Electromagnetic Antennas for the Resonant Detection of the Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background
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Stochastic gravitational wave background from the early Universe has a cut-off frequency close to 100 MHz, due to the horizon of the inflationary phase. To detect gravitational waves at such frequencies, resonant electromagnetic cavities are very suitable. In this work, we study the frequency sensitivity of such detectors, and show how we could use them to probe this cut-off frequency and also the energy density per frequency of this stochastic background. This paper paves the way for further experimental studies to probe the most ancient relic of the Universe.

The stochastic gravitational wave background (SGWB) is the most ancient relic of the Big Bang. This source can be seen as the analog of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) for gravitational waves (GWs), potentially giving information about the early ages of the Universe, before the formation of atoms and their nuclei, glimpsing directly to the time where fundamental interactions supposedly splitted. Maggiore[1] described SGWB first as isotropic, gaussian and stationary, and its frequency dependance is contained in a one-sided power spectral density. Recent works have detailed this SGWB at high frequencies[2–5]. In the review[4], a variety of possible sources of stochastic background are considered, which mostly arises from hypothetical physics of the early universe: (pre)heating, oscillons, cosmic strings, inflation, to name but a few. All these hypothetical sources share common features, for instance a constant energy density \( \Omega_{GW} \) per logarithmic frequency sampling. Another property that we could focus on is that most of the potential sources have a cut-off frequency in the MHz-GHz band. This is due to the waves trapped in the horizon at the epoch of the end of the inflation in the early Universe[6]. To detect GWs at such high frequency, Electromagnetic (EM) detectors should be considered. Their working principle is based on wave resonance mechanism and was discovered by Gertsenshtein[7], although this author worked it out for GW generation and not detection. The last can be achieved with the so-called inverse Gertsenshtein effect. Some detector proposals were made just a few years after Gersenshtein’s discovery[8–10]. More recently, this topic of EM detection of GW has seen a renewal of interest after the first detection by LIGO interferometers[10], with proposals based on the inverse Gertsenshtein mechanism[20–24]. These kind of detectors are by the way very complementary with interferometry techniques to detect the whole spectrum of gravitational waves. Interferometers can detect GWs in the nHz to kHz band. EM detectors can theoretically detect any frequency in the GW, but the induced field intensity and the dimension of the detector makes it more suitable for high frequencies, from kHz to THz frequencies. The research on (Ultra-)High Frequency GW is currently quite active. The review[4] lists potential sources and detectors for this frequency band. We focus here more particularly on resonant EM detectors, already described in[25], by treating directly the detectors frequency response and apply this to the experimental search for SGWB. Resonant detectors are indeed very suitable to spot on a narrow frequency range, and are especially interesting to isolate the cut-off frequency of SGWB. We claim resonant EM detectors of GWs are promising tools for the detection of SGWB, allowing to reveal the position of its power spectrum cut-off frequency and the variation of the related cosmic energy density with the frequency.

Proposed experimental setups - The antennas for the detection of the SGWB are based on the conversion of GWs into EM fields through the inverse Gertsenshtein effects. More precisely, we consider resonant detectors where the interaction of the passing GWs with some external magnetic field induces the excitation of EM modes into a cavity or a waveguide. The energy induced into the resonator by the passing GW is faint, due to the weakness of the gravitational coupling. However, the RMS value of the induced power inside the cavity is proportional to the strain of the incoming GW. More details about de-
ductor configuration and observables can be found in the patents [26] or in the article [25].

We provide here an analysis of the frequency response of such detectors. First, the fundamental equation that rules the conversion of GWs to EM field is the Maxwell wave equation on curved spacetime, from which one can derive the induced EM fields. Let us consider the detectors have cylindrical symmetry and the passing GW is described in traceless-transverse gauge with cylindrical coordinates. The electromagnetic field within the resonant cavity is a superposition of some background static magnetic field and a perturbation which is the induced electromagnetic field due to the Gertenshstein effect. We shall also assume that there are some ohmic losses in our cavity walls (see also [13]), so there is a current density \( j = \frac{\omega_0}{c} \mathbf{E}^{(1)} \) where \( \mathbf{E}^{(1)} \) is the induced electric field, \( \omega \) its frequency. In the above relation, \( Q \) is the quality factor of the cavity which we assume to be around 10\(^5\), an order of magnitude that is consistent with resonant cavities currently used for axion detection [27]. Under these assumptions, one obtains the following wave equation for the induced magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}^{(1)} \)

\[
\left( -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \vec{\Delta} \right) \mathbf{B}^{(1)} = -\mu_0 \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{j}^{\text{eff}} \tag{1}
\]

where \( \vec{\Delta} \) is the vectorial Laplacian and

\[
\vec{j}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{B^{(0)}_{\text{ext}}}{\mu_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} \partial_t h_+ \cos \phi + \partial_\phi h_\times \sin \phi \\ -\partial_t h_+ \sin \phi + \partial_\phi h_\times \cos \phi \\ 0 \end{array} \right) + \vec{j} \tag{2}
\]

is an effective current density that source the induced magnetic field, the first term is the correction due to the local modification of volume caused by the passing GW, the second term is the losses in the cavity. The boundary condition for the magnetic field is \( \mathbf{B}^{(1)}_\perp = 0 \). An analogous equation of Eq. (1) can be written for the induced electric field \( \mathbf{E}^{(1)} \). However, the leading effect is given by the induced magnetic perturbation which is amplified by the external magnetic field. Indeed, the variation of energy \( \Delta \mathcal{E} \) inside the cavity of volume \( V \) at first order in the induced magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}^{(1)} \) is given by

\[
\Delta \mathcal{E} \approx \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_V \mathbf{B}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{(1)} \, dV, \tag{3}
\]

which is therefore boosted by the external magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}^{(0)} \). This energy variation evolves with time with a similar frequency content as the passing GW and its RMS amplitude is directly proportional to the GW strain (see [25]). Consequently, we focus here on the induced magnetic field, which constitutes the dominant effect in the induced RMS power in the cavity, which is obtained by time deriving the energy fluctuation Eq. (3).

The wave equation (1) can be decomposed in eigen-modes, as in [13], by developing the solution on the proper functions of the Laplacian operator with the required boundary conditions on the cavity walls. After this spectral decomposition, the inhomogeneous wave equation reduces to a forced and damped harmonic oscillator equation for each of the proper modes. To get the damping term of this equation, we use the Maxwell equation \( \partial_t \mathbf{B}^{(1)} = -\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E}^{(1)} \) at first order. Only purely radial modes survives to the volume integral giving the induced energy (3); those which are not constant along the longitudinal axis and not behaving as a sinusoidal function of the azimuth angle will disappear due to cylindrical symmetry (as shown in [25]). These radial modes \( b_k(t) \) that contributes to the total energy variation can be easily determined by moving our harmonic oscillator equations in the temporal Fourier space

\[
(\Omega_k^2 + i\gamma_k \omega - \omega^2) \tilde{b}_k(\omega) = \tilde{s}_k(\omega) \tag{4}
\]

where \( \gamma_k = \frac{\omega_k}{Q} \), \( \tilde{s}_k(\omega) \) and \( \tilde{b}_k(\omega) \) are the Fourier transform of respectively the forcing term and the radial mode. The solution for each mode is given by

\[
\tilde{b}_k(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{s}_k(\omega)}{\Omega_k^2 + i\gamma_k \omega - \omega^2 + \frac{A_k + iB_k}{\Omega_k^2 - \omega^2 + i(\omega - \Omega_k)}} \tag{5}
\]

for any positive frequency \( \omega = 2\pi \nu \). The constants \( A_k \) and \( B_k \) are computed from the initial conditions of our problem (for instance \( \tilde{B}(t = 0) = \tilde{B}(t = 0) = 0 \) and \( \Omega_k \) are the resonant frequencies of the cavity. The expression of the forcing term \( \tilde{s}_k(\omega) \) requires a bit more of computation and can be expressed as

\[
\tilde{s}_k(\omega) = -2\pi B^{(0)}_{\text{ext}} L^2 I_k \bar{h}_+(\omega) \sin(\omega L/2) \tag{6}
\]

where \( I_k \) is a constant depending on the radial mode considered and \( \bar{h}_+(\omega) \) is the Fourier transform of the + polarization of the incoming GW, assumed as a plane wave (see also [25]). We can finally compute the induced power in the cavity at first order of the strain, depending on the frequency \( \omega \),

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) = \frac{2i\pi B^{(0)}_{\text{ext}}}{\mu_0} \cdot \sum_k I_k \tilde{b}_k(\omega) \tag{7}
\]

This expression is very useful to compute the spectrum of the induced power in the cavity and see the sensitivity. Using these computations, we can easily compute the expression of the root mean-square of the RMS power for a monochromatic unit strain amplitude gravitational wave, using root mean-square values is interesting because it is related to the \( L^2 \) norm of the signal, conserved by the Parseval theorem.
the rms impulse response (RIR) of our cavity. This rms impulse response has the form

\[ P_{\text{RIR}}(\omega) = \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{\mu_0} \left( B_{\text{ext}}^{(0)} \right)^2 L^2 \omega^2 |\sin(\omega L/2)| S \]  

where

\[ S = \sum_k \frac{\mathcal{T}_k^2}{\sqrt{(\Omega_k^2 - \omega^2)^2 + (\gamma_k \omega)^2}}. \]

From this result, one can easily retrieve and validate the results obtained in 25 with a direct temporal domain approach. We can also easily compute the rms induced power for inspiral signals, such as merging planetary primordial black holes. For an incoming GW with Fourier transform \( h_+^\text{in}(\omega) \), the rms induced power can be expressed by

\[ P_{\text{rms}} = \int_0^\infty h_+^\text{in}(\omega) P_{\text{RIR}}(\omega)d\omega. \]

We can also obtain the strain sensitivity for this kind of detector from this impulse response diagram. One can see this in Fig. 1 where the dashed black curve shows the strain we could possibly detect with the proposed detector, assuming that we could detect an induced rms power of \( 10^{-14} W \). The parameters chosen for our detector are a 5T external magnetic field, for a one-meter long cavity with a 5m radius. We can see that the sensitivity is better at the resonant frequencies of our detector. Their locations depend on the radius of the cavity 25. We also add to this figure the characteristic strain of inspiral GW signals and the one from the stochastic GW background we consider. Both types of sources can hopefully be detected by such resonant detectors. To go further on the modeling of these detectors, we must take into account the noise sources. Another important point is the measurement of the rms induced power. The experience gained in the field of haloscopes, like in the Axion Dark Matter Experiment 28, are of direct application for the EM detection of SGWB and in general high-frequency gravitational waves. In the ADMX experiment, the rms power they can measure is of the order of \( 10^{-21} W \), but the time scale is quite different in our case. Their scale is order of a year, ours is microseconds for inspiral signals, and could be longer for the stochastic ones.

**The Stochastic GW Background** - Considering the properties of hypothetical stochastic GW background sources we described earlier, we decided to consider a toy model that parameters could be easily adapted if we want to focus on one specific early Universe mechanism. Gathering the values of the different sources in 4, we choose a constant \( \Omega_{GW} = 10^{-10} \) and a cut-off frequency at 100 MHz for the toy model. A first result from this toy model is that we can use an analogue of the Eq. 7 to compute the power spectral density (PSD) of the induced EM power in our cavity. The method is that we replace the temporal Fourier transform of the signal by the temporal Fourier transform of its autocorrelation. The plot of this PSD can be found for three different cut-off frequencies in Fig. 2. We can see that for our cavity, with the same parameters than in Fig. 1 the resonant frequencies before the cut-off will have a much higher density than the other ones. This means that the auto-correlation of several signals coming from the stochastic background will be higher at the first resonant frequency and if we detect a significant drop between two resonant frequencies, the cut-off frequency must be somewhere in between. We insist on the fact that it is possible to tune the parameters of the detectors to get the resonant frequencies we want to optimize the detection. This is also shown in Fig. 3. In this figure, we simulate the rms induced power for several outer radius between 1m and 10m. We also considered other distribution for \( \Omega_{GW} \), as one can see through the green and blue points in Fig. 3 where there is a gap in the values around 1.5m. This gap corresponds to the point where the resonant frequencies goes below the cut-off frequency and the response is several order of magnitude higher. If one can combine detectors of different radii, we can also spot more precisely the cut-off frequency and also check whether or not \( \Omega_{GW} \) is constant with
FIG. 2. The power spectral density of the induced EM Power that detects our stochastic GW background toy model, for three different cut-off frequencies. We can see that the response is several orders of magnitude lower above the cut-off frequency. The cut-off frequency should appear clearly when several signals are correlated, with resonant frequencies chosen carefully.

frequency. The value of the slope after the gap is 2 for constant $\Omega_{GW}$, that means that the main contribution of the increasing induced power with the radius comes from the increase of the detection volume, which is proportional to the square of the radius for a cylindrical cavity. Another assumption for $\Omega_{GW}$ will lead to another slope in this model. For instance, for the $\Omega_{GW}$ varying linearly with the frequency, the slope becomes equal to 1.5. To sum up the results presented above, resonant detectors allow measuring the cut-off frequency of the yet hypothetical stochastic GW background and also check the constancy of the GW density with frequency, two parameters that are specific to these SGWB coming from the early Universe.

Conclusion and Discussion - In this work, we develop the frequency response analysis of resonant EM detectors of high-frequency GWs first described in [24] and references therein. The present work completes previous ones by giving tools for computing the response in function of time or frequency, depending on the application. While temporal approach is more suitable for transient signals like inspiralling binary primordial black hole mergers, the frequency approach is more suited to the search of the SGWB. In addition, this brand new frequency approach gives us an analytical, straight-forward and maybe more intuitive way to compute the response of the considered detector. One can also examine directly the strain sensitivity at any given frequency. This approach is also suitable theoretically for any GW signal. We just need the Fourier transform for inspiral signals, and strain power spectral density for stochastic ones. We also confirm that choosing the cavity parameters is very important to define the frequency range where we want an optimal detection. This location of the resonant frequencies is also very important to emphasize the cut-off frequency for the SGWB. The response of the detector will get a massive drop above this special frequency. The possibility to combine several detectors of different radii is also very interesting because you can get resonant response of different frequency bands. We also get a more realistic model since we take account of the losses at the walls of the cavity, therefore smoothing the resonance peaks.

We claim the present work give strong arguments in favor for resonant EM detectors for the crucial investigation of SGWB. Further studies should investigate more deeply the experimental feasibility of the detection of induced power on much shorter time scales than what is currently done for axion search with haloscopes, as well as specific noise sources for this particular application. Another point of interest for further consideration is how one can correlate several detections to recreate the PSD of stochastic signals. By the way, we have provided some important prospects for motivating further experimental work. The results presented here indicate that the strain sensitivity of such resonant detector can theoretically go below $10^{-30}$ for some frequencies. Moving toward an experiment based on the results presented here will require a common effort from
scientific communities working on axion detection, high-precision physics in strong magnetic field environment and high-frequency gravitational wave. We are confident resonant EM detectors of high-frequency gravitational waves will one day become the antennas through which we will listen to the most ancient relic of the Big Bang, glimpsing even further at the very origins of the Universe.
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