ON MODIFIED HALPERN AND TIKHONOV-MANN ITERATIONS

HORATIU CHEVAL\textsuperscript{a}, ULRICH KOHLENBACH\textsuperscript{b}, LAURENŢIU LEUŞTEAN\textsuperscript{a,c}

\textsuperscript{a} Research Center for Logic, Optimization and Security (LOS), Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Academiei 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania
\textsuperscript{b} Department of Mathematics, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schloßgartenstraße 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
\textsuperscript{c} Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Calea Griviţei 21, 010702 Bucharest, Romania

Email: horatiu.cheval@unibuc.ro, kohlenbach@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de, laurentiu.leustean@unibuc.ro

Abstract. We show that the asymptotic regularity and the strong convergence of the modified Halpern iteration due to T.-H. Kim and H.-K. Xu and studied further by A. Cuntavenapit and B. Panyanak and the Tikhonov-Mann iteration introduced by H. Cheval and L. Leuştean as a generalization of an iteration due to Y. Yao et al. that has recently been studied by Boţ et al. can be reduced to each other in general geodesic settings. This, in particular, gives a new proof of the convergence result in Boţ et al. together with a generalization from Hilbert to CAT(0) spaces. Moreover, quantitative rates of asymptotic regularity and metastability due to K. Schade and U. Kohlenbach can be adapted and transformed into rates for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration corresponding to recent quantitative results on the latter of H. Cheval, L. Leuştean and B. Dinis, P. Pinto respectively. A transformation in the converse direction is also possible in a slightly more restricted geodesic setting.

Keywords: Mann iteration; Halpern iteration; Tikhonov regularization; Rates of asymptotic regularity; Rates of metastability; Proof mining.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 47J25, 47H09, 03F10.

1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space, $C \subseteq X$ be a convex subset, and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping. We consider in the sequel generalizations of the well-known Mann and Halpern iterations obtained by combining them with the so-called Tikhonov regularization terms \cite{3, 19}.

One such generalization is the \textit{Tikhonov-Mann iteration}, defined in \cite{7} as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)((1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_n x_n) + \lambda_n T((1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_n x_n),$$

where $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $x_0, u \in C$. Obviously, if $\beta_n = 1$ and $u = 0$, then $(x_n)$ becomes the Mann iteration. For $u = 0$ one gets a modified Mann iteration, studied in \cite{26} and rediscovered in a recent paper \cite{4}.
Another generalization is the modified Halpern iteration, introduced in [11]:

\[ y_{n+1} := \gamma_n v + (1 - \gamma_n)(\alpha_n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Ty_n), \]

where \((\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \((\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) are sequences in \([0, 1]\) and \(v, y_0 \in C\).

[11] showed the strong convergence of \((y_n)\) in uniformly smooth Banach spaces under certain conditions on the scalars. Under somewhat more liberal conditions, [8] showed the strong convergence in the nonlinear setting of CAT(0) spaces.

In this paper we establish, in a general nonlinear setting, a strong connection between the modified Halpern and the Tikhonov-Mann iteration schemes. From this connection it follows that the strong convergence of one scheme implies that of the other. In particular, the strong convergence theorem of [4] follows from the much older results on the modified Halpern iteration and - by a slight modification of the argument provided in [8] - also under the exact same conditions on the scalars as assumed in [4]. Moreover, quantitative rates of asymptotic regularity and metastability (in the sense of Tao [24, 25]) for one scheme translate into corresponding rates for the other scheme. In 2012, Schade and the second author [22] extracted rates of asymptotic regularity for the modified Halpern iteration in a general nonlinear setting and of metastability for CAT(0) spaces from the proof given in [8]. We show that with a slight modification of the rate of asymptotic regularity one can in that extraction weaken again the conditions on the scalars to those used in [4]. By the aforementioned reduction of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration to the modified Halpern iteration this induces corresponding rates of asymptotic regularity in a general nonlinear setting and of metastability for CAT(0) spaces for the Tikhonov-Mann scheme.

A rate of asymptotic regularity in this case has recently been extracted in [7] directly from the proof given in [4] by the first and the third author and a rate of metastability has recently been obtained for the modified Mann iteration, a special case of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration, in the case of Hilbert spaces in [9].

In [10] the authors introduce an alternating Halpern-Mann iteration and compute rates of metastability for this iteration in the setting of CAT(0) spaces. As the Tikhonov-Mann iteration \((x_n)\) is a special case of the alternating Halpern-Mann iteration, one gets, as a corollary of [10, Theorem 5.1] rates of metastability for \((x_n)\). However, the proof of [10, Theorem 5.1] uses a stronger condition on the scalars than in our result.

Conversely, rates for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration imply - by the connection established in this paper - corresponding rates for the modified Halpern iteration. For some special test case for the choice of scalars, both the directly extracted rates of asymptotic regularity as well as the ones obtained via our transformations from each other turn out to be quadratic while the constants involved are less good in the indirect approach via the reductions.

2. \(W\)-spaces

Firstly, let us recall some basic notions from geodesic geometry. We refer to [21] for details. Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space. A geodesic path (or simply a geodesic) in \(X\) is a function \(\gamma : [a, b] \to X\) which is distance-preserving, that is \(d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |s - t|\) for all \(s, t \in [a, b]\). A geodesic line in \(X\) is a distance-preserving map \(\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X\). A geodesic segment in \(X\) is the image of a geodesic in \(X\), while a straight line in \(X\) is the image of a geodesic line in \(X\). If \(\gamma : [a, b] \to X\) is a geodesic, \(\gamma(a) = x\) and \(\gamma(b) = y\), we say that the geodesic \(\gamma\) joins \(x\) and \(y\) or that the geodesic segment
\(\gamma([a,b])\) joins \(x\) and \(y\). The metric space \((X,d)\) is (uniquely) geodesic if every two points of \(X\) are joined by a (unique) geodesic segment. The following useful properties are well-known.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that \(X\) is a geodesic space.

1. Let \(x,y \in X\) and \(\gamma([a,b])\) be a geodesic segment that joins \(x\) and \(y\). For every \(\lambda \in [0,1]\), \(z = \gamma((1-\lambda)a + \lambda b)\) is the unique point in \(\gamma([a,b])\) satisfying \(d(z,x) = \lambda d(x,y)\), and this unique \(z\) satisfies also \(d(z,y) = (1-\lambda)d(x,y)\).

2. The following are equivalent:
   - (a) \(X\) is uniquely geodesic.
   - (b) For any \(x,y \in X\) and any \(\lambda \in [0,1]\) there exists a unique element \(z \in X\) such that \(d(x,z) = \lambda d(x,y)\) and \(d(y,z) = (1-\lambda)d(x,y)\).

As in [7], we consider a \(W\)-space to be a metric space \((X,d)\) together with a function \(W : X \times X \times [0,1] \to X\). We think of \(W(x,y,\lambda)\) as an abstract convex combination of the points \(x, y \in X\). That is why we shall write \((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y\) instead of \(W(x,y,\lambda)\).

In the sequel, we denote a \(W\)-space simply by \(X\). Let us define, for any \(x,y \in X\), \([x,y] = \{(1-\lambda)x + \lambda y \mid \lambda \in [0,1]\}\). A nonempty subset \(C \subseteq X\) is said to be convex if for all \(x,y \in C\), we have that \([x,y] \subseteq C\).

Consider the following axioms:

\begin{align*}
\text{(W1)} & \quad d(z, (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1-\lambda)d(z,x) + \lambda d(z,y), \\
\text{(W2)} & \quad d((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y, (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) = (1-\lambda)d(x,y), \\
\text{(W3)} & \quad (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y = \lambda y + (1-\lambda)x, \\
\text{(W4)} & \quad d((1-\lambda)x + \lambda z, (1-\lambda)y + \lambda w) \leq (1-\lambda)d(x,y) + \lambda d(z,w), \\
\text{(W5)} & \quad 1x + 0y = x \text{ and } 0x + 1y = y, \\
\text{(W6)} & \quad (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y = x, \\
\text{(W7)} & \quad d(z, (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) = \lambda d(z,y) \text{ and } d(y, (1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) = (1-\lambda)d(x,y). 
\end{align*}

\(W\)-spaces satisfying (W1) were introduced by Takahashi [23] under the name of convex metric spaces.

### 2.1. \(W\)-geodesic spaces.

**Definition 2.2.** A \(W\)-geodesic space is a \(W\)-space \(X\) satisfying (W2) and (W5).

Let \(X\) be a \(W\)-geodesic space.

**Proposition 2.3.** (W6) and (W7) hold.

*Proof.* Use that, by (W5), \(x = 1x + 0x = 1x + 0y, y = 0x + 1y\), and apply (W2). \(\square\)

Define, for any \(x \neq y \in X\), the mapping

\[ W_{xy} : [0, d(x,y)] \to X, \quad W_{xy}(s) = \left(1 - \frac{s}{d(x,y)}\right)x + \frac{s}{d(x,y)}y. \]

We also define, for uniformity, \(W_{xx} : [0] \to X, W_{xx}(0) = x\).

**Proposition 2.4.** For all \(x,y \in X\), \(W_{xy}\) is a geodesic that joins \(x\) and \(y\) such that \(W_{xy}([0, d(x,y)]) = [x,y]\). Thus, \([x,y]\) is a geodesic segment that joins \(x\) and \(y\).
Proof. The case \( x = y \) is trivial, by (W6). Assume that \( x \neq y \). One can easily see that, by (W2), \( W_{xy} \) is a geodesic. Furthermore, by (W5), we have that \( W_{xy}(0) = x \) and \( W_{xy}(d(x, y)) = y \). Thus, \( W_{xy} \) is a geodesic that joins \( x \) and \( y \). Since the mapping \([0, 1] \to [0, d(x, y)], \lambda \mapsto \lambda d(x, y)\) is a bijection, it follows that \( W_{xy}([0, d(x, y)]) = [x, y] \). \(\square\)

In fact, a metric space is geodesic if and only if it is \( W \)-geodesic for some \( W : X \times X \times [0, 1] \to X \).

**Proposition 2.5.** For all \( x, y \in X \) and all \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \), there exists a unique \( z \in [x, y] \) (namely \( z = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y \)) such that
\[
    d(x, z) = \lambda d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, z) = (1 - \lambda)d(x, y).
\]

**Proof.** Apply (W7), Lemma 2.1(i) and the previous proposition. \(\square\)

We say that \( X \) has the geodesic extension property if for all \( x \neq y \in X \), the geodesic \( W_{xy} \) can be extended to a geodesic line.

**Proposition 2.6.** Assume that \( X \) has the geodesic extension property. Let \( x \neq y \in X \), \( W_{xy} \) be a geodesic line that extends \( W_{xy} \), and \( r \geq 0 \).

(i) There is a unique point \( z \in \overline{W}_{xy}(\mathbb{R}) \) (namely \( z = \overline{W}_{xy}(-rd(x, y)) \)) such that
\[
    d(z, x) = rd(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(z, y) = (1 + r)d(x, y).
\]

We use the notation \( (1 + r)x \odot ry \) for this \( z \).

(ii) Suppose, furthermore, that \( X \) is uniquely geodesic. Then
\[
    x = \frac{r}{r + 1} y + \frac{1}{r + 1}((1 + r)x \odot ry),
\]

**Proof.**

(i) It is easy to see that \( \overline{W}_{xy}(-rd(x, y)) \) satisfies (4). It remains to prove the uniqueness. Suppose that (4) holds for \( z = \overline{W}_{xy}(t) \in \overline{W}_{xy}(\mathbb{R}) \). If \( r = 0 \), then \( z = x = \overline{W}_{xy}(0) \). Assume that \( r > 0 \). We get that \( rd(x, y) = d(z, x) = |t| \) and \( (1 + r)d(x, y) = d(z, y) = |t - d(x, y)| \).

If \( t = rd(x, y) \), then \( (1 + r)d(x, y) = |t - d(x, y)| \) becomes \( 1 + r = |1 - r| \), which is false, since \( r > 0 \). Thus, we must have \( t = -rd(x, y) \). Hence, \( z = \overline{W}_{xy}(-rd(x, y)) \).

(ii) Denote \( z = (1 + r)x \odot ry \), and \( \lambda = \frac{r}{r + 1} \in [0, 1] \). We have that
\[
    d(x, y) = \frac{1}{r + 1}d(y, z) = \lambda d(y, z) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, z) = rd(x, y) = (1 - \lambda)d(y, z).
\]

Apply (W7) and Lemma 2.1(ii) to conclude that \( x = (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda z \). \(\square\)

The following result will be used in Subsection 4.3.

**Proposition 2.7.** Suppose that \( X \) is a uniquely geodesic space with the geodesic extension property. Then for all \( z_1, z_2 \in X \) and \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) there exists \( z_3 \in X \) such that \( z_1 = (1 - \alpha)z_2 + \alpha z_3 \).

**Proof.** If \( z_1 = z_2 \), take \( z_3 = z_1 \) and apply (W6). Assume that \( z_1 \neq z_2 \). Apply Proposition 2.6(ii) with \( x = z_1, y = z_2, r = \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \geq 0 \), and take \( z_3 = (1 + r)z_1 \odot rz_2 \).

We get that \( z_1 = \frac{r}{r + 1} z_2 + \frac{1}{r + 1} z_3 = (1 - \alpha)z_2 + \alpha z_3 \). \(\square\)
2.2. **W-hyperbolic spaces.** A $W$-hyperbolic space [12] is a $W$-space satisfying (W1)-(W4). One can easily see that (W5) - (W7) also hold in a $W$-hyperbolic space. In particular, any $W$-hyperbolic space is a $W$-geodesic space. $W$-hyperbolic spaces turn out to be a natural class of geodesic spaces for the study of nonlinear iterations. Normed spaces are obvious examples of $W$-hyperbolic spaces, as one can define $W(x, y, \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y$. Busemann spaces [6, 21] and CAT(0) spaces [1, 5] are also $W$-hyperbolic spaces:

(i) by [2, Proposition 2.6], Busemann spaces are the uniquely geodesic $W$-hyperbolic spaces;

(ii) by [13, p. 386-388], CAT(0) spaces are the $W$-hyperbolic spaces $X$ satisfying

$$d^2\left(z, \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}d^2(z, x) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(z, y) - \frac{1}{4}d^2(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X.$$ 

It is well-known that any CAT(0) space is a Busemann space.

3. **The Tikhonov-Mann and modified Halpern iterations**

Let $X$ be a $W$-space, $C \subseteq X$ a convex subset, and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping. This very general setting suffices for defining the iterations of interest for us in this paper. Let $(\beta_n)$ and $(\lambda_n)$ be sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $u \in C$.

The **Tikhonov-Mann iteration** $(x_n)$ and the **modified Halpern iteration** $(y_n)$ are defined as follows:

\begin{align}
& (6) \quad x_0 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n Tu_n, \\
& (7) \quad y_0 \in C, \quad y_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n+1)u + \beta_n+1v_n,
\end{align}

where

\begin{align}
& (8) \quad u_n = (1 - \beta_n)u + \beta_n x_n \quad \text{and} \quad v_n = (1 - \lambda_n)y_n + \lambda_n Ty_n.
\end{align}

**Remark 3.1.** We use for the parameter sequences from the definition of the modified Halpern iteration different notations than the ones from [11, 8, 22]: we write $1 - \beta_{n+1}$ instead of $\beta_n$ and $1 - \lambda_n$ instead of $\alpha_n$.

We get from (6) the following inductive definition for $(u_n)$:

\begin{align}
& (9) \quad u_0 = (1 - \beta_0)u + \beta_0x_0 \in C, \\
& \phantom{u_0} \quad u_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_{n+1})u + \beta_{n+1}\left(1 - \lambda_n\right)u_n + \lambda_n Tu_n.
\end{align}

The following observation establishes the essential link between our iterations.

**Proposition 3.2.** Assume that $y_0 = (1 - \beta_0)u + \beta_0x_0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$u_n = y_n \text{ and } x_{n+1} = v_n.$$ 

**Proof.** We prove the first equality by induction on $n$. The case $n = 0$ holds by hypothesis. $n \Rightarrow n + 1$:

\begin{align}
& (7) \quad y_{n+1} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (1 - \beta_{n+1})u + \beta_{n+1}v_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} (1 - \beta_{n+1})u + \beta_{n+1}\left((1 - \lambda_n)y_n + \lambda_n Ty_n\right) \\
& \quad \overset{(8)}{=} (1 - \beta_{n+1})u + \beta_{n+1}\left((1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n Tu_n\right) \text{ by the induction hypothesis} \\
& \overset{(9)}{=} u_{n+1}.
\end{align}

Furthermore, $x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n)u_n + \lambda_n Tu_n = (1 - \lambda_n)y_n + \lambda_n Ty_n = v_n$. \qed
3.1. (Quantitative) conditions on $(\beta_n)$ and $(\lambda_n)$. The following conditions on the sequences $(\beta_n)$ and $(\lambda_n)$ were used in the study of the asymptotic regularity and strong convergence of the Tikhonov-Mann and modified Halpern iterations:

\[(H1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) = \infty, \quad (H1^*) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} = 0,\]
\[(H2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty, \quad (H3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| < \infty,\]
\[(H4) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 1, \quad (H5) \quad \liminf_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n > 0,\]
\[(H6) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n = 1, \quad (H7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_n) = \infty.\]

Kim and Xu [11] proved the strong convergence of the modified Halpern iteration in uniformly smooth Banach spaces under the hypotheses $(H1)$, $(H2) - (H4)$, $(H6)$, and $(H7)$. Cuntavenapit and Panyanak [8] showed that strong convergence holds in CAT(0) spaces without assuming $(H7)$; they remarked that $(H7)$ can be eliminated also in the case of Kim and Xu’s result.

It is easy to see that the proof in [8] can be modified in such a way that instead of $(H6)$ only the weaker condition $(H5)$ is needed: replace the last inequality in [8, (3.4)] by (using $(H5)$ for $\lambda_n = 1 - \alpha_n$)

\[(1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta_n d(u, y_n) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.\]

Bot, Csetne and Meier [4] used $(H1)$, $(H2) - (H5)$ to obtain the strong convergence of a modified Mann iteration in Hilbert spaces. By the comment above, Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.1 below, this result follows from [8].

As a consequence of the quantitative results obtained by the first and third author [7], conditions $(H1)$, $(H2) - (H5)$ suffice for proving the asymptotic regularity of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration in $W$-hyperbolic spaces. We also consider condition $(H1^*)$ (which - for strictly positive $\beta_n > 0$ - is equivalent with $(H1)$), since, as observed for the first time by the second author [14], its quantitative version is useful in obtaining better rates of asymptotic regularity.

As we are interested in effective bounds on the asymptotic behaviour of our iterations, we consider quantitative versions of the above conditions (with the exception of $(H7)$, which, as pointed above, is superfluous):

\[(H1_q) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) \text{ diverges with rate of divergence } \sigma_1;\]
\[(H1_q^*) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} = 0 \text{ with rate of convergence } \sigma_1^*;\]
\[(H2_q) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \text{ converges with Cauchy modulus } \sigma_2;\]
\[(H3_q) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \text{ converges with Cauchy modulus } \sigma_3;\]
\[(H4_q) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 1 \text{ with rate of convergence } \sigma_4;\]
\[(H5_q) \quad \Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ and } N_\Lambda \in \mathbb{N} \text{ are such that } \lambda_n \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda} \text{ for all } n \geq N_\Lambda;\]
\[(H6_q) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n = 1 \text{ with rate of convergence } \sigma_5.\]
4. Rates of asymptotic regularity

Let us recall that if $X$ is a metric space, $\emptyset \neq C \subseteq X$, and $T : C \to C$, then a sequence $(a_n)$ in $C$ is said to be

(i) asymptotically regular if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(a_n, a_{n+1}) = 0$; a rate of asymptotic regularity of $(a_n)$ is a rate of convergence of $(d(a_n, a_{n+1}))$ towards 0.

(ii) $T$-asymptotically regular if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(a_n, Ta_n) = 0$; a rate of $T$-asymptotic regularity of $(a_n)$ is a rate of convergence of $(d(a_n, Ta_n))$ towards 0.

In the sequel, we explore the relation between rates of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration $(x_n)$ and those of the modified Halpern iteration $(y_n)$.

For the rest of the section, $(X, d, W)$ is a $W$-hyperbolic space, $C$ is a convex subset of $X$, and $T : C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping. We assume that $T$ has fixed points, hence the set $\text{Fix}(T)$ of fixed points of $T$ is nonempty. If $p$ is a fixed point of $T$, define

\begin{equation}
M_p = \max \{d(x_0, p), d(u, p)\}.
\end{equation}

By \cite[Lemma 3.1.(ii)]{7} and \cite[Proposition 3.2.(8)]{7}, we have that

\begin{equation}
d(x_n, u_n) \leq 2M_p(1 - \beta_n).
\end{equation}

Let $K \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be such that $K \geq M_p$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that $(H4_q)$ holds. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, u_n) = 0$ with rate of convergence

\begin{equation}
\alpha(k) = \sigma_4(2K(k + 1) - 1).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Let $n \geq \alpha(k)$. Then, by (11), we get that

\[
d(x_n, u_n) \leq 2M_p(1 - \beta_n) \leq 2K(1 - \beta_n) \leq \frac{2K}{2K(k + 1)} = \frac{1}{k + 1}.
\]

\hfill \Box

**Proposition 4.2.** Assume that $(H4_q)$ holds and let $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. Define $\Phi' : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by

\begin{equation}
\Phi'(k) := \max \{\alpha(3k + 2), \Phi(3k + 2)\},
\end{equation}

where $\alpha$ is given by (12).

(i) If $\Phi$ is a rate of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of one of the sequences $(x_n)$, $(u_n)$, then $\Phi'$ is a rate of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of the other one.

(ii) Suppose, moreover, that $y_0 = (1 - \beta_0)u + \beta_0x_0$. If one of the sequences $(x_n)$, $(y_n)$ is $(T)$-asymptotically regular with rate $\Phi$, then the other one is $(T)$-asymptotically regular with rate $\Phi'$. 

We refer, for example, to \cite{7} for the definitions of quantitative notions such as rate of convergence, Cauchy modulus, rate of divergence.
Proof: (i) Let \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n \geq \Phi(k) \). Assume first that \( \Phi \) is a rate of \( T\)-asymptotic regularity of \((u_n)\). We get that
\[
d(x_n, Tx_n) = d(x_n, u_n) + d(u_n, Tu_n) + d(Tu_n, Tx_n)
\leq 2d(x_n, u_n) + d(u_n, Tu_n) \quad \text{since } T \text{ is nonexpansive}
\leq 2d(x_n, u_n) + \frac{1}{3(k+1)} \quad \text{since } n \geq \Phi(3k+2)
\leq \frac{2}{3(k+1)} + \frac{1}{3(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k+1},
\]
as \( n \geq \alpha(3k+2) \), so we can apply Lemma 4.1.
Assume now that \( \Phi \) is a rate of asymptotic regularity of \((u_n)\). Then
\[
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_n, u_n) + d(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d(u_{n+1}, x_{n+1})
\leq d(x_n, u_n) + d(u_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3(k+1)}
\leq \frac{2}{3(k+1)} + \frac{1}{3(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k+1}.
\]
The proof for the case when \( \Phi \) is a rate of \((T)\)-asymptotic regularity of \((x_n)\) follows by symmetry.
(ii) We have, by Proposition 3.2, that \( y_n = u_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Apply (i).
\( \square \)

It follows that if the starting points \( x_0, y_0 \in C \) satisfy \( y_0 = (1 - \beta_0)u + \beta_0 x_0 \), then \((x_n)\) is \((T)\)-asymptotically regular if and only if \((y_n)\) is \((T)\)-asymptotically regular.

4.1. On rates of \((T)\)-asymptotic regularity of the modified Halpern iteration. In [22, Propositions 6.1, 6.2], Schade and the second author computed uniform rates of \((T)\)-asymptotic regularity of the modified Halpern iteration in \(W\)-hyperbolic spaces. The hypotheses on the sequences \((\lambda_n)\), \((\beta_n)\) used in [22] were \((H1_q)\) (or - for strictly positive \( \beta_n > 0 \) - equivalently, \((H1^*_q)\) ), \((H2_q)\) - \((H4_q)\) and \((H6_q)\). We improve these results by showing that the hypothesis \((H6_q)\) is not needed.

Let \((y_n)\) be the modified Halpern iteration, given by (6).

Proposition 4.3. Assume that \((H2_q)\), \((H3_q)\) hold and let \( M \in \mathbb{N}^* \) be such that
\[
M \geq 4 \max\{d(u, p), d(y_0, p)\}
\]
for some \( p \in \text{Fix}(T) \). Define
\[
\gamma(k) = \max\{\sigma_2(8M(k+1) - 1), \sigma_3(4M(k+1) - 1)\}.
\]

(i) If \((H1_q)\) holds, then \((y_n)\) is asymptotically regular with rate
\[
\Sigma(k) = \sigma_1(\gamma(k) + [\ln(M(k+1))] + 1). 
\]

(ii) Suppose that \((H1^*_q)\) holds, and \( \psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^* \) satisfies
\[
\frac{1}{\psi(k)} \leq \prod_{n=0}^{\gamma(k)} \beta_{n+1}.
\]
Then \((y_n)\) is asymptotically regular with rate
\[
\Sigma^*(k) = \sigma_1^* \left( M \psi(k)(k+1) - 1 \right) + 1.
\]
Proof. This follows from [22, Propositions 6.1, 6.2] by slightly changing their statements and proofs as follows:

(i) replace the notations used for the modified Halpern iteration in [22] with the ones from this paper (see Remark 3.1).
(ii) use \( \frac{1}{k+1} \) instead of \( \varepsilon \).
(iii) replace \( M_2 \) with \( M \), \( \psi_\alpha \) with \( \sigma_3 \), \( \psi_\beta \) with \( \sigma_2 \), and \( \theta_\beta \) with \( \sigma_1 \) in (i) and with \( \sigma^*_2 \) in (ii), with the corresponding changes in the parameters, due to the definitions, used in this paper, of the rates as mappings \( \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \); just to give an example, \( \psi_\beta \left( \frac{2M(1)}{k+1} \right) \) from [22] becomes \( \sigma_2(8M(k+1) - 1) \).
(iv) observe that the other assumptions from [22, Propositions 6.1, 6.2] are not used for the \((T)\)-asymptotic regularity proofs.

\( \square \)

Proposition 4.4. Assume that \((H4_q)\) and \((H5_q)\) hold. If \( \Sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) is a rate of asymptotic regularity of \((y_n)\), then

\[
\hat{\Sigma}(k) = \max\{N_\Lambda, \Sigma(2\Lambda(k + 1) - 1), \sigma_4(2M\Lambda(k + 1) - 1)\}
\]

is a rate of \( T \)-asymptotic regularity of \((y_n)\).

Proof. Applying [22, Lemma 5.1.(6)] and [22, Lemma 5.2.(8)], we get that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
d(y_n, Ty_n) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + (1 - \beta_{n+1})d(u, Ty_n) + \beta_{n+1}(1 - \lambda_n)d(y_n, Ty_n)
\]

\[
\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + M(1 - \beta_{n+1}) + (1 - \lambda_n)d(y_n, Ty_n).
\]

After moving \((1 - \lambda_n)d(y_n, Ty_n)\) to the left-hand side, we get that, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\lambda_n d(y_n, Ty_n) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + M(1 - \beta_{n+1}).
\]

Let now \( n \geq \hat{\Sigma}(k) \). Since \( n \geq N_\Lambda \), we can apply \((H5_q)\) to obtain that \( \lambda_n \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda} \). It follows from (20) that

\[
\frac{1}{\Lambda} d(y_n, Ty_n) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + M(1 - \beta_{n+1}),
\]

hence

\[
d(y_n, Ty_n) \leq \Lambda d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + M(1 - \beta_{n+1}).
\]

As \( n \geq \Sigma(2\Lambda(k + 1) - 1) \), we have that

\[
d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2\Lambda(k + 1)}.
\]

Since \( n \geq \sigma_4(2M\Lambda(k + 1) - 1) \), we get that

\[
1 - \beta_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2M\Lambda(k + 1)}.
\]

Apply (21), (22) and (23) to conclude that

\[
d(y_n, Ty_n) \leq \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k+1}.
\]

\( \square \)
Thus, as an application of Propositions 4.3, 4.4, one computes also rates of $T$-asymptotic regularity of the modified Halpern iteration.

In [7], the first and third author obtained quadratic rates of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration $(x_n)$ for $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$. For the modified Halpern iteration, we also get quadratic rates.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\Sigma_0(k) = 8M^2(k + 1)^2 + 2M(k + 1) - 1$$

is a rate of asymptotic regularity of $(y_n)$, and

$$\hat{\Sigma}_0(k) = 32M^2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2 (k + 1)^2 + 4M \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)(k + 1) - 1$$

is a rate of $T$-asymptotic regularity of $(y_n)$.

**Proof.** One can easily see that $(H1_q)$, $(H2_q)$, $(H3_q)$ and $(H4_q)$ hold with $\sigma_1(k) = 2k$, $\sigma_2(k) = k$, $\sigma_3(k) = 0$ and $\sigma_4(k) = k$, respectively. Furthermore, $(H5_q)$ holds with $\Lambda = \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\right]$ and $N\lambda = 0$. It follows that $\gamma(k) = 8M(k + 1) - 1$. As

$$\prod_{n=1}^{sM(k+1)-1} \beta_{n+1} = \frac{2}{8M(k+1)+1},$$

we can take $\psi(k) = 4M(k + 1) + 1$.

Apply now Propositions 4.3.(ii) and 4.4 to get the conclusion. □

### 4.2. From modified Halpern iteration to Tikhonov-Mann iteration.

We derive rates of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration from the rates of the modified Halpern iterations computed in Subsection 4.1.

Let $(x_n)$ be the Tikhonov-Mann iteration, defined by (6), and $K \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be such that $K \geq M_p$, where $p$ is a fixed point of $T$ and $M_p$ is given by (10).

**Proposition 4.6.** Assume that $(H2_q)$, $(H3_q)$, and $(H4_q)$ hold.

(i) If $(H1_q)$ holds and $\Sigma$ is defined as in Proposition 4.3.(i), then $(x_n)$ is asymptotically regular with rate

$$\Phi(k) = \max\{\sigma_4(6K(k + 1) - 1), \Sigma(3k + 2)\}.$$

(ii) If $(H1_q^*)$ holds and $\psi$, $\Sigma^*$ are as in Proposition 4.3.(ii), then $(x_n)$ is asymptotically regular with rate

$$\Phi(k) = \max\{\sigma_4(6K(k + 1) - 1), \Sigma^*(3k + 2)\}.$$

**Proof.** Define $y_0 = (1 - \beta_0)u + \beta_0x_0$ and consider the modified Halpern iteration $(y_n)$ starting with $y_0$. By an application of (W1), we get that

$$d(y_0, p) \leq (1 - \beta_0)d(u, p) + \beta_0d(x_0, p) \leq K.$$  

Hence, we can use Proposition 4.3 with $M = 4K$ to get rates of asymptotic regularity of $(y_n)$. Apply now Proposition 4.2.(ii). □

Assume, furthermore, that $(H5_q)$ holds and let $\Sigma$ be a rate of asymptotic regularity of $(y_n)$. Then $\hat{\Sigma}$ defined as in Proposition 4.4 is a rate of $T$-asymptotic regularity of $(y_n)$. Applying Proposition 4.2.(ii), we get that

$$\hat{\Phi}(k) = \max\{\sigma_4(6K(k + 1) - 1), N\lambda, \Sigma(6\Lambda(k + 1) - 1), \sigma_4(24K\Lambda(k + 1) - 1)\}.$$
is a rate of $T$-asymptotic regularity of $(x_n)$.

Let us consider now the case $\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0,1]$ and $\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Then, as an application of Corollary 4.5 and Proposition 4.2(ii), we obtain, for the sequence $(x_n)$, a rate of asymptotic regularity $\Phi_0$ and a rate of $T$-asymptotic regularity $\hat{\Phi}_0$

given by

$$
\Phi_0(k) = 1152K^2(k + 1)^2 + 24K(k + 1) - 1,
$$

$$
\hat{\Phi}_0(k) = 4608K^2 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^2 (k + 1)^2 + 48K \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) (k + 1) - 1.
$$

The following rates of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration $(x_n)$ were computed in [7, Corollary 4.3] for this particular case:

$$
\Phi_0(k) = 144K^2(k + 1)^2 + 6K(k + 1),
$$

(26)

$$
\hat{\Phi}_0(k) = 576K^2 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^2 (k + 1)^2 + 12K \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) (k + 1).
$$

The rates obtained in this paper are also quadratic, but they are slightly worse than the ones from [7].

4.3. From Tikhonov-Mann iteration to modified Halpern iteration. In the sequel, we assume that the convex subset $C$ of the $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ satisfies the following property:

$$(*)$$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in C$ and $\alpha \in (0,1]$ there exists $z_3 \in C$ such that

$$z_1 = (1 - \alpha)z_2 + \alpha z_3.$$

If $C$ is an affine subset of a Banach space $X$, then $(*)$ is obviously satisfied: define $z_3 = (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})z_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}z_1$.

Let us give another example. One can easily see that convex subsets of Busemann spaces are themselves Busemann spaces. By Lemma 2.7, if $X$ is a Busemann space and $C \subseteq X$ is a convex subset with the geodesic extension property, then $C$ satisfies $(*)$.

Let $y_0 \in X$ and $(y_n)$ be the modified Halpern iteration starting with $y_0$, defined by (7). We assume that $\beta_0 > 0$, so, by $(*)$, there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $y_0 = (1 - \beta_0)u + \beta_0 x_0$. Let $p$ be a fixed point of $T$ and $K \in N^*$ be such that $K \geq \max\{d(u, p), d(x_0, p)\}$.

The following proposition gives rates of $(T)$-asymptotic regularity of $(y_n)$.

**Proposition 4.7.** Assume that $(H2_q)$, $(H3_q)$, and $(H4_q)$ hold. Define

$$
\chi(k) = \max\{\sigma_2(8K(k + 1) - 1), \sigma_3(8K(k + 1) - 1)\},
$$

$$
\theta(k) = \sigma_4(6K(k + 1) - 1).
$$

(i) Suppose that $(H1_q)$ holds. Then

(a) $(y_n)$ is asymptotically regular with rate

$$
\Sigma(k) = \max\{\theta(k), \sigma_1(\chi(9k + 8) + 2 + \lceil \ln(18K(k + 1)) \rceil) + 1\}.
$$

(b) If $(H5_q)$ holds, then $(y_n)$ is $T$-asymptotically regular with rate

$$
\hat{\Sigma}(k) = \max\{\theta(k), N_\Lambda, \Sigma(6\Lambda(k + 1) - 1), \sigma_4(12KA(k + 1) - 1)\}.
$$
(ii) Suppose that \((H1_q^*)\) holds and that \(\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^*\) is such that \(\frac{1}{\psi(k)} \leq \prod_{n=0}^{(3k+2)} \beta_{n+1}\). Then

(a) \((y_n)\) is asymptotically regular with rate

\[\Sigma^*(k) = \max\{\theta(k), \sigma^*_1(\psi^*(k) - 1) + 1, \chi(9k + 8) + 2\},\]

where \(\psi^*(k) = 18K(k + 1)\psi(3k + 2)\).

(b) If \((H5_q)\) holds, then \((y_n)\) is \(T\)-asymptotically regular with rate

\[\Sigma^*(k) = \max\{\theta(k), N_A, \Sigma^*(6\Lambda(k + 1) - 1), \sigma(12K\Lambda(k + 1) - 1)\}.\]

Proof. Apply [7, Theorems 4.1,4.2] for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration \((x_n)\) starting with \(x_0\) to obtain rates of \((T-)\)asymptotic regularity for this iteration and use Proposition 4.2.(ii) to translate them into rates for \((y_n)\).

For the proof of (ii), remark that if \((H1_q^*)\) holds, then \(\sigma^*_1\) is also a rate of convergence of \(\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1}\right)\) towards 0, hence [7, (C2_q)] holds with \(\sigma_2 := \sigma^*_1\).

For \(\lambda_n = \lambda \in (0, 1)\) and \(\beta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\), using the rates \(\Phi_0, \tilde{\Phi}_0\) for \((x_n)\) given by (26), we apply Proposition 4.2.(ii) to get that

\[\Sigma_0(k) = 1152K^2(k + 1)^2 + 12K(k + 1)\]

is a rate of asymptotic regularity of \((y_n)\), and

\[\Sigma_0(k) = 5184K^2 \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\right]^2 (k + 1)^2 + 36K \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\right] (k + 1)\]

is a rate of \(T\)-asymptotic regularity of \((y_n)\). Thus, we get quadratic rates of \((y_n)\), but they are clearly worse than the ones obtained by letting \(M = 4K\) in Corollary 4.5.

5. Rates of metastability

Recall that if \(X\) is a metric space and \((a_n)\) is a sequence in \(X\), a function \(\Omega : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\) is a rate of metastability of \((a_n)\) if it satisfies the following: for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and all \(g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\), there exists \(N \leq \Omega(k, g)\) such that

\[\forall i, j \in [N, N + g(N)] \left(d(a_i, a_j) \leq \frac{1}{k + 1}\right).\]

Noneffectively, the above statement of metastability is trivially equivalent to the Cauchy property of \((a_n)\) and hence to its convergence if \(X\) is complete. Whereas there are no computable rates of convergence for the iterations we consider (as a consequence of [20]), effective rates of metastability can be extracted even from highly noneffective convergence proofs (as the one given in [8] using Banach limits and hence the axiom of choice) by general tools from proof theory. See [13] as well as the recent survey [15], where also a short history of metastability is given which goes back to Kreisel's seminal work in the early 50's ([17, 18]), while the term 'metastability' was coined by Tao [24].

The following result shows that, as in the case of \((T-)\)asymptotic regularity, there is a strong relation between rates of metastability of the Tikhonov-Mann iteration \((x_n)\) and the ones of the modified Halpern iteration \((y_n)\). The setting is the same as in Section 4.
Proposition 5.1. Assume that \((H_{4q})\) holds and let \(\Omega : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\). Define \(\Omega' : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\) by
\[
(27) \quad \Omega'(k, g) = \tilde{\Omega}(3k + 2, g, \alpha(3k + 2)),
\]
where \(\alpha\) is given by (12) and \(\tilde{\Omega} : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\) is defined by
\[
\tilde{\Omega}(k, g, q) = \Omega(k, g_q) + q,
\]
with \(g_q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\), \(g_q(n) = g(n + q) + q\).

(i) If \(\Omega\) is a rate of metastability of one of the sequences \((x_n), (u_n)\), then \(\Omega'\) is a rate of metastability of the other one.

(ii) Suppose that \(y_0 = (1 - \beta_0)x + \beta_0x_0\). If one of the sequences \((x_n), (y_n)\) is Cauchy with rate of metastability \(\Omega\), then the other one is Cauchy with rate of metastability \(\Omega'\).

Proof. (i) Assume first that \(\Omega\) is a rate of metastability of \((u_n)\).

**Claim:** For all \(k \in \mathbb{N}, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}\), there exists \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) such that
\[
q \leq N \leq \tilde{\Omega}(k, g, q) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall i, j \in [N, N + g(N)]\left(d(u_i, u_j) \leq \frac{1}{k + 1}\right).
\]

**Proof of claim:** Let \(k \in \mathbb{N}, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}\). As \(\Omega\) is a rate of metastability of \((u_n)\), it follows that there exists \(N_0 \leq \Omega(k, g_q)\) such that
\[
\forall i, j \in [N_0, N_0 + g_q(N_0)]\left(d(u_i, u_j) \leq \frac{1}{k + 1}\right).
\]

Let \(N := N_0 + q\). Then \(q \leq N \leq \Omega(k, g_q) + q = \tilde{\Omega}(k, g, q)\). Furthermore, \([N, N + g(N)] = [N_0 + q, N_0 + q + g(N_0 + q)] = [N_0 + q, N_0 + g_q(N_0)] \subseteq [N_0, N_0 + g_q(N_0)]\), hence \(d(u_i, u_j) \leq \frac{1}{k + 1}\) for all \(i, j \in [N, N + g(N)]\). \(\square\)

Let \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\). Apply the claim for \(k := 3k + 2, g\) and \(q := \alpha(3k + 2)\) to get the existence of \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(\alpha(3k + 2) \leq N \leq \tilde{\Omega}(3k + 2, g, \alpha(3k + 2)) = \Omega'(k, g)\) such that
\[
(28) \quad \forall i, j \in [N, N + g(N)]\left(d(u_i, u_j) \leq \frac{1}{3(k + 1)}\right).
\]

It follows that for all \(i, j \in [N, N + g(N)],\)
\[
d(x_i, x_j) \leq d(x_i, u_i) + d(u_i, u_j) + d(u_j, x_j)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{3(k + 1)} + d(x_i, u_i) + d(u_j, x_j) \quad \text{by (28)}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{3(k + 1)} + \frac{1}{3(k + 1)} + \frac{1}{3(k + 1)} = \frac{1}{k + 1},
\]
as \(i, j \geq N \geq \alpha(3k + 2)\), and \(\alpha\) is a rate of convergence towards 0 of \((d(x_n, u_n))\), by Lemma 4.1.

The proof for the case when \(\Omega\) is a rate of metastability of \((x_n)\) is similar.

(ii) Apply Lemma 3.2 and (i).

\(\square\)

The main results of [22] are quantitative versions of the strong convergence, proved in [8], of the modified Halpern iteration \((y_n)\) in complete CAT(0) spaces. These quantitative versions provide effective uniform rates of metastability for \((y_n)\) (see
[22, Theorems 4.1, 4.2] and also note [16] for a numerical improvement). In Subsection 4.1 we improved the quantitative results on the asymptotic regularity of \((y_n)\) obtained in [22, Propositions 6.1, 6.2] by eliminating the hypothesis \((H6_q)\). One can easily see that this also eliminates the hypothesis \((H6_q)\) from [22, Theorems 4.1, 4.2], as it is not used in their proofs except via the rate of asymptotic regularity. Hence, for CAT(0) spaces, new rates of metastability for \((y_n)\) are obtained by considering the ones from [22] with the new rates of \((T)\)-asymptotic regularity computed in Subsection 4.1. By Proposition 5.1(ii), it follows that we can compute rates of metastability for the Tikhonov-Mann iteration \((x_n)\) in CAT(0) spaces, assuming that \((H1_q^3)\) (or, equivalently for \(\beta_n > 0\), \((H1_q^{*})\)) and \((H2_q)-(H5_q)\) hold.

Acknowledgement: The second author has been supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG Project KO 1737/6-2).

References


