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ABSTRACT. An improved a.e. lower bound is given for Hausdorff dimension under vertical projections in the first Heisenberg group, with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory metric. This improves the known lower bound for sets $A$ with $1 < \dim A < 7/2$, and answers a question of Fässler and Hovila. The approach uses the Euclidean Fourier transform.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the first Heisenberg group, identified with $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, equipped with the group law

$$(z, t) \ast (\zeta, \tau) = (z + \zeta, t + \tau + 2\omega(z, \zeta)),$$

where $\omega(z, \zeta) = \text{Im}(z\zeta)$. The Carnot-Carathéodory metric on $\mathbb{H}$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the Korányi metric

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}((z, t), (\zeta, \tau)) = ||(\zeta, \tau)^{-1} \ast (z, t)||_{\mathbb{H}},$$

where

$$||(z, t)||_{\mathbb{H}} := (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}.$$

This work gives an improved a.e. lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of sets under vertical projections in $\mathbb{H}$, where the Hausdorff dimension $\dim A$ of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is defined through the Korányi metric (equivalently the Carnot-Carathéodory metric). The definition of the vertical projections will be summarised briefly here, but see [1] and [2] for more background.

For each $\theta \in [0, \pi)$, let

$$V_{\theta}^\perp = \{(\lambda_1 ie^{i\theta}, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} : \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

and

$$V_{\theta} = \{(\lambda e^{i\theta}, 0) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then each $(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}$ can be uniquely written as a product $(z, t) = P_{V_{\theta}^\perp}(z, t) \ast P_{V_{\theta}}(z, t)$ of an element of $V_{\theta}^\perp$ on the left, with an element $P_{V_{\theta}}(z, t)$ of $V_{\theta}$ on the right. For each $\theta \in [0, \pi)$, this defines the vertical projection map $P_{V_{\theta}^\perp}$ and the horizontal projection map $P_{V_{\theta}}$. A formula for $P_{V_{\theta}^\perp}$ is

$$P_{V_{\theta}^\perp}(z, t) = \left(\pi_{V_{\theta}^\perp}(z), t + 2\omega(\pi_{V_{\theta}}(z), z)\right),$$

where $\pi_{V_{\theta}^\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the line in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with direction $ie^{i\theta}$, and $\pi_{V_{\theta}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the line in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with direction $e^{i\theta}$.
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In [1] it was conjectured that for any (presumably Borel or analytic) set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{H} \), \( \dim P_{V_{\theta}}(A) \geq \min \{ \dim A, 3 \} \) for a.e. \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \), and that if \( \dim A > 3 \) then \( P_{V_{\theta}}(A) \) has positive area for a.e. \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \). This conjecture is only known for analytic sets with \( \dim A \leq 1 \), which was proved in [1, Theorem 1.4]. In [4] and [5], some improvements were made beyond the a.e. lower bound \( \dim P_{V_{\theta}}(A) \geq 1 \) for analytic sets \( A \) with \( \dim A > 2 \). Question 4.2 from [4] asked whether any improvement over the lower bound of 1 was possible for sets of dimension smaller than 2. The following theorem gives a positive answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( A \subseteq \mathbb{H} \) be an analytic set with \( \dim A > 1 \). Then
\[
\dim P_{V_{\theta}}(A) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1 + \dim A}{2}, 2 \right\},
\]
for a.e. \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \).

This improves the known lower bound for sets \( A \) with \( 1 < \dim A < 7/2 \). If \( \dim A \geq 7/2 \), then the lower bound \( \dim P_{V_{\theta}}(A) \geq 2 \dim A - 5 \) from [1] is better than Theorem 1.1 and holds for every \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \). A special case of the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 was proved in [1, Theorem 7.10], for sets contained in a given vertical subgroup. They also gave an example [1, Example 7.11] of a set of dimension 2, where the energy method alone cannot yield any further improvement over the lower bound of 3/2.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the Euclidean Fourier transform. An approach to Hausdorff dimension via the (non-Euclidean) group Fourier transform was outlined by F. Román-García in [7]. He proved a group Fourier-analytic formula for the energy of a measure, via the group Fourier transform of the Korányi kernels \( \| \cdot \|_{H}^{-s} \).

Unlike the group Fourier transform case, the Euclidean Fourier transforms of the Korányi kernels seem to be unknown. In Lemma 2.1 it is shown that if and \( s \in (1, 3) \) with \( s \neq 2 \), then
\[
\hat{f}_s \lesssim f^{3-s},
\]
with an extra logarithmic factor needed if \( s = 2 \), where \( f_s \) is the restriction of \( \| \cdot \|_{H}^{-s} \) to a vertical subgroup, and the corresponding Fourier transform is the restricted 2-dimensional Euclidean Fourier transform. This seems to be a partial analogue of the formula \( \hat{k}_s = c_s k_{3-s} \) for the Riesz kernels \( k_s(x) = |x|^{-s} \), where \( 0 < s < 3 \).

**2. Proof of Lemma and the Main Theorem**

The following lemma is an inequality for the (2-dimensional) Euclidean Fourier transform of (2-dimensional) Korányi kernels.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( s \in (1, 3) \), and let
\[
f_s(x, t) = \frac{1}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}}, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2,
\]
Then \( \phi \mapsto \int \phi f_s \) defines a tempered distribution \( f_s \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) \), and the Euclidean Fourier transform of \( f_s \) is a locally integrable function which satisfies
\[
\hat{f}_s(\xi_1, \xi_2) \lesssim \begin{cases} 
    f^{3-s}(\xi_1, \xi_2) & s \neq 2, \\
    f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \max \left\{ 1, \log \left( \frac{|\xi_1|}{|\xi_2|^{3/2}} \right) \right\} & s = 2.
\end{cases}
\]
Moreover, if \( s \in (1, 2) \) then \( \hat{f}_s > 0 \).
Proof: Clearly $f_s$ is a tempered distribution. Let $\psi$ be a smooth bump function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi = 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $[-2, 2]$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let $\phi_\epsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (1 - \psi(\xi_2/\epsilon))\phi(\xi_1, \xi_2)$. Then $\langle f_s, \hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_\epsilon \rangle \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. To see this, write

$$f_s = f_{s,1} + f_{s,2} + f_{s,3},$$

where

$$f_{s,1} = f_s \chi((x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2:x^4 + t^2 \leq 1),$$

$$f_{s,2} = f_s \chi((x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2:x^4 + t^2 > 1 \text{ and } x^2 \leq |t|),$$

and

$$f_{s,3} = f_s \chi((x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2:x^4 + t^2 > 1 \text{ and } x^2 > |t|).$$

Then $\langle f_{s,1}, \hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_\epsilon \rangle \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, since $f_{s,1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_\epsilon \to 0$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

By an integration by parts in the $\xi_2$ variable,

$$\langle f_{s,2}, \hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_\epsilon \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f_{s,2}(x,t)}{2\pi it} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i((x,t),\xi)} \left[ e^{-1} \psi'(\xi_2/\epsilon)\phi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_2/\epsilon)\partial_2 \phi(\xi) \right] d\xi \, dx \, dt.$$

The sequence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i((x,t),\xi)} \left[ e^{-1} \psi'(\xi_2/\epsilon)\phi(\xi) + \psi(\xi_2/\epsilon)\partial_2 \phi(\xi) \right] d\xi,$$

is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, as $\epsilon \to 0$. The function $L_2(x,t)/2\pi it$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, since $s > 1$. Hence $\langle f_{s,2}, \hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_\epsilon \rangle \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Integrating by parts twice in the $\xi_1$ variable gives that $\langle f_{s,3}, \hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_\epsilon \rangle \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\hat{\phi}(x,t)}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dx \, dt = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\hat{\phi}_\epsilon(x,t)}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{M \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-M}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i \xi_1 x} \hat{\phi}_\epsilon(\xi) \int_{-N}^{N} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi_2 t}}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dt \, d\xi \, dx.$$

For any $x, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ both nonzero, and any $s > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi_2 t}}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dt = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-N}^{N} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi_2 t}}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dt$$

$$= c_s |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{4}} |\xi_2|^{\frac{s}{4}} K_{\frac{s-2}{2}} \left(2\pi x^2 |\xi_2| \right),$$

for some constant $c_s$, where $K_{\frac{s-2}{2}}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind, of order $\frac{s-2}{2}$. This is a version of Basset’s integral [9 p. 172]. By the second mean value theorem for integrals,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi_2 t}}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dt - \int_{-N}^{N} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi_2 t}}{(x^4 + t^2)^{s/4}} \, dt \lesssim \frac{1}{|\xi_2| (|x|^s + N^{s/2})}.$$
for any $N \geq 1$. Hence, by three applications of the dominated convergence theorem,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{2.2} & = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{-M}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i \xi_1 x} \phi_\varepsilon(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i \xi t} \frac{dt}{(x^2 + t^2)^{3/4}} d\xi d\varepsilon x \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i \xi_1 x} \phi(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i \xi t} \frac{dt}{(x^2 + t^2)^{3/4}} d\xi d\varepsilon x.
\end{align*}
\]

The first application used (2.4) to get the dominating function

\[
|\phi_\varepsilon(\xi)| \left[ \frac{1}{|\xi_2| (|s| + 1)} + |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} |\xi_2|^{\frac{s}{2} - 1/2} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2 |\xi_2|) \right],
\]

where $(x, \xi) \in [-M, M] \times \mathbb{R}^2$, whilst the second and third applications used the dominating function

\[
|\phi(\xi)| |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} |\xi_2|^{\frac{s}{2} - 1/2} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2 |\xi_2|), \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\]

which is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^3$ since $1 < s < 3$; by changing variables and considering the behaviour of $K_{2-s}$ for small arguments. By Fubini, (2.3) and a change of variables,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\hat{\phi}(x, t)}{(x^2 + t^2)^{3/4}} dx dt = c_s \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \left[ |\xi_2|^{\frac{s}{2} - 1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i \xi \xi_1} |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2) dx \right] d\xi.
\]

It remains to show that for $\xi_2 \neq 0$,

\[
\text{2.6} \quad \left| \xi_2 \right|^{\frac{s}{2} - 1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i \xi \xi_1} \frac{\xi_1}{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2}} |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2) dx \lesssim f_{s-s}(\xi_1, \xi_2),
\]

where $f_{s-s} = f_{3-s}$ for $s \neq 2$, but with the extra logarithmic factor when $s = 2$.

If $|\xi_2|^{1/2} \geq |\xi_1|$, the result follows since $s < 3$ and thus the integrand has $L^1$ norm $\lesssim 1$; the integrand behaves like $|x|^{|\xi_1|\min(0, 2-s)}$ near the origin for $s \neq 2$, like $-\log |x|$ near the origin for $s = 2$, and the function $K_{2-s}(x^2)$ decays exponentially as $|x| \to \infty$. This covers the case $|\xi_2|^{1/2} \geq |\xi_1|$.

Henceforth suppose that $|\xi_2|^{1/2} < |\xi_1|$. Assume first that $s \in [2, 3)$. If $s \neq 2$ then

\[
\text{2.7} \quad \left| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} e^{-2\pi i \xi \xi_1} \frac{\xi_1}{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2}} |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2) dx \right| \lesssim \int_{|x| \leq \frac{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} |x|^{2-s} dx \lesssim \left( \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \right)^{3-s},
\]

and the same holds if $s = 2$, but with the extra logarithmic factor. This bounds the part of the integral with $|x| \leq \frac{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}$. The function $|x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ since it is a product of two positive, decreasing functions. Therefore, by the second mean value theorem for integrals,

\[
\text{2.8} \quad \left| \int_{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2} / |\xi_1|}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i \xi \xi_1} \frac{\xi_1}{\left|\xi_2\right|^{1/2}} |x|^{1 - \frac{s}{2}} K_{2-s}^2 (2\pi x^2) dx \right| \lesssim \left( \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \right)^{3-s},
\]

for $s \neq 2$, with an extra logarithmic factor needed if $s = 2$. By symmetry, this also bounds the part of the integral over large negative $x$, so substituting (2.2) and
function the part of the integral over the interval \( L \) back into the left-hand side of \((2.6)\) gives the required inequality in the case \( s \in [2, 3) \).

Now suppose that \( s \in (1, 2) \) (and \( |\xi_2|^{1/2} < |\xi_1| \)). By an integration by parts,

\[
(2.9) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i x \frac{\xi_2}{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}} |x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2) \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{2\pi \xi_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i x \frac{\xi_2}{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}} \frac{d}{dx} \left(|x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2)\right) \, dx,
\]

which is valid for \( s \in (1, 2) \), since the function \( |x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2) \) is continuous at zero, with derivative in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) (shown below). By the chain rule and the derivative identity

\[
\frac{d}{dx}(x^\nu K_\nu(x)) = -x^\nu K_{\nu-1}(x), \quad x > 0,
\]

the part of the integral over the interval \( |x| \leq \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \) satisfies

\[
\left| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} e^{-2\pi i x \frac{\xi_2}{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}} \frac{d}{dx} \left(|x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2)\right) \, dx \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left(|x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2)\right) \right| \, dx
\]

\[
= c \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} |x|^{2-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2) \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} |x|^{1-s} \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \right)^{2-s}.
\]

(2.10)

It remains to bound the part of the integral over \( |x| > \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \). Since \( s > 1 \), the function

\[- \frac{d}{dx} \left(|x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2)\right) = \left[ cx^{1-s} \right] : \left[x^{2\left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right)} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2)\right],\]

where \( c > 0 \), is decreasing on \((0, \infty)\) since it is a product of positive, decreasing functions. This implies that \( \bar{f}_s > 0 \) for \( s \in (1, 2) \); see [3]. Hence, by the second mean value theorem for integrals,

\[
\left| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|}} e^{-2\pi i x \frac{\xi_2}{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}} \frac{d}{dx} \left(|x|^{1-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} (2\pi x^2)\right) \, dx \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \right)^{3-\frac{\xi}{2}} K_{\frac{\xi_1}{2+\xi}} \left(2\pi \left( \frac{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}{|\xi_1|} \right)^2 \right)^{2-s} \]

(2.11)

By symmetry this also bounds the part of the integral over large negative \( x \), so substituting \((2.10)\) and \((2.11)\) back into \((2.9)\) and then \((2.6)\) gives the required inequality for \( s \in (1, 2) \). This finishes the proof. \( \square \)
Proof of Theorem 1.1. It may be assumed that
\[(2.12) \quad \dim (A \setminus \{(0, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}\}) = \dim A,\]
since otherwise the theorem is immediate.

Let \(\alpha\) be such that \(1 < \alpha < \max\{3, \dim A\}\), and suppose that \(1 < s < (1 + \alpha)/2\).

It suffices to prove that for any \(\epsilon > 0\), there exists a Borel probability measure \(\mu\)
supported on \(A\), and a Borel set \(E \subseteq [0, \pi)\) with \(m([0, \pi) \setminus E) \leq \epsilon\), such that
\[
\int_E \int_{\mathbb{H}} d\mu((z, t), (\zeta, \tau))^{-s} d\left(P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\right)(z, t) d\left(P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\right)(\zeta, \tau) < \infty.
\]

By (2.12) and Frostman’s lemma, there is a constant \(c = c(A, \alpha) > 0\) such that for any \(\epsilon > 0\), there exists \(\theta_0 \in [0, \pi)\), and a Borel probability measure \(\mu\) on \(A\), supported in a Korányi ball of radius 1/2, with
\[
c_\alpha(\mu) := \sup_{(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}} \frac{\mu(B_{\mathbb{H}}((z, t), r))}{r^n} < \infty,
\]
such that for all \((z, t) \in \text{supp} \mu\), \(|z| > c\) and
\[(2.13) \quad |\arg z - \theta_0|_{\text{mod} \pi} < \epsilon^3,
\]
and such that the projection of \(\text{supp} \mu\) down to \(\mathbb{C}\) is contained in one side of the complement of a line through the origin. Let \(\epsilon > 0\) be given, assuming \(\epsilon < 1/100\) without loss of generality, let \(c, \theta_0\) and \(\mu\) be as described above, and let
\[(2.14) \quad E = \left\{ \theta \in [0, \pi): |\theta - \theta_0 - \pi/2|_{\text{mod} \pi} > \epsilon/2 \right\},
\]
which satisfies \(m([0, \pi) \setminus E) \leq \epsilon\). Then
\[
\int_E \int_{\mathbb{H}} d\mu((z, t), (\zeta, \tau))^{-s} d\left(P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\right)(z, t) d\left(P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\right)(\zeta, \tau) d\theta = \int_E \int_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} f_s(|z|, t) d\left(t \# P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu \ast P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\right)(z, t) d\theta
\]
\[
\leq \int_E \int_{\mathbb{H}} \hat{f}_s(r, \rho) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{ (t \# P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu \ast P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu)(rie^{i\theta}, \rho) \right\} dr d\rho d\theta,
\]
where \(t\) is the inverse map \((z, t) \mapsto (-z, -t)\). The convolution above is Euclidean convolution (which equals Heisenberg convolution on vertical subgroups of \(\mathbb{H}\)).

Inequality (2.15) only follows directly from the definition of the tempered distribution \(\hat{f}_s\) when \(t \# P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu \ast P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\) is a Schwartz function on \(\mathbb{V}_0^\perp\), and in this case equality holds. The general case follows by convolving \(P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu\) with a smooth (Euclidean) approximation to the identity on \(\mathbb{V}_0^\perp\), similarly to [22, p. 39]. By Lemma 2.1,
\[
(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(r^4 + \rho^2\right)^{(s-3)/4} \int_E \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{ (t \# P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu \ast P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu)(rie^{i\theta}, \rho) \right\} d\theta dr d\rho,
\]
where \(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{ (t \# P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu \ast P_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \ast \mu)\right\}\) is non-negative by the convolution theorem.

Choose \(\delta > 0\) such that \(\delta < ((1 + \alpha)/2 - s)/100\). By Fubini, it suffices to show that
\[
\int \int_{\mathbb{A}_s} e^{2\pi i \left<(rie^{i\theta}, \rho), (z - \zeta, t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi \nu_0(z), \zeta) - 2\omega(\pi \nu_0(\zeta), \zeta))\right>} d\rho d\theta
\]
\[
d\mu(\zeta, \tau) d\mu(z, t) \lesssim 2^{j(3-s-\delta)},
\]
for any $j \geq 0$, where
\[ A_j = \{ (\rho, \theta, r) : \theta \in E, \quad 2^{j-1} \leq (r^4 + \rho^2)^{1/4} \leq 2^j \}. \]

Let $j \geq 0$ be given. Since $\mu$ is a probability measure, it is enough to show that for any $(z, t) \in \text{supp} \, \mu$,
\[ \left| \int_{A_j} e^{2\pi i ((rie^{\theta}, \rho), (z - \zeta, t - r + 2\omega(\pi_V g(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi_V g(\zeta), \zeta))) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, dr} \right| \, d\mu(\zeta, \tau) \lesssim 2^{j(3 - s - \delta)}. \]

Let $(z, t) \in \text{supp} \, \mu$ be given. A trivial upper bound for the inner integral is $2^{3j}$, so using $\delta < (\alpha - s)/100$ and the Frostman condition on $\mu$, gives
\[ \int_{B_R((z, t), 2^{-k})} \left| \int_{A_j} e^{2\pi i ((rie^{\theta}, \rho), (z - \zeta, t - r + 2\omega(\pi_V g(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi_V g(\zeta), \zeta))) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, dr} \right| \, d\mu(\zeta, \tau) \lesssim 2^{j(3 - s - \delta)}, \]

Therefore, it suffices to show that
\[ \sum_{k=0}^j \int_{B_R((z, t), 2^{-k}) \setminus B_R((z, t), 2^{-(k+1)})} \left| \int_{A_j} e^{2\pi i ((rie^{\theta}, \rho), (z - \zeta, t - r + 2\omega(\pi_V g(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi_V g(\zeta), \zeta))) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, dr} \right| \, d\mu(\zeta, \tau) \lesssim 2^{j(3 - s - \delta)}, \]

Fix a point $(\zeta, \tau)$ with $2^{-(k+1)} \leq d_R((z, t), (\zeta, \tau)) \leq 2^{-k}$. It will be shown that
\[ \int_{A_j} e^{2\pi i ((rie^{\theta}, \rho), (z - \zeta, t - r + 2\omega(\pi_V g(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi_V g(\zeta), \zeta))) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, dr} \lesssim j \min \{ 2^{j+3k}, 2^{2j+k} \}, \]

which will be enough to prove (2.10). If $|z - \zeta| \leq 2^{-2k}/100$, then $|t - r + 2\omega(z, \zeta)| \geq 2^{-2k}/10$, and hence
\[ |t - r + 2\omega(\pi_V g(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi_V g(\zeta), \zeta)| \gtrsim 2^{-2k}, \]

for all $\theta \in [0, \pi)$. It follows that
\[ \int_{A_j} e^{2\pi i ((rie^{\theta}, \rho), (z - \zeta, t - r + 2\omega(\pi_V g(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi_V g(\zeta), \zeta))) \, d\rho \, d\theta \, dr} \lesssim 2^{j+2k}. \]

This implies (2.17) in this case, so it will henceforth be assumed that $|z - \zeta| > 2^{-2k}/100$. Let $p = (z - \zeta)/|z - \zeta|$, $q = (z + \zeta)/|z + \zeta|$ and let
\[ E^{(1)} = \{ \theta \in E : |\langle p, ie^{i\theta} \rangle| < e^3 \}, \quad E^{(2)} = E \setminus E^{(1)}. \]
For \( l \in \{1, 2\} \) let \( A_j^{(l)} = \left\{ (\rho, \theta, r) : \theta \in E_j^{(l)} \right\} \). Then

\[
\int_{A_j^{(l)}} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle ri e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z-\zeta, t-\tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta)); \right)} d\rho d\theta dr
\]

\[
\leq \int_{A_j^{(l)}} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle ri e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z-\zeta, t-\tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta)); \right)} d\rho d\theta dr + \int_{A_j^{(l)}} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle ri e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z-\zeta, t-\tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta)); \right)} d\rho d\theta dr
\]

The same algebra as in \([5\text{ Lemma 2.3}]\) (following \([2\text{ Section 4}]\) and \([4\text{ Lemma 3.5}]\)) gives that the function

\[
F(\theta) = t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta))
\]

satisfies

\[
2^{-4k} \lesssim |z - \zeta|^2 |z + \zeta|^2 = \frac{|F'(\theta)|^2}{2} + \frac{|F''(\theta)|^2}{4}.
\]

It follows from \([3\text{ Lemma 3.3}]\) that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
m \{ \theta \in [0, \pi) : |t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta))| < \varepsilon \} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} 2^{-k}.
\]

Therefore, the second integral in the right-hand side of \((2.19)\) satisfies

\[
\int_{A_j^{(l)}} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle ri e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z-\zeta, t-\tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta)); \right)} d\rho d\theta dr
\]

\[
\lesssim \int_{\theta \in \theta(E^{(j)})} |t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta))| < 2^{-ik}
\]

\[
\int_{\pi(A_j)} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle ri e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z-\zeta, t-\tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta)); \right)} d\rho dr + \sum_{k = -\infty}^{2j} \int_{\pi(A_j)} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle ri e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z-\zeta, t-\tau + 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_\theta(\zeta, \zeta)); \right)} d\rho dr
\]

\[
\lesssim \min \left\{ 2^{j+3k}, 2^{2j+k} \right\},
\]

where \( \pi(A_j) \) is the projection of \( A_j \) onto the \((\rho, \tau)\)-plane. It remains to bound the first integral in the right-hand side of \((2.19)\). By the assumptions on the support of \( \mu \) from \((2.13)\),

\[
\min \left\{ |q - e^{i\theta_0}|, |q + e^{i\theta_0}| \right\} < \varepsilon^3,
\]
and thus
\[
\left| \langle q, e^{i\theta} \rangle \right| \geq 1 - \left| \langle q, i e^{i\theta} \rangle \right|
\geq 1 - \epsilon^3 - \left| \langle e^{i\theta_0}, i e^{i\theta} \rangle \right|
= 1 - \epsilon^3 - \left| \sin(\theta - \theta_0) \right|
\geq \epsilon^2 / 10,
\]
whenever \( \theta \in E \), by the definition of \( E \) (from (2.14)). The function
\[
F(\theta) = t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_0(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_0(\zeta), \zeta),
\]
therefore satisfies
\[
|F'(\theta)| \geq 2|z - \zeta||z + \zeta| \left( |\langle p, e^{i\theta} \rangle| - |\langle q, e^{i\theta} \rangle| \right)
\geq 2|z - \zeta||z + \zeta| \left( \epsilon^2 / 20 - \epsilon^3 \right)
\geq \epsilon^2 / 20,
\]
whenever \( |\langle p, e^{i\theta} \rangle| < \epsilon^3 \). By the mean value theorem, it follows that
\[
m \{ \theta \in [0, \pi] : |\langle p, i e^{i\theta} \rangle| < \epsilon^3 \text{ and } |t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_0(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_0(\zeta), \zeta)| < \epsilon \} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2 - 2\epsilon},
\]
for any \( \epsilon > 0 \). Summing over dyadic numbers \( \epsilon \) with \( 2^{-2j} \leq \epsilon \leq 1 \) yields that
\[
\int_{A_j} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle r e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z - \zeta, t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_0(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_0(\zeta), \zeta) \rangle \right)} \, d\rho d\theta d\zeta \leq j 2^{j+2k}.
\]
Combining (2.18), (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21) gives (2.17), for any \( k \) with \( 0 \leq k \leq j \). Substituting (2.17) into (2.16) gives
\[
\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j} \int_{B_\delta((z, t), 2^{-k}) \setminus B_\delta((z, t), 2^{-(k+1)})} e^{2\pi i \left( \langle r e^{i\theta}, \rho \rangle, (z - \zeta, t - \tau + 2\omega(\pi V_0(z), z) - 2\omega(\pi V_0(\zeta), \zeta) \rangle \right)} \, d\rho d\theta d\zeta \leq j \sum_{0 \leq k \leq j/2} 2^{j+3k-k\alpha} + j \sum_{j/2 \leq k \leq j} 2^{2j+k-k\alpha}
\leq j \sum_{0 \leq k \leq j/2} 2^{j+3k-k\alpha} + j \sum_{j/2 \leq k \leq j} 2^{2j+k-k\alpha}
\leq j 2^j (\frac{2^j}{2^j})
\leq 2^{(3-s-\delta)},
\]
since \( 1 < \alpha < 3 \) and \( 0 < \delta < (\frac{1+\alpha}{2} - s)/100 \). This proves (2.16), which implies the theorem. \( \square \)
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