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We present a novel approach to the polaron problem developed on the notion of quantum groups, also known as \( q \)-deformed Lie algebras. In this approach, the presence of an impurity in a bath can be described as a deformation of the Lie algebra of the bosonic creation and annihilation operators of the bath. In particular, we introduce a simple \( q \)-deformed bosonic parent Hamiltonian whose truncation on the quartic level in the usual bosonic creation and annihilation operators corresponds to the Fröhlich-Bogoliubov polaron Hamiltonian. By using the \( q \)-deformed Lie algebra, the introduced parent Hamiltonian allows us to derive the ground state energy of the Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian analytically for the regime where the Bogoliubov dispersion law takes the phonon-like form.

The interplay between an individual quantum particle, called impurity, and all its surrounding particles, called bath, is a fascinating many-body phenomenon. On the one side, the physics of a bath can be drastically changed by the presence of a single impurity immersed in it. The Kondo effect \([1]\) and the Anderson’s orthogonality catastrophe \([2]\) are just two of the most iconic examples of this phenomenon. On the other side, impurities in a many-particle environment experience modifications of their bare behavior. They are dressed by the excitations of the bath and form quasiparticles. A well-known example is the polaron, which has been introduced as a quasiparticle consisting of an electron dressed by lattice excitations in a crystal \([3,5]\). Over the years, polaron models have been adapted to several different contexts, and nowadays are used to describe more general classes of impurities interacting with a quantum many-particle bath. In particular, with the help of recent advances in ultracold atomic physics, quantum impurities in a weakly interacting Bose gas have been investigated intensively in several experimental and theoretical studies \([6,11]\).

Although the polaron became one of the most studied models in condensed matter physics, there remain still many open questions, which shape the current research questions in the field. Probably the first one coming to mind is whether it is possible to solve the polaron problem analytically.

In the present Letter, we introduce a novel technique based on the concept of quantum groups, which correspond to deformations of the conventional Lie algebras, to attack the aforementioned problem, particularly, to derive the ground state energy of the called extended Fröhlich (we call Fröhlich-Bogoliubov) Hamiltonian \([12-15]\), which provides the most accurate model for the polaron problem as long as the Bogoliubov description of the Bose gas is valid.

Quantum groups, also known as \( q \)-deformed Lie algebras \([16,19]\), are Hopf algebras possessing a coproduct, a counit, and an antipode, in addition to the regular structures of an algebra \([20]\). In physics, quantum groups have been first applied to solve the quantum Yang-Baxter equation \([18]\), and, over the years, found several applications to spin chains \([21]\), anyons \([22,23]\), quantum optics \([24,25]\), nuclear physics \([26]\), and rotational and vibrational molecular spectra \([27,28]\). Moreover, in a recent article \([29]\), symmetries of quantum impurities have been tackled within quantum groups.

Here, inspired by the phenomenon how a single impurity drastically changes its surrounding bath, we approach the polaron problem from the notion of \( q \)-deformed Lie algebras. Particularly, we show that the Lie algebra of the bosonic creation and annihilation operators of the bath, called the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, can be deformed due to the presence of an impurity. Such an approach, apart from its insightful physical picture, allows us to introduce a simple parent Hamiltonian in terms of \( q \)-deformed bosons, whose quartic expansion in the usual bosonic creation and annihilation operators corresponds to the Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian, when the Bogoliubov dispersion relation is in the phonon-like linear form. Furthermore, we derived the exact ground state energy of the parent Hamiltonian by using the \( q \)-deformed Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, which enable us to identify the ground state energy of the Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian analytically.

We start by considering a single impurity immersed in a weakly interacting Bose gas. Although our approach is independent of dimension, for the sake of simplicity, we will consider the impurity-bath system in one dimension with the quantization length \( L \), which we set to unity. The corresponding most general impurity-bath Hamiltonian can be truncated on the quadratic level in the bare bosonic creation and annihilation operators within the Bogoliubov approximation, as the lowest energy state is occupied by macroscopic number of particles in BEC \([30]\). The remaining terms of the bath Hamiltonian are diagonalized with the Bogoliubov transformation. After omitting the constants terms, see Refs. \([12,15]\) for further...
details, one obtains the following Hamiltonian,
\[
H = \frac{P^2}{2} + \sum_k \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k + \sum_k \sum_{k'} \lambda_{k,k'} e^{-i(k-k')X} b_k^\dagger b_{k'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,k'} \lambda_{k,k'} e^{-i(k-k')X} (b_{k'}^\dagger b_k + b_{-k} b_{-k'})
\]
which we call Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. Here, the first line corresponds to the Fröhlich Hamiltonian, where \( P^2/2 \) is the kinetic energy operator of the impurity with mass \( M = 1 \) and \( X \) is the position operator. \( b_k^\dagger \) and \( b_k \) are the Bogoliubov creation and annihilation operators, respectively, \( \omega_k \) the Bogoliubov dispersion relation, \( \lambda_{k,k'} = g_{BI} \sqrt{\mu_B} \chi_k \) the linear (also called Fröhlich) coupling, with the impurity-boson coupling constant \( g_{BI} \), the condensate density \( n_B \), and \( \chi_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k/\omega_k} \), with the bare bosons’ energy \( \varepsilon_k = k^2/(2m_B) \) and mass \( m_B \). The second and third lines, which are beyond the Fröhlich paradigm \([12]\), describe two-phonon scattering processes with the quadratic couplings \( \lambda_{k,k'} = g_{BI} (\chi_k \chi_{k'} + \chi_k^{-1} \chi_{k'}^{-1})/2 \).

As the total linear momentum of the system is conserved, one can decouple the impurity’s degree of freedom from the bath by applying the called Lee-Low-Pines (LLP) transformation \([31]\), \( U_{LLP} = \exp(-iX \sum_k b_k^\dagger b_k) \), which translates the creation and annihilation operators; \( U_{LLP}^{-1} b_k^\dagger U_{LLP} = \exp(i k X) b_k^\dagger \). The transformed Hamiltonian, which we define \( H_{\text{FB}} = U_{LLP}^{-1} H U_{LLP} \), is given by
\[
H_{\text{FB}} = \sum_k \tilde{\omega}_k b_k^\dagger b_k + \sum_k \lambda_k (b_k^\dagger + b_k) + \sum_{k,k'} \lambda_{k,k'}^+ b_{k'}^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_{k'} + b_{-k} b_{-k'} ,
\]
where we replaced the momentum operator \( P \) with its eigenvalue \( p \), defined \( \tilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k - pk + k^2/2 \), and omitted the constant term \( p^2/2 \). After the transformation, the Hamiltonian becomes a pure bosonic Hamiltonian. Hence, its spectrum, in principle, can be identified with the algebra of the bosonic creation and annihilation operators, which is called the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra:
\[
[b_k, b_{k'}^\dagger] = 1 , \quad [B_k, b_{k'}^\dagger] = b_k^\dagger , \quad [B_k, b_k] = -b_k^\dagger , \quad [B_{k'}, b_{k'}^\dagger] = b_{k'}^\dagger , \quad [B_{k'}, b_k] = -b_{k'}^\dagger , \quad (3)
\]
with \( B_k = b_k^\dagger b_k \) being the number operator. Strictly speaking, this algebra is the universal enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra.

We will show that the Hamiltonian \([2]\) can be mapped to a form such that it can be written in terms of the elements of the deformed Heisenberg-Weyl algebra. This allows us to approach the Hamiltonian \([2]\) within quantum groups. In order to present the method in a transparent way, we first focus on the case of a single-phonon-mode and discuss the full Hamiltonian later.

In the case of a single-phonon-mode, the Hamiltonian \([2]\) can be written as
\[
H_{\text{sm}} = \bar{\omega} b^\dagger b + \lambda (b^\dagger + b) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(b^\dagger b^\dagger + bb) + \frac{k^2}{2} b^\dagger b b b^\dagger + h.c. , \quad (4)
\]
where we define \( \bar{\omega} = \tilde{\omega} + \lambda^+ \) and, for a shorthand notation, we omit the index of the particular mode \( k \) from the relevant expressions, i.e., \( b_k^\dagger \rightarrow b^\dagger \), \( \omega_k \rightarrow \bar{\omega} \), and so on. This Hamiltonian, by summing it over \( k \), can also be used to approximate the full Hamiltonian, when the elastic scattering \( (k' = k) \) is dominant.

We now apply the displacement operator (coherent state transformation), \( U_{\text{disp}} = \exp(\alpha b^\dagger - \alpha^* b) \), which shifts the creation and annihilation operators; \( U_{\text{disp}}^{-1} b^\dagger U_{\text{disp}} = b^\dagger + \alpha^* \) with the complex parameter \( \alpha \). The transformed Hamiltonian, \( H_{\text{sm}}' = U_{\text{disp}}^{-1} H_{\text{sm}} U_{\text{disp}} \), can be written as
\[
H_{\text{sm}}' = v b^\dagger \left( 1 + \frac{k^2}{2v^2} \right) b + u b^\dagger (1 + \alpha \frac{k^2}{u} b b^\dagger) + h.c. , \quad (5)
\]
where \( v = \bar{\omega} + 2|\alpha|^2 k^2, u = \lambda + \bar{\omega} \alpha, \) h.c. stands for the Hermitian conjugate of the preceding terms, and we omitted the constant term, \( \bar{\omega} |\alpha|^2 + \lambda (\alpha + \alpha^* ) + \lambda^+ (\alpha^2 + \alpha^* 2)/2 + k^2 |\alpha|^4/2 \). In the derivation of the Hamiltonian \([5]\) we eliminated the quadratic term, \( (\lambda^+ + k^2 |\alpha|^2) b b^\dagger + h.c. \), by choosing \( \alpha^2 = \alpha^* 2 = -\lambda^+/k^2 \). We note that in the literature the quadratic terms are eliminated by applying the Bogoliubov (squeezed state) transformation. However, this would yield additional quartic terms, such as \( (b^\dagger)^4 \), which are not useful for our purpose.

The structure of the Hamiltonian \([5]\) inspires us to introduce deformed creation and annihilation operators, \( a^\dagger \) and \( a \), such that the form of the Hamiltonian can be simplified. Particularly, from the first term, we introduce the following deformed operator
\[
a^\dagger = b^\dagger (1 + \rho b b^\dagger + \cdots ) , \quad (6)
\]
with \( \rho = \sqrt{1 + k^2/(2v^2) - 1} \). Then, the Hamiltonian \([5]\) can be identified as the quartic expansion in the usual creation and annihilation operators of the following parent Hamiltonian
\[
H_{\text{par}} = v a^\dagger a + a (a^\dagger + a) , \quad (7)
\]
if the parameter \( \epsilon = \rho - \alpha k^2/u \) vanishes or is negligible. The expansion can be truncated and the higher order terms can be neglected under the condition \( \eta = (1 + \rho)^{-1} |u/v|^2 < 1 \). Furthermore, the condition, \( |\epsilon| \ll 1 \), which imposes certain restrictions on the values of the dispersion relation as well as the coupling, can be relaxed, if \( \eta \ll 1 \), so that the ground state energy of the Hamiltonian \([5]\) can be given by the one of the Hamiltonian \([7]\) for a rather large range of \( \epsilon \).
In order to find the spectrum of the Hamiltonian \(^7\), we need to define an algebra for the deformed operators. In fact, the form of the deformed operator \(^6\) is known in the context of \(q\)-deformation, see for instance Ref. \(^{28}\). It is an element of the called \(q\)-deformed Heisenberg-Weyl algebra \(^{35}\). This deformed algebra is defined by the group elements \(a^\dagger\), \(a\), and \(N\), which satisfy the following commutation relations

\[
    [a^\dagger - qa^\dagger a, 1] = [N, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger, \quad [N, a] = -a, \quad (8)
\]

with \(q\) being the deformation parameter. We note that the number operator \(N\) does not equal to \(a^\dagger a\). One particular realization of this algebra can be fulfilled with the following expressions

\[
    a^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{|N|}{N}} b^\dagger, \quad a = b\sqrt{\frac{|N|}{N}}, \quad N = B = b^\dagger b. \quad (9)
\]

Here \(b\) and \(b^\dagger\) are the usual bosonic creation and annihilation operators, and \([N] = (q^N - 1)/(q - 1)\). In the limit of \(q \to 1\), we recover the usual Heisenberg-Weyl algebra \(^3\).

One can obtain the expression for the \(q\)-deformed boson in the form of infinite series of \(B\): \(a^\dagger = \sum_{n=0} b^\dagger B^n b^\dagger\), which allows us to define the \(q\)-deformed bosons in terms of the usual bosons. Specifically, using the identity \((b^\dagger b^\dagger)^l = \sum_{k,l} S(l,k)(b^\dagger)^k b^\dagger\) with \(S(l,k)\) being Stirling numbers of the second kind, the \(q\)-deformed creation operator can be written as

\[
    a^\dagger = b^\dagger \left( 1 + \sqrt{|2|/2 - 1} b^\dagger b + \cdots \right). \quad (10)
\]

This operator is in the form of Eq. \(^6\), and hence identifies the deformation parameter as \(q = 1 + k^2/v\). Here, the deformation part, \(k^2/v\), results from the LLP transformation, and hence from the presence of the impurity. Therefore, we deduce that the bath is deformed as a consequence of the impurity.

Although we defined the algebra for the deformed operators, finding the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian \(^7\) is not straightforward. One might, naively, think of applying the displacement operator to shift the \(q\)-deformed creation and annihilation operators such that the \(q\)-deformed Hamiltonian \(^7\) becomes diagonal in the basis of the eigenstates of the number operator. However, due to the non-trivial commutation relation, this is not possible. Indeed, it is known that there does not exist a unitary displacement operator for the \(q\)-deformed Weyl-Heisenberg algebra \(^{33}\). Nevertheless, in the view of the form of the eigenstate of the corresponding non-deformed Hamiltonian, \(vb^\dagger b + u(b^\dagger + b)\), we find the eigenvalues exactly. To this end, we introduce the following state

\[
    |\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{N}_\psi^n \sum_{j=0}^n d_j^n (a^\dagger)^j |\beta_n\rangle, \quad (11)
\]

where \(\mathcal{N}_\psi^n\) is the normalization factor, \(|\beta_n\rangle\) is the \(q\)-deformed coherent state, i.e., the eigenstate of the \(q\)-deformed annihilation operator, which is given by \(|\beta_n\rangle = N^q_n \sum_{m=0}^n \beta_m^n / \sqrt{|m|!} |m\rangle\) with \(N^q_n\) being the normalization factor. Here \(|m|! = |1|, |2|, \ldots |m|\), with \(|0|! = 1\), is the \(q\)-factorial and \(|m\rangle\) is the eigenstate of the number operator \(N\) such that \(N |m\rangle = |m\rangle |m\rangle\). Then using the \(q\)-deformed algebra \(^8\), we find \(\beta_n = -uq^{-n}/v\) and

\[
    d_j^n = \binom{n}{j}_q \frac{u^n}{v} q^{j(j-1)/2-n(n-1)/2}, \quad (12)
\]

where \(\binom{n}{j}_q\) is \(q\)-binomial coefficient given by \(|n|!/|n-j|! |j|!\). Thus, the eigenstate \(^{11}\) can be written as

\[
    |\psi_n\rangle = \mathcal{N}_\psi^n q^{-n(n-1)/2} \left( a^\dagger + \frac{u}{v} \right)^n |\beta_n\rangle, \quad (13)
\]

where \((x+y)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}_q x^j y^{n-j} q^{j(j-1)/2}\) is identified as the \(q\)-binomial theorem \(^{30}\). Finally, the \(n\)th eigenvalue can be derived to be

\[
    E_{s,n}^{\text{par}}(n) = v|n| - \frac{1}{q^n} \frac{u^2}{v}. \quad (14)
\]

In the limit of \(q \to 1\), one recovers the well-known results for the corresponding non-deformed Hamiltonian.

![FIG. 1. Comparison of the first two eigenvalues obtained from a numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian \(^3\) (yellow dotted) and the \(q\)-deformed one \(^4\) (red), when \(\epsilon = 0\) (a) and \(\epsilon = 0.2\) (b). We further plot the perturbative result (blue). The parameters are chosen as \(a = 1\) and \(\alpha = 0.2\), which determine \(u = \alpha k^2/(\rho - \epsilon)\).](image-url)
constraint on the couplings, mode case, these two specifications impose an additional repulsive as $g$ of $\lambda$ formation coefficients, see Ref. [30], the couplings yield phonon-like form, $\omega^2$ constant term, where $\sum_k \alpha_k\alpha_k^\dagger\alpha_k^\dagger$ corresponds to the Hamiltonian [15]. For a later purpose, we first symmetrize the term $kk'/2v$ in the first line of the Hamiltonian [15]. This replacement has no effect, as the quartic term, $\sum_k k^2 b_k^\dagger b_k b_k^\dagger b_k^\dagger$, is symmetric with respect to the exchange of $k$ and $k'$, but the remainder term is antisymmetric. Accordingly, we introduce a deformed operator in the form of

$$a_k^\dagger = b_k^\dagger \left( 1 + \sum_{k'} \rho(k, k') b_{k'}^\dagger b_k + \cdots \right),$$

where we define $\rho(k, k') = \sqrt{1 + kk'/2v} - 1$. Then, the full Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian [15] can be identified as the quartic expansion in the usual bosonic creation and annihilation operators of the following parent Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{FB}} = \sum_k v_k a_k^\dagger a_k + \sum_k u_k (a_k^\dagger + a_k),$$

if the parameter $\epsilon(k, k') = \rho(k, k') - kk'\alpha_k/\alpha_{k'}$ is negligible. In contrast to the single-phonon-mode case, the parameter $\epsilon(k, k')$ cannot vanish exactly for independent values of $k$ and $k'$. Nevertheless, one can always find a relation between the dispersion relation $v_k$ and the coupling $u_k$, such that $\epsilon(k, k')$ assumes small values. In fact, similar to the single-phonon-mode case, for the values of $\eta(k, k') \ll 1$, with $\eta(k, k') = (1 + \rho(k, k'))^{-1}(u_k/v_k)^2$, the ground state energy is robust to the change of the parameter $\epsilon(k, k')$.

Our next task is to define a deformed algebra for the introduced deformed operators such that we are able to define its ground state. Accordingly, we introduce the following deformed operator

$$a_k = b_k \prod_{k'} q_{kk'}/2 = b_k \left( 1 + \sum_{k'} (q_{kk'}/2 - 1) b_{k'}^\dagger b_k + \cdots \right).$$

If we compare it with the deformed operator [10], we deduce that the deformation parameter reads $q_{kk'} = 1 + kk'/2(v_k - v_{k'})$. In order to find the spectrum of the Hamiltonian [17], we have to deal with the corresponding deformed algebra, which can be written as

$$a_k a_k^\dagger - q_{kk} a_k^\dagger a_k = \prod_{k'} q_{kk'}/2,$$

with $N_k = B_k$. We underline that this algebra is different than the one for the single-phonon-mode case. Furthermore, in contrast to the case of the usual algebra, the commutation relation between different modes ($k \neq k'$) do not vanish, but they are given by

$$a_k a_k^\dagger - q_{kk} a_k^\dagger a_k = 0, \quad \sqrt{q_{kk'}} a_k a_k^\dagger - \sqrt{q_{kk'}} a_k^\dagger a_k = 0.$$
We note that similar algebras have been previously introduced, see for instance Refs. [39, 40]. These commutators prevent us to write down the energy eigenvalues straightforwardly. However, since the deformation parameter is symmetric, i.e., $q_k k' = q_{k'} k$, the commutator [20] simple reads $[a_k, a_{k'}] = 0$. This simplification allows us to construct the ground state in terms of the eigenstate of the annihilation operator $a_k$, and hence to derive the ground state energy as

$$E^{\text{par}}_{\text{FB}}(0) = - \sum_k \frac{u_k^2}{v_k}, \quad (21)$$

Thus, for the full Hamiltonian, we employ a further assumption in addition to the ones for the single-phonon mode: (III) $k/(m_{\text{FB}}) \ll 1$, or equivalently, $\omega_k \approx c_k$, which allows us to eliminate the quadratic terms of the Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian [2] so that one can introduce the deformed operators. Under these three assumptions, which can be satisfied simultaneously with the condition, $k \ll m_{\text{FB}} \approx 2\pi k^3/(M_{\text{FB}})$ [32], the ground state energy of the full Fröhlich-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian is given by Eq. (21). We would like to emphasize that although the result [21] resembles a mean-field result, it is due to the linear form of the parent Hamiltonian [17], which is obviously beyond the mean-field approximation.

Finally, small deviations of the aforementioned assumptions can manifest themselves as perturbative terms in the Hamiltonian [17]. By taking into account the effect of these terms, one can compare the analytical result with numerical calculations in a rather broad range of parameters, which is beyond the scope of the present Letter and will be the subject of a future study.
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[32] See the Supplement Material for the corresponding analysis.
Here we provide some further technical details for the employed approximations (I) and (II). The bold numbers refer to the equations in the Letter.

The deformed operator (10) can be expanded as

\[ a^\dagger = b^\dagger \left( 1 + \rho b^\dagger b + \delta b^\dagger b^\dagger bb + \cdots \right) , \]

where \( \rho = \sqrt{[2]/2} - 1 \) and \( \delta = \sqrt{[3]/3}/2 - \sqrt{2}/2 + 1/2 \). Furthermore,

\[ a^\dagger a^\dagger a = \sqrt{2} b^\dagger b^\dagger b^\dagger b + \left( \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}/2 \right) b^\dagger b^\dagger b^\dagger bb + \cdots \]

\[ a^\dagger a^\dagger a^\dagger a = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3} b^\dagger b^\dagger b^\dagger bb + \cdots \]

Then, without any approximation, the single-phonon-mode Hamiltonian (5) can be written in terms of the deformed operators as

\[ H'_{\text{sm}} = v a^\dagger a + u(a^\dagger + a) - \frac{u\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}/2} \left( a^\dagger a^\dagger a + \text{h.c.} \right) - \frac{u}{\sqrt{[3]/3}[2]/2} \left( \delta - \epsilon(\sqrt{3}/3 - 1) \right) \left( a^\dagger a^\dagger a^\dagger a + \text{h.c.} \right) + \cdots \]

where \( \epsilon = \rho - \alpha k^2/u \). Now, we define the first line as \( H'_{\text{par}} = v a^\dagger a + u(a^\dagger + a) \) and the second line as \( H_1 \). The latter is negligible if

\[ \left| \frac{\langle H_1 \rangle}{H'_{\text{par}}} \right| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}/2} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right)^2 + \frac{2\delta - 2\epsilon(\sqrt{3}/3 - 1)}{\sqrt{[3]/3}[2]/2} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right)^4 + \cdots \ll 1 , \]

where the expectation value is taken in the ground state of \( H'_{\text{par}} \), which is given by the \( q \)-deformed coherent state \([-u/v]\), see Eq. (13). The condition (5) can be satisfied, for instance, when \( |\epsilon| \ll 1 \) and \( \eta = (1 + \rho)^{-1}(u/v)^2 < 1 \). The case of \( \epsilon = 0 \), which implies the relation \( k^2/(2v) = 2k^2\alpha/u + (k^2\alpha/u)^2 \), imposes certain restrictions on the values of the dispersion relation as well as the coupling. However, there exist a certain phonon mode \( k \) such that the relation is always true, i.e., for \( k = \sqrt{(u/\alpha)^2/(2v)} - 2u/\alpha \). On the other hand, if \( \epsilon \) does not vanish, but assumes a small value, then the remainder terms can be treated perturbatively. Furthermore, if \( \eta \ll 1 \), then \( H_1 \) can be omitted for a rather large range of the \( \epsilon \) parameter. Finally, as \( \eta \approx \alpha^2 \), the condition, \( \eta \ll 1 \), can be written as \( m_B c M g_{\text{IB}}/(2\pi k^3) \ll 1 \) for the full Hamiltonian, where we restored the omitted parameters; the impurity’s mass \( M \) and the quantization length \( L = 2\pi \).