Doubtless the nature of the Dark Matter (DM) is one of the most challenging open questions for science [2]. The Λ Cold Dark Matter (LCDM) model has been able to explain all the cosmological observations we have so far, maybe with the exception of the so-called $H_0$ tension [3]. Nevertheless, at galactic scales, this model has several strong challenges to explain, as some of the observed features of the galaxies are not sufficiently well explained by the model or the needed physics complicates too much the model [4, 5]. This is why people look for alternatives that can solve these problems in a more convincing way. One of the alternatives that do so is the Scalar Field Dark Matter (SFDM) model, which explains the universe at cosmological scales with the same accuracy as the LCDM model [6, 7], but is able to explain the galaxies with much simpler physics in a natural way [8]. The model has been rediscovered several times as Fuzzy [9], Wave [10, 11], BEC [12] DM, and more recently as Ultra-light DM [13]. The SFDM model has still a long history, there are some isolated works in the 90’s of the past century [14, 15], but the systematic study of this model began at the end of the 90’s with the study of galaxies. In [16, 17] it was found that the SFDM model explains the rotation curves in galaxies, while in [6, 7] it was shown that the model explains the cosmological observations and contains a natural cut-of of the mass power spectrum that allows adjusting the number of satellite galaxies around the host. In [18] numerical simulations for the collapse of the SFDM were performed and in [19] it was shown that the density profile of the SFDM is core. In [20, 21] SFDM with multi-states, finite temperature and symmetry breaking were studied. All of these results were recently corroborated several times with numerical simulations by various groups [11, 22–26].

One of the most interesting results of this model is that it is capable of explaining the anisotropic distribution of satellite galaxies around their host galaxies, observed in the Milky Way, Andromeda, and Cen A systems. This is possible if the excited states of the scalar fields are taken into account [1]. SFDM satisfies the Schrödinger-Poisson equations, where systems can be in the ground state, or excited states, or both, like atoms. The first non-spherically symmetric excited state is 8-shaped, as in atoms, where spherical harmonic functions with atomic number $l \neq 0$ lost their spherically symmetric form. In the case of SFDM it is very similar, the shape 8 of the halo density hijacks the trajectories of the satellite galaxies to align with the polar orbits, breaking the isotropic structure of the satellites around the galaxies, see fig.1. All DM models that exist so far predict that the distribution of satellite galaxies around large galaxies should be homogeneous, with the exception of SFDM, which naturally predicts the correct trajectories of the satellite galaxies. This has been one of the great predictions of the SFDM model so far.

In this work, we use precisely this form of the excited states of the galaxy halo to explain a new observation that has so far been unexplained, the Fermi Bubbles (FB) [27]. The idea is the following. We consider that the internal symmetry of the SFDM is the group $U(1)$. The corresponding Lagrangian then contains a new charge $q$, which we assume must be dark. Because of this the SFDM can interact with the electromagnetic field of the normal standard model, but not (directly) with other particles. In this case, in the present work, we show that there must be photon emissions, caused by the interaction of SFDM with photons coming from starlight or the CMB, that have enough energy to be seen as X-rays, gamma rays, or even more energetic radiation. This is
the energy of the hydrogen atom, with quantum numbers reduce to the Schrödinger-Poisson system. Numeri-
equation. Therefore the field equations we are dealing
relativistic limit is the Schrödinger equation, while the
field, the electromagnetic fields are small and their con-
duction to the gravity of the system is negligible. The
field, the scalar field is the dark matter of the
Φ or

where \( B_\mu \) is the dark gauge field of the SFDM, with funda-
mental charge \( q \) and Faraday tensor \( B_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} - \nu_{\mu\nu} \),
whereas \( B'_{\mu\nu} = B'_{\mu\nu} - B'_{\nu\mu} \) is the would-be electromag-
netic gauge field of the standard model and \( \delta \) a kinetic
coupling constant between these two fields. By construc-
tion, there is not ad hoc coupling between the scalar field
Φ or \( B_\mu \), and the rest of the field components of the stan-
dard model. The scalar field is the dark matter of the
iverse and has the dominant part of the gravitational
field, the electromagnetic fields are small and their con-
tribution to the gravity of the system is negligible. The
scalar field fulfills the Klein-Gordon equation, which non-
relativistic limit is the Schrödinger equation, while the
Einstein equations in this limit reduce to the Poisson
equation. Therefore the field equations we are dealing
with reduce to the Schrödinger-Poisson system. Numeri-
evations of this system have been performed in [28]
and the result is that the density profile of the scalar
field resembles that of the Legendre function \( P_l^m(\cos(\theta))
\) of the hydrogen atom, with quantum numbers \( l \) and \( m \),
which implies that the SFDM profile looks like the one
in fig. 1. This reminds us very well of the shape of the
FB in the galaxy. Furthermore, the central density \( \rho_c \), and
the energy \( E_\Phi \) of the system are (see also [13], appendix
B)

\[
\rho_c = \left( \frac{Gm^2}{\hbar^2} \right)^3 \epsilon_n \quad (2)
\]

\[
E_\Phi = \left( \frac{GMM}{\hbar} \right)^2 \epsilon_n \quad (3)
\]

\[
r_{1/2} = \frac{r}{GM^2f_n} \quad (4)
\]

where \( r_{1/2} \) is the radius containing half of the whole
scalar field of the system in the corresponding state \( n \),
and \( \rho_n \), and \( \epsilon_n \) are the dimensionless central density and
energy eigenvalue that represent the excited state \( n \), re-
spectively. In fig. 1 we show the mass density projections
of a SFDM halo consisting of a ground state and an ex-
cited state. If, for example, we consider a galaxy like the
Milky Way, let say, with \( M = 1.5 \times 10^{12}M_\odot \), and an effec-
tive scalar field dark mass \( m = 0.5 \times 10^{-24}\text{eV} \), as found
convenient to explain the trajectories of satellite galax-
ies in this galaxy, the resulting central density is \( \rho_c = 5.4 \times 10^8M_\odot/\text{kpc}^3 = 3.7 \times 10^{-23}\text{gr/cm}^3 \) for the
ground state, and \( \rho_c = 2.2 \times 10^7M_\odot/\text{kpc}^3 = 1.5 \times 10^{-24}\text{gr/cm}^3 \)
for the first excited state of the system. While the dark
matter particles energy of the system obtained for the
ground state is \( E_\Phi = 2.8 \times 10^{18}\text{GeV} \) and for the excited
state the numerical simulation finds \( E_\Phi = 5.4 \times 10^{18}\text{GeV} \),
contained in a radius \( r_{1/2} = 9\text{kpc} \) for the ground state
and \( r_{1/2} = 53\text{kpc} \) for the excited state.

Because of the kinetic mixing among dark and visible
gauge fields [in Eq. (1)], dark matter quanta do actually
interact weakly with the last and can therefore pass
energy via scattering processes to the photons that sur-
round the galaxy, essentially starlight photons and those
from the Extragalactic Background Light (EBL) and the
CMB. Such a coupling arises when one puts the gauge
kinetic terms in canonical form through the linear trans-
formation [29]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
B_\mu \\
B'_\mu
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 - S_\delta \\
0 & C_\delta
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A'_\mu \\
A_\mu
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(5)

where \( C_\delta = 1/\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} \), \( S_\delta = \delta/\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} \) and \( A_\mu \) is the
visible photon. The so defined dark photon, \( A'_\mu \), would
remain uncoupled to Standard Model (SM) fields. The
visible photon, though, gets coupled to the dark matter
field, since the transformed covariant derivative becomes
\( D_\mu \Phi = (\nabla_\mu + iqA'_\mu + igA_\mu)\Phi \), where we identified the
dark matter electric (milli-)charge as \( q = -q\delta/\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} \).
Existence of such a coupling is all we need in what follows
and we assume so. Observable bounds from white dwarfs
and red giants exist for \( g \) on about \( 10^{-12} \rightarrow 10^{-13} \) for dark
masses down to the eV range [30]. We will assume the
softer one here, although the phenomenology can still be
accommodated for a somewhat smaller charge.

Since background photons are vastly sub-energetic
compared to dark matter particles, the former would
suffer inverse Compton (IC) scattering when passing
through the galactic \( \Phi \) configurations, gaining enough
energy to become gamma rays. Due to the almost neg-
ligible mass of \( \Phi \), the cross section of this process goes
as \( \sigma \approx h^2g^4/(4\pi s) \), where the center of mass energy pa-
rameter is given as \( s \approx 2E_\Phi E_{\gamma\gamma}c^2 \), and therefore

\[
\sigma \approx \left( \frac{g}{10^{-12}} \right)^4 \left( \frac{10^3\text{GeV}}{E_\Phi E_{\gamma\gamma}} \right) \cdot 1.55 \times 10^{-77}\text{cm}^2.
\]  

(6)

The radiated energy per unit time per DM particle be-
comes

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{4}{3} \sigma c\gamma^2\beta U_\gamma
\]  

(7)

where \( \gamma \) is the Lorentz factor of the DM with a velocity
\( \beta \) and \( U_\gamma \) is the (isotropic) photon background energy
density. For CMB \( U_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{CMB}} = 0.26\text{eV/cm}^3 \). Therefore, the
total number of scatterings per unit time per DM particle
would be

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = c\sigma N_\gamma
\]  

(8)

\[
\approx \left( \frac{5}{1.55 \times 10^{-7}\text{cm}^2} \right) \left( \frac{N_\gamma}{411\text{cm}^{-3}} \right) \cdot 1.91 \times 10^{-64}\text{s}^{-1},
\]  

(9)

where we have used CMB background photon number
density, \( N_\gamma^{\text{CMB}} \approx 411\text{cm}^{-3} \) as a reference.
Although this last numbers seem to be extremely small, the huge volume occupied by the excited state field configuration can overcome it. Considering that the total number of photons of any energy per unit time that would be observed in a satellite type detector with an angular aperture \(d\Omega\) would be about
\[
\frac{dN_T}{dt} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{dN}{dt} \eta_{DM} V_{d\Omega}
\]
with \(\eta_{DM}\) the average DM particle density within the lobe, and \(V_{d\Omega}\) the contained volume of the lobe along the direction of observation, of depth \(R\). The factor \(1/4\pi\) follows from the assumption that the emission is isotropic. Since the Earth is located about 8 kpc from galactic center, whereas the dark field density forms a quasi spherical lobe of about \(R \sim 50\) kpc across, when looking towards the center of the lobe, with an aperture of one degree squared, one gets \(V_{d\Omega} \approx \frac{1}{3} d\Omega R^3 \approx 3.3 \times 10^{65}\) cm\(^3\), which renders with previous numbers
\[
\frac{dN_T}{dt} \approx 5 s^{-1} \cdot (\eta_{DM} \cdot \text{cm}^3).
\]
This represents its maximum expected value. The number of gamma events should reduce as we move our observations away from the lobe center, depleting to zero as we reach the border of the lobe.

The interaction between the dark matter \(\Phi\) and the normal photon is through the IC scattering, where the dark matter has an enormous energy and gives part of it to the normal photon. If \(m_\Phi \ll E_{\gamma i}\), which is the case because \(m_\Phi \sim 10^{-24}\) eV is very small compared with the energy of the surround photons, the IC scattering final energy during this process is given by
\[
E_{\gamma f} = \frac{m_\Phi c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \left(1 + \frac{v}{c} \cos(\theta)\right) \quad (12)
\]
where \(\theta\) is the collision angle as observed in the local frame where the dark matter particle is at rest. Observe that if \(m_\Phi\) is so small, the huge energy of the dark matter particles come from their velocity \(v \sim c\), such that the quotient \(v/c \sim 1\), \(i.e.,\) the Lorentz factor \(\gamma \gg 1\). The final energy then runs as \(0 < E_{\gamma f} < E_\Phi\). If this result is right, we have to observe the emitted light in all frequencies until the so high energies like \(E_\Phi\). This emitted light can be seen in the FB and in the next generation of high energy light detectors.

The second conclusion is that, if this hypothesis is correct, we have to see FB in several galaxies, especially in large ones. The number of events we should see depends on the angle at which the collisions occur, so we only see some events at these energies, the rest are either because the angles of the collisions do not produce visible energies or because the energies are larger than our detectors can see for now.

The differential gamma flux that should be observed from the bubble can be calculated in a similar fashion as the flux produced from IC with electrons, and goes as \(31\)
\[
\frac{dN_{\gamma \text{tot}}}{dtdE} = \int \int N_E(\gamma) d\gamma \left(\frac{dN_{\gamma,E}}{dtdE}\right)
\]
where \(dN_E = N_E(\gamma) d\gamma\) is the differential number of scatter particles and \(dN_{\gamma,E}/dtdE\) is the scattered photon spectrum per scatter. Adapting the corresponding expression for the last to the SFDM case, we proceed by approximating the unknown dark matter distribution in terms of the Lorentz factor \(\gamma\) as a power low distribution \(N_E(\gamma) = K \gamma^{-p}\) for some region between a minimum and maximum particle velocity \(\gamma_0 < \gamma < \gamma_m\), and 0 otherwise. We also take \(n(E)\) to follow a standard black-body distribution. With this, equation (14) can be integrated to give \(31\)
\[
\frac{dN_{\gamma \text{tot}}}{dtdE} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r_0^4}{h^3 c^2} K(kT)^{(p+5)/2} F(p) E^{-(p+1)/2}
\]
FIG. 1: Three-dimensional density plot of the mass density \(|\Phi|^2 = |\Phi_{100}|^2 + |\Phi_{210}|^2\) of the SFDM halo in the multi-state \((\Phi_{100}, \Phi_{210})\) configuration. In the left panel the total mass density is plotted. In the middle (right) panel, the individual contribution to the mass density of the ground (excited) state \(|\Phi_{100}|^2 (|\Phi_{210}|^2\) is plotted. The \(y < 0\) part of the density is not plotted for a better appreciation of the inner part.
where \( r_0^2 = 3 \sigma/8 \pi \).

If we now substitute the value of \( \sigma \) from the equation (6) into \( r_0 \), we set \( p = 3 \), which implies \( F(\mu) = 11.54 \), we put \( kT \sim 10^3 \) GeV and we assume that \( K \sim 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3} \), we obtain that

\[
\frac{dN_{\text{tot}}}{dt dE} \sim \frac{6.7 \times 10^{-4}}{\pi^2 E^2} \frac{1}{\text{GeV cm}^2 \text{s}^2 \text{sr}} \tag{16}
\]

which is very similar to the observed FB spectrum by FermiLat [32].

In conclusion, because of the quantum character of SFDM, this is the only DM model that allows predicting a DM density profile with bubbles, as in atoms. With this axially symmetric shape of the profile, the SFDM model is able to explain not only the rotation curves in the galaxies but also the observed anomalous behavior of the satellite galaxies, the VPOS, in a very natural way [1]. Now, in this work, we show that this DM profile can explain the FB observed in galaxies, provided that the SFDM has a milli-charge consistent with currents observational bounds. It is extremely interesting that the SFDM model can explain in such a natural way so many observable features of the galaxies and the cosmos, without further physical complications, even such unexpected observations as the VPOS or the FB. If this hypothesis is correct, we should see FBs in more large galaxies and gamma rays at higher energies in the near future.

This work was partially supported by CONACyT México under grants A1-S-8742, 304001, 376127, 240512, FORDECYT-PRONACES grant No. 490769 and l0101/131/07 C-234/07 of the Instituto Avanzado de Cosmologia (IAC) collaboration (http://www.iac.edu.mx/).


