Spin precession in the gravity wave analogue black hole spacetime
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It was predicted that the spin precession frequency of a stationary gyroscope shows various anomalies in the strong gravity regime if its orbit shrinks, and eventually its precession frequency becomes arbitrarily high very close to the horizon of a rotating black hole. Considering the gravity waves of a flowing fluid with vortex in a shallow basin, that acts as a rotating analogue black hole, one can observe the predicted strong gravity effect on the spin precession in the laboratory. Attaching a thread with the buoyant particles and anchored it to the bottom of the fluid container with a short length of miniature chain, one can construct a simple local test gyroscope to measure the spin precession frequency in the vicinity of the gravity wave analogue black hole. The thread acts as the axis of the gyroscope. By regulating the orbital frequency of the test gyroscope, one can also be able to measure the strong gravity Lense-Thirring effect and geodetic/de-Sitter effect with this experimental set-up, as the special cases. For example, to measure the Lense-Thirring effect, the length of the miniature chain can be set to zero, so that the gyroscope becomes static. One can also measure the geodetic precession with this system by orbiting the test gyroscope in the so-called Keplerian frequency around the non-rotating analogue black hole that can be constructed by making the rotation of the fluid/vortex negligible compared to its radial velocity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The analogue black holes (BHs) obey similar equations of motion as are around a real BH that raise the possibility of demonstrating some of the most unusual properties of the real BHs in the laboratory. The basic idea of such a BH analogues (Dumb holes) was originally proposed by Unruh [1] in 1981. The acoustic horizon of this type of analogue BH occurs when the fluid velocity exceeds the sound speed within the fluid. In 2002, Schützhold and Unruh [2] proposed another interesting model of analogue BH by using the gravity waves of a flowing fluid in a shallow basin that can be used to simulate certain phenomena around BHs in the laboratory. In fluid dynamics, the gravity waves are basically referred to the waves generated in a fluid medium or at the interface between the two media when the force of gravity or buoyancy tries to restore the equilibrium. For example, an interface between the atmosphere and the ocean gives rise to the wind waves. However, as the speed of the gravity waves and their high-wave-number dispersion can be adjusted easily by varying the height of the fluid and its surface tension, this new analogue BH model [2] has many advantages compared to the acoustic and dielectric analogue BH models. This new analogue BH model [2] can be used to simulate the various astrophysical phenomena in the laboratory to verify the prediction of Einstein’s general relativity (GR).

We are now in an era to check the validity of GR in the strong gravity regime, which is the most interesting and exciting regime. The spin precession of a test gyroscope due to the Lense-Thirring (LT) effect [3] as well as the geodetic/de-Sitter effect [4] is one of the predictions of GR, by which its validity in the strong gravity regime can be tested. It had already been verified in the vicinity of Earth, i.e., in the weak gravity regime with the Gravity Probe B space mission [5]. However, the direct measurement of the spin precession effect in the strong gravity regime is extraordinarily difficult–far beyond the ability of current technology. Analogue models of gravity could be helpful in this purpose. Creating an analogue (non-)rotating BH in the laboratory, one might be able to test the effect of spin precession in the strong gravity regime. Note that the geodetic/de-Sitter precession arises due to the non-zero curvature of spacetime and the LT precession arises due to the non-zero angular momentum of the spacetime. Therefore, although the geodetic effect is observed in the non-rotating BH, the LT effect is absent there.
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Specializing to the Draining Sink (DS) acoustic BH the exact spin precession frequency due to the analogue LT effect has been predicted in the laboratory [6], which is commensurate with the prediction of Einstein’s general relativity. Later, the general expression for exact spin precession frequency in a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime was derived in [7] and applied it to the (2 + 1)D DS acoustic BH [8] which is also commensurate with the Kerr BH. Although it was proposed [8] that the analogue spin precession frequency could be measured with the phonon spin (which acts as a test gyroscope in the DS BH set-up), the experimental set-up is quite complicated. To get rid of that complicacy, we propose a different experimental set-up in this paper, which is easier to construct in the laboratory. Considering the gravity wave analogues of BHs [2], in this paper, we derive the exact spin precession frequency and propose how the measurement is feasible using a handy experimental set-up. Note that, the aim of most of the research papers of analogue gravity is to measure the analogue Hawking radiation [1] or superradiance [9] in the laboratory with the help of analogue BHs. In contrast, our aim here is to measure the strong gravity effect on the spin precession in the laboratory with the help of rotating analogue BHs, as predicted [7, 10] for the Kerr spacetime.

The paper is organized as follows. We recapitulate the model of gravity wave analogue of BHs proposed by Schützhold and Unruh in Section II. For completeness, we revisit the general spin precession formalism in the (2 + 1)D analogue BH spacetime in Section III. We derive the exact expression for spin precession frequency in the gravity wave analogue BHs in Section IV. The observational set-ups and prospects are discussed in Section V. We conclude in Section VI.

II. GRAVITY WAVE ANALOGUE OF (NON-)ROTATING BLACK HOLE

A. Recapitulation of non-rotating black hole
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FIG. 1: Schematic diagram of a gravity wave of the flowing fluid in a shallow basin, with the assumption of long gravity wave \((h_B << \lambda)\), where \(g\) is the acceleration due to gravity.

We begin with the simplest idea, i.e., the non-rotating analogue BH model with the gravity wave. We follow the same assumptions and the same model proposed earlier [2], i.e., a shallow liquid over a flat, horizontal bottom (see Figure 1). We assume that the forces on the liquid are such that they allow a purely horizontal stationary flow profile resulting a constant height (that also means the horizontal surface) of the liquid. We also assume that the liquid is inviscid and incompressible in its flow. Thus, the density of the liquid remains constant \((\rho = \text{const})\) and in terms of its local velocity \(v\) the continuity equation assumes the simple form

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0.
\]

If we neglect the viscosity of the fluid, its dynamics are governed by the non-linear Euler equations (see Equation 2 of [2]). As we consider the exactly same model of the non-rotating analogue BH, we do not repeat the whole formulation discussed earlier [2] here. After some calculations (see Sections II–IV of [2] for the basic equations), the effective metric of the non-rotating analogue BH in the static Schwarzschild form as obtained earlier is given by

\[
ds_{\text{NR}}^2 = -\left(c_B^2 - w^2\right) dt^2 + \frac{c_B^2}{c_B^2 - w^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2,
\]

where \(c_B = \sqrt{gh_B}\) is the speed of the gravity waves, \(g\) is the acceleration due to gravity and \(h_B\) is the height of the steady fluid (see Figure 1). The horizon occurs for \(w = c_B = \sqrt{gh_B}\), i.e., when the velocity of the
radially flowing fluid equals to the speed of the (long) gravity waves. The trapping of the waves occurs inside this analogue horizon, when the radial velocity of the flowing fluid exceeds the speed of the gravity waves, i.e., \( w > c_B \). The continuity equation implies \( w(r) = \frac{C}{r} \).

Note that the inward fluid flow with \( w > 0 \) corresponds to a BH, whereas the outward flow with \( w < 0 \) simulates a white hole. In this paper, we concentrate only on the BH.

**B. Rotating black hole**

A stationary flow containing a component in the \( \varphi \) direction, i.e., the vortex solution can be used to model a rotating analogue BH. Here, we consider a shallow liquid over a flat, horizontal bottom with a vortex. The gravity waves of the liquid with vortex in the shallow basin can be used to simulate the phenomena around the rotating BHs in the laboratory. The centre of the vortex can be regarded as the centre of the rotating BH. Note, the centre, i.e., the singularity of the rotating analogue BH, is not like the ring singularity of the Kerr BH. However, using this analogue gravity model, one can measure the strong gravity effects in the laboratory, which arise due to the rotation of the BH. In case of the rotating analogue BH, the flow is characterized by the velocity potential

\[
\vec{w} = \frac{C}{r} \hat{r} + \frac{L}{r} \hat{\varphi},
\]

where \((r, \varphi)\) are plane polar coordinates. The two parameters, \(C\) and \(L\), are constants, and also analogous to the mass and angular momentum of a rotating BH, respectively. Now, using the flow of a barotropic, inviscid fluid with a vortex, one can write the rotating analogue BH metric in \((2 + 1)D\) as

\[
ds^2 = -\left( \frac{C^2 + L^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 - 2 \frac{C}{r} dt dr - 2L dt d\varphi + dr^2 + r^2 d\varphi^2. \tag{5}
\]

The properties of the metric is clearer in a slightly different coordinate system defined through the transformations of \(t\) and \(\varphi\) coordinates [9], given by

\[
dt \to dt + \frac{|C|r}{(r^2 c_B^2 - C^2)} dr, \quad d\varphi \to d\varphi + \frac{|C|L}{r(r^2 c_B^2 - C^2)} dr. \tag{6}
\]

In the new coordinates, Equation (5) reduces to, after a rescaling of the ‘time’ coordinate by \(c_B\),

\[
ds^2 = -\left( 1 - \frac{C^2 + L^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{C^2}{r^2} \right)^{-1} dr^2 - 2L d\varphi dt + r^2 d\varphi^2 \tag{7}
\]

(see Equation (6) of [9] or Equation (2) of [6]). Equation (7) effectively reduces to Equation (2) for \(L = 0\) (and \(c_B = 1\)). The static limit \(g_{tt} = 0\) denotes the region beyond which no particles can be in rest. This corresponds to ergosurface, the radius of which is \(r_e = \sqrt{C^2 + L^2}\). The velocity of fluid is equal to the velocity of the gravity wave at \(r = r_e\). The horizon is defined by \(r_h = C\) where the radial velocity of the fluid is equal to the velocity of the gravity wave. The region between \(r_e\) and \(r_h\) is known as the ergoregion, similar to the Kerr BH. If one defines a vertical coordinate \(z\) as orthogonal to the bottom of the container, we obtain

\[
ds_3^2 = ds^2 + dz^2. \tag{8}
\]

This is necessary to define the spin axis of the rotating analogue BH. The vertical axis is not important to study the analogue Hawking radiation or superradiance as the spin axis does not play any role in those cases.

\[1\] Note that the dimension of \(C\) and \(L\) in Equation (7) transforms to the dimension of length due to the rescaling, whereas the dimension of \(C\) and \(L\) in Equations (3–5) is \(length^2/time\). We follow the structure of Equation (7) in the rest of this paper, i.e., all quantities are expressed in \(c_B = 1\) unit.
In a stark contrast, the vertical axis plays a major role to study the analogue spin precession effect, as the axis of spin precession corresponds to the vertical $z$ axis. Note that although the metric (Equation 2) and Equation (7) look alike with the acoustic analogue BH metric (dumb holes) [6], the physical pictures are completely different.

### III. REVISITING THE SPIN PRECESSION FORMULATION IN THE ANALOGUE BH SPACETIME

In a rotating spacetime, a test spin/gyroscope can remain static without changing its location with respect to the infinity, outside the ergoregion. That is a static gyroscope whose four-velocity can be expressed as: $u^\alpha_{\text{static}} = u^0_{\text{static}}(1, 0, 0, 0)$. On the other hand, if the gyroscope rotates (with a angular velocity $\Omega$) around the BH with respect to infinity, it is called as a stationary gyroscope whose four-velocity is expressed as: $u^\alpha_{\text{stationary}} = u^0_{\text{stationary}}(1, 0, 0, \Omega)$ [7]. The general spin precession frequency of a static gyroscope was derived earlier [12], and has been extended for a stationary gyroscope [7] for a general $(3+1)$D stationary and axisymmetric spacetime. Later on, the general spin precession formalism in a $(2+1)$D analogue BH spacetime was derived in [6] and [8] for static and stationary gyroscopes respectively.

Now, let us consider that a test gyroscope/spin moves along a Killing trajectory in a stationary $(2+1)$D spacetime. The spin of such a test spin undergoes the Fermi-Walker transport along the timelike Killing vector field $K$, whose four-velocity ($u$) can be written as [12]

$$u = (-K^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}K.$$  

In this special situation, the precession frequency of a test gyroscope coincides with the vorticity field associated with the Killing congruence, i.e., the gyroscope rotates relative to a corotating frame with an angular velocity. In a general stationary and axisymmetric spacetime the timelike Killing vector is written as

$$K = \partial_t + \Omega \partial_{\varphi},$$

where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the test gyroscope. The spin precession frequency of a test spin in $(2+1)$D spacetime can be derived as

$$\Omega_{(2+1)} = \frac{1}{2K^2} \ast (\tilde{K} \wedge d\tilde{K}),$$

following earlier work [12], where $\Omega_{(2+1)}$ is the spin precession rate of a test spin relative to a Copernican frame [12, 13] of reference in coordinate basis, $\tilde{K}$ is the dual one-form of $K$ and $\ast$ represents the Hodge star operator or Hodge dual. However, referring to the previous works [6–8] for the detail derivations, one can obtain the final expression for the spin precession frequency of a test gyroscope in $(2+1)$D spacetime as

$$\Omega_p = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}(g_{tt} + 2\Omega g_{t\varphi} + \Omega^2 g_{\varphi\varphi})} \left[ g_{tt} \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{g_{tt}} \right) , r \right] + g_{tt} \Omega \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{g_{tt}} \right) , r + g_{\varphi\varphi} \Omega^2 \left( \frac{g_{\varphi\varphi}}{g_{tt}} \right) , r \right].$$

To preserve the timelike character of $K$ [7], i.e.,

$$K^2 = g_{\varphi\varphi} \Omega^2 + 2g_{t\varphi} \Omega + g_{tt} < 0,$$

$\Omega$ can take only those values which are in the following range:

$$\Omega_- < \Omega < \Omega_+$$

where

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{-g_{t\varphi} \pm \sqrt{g_{t\varphi}^2 - g_{\varphi\varphi} g_{tt}}}{g_{\varphi\varphi}}.$$
Equation (14) covers a broad range of the orbital frequency for a test gyroscope including the zero angular momentum observer (ZAMO) and Kepler observer which play many important roles from the astrophysical point of view. Among the all possible orbital frequencies, the test gyroscope moves along the geodesic if and only if it orbits with the Kepler frequency. Otherwise, the test gyroscope will follow the other Killing trajectories and deviates from the geodesic. Hence, the gyroscope is accelerated. This is not unusual as a test gyroscope/spin does not follow a geodesic in general due to the spin-curvature coupling [14, 15]. Not only that, there are also other reasons for which we do not restrict our study here to a test gyroscope that only moves along the geodesics. In fact, the magnitude of the spin precession frequency is much larger for the accelerating gyroscopes [7, 10] compared to the non-accelerating gyroscopes, that could be measured easily. The accelerated gyroscope can continue its stable precession very close to the horizon, whereas a non-accelerated gyroscope cannot continue its precession beyond the so-called innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO). In the realistic astrophysical scenarios there can be various sources of accelerations for a gyroscope, which has been described vividly earlier [16].

A. Lense-Thirring effect

Equation (12) reduces to the general expression for the LT precession frequency ($\Omega_{LT}^p$) in a (2 + 1)D rotating analogue BH spacetime [6] as,

$$\Omega_{LT}^p = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \frac{g_{t\varphi}}{g_{tt}}$$

(16)

for $\Omega = 0$, which is only applicable for the static gyroscope outside the ergoregion. Equation (16) arises due to the presence of a non-vanishing $g_{0i}$ term, which signifies that the spacetime has an intrinsic rotation or a ‘rotational sense’ [13].

B. Spin precession in the vicinity of a non-rotating analogue black hole

From our general expression (Equation 12) of the spin precession, we identify that the arising spin precession in the spherically symmetric Schwarzschild spacetime is actually the so-called geodetic precession (see Section IV C of [7]), if the spin moves along the geodesic with the Kepler frequency. In this paper, the general expression for the spin precession in the spherically symmetric (2 + 1)D static spacetime can be written as

$$\Omega_{nR} = \frac{\Omega g_{t\varphi}}{2\sqrt{-g(g_{tt} + \Omega^2 g_{\varphi \varphi})}} \left( \frac{g_{t\varphi}}{g_{tt}} \right), \quad r$$

(17)

where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the test gyroscope. If the test gyroscope moves along the geodesic, $\Omega$ can be regarded as the Kepler frequency ($\Omega_K$), given by

$$\Omega_K = \varphi / t = d\varphi / dt = \left[ -\partial_r g_{t\varphi} \pm \sqrt{(\partial_r g_{t\varphi})^2 - \partial_r g_{tt} \partial_r g_{\varphi \varphi}} \right] / \partial_r g_{\varphi \varphi}.$$  

(18)

IV. APPLICATION TO THE ANALOGUE BH PRODUCED BY GRAVITY WAVE

Using Equations (12) and (7) one can obtain the spin precession frequency of a test gyroscope in the (2 + 1)D analogue gravity wave BH as

$$\Omega_p = |\Omega_p| = \frac{r^2 (L - \Omega^2 r^2) + \Omega r^2 (r^2 - r_+^2) + L \Omega^2 r^4}{r^2 [(r^2 - r_+^2) + 2L r^2 - \Omega^2 r^4]},$$  

(19)

In Equation (19), the test gyroscope can take any value of $\Omega$ between $\Omega_+$ and $\Omega_-$. One can introduce a parameter $q$ [7] to scan the range of allowed values of $\Omega$. Therefore, we can write from Equations (15) and (7)

$$\Omega = q \Omega_+ + (1 - q) \Omega_- = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ (2q - 1) \sqrt{r^2 - C^2} + L \right],$$

(20)
where $0 < q < 1$. Substituting $\Omega$ (Equation 20) in Equation (19) one obtains

$$
\Omega_p = \frac{2L(1 - 2q + 2q^2)\sqrt{r^2 - C^2} - (1 - 2q)(r^2 - 2C^2)}{4q(1 - q)r^2 \sqrt{r^2 - C^2}},
$$

(21)
demonstrating that the spin precession frequency ($\Omega_p$) becomes arbitrarily large as it approaches to the horizon ($r \to C$) for all values of $q$ except $q = 1/2$ which corresponds to ZAMO. We discuss a few special cases in the next sections.
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**FIG. 2:** Rotating analogue BH: $\Omega_p$ (in the unit of length$^{-1}$) as a function of $r$ (in the unit of length) for $C = L = 1$ (i.e., $r_h = 1$ unit and $r_e = \sqrt{2}$ unit) and for three different values of $q$ which correspond to the different orbital frequencies of the test gyroscope. This figure shows that $\Omega_p$ first increases with decreasing of $r$ in the weak gravity regime, as usual. In the strong gravity regime, it attains a peak for the further decreasing of $r$, then decreases to zero at $r = r_0$. It again increases and becomes arbitrarily high very close to the horizon. This is true for all values of $q$ except $q = 0.5$ for which $\Omega_p$ monotonically increases for decreasing of $r$, and remains finite on the horizon.
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**FIG. 3:** Kerr BH: Spin precession frequency $\Omega_p^{Kerr}$ (in the unit of $R_g^{-1}$) for the Kerr BH as a function of $r$ (in the unit of $R_g$) for $a_* = 0.5$, $\theta = \pi/2$, (with $r_h = 1.87R_g$ and $r_e = 2R_g$) and for different values of $q$ which correspond to the different orbital frequencies of the test gyroscope. This figure is drawn using Equation (51) of [7]. The qualitative features of all three curves look similar to the three curves of Figure 2, which confirms that the spin precession effect in the analogue BH spacetime accurately mimics the spin precession effect near the vicinity of a Kerr BH.

The evolution of the spin precession frequency ($\Omega_p$) of a test gyroscope can be seen from Figure 2 for three values of $q$: 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8. As we consider $C = L = 1$ (in the unit of length), the radius of event horizon $r_h = C = 1$ and radius of the ergoregion $r_e = \sqrt{2}$. It is seen from Figure 2 that $\Omega_p$ vanishes at a particular radius $r = r_0$ which is close to the horizon. The value of $r_0$ can be calculated using Equation (21) and by setting $\Omega_p|_{r=r_0} = 0$. This comes out as

$$
r_0|_{0<q<1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2Y}}{1-2q} \left[Y + L(1 - 2q + 2q^2)\right]^{1/2},
$$

(22)
and

\[ r_0(1/2 < q < 1) = \frac{\sqrt{27}}{1 - 2q} \left[ Y - L(1 - 2q + 2q^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (23) \]

where

\[ Y = \left[ r_e^2 (1 - 2q)^2 + 4q^2 L^2 (1 - q^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (24) \]

Equation (22) is valid for \( 0 < q < 1/2 \) and Equation (23) is valid for \( 1/2 < q < 1 \). As \( \Omega_p \) does not vanish in any radius for \( q = 1/2 \), \( r_0 \) does not arise for this specific case. In cases of \( 0 < q < 1/2 \) and \( 1/2 < q < 1 \), \( \Omega_p \) increases with decreasing \( r \), attains a peak and then decreases to zero at \( r = r_0 \). With further decrement of \( r \) (i.e., for \( r_h < r < r_0 \)), \( \Omega_p \) increases and attains a large value very close to the horizon. A close observation reveals that the general features of dashed magenta and dot-dashed red curves are similar. For \( q = 0 \) and \( q = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \), the spin precession frequency vanishes at \( r_0 \rightarrow \sqrt{27} r_e \left( r_e + L \right) \) and \( r_0 \rightarrow \sqrt{27} r_e \left( r_e - L \right) \) respectively, which are greater than \( r_h \). This means that \( r_0 \) occurs outside the horizon for all values of \( q \) except \( q = 1/2 \).

The feature of all curves in Figure 2 is qualitatively similar to that of the curves in Figure 3 which are drawn for the Kerr BH with Kerr parameter \( a_* = 0.5 \) using Equation (51) of [7]. Figure 3 is drawn only for \( \theta = \pi/2 \) as the test gyroscope is considered to orbit in the equatorial plane. This is similar to the case considered here for the (2 + 1)D analogue BH spacetime. One can also look into Figure 3 of [7], where \( \Omega_p \) is shown for the different values of the Kerr parameter with the different angles. The distance of the test gyroscope from the centre of the BH is plotted along the x-axis in Figure 3 in the unit of length \( R_g^2 \), whereas the precession frequency of the test gyroscope is plotted along the y-axis in the unit of \( R_g^{-1} \).

### A. Lense-Thirring precession: \( \Omega = 0 \)

For \( \Omega = 0 \) [17], Equation (19) reduces to Equation (9) of Ref.[6], given by

\[ \Omega_{LT} = \frac{L r^2}{r^2 (r^2 - r_e^2)}, \quad (25) \]

which is called as the Lense-Thirring (LT) precession frequency (\( \Omega_{LT} \)) [12]. It arises due to only the presence of \( L \), i.e., only the rotation of the analogue BH is responsible for the non-zero \( \Omega_{LT} \). Therefore, one can see that the test spin precesses in the fluid with vortex due to the passing of gravity wave. Note that the LT precession frequency (Equation 25) is derived in the Copernican frame [12, 13]. In this frame, the spin axis is primarily fixed at asymptotic infinity and the precession is measured relative to this frame.

### B. Precession for the zero angular momentum observer: \( q = 1/2 \)

If the angular momentum of a ‘locally non-rotating observer’ is zero, it is called as a ‘zero angular momentum observer’ (ZAMO) which was first introduced by Bardeen [18, 19]. Bardeen et al.[20], in fact, showed that the ZAMO frame is a powerful tool in the analysis of physical processes near the astrophysical objects. In our formalism, the ZAMO corresponds to \( q = 1/2 \) for which the angular velocity \( \Omega \) reduces to

\[ \Omega_{ZAMO} = \frac{L}{r^2}. \quad (26) \]

It is interesting to see that the spin precession frequency remains finite, given by

\[ \Omega_p |_{\Omega = \Omega_{ZAMO}} \rightarrow \frac{L}{C^2} \quad (27) \]

\(^2 R_g = GM/c^2\) is called as the gravitational radius, where \( M \) is the mass of the BH, \( G \) is the Newton’s constant and \( c \) is the speed of light in vacuum.
on the horizon in case of the ZAMO, unlike the other values of \( q \), as seen from Figure 2 and Figure 3. Note that the angular velocity (\( \omega \)) of the fluid is \( \omega = L/r^2 \) (see Equation 4). Therefore, if a test gyroscope orbits with the same angular velocity of the fluid (i.e., \( \omega = \Omega_{ZAMO} \)), it could be able to cross the horizon with the finite precession frequency.

C. Geodetic/de-Sitter precession: \( \Omega = \Omega_K \)

It is well-known that the geodetic/de-Sitter precession arises due to the non-zero curvature of the spacetime. It does not depend on the rotation of the spacetime. Therefore, it can arise even in the (non-rotating) Schwarzschild spacetime \cite{17}. One can expect the analogue geodetic precession in case of the non-rotating analogue BH spacetime produced by the gravity wave. However, the general spin precession expression arisen due to only the presence of analogue non-zero curvature \cite{21} is derived in Equation (17). Now, using the non-rotating analogue BH metric (Equation 2 with \( w = C/r \)), one can deduce the spin precession frequency as

\[
\Omega_{nR} = \Omega \frac{r^2 - 2C^2}{r^2 - C^2 - \Omega^2 r^4},
\]

where \( \Omega \) is not necessarily to be a function of \( r \), rather it can take any finite value so that Equation (13) is satisfied \cite{7}. For moving along the circular geodesic, \( \Omega \) must be the so-called (analogue) Keplerian frequency, i.e., \( \Omega_K = C/r^2 \) which is derived using Equation (18). Substituting \( \Omega = \Omega_K \) in Equation (28), one obtains

\[
\Omega_{nR}|_{\Omega=\Omega_K} = \frac{C}{r^2}.
\]

(29)

As we discussed before that Equation (29) is derived in the Copernican frame. That means, this expression is computed with respect to the proper time \( \tau \) which is related to the coordinate time \( t \) via \( d\tau = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2C^2}{r^2}} \ dt \). Finally, the precession frequency in the coordinate basis can be expressed as

\[
\Omega_{geo} = \Omega_K - \frac{C}{r^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2C^2}{r^2}} = \frac{C}{r^2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2C^2}{r^2}} \right],
\]

(30)

which looks qualitatively similar to the expression for the original geodetic precession mentioned in Equation (14.18) of \cite{17}. Equation (30) is considered to the geodetic precession frequency (\( \Omega_{geo} \)) in the gravity wave analogue BH, where the parameter \( C \) plays the role of the BH mass.

If one calculates the radial epicyclic frequency (\( \Omega_r \)) for the non-rotating analogue BH metric (Equation 2), one obtains

\[
\Omega_r^2 = \frac{4C^4}{r^6}.
\]

(31)

We know that the square of the radial epicyclic frequency (\( \Omega_r^2 \)) vanishes at the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO), and becomes negative for the smaller radius which leads the radial instabilities for those smaller radius orbits. Equation (31) reveals that the stable circular orbits exist everywhere in this analogue BH spacetime for any value of \( r \geq r_h = C \). This leads us to conclude that the analogue geodetic precession continues for the orbits: \( r \geq \sqrt{2}C \). No geodetic precession could be seen for \( C < r < \sqrt{2}C \), as Equation (30) becomes imaginary. The similar situation does not arise in case of the Schwarzschild BH, because the ISCO occurs at \( r = 6R_g \) whereas the geodetic precession becomes imaginary for the orbits \( r < 3R_g \). Therefore, the geodetic precession is meaningless for the orbits \( 2R_g < r < 6R_g \). The above discussion also reveals, although the respective natures of the radial instabilities of the analogue BH and the real BH do not exactly resemble each other, the natures of the geodetic precession for both of the BHs match well.

V. OBSERVATIONAL PROSPECTS

The surface gravity wave is a region of increased and decreased depth that moves relative to the fluid. The large amplitude wave is that which produces a change in depth large compare to mean depth as it goes by. If
the change in depth is small compared to both mean depth and wavelength, it is called as a small amplitude wave [22].

We have already shown mathematically that the test spin / gyroscope precesses in the analogue BH spacetime due to the geodetic and LT effects. These effects could be measured using the gravity wave analogue BH produced in a shallow basin at the laboratory. Here we consider two experimental shallow basin set-ups: (i) almost vortex-free and (ii) with vortex. Set-up (i) mimics the non-rotating analogue BH , by which we can measure the analogue geodetic precession. On the other hand, we will be able to measure the LT precession as well as the total spin precession frequency with the arrangement of set-up (ii) which mimics a rotating analogue BH . It is important to note here that although we have derived the various spin precession frequencies in the (2 + 1)D spacetime for simplicity, it can be converted to the (3 + 1)D spacetime, as mentioned at the end of Section II.

FIG. 4: Schematic diagram of the arrangement in the laboratory to measure the spin precession frequency arisen in the analogue BH spacetime. In this arrangement, the buoyant (red coloured) particles are attached with a thread and attached it to the bottom of the fluid with a short length of miniature chain which is anchored at the point A in the basement of the shallow basin. The chain is flexible, so that it can move in any direction freely to measure the spin precession. The whole system is used as a test gyroscope. The thread acts as the axis of the gyroscope.

In this regard, one can define the spin axis along the z-axis which corresponds to the thread as shown in Figure 4. The modulus of the spin precession frequency is already derived in Section IV and its direction is given along the z-axis (i.e. the thread). Thus, this whole arrangement can act as a test gyroscope to measure the spin precession frequency which arises due to the non-zero curvature and/or the non-zero rotation of the analogue BH. These effects were predicted long ago, but have not been measured yet in the strong gravity regime due to the lack of present technological expertise. However, using our proposed arrangement it might be possible to measure the strong gravity spin precession effect in the laboratory.

In Figure 4, the fluid particles are displaced due to the passing of gravity wave. We can detect/measure the spin precession effects by the motion of the fluid particles (see [23]). One way to see the motion of fluid particles is to introduce the neutrally buoyant solid particles into the fluid as shown in Figure 4 and earlier [23]. Mean location of the solid particles will be stabilized by using the slightly buoyant particles [22], i.e., the specific gravity (ρ_p) of the particles should be slightly less than the specific gravity (ρ_f) of the fluid: ρ_p < ρ_f. One can express it mathematically as

\[ ρ_p = ρ_f - ε \]  

(32)

where \( ε \to 0^+ \). Fulfilling this condition is not only necessary for stabilizing the location of the particles, but also important for the spacetime point of view. Specifically, the original spin formalism [7] was developed considering a test spinning particle with the assumption that it does not distort the background BH spacetime. This assumption still holds in case of the gravity wave analogue BH model considered in this paper, if Equation
(32) is satisfied. However, the buoyant particles are also stabilized attaching a thread to them which extends to the bottom where a short length of miniature chain is attached. There are generally two types of fluid waves could be seen [22]. One is the deep fluid waves ($\lambda \lesssim h$). In this case, the particles move in circular (approximately) paths and the diameter of the circles decreases with depth [22]. The particles near the bottom hardly move at all [22]. The second one is the shallow fluid waves ($\lambda > h$) for which the horizontal amplitude of the particles motion is nearly same at all depths [22]. As we have mentioned before, we consider the long gravity waves or the shallow fluid waves (see Figure 1) in this paper.

Let us now consider our new test gyroscope (as shown in Figure 4) submerged in a fluid with vortex, and rotating around the vortex with the orbital frequency, such that $0 < q < 1$. One should be able to regulate the orbital frequency of the test gyroscope by $q$. This helps one to measure the spin precession frequency for an accelerating test gyroscope as well. However, when the gravity waves pass by, the test gyroscope starts to precess. The precession could be measured by observing the precession of the thread. The nature of the plots of Figure 2 could be visualized by shrinking the orbit of the test gyroscope. As the chain is flexible, the test gyroscope can orbit the vortex freely in any direction to measure the spin precession. Note that the uppermost portion of the thread, or, the uppermost buoyant particle attached to the thread could be ideal to measure the spin precession frequency, as it seems to be easier to visualize the effect of spin precession using that part of the test gyroscope.

If one wants to measure the spin precession frequency arisen due to only the LT effect, the test gyroscope has to be held/fixed ($\Omega = 0$) at a particular point. To achieve this, the point B of Figure 4 is anchored at the basement of the fluid container as done in point A. It helps to precess the thread (i.e., the spin axis) freely without disturbing the position of the whole test gyroscope.

In case of a rotating analogue BH, one could consider the vortex as $r \to 0$, i.e., the analogue of a BH singularity. In case of the non-rotating analogue BH, one could not be able to define such a singularity. For example, the location of the acoustic horizon is defined at the narrowest point of a naval nozzle (see Figure 1.2 of [11]), as the fluid flow goes supersonic at that point. After coming out from that point, the fluid flow again becomes subsonic. Therefore, it is almost impossible to define such an analogous singularity in a non-rotating analogue BH. The similar situation arises in case of the non-rotating analogue BH produced by the gravity waves. In such a case, it is not easy to measure the analogue geodetic/de-Sitter precession directly by producing a completely non-rotating analogue BH. Moreover, the test gyroscope must orbit the analogue BH with the analogue Kepler frequency ($\Omega_K$) to measure the geodetic precession. Considering all these points in mind, let us use the same set-up which we use for the rotating analogue BH (i.e., the fluid flow with vortex) with the condition $L << C$, so that the effect of $L$ can be neglected. In this approximate system (i.e., the almost vortex-free set-up that we have already mentioned in the beginning of this section), the test gyroscope (Figure 4) can be able to orbit the vortex geometry with the orbital frequency $\Omega \to \Omega_K$, as we have mentioned in Section IV C. Thus, the measured spin precession frequency of the test gyroscope in this experimental set-up could be considered as the analogue geodetic/de-Sitter precession frequency.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

We have derived the exact spin precession frequency of an accelerated test spin/gyroscope in the analogue (non-)rotating BH spacetime. As a special case, one could be able to measure the spin precession frequency for a non-accelerated test gyroscope (taking $\Omega = \Omega_K$) that moves along the so-called geodesic. Controlling the value of $\Omega$, one can measure the various spin precession frequencies which arise due to the LT effect, de-Sitter effect and also due to the non-zero angular velocity [21] of the test spin. Remarkably, we are able to construct a proper test gyroscope in a very simple way, by which it could be possible to measure these various spin precession frequencies in the laboratory by using a handy experimental set-up. We have also shown that the spin precession frequency curves follow the same trend qualitatively, as it follows in the vicinity of the real Kerr BH. Therefore, measuring the spin precession effect in the laboratory by the gravity wave analogue BHs accurately reflects the strong gravity effect of spin precession in the Schwarzschild as well as Kerr BHs.

The advantage of the gravity wave analogue BH is that it is possible to simulate the BH easily in the laboratory. The main advantage is the possibility of tuning the velocity of the gravity wave propagation independently and measuring its amplitude directly with a very high accuracy by controlling only the height of the background fluid. The same is not so easy in case of the sound waves for the draining bathtub spacetime. To mimic the original spin precession effect of the strong gravity regime properly in our laboratory, we should be able to control the orbital frequency (using the parameter $q$) of the test gyroscope. In case of the draining
bathtub spacetime [8], it is extremely difficult to define and/or construct a proper test gyroscope [8] to measure the spin precession effect in the laboratory. In contrast, we are able to construct a simple test gyroscope by the buoyant particles and define a proper axis to measure the spin precession. Note that the fluid acceleration can also occur if the height $h$ changes [22]. This also instigates the test gyroscope to accelerate automatically. Although we do not consider such a case in this paper, our general spin precession formalism can be valid for that case with the help of $q$.

It would be useful to note here that our whole formulation is developed based on the inviscid fluid [2]. The non-zero viscosity of the fluid leads to the Lorentz violation [24], and in that case the analogue BH metric may not be extractable in a closed form [6]. Although Schützhold and Unruh suggested some practical remedies (see Sec. VI of [2]) to overcome this problem, it is still there. In spite of the problem related to the viscosity, the first laboratory detection of the ‘superradiance’ phenomenon was possible by using the water [25]. However, no such experiments have been performed yet in the laboratory for the detection of strong gravity effect of the spin precession.

Note that the spin precession effects could also be analysed using the other analogue models of BHs based on the boson gas and/or neural networks [26–29]. For instance, if one constructs an acoustic analogue BH (i.e., a draining bathtub acoustic spacetime) with a Bose-Einstein condensed (BEC) system, the strong gravity effect of the spin precession could be measured by using the BEC gyroscope (see Figure 1 of [30]).
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