High-order time crystal phases and their fractal nature
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Discrete Floquet time crystals (DFTC) are characterized by the spontaneous breaking of the discrete time-translational invariance characteristic of Floquet driven systems. In analogy with equilibrium critical points, also time-crystalline phases display critical behaviour of different order, i.e. oscillations whose period is a multiple \( p \geq 2 \) of the Floquet driving period. Here, we introduce a new order parameter which is able to unambiguously detect crystalline phases regardless of the value of \( p \) and, at the same time, is a useful tool for chaos diagnostic. This new paradigm allows us to investigate the phase diagram of the long-range (LR) kicked Ising model to an unprecedented depth, unveiling a rich landscape characterized by self-similar fractal boundaries. Our solid theoretical picture describes the emergence of DFTCs phase both as a function of the strength and period of the Floquet drive, capturing the emergent \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) symmetry in the Floquet-Bloch waves.

Introduction: The efficacy of technological application of quantum mechanics, such as reliable quantum communication \cite{1}, high-precision quantum metrology \cite{2}, and fault-tolerant quantum computation \cite{3}, depends on the capability of preserving systems out-of-equilibrium, evading the detrimental effects of thermalization, which naturally leads to the loss of locally stored quantum information. Accordingly, much theoretical and experimental effort has been devoted to the study of a wide range of out-of-equilibrium phenomena \cite{4,5} including, among the others, thermalization of isolated quantum many-body systems \cite{6,7,8}, dynamical phase transitions \cite{9,10,11,12} and, finally, the celebrated Discrete Floquet Time Crystals (DFTC) \cite{13,14,15,16,17}.

The latter are systems where the discrete time-translation symmetry, encoded in the driven Hamiltonian \( H(t) = H(t + T) \), is spontaneously broken at the level of the expectation values, which display oscillations with a period being an integer multiple of \( T \). More precisely, in such a driven system a DFTC phase exists if, taken a class of states |\( \psi \rangle \) with short-ranged connected correlations \cite{17}, it always exists an observable \( \hat{O} \) such that the time-evolved expectation value in the thermodynamic limit \( N \to \infty \),

\[
O(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \psi(t)|O|\psi(t)\rangle, \quad (1)
\]

satisfies the following conditions \cite{23}:

1. Time-translation symmetry breaking: \( O(t + T) \neq O(t) \), although \( H(t) = H(t + T) \). This is equivalent to have long-range (LR) correlated Floquet eigenstates of the propagator \( U_F = U(t + T, t) \) \cite{17}.

2. Rigidity: \( O(t) \) must display periodic oscillations, with some period \( \tau \), in a finite and connected region of the Hamiltonian parameters space.

3. Persistence: in the large system size limit \( N \to \infty \), the oscillations of \( O(t) \) must persist for infinitely long time.

We refer to \( O(t) \) as the order parameter of the time-crystal.

Conditions 1-3 can not be satisfied by a generic many-body quantum system, in which the presence of an external driving would lead to the relaxation on an infinite-temperature state, ruling out long-lived oscillations. Protecting ordering against relaxation necessitate a mechanism to keep the impact of dynamically generated excitations under control. This is achievable, for example, in systems with strong disorder, where the diffusion of such excitations is suppressed by many-body localization (MBL) \cite{5,17,19,21,22,23,24,25}.

The possibility of generating a DFTC in clean systems has been studied in the context of LR interacting models, i.e. models in which the interaction between different lattice sites \( i, j \) decay as a power law \( J_{ij} \sim |i - j|^{-\alpha} \). LR systems have sparked a lot of attention recently, due to the possibility of experimental realizations in atomic, molecular and optical (AMO) systems \cite{26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37} and to the fact that they exhibit plenty of unique features both for the classical \cite{38} and the quantum \cite{39} regime. Indeed, while LR interactions are known to alter the universal behaviour of critical systems at equilibrium \cite{30,37}, they also generate a wide range of unprecedented out-of-equilibrium phenomena. These include novel form of dynamical phase transitions \cite{38,39}, defect formation \cite{38,40,41}, anomalous thermalization \cite{42}, information spreading \cite{43,44} and metastable phases \cite{45,46}, whose features have no-counterpart in local dynamics.

In the context of Floquet driven systems, LR interactions are known to enhance the robustness of collective oscillations \cite{43} and the presence of DFTC phases have been actually established in \( \alpha < d \) (strong LR) regime \cite{23,25,50}, while for \( \alpha > d \) the oscillations are not persistent in the \( N \to \infty \) limit \cite{21,22,51,52}. For a long time, the presence of a DFTC phase of order \( p \) (that is, with period \( pT \), for integer values of \( p \), was...
thought to be connected with the underlying $\mathbb{Z}_p$ symmetry of the model, as the Floquet driving can be engineered in such way that each spin approximately oscillates between the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-connected states. Nevertheless, high-order DFTCs were recently observed also in systems with only $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, where the order parameter oscillations display a period $pT$ (with $p > 2$) given a fixed driving period $T$. This phenomenon was deeper investigated in Ref. [34], where it was pointed out how higher-order DFTC phases are associated to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_p$ symmetry which is not apparent in the original model. Despite these preliminary studies, the comprehension of high-order time crystal phases has been fundamentally hindered by the lack of a unique order parameter capable of detecting all the DFTC phases simultaneously.

In this Letter we solve these issues, providing a fully fledged characterization of the dynamical phase diagram for the paradigmatic case of the LR Ising model, as a function both the amplitude and the period of the driving. By introducing a novel order parameter, we are able to characterize the DFTC phases of any order $p$, both as a function of the drive’s strength and period, and to diagnose the transition to chaos, only relying on geometric features of the dynamics. Such tight connection between the geometry of the dynamics and the shape of our order parameter shall allow its use in a wide range of applications.

We exploit the generality of our approach to uncover the hidden fractal nature of the time-crystalline phase diagram, which is rich of self-similar structures, each of them representing a different high-order DFTC phase. In spite of this complexity, we are able to develop a theoretical framework which captures quantitatively the salient features of the phase diagram, both with analytical and numerical tools. Our analysis reproduces the known features of the time-crystals and displays the emergence of new universal properties. Furthermore, by performing extensive numerical simulations, we find that the emergent $\mathbb{Z}_p$ symmetry is present also at the level of the Floquet eigenstates, which can be interpreted as Bloch superposition of $p$ localized semi-classical states.

The model: As a paradigmatic example of the phenomenology we want to study, let us consider the case of a chain of $N$ spin 1/2 particles, interacting through the Floquet-driven LR Ising Hamiltonian:

$$
H = -\frac{J}{2N} \sum_{i,j \geq 1} |i-j|^{-\alpha} + h(t) \sum_i \sigma_z^i, \quad (2)
$$

where $\alpha < d$, $\sigma_x^i, \sigma_y^i, \sigma_z^i$ are the Pauli operator relative to the lattice site $i$, $h(t)$ is a driving periodic with period $T$ and $N_\alpha = \sum_{|j| \geq 0} |j|^{-\alpha}$ is the Kac scaling factor needed in order to have an extensive energy $\mathcal{E}_N$. At $t = 0$, the system is initialized in the ground state of the $h(t) = 0$ Hamiltonian, $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\cdots\cdots\rangle$. Without loss of generality we fix the energy scale such that $J = 1$.

As shown in Refs. [17, 18] the out-of-equilibrium dynamics of the system for any $\alpha < d$ is expected to converge to the $\alpha = 0$ case in the thermodynamic limit, so that in the following we are going to set $\alpha = 0$ and $N_\alpha = N$. The dynamics under consideration is the one of the kicked Ising model, in which the driving is given by

$$
h(t) = \psi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT). \quad (3)
$$

We choose to investigate the evolution of the observables $m_n(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \langle \sigma_z^j \rangle$ (where $a = x, y, z$) which correspond to the components of the magnetization of the system. In the $N \to \infty$ limit, it is possible to write a closed evolution equation for $m(t)$ [54], which leads, in terms of the stroboscopic magnetization $m_n \equiv m(nT)$, to the map

$$
m_{n+1} = f(m_n) = R_z(2\psi)R_z(-m_{x,n}T)m_n, \quad (4)
$$

with $m_0 = (1,0,0)$ and where $R_{x,y,z}(\xi)$ is the rotation matrix of an angle $\xi$ around the corresponding axis (see Supp. Mat. [55] and Ref. [50]). The constraint $m^2 = 1$ is preserved, as consequence of the global angular momentum conservation, so that the motion takes place on a Bloch sphere [54]. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry of the model is instead encoded into the dynamical symmetry $\psi \to \psi + \pi/2$, $m_n \to R_z(\pi n)m_n$ of Eq. [4].

Results: Depending on the values of $T$ and $\psi$ we observe different dynamical phases. In particular we can individuate three different phases: (i) a phase in which $m_n$ shows a chaotic, non-periodic behavior, with a a strong sensitivity to initial conditions, (ii) a quasi-periodic phase, in which $m_n$ has a non-integer period, sensitive to small changes in $\psi$, (iii) the periodic one in which $m_n$ exhibits an integer period $p$, robust with respect to small changes of $\psi$, with an additional small quasi-periodic modulation (referred as micromotion in Ref. [53]). Within the latter scenario we can distinguish the trivial $p = 1$ case (in which the discrete time translation symmetry is not broken) the period-doubling DFTC for $p = 2$, and the higher-order DFTC phases for $p > 2$. Due to the dynamical $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry of the system, the presence of an order $p$ DFTC for some $\psi$ automatically implies the presence of DFTC in correspondence of $\psi - \pi/2$, of order $p$ if $p$ is even, $2p$ if $p$ is odd.

In order to distinguish between these phases, and in particular to pinpoint the periodic phase, we need an order parameter which does not depend on the order $p$ of the DFTC. To this extent we introduce the quantity

$$
\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} (m_{x,n}(\psi + \delta \psi) - m_{x,n}(\psi))^2, \quad (5)
$$

in the limit $\delta \psi \to 0$, $n_{\text{max}} \to \infty$ with $n_{\text{max}} \delta \psi = O(1)$ fixed. Intuitively this choice can be understood by noticing that in the DFTC phase $\zeta$ only depends on the fluctuations induced by the micro-motion and it is expected
FIG. 1. Left panel: Color plot of the order parameter $\zeta$ as a function of the amplitude $\psi$ and the period $T$ of the driving, saturated at the value $\zeta = \sqrt{2/3}$, with $n_{\text{max}} = 300$, $\delta \psi = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$. Top-right panel: Normalized occurrence frequency $p(\zeta)$ of $\zeta$. The gap between the DFTC phase, localized around $\zeta = 0$, and the quasi-periodic one, localized around $\zeta \approx 0.36$ is apparent. On the right, the profile of the Gaussian distribution around $\zeta = \sqrt{2/3}$, characteristic of the chaotic phase. Bottom-right panel: Detail of the $T < 3$ region of the phase diagram. The order of the principal DFTC phases is indicated, along with the theoretical prediction for small $T$ of the boundaries between the phases (blue, red, green solid lines respectively for the $p = 1, 2, 4$, $p = 3, 6$ islands). The prolongation of the boundaries of the $p = 3$, $p = 6$ islands (dashed red line) gives a good estimate of the onset of chaos, which disrupts the time-crystal phases at large $T$.

to be small and possibly vanishing, while this is no longer true in the quasi-periodic region, in which the period depends on $\psi$. As shown in the Supp. Mat. [55], $\zeta$ actually exhibits a finite jump as we pass from the DFTC to the quasi-periodic or chaotic phases. In the chaotic phase, on the other hand, the two series $m_n(\psi)$, $m_n(\psi + \delta \psi)$ become unrelated, so that $\zeta^2$ is expected to be distributed as a Gaussian around $\zeta^2 = 2/3$ with a width of the order $O(n_{\text{max}}^{1/2})$ (see Supp. Mat. [55]). This picture is indeed confirmed by the Fig. (1) (top-right panel), in which the occurrence frequency of different values of $\zeta$ is plotted, showing a gap between the DFTC phase ($\zeta \lesssim 0.25$) and the quasi-periodic one ($\zeta \gtrsim 0.36$), with an additional Gaussian peak around $\zeta = \sqrt{2/3} \approx 0.816$, corresponding to the chaotic phase.

We conclude that $\zeta$ is not only a natural choice to define the DFTC and measures its distance from the perfect crystalline case, but it turns out to be an useful choice in chaos diagnostic as well. This considerations are expected to be very general, depending only on the geometrical features of the map.

The value of the order parameter $\zeta$ as a function of $\psi$ and $T$ is shown in Fig. 1 (left-panel). The resulting structure is strikingly complex and convoluted. The symmetry around the $\psi = \pi/4$ is a consequence of the dynamical $Z_2$ symmetry. For small values of $T$ the quasi-periodic phase is prevalent, while small islands of the periodic phase appear around some particular values of $\psi$ which corresponds to rational multiples of $\pi$. Initially, the size of these islands grows with $T$ and, as they get closer to each other, chaotic regions start to appear around their boundaries. Finally, all the islands corresponding to a DFTC of order $p > 2$ disappear gradually as they are swallowed by the chaotic phase, the last one being the $p = 4$ one. In correspondence of particular values of the driving period we have a revival of the higher-order DFTC phases, especially visible in correspondence of $p = 4$.

The boundary between the chaotic and the DFTC phase is not smooth: rather it presents plenty of self-similar patterns which are repeated at smaller and smaller scales. Taking as an example the boundary of the $p = 3$ island, the numerical estimate of its Minkowski-Bouligand dimension [59], $d_{MB}$, gives the value

$$d_{MB} \approx 1.4(2) > 1$$

(see Supp. Mat. [55]) indicating a fractal nature. This is compatible with the estimate of $d_{MB}$ in the entire phase diagram displayed on Fig. 1. The appearance of fractal scaling for time crystalline phase boundaries draws a direct analogy with similar phenomena in traditional critical systems, especially percolation, self-avoiding random walks and Potts model [57, 58], where a rigorous connection between conformal invariance and stochastic evolution has been verified [59, 60].

As already noticed in Ref. [61], the formation DFTC islands can be understood within the formalism of area-preserving maps [62], and in particular can be linked to the existence of Arnold tongues [62]. By exploiting this
picture, we were able to obtain analytic predictions about the structure of the phase diagram at leading order in $T$. As shown in the Supp. Mat. [55] indeed, the formation of a DFTC phase of order $p$ can be linked to the properties of the $p$-iterated map $f^p(\mathbf{m})$, close to the resonant points $\psi = \psi_r \equiv r \pi$ with $r = q/p$, where $q,p$ are coprime integers. It is possible to derive an effective Hamiltonian which generates the $p$-iterated evolution in the DFTC phase. While the couplings of the effective Hamiltonian get renormalized, its general structure is independent on $\psi_r$, accounting thus for the self-similar structure of the phase diagram.

In turn, this universal structure allows to derive the form of the boundary between the lower-order DFTC regions and the quasi-periodic ones; which are consistent with the ones obtained through the numerics, as shown in Fig. 1 (bottom-right panel). In particular we find that the boundaries corresponding to $r = 0,1$ are well described for small $T$ by the equation $T = 4 |\delta \psi|$ (blue solid lines); the ones of the $r = 1/4$ island by the curve $T^2 = 32 |\delta \psi|$ (green solid lines); while the equation $T^4 = 24\sqrt{3} |\delta \psi|$ describes the right/left boundary respectively of the $r = 1/3, r = 1/6$ islands (red solid lines). According to the criterion introduced by Chirikov [63], the value of $T$ at which two of these curves intersect can be taken as an estimate of the threshold $T_\star$ beyond which the chaos takes over: this gives the estimate $T_\star = (12 \pi^2)^{1/4} \approx 3.299$ for onset of chaos in the $p = 4$ island, which is in excellent agreement with the numerics. The uncovering of such a universal, scale-invariant, structure in the DFTC phase is both unprecedented and unexpected and allows for a deeper understanding of the phenomenon.

**Numerical analysis:** Our comprehensive description of the DFTC phases is grounded on the solid foundation of chaos theory. This profound parallelism is granted by the presence of LR interactions in the Hamiltonian in [2], which suppress fluctuations and thermalization effects in the thermodynamic limit. On the other hand, it was established in Ref. [28] that the $p = 2$ DFTC (around $\psi = \pi/2$) survives to finite sizes $N$, where the Floquet eigenstates have equal amplitudes on both the two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetric sectors of the Hilbert space. One may wonder if such a picture can be generalized to higher order DFTC phases, to infer the resilience of our thermodynamic description to finite $N$. To do so, we performed the exact diagonalization of the $\alpha = 0$ model, explicitly computing the structure of the Floquet eigenstates $|\eta_m\rangle$.

The fact that the modulus of the total spin $S$ of the system is conserved allows us to restrict ourselves to the subspace with $S^z = s(s + 1)$, with $s = N/2$, and thus to consider larger sizes ($N = 800$) [23, 64]. In Fig. 2, we show the projection of the Floquet eigenstates $|\eta_m\rangle$ onto the spin coherent states $|\Omega_{\theta,\phi}\rangle$ with $s = N/2$, $\theta, \phi$ being the polar coordinates relative to $z$-axis [65]. These eigenstates correspond to the three different phases of the system: while no structure is present in the chaotic phase (Fig. 2, bottom panel), in the quasi-periodic phase the eigenstate is localized in a connected region of the $(\theta, \phi)$ space (Fig. 2, top panel: curves (a) and (c)), while in the $p = 4$ DFTC phase it appears localized around the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ symmetric points (Fig. 2, top panel: curve (b)). This behavior can be explained within our picture. Indeed, close to a resonance, the Floquet evolution can be interpreted semi-classically as a tight-binding hopping between adjacent wells in the space $(\phi, \cos \theta)$, each one localized around $\psi = k \psi_r$ (with $k = 1, \ldots, p - 1$), so that the corresponding $|\eta_m\rangle$ will take the form of a Bloch superposition

$$
|\Omega_{\theta,\phi}\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e^{2i\pi k/p} W_m(I, \phi - k \psi_r) \tag{7}
$$

of the $p$ wavefunctions $W(I, \phi - k \psi_r)$, each localized around $\phi = k \psi_r$ and connected to the following by the Floquet propagator: $U_T W_m(I, \phi - k \psi_r) = e^{i 2\pi k / p} W_m(I, \phi - (k + 1) \psi_r)$. As a consequence, we expect this quantity to be localized around the fixed points of the $p$-iterated
evolution in the time-crystalline phase. The agreement obtained between this semiclassical description and the numerical description obtained by exact diagonalization proves the validity of our analysis also at finite values of $N$ and $T$.

Conclusions: In this work we have investigated the mechanism underlying the formation of a higher-order Discrete Floquet Time-Crystal (DFTC) in clean long-range (LR) systems by using the case of the LR kicked Ising model as a paradigmatic example. The pressing need for this study was generated by the recent depiction of high order DFTC phases \[50, 53\], which raised numerous questions on the evolution and interplay of DFTC phases as a function of the various drive parameters. Here, we presented a comprehensive theoretical picture, substantiated by extensive numerical simulations, capable to answer these questions. This was made possible by the introduction of a new, unique, order parameter $\zeta$ which captures the features of the DFTC independently of its order. This order parameter, moreover, turns out to be able to detect quantum chaos and is a valuable tool in chaos diagnostic.

The resulting phase diagram of the model, as a function of the $\psi$, $T$ unveils a surprisingly rich and complex structure, which has not been noticed before. It exhibits a self-similar structure and non integer fractal dimension in the boundary of the chaotic phase, which we were able to numerically estimate. DFTC phase islands develop as Arnold tongues \[62\] from the value $T = 0$, around the values $\psi_r = r\pi$, with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, to be swallowed by the onset of chaos as $T$ increases further. As discussed in Ref. \[50\], these are intimately connected to the emergence of resonance in the phase space, predicted by the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem \[66\] \[67\] and its quantum counterpart \[68\]. Through our theoretical analysis, however, we were here able to draw quantitative predictions valid for the whole phase diagram, and fully explain its emergent self-similar structure in terms of a an effective Hamiltonian map with renormalized couplings.

Since our picture only relies on generic features of our system (such as the LR nature of the interactions, the presence of an Hamiltonian structure, and conservation laws) we expect it to apply to a wide class of models. This could be verified explicitly in a large variety of systems, e.g. spin model with continuous $O(n)$ symmetric LR interactions. Further investigation is needed to check how our picture is modified in the prethermal regime $\alpha > d$.

Our newly introduced observable $\zeta$ is easily accessible in the experiments, so that our predictions on the phase diagram may be tested e.g. in NMR experiments on driven, ordered systems \[22\]. Our characterization of the higher-order, stable DFTC phases could as well pave the way for a series of advancement in the field of quantum technologies.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: FRACTAL NATURE OF CLEAN HIGHER-ORDER DISCRETE TIME CRYSTALS

A. Derivation of Eq. (4)

Here we revise the derivation of the dynamic map of Eq. (4) of the main text, i.e. the evolution equation of the magnetization $m_a(t) = \sum_j \langle \hat{\sigma}_a^j \rangle / N$, at stroboscopic times $t_n = nT$, in the thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$.

First we notice how, due to the impulsive nature of the magnetic field $h(t)$, the Floquet propagator can be written as the product of two different operators:

$$U_F = e^{-2i\psi \hat{S}_z} e^{iJT\hat{S}_z^2/N} ,$$

where we introduced the global spin operators

$$\hat{S}_a = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \hat{\sigma}_a^j ,$$

with $a = x, y, z$. Being $\hat{S}_x$ the generator of $O(3)$ rotations around the $x$ axis, the kick term $\exp(-2i\psi \hat{S}_z)$ in Eq. (8) acts on the observable $\mathbf{m}$ simply as a rotation around the $z$-axis. On the other hand, the other term describes the evolution over one period $T$ of $\mathbf{m}$ induced by the second term on the r.h.s of Eq. (8). The Heisenberg equations of motion corresponding to such evolution for the operators $\hat{S}_a$ are:

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}\hat{S}_x &= 0 , \\
\frac{d}{dt}\hat{S}_y &= \frac{J}{N} \left( \hat{S}_x \hat{S}_z + \hat{S}_z \hat{S}_x \right) , \\
\frac{d}{dt}\hat{S}_z &= -\frac{J}{N} \left( \hat{S}_x \hat{S}_y + \hat{S}_y \hat{S}_x \right) .
\end{align*}$$
According to the general theory developed in Ref. [54], for $N \to \infty$ the spin-spin correlation become negligible, that is $\langle \hat{S}_a \hat{S}_b \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{S}_a \rangle \langle \hat{S}_b \rangle$, so that Eqs. (10) become a closed set of equations for $m_a = 2 \langle \hat{S}_a \rangle / N$, namely:

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{m}_x &= 0, \\
\dot{m}_y &= Jm_xm_z, \\
\dot{m}_z &= -Jm_xm_y.
\end{align*}$$

(11)

In turn this results after a time $T$ in a (clockwise) rotation around the $x$-axis, of angle $JTm_x(t)$. Posing $J = 1$, the overall effect of the Eq. 8 on our observable $m$ is the one of Eq.(4) of the main text.

B. Hamiltonian chaos and the order parameter $\zeta$

In this Section we will derive in detail some properties of the order parameter $\zeta$, in the context of the theory of symplectic maps.

Indeed, let us notice that the map of Eq. (4) inherits a Hamiltonian structure, which is manifest in the fact that the area of any region on the sphere $m^2 = 1$ is preserved by the action of $f(m)$. In terms of the usual polar coordinates along the $z$ axis, $m = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta)$, the area element can be written as $dS = d\cos \theta d\phi$, so that $\phi$ and $I = \cos \theta$ are natural canonical conjugate variables for our system. This is coherent with the picture developed in Ref.[54], and can be intuitively understood by thinking of $I$ as the $z$ component of the angular momentum, and $\phi$ the coordinate corresponding to rotation around the $z$ axis.

In particular, in the limit $T = 0$, the map becomes

$$I_{n+1} = I_n, \quad \phi_{n+1} = \phi_n + 2\psi,$$

(12)

with $I_0 = 0$, $\phi_0 = \pi/2$. This correspond to the stroboscopic section of an integrable dynamics, $\phi$ and $I$ playing the role of an angle-action pair. In terms of the Floquet phases introduced in our paper, this implies a quasi-periodic evolution of $m_n$, with period $\pi/\psi$.

As a small $T$ is switched on, it can be treated as a perturbation to the map [12]. In this case, the fate of the system is described by the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser theorem [69][71], according to which the torus $I = \text{const}$ is only deformed as long as the corresponding frequency is not resonant. In turns this means that the quasi-periodic phase survives as long as $\psi$ is not close to a rational multiple of $\pi$. In the case of $\psi$ close to a $q : p$ resonance, i.e. $\psi = \psi_r \equiv r\pi$, with $r = q/p$ and $p$ and $q$ coprime integers, according to the Poincare-Birkhoff theorem, pairs of elliptic and unstable fixed points are expected to arise. In this case the action of the $p$-iterated map $f^p(m)$ individuates different regions in the phase space $(I, \phi)$, corresponding to different possible behaviors of $m_n$. In particular, if $(I_0, \phi_0)$ is far from the fixed points, we have a rotation dynamics, with $\phi$ growing from 0 to $2\pi$, and we have a quasi-periodic behavior. If $(I_0, \phi_0)$ is close to one of the centers instead, we have a libration dynamics, with $\phi$ oscillating around a finite value. As a consequence, $m_{n+p}$ remains close to $m_n$, and we have a DFTC phase. At the boundaries between this two regions a chaotic region is expected to arise which, as $T$ increases, grows and possibly swallows the regular ones.

Let us analyze the consequence of this picture on the order parameter $\zeta$: to consider different values of the amplitude, $\psi, \psi + \delta \psi$ it means that we are considering to nearby initial conditions on the phase space. Both in the DFTC phase and in the quasi-periodic one the evolution is not chaotic, so that the two trajectories will diverge polynomially in time. As a consequence

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} (m_{x,n}(\psi + \delta \psi) - m_{x,n}(\psi))^2 \sim \frac{\ell}{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \delta \psi^2 n^2 \sim \ell (\delta \psi n_{\text{max}})^2,$$

(13)

where $\ell$ depends on the the average distance between the two randomly chosen points of the two nearby trajectories. While the r.h.s. of Eq. 13 remains finite and $O(1)$ as $n_{\text{max}} \to \infty$, $\delta \psi \to 0$, $\ell$ jumps discontinuously as we pass from the libration regime (corresponding to a DFTC phase) and the rotation one (corresponding to a quasi-periodic phase). In particular, as we approach the the fixed point of the iterate map, i.e. in the regime in which the micro-motion is becomes negligible, $\zeta \to 0$, so that $\zeta$ is able to quantify how far away is our system from the pure crystalline regime. Let us notice, however, how the other values of $\zeta$ in this two phases are not universal, as they depend on the choice of $\lim_{\delta \psi \to 0} n_{\text{max}} \delta \psi$.

In the chaotic phase, instead, the trajectories diverge exponentially, so that after a time-scale $n_{\text{max}} \sim -\log(\delta \psi)$ the memory of the initial condition is lost. In this case we can assume each $m_{x,n}(\psi)$ and $m_{x,n}(\psi + \delta \psi)$ to be drawn
FIG. 3. Color plot of the order parameter $\zeta$ saturated at the value $\zeta = \sqrt{2/3}$ with $n_{\text{max}} = 300$, $\delta \psi = 10^{-4}$ (left) and corresponding normalized occurrence frequency $p(\zeta)$ of $\zeta$ for this region of the phase diagram.

from a set of equally distributed random variables with zero mean. As a consequence, according to the central limit theorem, $\zeta^2$ is distributed as a Gaussian around the value

$$\langle \zeta^2 \rangle = 2 \langle m_x^2 \rangle$$

with a variance $O(n_{\text{max}}^{-1})$. Assuming furthermore the distribution of the three components to be isotropic, and taking into account the constraint $m^2 = 1$, we have

$$\langle m_x^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \langle m^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3},$$

so that $\langle \zeta^2 \rangle = 2/3$.

C. Non-integer dimension of the chaotic phase boundary

The Minkowski-Bouligand, or box-counting, dimension is defined as follows: let us cover our space with and evenly spaced square grid of side $\epsilon$. Said $N(\epsilon)$ the number of boxes which lies on the boundary, then the dimension is defined as

$$d_{MB} \equiv - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\ln N(\epsilon)}{\ln \epsilon}.$$ 

Since we are interested to the border between the chaotic and the DFTC phases, we restricted ourselves to a region of the phase diagram in which only these phases are present. Here we choose $\psi \in (0.94, 1.01)$, $T \in (2.3, 2.8)$, which corresponds to the edge of the $p = 3$ island. The region is shown in Fig. 3 left panel. To precisely define our boundary, we have here to define a threshold $\zeta^*$ such that a point with $\zeta > \zeta^*$ is considered to belong to the chaotic phase.

Since for any finite $n_{\text{max}}$ the distribution of $\zeta$ in the chaotic phase around $\zeta = 0.816$ has a finite width, it is convenient, in this case, to choose $\delta \psi$ such that, $n_{\text{max}} \delta \psi << 1$. In this regime, indeed, the distribution of $\zeta$ in the DFTC phase is sharply peaked around 0, and the separation of the two phases more pronounced. If, however, we choose $\delta \psi$ to be too small, the diagnostic of the chaotic region will not be accurate, as $m_n(\psi)$ will not forget its initial condition for $n \sim n_{\text{max}}$. We checked that the choice $n_{\text{max}} = 300$, $\delta \psi = 10^{-4}$ is close to the optimal one. The separation between the different phases, shown in Fig. 3 left panel, is clear.

The behavior of $d_{MB}$ as a function of the cutoff $\zeta^*$ Fig. 4 left panel: as expected i, $d_{MB}$ shows a very weak dependence on $\zeta^*$ within a finite interval, signaling that the two phases are well distinct. The corresponding value of the fractal dimension turns out to be $d_{MB} \approx 1.42$. Repeating the procedure with slightly different values of $n_{\text{max}}$ and $\delta \psi$ gives an uncertainty of the order of order $10^{-2}$ on the above result.

In Fig. 4 right panel, we reported the behavior of $d_{MB}$ as a function of the threshold $\zeta^*$ for the whole phase-diagram of Fig. 1 of the main text. To rule out the effect of the quasi-periodic phase, which is now present, we have to restrict
ourselves to the region $\zeta_* \gtrsim 0.36$. As a consequence, the resulting estimate is far less accurate, and the dependence of $d_{MB}$ on $\zeta_*$ more pronounced. We see, however, that the our previous, local, estimate is fully compatible with this global results.

D. Small $T$ expansion

Let us now derive the results presented in the paper, which are valid for small $T$ and $\psi$ close to $\psi_r = r\pi$, where $r = q/p$, $q,p$ being coprime integers.

First, let us expand the map of Eq. (4) of the main text to the first order in $T$. As in Section B, it is convenient to parametrize the magnetization as $m = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ and introduce the canonical coordinates $I = \cos \theta$, $\phi$. We obtain

$$I_{n+1} = I_n - \frac{T}{2} (1 - I_{n+1}^2) \sin 2\phi_n + O(T^2),$$
$$\phi_{n+1} = \phi_n + 2\psi + \frac{T}{2} I_{n+1} (1 + \cos 2\phi_n) + O(T^2).$$

(17)

Let us notice that the $O(T)$ terms on the r.h.s. of the above equations, $I_{n+1}$ can be replaced by $I_n$ up to higher order corrections. This choice guarantees that our approximation preserves the symplectic structure of the original map. The above is invariant under the discrete translation $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi_n + n\pi$; this is a consequence of the dynamical $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry of the original model.

Let us now build the equivalent of the map (17) for the generic $\tilde{p}$-iterated map. For $T = 0$ we have than that the action of the $\tilde{p}$-iterated map is trivially $\phi_{n+\tilde{p}} = \phi_n + 2\tilde{p}\psi$, $I_{n+\tilde{p}} = I_n$. Then, up to higher order in $T$ we can write:

$$I_{n+\tilde{p}} = I_n - \frac{\tilde{p}T}{2} (1 - I_{n+p}^2)U_{\tilde{p}}(\psi) \sin(2\phi_n + 2(\tilde{p} - 1)\psi),$$
$$\phi_{n+\tilde{p}} = \phi_n + 2\tilde{p}\psi + \frac{\tilde{p}T}{2} I_{n+\tilde{p}} [1 + U_{\tilde{p}}(\psi) \cos(2\phi_n + 2(\tilde{p} - 1)\psi)],$$

(18)

where

$$U_{\tilde{p}}(\psi) = \frac{\sin 2\tilde{p}\psi}{\tilde{p} \sin 2\psi}.$$ 

(19)

Being $T$ small, in general the $2\tilde{p}\psi$ term in the r.h.s. of the second equation od Eq (18) is going to dominate the evolution so that, we expect to find ourselves in the quasi-periodic phase. However, as $\tilde{p}\psi \sim k\pi$ for some integer $k$ we have that this terms becomes small as well, signaling a the onset of the Poincaré-Birkhoff mechanism (for $k$ odd, this is due to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, which allows us to reabsorb the term by redefining $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi_n + n\pi$).
Let us put ourselves close to a resonance, i.e. let us consider the limit \( \psi = \psi_r + \delta \psi \) with \( \delta \psi \ll \pi/p \). If \( p \) is odd, the smallest choice of \( \tilde{p} \) which makes the term \( \tilde{p} \delta \psi \) small is \( \tilde{p} = p \); if \( p \) is even, however, we have to choose \( \tilde{p} = p/2 \) (and redefine \( \phi_n \to \phi_n + n\pi \)). In this limit the Eq. (18) becomes

\[
I_{n+\tilde{p}} = I_n - \frac{\tilde{p}T}{2} (1 - I_{n+\tilde{p}}^2) a_r \sin(2\phi_n - 2\psi_r) ,
\]

\[
\phi_{n+\tilde{p}} = \phi_n + 2\tilde{p}\delta \psi + \frac{\tilde{p}T}{2} I_{n+\tilde{p}} (1 + a_r \cos(2\phi_n - 2\psi_r)) ,
\]

where

\[
a_r = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p = 1, 2 \\
2(-1)^{(p-1)/2}\csc(2\psi_r)\delta \psi & \text{if } p \geq 3 .
\end{cases}
\]

Let us notice how in Eq. (20) the evolution of both \( \phi \) and \( I \) is now slow, signaling that the \( \tilde{p} \)-iterated map can be approximated by a continuous flow. In order to do so, we have to redefine the time scale \( \tilde{p}T \to T \), such that \( \phi_{n+\tilde{p}}, I_{n+\tilde{p}} \to \phi_{n+1}, I_{n+1} \) now by introducing the time step \( \Delta t = \tilde{p}T \), and expanding \( I_{n+1} = I_n + \dot{I} T + O(T^2) \), \( \phi_{n+1} = \phi_n + \dot{\phi} T + O(T^2) \). We find then

\[
\dot{I} = \frac{a_r}{2} (1 - I^2) \sin(2\phi - 2\psi_r) ,
\]

\[
\dot{\phi} = 2\tilde{p}\delta \psi + \frac{1}{2} I (1 + a_r \cos(2\phi - 2\psi_r)) .
\]

In turn, this can has the form of an Hamiltonian flow, generated by

\[
H(\phi, J) = \frac{\delta \psi}{T} I - \frac{1}{4} (1 - I^2) (1 + a_r \cos(2\phi - 2\psi_r)) .
\]

By taking into account our initial condition, namely \( \phi(0) = \pi/2 \), \( J(0) = 0 \) we have that our \( p \)-iterated dynamics takes place along the curve \( H(I, \phi) = -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - a_r \cos(2\psi_r)\right) \).

If this curve is bounded between two finite values of the angle \( \phi \), the dynamics will circle around a fixed point, signaling that we are within the time crystalline phase. If, instead, the curves cover the whole interval \( [0, 2\pi] \), we have a quasi-periodic motion. Finally, close to the separatrix between these two cases, chaos is expected to arise, so that the boundary between these two regimes in terms of \( T \), \( \phi \) can be taken as an estimate of the edge of the DFTC for small \( T \).

For \( p = 1, 2 \), this criterion gives the condition \( T = 4|\delta \psi| \). For \( p > 2 \), instead, at the lowest order in \( \delta \psi \) we find, for any \( \psi_r < \pi/2 \), the condition

\[
T^2 = \begin{cases} 
8 \tilde{p}^2 \tan \psi_r |\delta \psi| & \text{if } \delta \psi < 0 \\
8 \tilde{p}^2 \cot \psi_r |\delta \psi| & \text{if } \delta \psi > 0 .
\end{cases}
\]

Let us notice how Eq. (24) captures both the non-analytic behavior of the boundary of the DFTC and its lack of symmetry around the resonant value \( \psi_r \) for \( r \neq 1/4 \). However, in order for the result to be predictible at the quantitative level we have to impose that the term \( \delta \psi/T \) in Hamiltonian (23) to be small, this implies that the steepest curve between the two of Eq. (24) is not a good approximation of the boundary in the whole region \( |\delta \psi| \sim \pi/p \), and its coefficient is not reliable.

For \( r = 1/4 \) \( (\tilde{p} = 2) \) Eq. (24) gives

\[
T^2 = 32|\delta \psi| ,
\]

while for \( r = 1/6 \) and \( r = 1/3 \) \( (\tilde{p} = 3) \) we find that the steepest edge grows respectively as

\[
T^2 = \pm 24\sqrt{3}|\delta \psi| .
\]


