Ferromagnetism and doublon localization in a Wannier-Hubbard chain

Samuel Milner, Phillip Weinberg, and Adrian Feiguin
Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

We derive a “Wannier-Hubbard” model consisting of an array of overlapping atomic orbitals interacting via a local Coulomb interaction. Transforming to an orthogonal Wannier basis set, the resulting Hamiltonian displays long range hopping and interactions, with new terms such as correlated hopping and ferromagnetic direct exchange, among others. We numerically study the one-dimensional version of the model at half-filling using the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method, unveiling a rich phase diagram as a function of the interaction $U$ and the overlap $s$ with metallic, and ferromagnetic phases, separated by a ferrimagnetic region. Our results indicate a path toward understanding new emergent phases under pressure and beyond standard model Hamiltonians.

Despite enormous progress in understanding the chemistry and complex many-body phenomena taking place in correlated materials, predicting magnetic order, particularly in metals, remains a challenging endeavor. Paradoxically, the origin of ferromagnetism in strongly correlated materials is more puzzling than antiferromagnetism. In fact, in insulators, magnetic interactions are almost universally antiferromagnetic and, in the context of the much studied Hubbard model[1–3], antiferromagnetic interactions arise naturally from super exchange [4–6]. However, in the cuprate $La_{4}Ba_{2}Cu_{2}O_{10}$ ($La422$) ferromagnetic direct exchange leads to an unexpected transition to a FM phase below 5K[7–10]. This kind of exceptions cannot be accounted for by the Nagaoka mechanism [11] nor flat band Hamiltonians [12], pointing toward the incompleteness of the Hubbard model when it comes to accounting for the emergence of ferromagnetism in correlated insulators. In particular, these models do not properly account for the direct exchange. As a rule of thumb, the sign of the exchange is determined by the Goodenough-Kanamori rules[13], that dictate that in case of overlapping non-orthogonal orbitals the interaction is antiferromagnetic in order to reduce the kinetic energy, while non-overlapping (orthogonal) orbitals lead to a ferromagnetic interaction in order to minimize the potential energy (paradigmatic examples of both cases are the Heitler-London model for the hydrogen molecule[14] and Hund’s rule, respectively).

In this work we revisit the Hubbard model with new light, by including the terms that naturally emerge from the orthogonalization to a Wannier basis [5, 6, 15]. These many-body terms include not only second neighbor hopping, but also direct exchange, pair hopping and correlated hopping. Besides the obvious loss of particle-hole symmetry, the latter contribution leads to a dramatic increase of the mass of the doublons and very narrow upper Hubbard bands, as we show in our results. In addition, a natural competition between ferromagnetic exchange and antiferromagnetic super exchange gives rise to an insulating ferromagnetic phase in the ground state phase diagram. We demonstrate this behavior by carrying out exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [16–20] calculations on one-dimensional chains.

In our derivation of the Wannier-Hubbard model we start from the assumption that matrix elements beyond those acting locally on a site/atom are very small and can be ignored; in second quantization the Hamiltonian for a single band reads as a conventional Fermi-Hubbard model:

$$ H = -\sum_{ij,\sigma} t_{ij} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} \tilde{c}_{i\uparrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{i\uparrow} \tilde{c}_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{i\downarrow}, \quad (1) $$

where the constants $t_{ij}$ represent the hopping matrix elements and the parameter $U$ is the on-site Coulomb integral. Since we consider only one atomic orbital per site and we are interested in the insulating regime, one typically ignores long range terms that would also include
the exchange integral. In this expression, the $\tilde{c}$ orbitals represent a non-orthogonal basis of atomic orbitals (for instance, $1s$ orbitals in a hydrogen chain\cite{21-28}. For this reason, they do not satisfy canonical anticommutation rules:

$$\{\tilde{c}_i^\dagger, \tilde{c}_j\} = S_{ij},$$

(2)

where $S$ is the overlap matrix $S_{ij} = \langle \emptyset | \tilde{c}_i^\dagger \tilde{c}_j | \emptyset \rangle$ (we notice that when adding a spin index, the different spin sectors are decoupled and they can be treated independently). In order to transform to a new canonical representation obeying Fermi statistics, we carry out a non-unitary transformation (see Supplemental Material and Ref.\textsuperscript{29}):

$$\tilde{c}_i = \sum_\nu (S^{1/2})_{\nu i} c_\nu .$$

(3)

The Hamiltonian in terms of the orthonormal basis defined by the canonical Fock operators reads:

$$H = \sum_{\mu\nu,\sigma} t_{\mu\nu} c_{\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{\nu\sigma} + U \sum_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta} u_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} c_{\mu\gamma}^\dagger c_{\nu\delta}^\dagger c_{\gamma\delta} c_{\mu\gamma} .$$

(4)

where we have parametrized the two-body terms with a 4-tensor

$$u_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} = \sum_i (S^{1/2})_{\mu i}(S^{1/2})_{\nu i}(S^{1/2})_{\gamma i}(S^{1/2})_{\delta i}$$

(5)

and introduced a new hopping matrix $\tilde{t}_{\mu\nu} = (S^{1/2}tS^{1/2})_{\nu\mu}$. Notice that despite its apparent simplicity, the interaction now contains $N^4$ terms, where $N$ is the system size.

We now consider a chain geometry in which atomic orbitals overlap only with their nearest neighbors with $\langle \emptyset | \tilde{c}_i^\dagger \tilde{c}_{i \pm 1} | \emptyset \rangle = s$, such that $(S)_{i,i \pm 1} = s$ and $(S)_{i,i} = 1$. For a periodic chain with translational invariance, the matrix $S$ can be diagonalized using a Fourier transform giving eigenvalues: $\sigma_k = 1 + 2s \cos(k)$. This simple solution in terms of plane waves allows one to compute $S^{1/2}$ exactly:

$$\left( S^{1/2} \right)_{\mu j} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k e^{i(j-\mu)k} \sqrt{1 + 2s \cos(k)}. $$

(6)

The new kinetic energy term in the canonical basis will be given as:

$$H_0 = - \sum_{\mu, \sigma, \delta = 1,2} \tilde{t}(\delta) \left( c_{\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mu+\delta,\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right),$$

(7)

corresponding to a tight-binding chain with nearest neighbor hopping $\tilde{t}(\delta = 1) = t$ and second neighbor hopping $\tilde{t}(\delta = 2) = s$ (there is a constant shift in the chemical potential that we have ignored). This long range hopping automatically destroys particle-hole symmetry\cite{30-36}.

**FIG. 2.** Charge gap as a function of $U$ for several values of the overlap $s$. We also include the exact Bethe Ansatz results for $s = 0$ as a comparison.

One can now carry out an expansion of the interactions terms in powers of $s$ (see Supplemental Material ). The largest contribution remains the local on-site density-density interaction that occurs when $\mu = \nu = \delta = \gamma = 0$ which is $\mathcal{O}(1)$. In the next order $\mathcal{O}(s)$ we find correlated hopping terms obtained by plugging $\mu = \nu = \delta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$:

$$H_1 = U \frac{s}{2} \sum_{\mu, \sigma, \delta = \pm 1} \left( n_{\mu\sigma} c_{\mu\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mu + \delta} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

(8)

At order $\mathcal{O}(s^2)$ we get a density-density interaction, a pair hopping term and a direct exchange term:

$$H_2 = U \frac{s^2}{2} \sum_{\mu} \left[ \frac{1}{2} n_{\mu} n_{\mu + 1} - 2 S_{\mu} \cdot S_{\mu + 1} + \left( c_{\mu 1} c_{\mu + 1 \dagger} c_{\mu} c_{\mu + 1} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right]$$

(9)

In addition, there are other terms of order $\mathcal{O}(s^2)$ that involve operators on more than two sites:

$$H_3 = U \frac{s^2}{4} \sum_{\mu} \left( - c_{\mu \dagger} S_{\mu + 1} c_{\mu + 2} + c_{\mu 1} S_{\mu + 1} c_{\mu + 2}\dagger + c_{\mu 1} n_{\mu + 1} c_{\mu + 2} + c_{\mu \dagger} n_{\mu + 1} c_{\mu + 2}\dagger + c_{\mu 1} c_{\mu + 1} c_{\mu + 2} + c_{\mu \dagger} c_{\mu + 1} c_{\mu + 2}\dagger + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

(10)

Longer range terms of similar form will enter with progressively higher powers of the overlap $s$. At this point we notice in Eq.\textsuperscript{(9)} that the spin-spin exchange interaction
has a negative sign, indicating that it is ferromagnetic. This is the dominant direct exchange contribution because we have completely ignored the exchange integral between neighbors and only included the local Coulomb interaction in the Hubbard Hamiltonian. This, of course, it a quite artificial situation: if the overlap is large, one would also expect the Coulomb integral between neighboring sites to be significant, and not zero. This model describes a situation in which the direct exchange originates purely from the overlap between or-
neighboring sites to be significant, and not zero.\cite{37, 38}. In reality this simple expression, although a rule of thumb to serve as intuition, is not quite satisfied since it does not include the gains due to the changing bandwidth or potential energy in each phase.

Interestingly, besides a ferromagnetic (FM) phase at large values of $U$ and $s$ with spin $S_{Tot} = L/2$, we also encounter a metallic phase for small $U$ and large $s$, and an intermediate regime with ferrimagnetic order and total spin $S_{Tot} < L/2$. According to this evidence, at values of $s \sim 0.35$, for instance, the metal to insulator transition can occur simultaneously with an on-set of the magnetization as $U$ is increased. This can be observed in the behavior of the charge gap $\Delta_c = E_0(N+1) + E_0(N-1) - 2E_0(N)$, where $E_0$ is the ground state energy for a given particle number. In Fig. 2 we show results for this quantity extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit using a quadratic fit in $1/L$. In the ferromagnetic phase the gap grows linearly with $U$. At small values of the overlap $s$ the behavior of the charge gap is similar to the one of the conventional Hubbard chain, which can be calculated exactly using the Lieb-Wu Bethe Ansatz formula\cite{40}. The gap is exponentially small for small $U$ and there is no metal-insulator transition at finite values of the interaction. For this reason, it is difficult to pinpoint the boundaries of the metallic phase for large $s$. However, we observe a markedly different scaling behavior of the gap as a function of $1/L$ in the shaded range of parameters in the phase diagram, that suggest that the gap is indeed zero for small $U$. Interestingly, similar physics is observed in the Hubbard chain with second neighbor hopping $t_2$ \cite{33}. In both cases, the stabilization of a metallic phase can be attributed to the increased kinetic energy with larger $t_2$, or $s$ in our model.

In addition, we calculated the longitudinal spin structure factor $S_z(q)$ at values of $U$ and $s$ representative of the different phases, as shown in Fig.3(a). While we observe a trivial behavior in the ferromagnetic phase, we find a sharp peak at $2k_F = \pi$ in the Mott insulating ground state indicating dominant antiferromagnetic correlations, as also observed in the conventional Hubbard chain. The results in the metallic phase do not differ significantly from those on the non interacting $t_1 - t_2$ tight-binding problem, except for the kink at $k = k_F = \pi/2$. As $U$ increases into the ferrimagnetic phase, the correlations develop a peak at $k = \pi/2$ indicating an instability toward incommensurate spin order with power law decay.

We also investigated the possibility of other phases away from half-filling, namely, pairing and charge density wave. For this purpose, we calculated the particle number $N$ as a function of chemical potential $\mu$ for different values of $s$ and $U$. We do not identify plateaus nor anomalous behavior in the step size $\Delta N$, ruling out those possibilities. However, we clearly observe the particle-hole anisotropy manifested as a shrinking of the band-

![FIG. 3. (a) Static longitudinal spin structure factor $S_z(q)$ for different values of $U$ and $s$ representative of the four phases found in the phase diagram. Results are for a chain with $L = 32$ sites in the spin $S = 0$ sector. (b) Particle number $N$ as a function of chemical potential $\mu$ demonstrating the particle hole asymmetry and the flattening of the upper Hubbard band for $L = 16$, $s = 0.1$ and $U = 4.8$.](image-url)
width of the upper Hubbard band. In fact, the upper Hubbard band is almost flat for small $s \sim 0.1$, as shown in Fig.3(b). This unexpected result compels us to study the momentum resolved single particle spectrum of the model using the time-dependent DMRG method[41–45]. Fig.4 shows results for the same values of $U$ and $s$ as in Fig.3(a). In panel (a) we recognize the gapless dispersion of the metallic state modified by effective second neighbor hopping due to $s$. In Fig.4(b), the incommensurate features in the ferrimagnetic case suggest a broken translational symmetry, with the spinon dispersion shifting and centered at $k = \pi$ instead of $k = 0$. Correlations (not shown) indicate a possible weak trimerization, with the excess spin sitting in between trimers.

In the Mott insulating phase, Fig.4(c), the lower Hubbard band is practically unaffected and displays spinon and holon features with a bandwidth $W \sim 4t$. However, the upper Hubbard band, corresponding to doublon excitations is very narrow. We attribute this effect to the correlated hopping term in Eq.(8), that penalizes the motion of doublons and can be significant, due to the prefactor $Us/2 = 0.4$ for these parameters. This would give doublons an effective hopping $t_d \sim 0.6$ and a corresponding bandwidth $W \sim 4t_d = 2.4$. This is larger than the measures $W \sim 1$ but our simple intuitive arguments obviously ignore other possible effects that arise at higher order in $s$. Finally, in the ferromagnetic phase, Fig.4(d), holes move freely in a ferromagnetic background and the band is well described by a non-interacting dispersion $\epsilon_h(k) = -2t \cos k - 2s \cos 2k$. Similarly, doublons can be interpreted as up-spin electrons moving in a fully polarized background with the opposite orientation, with a dispersion of the form $\epsilon_d(k) = 2(t - Us/4) \cos k + 2(s - Us^2/2) \cos 2k$, where the extra contributions originate from Eqs.(8) and (11).

To summarize, we derived a “Wannier-Hubbard” Hamiltonian describing a lattice of non-orthogonal atomic orbitals, where electrons interact only locally via a Coulomb repulsion, akin to the conventional Hubbard model. As a consequence of the overlap between adjacent orbitals, the interactions in the Wannier basis become effectively long range. We find that the most important contributions originate from a second-neighbor hopping, correlated hopping and, most remarkably, a ferromagnetic spin exchange. Its net effect, together with the Coulomb repulsion in Eq.(9), is to push the particles apart: electrons prefer to arrange in a ferromagnetic state in which they occupy localized orthogonal orbitals and are completely disentangled, hence minimizing the potential energy. Notice that this is in effect the equivalent to Hund’s rule at a macroscopic scale. Since particles are completely localized, the charge gap in this state grows linearly with $U$.

In addition, correlated hopping for doublons induces a flattening of the upper Hubbard band. This appears as a sharp step in the $N$ versus $\mu$ curve. When this occurs, instead of phase segregating the system undergoes a transition to a ferromagnetic state.

Our model illustrates the rich physics that can be realized by simply considering additional but fundamental effects such as the overlap between adjacent atomic orbitals, that are important in materials under pressure, as experimentally demonstrated[7–9]. Our results indicate a path toward understanding the emergence of ferromagnetism in Mott insulators and potentially other novel phases beyond those encountered in simple model Hamiltonians such as the conventional Hubbard model.
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Non-canonical Fock operators

In this section we briefly review the orthogonalization procedure used to obtain a new canonical basis set, following Ref. 29. Consider a set of non-orthogonal orbitals $|\phi_i \rangle = \tilde{c}_i |0 \rangle$ that are localized a discrete set of lattice sites labeled by $i$, such as atomic orbitals. We denote the overlap matrix of these states with $S$ such that

$$\langle 0 | \tilde{c}_i \tilde{c}_j | 0 \rangle = S_{ij}. \quad (11)$$

Slater-determinants using these non-orthogonal orbitals have an equivalent representation in terms of Fock operators that obey the following non-canonical anticommutation relations:

$$\{ \tilde{c}_i, \tilde{c}_j \} = S_{ij} \quad (12)$$

To construct the canonical Fock operators can use a linear transformation of the non-orthogonal Fock operators:

$$c_\nu = \sum_i V_{\nu i} \tilde{c}_i. \quad (13)$$

We require that these operators satisfy canonical Fermi statistics:

$$\{ c^\dagger_\mu , c_\nu \} = \sum_{ij} V_{\mu i}^* S_{ij} V_{\nu j} = \delta_{\mu \nu} \quad (14)$$

Since $S$ is symmetric and positive definite we can chose $V = S^{-1/2}$ to satisfy the last equality. The inverse transformation from the orthogonal basis to non-orthogonal operators is given by:

$$\tilde{c}_i = \sum_\nu (S^{1/2})_{\nu i} c_\nu. \quad (15)$$

We notice that when adding a spin index, the different spin sectors are decoupled at the level of the $S$ and they can be treated independently.

Expansion in $s$

In the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ we can write the sum over momentum as an integral. Given the translational invariance of the problem we find that the matrix elements do not depend on the absolute values of $j$ and $\mu$ but only the distance between the two indices $j - \mu$. We will define this distance as $\rho$. The final result for the integral is given by:

$$\left( S^{1/2} \right)_{j+\rho \mu} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-ik\rho} \sqrt{1 + 2s \cos(k)} \quad (16)$$

Our goal will be to evaluate this expression to leading order in $s$ as a function of $\rho$. First we assume that $s < 1/2$ such that we can Taylor expand the square root inside the integral:

$$\sqrt{1 + 2s \cos(k)} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)^r}{r!} (2s)^r \cos^r(k) \quad (17)$$

Plugging this expression into the original integral and swapping the order of the sum and the integral we find:

$$\left( S^{1/2} \right)_{j+\rho \mu} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)^r}{r!} \frac{(2s)^r}{r!} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-ik\rho} \cos^r(k) \quad (18)$$

Now we can evaluate the integral using properties of complex exponentials:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-ik\rho} \cos^r(k) = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{m=0}^{r} \binom{r}{m} \delta_{2m,r+\rho} \quad (19)$$

Finally, by using the fact that $\cos^r(k)$ is an even function one can show that $(S^{1/2})_{j+\rho \mu} = (S^{1/2})_{j-\rho \mu}$ hence,

$$\left( S^{1/2} \right)_{j+\rho \mu} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)^r}{r!} \frac{(2s)^r}{r!} \sum_{m=0}^{r} \binom{r}{m} \delta_{2m,r+|\rho|} \quad (20)$$

Now to get the expansion to leading order, we notice that when $r < |\rho|$ the second sum over $m$ is always 0, hence the leading order term in the expansion will always correspond to $r = |\rho|$, in which case the sum reduces to 1.

$$\left( S^{1/2} \right)_{j+\rho \mu} = \left( \frac{1}{|\rho|} \right) s_{|\rho|} + O(s^{(|\rho|+1)}) \quad (21)$$

Using this relationship we can derive the terms in the Hamiltonian corresponding to each power of $s$. In particular, for the local Coulomb interaction on site $i$, we find:

$$\tilde{c}^\dagger_i \tilde{c}_i \approx \sum_{\nu \delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) s_{\nu + \delta} c^\dagger_{i+\mu} c_{i+\nu} c^\dagger_{i+\nu} c_{i+\gamma} c_{i+\delta} \quad (22)$$


