce various forms of cosmological dynamics. In the absence of a nontrivial potential one can only tune the parameters in the kinetic sector and try to modify the behavior of the system.

In the case of only a pure kinetic $k$-essence field it is seen that the universe acts like a radiation dominated phase in the early times, at late times the system shows cosmological accelerated expansion. In this model a separate matter dominated phase is not seen. Once we introduce a fluid component along with the kinetic $k$-essence field new features arise in the early phase of cosmological development. The late phase of development coincides with the pure kinetic $k$-essence phase. In the early phase, in presence of radiation, we see some form of scaling behavior where the scalar field energy density remains sub-dominant.
and the ratio of the scalar field and fluid energy density remains approximately constant. It is seen that the \( k \)-essence sector can actually hide in presence of radiation as because the effective fluid also acts like radiation in the initial phase. This phenomenon is also seen in a later case where non-minimal field-fluid coupling is involved. In presence of dust, gravitational coupling can again produce an effective non-thermal radiation dominated phase in the early period of development. In this case the universe spends more time near the point \( \omega_{\text{tot}} = 0 \) and consequently a matter dominated phase precedes the ultimate accelerated expansion phase.

Non-minimal coupling between field and fluid brings new complexities. We have shown that the simplest model of non-minimal coupling can introduce singularities in cosmological dynamics. To avoid the singularity one must work in a restricted space of the non-minimal coupling parameters. To tackle the issue of singularities in non-minimal coupling we have presented two simplest models of coupling called Model I and Model II. In Model II we do not have any singularities arising due to the non-minimal coupling term. The coupling in Model I vanishes when the fluid energy density vanishes, in Model II the coupling remains nonzero even when the fluid energy density vanishes. The non-minimal coupling term is responsible for transferring energy and momentum from one matter sector to another matter sector. In this case even if one starts with zero fluid energy density, the non-minimal interaction can produce the fluid later, by transferring some energy and momentum from the scalar field sector to the fluid sector. This feature can have interesting cosmological applications. In Model II we get a genuinely different kind of fixed point, near which the \( k \)-essence energy density does not exhaust the whole energy parameter space. We have verified that non-minimal field fluid coupling can produce various interesting cosmological phases which all tend towards an accelerated expansion phase of the universe.

From the various models presented in the figure it is seen that the phase space of the field-fluid system has various regions. Some regions produce phantom like behaviour while in some regions we have cosmic expansion but the sound speed limit in the \( k \)-essence sector is not maintained. In this paper we have tried to present the cosmological dynamics when most of the restrictions are applied and the system remains stable throughout. Other forms of dynamics are possible but difficult to guess. It requires considerable effort to have a proper understanding of all the various regions of the phase space.

Before we conclude this paper we want to specify that the interaction of pure kinetic \( k \)-essence and a hydrodynamic fluid is a complex issue because in this case we do not have a conventional scalar field whose potential guides most of the features of dynamics. We could study a bit of this complicated issue because we have taken a trivial potential for the \( k \)-essence field here. In the simplest of the cases related to non-minimal coupling of kinetic \( k \)-essence with fluid we see various interesting features. The work presented in this paper is just the tip of an iceberg and future investigations can produce a more thorough study of the various interaction models.
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