Entanglement Witness for the Weak Equivalence Principle
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The Einstein equivalence principle is based on the equality of gravitational mass and inertial mass, which has led to the universality of a free-fall concept. The principle has been extremely well tested so far and has been tested with a great precision. However, all these tests and the corresponding arguments are based on a classical setup where the notion of position and velocity of the mass is associated with a classical value as opposed to the quantum entities. Here, we will provide a simple protocol based on creating Schrödinger Cat states in a laboratory to test the fully quantum regime of the equivalence principle where both matter and gravity are treated at par as a quantum entity. We will argue that such a quantum protocol is unique with regard to testing especially the weak equivalence principle via witnessing quantum entanglement.

In an inhomogeneous or in a time-dependent gravitational field, inertial and gravitational forces need not cancel each other. Nevertheless, in a small region of spacetime where the gravitational field changes very little over the region, it is expected that both forces will cancel each other. The equivalence principle states that at every spacetime point in an arbitrary gravitational field, it is possible to choose a locally inertial coordinate system, such that within such a sufficiently small region of the point in question, the laws of nature take the same form as in an unaccelerated coordinate system in the absence of gravitation, see [1, 2]. In fact, the laws of nature can be considered as the laws of special relativity. The above statement is sometimes known as the strong equivalence principle; if we replace laws of nature by laws of motion it is known as the weak equivalence principle.

The weak equivalence principle has been put onto litmus test from the days of Galileo, and recent space-based experiment such as MICROSCOPE has tested the free-fall within one part in $10^{15}$ [3], and future experiments will further strengthen this constraint. However, classical physics is really at the heart of weak equivalence principle, where we can classically construct a coordinate system at any spacetime point and measure the particle motion and position as accurately as possible.

While some researchers question the validity of equivalence principle when quantum (in superposition) source masses are involved [4], while some have pointed out to its consistency and generalized it [5–13]. There is no test yet for quantum sources. A quantum probe (also in a superposition) for a quantum source (in a superposition) is also necessary, as the situation with one superposition can always be recast as a Collela-Overhauser-Werner (COW) experiment with a quantum probe in a classical field [14]. So to truly test the validity of the weak equivalence principle in the quantum setting we will require to carry it out within the setting of a bonafide test for quantum nature of gravity [15, 16].

There are many avenues for quantum gravity [17]. However, we will work at low energies and will trust perturbative canonical quantum gravity in an asymptotic Minkowski background [18, 19].

The aim of this paper will be to test the equivalence between gravitational and an inertial mass in a bonafide quantum experimental protocol which will solely rely on quantum properties such as (1) spatial quantum superposition of masses, (2) quantum entanglement between the two quantum systems, and (3) quantum nature of graviton as a mediator. Indeed, our quantum protocol differs from any previous test of the weak equivalence principle in a critical way. Typically, accelerations of different particles in the Earth’s gravitational field are compared in these experiments [9], and in atom interferometry [20], where the source of the gravitational field remains classical. Here we are instead proposing a test of weak equivalence principle using two free-falling particles which interact gravitationally in an ostensibly quantum regime – each particle is placed in a quantum superposition and thus acts as quantum source as well as a quantum probe for the respective quantum nature of gravitational field, albeit in a weak regime. The idea will be to create a spatial quantum superposition of masses which would influence each other via quantum nature of graviton.

Recently, there has been a proposal to test quantum nature for gravity in a laboratory [15, 16, 21, 25]. The protocol is known as QGEM (quantum gravity induced entanglement of masses), which relies on a bonafide quantum experiment that cannot be replicated in a classical world. One of the key ingredients is the creation of a spatial quantum superposition of two neutral masses, i.e. creating Schrödinger cat states in a laboratory as shown in Fig. (1). We further assume that the gravitational interaction between the two superpositions dominate other interactions such as electromagnetic interactions. Although extremely challenging, it is possible to realise such an experiment in near future, see for details [15].

The gravitational interaction which mediates the gravitational force between the two quantum superposed masses will generate entanglement between the two systems, as shown in Fig. 1 provided that gravity is inherently quantum in nature. Generation of quantum entanglement, or quantum correlations, between any two
quantum states has no classical analogue \[15, 21, 22, 24, 25\]. In \[15\] we have shown how the two quantum systems are entangled due to the exchange of a quantum nature of a graviton in a perturbative quantum gravity. The graviton contains both the spin-2 and the spin-0 components, which are the true dynamical off-shell degrees of freedom of a massless graviton in four-dimensions \[21, 25\].

The result is in concurrent to the properties of Local Operation and Classical Communication (LOCC) principle \[24\]. The LOCC principle states that the two quantum systems cannot be entangled via a classical channel if they were not entangled to begin with, or entanglement cannot be increased by local operations and classical communication. Therefore, by witnessing the entanglement between the two masses, and by detecting any quantum correlation between the spins which are embedded in the two test masses, we can ascertain whether the exchange of a graviton is a classical or a quantum entity \[15, 16\]. In this paper we will show that if the graviton is quantum in nature, it will further constrain the quantum weak equivalence principle within the same protocol. Furthermore, we will exploit the concept of relative entanglement entropy varying with time, sometime known as the entanglement tomography \[26\] to show that it is possible constrain the quantum weak equivalence principle for different values of gravitational and inertial masses.

Let us consider the masses to be placed in a spatial superposition of size \(\Delta x\) as shown in Fig.(1). For this configuration of masses, the joint quantum state of the spins \(\ket{\Psi(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(\ket{\uparrow, \uparrow} + \ket{\downarrow, \downarrow} + \ket{\uparrow, \downarrow} + \ket{\downarrow, \uparrow})\) will evolve according to

\[
\ket{\Psi(t)} = \frac{1}{2} e^{i\phi} \left( \ket{\uparrow, \uparrow} + e^{i\Delta\phi_{\text{ent}}} \ket{\uparrow, \downarrow} + \ket{\downarrow, \downarrow} + e^{i\Delta\phi_{\text{ent}}} \ket{\downarrow, \uparrow} \right),
\]

where the phase \(\phi\) is a global phase determined by the gravitational interaction between the \(\ket{\uparrow, \downarrow}\) and \(\ket{\downarrow, \uparrow}\) states. The entanglement phase is read by \(\ket{\uparrow, \downarrow}\) state, and given by

\[
\Delta\phi_{\text{ent}} \sim \frac{2Gm_g^2t\Delta x^2}{\hbar d^3} + \cdots,
\]

where \(\cdots\) contain momentum dependent terms \[1\]. \(m_g\) is the gravitational mass, \(t\) is the evolution time, \(d\) is the distance between two test masses, each of which is placed in a spatial superposition. Newton’s constant is given by \(G\) and \(\hbar\) is the Planck’s constant. Since, the two masses are influencing each other gravitationally, naturally what appears here is the gravitational mass in the entanglement phase.

However, the inertial mass will also enter in the entanglement phase due to the size of the spatial superposition \(\Delta x\). The spatial superposition is created

\[\text{FIG. 1}. \quad \text{Configuration where the two neutral and massive spatial superpositions are in a free-fall with the splitting } \Delta x \text{ are kept separated by a distance } d. \quad \text{The two spin states (up and down) are embedded in the nano-crystals. The splitting between the two massive spin states are created by an external inhomogeneous magnetic field, similar to the Stern-Gerlach protocol. After the one-loop interference is completed the spin correlations are computed to witness the entanglement between the two systems induced by the mutual quantum natured gravitational interaction.}

\[\text{FIG. 2}. \quad \text{Plot of relative entanglement entropy } \eta_s(t) = [(S - S_\xi(t))/(S + S_\xi(t))] \text{ with respect to the time evolution } t. \quad S_\xi(t) \text{ is the entanglement entropy with WEP violation given by } \xi = m_g/m_i - 1, \text{ and } S = S_0(t_{\text{ref}}) \text{ is the entanglement entropy without WEP violation computed at the reference time } t_{\text{ref}} = 0.5\text{s. The inner embedded plot shows again the relative entropy with respect to time. Different colours exhibit values of WEP violation } \xi.\]

\[\text{Spin Correlation Measurement} \quad \text{Spin Entanglement Witness for Quantum Gravity} \]
by the electromagnetic interaction, namely via Stern-Gerlach protocol which involves inhomogeneous magnetic field. The spin embedded in the neutral masses experience the inhomogeneous magnetic field which leads to split the $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ states. For the purpose of illustration, we will assume that the size of the superpositions is typically given by the force induced by the electromagnetic interactions:

$$\Delta x \sim \frac{f}{m_i} \tau_a^2, \quad (3)$$

where $f$ is the force used to prepare/recombine the superpositions in a time $\tau_a$. Combining Eqs. (2) and (3) we then find

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{ent}} \sim 2Gf^2r^4_{a} \left( \frac{m_g}{m_i} \right)^2 + \cdots \quad (4)$$

Again, $\cdots$ contain momentum dependent terms. From Eq. (4) we see that the entanglement phase depends on the fundamental constants ($G$ and $h$), on parameters that can be controlled by the experimentalists ($t$, $\tau_a$, $d$ and $f$), and finally on the ratio $m_g/m_i$. The deviation from the weak equivalence can be quantified by writing

$$\frac{m_g}{m_i} \equiv 1 + \xi, \quad (5)$$

where $\xi$ is a dimensionless parameter that can be extracted experimentally. If the weak equivalence principle continues to hold in this new regime, then we find $m_g = m_i$ and the entanglement phase will not depend on either on the inertial or the gravitational mass of the systems, i.e., $\Delta \phi_{\text{ent}} = 2Gf^2r^4_{a}/(hd^3)$.

To quantify how the degree of entanglement changes with the WEP violation parameter $\xi$ we can combine Eqs. (4) and (5) and compute the entanglement entropy $S_\xi(t)$. Assuming that the entanglement phase $\Delta \phi_{\text{ent}}$ is small, we find a simple expression

$$S_\xi(t) = \frac{f^4G^2r^4_{a}t^2}{2G^2h^2} (1 + \xi)^4, \quad (6)$$

By comparing Eqs. (1) and (6) we see that the entanglement entropy is simply the square of the entanglement phase, i.e., $S_\xi(t) \sim \Delta \phi_{\text{ent}}^2/\sqrt{8}$. We can further define the relative entanglement entropy, similar to the Eötvös parameter,

$$\eta(t) \equiv \frac{S - S_\xi(t)}{S + S_\xi(t)}, \quad (7)$$

where $S \equiv S_0(t_{\text{ref}})$ corresponds to the entanglement entropy without any WEP violation computed at a reference time $t_{\text{ref}}$. Combining Eqs. (6) and (7) we then find

$$\eta(t) = \frac{t^2_{\text{ref}} - (1 + \xi)^4t^2}{t^2_{\text{ref}} + (1 + \xi)^4t^2}, \quad (8)$$

where all the fundamental constants and experimental parameters on the right-hand side have cancelled, apart from the two times ($t$ and $t_{\text{ref}}$) and the WEP parameter ($\xi$). To measure precisely the WEP violation $\xi$ one requires only measurements of the entanglement entropy $S_\xi$ at the times $t$ and $t_{\text{ref}}$ – one first obtains the value of $\eta(t)$ from Eq. (7) and then combining it with Eq. (8) extracts the value of the WEP violation $\xi$.

Fig. 2 shows the time dependent evolution of the relative entanglement entropy for different values of $\xi$. We can see that at smaller times such as $O(10^{-15})$ we can probe quantum weak equivalence principle one part in $10^{15}$, comparable to the classical weak equivalence principle measurements. Indeed measuring entanglement entropy for such small times scales is challenging, but the recent advancements of keeping track of the frequency ratio measurements at 18-digit accuracy may be the way to track the time evolution of the relative entanglement entropy.

Further note that the time intervals can be controlled with a great precision given the historical achievement of pico-second ($10^{-12}$ s) pulse rise/fall timings with microwave lasers [28] with femto-second also achieved more recently, see [29] which can, in principle, be used to control an interferometer.

An important point to note that $\eta(t)$ does not depend on other experimental parameters, and it seems we will be able to detect quantum weak equivalence principle for any massive superpositions. However, this is not entirely correct. To witness gravitational induced entanglement we would need the graviton-induced entanglement to be dominant over other known Standard Model interactions such as electromagnetic induced interactions. This will require massive superposition of order $m_A \sim m_B \sim O(10^{-15} - 10^{-14})$kg, $\Delta x \sim 10 - 100$ μm, and $d \sim 400$ μm, as suggested in the original QGEM paper [14] and in [30]. These parameters will generate appreciable graviton induced entanglement phase to be order one, and will dominate over the photon induced Casimir-Polder potential [30] for neutral masses.

To summarise, we have provided the first ever protocol to probe the quantum weak equivalence principle, where both gravity and matter are treated at par with quantum mechanics. The graviton-induced entanglement is also sensitive to the tests of weak equivalence principle which
affects directly the entanglement entropy. Here, we introduced a new parameter, $\eta_s$, similar to Eötvös parameter, but in a quantum domain. In fact, we can reach the precision similar to the classical weak equivalence test, except now it is completely in a quantum domain. Indeed, there are many challenges from creating superpositions to preparing and cooling the ground state of the nano-crystal. There will be constraints on the decoherence, see [37], see [15, 30, 38]. Gravity gradient and relative acceleration noise would be constraints on the decoherence, see [37].

There are future avenues to probe quantum nature of weak equivalence principle. Typically, any theory where the constants of nature are replaced by dynamical entities, they will tend to violate the equivalence principle, see [2]. Therefore, Brans-Dicke theory of gravity, and string theory would violate the weak equivalence principle. This is due to the fact that the Newton’s constant depends on the running dilaton, means in the gravitational sector there are new dynamical off-shell degrees of freedom. So will be the case in the context of higher derivative theories of gravity, and non-local theories of gravity. It will be interesting to study in future how entanglement can probe modifications of WEP in these theories.

Acknowledgements: SB would like to acknowledge EPSRC grants No. EP/N031105/1 and EP/S000267/1. AM’s research is funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Science and Research (NWO) grant number 680-91-119. MT acknowledges funding by the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2020-197). M.S. is supported by the Fundamentals of the Universe research programme within the University of Groningen.

[23] Review: M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki,