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Abstract: In this work, we study warm inflationary scenario based on a deformation of $R^2$ gravity. We start considering $R^p$ and assume $p = 2(1 + \delta)$ with $\delta \ll 1$ so that we simply obtain warm $R^2$ inflation when setting $\delta = 0$. We then derive the potential in the Einstein frame and consider a dissipation parameter of the form $\Gamma = C_1 T$ with $C_1$ being a coupling parameter. We focus only on the strong regime of which the interaction between inflaton and radiation fluid has been taken into account. We compute inflationary observables and constrain the parameters of our model using latest observational data reported by Planck. From our analysis, we discover that with proper choices of parameters the derived $n_s$ and $r$ are in good agreement with the Planck 2018 observational constraints. Particularly, we constrain the potential scale $U_0$ of the models.
1 Introduction

A framework so called cosmic inflation responsible for an early rapid expansion of our Universe becomes a pillar of modern cosmology. It is successful not only to describe important issues that plague the standard Big Bang model, e.g. the horizon and flatness problems, but also provides a dynamical mechanism for generating the primordial energy density perturbations seeding for a late time large scale structure. This was well known as “cold inflation” [1–5]. In the standard picture, the (p)reheating mechanism at the end of inflation is required in order to have particles/radiation populating the universe. These involve the presence of interactions between the inflaton with other fields resulting the (partial) decay of the inflaton into ordinary matter and radiation, see e.g. [6–8].

However, an alternative approach that the (p)reheating is unnecessary was later proposed. The process can be reliable if one introduces a coupling between inflaton and radiation of which the energy density of radiation can be maintained almost a constant during inflation. The mentioned alternative scenario was known as “warm inflation” [9–13]. Such a scenario gained much attention to the community. In other words, it was originally proposed to provide sufficiently hot thermal bath. In the context of warm inflation, it was found that recent studies in many different theories were proposed. For instance, the authors of Ref.[14] conducted a possible realization of warm inflation owing to a inflaton field self-interaction. Additionally, models of minimal and non-minimal coupling to gravity were investigated in Refs.[15–20]. Recently, warm scenario of the Higgs-Starobinsky (HS) model was conducted [21]. The model includes a non-minimally coupled scenario with quantum-corrected self-interacting potential in the context of warm inflation [22]. An investigation of warm inflationary models in the context of a general scalar-tensor theory of gravity has been made in Ref.[23].
In this work, we investigate warm inflationary models in the context of a deformation of $R^2$ gravity. We introduce a coupling between inflaton and radiation – a dissipative term. We demonstrate that the model can complete the radiation dominated Universe at the end of inflation and confront the predictions with the last Planck satellite data.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we will take a short recap of the formalism in the $R^p$ theory with $p \geq 2$. Here we present detailed derivations of the field equations as well as the potential in the Einstein frame. All relevant dynamical equations in warm inflation under the slow-roll approximation are given in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider the deformed $R^2$ scenario and derive the spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ration of the model. In section 5, we compare the results in this work with the observational data. Finally, we conclude our findings in the last section.

2 $R^p$ Setup

One of the simplest classes of a modification to Einstein gravity is to engineer the Einstein-Hilbert term in the action. One possibility is a generic function of the Ricci scalar. This class of theories is well known as the $f(R)$ theories. There were much earlier and pioneer works on $f(R)$ and other gravity theories, see \cite{30, 31}. In this section, we consider the traditionally 4-dimensional action in $f(R)$ gravity including the matter fields and closely follow setup given in Refs. \cite{32, 33}.

\begin{equation}
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}f(R) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}L_M(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi_M),
\end{equation}

where we have defined $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G = 8\pi/m_P^2 = 1/M_{Pl}^2$, $g$ is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the matter field Lagrangian $L_M$ depends on $g_{\mu\nu}$ and matter fields $\Psi_M$, and $m_P$ and $M_{Pl}$ represent Planck mass and reduced Planck mass, respectively, with $M_{Pl} = m_P/\sqrt{8\pi}$.

The field equation can be directly derived by performing variation of the action (2.1) with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ to obtain \cite{32, 33}

\begin{equation}
F(R)R_{\mu\nu}(g) - \frac{1}{2} f(R)g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} F(R) + g_{\mu\nu} \Box F(R) = \kappa^2 T^{(M)}_{\mu\nu},
\end{equation}

where $F(R) = \partial f(R)/\partial R$ and the operator $\Box$ is defined by $\Box \equiv (1/\sqrt{-g})\partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu})$.

Basically, the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields is given by a definition $T^{(M)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \delta \left(\sqrt{-g}\right)\delta \left(\sqrt{-g}L_M\right)/\delta g^{\mu\nu}$. Here it satisfies the continuity equation such that $\nabla^\mu T^{(M)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The action (2.1) in $f(R)$ gravity generally corresponds to a non-linear function $f$ in terms of $R$. It is possible to derive an action in the Einstein frame under the conformal transformation \cite{34, 35}:

\begin{equation}
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu},
\end{equation}

where $\Omega^2$ is the conformal factor and a tilde commonly represents quantities in the Einstein frame. The Ricci scalars $R$ and $\tilde{R}$ in the two frames are related via

\begin{equation}
R = \Omega^2 (\tilde{R} + 6\Box \ln \Omega - 6\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu} \ln \Omega \partial_{\nu} \ln \Omega),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Box \equiv \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - 3 \frac{\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \ln \Omega}{2 \Omega}.
\end{equation}
\[ \Box \ln \Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \ln \Omega) , \quad \partial_{\mu} \ln \Omega = \frac{\partial_{\mu} \ln \Omega}{\partial x^\mu} . \quad (2.5) \]

We rewrite the action (2.1) in the form
\[ S^{I} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}} FR - V \right) + \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} L_{M}(g_{\mu \nu}, \Psi_{M}) , \quad (2.6) \]

where
\[ V = FR - \frac{f}{2 \kappa^{2}} . \quad (2.7) \]

Using Eq.(2.4) and the relation \( \sqrt{-g} = \Omega^{-4} \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \), the action (2.6) is transformed as
\[ S^{E} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}} \tilde{R} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - U(\phi) \right) \]
\[ + \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} L_{M}(F^{-1}(\phi) \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}, \Psi_{M}) , \quad (2.8) \]

The Einstein frame action as a linear action in \( \tilde{R} \) can be directly obtained using \( \Omega^{2} = F \), and it is very useful to introduce \( \kappa \phi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln F \). Then we have \( \ln \Omega = \kappa \phi / \sqrt{6} \). Because of the Gauss’s theorem, the integration \( \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \Box \ln \Omega \) vanishes. Therefore, the action in the Einstein frame reads
\[ S^{E} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}} \tilde{R} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - U(\phi) \right) \]
\[ + \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} L_{M}(F^{-1}(\phi) \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}, \Psi_{M}) , \quad (2.9) \]

where the scalar degree of freedom takes a canonical form with a potential
\[ U(\phi) = \frac{V}{F^{2}} = \frac{FR - f}{2 \kappa^{2} F^{2}} . \quad (2.10) \]

Let us consider inflationary dynamics in the Einstein frame for the scenario also known as the generalized \( R^{2} \) model or \( R^{p} \) model [36–39]. With \( f(R) = R + \lambda R^{p} \), we find
\[ \phi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left( 1 + \lambda p R^{p-1} \right) . \quad (2.11) \]

Substituting the above expression into Eq.(2.10), we obtain
\[ U(\phi) = \frac{FR - f}{2 \kappa^{2} F^{2}} = U_{0} e^{-2 \sqrt{2/3} \phi} \left( e^{\sqrt{2/3} \phi} - 1 \right)^{p} , \quad (2.12) \]

where
\[ U_{0} = \frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}} (p - 1) p^{p/(1-p)} \lambda^{1/(1-p)} . \quad (2.13) \]
Note that for $p = 2$ and $\lambda = 1/(6M^2)$ the potential (2.12) recovers the potential for $R^2$ inflation:

$$U(\phi) = \frac{3M^2}{4\kappa^2} \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{3/\kappa}\phi}\right)^2.$$  

(2.14)

Hence the Lagrangian density of the field $\phi$ is given by $L_\phi = -1/2\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - U(\phi)$ with the energy-momentum tensor

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)} = -\frac{2\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}L_\phi)}{\delta \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + U(\phi)\right].$$  

(2.15)

We notice from Eq.(2.9) that the scalar field $\phi$ is directly coupled to matter in the Einstein frame. In order to see this more explicitly, we take the variation of the action (2.9) with respect to the field $\phi$ following the usual Euler-Lagrange technique:

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}L_\phi)}{\partial \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}}\right) + \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}L_\phi)}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial L_M}{\partial \phi} = 0,$$  

(2.16)

implying that

$$\tilde{\Box} \phi - U_\phi + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} \frac{\partial L_M}{\partial \phi} = 0,$$  

(2.17)

where

$$\tilde{\Box} \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi\right)$$

The energy-momentum tensor of matter in the Einstein frame is given by

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(M)} = -\frac{2\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}L_M)}{\delta \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}}.$$  

(2.18)

Using the standard technique, the derivative of the Lagrangian density $L_M = L_M(g_{\mu\nu}) = L_M(F^{-1}(\phi)\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu})$ with respect to $\phi$ yields

$$\frac{\partial L_M}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\delta L_M}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \frac{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}{\partial \phi} = \frac{1}{F(\phi)} \frac{\delta L_M}{\delta \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}} \frac{\delta F(\phi)}{\delta \phi} = -\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \frac{F_\phi}{2F}\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(M)} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}.$$  

(2.19)

In $f(R)$ gravity, we have $-F_\phi/2F = \tilde{X} = -1/\sqrt{6}$. It then follows that

$$\frac{\partial L_M}{\partial \phi} = \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \kappa \tilde{T},$$  

(2.20)

with $\tilde{T} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu(M)} = -\tilde{\rho}_M + 3\tilde{P}_M$ in which we have assumed perfect fluids in the Einstein frame. Substituting Eq.(2.20) into Eq.(2.16), we obtain the field equation in the Einstein frame:

$$\tilde{\Box} \phi - U_\phi + \kappa \tilde{T} = 0,$$  

(2.21)

showing that the field $\phi$ is directly coupled to matter.
3 Slow-roll dynamics in warm inflation

It is worth mentioning that we will directly couple the fermions in the Einstein frame Lagrangian (2.9). In the following, we assume the model present in Ref.[29] for the interactions. Considering the Einstein frame action with the flat FLRW line element, the action (2.9) leads to the Friedmann equation for warm inflation taking the form

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3M_p^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + U(\phi) + \rho_r\right),$$

with $\dot{\phi} = d\phi/dt$ and $\rho_r$ being the energy density of the radiation fluid with the equation of state given by $w_r = 1/3$. As of the standard fashion, the dynamics of the scalar field ($\phi$) with the dissipative term ($\Gamma$) in the context of warm inflation scenario is also governed by the Klein-Gordon equation. It is described via

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + U'(\phi) = -\Gamma \dot{\phi},$$

where $U'(\phi) = dU(\phi)/d\phi$. In warm inflation, the dissipative coefficient, $\Gamma$, encodes the decay of the inflaton field to the radiation. The conservation of the energy-momentum tensor of the radiation fluid is governed by the continuity equation:

$$\dot{\rho}_r + 4H\rho_r = \Gamma \dot{\phi}^2.$$ 

It was found that a general form of the dissipative parameter was proposed in Refs.[24–26, 28]. In this study, we consider $\Gamma = C_1 T$. As mentioned in [12, 29], the dissipation coefficient $\Gamma = \Gamma(\phi, T)$ can be derived from first principles using standard thermal field theory techniques.

We can re-write the Friedman equation as well as the equations of motion for the scalar $\phi$ (inflaton) and the radiation matter using the standard slow-roll approximations, see Refs.[21–23]. Here we define a dissipative coefficient $Q \equiv \Gamma/3H$. In the strong regime, a model of warm inflation is conducted with the assumption of $Q \gg 1$. More importantly, the temperature can be recast in terms of the scalar field, $\phi$. In the present study, we consider a temperature for $Q \gg 1$ written in the following form:

$$T = \left(\frac{U'_E^2 \phi^{m-1}}{4HC_mC_r}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

with $m$ being any integer. However, in this work we consider $m = 1$. Contrary to cold inflation, the slow-roll parameters are slightly modified in warm inflation, and they take the form

$$\varepsilon = M_p^2 \left(\frac{U'}{U}\right)^2, \quad \eta = M_p^2 \frac{U''}{U}, \quad \beta = M_p^2 \left(\frac{U'}{U \Gamma}\right).$$

Inflationary phase of the universe in warm inflation takes place when the slow-roll parameters satisfy the following conditions:

$$\varepsilon \ll 1 + Q, \quad \eta \ll 1 + Q, \quad \beta \ll 1 + Q.$$
Additionally, the number of e-foldings, $N$, in warm inflation gets modified for $Q \gg 1$. Here it is given by

$$N = \int_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi_N} \frac{Q U}{U'} d\phi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

The power spectrum of the warm inflation was calculated in Refs.[41–48] and it takes the form

$$\Delta_R = \left( \frac{H_N^2}{2\pi \phi_N} \right)^2 \left( 1 + 2n_N + \left( \frac{T_N}{H_N} \right) \sqrt{\frac{3}{3 + 4\pi Q_N}} \right) G(Q_N),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

where the subscript “$N$” is labeled for quantities estimated at the Hubble horizon crossing and $n = 1/(\exp H/T - 1)$ being the Bose-Einstein distribution function. More importantly, the coupling between the inflaton and the radiation in the heat bath is characterized by the function $G(Q_N)$ leading to a growing mode in the fluctuations of the inflaton field. This was originally studied in Ref.[41] and consequent implications were conducted in Refs.[28, 43]. In addition, the scalar spectral index is defined as

$$n_s - 1 = \left. \frac{d \ln \Delta_R}{d \ln k} \right|_{k=k_N} = \frac{d \ln \Delta_R}{d N},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

with $\ln k \equiv a H = N$. The tensor-to-scalar ratio of the perturbation, $r$, can be calculated to yield

$$r = \frac{\Delta_T}{\Delta_R},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

where $\Delta_T$ is the power spectrum of the tensor perturbation and it takes the same form as the standard (cold) inflation, i.e. $\Delta_T = 2H^2/\pi^2 M_p^2 = 2U(\phi)/3\pi^2 M_p^4$. Therefore the power spectrum in Eq.(3.8) can be recast to yield

$$\Delta_R = \frac{U_E(\phi_N)(1 + Q_N)^2}{24 \pi^2 M_p^4 \varepsilon} \left( 1 + 2n_N + \left( \frac{T_N}{H_N} \right) \sqrt{\frac{3}{3 + 4\pi Q_N}} \right) G(Q_N).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

The growth rate of the inflaton field fluctuation from the coupling between the inflaton and the radiation fluid in the thermal bath is represented by a function $G(Q_N)$ [41] and must be determined numerically. As mentioned in Ref.[42], this function also exhibits a mild dependence on the form of the scalar potential. In the present work, we consider two forms of $G(Q)$. In the thermalized inflaton fluctuation limit, $1 + 2n_N \simeq 2T_N/H_N$ and $T_N/H_N = 3Q_N/C_1$, one can re-write the power spectrum to obtain [42],

$$\Delta_R \simeq \frac{5 C_1^3}{12 \pi^4 g_* \rho_{\phi}} Q_N \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3} \pi Q_N}{\sqrt{3 + 4\pi Q_N}} \right) G(Q_N),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)

where $\rho_{\phi}/V(\phi) = 3 Q/2(1 + Q)^2$ has been used to obtain above equation. We note that the above power spectrum in this limit is not explicitly dependent on the inflaton potential [42].
Then, the tensor-scalar ratio $r$ parameter in this case can be obtained by using Eqs. (3.10) and (3.12). It reads

$$r = \frac{\Delta_T}{\Delta_R} = 16 \varepsilon \left[ \frac{6 Q_N^3}{C_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3} \pi Q_N}{\sqrt{3 + 4 \pi Q_N}} \right) G(Q_N) \right]^{-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

The spectral index of the power spectrum with the growing mode function in $G(Q)$ is given by [16, 42, 43]

$$n_s = 1 + \frac{Q_N}{3 + 5 Q_N} \left( \frac{6 \varepsilon - 2 \eta}{\Delta_R} d\frac{\Delta_R}{dQ_N} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

$$d\frac{\Delta_R}{dQ_N} = \frac{5 C_1^3}{12 \pi^4 g_*} \left[ \frac{1}{Q_N^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3} \pi Q_N}{\sqrt{3 + 4 \pi Q_N}} \right) \frac{dG(Q_N)}{dQ_N} 
- \frac{1}{Q_N^3} \left( 2 + \frac{\sqrt{3} \pi Q_N}{\sqrt{3 + 4 \pi Q_N}} + \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \pi^2 Q_N^2}{(3 + 4 \pi Q_N)^2} \right) G(Q_N) \right].$$

In the above, we have derived all relevant equations and parameters necessary for warm inflation model building. We will compute $r$ and $n_s$ and compare the results to the observational data in Sec. (5).

### 4 Warm deformed $R^2$ scenario

In the present analysis, we will consider warm inflation in the strong regime that the inflaton perturbations are non-trivially affected by the fluctuations of the thermal bath, and the amplitude of the spectrum may get a correction, generically called the “growing mode”, depending on the value of the dissipative ratio. This was originally conducted by Graham and Moss [41]. Since the solutions when $p=2$ are well known, hence we rewrite the potential by substituting $p/(p-1) = 2(1-\delta)$ with $\delta \ll 1$. Therefore, we can use perturbation theory in the small parameter $\delta$. The resulting potential (2.12) takes the form

$$U(\phi) = U_0 e^{-2\sqrt{2/3}\kappa_\phi \left( e^{\sqrt{2/3}\kappa_\phi} - 1 \right)^{2(1-\delta)}}. \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (p-1) p^{p/(1-p)} \chi^{1/(1-p)} \text{ with } p = \frac{2(\delta - 1)}{2\delta - 1} \simeq 2 + 2\delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2). \quad (4.2)$$
From Eq. (3.5), we can compute the slow-roll parameters to obtain
\[ \varepsilon = \frac{4 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 1 \right)^2}{3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{e^{\phi / M_p}} - 1 \right)} \simeq \varepsilon_2(\phi) \left( 1 - \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} \right), \tag{4.3} \]
\[ \eta = \frac{4e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} \left( \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 3 \right) - 1 + 8}{3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{e^{\phi / M_p}} - 1 \right)^2} \simeq \eta_2(\phi) \left( 1 - 3 \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{e^{\phi / M_p} - 2} \right), \tag{4.4} \]
\[ \beta = \frac{4 \left( 2e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 3 \right) \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 1}{15 \left( e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 1 \right)^2} \simeq \beta_2(\phi) \left( 1 - \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} \right), \tag{4.5} \]
where we have defined slow-roll parameters for \( p = 2 \) as
\[ \varepsilon_2(\phi) = \frac{4 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 2 \right)}{3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{e^{\phi / M_p}} - 1 \right)^2}, \quad \eta_2(\phi) = -\frac{4 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 2 \right)}{3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{e^{\phi / M_p}} - 1 \right)^2}, \quad \beta_2(\phi) = -\frac{4 \left( 2e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 3 \right)}{15 \left( e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} - 1 \right)^2}. \tag{4.6} \]
By using (3.4), we find \( Q \) for the strong limit:
\[ Q \simeq \frac{5\sqrt{2} C_1}{3^{3/5}} \left( \frac{U_0 e^{\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p}}}{C_1 C_r} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} \right) = Q_2(\phi) \left( 1 - \delta e^\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} \right), \tag{4.7} \]
where \( Q_2(\phi) = \frac{5\sqrt{2} C_1}{3^{3/5}} \left( \frac{U_0 e^{\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p}}}{C_1 C_r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} + \frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{e^{\phi / M_p} - 1} \right)^2 \). \tag{4.8}
Our strategic analysis here is that we first solve the system for $\delta = 0$, and then use perturbation theory in the small parameter $\delta$ and search for a solution to this condition of the type

$$\phi_{\text{end}} = \phi_{\text{end}}^{\delta=0} + \phi_1 \delta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

For $\delta = 0$, we consider

$$\varepsilon_2(\phi) = \frac{4}{3 \left( e^{\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p}} - 1 \right)^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

$$Q_2(\phi) = \frac{\sqrt{2} C_1}{3^{3/5}} \left( \frac{U_0 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi}{M_p} C_1 C_r}}{C_1 C_r} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

When inflation ends, one finds from Eq.(3.6) using a condition $\varepsilon_{\text{end}} \approx Q_{\text{end}}$ with $\delta = 0$:

$$\frac{4}{3 \left( e^{\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi_{\text{end}}^{\delta=0}}{M_p}} - 1 \right)^2} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2} C_1}{3^{3/5}} \left( \frac{U_0 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi_{\text{end}}^{\delta=0}}{M_p} C_1 C_r}}{C_1 C_r} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

Apparently, the above equation can be analytically solved to obtain exact solutions. To this end, we can solve Eq.(4.12) to obtain a value of the inflaton field at the end of inflation to yield

$$\phi_{\text{end}}^{\delta=0} \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{28}} M_p \log \left( \frac{2^9 C_r U_0}{3^2 C_1 M_p^4} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

where a large field approximation has been implemented by assuming $e^{\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi_{\text{end}}}{M_p}} \pm 1 \approx e^{\frac{\sqrt{2} \phi_{\text{end}}}{M_p}}$. Substituting a solution (4.13) into Eq.(4.9) and then applying to Eq.(4.12), we find for $\phi_1$:

$$\phi_1 \approx \frac{1}{5} 2^{5/8} \sqrt{3} M_p \sqrt{\frac{C_r U_0}{C_1 M_p^4}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)
Therefore, the solution reads
\[
\phi_{\text{end}} = \phi_{\text{end}}^{\delta=0} + \phi_1 \delta \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{8} M_p \log \left( \frac{2^9 C_r U_0}{3^2 C_1 M_p^3} \right) + \frac{1}{5} \frac{2^5/8}{\sqrt{3}} M_p \sqrt{\frac{C_r U_0}{C_1 M_p^3}} \delta. \tag{4.15}
\]

Taking \( \delta = 0 \), we simply obtain the results of \( R^2 \)-type warm inflation, see Ref.[21]. Moreover, the inflaton field at the Hubble horizon crossing in the strong regime, \( \phi_N \), can be determined using the perturbation trick. From a definition of the number of e-folding
\[
N = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \int_{\phi_{\text{ini}}}^{\phi_{\text{end}}} QU \frac{d\phi}{U^7},
\]
we search for the solution of the type:
\[
\phi_N = \phi_N^{\delta=0} + \phi_2 \delta. \tag{4.17}
\]

Consider Eq.(4.16) using \( \delta = 0 \), we find for \( \phi_N^{\delta=0} \):
\[
\phi_N^{\delta=0} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{3} M_p \log \left( \frac{2^5 2^4 3^2 C_r N^5 U_0}{5^3 C_1 M_p^4} \right). \tag{4.18}
\]

Substituting Eq.(4.19) into Eq.(4.17), and applying back to Eq.(4.16), we then solve to obtain
\[
\phi_N = \phi_N^{\delta=0} + \phi_2 \delta
\]
\[
= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{3} M_p \log \left( \frac{2^5 2^4 3^2 C_r N^5 U_0}{5^3 C_1 M_p^4} \right)
+ \frac{4 \sqrt{6} M_p}{5 \left( 5^{5/3} - 24 \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3} \right) \right)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{N^5 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^4}} \left( 9 \sqrt{5} \frac{N^5 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^4} - 25 \right) \delta. \tag{4.19}
\]

As done above, we therefore can re-write the slow-roll parameters in terms of the number of e-foldings, \( N \), by using large field approximation in the strong \( Q \) limit and then we find
\[
\varepsilon \approx \frac{125 \sqrt{5} C_1^4 M_p^4}{432 N^3 U_0 C_r} \sqrt{\frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3}} - \frac{25}{27} \left( 5^{5/3} - 24 \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3} \right) \right)^{2/3} \tag{4.20}
\]
\[
\eta \approx -\frac{5^{5/3} C_1^4 M_p^4}{18 N^3 U_0 C_r} \left( \frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3} \right)^{2/3} - \frac{20}{9} \left( 5^{5/3} - 24 \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3} \right) \right)^{1/3} \tag{4.21}
\]
\[
\beta \approx -\frac{5^{5/3} C_1^4 M_p^4}{9 N^5 U_0 C_r} \left( \frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3} \right)^{2/3} - \frac{88}{9} \left( 5^{5/3} - 24 \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{N^3 U_0 C_r}{C_1 M_p^3} \right) \right)^{1/3}. \tag{4.22}
\]
Moreover, we can write $Q$ for the strong limit in terms of $N$ as

$$Q_N \approx \frac{5^{2/3}C_1^5 M_0^6 \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{M_0^2}} \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{M_0^2} \left( \frac{N^5 U_0 C_r}{C_1^4 M_0^4} \right)^{13/15}}}{6N^5 U_0^6 C_r} - 8C_1 \delta M_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{M_0^2}} \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{M_0^2} \left( \frac{63 \left( \frac{N^5 U_0 C_r}{C_1^4 M_0^4} \right)^{8/15} - 10 \ 5^{2/3} \sqrt{\frac{N^5 U_0 C_r}{C_1^4 M_0^4}}} \right)} - \frac{15U_0 \left(24 \sqrt{\frac{N^5 U_0 C_r}{C_1^4 M_0^4} - 5 \ 5^{2/3}} \right)}}. \quad (4.23)$$

It is noticed that for a large field approximation the results given above do depend on a small number, $\delta$, as expected. When setting $\delta = 0$, we have the results of warm scenario for $R^2$-type inflation, see for instance Ref.[21] for Higgs-Starobinky inflation.

## 5 Confrontation with Planck 2018 data

In this section, the inflation potentials can be constrained using the COBE normalization condition [27]. This can be used to fix the parameters of the models in the present analysis. From Planck 2018 data, the inflaton potential must be normalized by the slow-roll parameter, $\epsilon$ and satisfied the following relation at the horizon crossing $\phi = \phi_N$ in order to produce the observed amplitude of the cosmological density perturbation ($A_s$):

$$\frac{U(\phi_N)}{\epsilon(\phi_N)} \simeq (0.0276 \ M_p)^4. \quad (5.1)$$

Taking the potential Eq.(4.1) and the first slow-roll parameter $\epsilon$ given in Eq.(4.5), and substituting $\phi_N$ given in Eq.(4.19), we approximately find that

$$U_0 \simeq \frac{1.2 \times 10^{-4} C_1^{8/5} M_0^4}{N^2 C_1^{2/5}} + \frac{7.2 \times 10^{-6} C_1^{4/5} M_0^4}{N \sqrt{C_r}} \delta. \quad (5.2)$$

Now we compute $r$ and $n_s$ using Eq.(3.13) and Eq.(3.15) and for comparison consider two different forms of the growing mode function $G_i(Q_N)$ with $i = 1, 2$ are considered.

### 5.1 $G_1(Q_N) \simeq 1 + 0.18 Q_N^{1.4} + 0.01 Q_N^{1.8}$

In the first case, we consider $G_1(Q_N) \simeq 1 + 0.18 Q_N^{1.4} + 0.01 Q_N^{1.8}$. This growing mode function was suggested in Ref.[28]. We consider parameters $r$ and $n_s$ given in Eq.(3.13) and Eq.(3.15), respectively. Substituting $\epsilon(\phi_N)$, $\eta(\phi_N)$, $Q_N$, we them come with the results of $r$ and $n_s$ written in terms of various parameters, $N$, $\delta$, $C_1$, $C_r$. However, we cannot explicitly show it here since they are rather lengthy. Our results are shown in Fig.(1). From the plots, we compare the theoretical predictions of $(r, n_s)$ in the strong limit $Q \gg 1$ for $R^p$ model with Planck’18 results [40]. With our choice of parameters, $U_0 \simeq 10^{-8} M_p^4$, $C_1 = 0.80$, $C_r = 50$ for both $N = 50$ and $N = 60$, we observe that the predictions are in good agreement with Planck 2018 data. Additionally, with particular choices of parameters we can compute $\delta$ to obtain the upper bound of $r$ for $r < 0.06$. However, the situation
Figure 1. We compare the theoretical predictions of \((r, n_s)\) in the strong limit \(Q \gg 1\) for \(R^p\) model. We consider a growing mode function \(G_1(Q_N)\). Left panel: we have used \(U_0 \simeq 10^{-8} M_p^4, C_1 = 0.80, C_r = 50\); Right panel: \(U_0 \simeq 10^{-8} M_p^4, C_1 = 0.35, C_r = 70\) and varied the number of e-foldings, \(N\), as well as a small parameter, \(\delta\). We consider theoretical predictions of \((r, n_s)\) for different values of \(N\) and \(\delta\) with Planck’18 results for TT, TE, EE, +lowE+lensing+BK15+BAO.

is getting worst if we lower values of \(C_1\) and raise values of \(C_r\). More concretely, using \(U_0 \simeq 10^{-8} M_p^4, C_1 = 0.35, C_r = 70\) for \(N = 60\), we find that the predictions lie outside the \(1 \sigma\) region of the Planck data.

5.2 \( G_2(Q_N) \simeq 1 + 0.335 Q_N^{1.364} + 0.0185 Q_N^{2.315} \)

In the second case, we use \( G_2(Q_N) \simeq 1 + 0.335 Q_N^{1.364} + 0.0185 Q_N^{2.315} \) which is given in Ref.[29]. We can follow our analysis of the previous subsection. Our results for \( G_2(Q_N) \) is displayed in Fig.(2). Here we have used the same choices of parameters present in the above analysis. We discover that using \( U_0 \simeq 10^{-8} M_p^4, C_1 = 0.80, C_r = 50\) for both \(N = 50\) and \(N = 60\), the predictions are still in good agreement with the Planck data. Nevertheless, lowering values of \(C_1\) and raising values of \(C_r\), we find that the predictions lie outside the \(2 \sigma\) region of the Planck data for \(U_0 \simeq 10^{-8} M_p^4, C_1 = 0.35, C_r = 70\) and \(N = 60\).

6 Conclusion

In this work, we have investigated warm inflationary model in the context of a deformation of \(R^2\) gravity which is coupled to radiation through a dissipation term. We start considering \(R^p\) setup and assume \(p = 2(1 + \delta)\) with \(\delta \ll 1\) so that we can simply use the perturbation method. Particularly, our results covered simple warm \(R^2\) inflation when setting \(\delta = 0\).

We have demonstrated detailed derivations of the potentials in the Einstein frame, and derived relevant parameters in the warm \(R^p\) inflation using the slow-roll approximation. Concretely, we have particularly considered a dissipation parameter of the form \(\Gamma = C_1 T\) with \(C_1\) being a coupling parameter and have focused only on the strong regime of which the interaction between inflaton and radiation fluid has been taken into account.

To confront the results with the data, we have computed inflationary observables and have constrained the parameters of our model using current Planck 2018 data. We have compared the theoretical predictions of \((r, n_s)\) in the strong limit for the model with Planck’18
Figure 2. We compare the theoretical predictions of \((r, n_s)\) in the strong limit \(Q > > 1\) for \(R^p\) model. We consider a growing mode function \(G_2(Q_N)\). Left panel: we have used \(U_0 \approx 10^{-8} M_\text{p}^4, C_1 = 0.80, C_r = 50\); Right panel: \(U_0 \approx 10^{-8} M_\text{p}^4, C_1 = 0.35, C_r = 70\) and varied the number of e-foldings, \(N\), as well as a small parameter, \(\delta\). We consider theoretical predictions of \((r, n_s)\) for different values of \(N\) and \(\delta\) with Planck’18 results for TT, TE, EE, +lowE+lensing+BK15+BAO.

results. With proper choices of parameters, we have demonstrated that the predictions are in good agreement with Planck 2018 data [40]. Additionally, the potential scale \(U_0\) of the models were constrained using the COBE normalization condition. Interestingly, we have found that in order to have the predictions in good agreement with Planck 2018 data within 1\(\sigma\) region, values of a small parameter \(\delta\) obtained for \(G_2(Q_N)\) have to be greater than those obtained for \(G_1(Q_N)\). It is worth noting that our scenario on warn deformed \(R^2\) inflation may be possibly linked to the marginally deformed Starobinsky model [49] dictating the trace-log quantum corrections. However, the deformation can be tested by current and future experimental results and constitutes a sensible generalization of the original (warm) Starobinsky scenario.
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