SCREW MOTION SURFACES OF CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE IN HOMOGENEOUS 3-MANIFOLDS
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Abstract. We study the geometric behavior of constant mean curvature surfaces invariant under screw motion in the homogeneous 3-manifolds $E(\kappa, \tau)$ as well as the space-forms of non-negative curvature and give a complete classification. We give a unified presentation of results that have appeared in the literature in various forms.

1. Introduction

Surfaces of constant mean curvature (CMC) play an important role in differential geometry and arise also in various real world problems. For example, CMC-surfaces are closely related to the isoperimetric problem, they arise naturally in general relativity, and they model interfaces. The study of CMC-surfaces invariant under a one-parameter group of isometries has received particular attention.

In 1841 Delaunay described surfaces of mean curvature $H = 1$ in Euclidean space invariant under rotation: cylinder, unduloids, sphere, and nodoids [Del41]. We refer to these surfaces as Delaunay surfaces. More than a century later, DoCarmo and Dajczer generalized this description to CMC-surfaces invariant under a screw motion [DD82], that is a rotation composed with translation along the rotational axis.

It is natural to generalize these results to ambient 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds whose isometry group contains screw motions. Clearly, the space-forms $\mathbb{R}^3$, $S^3$ and $H^3$ meet this requirement. More generally, one could consider homogeneous manifolds. By definition the isometry group of such manifolds acts transitively and the manifold is necessarily complete. The isometry group of a 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous manifold can only have dimension 3, 4 or 6, and these manifolds are classified, see [Thu97]. The manifolds with 6-dimensional isometry group are the space forms. For dimension 4 the manifolds form a two-parameter family $(E(\kappa, \tau))_{(\kappa, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2}$. The isometry group contains rotations and translations. For dimension 3 it is the Lie group $\text{Sol}_3$, which does not admit rotation.

For all $E(\kappa, \tau)$-spaces there exists a Riemannian fibration with geodesic fibers

$$E(\kappa, \tau) \xrightarrow{\pi} M^2(\kappa)$$
over a simply connected 2-dimensional manifold $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ of constant curvature $\kappa$, i.e. scalings of $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{S}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^2$. We call $\kappa$ the base curvature and $\tau$ the bundle curvature given via

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} g([E_1, E_2], E_3),$$

where $(E_1, E_2, E_3)$ is orthonormal on $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ such that $(\pi(E_1), \pi(E_2))$ is an orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$. That is, $E_1$ and $E_2$ are horizontal and $E_3$ is vertical ($d\pi(E_3) = 0$).

For $\kappa - 4\tau^2 = 0$ we obtain the space forms with non-negative curvature whose isometry groups are 6-dimensional. Otherwise, the dimension of the isometry group is 4 and can be described to consist of the 3-dimensional translations as well as 1-dimensional rotations around the fibers. For $\tau = 0$ the fibration is trivial, i.e. $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) = \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$. The following geometries arise for different choices of $\kappa$ and $\tau$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>$\kappa = 0$</th>
<th>$\kappa &gt; 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau = 0$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$</td>
<td>$(\mathbb{R}^3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau \neq 0$</td>
<td>$\tilde{\text{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$</td>
<td>$\text{Nil}_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These manifolds, except for the Berger spheres, are Thurston geometries \cite{Thu97, Chp. 3.8} and therefore of special interest. For a detailed account see \cite[Sco83, Chp. 4]{}.

We want to focus on the $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$-spaces, but explicitly include the case $\kappa - 4\tau^2 = 0$, i.e. the space-forms $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{S}^3$. The space-form $\mathbb{H}^3$ is not included since it is not a Riemannian fibration.

Rotational and screw motion CMC-surfaces in 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous manifolds are natural generalizations of the Delaunay surfaces which have been studied extensively over the past decades. Hsiang and Hsiang \cite{HH89} as well as Pedrosa and Ritoré \cite{PR99} studied rotational CMC-surfaces in the product space $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. The generalization to screw motion surfaces was done by Montaldo and Onnis \cite{MO04}, and in a different setup by Sa Earp and Toubiana \cite{ST05}, who also treated the case of positive base curvature $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

These studies were generalized to other Thurston geometries. For Heisenberg space $\text{Nil}_3$, the screw motion surfaces were completely described by Figueroa, Mercuri and Pedrosa \cite{FMP99}, while the rotational case was first described by Tompter \cite{Tom93} and Caddeo, Piu and Ratto \cite{CPR95}. The rotational and screw motion surfaces in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ were studied by Peñafiel \cite{Peñ12, Peñ15}. For the Berger spheres there is work by Torralbo \cite{Tor10}. 


All these results can be summarized as follows: Regardless of the ambient manifold, the screw motion CMC-surfaces are of generalized Delaunay type. There are two approaches: Instead of looking at the 2-dimensional surface in the ambient 3-dimensional space, the invariance under screw motion can be used to either consider the problem in the 2-dimensional abstract quotient space or the $xz$-plane. The authors in [HH89; Tom93; FMP99; MO04; Tor10] follow the first approach and consider parameterized curves in the quotient space which generate the CMC-surfaces. This procedure is often referred to as the reduction procedure [BDH09]. We will explain this later in detail. The authors in [DD82; ST05; Peñ12; Peñ15] use the latter approach and consider a graph in the $xz$-plane. This leads to a non-parametric description.

In this paper we give a systematic description of the screw motion CMC-surfaces in the homogeneous 3-manifolds $E(\kappa, \tau)$ as well as the space-forms of non-negative curvature $R^3$ and $S^3(\kappa)$ by using the reduction procedure. This is also the first description for all pairs of parameters $(\kappa, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of the $E(\kappa, \tau)$-spaces. Much of the existing literature only treats the canonical cases $\kappa = -1, 0, 1$ or $\tau = 0, 1$.

In Section 2 we introduce the reduction procedure. In Section 3 we derive a suitable model for the $E(\kappa, \tau)$-space and apply the reduction procedure to obtain an ODE for the generating curve. In Section 4 we gather elementary properties of this ODE. In Section 5 we discuss the geometry of the solutions in detail and give a summarizing characterization in Section 6.
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## 2. Reduction Procedure

The main idea of the reduction procedure is to simplify the geometric problem by working in a quotient space, reducing the dimension. The procedure goes back to Back, DoCarmo and Hsiang, belatedly published in [BDH09]. To introduce it we need some results on transformation groups and equivariant geometry. We give a brief overview of the necessary concepts. A detailed introduction can be found in [Die87].

Let $G$ be a group. We call a subgroup $H \subset G$ conjugate to a subgroup $K \subset G$, denoted $(H) \sim (K)$, if there exists $g \in G$ such that $K = gHg^{-1}$. We call $H$ subconjugate to $K$, denoted $(H) \preceq (K)$, if $H$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $K$. This defines a partial order on the set of subgroups of $G$.

Now let $M$ be a differentiable manifold and $G$ a Lie group acting on $M$. For $p \in M$ we denote by $G \cdot p$ the orbit and by $G_p$ the stabilizer or isotropy group of the action. We want
to use the partial order $\preceq$ to compare the orbits of the $G$-action. Later, $M$ will be $E(\kappa, \tau)$ and $G$ will be a one-parameter group of screw motions with fixed pitch.

Every orbit $G \cdot p$ is diffeomorphic to $G/G_p$, which yields a relation between orbits and isotropy groups, namely subgroups of $G$. We say $G \cdot p$ is of orbit type $(G_p)$. Moreover, $G \cdot q$ is said to be of the same or smaller type if $G_q \preceq G_p$. If for $p \in M$ there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ such that $G \cdot q$ is of the same type as $G \cdot p$ for all $q \in U$, we call $G \cdot p$ a principle orbit and $p$ a regular point. We denote by $M_r$ the set of regular points of $M$.

**Theorem 2.1** (Principle Orbit Theorem [Die87, Thm. 5.14]). Suppose $M/G$ is connected. Then the following holds:

(i) There exists exactly one principle orbit type and it is minimal with respect to $\preceq$.

(ii) $M_r$ is open and dense in $M$.

(iii) The quotient $M_r/G$ is a connected differentiable manifold and the quotient map is a submersion.

Now let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $G \subset \text{Isom}(M)$ a closed subgroup of the isometry group of $M$. In particular, $G$ is a Lie group acting on $M$ by isometries. The metric on the quotient space $M_r/G$ can be constructed explicitly: There are $\dim(G) - \dim(G_p) =: c$ linearly independent Killing fields $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c\}$ associated with the $G$-action. The quotient $M_r/G$ can be locally parameterized by the $G$-invariant functions of these Killing fields. Recall that a function $f$ is called $G$-invariant if $f(gp) = f(p)$ for all $p \in U$ and $g \in G$. A subset $U \subset M$ is called $G$-invariant if $gp \in U$ for all $p \in M$ and $g \in G$. The invariant functions induce a unique metric:

**Theorem 2.2** (Quotient Metric Theorem [BDH09, Prop. 2.1]). Suppose $\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}$, $d = \dim M_r/G$, is a complete set of $G$-invariant functions on a $G$-invariant open subset $U \subset M_r$. Define $h_{ij} := g(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_j)$, where $\nabla$ is the gradient in $(M, g)$. Then the quotient metric is given by

$$\tilde{g} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} h_{ij} df_i \otimes df_j.$$ 

As usual we refer to the quotient space as the orbit space and to the quotient metric as the orbital distance metric.

Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ be a $G$-equivariant isometric immersion of a $G$-invariant hypersurface $\Sigma$ in $M$. Recall that a function $f$ is called $G$-equivariant if $f(gp) = gf(p)$ for all $p \in M$ and $g \in G$. We identify $\Sigma$ with $f(\Sigma) \subset M$. Suppose that the principle orbit type is the same for both $G$-actions on $\Sigma$ and $M$. Then $f$ induces an immersion of the orbit space $\tilde{f} : \Sigma_r/G \rightarrow M_r/G$. Both orbit spaces $M_r/G$ and $\Sigma_r/G$ can be equipped with the
orbital distance metric such that the projections $\pi : M_r \rightarrow M_r / G$ and $\tilde{\pi} : \Sigma_r \rightarrow \Sigma_r / G$ are Riemannian submersions in the sense of O’Neill [ONe66]. We obtain the following commuting diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma_r & \xrightarrow{f} & M_r \\
\downarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
\Sigma_r / G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & M_r / G
\end{array}
\]

We want to express the mean curvature vector of the immersion $f$ in terms of the mean curvature vector of $\tilde{f}$. The main idea can be described as follows: We can separate the second fundamental form into a vertical and a horizontal part with respect to the Riemannian submersion. The vertical part agrees with the mean curvature vector of $\tilde{f}$ while the horizontal part gives a correction term. An explicit computation of the trace gives:

**Theorem 2.3** (Reduction Theorem [BDH09, Prop. 4.1]). Let $H$ and $\tilde{H}$ be the mean curvature vectors of $\Sigma_r \subset M_r$ and $\Sigma_r / G \subset M_r / G$, respectively. Then

\[
(1) \quad H = \tilde{H} - \text{grad}(\ln \omega),
\]

where $\omega(p) = \sqrt{\det \left(g_p(\xi_i(p), \xi_j(p))\right)}$ is a volume form of the orbit $G \cdot p$.

Our goal is to describe screw motion surfaces of constant mean curvature in $E(\kappa, \tau)$ with given pitch. Therefore, only the following case is relevant for us: $\dim(M) = 3$, $\dim(G) = 1$ and $\dim(\Sigma) = 2$. Moreover, the regular part $M_r$ is $M$ with the screw motion axis removed. Since $\dim(G) = 1$ there is only one Killing field $\xi$ associated to the $G$-action up to scaling. Thus we can identify $G$ with $\xi$. The orbit space $\Sigma_r / G = \Sigma_r / \xi$ is 1-dimensional and can be parameterized by a curve $\gamma \subset M_r / \xi$, which we call the generating curve of $\Sigma$. Then the commuting diagram from above becomes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma_r & \xrightarrow{f} & M_r \\
\downarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
\gamma & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & M_r / \xi
\end{array}
\]

and we obtain the following special case of Theorem 2.3

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $H$ be the mean curvature of $\Sigma_r \subset M_r$ and $k_{\text{geod}}$ the geodesic curvature of the generating curve $\gamma \subset M_r / \xi$ with unit normal $n$. Then it holds

\[
2H = k_{\text{geod}} - \partial_n \ln \|\xi\|_g.
\]

**Proof.** Take the normal projection of $\xi$. The mean curvature of $\gamma$ in the orbit space is the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$. Since there is only one Killing field, we have $\omega = \|\xi\|_g$. □
3. Model and derivation of the ODE

Our goal is to apply the reduction procedure to all \( \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \)-spaces. We present a common setting following [DHM09]: Consider \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) if \( \kappa \geq 0 \) and \( \mathbb{D}^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \right) \times \mathbb{R} \) if \( \kappa < 0 \) together with the metric

\[
g = \lambda^2(dx^2 + dy^2) + (2\tau \lambda(y \, dx - x \, dy) + dz)^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda = \frac{2}{1 + \kappa(x^2 + y^2)}.
\]

For \( \kappa \leq 0 \) this is a global model of \( \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \). However, for \( \kappa > 0 \) the model is only local. In fact, it is a model for the universal cover of \( \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \) without one fiber. In particular, this applies to the case \( \kappa - 4\tau^2 = 0 \) of the space-form \( \mathbb{S}^3(\kappa) \). Other than [DHM09] we scale the \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinate by a factor of 2.

**Remark.** There are different ways to model the \( \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \)-spaces. For example, from the Poincaré disk model of the hyperbolic plane we can get a model for the product space \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \). In the same way we can obtain a model for \( \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) from \( \mathbb{S}^2 \). A model for \( \mathbb{S}^3_{\text{Berg}} \) and \( \widetilde{\text{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) can be found by identifying these spaces with the unit tangent bundles \( U\mathbb{S}^2 \) or \( U\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^2 \), respectively, equipped with a Sasaki metric. Heisenberg space can be endowed with a left-invariant metric with respect to the canonical group operation. Choosing suitable coordinates and scaling for the spaces leads to the above unified model.

In order to study screw motion surfaces, it is advantageous to use geodesic polar coordinates \((r, \vartheta, z)\) such that \( r \) is the arc-length of a geodesic in \( \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) \). The coordinate transformation is given via

\[
x = \text{tn}_\kappa \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) \cos \vartheta, \quad y = \text{tn}_\kappa \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) \sin \vartheta, \quad z = z.
\]

For the definition of the generalized trigonometric functions such as \( \text{tn}_\kappa \) and various related identities see Appendix. Applying the coordinate transformation to the above model yields

\[
I_\kappa \times [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R} \ni (r, \vartheta, z), \quad g := dr^2 + \text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) \, d\vartheta^2 + \left( 4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2 \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) \right) \, dz^2,
\]

where

\[
I_\kappa := \begin{cases} 
(0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}}) & \text{for } \kappa > 0, \\
(0, \infty) & \text{for } \kappa \leq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In matrix notation the metric reads

\[
g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + 16\tau^2 \text{sn}_\kappa^4 \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) & -4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2 \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) \\
0 & -4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2 \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Note that polar coordinates become singular at the axis \( r = 0 \). Therefore, the fiber through the origin \( \pi^{-1}(0, 0) \) is not contained in the coordinates \((r, \vartheta, z)\). The regular part of \( E(\kappa, \tau) \) with respect to screw motion does not include this particular fiber anyway, and no problem arises.

In geodesic polar coordinates, screw motion by an angle \( \varphi \in \mathbb{R} \) with pitch \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) is given by \( L_{a, \varphi}(r, \vartheta, z) = (r, \vartheta + \varphi, z + a \varphi) \). The set \( \{ L_{a, \varphi} \mid \varphi \in \mathbb{R} \} \) of screw motions with fixed pitch is a closed one-parameter subgroup of \( \text{Isom}(E(\kappa, \tau)) \) and therefore a Lie subgroup.

The generating Killing field of the associated Lie algebra is \( \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \). This includes the rotational case for \( a = 0 \) with closed orbits. Note however, for \( \tau \neq 0 \) the orbits of the rotation are not horizontal with respect to the Riemannian fibration.

The following is a direct generalization of the arguments and discussion given in [FMP99; Tom93]. Taking as invariant functions \( r \) and \( h := z - a \vartheta \), we obtain the following model of the 2-dimensional orbit space \( X = E(\kappa, \tau)/\xi \).

**Lemma 3.1.** Consider the model \([2]\) and the one-parameter group of screw motions induced by the Killing field \( \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \). Then:

(i) The orbit space \( X = E(\kappa, \tau)/\xi \) with the orbital distance metric \( \tilde{g} \) is given by
\[
X = I_\kappa \times \mathbb{R} \ni (r, h), \quad \tilde{g} = dr^2 + \frac{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r)}{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4 \tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2(\frac{r}{2}) - a)^2} \, dh^2.
\]

(ii) The length of the Killing field is
\[
\|\xi\|_g = \sqrt{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4 \tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2(\frac{r}{2}) - a)^2}.
\]

**Proof.** As stated in Theorem \([2,2]\) the orbital metric is given by \( \tilde{g}_{ij} = h^{ij} \) with \( h_{ij} = g(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_j) \), where in our case the invariant functions are \( f_1 = r \) and \( f_2 = h = z - a \vartheta \). A direct computation yields
\[
\tilde{g}_{11} = 1, \quad \tilde{g}_{12} = \tilde{g}_{21} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}_{22} = \frac{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r)}{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4 \tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2(\frac{r}{2}) - a)^2}.
\]

For the length of the Killing field we also get by direct computation
\[
\|\xi\|_g = g(\xi, \xi)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4 \tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2(\frac{r}{2}) - a)^2}.
\]

Let \( \gamma(t) = (r(t), h(t)) \) be a unit-speed curve in the orbit space \( X \), and let \( \Sigma_\gamma \) be the surface generated by \( \gamma \) under the screw motion \( \xi \). As discussed in Section \([2]\) we have the following
commuting diagram:

\[ \Sigma_\gamma \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \]
\[ \downarrow \pi \]
\[ \gamma \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} X \]

Furthermore, let \( \sigma(t) \) be the real angle between the coordinate direction \( \partial_\sigma \) and the tangent vector \( \gamma'(t) \). Then the unit tangent \( \gamma' = (r', h') \) and the unit normal \( n \) of \( \gamma \) are

\[
\gamma' = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}}} \cos \sigma, \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{22}}} \sin \sigma \right) = \left( \cos \sigma, \sqrt{\frac{\sn^2(\kappa) + (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2}{\sn^2(\kappa)}} \sin \sigma \right),
\]

\[
(4) \quad n = \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}}} \sin \sigma, \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{22}}} \cos \sigma \right) = \left( -\cos \sigma, \sqrt{\frac{\sn^2(\kappa) + (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2}{\sn^2(\kappa)}} \cos \sigma \right).
\]

The geodesic curvature of \( \gamma \) is given by \([\text{DoC16}, \text{p. 255}]\)

\[
k_{\text{geod}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} (\partial_r (\tilde{g}_{22}) h' - \partial_h (\tilde{g}_{11}) r') + \sigma'.
\]

Plugging into \((3)\), a straightforward computation gives

\[
k_{\text{geod}} = \partial_r \left( \frac{\sn^2(\kappa)}{\sn^2(\kappa) + (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2} \right) \frac{\sn^2(\kappa) + (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2}{2\sn^2(\kappa)} \sin \sigma + \sigma'
\]

\[
= \frac{\cth(\kappa) (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2 - 2\tau \sn(\kappa) (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)}{\sn^2(\kappa) + (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2} \sin \sigma + \sigma'.
\]

Applying the Reduction Theorem, we obtain the following ODE which \( \gamma \) must satisfy in order for \( \Sigma_\gamma \) to have constant mean curvature \( H \):

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( \gamma = (r, h) \) be a unit-speed curve in the orbit space \( X = \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)/\xi \) and \( \Sigma_\gamma = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\gamma) \) the screw motion surface generated by \( \gamma \). Then \( \Sigma_\gamma \) has constant mean curvature \( H \), where \( 4H^2 + \kappa > 0 \), if and only if

\[
(5) \quad 2H = \cth(\kappa) \sin \sigma + \sigma',
\]

where \( \sigma \) denotes the real angle between the coordinate direction \( \partial_\sigma \) and the tangent vector \( \gamma'(t) \).

**Proof.** By Corollary 2.4 it must hold \( 2H = k_{\text{geod}} - \partial_\ln ||\xi||_g \) with \( \partial_\ln ||\xi||_g = \tilde{g}(\nabla \ln ||\xi||_g, n) \), where \( \nabla \) is the gradient in \((X, \tilde{g})\). By Lemma 3.1

\[
(\nabla \ln ||\xi||_g)_1 = \tilde{g}^{11} \partial_r \ln ||\xi||_g = \frac{1}{||\xi||_g} \partial_r ||\xi||_g = \frac{\sn(\kappa) \cos(\kappa) + 2\tau \sn(\kappa) (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)}{\sn^2(\kappa) + (4\tau \sn^2(\frac{\kappa}{2}) - a)^2}
\]

where \( \xi \) is the unit tangent vector to \( \gamma \).
and \((\nabla \ln \|\xi\|)_g)_2 = \tilde{g}^{22} \partial_h \ln \|\xi\|_g = 0\). Thus,

\[
\partial_n \ln \|\xi\|_g = \tilde{g}(\nabla \ln \|\xi\|_g, n) = -\frac{\text{sn}_\kappa(r) \text{cs}_\kappa(r) + 2\tau \text{sn}_\kappa(r) \left(4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a\right)}{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + \left(4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a\right)^2} \sin \sigma
\]

and we get

\[
2H = k_{\text{geod}} - \partial_n \ln \|\xi\|_g
\]

\[
= \left[\frac{\text{ct}_\kappa(r) (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a)^2 - 2\tau \text{sn}_\kappa(r) (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a) \text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a)^2 \text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a)^2)}{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a)\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a)^2}\right] \sin \sigma + \sigma'
\]

\[
= \text{ct}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma + \sigma'.
\]

Equation (5) together with the unit-speed condition \(\|\gamma'\| = 1\), see (4), gives the following system of ODEs for \(\gamma\):

\[
\begin{aligned}
r' &= \cos \sigma, \\
h' &= \sqrt{\frac{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r) + (4\tau \text{sn}_\kappa^2\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - a)^2}{\text{sn}_\kappa^2(r)}} \sin \sigma, \\
2H &= \text{ct}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma + \sigma'.
\end{aligned}
\]

The curve \(\gamma\) is already completely determined by \(r\) and \(\sigma\). The height function \(h\) can be obtained from these two quantities by integration. Therefore the first and third equation of ODE contain the essential information needed to solve the problem. It is worth noting that these two equations are independent of \(\tau\). The bundle curvature only effects the unit speed parametrization of \(\gamma\) in form of a different height function. Thus, much of the solution theory does not depend on \(\tau\). This is a significant advantage of the description in terms of the reduction procedure.
4. General Properties of the ODE

We first gather some elementary observations about (ODE) following [Tom93].

Lemma 4.1. Let \( \gamma = (r, h) \) be a solution curve of (ODE) for mean curvature \( H \). Then

(i) any vertical translate of \( \gamma \) is a solution curve for \( H \).
(ii) any reflection of \( \gamma \) across a line \( h = h_0 \) is a solution curve for \(-H\).
(iii) any linear reparametrization \( \gamma \circ \varphi \) with \( \varphi(t) = \varepsilon t + b \), where \( \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \) and \( b \in \mathbb{R} \), is a solution curve for \( aH \).

Proof. Let \( \gamma = (r, h) \) be a solution curve for mean curvature \( H \).

(i) Any vertical translation is of the form \( \tilde{\gamma}(t) = (r(t), h(t) + h_0) \) for some \( h_0 \in \mathbb{R} \). Then \( \tilde{\gamma}' = \gamma' \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}' = \sigma' \). Thus, the ODE holds for \( \tilde{\gamma} \).

(ii) By vertical translation we can assume \( h_0 = 0 \). The reflection of \( \gamma \) across the line \( h = 0 \) is given by \( \tilde{\gamma}(t) = (r(t), -h(t)) \). Then \( \tilde{\gamma}'(t) = (r'(t), -h'(t)) \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}(t) = -\sigma(t) \). Thus, the ODE holds for \( \tilde{\gamma} \) with \(-H\).

(iii) Consider \( \tilde{\gamma}(t) := (\gamma \circ \varphi)(t) = \gamma(at + b) \). Then \( \tilde{\gamma}'(t) = a\gamma'(at + b) \). For the angle it holds \( \tilde{\sigma}(t) = \sigma(at + b) \) if \( a = 1 \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}(t) = \sigma(at + b) - \pi \) if \( a = -1 \). Thus, the ODE holds for \( \tilde{\gamma} \) with \( aH \). \( \square \)

Corollary 4.2. Let \( \gamma \) be a solution curve defined for \( t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0] \) with \( r'(t_0) = 0 \). Then \( \gamma \) can be extended to a solution curve defined on the interval \( (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \) by reflection across the line \( h = h(t_0) \) and linear reparametrization.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we can obtain a solution curve \( \tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{r}, \tilde{h}) = (r(\varphi(t)), -h(\varphi(t)) + 2h_0) \) for \( H \) on \([t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon] \) by reflection and linear reparametrization \( \varphi(t) = -t + 2t_0 \). Since \( r'(t_0) = 0 \), the curves \( \gamma \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \) fit together at least twice continuously differentiable at \( t_0 \). Thus, this yields a solution curve on \((t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)\). \( \square \)

Since any solution curve generating a surface with negative mean curvature can be transformed into a solution curve generating a surface with positive mean curvature by reflection or reparametrization, it suffices to only consider one of these two cases. Thus, from now on we assume \( H \) is positive.

Proposition 4.3. The surface \( \Sigma_\gamma \) generated by \( \gamma = (r, h, \sigma) \) has constant mean curvature \( H \) if and only if

\[
J(r, \sigma) := \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( c_\kappa(r) - 1 \right) + s_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma
\]

is a constant function of \( t \).
Proof. We calculate the $t$-derivative,
\[
\left(\frac{2H}{\kappa}(\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) - 1) + \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma\right)'
= \frac{2H'}{\kappa}(\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) - 1) - 2H' \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(r) + r' \mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma + \sigma' \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(r) \cos \sigma
\]
\[
\text{(ODE)}
= \frac{2H'}{\kappa}(\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) - 1).
\]
Since $r \in I_\kappa$, the term in parentheses does not vanish. Thus, $H$ is constant if and only if (6) is constant.

The function (6) defines a first integral of (ODE) and all solution curves lie on its level sets. By the Noether Theorem this is a direct consequence of the invariance under vertical translation as stated in Lemma 4.1 (i). The first integral is continuous in $\kappa$ and for $\kappa = 0$ we have $J(r, \sigma) = r \sin \sigma - H r^2$.

In addition, we consider the rescaled first integral
\[
L := \kappa J + 2H = 2H \mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) + \kappa \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma.
\]
We will describe an advantage of $L$ later. Note, however, that for the case $\kappa = 0$ we have $L = 2H$, so it $L$ trivially preserved. Hence, $L$ is only relevant for $\kappa \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.4. The first integral (6) is bounded from above and
\[
J \leq \sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa - 2H} =: J_{\text{max}}
\]
holds with equality if and only if $r = \arctan(2H)$ and $\sigma = \frac{\pi}{2} (\text{mod } 2\pi)$. If $\kappa > 0$, the first integral is also bounded from below and
\[
J \geq -\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa - 2H} =: J_{\text{min}}
\]
holds with equality if and only if $r = \arctan(-2H) = \pi - \arctan(2H)$ and $\sigma = \frac{3\pi}{2} (\text{mod } 2\pi)$. If $\kappa \leq 0$, the first integral is not bounded from below.

For $\kappa = 0$ we have $J_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{4H}$. Furthermore, $J_{\text{min}} \to -\infty$ for $\kappa \searrow 0$. Therefore, it should not be to surprising that $J$ is not bounded from below for $\kappa \leq 0$.

Proof. The first integral (6),
\[
J = \frac{2H}{\kappa}(\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) - 1) + \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma \leq \frac{2H}{\kappa}(\mathrm{cs}_\kappa(r) - 1) + \mathrm{sn}_\kappa(r),
\]
holds with equality if and only if $\sigma = \frac{3\pi}{2} \pmod{2\pi}$. By differentiating the right hand side we see that it attains its maximum if and only if $r = \arct_k(2H)$. Thus,

$$J \leq \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \csc_k(\arct_k(2H)) - 1 \right) + \csc_k(\arct_k(2H)) = \frac{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa - 2H}}{\kappa}.$$ 

On the other hand

$$J = \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \csc_k(r) - 1 \right) + \csc_k(r) \sin \sigma \geq \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \csc_k(r) - 1 \right) - \csc_k(r)$$

with equality if and only if $\sigma = \frac{3\pi}{2} \pmod{2\pi}$. By Lemma 6.3

$$\frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \csc_k(r) - 1 \right) - \csc_k(r) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa} \csc_k(r + \arct_k(2H)) - \frac{2H}{\kappa}.$$ 

For $\kappa \leq 0$, this is not bounded from below since $\csc_k(r)$ is not bounded from above. But for $\kappa > 0$ we obtain a lower bound for $r = \arct_k(-2H) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} - \arct_k(2H)$. In particular,

$$J \geq -\frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa} - \frac{2H}{\kappa}.$$ 

\[\square\]

The upper and lower bound of $J$ for $\kappa > 0$ are very much alike. Indeed, there is an underlying symmetry. This symmetry is even more obvious when we consider the rescaled first integral (7).

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $\kappa > 0$ so that $E(\kappa, \tau) \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2(\kappa)$. For every surface $\Sigma_\gamma$ with rescaled first integral $L$ there is a surface $\Sigma_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ with rescaled first integral $-L$. The relation between the generating curves $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{r}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{\sigma})$ is given via $\tilde{r} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} - r$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + \pi$. That is $\Sigma_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ is a mirror reflection of $\Sigma_\gamma$ at the equatorial plane $E = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}} \right\} \times [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R}$, up to vertical translation. In particular, if $\pi(\Sigma_\gamma)$ is contained in one hemisphere of $S^2(\kappa)$, then $\pi(\Sigma_{\tilde{\gamma}})$ is contained in the other hemisphere. For $L = 0$ the surface $\Sigma_\gamma$ is symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane $E$.

**Proof.** Let $\Sigma_\gamma$ be a surface with rescaled first integral $L$ generated by $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{r}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{\sigma})$ with $\tilde{r} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} - r$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + \pi$. Then it holds

$$L \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} - r, \sigma + \pi \right) = 2H \cos(\pi - \sqrt{\kappa}r) + \sqrt{\kappa} \sin(\pi - \sqrt{\kappa}r) \sin(\sigma + \pi)$$

$$= -2H \cos(\sqrt{\kappa}r) - \sqrt{\kappa} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r) \sin \sigma$$

$$= -L(r, \sigma).$$

For $L = 0$ the curves $\gamma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ both generate the same surface and therefore the surface must be symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane $E$. \[\square\]
This establishes a one-to-one correspondence between surfaces on the upper and the lower hemisphere with respect to the submersion \( \mathcal{E}(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2(\kappa) \). Of course we can formulate the proposition in terms of the (non-rescaled) first integral \( J \) as well. But if \( \Sigma_\gamma \) has first integral \( J \), then \( \Sigma_\gamma \) has first integral \( -J - \frac{4H}{\kappa} \). Here we see the advantage of the rescaled first integral. A simple sign change of \( L \) corresponds to a reflection of the surface at the equatorial plane. Nevertheless, we want to give a unified theory for arbitrary curvature. As \( L \) is trivially preserved for \( \kappa = 0 \), we will use \( J \) instead to characterize the surfaces.

We will restrict our discussion to the upper hemisphere with \( r \in (0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}}) \) and \( I \geq 0 \) or \( J \geq -\frac{2H}{\kappa} \), respectively.

We can express the height function \( h \) of a surface \( \Sigma_\gamma \) in terms of \( J \):

**Proposition 4.6.** Let \( \gamma = (r, h, \sigma) \) be a solution curve of \( \text{ODE} \) for mean curvature \( H \) and first integral \( J \). Then

\[
h(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{\text{sn}^2(r(s)) + (4\tau \text{sn}^2 \left( \frac{r(s)}{2} \right) - a)^2}{\text{sn}^2_k(r(s)) \left( \kappa J - 2H(cs_k(r(s)) - 1) \right)}} ds + h_0.
\]

When \( \kappa = 0 \) this becomes

\[
h(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + (\tau r^2 - a)^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{J + Hr^2}{r} \right)} ds + h_0.
\]

**Proof.** From \( \text{ODE} \) we have

\[
h(t) = \int_0^t h'(s) ds + h_0 = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{\text{sn}^2_k(r(s)) + (4\tau \text{sn}^2 \left( \frac{r(s)}{2} \right) - a)^2}{\text{sn}^2_k(r(s)) \sin \sigma(s)}} \sin \sigma(s) ds + h_0.
\]

Solving \( J = \frac{2H}{\kappa} (cs_k(r) - 1) + \text{sn}_k(r) \sin \sigma \) for \( \sin \sigma \) and substituting gives the result. \( \square \)

### 5. Solution theory

We now discuss the behavior of the solution curves \( \gamma \) in detail and give a characterization by values of the first integral \( J \). Our reasoning generalizes the analysis given in [Tom93] for Heisenberg space.

The following solution theory holds for all \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \). For \( \kappa = 0 \) some formulas need further discussion, because the limit \( \kappa \rightarrow 0 \) is not obvious. In order to keep the presentation concise, the limiting values are deferred to the Appendix.
5.1. Helicoidal cylinders. We start with the case $J = J_{\text{max}}$.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$ be a solution curve with $J = J_{\text{max}}$. Then the generated surface $\Sigma_\gamma$ is a round cylinder of radius $r_0 = \arct\kappa(2H)$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.4 the case $J = J_{\text{max}}$ implies $r = \arct\kappa(2H) =: r_0$ and without loss of generality $\sigma = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus, the generated surface $\Sigma_\gamma(\vartheta, t) = (r_0, \vartheta, h(t) + a\vartheta)$ is a round cylinder.

In this special case we can determine the height function explicitly. By Proposition 4.6 and a lengthy calculation

$$h(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\sn^2(r_0) + (4\tau \sn^2(r_0) - a)^2}{\sn^2(r_0)}} \left(\frac{\kappa J_{\text{max}} - 2H(\cs\kappa(r_0) - 1)}{\kappa \sn\kappa(r_0)}\right) t + h_0$$

$$= \sqrt{1 + \left(\left(\frac{2\tau}{\kappa} - a\right) \sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa - \frac{4H\tau}{\kappa}}\right)^2} t + h_0.$$

For $\kappa = 0$ this becomes

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{2H} \sqrt{4H^2 + (\tau - 4H^2a)^2} t + h_0.$$

5.2. Helicoidal unduloids. Now we treat the case $0 < J < J_{\text{max}}$. As we will see, the surfaces are of unduloid type.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$ be a solution curve with $0 < J < J_{\text{max}}$. Then the following hold:

(i) $h$ is everywhere strictly increasing and $\sigma(t)$ can be assumed to be in $(0, \pi)$ for all $t$.

(ii) $r$ takes values exactly in the interval $[r_m, r_M]$, where

$$r_m = \arct\kappa(2H) - \arcs\kappa\left(\frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}}\right),$$

$$r_M = \arct\kappa(2H) + \arcs\kappa\left(\frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}}\right),$$

respectively. The minimal or maximal value is attained if and only if $\sigma(t) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Furthermore, $0 < r_m < \arct\kappa(2H) < r_M < 2\arct\kappa(2H)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M) = \arct\kappa(2H)$ is independent of $J$.

The above extends to $J = J_{\text{max}}$. In that case $r_m = r_M = \arct\kappa(2H)$ and we get again the round cylinder. For $\kappa = 0$ we obtain

$$r_m = \frac{1}{2H} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4HJ}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad r_M = \frac{1}{2H} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4HJ}\right).$$
Theorem 5.3. Let \( (r, h, \sigma) \) be a solution curve with \( 0 < J < J_{\text{max}} \) for initial data \( r(0) = r_M, h(0) = 0, \sigma(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \). Then there exist \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty \) such that:

- \( \sigma'(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [0, t_1) \),
- \( \sigma'(t_1) = 0, \sin \sigma(t_1) = \sqrt{\kappa J^2 + 4HJ} \) and \( r(t_1) = \arcsn\left(\frac{2H}{\sqrt{\kappa J^2 + 2H}}\right) < \frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M) \),
- \( \sigma'(t) < 0 \) for \( t \in (t_1, t_2) \),
- \( \sigma(t_2) = \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( r(t_2) = r_m \).

Proof. (i) Since \( J = \frac{2H}{\kappa} (\csc \kappa (r) - 1) + \sn \kappa (r) \sin \sigma \) it follows that

\[
\sin \sigma = \frac{\kappa J - 2H (\csc \kappa (r) - 1)}{\kappa \sn \kappa (r)} > \frac{0 - 2H (\csc \kappa (r) - 1)}{\kappa \sn \kappa (r)} = 2H \left( \frac{1 - \csc \kappa (r)}{\kappa \sn \kappa (r)} \right) > 0.
\]

Thus, \( h' = \sqrt{\frac{\sn^2 (r) + (4r \sn^2 (r) - a)^2}{\sn^2 (r)}} \sin \sigma > 0 \) and without loss of generality \( \sigma \in (0, \pi) \).

(ii) Rewrite the first integral with the help of Lemma 6.3 to

\[
J = \frac{2H}{\kappa} (\csc \kappa (r) - 1) + \sn \kappa (r) \sin \sigma = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa \sin^2 \sigma} \sn \kappa (r - \arcsn \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right)) - \frac{2H}{\kappa}.
\]

Solving this expression for \( r \) gives

\[ r = \arcsn \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) \pm \arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa \sin^2 \sigma}} \right). \]

We now obtain the maximal and minimal radius from this expression. On one hand

\[
\arcsn \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) + \arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa \sin^2 \sigma}} \right) \leq \arcsn (2H) + \arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) =: r_M
\]

and on the other hand

\[
\arcsn \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) - \arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa \sin^2 \sigma}} \right) \geq \arcsn (2H) - \arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) =: r_m
\]

with equality in both cases for \( \sigma = \frac{\pi}{2} \). This implies \( \frac{1}{2} (r_m + r_M) = \arcsn (2H) \). Since

\[
\arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) > \arcsn \left( \frac{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) = 0
\]

and

\[
\arcsn \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) < \arcsn \left( \frac{2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) = \arcsn (2H)
\]

we have \( 0 < r_m < \arcsn (2H) < r_M < 2 \arcsn (2H) \). \( \square \)
The full solution curve is obtained by successive reflections at the lines \( h = k(h(t_2)), k \in \mathbb{Z} \), see Figure 7. In particular, the solution curve is defined on all of \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( r \) as well as \( \sigma \) are periodic.

Proof. Observe the following:

(i) \( \sigma'(0) > 0 \).

Note, that \( r_M \) as a function of \( J \) is strictly decreasing. Therefore,

\[
\sigma'(0) = 2H - \text{ct}_\kappa(r_M(J)) > 2H - \text{ct}_\kappa(r_M(J_{\text{max}})) = 2H - \text{ct}_\kappa(\arct_\kappa(2H)) = 0.
\]

(ii) There exists \( t_1 > 0 \) such that \( \sigma'(t_1) = 0 \).

Assume \( \sigma' \neq 0 \) for all \( t > 0 \). Then \( \sigma' > 0 \) by (i) and continuity, and \( \sigma > \frac{\pi}{2} \) by initial data. Since on the other hand \( \sigma < \pi \), this implies \( r' = \cos \sigma \) is everywhere negative and bounded away from 0 for \( t > \varepsilon > 0 \). Thus, at some point \( r < r_m \), which is a contradiction.

Without loss of generality we choose \( t_1 > 0 \) to be the smallest possible with this property.

(iii) A critical point of \( \sigma \) is a minimum if \( \sigma \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \) and a maximum if \( \sigma \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \).

The second derivative of \( \sigma \) is given by

\[
\sigma'' = (2H - \text{ct}_\kappa(r) \sin \sigma)' = (\kappa + \text{ct}_\kappa^2(r)) \cos \sigma \sin \sigma - \sigma' \kappa \text{ct}_\kappa(r) \cos \sigma.
\]

At a critical point \( \sigma' = 0 \) this becomes \( \sigma'' = (\kappa + \text{ct}_\kappa^2(r)) \cos \sigma \sin \sigma \). Since \((\kappa + \text{ct}_\kappa^2(r)) > 0 \), this implies \( \sigma'' > 0 \) if and only if \( \sigma \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \) and \( \sigma'' < 0 \) if and only if \( \sigma \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \). In particular, \( \sigma(t_1) \) is a maximum.

(iv) \( r(t_1) = \arcs_\kappa\left(\frac{2H}{\kappa J + 2H}\right) < \frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M) \) and \( \sin(\sigma(t_1)) = \sqrt{J^2 + 4HJ} \).

From ODE we get \( 0 = \sigma'(t_1) = 2H - \text{ct}_\kappa(r(t_1)) \sin(\sigma(t_1)) \). Therefore, \( \sin(\sigma(t_1)) = 2H \text{tn}_\kappa(r(t_1)) \).

Substituting this identity in the first integral (6) gives

\[
J = \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \text{cs}_\kappa(r(t_1)) - 1 \right) + 2H \text{sn}_\kappa(r(t_1)) \text{tn}_\kappa(r(t_1))
= \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \frac{\text{cs}_\kappa^2(r(t_1)) + \kappa \text{sn}_\kappa^2(r(t_1))}{\text{cs}_\kappa(r(t_1))} - 1 \right) = \frac{2H}{\kappa} \left( \frac{1}{\text{cs}_\kappa(r(t_1))} - 1 \right).
\]

Hence,

\[
r(t_1) = \arcs_\kappa\left(\frac{2H}{\kappa J + 2H}\right) < \arcs_\kappa\left(\frac{2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}}\right) = \arct_\kappa(2H) = \frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M)
\]
and

\[
\sin(\sigma(t_1)) = 2H \text{tn}_\kappa\left(\arcs_\kappa\left(\frac{2H}{\kappa J + 2H}\right)\right) = \sqrt{\kappa J^2 + 4HJ} < \sqrt{\kappa J_{\text{max}}^2 + 4HJ_{\text{max}}} = 1.
\]
(v) There exists $t_2 > t_1$ such that $\sigma(t_2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $r(t_2) = r_m$.

For $t > t_1$ the angle $\sigma$ starts decreasing ($\sigma$ has a maximum at $t_1$). Since there is no minimum for $\sigma > \frac{\pi}{2}$ by (iii), it is decreasing as long as it is greater than $\frac{\pi}{2}$. We claim that this already implies that there exists $t_2 > t_1$ such that $\sigma(t_2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

If not, then $\sigma$ must converge to some $\alpha \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ from above. If $\alpha > \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $r' = \cos \sigma$ is everywhere negative and bounded away from 0. Thus, at some point $r < r_m$, which is a contradiction. If $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $r \to \hat{r}$ for some $\hat{r} < r(t_1) < \arct_n(2H)$. Then (ODE) implies $\sigma' = 2H - ct_n(r) \sin \sigma \to 2H - ct_n(\hat{r}) < 0$, i.e. $\sigma'$ is bounded away from 0, which would be a contradiction to the convergence.
Without loss of generality we choose again \( t_2 > t_1 \) to be the smallest possible with this property.

Theorem 5.2 states that \( r \) attains at \( t_2 \) its minimum \( r_m \) or its maximum \( r_M \). Since \( \sigma(t) > \frac{\pi}{2} \) for all \( t \in (0, t_2) \), it must hold \( r(t_2) < r_M \). Therefore, \( r(t_2) = r_m \).

By Corollary 4.2 we can extend the solution curve from \([0, t_2]\) to \( \mathbb{R} \). The resulting full solution curve fulfills \( r(t + 2t_2) = r(t) \) and \( \sigma(t + 2t_2) = \sigma(t) \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Hence, it is periodic in \( r \) and \( \sigma \).

The generated surface \( \Sigma_\gamma \) is of unduloid type. In the rotational case \( \Sigma_\gamma \) is topologically a cylinder. For screw motions with \( a \neq 0 \) it is an immersed plane.

5.3. Helicoidal spheres. We now want to consider the case \( J = 0 \) between unduloid and nodoid. As it is well known for the classical Delaunay surfaces, we get sphere type surfaces, which are in fact closed spheres for \( a = 0 \). We have already seen that we get the cylinder for \( J \nearrow J_{\text{max}} \) from the unduloid. In the same way we get the (helicoidal) sphere from the unduloid for \( J \searrow 0 \).

We first turn our attention to the minimal and maximal radius. From Theorem 5.2 (ii) we obtain \( r_m = 0 \) and \( r_M = 2 \arctan(2H) \). Note that \( r = 0 \) does not lie in the regular orbit space in the sense of Section 2 but on its boundary. Regardless of this, the remaining part of Theorem 5.2 still holds whenever \( r > 0 \): \( h \) is everywhere strictly increasing and \( \sigma(t) \) can be assumed to be in \((0, \pi)\) for all \( t \) with \( r(t) > 0 \). But as \( r \to 0 \) we have \( h' \to 0 \) and \( \sigma \to 0 \) or \( \sigma \to \pi \) depending on orientation. Thus, the tangent vector \( \gamma' \) becomes horizontal.

Based on these considerations, we can formulate the analogue to Theorem 5.3. The proof carries over.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( \gamma = (r, h, \sigma) \) be a solution curve with \( J = 0 \) for initial data \( r(0) = r_M, h(0) = 0, \sigma(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \). Then there exist \( 0 < t_1 < \infty \) such that \( r(t) \to 0 \) for \( t \to t_1 \) and

- \( \sigma'(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [0, t_1) \),
- \( \sigma(t) \to \pi \) for \( t \to t_1 \),
- \( h'(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [0, t_1) \),
- \( h'(t) \to 0 \) for \( t \to t_1 \).

The full solution curve is obtained by successive reflections at the lines \( h = kh(t_1), k \in \mathbb{Z} \). In particular, the solution curve is defined on all of \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( r \) as well as \( \sigma \) are periodic. But it is not continuous in \( \sigma \).
Let us provide further information on $\sigma$ for this case. We have to pay attention whether we take the limit from below or above. In the previous theorem the limit is taken from below, i.e., $\lim_{t \nearrow t_1} \sigma(t) = \pi$. Then $\lim_{t \searrow t_1} \sigma(t) = 0$ and, because of symmetry, $\lim_{t \nearrow t_1} \sigma(t) = 0$. Thus, the right-sided and left-sided limit do not coincide and $\sigma$ jumps from $\pi$ to $0$ at every $t = (2k + 1)t_1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By restricting $t$ to $[-t_1, t_1]$ we can bypass this discontinuity. That is also a natural choice, since $\gamma|_{[-t_1, t_1]}$ generates exactly the (helicoidal) sphere type surface.

For $a = 0$ the generated surface $\Sigma_\gamma$ is a punctured sphere, which can be completed by including $r = 0$ as discussed. For screw motions with $a \neq 0$ the surface $\Sigma_\gamma$ consists of non-connected immersed stripes.

5.4. Helicoidal nodoids. The final main case missing is $J < 0$, describing surfaces of nodoid type. We want to remind the reader that for $\kappa > 0$ we always assume $J > -\frac{2H}{\kappa}$ due to symmetry (cf. remark after Proposition 4.5).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$ be a solution curve with $J < 0$. Then the following hold:

(i) $\sigma$ is everywhere strictly increasing.

(ii) $r$ takes values exactly in the interval $[r_m, r_M]$, where

$$r_m = \arct\kappa(-2H) + \arcs\kappa\left(\frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}}\right),$$

$$r_M = \arct\kappa(2H) + \arcs\kappa\left(\frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}}\right),$$

respectively. The maximal value is attained if and only if $\sigma(t) = \frac{3\pi}{2} (\mod 2\pi)$ and the minimal value is attained if and only if $\sigma(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\mod 2\pi)$. Furthermore, $0 < r_m < r_M < \infty$ and $r_M - r_m = 2 \arct\kappa(2H)$ is independent of $J$.

For $\kappa = 0$ we obtain

$$r_m = \frac{1}{2H} \left(\frac{1}{2H} - 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4HJ}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad r_M = \frac{1}{2H} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4HJ}\right).$$

**Proof.** (i) Solving $J = \frac{2H}{\kappa}(\cos\kappa(r) - 1) + \sin\kappa(r) \sin \sigma$ for $\sin \sigma$ and substituting in (ODE) gives

$$\sigma' = 2H - \cos\kappa(r) \frac{\kappa J - 2H(\cos\kappa(r) - 1)}{\kappa \sin\kappa(r)}$$

$$= \frac{2H(\kappa \sin^2\kappa(r) + \cos^2\kappa(r) - \cos\kappa(r))}{\kappa \sin^2\kappa(r)} - J \cos\kappa(r)$$

$$= \frac{2H(1 - \cos\kappa(r))}{\kappa \sin^2\kappa(r)} - J \cos\kappa(r)$$

$$= \frac{2H}{\sin\kappa(r)} \left(\frac{1 - \cos\kappa(r)}{\kappa \sin\kappa(r)}\right) - J \cos\kappa(r).$$
Since the first two terms are positive and the third one is negative, we conclude \( \sigma' > 0 \).

(ii) Analogously to the proof of Theorem 5.2 we obtain

\[
(9) \quad r = \arct \kappa \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) + \arcs \kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa \sin^2 \sigma}} \right).
\]

Note, that the "-"-solution from (8) would correspond to \( r < 0 \) and thus does not apply here. We obtain the maximal and minimal radius by similar estimates as before. On the one hand

\[
\arct \kappa \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) + \arcs \kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) \leq \arct \kappa \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) + \arcs \kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) =: r_M,
\]

while on the other hand

\[
\arct \kappa \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) + \arcs \kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) \geq \arct \kappa \left( \frac{-2H}{\sin \sigma} \right) + \arcs \kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) =: r_m.
\]

We conclude

\[
r_M - r_m = \arct \kappa (2H) - \arct \kappa (-2H) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2 \arct \kappa (2H) - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} & \text{for } \kappa > 0, \\ 2 \arct \kappa (2H) & \text{for } \kappa \leq 0. \end{array} \right.
\]

Since for \( \kappa > 0 \) a radial translation by \( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \) just corresponds to an equator reflection (cf. Proposition 4.5), we can skip it. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \( \gamma = (r, h, \sigma) \) be a solution curve with \( J < 0 \) for initial data \( r(0) = r_M, h(0) = 0, \sigma(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \). Then there exist \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty \) such that:

- \( h'(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [0, t_1] \),
- \( \sigma(t_1) = \pi \) and \( r(t_1) = \arcs \kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{2H} \right) < \frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M) \),
- \( h'(t) < 0 \) for \( t \in (t_1, t_2] \),
- \( \sigma(t_2) = \frac{3\pi}{2} \) and \( r(t_2) = r_m \),
- \( h(t_2) > h(0) \) if \( a\tau < \frac{1}{2} \).

The full solution curve is obtained by successive reflections at the lines \( h = \kappa h(t_2), k \in \mathbb{Z} \), see Figure 7. In particular, the solution curve is defined on all of \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( r \) as well as \( \sigma(\text{mod} \ 2\pi) \) are periodic.

**Remark.** The restriction \( a\tau < \frac{1}{2} \) is needed for our proof of the statement. The geometric behavior for \( a\tau \geq \frac{1}{2} \) is subject to ongoing research. For large pitch \( a \) and large bundle curvature \( \tau \) the statement \( h(t_2) > h(0) \) seems to fail.
Proof. Observe the following:

(i) There exists \( t_1 > 0 \) such that \( \sigma(t_1) = \pi \).

Assume \( \sigma \neq \pi \) everywhere. Then \( \sigma \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \) for \( t > 0 \) by continuity and initial data, since \( \sigma \) is increasing. Then \( r' = \cos \sigma \) is everywhere negative and bounded away from 0 for \( t > \varepsilon > 0 \). Thus, at some point \( r < r_m \), which is a contradiction.

Without loss of generality we choose \( t_1 > 0 \) to be the smallest possible with this property.

(ii) There exists \( t_2 > t_1 \) such that \( \sigma(t_2) = \frac{3\pi}{2} \).

Assume \( \sigma \neq \frac{3\pi}{2} \) everywhere. Then \( \sigma \in (\pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}) \) for \( t > t_1 \) since \( \sigma \) is increasing. Thus, \( \sigma \) must converge to some \( \alpha \in (\pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}) \) from below. If \( \alpha < \frac{3\pi}{2} \), then \( r' = \cos \sigma \) is everywhere negative and bounded away from 0. Thus, at some point \( r < r_m \), which is a contradiction. If \( \alpha = \frac{3\pi}{2} \), then \( r \to \hat{r} \) for some \( \hat{r} \). Then (ODE) implies \( \sigma' = 2H - c(r) \sin \sigma \to 2H + c(\hat{r}) > 0 \), i.e. \( \sigma' \) is bounded away from 0, which would be a contradiction to the convergence.

Without loss of generality we choose \( t_2 > t_1 \) to be the smallest possible with this property.

(iii) \( r(t_1) = \text{arcs}_\kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{2H} \right) < \frac{1}{2} (r_M + r_M) \) and \( r(t_2) = r_m \).

Solving the first integral \( J = \frac{2H}{\kappa} (\cos_\kappa (r) - 1) + \sin_\kappa (r) \sin \sigma = \frac{2H}{\kappa} (\cos_\kappa (r) - 1) \) for \( r(t_1) \) gives

\[
\frac{1}{2} (r_M + r_M) = \frac{1}{2} \text{arct}_\kappa (-2H) + \frac{1}{2} \text{arct}_\kappa (2H) + \text{arcs}_\kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right) = \text{arcs}_\kappa \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{2H} \right) = r(t_1).
\]

Furthermore, Theorem 5.5 yields \( r(t_2) = r_M \) because \( \sigma(t_2) = \frac{3\pi}{2} \).

(iv) \( h'(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [0, t_1) \) and \( h'(t) < 0 \) for \( t \in (t_1, t_2] \).

From (ODE) we have \( h' = \sqrt{\frac{\sin^2 (r) + (4\kappa \sin_\kappa^2 (\frac{\pi}{2}) - a)^2}{\sin^2_\kappa (r)}} \sin \sigma \). Since \( \sigma(t) \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right) \) for \( t \in [0, t_1) \) and \( \sigma(t) \in \left( \pi, \frac{3\pi}{2} \right) \) for \( t \in (t_1, t_2] \), the statement follows.

(v) \( h(t_2) > h(0) \).

As we have shown so far, \( h'(t) > 0 \) and \( \sigma(t) \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi \right) \) for \( t \in [0, t_1) \) as well as \( h'(t) < 0 \) and \( \sigma(t) \in \left( \pi, \frac{3\pi}{2} \right) \) for \( t \in (t_1, t_2] \). Together with \( \sigma' > 0 \), there exists for every \( \hat{t} \in [0, t_1) \) exactly one \( \tilde{t} \in (t_1, t_2] \) such that \( \sin \sigma(\tilde{t}) = -\sin \sigma(\hat{t}) \). It follows \( r(\tilde{t}) = r(\hat{t}) - 2 \text{arct}_\kappa \left( \frac{2H}{\sin \sigma(\hat{t})} \right) \).
Thus,
\[
\frac{dh}{d\sigma}(\hat{t}) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sn^2(\kappa r(\hat{t})) + \left(4\tau \sn^2\left(\frac{r(\hat{t})}{2}\right) - a\right)^2}{2H \sn(\kappa t) - \cs(\kappa t) \sin \sigma(t)}} \sin \sigma(\hat{t})
\]
\[
= -\frac{\sn^2(\kappa r(\hat{t})) + \left(4\tau \sn^2\left(\frac{r(\hat{t})}{2}\right) - a\right)^2}{2H \sn(\kappa t) + \cs(\kappa t) \sin \sigma(t)} \sin \sigma(\hat{t})
\]

Using the trigonometric addition theorems and other identities from the Appendix we get for the denominator
\[
2H \sn(\kappa t) + \cs(\kappa t) \sin \sigma(\hat{t}) = 2H \sn(\hat{t}) - \cs(\hat{t}) \sin \sigma(\hat{t})
\]

The square root in the numerator as a function of \(r\) is increasing if \(a\tau < \frac{1}{2}\). Since \(r(\hat{t}) < r(\hat{t})\), this yields
\[
\frac{dh}{d\sigma}(\hat{t}) > -\sqrt{\frac{\sn^2(\kappa r(\hat{t})) + \left(4\tau \sn^2\left(\frac{r(\hat{t})}{2}\right) - a\right)^2}{2H \sn(\kappa t) - \cs(\kappa t) \sin \sigma(t)}} \sin \sigma(\hat{t}) = -\frac{dh}{d\sigma}(\hat{t})
\]

Together,
\[
h(t_2) - h(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h'(t) \, dt = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dh}{d\sigma} \, d\sigma > \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} -\frac{dh}{d\sigma} \, d\sigma = -\int_0^{t_1} h'(t) \, dt = h(0) - h(t_1)
\]
and we conclude \(h(t_2) > h(0)\).

By Corollary 4.2 we can extend the solution curve from \([0, t_2]\) to \(\mathbb{R}\). The resulting full solution curve fulfills \(r(t + 2t_2) = r(t)\) and \(\sigma(t + 2t_2) = \sigma(t)(\text{mod } 2\pi)\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\). Hence, it is periodic in \(r\) and \(\sigma(\text{mod } 2\pi)\).

The generated surface \(\Sigma_\gamma\) is of nodoid type. In the rotational case \(\Sigma_\gamma\) is topologically a cylinder. For screw motions with \(a \neq 0\) it is an immersed plane. As expected, we can reproduce the spherical case not only from \(J \searrow 0\) in the unduloid case, but also from \(J \nearrow 0\) in the nodoid case.

### 5.5. Helicoidal tori

The behavior of the nodoid type surfaces for \(J < 0\) decreasing is very different for positive and non-positive curvature \(\kappa\). This is due to the fact that for \(\kappa > 0\) the base manifold \(\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)\) of the fibration is compact, but for \(\kappa \leq 0\) it is not. We want to discuss this in detail.

Suppose \(\kappa \leq 0\). Theorems 5.5 and 5.6 hold for all \(J < 0\). Thus, we always have a nodoid type surface. The average radius \(\frac{1}{2}(r_M + r_m) = \arcs_{\kappa} \left( \frac{\kappa + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa}} \right)\) of the generating curve goes to infinity as \(J \to -\infty\). Hence, the smaller \(J\) is, the larger the helicoidal surface becomes.
It is immediate this cannot apply to $\kappa > 0$ since the interval of existence $I_\kappa = (0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}})$ for $r$ then is finite. This fact is hidden in the above theorems in form of the assumption $J > -\frac{2H}{\kappa}$ if $\kappa > 0$, which is due to symmetry. Let us now study the behavior of the surface and the generating curve $\gamma$ as $J$ tends to $-\frac{2H}{\kappa}$. Taking this limit in Theorem 5.5 gives the following:

**Theorem 5.7.** Suppose $\kappa > 0$. Let $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$ be a solution curve with $J = -\frac{2H}{\kappa}$. Then the following hold:

(i) $\sigma$ is everywhere strictly increasing.

(ii) $r$ takes values exactly in the interval $[r_m, r_M]$, where

\[
    r_m = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}} - \arctan(2H), \\
    r_M = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}} + \arctan(2H),
\]

respectively. The maximal value is attained if and only if $\sigma(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} \pmod{2\pi}$ and the minimal value is attained if and only if $\sigma(t) = \frac{3\pi}{2} \pmod{2\pi}$. Furthermore, $\frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}$ and $r_M - r_m = 2\arctan(2H)$.

In particular, $\gamma$ must be symmetric with respect to the equatorial plane by Proposition 4.5. Now let us consider the height function. In Theorem 5.6 we verified $h(t_2) > h(0)$. A closer inspection shows that $h(t_2) \to h(0)$ as $J \to -\frac{2H}{\kappa}$. Thus, the height difference for every nodoid loop shrinks as $J$ decreases and limits in zero. Therefore we do not have a nodoid anymore. Let us make this precise:

**Theorem 5.8.** Suppose $\kappa > 0$. Let $\gamma = (r, h, \sigma)$ be a solution curve with $J = -\frac{2H}{\kappa}$ for initial data $r(0) = r_M, h(0) = 0, \sigma(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then there exist $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ such that:

- $h'(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, t_1)$,
- $\sigma(t_1) = \pi$ and $r(t_1) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}} = \frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_M)$,
- $h'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_1, t_2]$,
- $\sigma(t_2) = \frac{3\pi}{2}$ and $r(t_2) = r_m$,
- $h(t_2) = h(0)$.

The full solution curve is obtained by reflection at the line $h = 0$. In particular, the solution curve is closed and the image is homeomorphic to $S^1$.

**Proof.** We can copy the steps (i) to (iv) from the proof of Theorem 5.6. It remains to prove $h(t_2) = h(0)$.
Since $J - \frac{2H}{\kappa}$ (and therefore $L = 0$) the curve $\gamma$ is symmetric to the equator at $r(t_1) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}$ by Proposition 4.5. Together with the fact that $h'(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, t_1)$ and $h'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_1, t_2]$, there exists for every $\hat{t} \in [0, t_1)$ exactly one $\hat{t} \in (t_1, t_2]$ such that $r(\hat{t}) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\kappa}} - r(\hat{t})$ and $\sigma(\hat{t}) = \sigma(\hat{t}) + \pi$. Thus,

$$\begin{align*}
h'(\hat{t}) &= \sqrt{\frac{\text{sn}^2(\kappa, r(\hat{t})) + \left(4\tau \text{sn}^2\left(\frac{r(\hat{t})}{2}\right) - a\right)^2}{\text{sn}^2(\kappa, r(\hat{t}))}} \sin \sigma(\hat{t}) \\
&= -\sqrt{\frac{\text{sn}^2(\kappa, r(\hat{t})) + \left(4\tau \text{sn}^2\left(\frac{r(\hat{t})}{2}\right) - a\right)^2}{\text{sn}^2(\kappa, r(\hat{t}))}} \sin \sigma(\hat{t}) = -h'(\hat{t}).
\end{align*}$$

This yields

$$h(t_2) = \int_0^{t_2} h'(s) \, ds = \int_0^{t_1} h'(s) \, ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h'(s) \, ds = \int_0^{t_1} h'(s) \, ds - \int_0^{t_1} h'(s) \, ds = h(0).$$

In the rotational case the generated surface $\Sigma_\gamma$ is a torus with soul on the equator of the base manifold $\mathbb{S}^2(\kappa)$ of the submersion $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2(\kappa)$. For screw motions with $a \neq 0$ it is an immersed cylinder. Using the symmetry argument from Proposition 4.5 again, we get for $\frac{4H}{\kappa} > J > \frac{4H}{\kappa}$ nodoid type surfaces, for $J = \frac{4H}{\kappa}$ spherical type surfaces, for $\frac{4H}{\kappa} > J > J_{\text{min}}$ unduloid type surfaces, and for $J = J_{\text{min}}$ the cylinder.

6. Global characterization and moduli space

We have seen in the previous section that there is a continuous transition from the cylinder through the unduloid and sphere to the nodoid, similar to the well-known case of $\mathbb{R}^3$. The following theorem summarizes the entire family of Delaunay type surfaces obtained. It is a generalization of [MT20, Thm. 1.1].

**Theorem 6.1** (Main result). For fixed $(\kappa, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists a continuous two-parameter family $\{\Sigma_{J,H}\}$ of complete surfaces of constant mean curvature $H$, where $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$, invariant under screw motions. It is parameterized by $J \in (-\frac{2H}{\kappa}, J_{\text{max}}]$ if $\kappa > 0$, or $J \in (-\infty, J_{\text{max}}]$ if $\kappa \leq 0$, where $J_{\text{max}} := \frac{1}{\kappa}(\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa} - 2H)$, and by $H$. All surfaces are cylindrically bounded. Moreover:

(i) For $J = J_{\text{max}}$ the surface $\Sigma_{J,H}$ is a cylinder invariant under the one-parameter group of vertical translations.

(ii) For $J$ between 0 and $J_{\text{max}}$ the surface $\Sigma_{J,H}$ is of unduloid type.

(iii) For $J = 0$ the surface $\Sigma_{J,H}$ is of spherical type.

(iv) For $J < 0$ the surface $\Sigma_{J,H}$ is of nodoid type.
Our description becomes more transparent if we consider the moduli space as depending on $J$ and $\kappa$, see Figure 2.

At this point we want to comment again on the rescaled first integral $L$ from (7). The corresponding moduli space is shown in Figure 3. We want to point out two differences compared to the moduli space in dependence of $J$. For $\kappa > 0$ the symmetry of the equator reflection is shown more clearly for $L$ as we have already discussed. But for the transition from positive to negative base curvature $\kappa$ the regions with surfaces of the same type are not connected. For $\kappa = 0$ the description fails. This shows that it makes more sense to consider $J$ instead of $L$, even if the formulas and calculations are getting more involved.

The continuous evolution of the generating curves as described in Theorem 6.1 is shown in Figure 4 to 7 where we plotted generating curves for $a = 0$ (rotational case) in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ as an example. The curves were obtained by solving (ODE) numerically with Mathematica.
Figure 2. Moduli space of the Delaunay type surfaces $\Sigma_{J,H}$ in $E(\kappa, \tau)$ depending on the first integral $J$ and the base curvature $\kappa$.

Figure 3. Moduli space of the Delaunay type surfaces $\Sigma_{L,H}$ in $E(\kappa, \tau)$ depending on the rescaled first integral $L$ and the base curvature $\kappa$. 
Figure 4. Continuous evolution of generating curves in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ for $a = 0$. Here $J$ decreases from the cylindrical case $J = J_{\text{max}}$ depicted in the first row via unduloids to the spherical case $J = 0$ in the last row. Curves in the same column correspond to same value of mean curvature $H$. In the left column we have large mean curvature $H$ while the right column corresponds to $H = 0$. 
Figure 5. Continuous evolution of generating curves in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ for $a = 0$. Here $J$ decreases from the spherical case $J = 0$ depicted in the first row via nodoids to the torus case $J = -\frac{2H}{\kappa}$ in the last row. Curves in the same column correspond to same value of mean curvature $H$. In the left column we have large mean curvature $H$ while the right column corresponds to $H = 0$, which is degenerated.
Figure 6. Continuous evolution of generating curves in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ for $a = 0$. Here $J$ decreases from the cylindrical case $J = J_{\text{max}}$ depicted in the first row via unduloids to the spherical case $J = 0$ in the last row. Curves in the same column correspond to same value of mean curvature $H$. In the left column we have large mean curvature $H$ while the right column corresponds to small $H > \frac{1}{2}$. 
Figure 7. Continuous evolution of generating curves in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ for $a = 0$. Here $J$ decreases from the spherical case $J = 0$ depicted in the first row to nodoids in the following rows. Curves in the same column correspond to same value of mean curvature $H$. In the left column we have large mean curvature $H$ while the right column corresponds to small $H > \frac{1}{2}$. 
Appendix: Definitions and list of trigonometric identities

We define the following generalized trigonometric functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sn}_\kappa(r) & := \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin (\sqrt{\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa > 0, \\
 1 & \text{for } \kappa = 0, \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \sinh (\sqrt{-\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa < 0,
\end{cases} \\
\text{cs}_\kappa(r) & := \begin{cases} 
\cos (\sqrt{\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa > 0, \\
1 & \text{for } \kappa = 0, \\
\cosh (\sqrt{-\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa < 0,
\end{cases} \\
\text{tn}_\kappa(r) & := \frac{\text{sn}_\kappa(r)}{\text{cs}_\kappa(r)} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \tan (\sqrt{\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa > 0, \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \tanh (\sqrt{-\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa < 0,
\end{cases} \\
\text{ct}_\kappa(r) & := \frac{\text{cs}_\kappa(r)}{\text{sn}_\kappa(r)} = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\kappa} \cot (\sqrt{\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa > 0, \\
\frac{1}{r} & \text{for } \kappa = 0, \\
\sqrt{-\kappa} \coth (\sqrt{-\kappa}r) & \text{for } \kappa < 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

All these functions are continuous in \( \kappa \). We denote by \( \text{arsn}_\kappa, \text{arcs}_\kappa, \text{artn}_\kappa, \) and \( \text{arct}_\kappa \) the inverse functions (on suitable domains). These functions are subject to the following trigonometric identities:

**Lemma 6.2.** For all \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) the following holds:

(i) Derivatives:

- \( \partial_r \text{sn}_\kappa(r) = \text{cs}_\kappa(r) \)
- \( \partial_r \text{cs}_\kappa(r) = -\kappa \text{sn}_\kappa(r) \)
- \( \partial_r \text{tn}_\kappa(r) = -\left(\kappa + \text{tn}^2_\kappa(r)\right) = -\frac{1}{\text{cs}^2_\kappa(r)} \)
- \( \partial_r \text{ct}_\kappa(r) = -\left(\kappa + \text{ct}^2_\kappa(r)\right) = -\frac{1}{\text{sn}^2_\kappa(r)} \)

(ii) Pythagoras:

- \( \text{cs}^2_\kappa(r) + \kappa \text{sn}^2_\kappa(r) = 1 \)
Addition theorems:

- \( \text{sn}_\kappa(r_1 \pm r_2) = \text{sn}_\kappa(r_1) \cos_\kappa(r_2) \pm \cos_\kappa(r_1) \sin_\kappa(r_2) \)
- \( \text{cs}_\kappa(r_1 \pm r_2) = \text{cs}_\kappa(r_1) \cos_\kappa(r_2) \mp \kappa \sin_\kappa(r_1) \sin_\kappa(r_2) \)

Further identities:

- \( \cos_\kappa(r_1 \pm r_2) = \cos_\kappa(r_1) \cos_\kappa(r_2) \mp \kappa \sin_\kappa(r_1) \sin_\kappa(r_2) \)

Identities for inverse functions:

- \( \sin_\kappa(\arcsn_\kappa(x)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + \kappa}} \)
- \( \sin_\kappa(2 \arcsn_\kappa(x)) = \frac{2x}{x^2 + \kappa} \)
- \( \sin_\kappa(\arcsn_\kappa(x)) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \kappa}} \)
- \( \sin_\kappa(2 \arcsn_\kappa(x)) = \frac{x^2 - \kappa}{x^2 + \kappa} \)
- \( \arcsn_\kappa(x) = \arcsn_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + \kappa}}\right) = \arcsn_\kappa\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \kappa}}\right) \)
- \( \tan_\kappa(\arcsn_\kappa(x)) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - x^2}{\kappa x^2}} \)

Useful inequalities:

- \( \frac{1 - \cos_\kappa(r)}{\kappa \sin_\kappa(r)} > 0 \)

Lemma 6.3. Let \( a, b > 0 \) such that \( \arcsn_\kappa\left(\frac{a\kappa}{b}\right) \) exists. Then for all \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) the following identity holds:

\[
 a \cos_\kappa(r) \pm b \sin_\kappa(r) = \sqrt{a^2 + \frac{b^2}{\kappa}} \cos_\kappa\left(r \mp \arcsn_\kappa\left(\frac{a\kappa}{b}\right)\right).
\]

Proof. We start by proving the equality for \( \kappa = 1 \). Assume \( \cos(r) \pm \sin(r) = c \cos(r + d) \) for some constants \( c \) and \( d \). We apply the addition theorem to the right hand side and get

\[
 a \cos(r) \pm b \sin(r) = c \cos(r) \cos(d) - c \sin(r) \sin(d).
\]

After comparing the coefficients we can conclude \( a = c \cos(d) \) and \( b = \mp c \sin(d) \). This implies \( c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \) and \( d = \mp \arccot\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \), which shows the result for \( \kappa = 1 \). For \( \kappa = -1 \)
just repeat the arguments for sinh and cosh. The generalization for arbitrary \( \kappa \neq 0 \) is immediate. When \( \kappa = 0 \) we have \( a \pm br = a \pm br \), which is obviously true. \( \square \)

The solution theory in section 5 holds for all \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \). But for some formulas it might not be clear how they look like for \( \kappa = 0 \), because the limit \( \kappa \to 0 \) is not obvious. Therefore we give here the most important of the occurring limits. They all follow from a direct computation using L’Hospital’s rule.

**Lemma 6.4.** We have the following limits:

- \( \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( \frac{\cosh(r) - 1}{\kappa} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \),

- \( \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( \frac{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa} - 2H}{\kappa} \right) = \frac{1}{4H} \),

- \( \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( \arcsinh \left( \frac{2H}{\kappa J + 2H} \right) \right) = \sqrt{\frac{J}{H}} \) for \( J > 0 \),

- \( \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( \arccosh \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{2H} \right) \right) = \sqrt{-\frac{J}{H}} \) for \( J < 0 \),

- \( \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( \arcsinh \left( \frac{\kappa J + 2H}{\sqrt{4H^2 + \kappa \sin^2 \sigma}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2H} \sqrt{\sin^2 \sigma - 4HJ} \).
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