Perceptual Contrast Stretching on Target Feature for Speech Enhancement
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Abstract

Speech enhancement (SE) performance has improved considerably since the use of deep learning (DL) models as a base function. In this study, we propose a perceptual contrast stretching (PCS) approach to further improve SE performance. PCS is derived based on the critical band importance function and applied to modify the targets of the SE model. Specifically, PCS stretches the contract of target features according to perceptual importance, thereby improving the overall SE performance. Compared to post-processing based implementations, incorporating PCS into the training phase preserves performance and reduces online computation. It is also worth noting that PCS can be suitably combined with different SE model architectures and training criteria. Meanwhile, PCS does not affect the causality or convergence of the SE model training. Experimental results on the VoiceBank-DEMAND dataset showed that the proposed method can achieve state-of-the-art performance on both causal (PESQ = 3.07) and non-causal (PESQ = 3.35) SE tasks.

Index Terms: Speech enhancement, contrast stretching, perceptual importance

1. Introduction

Speech enhancement (SE) aims to remove noise components from noisy speech and accordingly improve the speech quality and intelligibility. SE has been used at an important front-end of many speech-related studies, such as automatic speech recognition [1,2], speaker recognition [3,4], and assistive listening devices [5,6]. Traditionally, SE algorithms are designed based on the assumption of speech and noise signals. Notable approaches include [7] and [8]. These approaches are effective in some stationary noise scenarios wherein the signals conform to the assumptions. However, in most real-world noisy scenarios, time-varying noise exhibits non-stationary properties, resulting in suboptimal performance of these traditional SE methods.

In recent years, deep learning (DL) has been widely used in various research fields, including SE tasks. By using DL models as the base mapping function, SE performance has improved notably [9-11]. Although these DL-based methods achieve satisfactory performance under testing conditions covered by the training data, their performance degrades when operating under unseen conditions. This can be attributed to two factors: First, the network architecture may not adequately consider the sequential nature of speech signals. Second, a regression function optimised by L1/L2 distance-based objective functions can somehow average out important signal patterns. This can lead to low precision or musical noise in the enhanced speech.

Numerous approaches have been proposed to further improve the DL-based SE systems. One group of approaches aims to explore suitable acoustic features to improve the SE performance. Notable examples include waveform [12], and complex spectral features [13]. Another group of approaches attempts to use advanced networks that better model sequential signals are proposed. Notable examples include recurrent neural networks [13], fully convolutional networks [13], long-short term memory [10], transformers [17], and generative adversarial networks [18,19]. The third group of approaches derives advanced objective functions that can provide more accurate training on speech quality or intelligibility. Notable examples include differentiable speech metrics [19,13], and deep feature losses [20,21], wherein losses are computed in representative or discriminative feature spaces. Aside from the above-mentioned approaches, this study investigates another direction to improve the SE performance: modifying the target features of the SE model and post-processing (PP).

PP has been derived to further modify the enhanced speech to match the statistical properties of clean speech [10,22,23]. Experimental results show that such approaches can further sharpen the structure of enhanced speech and suppress residual noise. Moreover, PP is compatible with any system to further improve the SE performance. Following this direction, we propose a novel perceptual contrast stretching (PCS) approach to enhance the contrasts of the target features of the SE model. The PCS can be implemented as a PP for SE or incorporated into the SE training phase, where the latter implementation can avoid the increased computation cost during inference.

Gamma correction approaches have proven to be effective for image enhancement [24]. Inspired by these approaches, the proposed PCS approach utilizes the characteristics of the human auditory system. We first examined the effectiveness of PCS by incorporating it in the SE training phase. Next, we advanced further by implementing it as a PP, where notable improvements were obtained. Specifically, PCS stretches the contrasts of the target features in the training data according to a set of auditory weights. The weights are designed based on the critical band importance [24], which is perceptually correlated with the human auditory system. The proposed PCS has three major advantages. First, it is highly compatible with different SE systems (either traditional or DL-based). Second, no additional parameters are required in the SE model. Third, it does not affect the causality of the causal SE models. We compared PCS and several different contrast stretching strategies in the experiments. The evaluation scores show that the proposed PCS outperforms other feature-enhancement approaches. State-of-the-art results (PESQ score = 3.35) were achieved when it was combined with the best SE model.

2. Related works

We introduce two major categories of related studies. Inspirations from these previous studies helped us design our proposed contrast-stretching training strategy for SE.
2.1. Gamma correction

The proposed PCS is partially inspired by image processing. First, we introduce gamma correction [26], which has been widely adopted as a contrast enhancement approach in computer vision research. Based on human vision systems, the eye’s brightness perception from graphical information is affected by the brightest part of the image. Specifically, the relationship between perceived brightness and physical brightness can be derived as a nonlinear transfer function. The gamma correction was designed based on this function. It can be derived as a power-law operation, and is organized as:

\[ V_{out} = AV_{in}^\gamma \]  

where \( V_{in} \) is the input signal value, \( \gamma \) is the modulation parameter, \( A \) is the scaling function (usually a constant), and \( V_{out} \) is the output signal value. For example, standard red green blue (sRGB), which is widely used in monitors and printers, uses a gamma value of 2.2 (\( \gamma = 2.2 \)) in their transfer function to provide a better perception. Another color space, the Digital Cinema Initiatives - Protocol 3 (DCI-P3) uses a gamma value of 2.6 (\( \gamma = 2.6 \)). In these operations, the input signals must be normalized to a range between zero and one to ensure that the boundary values and the minimal and maximal values of the input signal remain invariant after the operation.

In recent SE research, Zhang et. al [27] applied a weighting mechanism to the training target, similar to a gamma correction using a dynamic scaling function (based on the ratio of input and target features).

2.2. Critical band importance

In human auditory systems, the importance of the signal components varies based on the frequency region. More specifically, people can perceive differences in frequency bands ranging from 400 to 4400 Hz better than in other frequency bands. Consequently, a set of critical band importance weights is measured and defined. Some traditional SE approaches adopted critical bands to perform spectral subtraction [28], whereas some DL-based SE approaches adopted critical band importance to improve their model [29]. These approaches reported some improvements in perceptual evaluation scores compared to the baseline approaches. In this study, we will show by combining gamma correction with critical band importance, the SE performance can be further boosted and reach state-of-the-art.

3. Perceptual contrast stretching on target feature for speech enhancement

In this section, we derive the proposed PCS on the target feature for the SE based on the two related works. The following content gradually formulates the proposed approach.

3.1. Auditory non-linearity

Similar to the human vision system, the human auditory system also has a nonlinear relationship with speech signals. For example, the sound pressure level (SPL) is measured in decibels (dB) and derived as:

\[ \beta(dB) = 10\log_{10}(I/I_0) \]  

which standardizes the relationship between physical loudness and perceived loudness. The notations \( \beta \), \( I \), and \( I_0 \) denote the dB level, measured signal power, and the reference signal power, respectively. SPL indicates the human auditory and visual system share a similar property because the human eye perceives brightness based on a reference to the brightest parts of the image, as mentioned in [26]. Thus, we were inspired to design a transfer function that is suitable for human auditory systems.

3.2. Modified gamma correction

We begin by implementing gamma correction on the time-frequency features (spectrogram) of speech signals to enhance contrast. According to Eq. [1] we must deal with the range of input features to fit our task. In Eq. [1] the range of \( V_{in} \) is regulated between \([0, 1]\). However, the range of speech features cannot be regulated within this range. Consequently, we need a new regulation wherein the input values range between \([0, V]\), where \( V \) represents the maximum number of input features. The processed signal is normalized when it is recovered.
into the waveform domain. Accordingly, we derive our modified gamma correction equation for the time-frequency feature of speech signals as:

$$Y_{t,f} = A(M_{t,f})^\gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the value of the input feature $M_{t,f}$ ranges from $[0, M]$. The notations $Y_{t,f}$, $A$, $\gamma$, and $M_{t,f}$ denote the corrected feature, scaling function, gamma value, and input feature, respectively.

Furthermore, the training features of our SE models are moved to $\log(1 + p)$ domain ($\log p$ features) [30]. We can thus derive Eq. (3) as follows:

$$\log p(Y_{t,f}) = \log(1 + Y_{t,f}) = \gamma \cdot \log(1 + M_{t,f})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the scaling function $A$ is $(1 + 1/M_{t,f})^\gamma - (1/M_{t,f})^\gamma$ in this case, which is dynamic, based on $M_{t,f}$. From Fig. 1 it is evident that the modified gamma correction attenuates features (decreases contrast) when $\gamma < 1$, and increases the value of features (enhances contrast) when $\gamma > 1$. This effect can also be observed on the speech spectrogram shown in Fig. 1 where (a) and (b) contain more blurry patterns, while (d) and (e) contain more contrastive patterns. This also suggests that feature enhancement can be implemented using different $\gamma$ values to serve specific purposes.

### 3.3. Perceptual contrast stretching

Contrast stretching is applied to perceptually enhance the target features of the training data. As shown in Fig. 3, the waveform is first processed with a short-time Fourier transform (STFT), wherein the phase component is kept from the input stream for inverse STFT (iSTFT). We then apply contrast stretching to the target stream for the enhanced feature $Y_{t,f}$. The $\log p$ feature is then taken using the feature ($Y_{t,f}$ denotes the target stream and $X_{t,f}$ denotes the input stream). Consequently, the loss $L$ can be computed as:

$$L = D(SE(\log p(X_{t,f})), \log p(Y_{t,f}))$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $D(\cdot)$, and $SE(\cdot)$ denote the objective functions, and SE models, respectively. To explore the best performance with a fixed $\gamma$, we tested several different hyperparameters ($\gamma = 0.5$ to 2.0, with a step size of 0.1) in our validation set and evaluated their effectiveness. Experimental results on the VoiceBank-DEMAND dataset show that the best perceptual scores can be achieved using $\gamma = 1.4$. Hence, we adopted 1.4 as the fundamental $\gamma$ value.

### Table 1: Critical band importance and proposed PCS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency bands (Hz)</th>
<th>BIF</th>
<th>$\gamma_{PCS}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0~100</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100~200</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.0702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200~300</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.1825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300~400</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.2877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400~4400</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500~5300</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>1.3228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5300~6400</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>1.2386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6400~7700</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>1.1614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7700~9500</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.0772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2.2, we reviewed Cochlea’s knowledge, its applications, and its importance in perceptual scores when applied to SE tasks. To further improve our contrast stretching for better perceptual performance, we aimed to design our feature enhancement based on the critical band importance. Given that most SE approaches adopt time-frequency features, we designed a feature enhancement that weights the features specifically depending on their frequencies. The band importance function (BIF) [31] is listed in Tab. 1. We designed the PCS based on the BIF and rescaled it into the range [1.0, 1.4]. The rescaling function is formulated as:

$$\gamma_{PCS}[k] = \frac{(\gamma - PCS_{min})}{(BIF_{Max} - BIF_{min})} \cdot BIF[k] + PCS_{min}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $k$ denotes the index of frequency bands, $\gamma_{PCS}[k]$ and $BIF[k]$ are the $\gamma$ values of our PCS and BIF at band $k$, respectively. $BIF_{Max}$ and $BIF_{min}$ denote the maximum and minimum value of $BIF$, respectively, $PCS_{min}$ is set to be 1. and we adopt $\gamma = 1.4$.

As shown in Fig. [1][a], the effectiveness of PCS is apparent. We can sharpen the formant peaks by applying PCS, where [1][b] is evidently more contrastive than Fig. [1][a] and Fig. [1][b], yet avoiding serious distortions as in Fig. [1][d] and Fig. [1][e].

### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1. Network architecture

We evaluated our method using well-performing network architectures, including Transformer [30], CRNN [32], Metric-GAN+ [33] (from SpeechBrain [34]), and DPT-FSNet [35]. The transformer contained four convolutional encoder layers, eight self-attention heads, and a fully connected decoder layer.
The CRNN contained CNN layers, with one bidirectional long short-term memory (BLSTM) layer and two fully connected layers. The MetricGAN+ contained a BLSTM based generator, with 2 bi-directional LSTM layers, and a CNN-based discriminator. The dual-path transformer-based full-band and sub-band fusion network (DPT) is a dual-path architecture that uses an improved transformer.

4.2. Datasets

To compare the proposed PCS with other methods, we used a publicly available dataset, VoiceBank-DEMAND, to evaluate speech-enhancement performance.

Voice Bank-DEMAND dataset: This dataset is widely used as a benchmark for monaural SE approaches. The training set of 11572 utterances consists of 28 speakers, corrupted with four signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) levels (15, 10, 5, and 0 dB). The test sets consist of 824 utterances of two speakers corrupted with four SNR levels (17.5, 12.5, 7.5, and 2.5 dB). Details of this dataset can be found in the original study [36].

4.3. Comparisons between different feature enhancement methods

As contrast stretching for image processing can also be deemed as a feature enhancement method for SE, we compared our proposed PCS and fixed gamma of 1.4 with 3 commonly used contrast enhancement methods. More specifically, these methods include min-max normalization, histogram equalization (HE) [37], and adaptive equalization (AE) [38] will be adopted on the spectrum domain as contrast stretching methods. We implemented the HE along the time axis of each frequency band. A causal transformer SE model was used to evaluate the effectiveness of these methods. Feature enhancement was applied to the spectrum domain as contrast stretching methods. We implemented the HE along the time axis of each frequency band. A causal transformer SE model was used to evaluate the effectiveness of these methods. Feature enhancement was applied to the spectrum domain and moved to the log1p features space in these experiments. Table 2 shows that the causal transformer (T) can be significantly improved through the proposed PCS method, with a PESQ score improvement of 0.31. Moreover, except for the HE that failed to converge in training, we can benefit from the perceptual improvements when using feature enhancement approaches. To evaluate the effectiveness of the causal transformer with PCS, we also report the scores of some causal models (e.g., causal DEMUCS [40], Conv-TasNet (scores from [39]). To the best of our knowledge, the proposed method achieves state-of-the-art performance in PESQ, CSIG, and COVL compared with all the causal SE methods in this dataset.

Table 2: Transformer using different Feature Enhancement methods on VoiceBank-DEMAND dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>PESQ</th>
<th>STOI</th>
<th>CSIG</th>
<th>COVL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wiener [18]</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conv-TasNet [39]</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demucs [40]</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T + min-max</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T + HE</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T + AE</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T + pcs</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T + γ=1.4</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4. Effectiveness using different SE models

Table 3 shows that the performance of different SE models, including causal transformer (T(c)), non-causal transformer (T(nc)), CRNN, MetricGAN+ (MGAN+), and DPT-FSNet (we reproduced the model and denoted it as DPT*) can be improved by applying PCS. To the best of our knowledge, the DPT* + PCS achieved state-of-the-art performance with a PESQ score of 3.35, and outstanding scores in other evaluation metrics. Generally speaking, we can infer from the improvements shown in Tab. 3 that PCS is a general and effective contrast stretching training strategy for DL-based SE approaches.

4.5. Post-processing (PP) effectiveness

We can implement the proposed PCS as a PP module, which aims to further improve the enhanced speech from an SE model. However, for some of the CS methods (e.g., HE), it needs the information of the whole spectrogram, which may make the SE system become non-causal. In our proposed PCS, the operation is similar to gamma correction, so the causality will not be changed when applied as a PP. We found that using PCS as a PP module can make comparable improvement as enhance the target feature during training. An advantage of applying it as a PP is that it can also work on conventional SE methods (e.g., Wiener filter), detailed results and code can be found at: https://github.com/RoyChao19477/PCS

5. Conclusions

In this study, we proposed PCS on target features that can achieve notable improvements for SE models. The PCS exerts a perceptual emphasis on target features to overcome the average-out problem (not precise) caused by Euclidean distance-based objective functions. Based on the experimental results, we make three major contributions to the proposed PCS as follows. First, it is compatible with different SE models (both traditional and DL-based ones). Second, no additional parameters are required in the SE model. Third, it does not affect the causality of the causal SE models. We conclude that the proposed PCS can further improve the performance of previous state-of-the-art SE models with an efficient operation on target features. To the best of our knowledge, the causal transformer + PCS (causal) and DPT* + PCS (non-causal) can achieve the best PESQ score as well as competitive scores in other metrics among all SE approaches on the Voice Bank-DEMAND benchmark.
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