

DEFORMATIONS AND COHOMOLOGY THEORY OF ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE ALGEBRAS OF ARBITRARY WEIGHTS

SHUANGJIAN GUO, YUFEI QIN, KAI WANG[†] AND GUODONG ZHOU

ABSTRACT. A cohomology theory of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights is introduced. Formal deformations, abelian extensions, skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras and crossed modules of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras are interpreted by using lower degree cohomology groups.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Preliminaries	3
2. Representations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras	4
3. Cohomology theory of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras	7
3.1. Cohomology of Rota-Baxter operators	7
3.2. Cohomology of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras	8
4. Formal deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras	9
4.1. Formal deformations of Rota-Baxter operator with 3-Lie bracket fixed	10
4.2. Formal deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras	11
5. Abelian extensions of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras	13
6. Skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras and crossed modules	18
6.1. Skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras	18
6.2. Crossed modules	20
Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 3.2	22
References	26

INTRODUCTION

Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras were introduced in 1960 by Baxter [7] in his study of the fluctuation theory in probability. They have also been studied in connection with many areas of mathematics and physics, including combinatorics, number theory, operads and quantum field theory. Bai, Guo and Ni [2, 3, 4] introduced Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras in order to study the classical Yang-Baxter equations and contribute to the study of integrable systems.

Date: October 28, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E40 16S80 12H05 16S70 .

Key words and phrases. abelian extension, cohomology, crossed module, formal deformation, 3-Lie algebra, Rota-Baxter operator, skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of arbitrary weights.

[†]Corresponding author: Kai Wang, E-mail: wangkai@math.ecnu.edu.cn .

Algebraic deformation theory originated in the work of Gerstenhaber [12, 13] on deformations of associative algebras and this theory is related to the cohomology theory of associative algebras: Hochschild cohomology [14]. Recently, Tang, Bai, Guo and Sheng [22] developed deformation theory and cohomology theory of \mathcal{O} -operators (also called relative Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero) on Lie algebras. Later, Lazarev, Sheng and Tang [18, 19] studied deformation theory and cohomology theory of relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of weight zero and found applications to triangular Lie bialgebras. They further determined the L_∞ -algebra that controls deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebra and introduced the notion of a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight zero. Das and Misha [10] developed the corresponding weight zero cohomology theory for relative Rota-Baxter associative algebras and Das also introduced cohomology theory for relative Rota-Baxter associative algebras and relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras of arbitrary weights [8, 9]. Wang and Zhou [24, 25] defined cohomology theory for (absolute) Rota-Baxter associative algebras of arbitrary weights, determined the underlying L_∞ -algebra and showed that the dg operad of homotopy Rota-Baxter associative algebras is the minimal model of that of Rota-Baxter associative algebras.

The concept of n -Lie algebras was introduced by Filippov in [11], which is closely related to many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics. In particular, 3-Lie algebras play an important role to study the supersymmetry and gauge symmetry transformations of the world-volume theory of multiple coincident M2-branes. Bai, Guo, Li and Wu [6] introduced Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights and showed that they can be derived from Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and pre-Lie algebras and from Rota-Baxter commutative associative algebras with derivations. Bai, Guo and Sheng [5] introduced the notion of a relative Rota-Baxter operator (also called an \mathcal{O} -operator) on a 3-Lie algebra with respect to a representation in the study of solutions of 3-Lie classical Yang-Baxter equation. Recently, Tang, Hou and Sheng [23] constructed a Lie 3-algebra, whose Maurer-Cartan elements are exactly relative Rota-Baxter operators on a 3-Lie algebra, introduced the cohomology theory of relative Rota-Baxter operators on a 3-Lie algebra and studied deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators by using their cohomology theory.

It is, therefore, time to develop a cohomology theory of (absolute) Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, by which we study formal deformations and abelian extensions of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras. It needs to be emphasized that there are results on 3-Lie algebras in this paper which are not “parallel” to the case of Lie algebras given in [9]. Because of the complexity of 3-Lie algebras, we need some technique to complete the paper.

It might be inspiring to explain our method. As deformation cohomology control deformations, we first consider formal deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, whose deformation equations should provide cohomology classes, thus giving hints for the general construction of the cochain complex of deformation cohomology, although some more effort was needed to guess the general form of deformation cohomology. Although we present the definitions of our cohomology theory in Section 3, this theory was indeed inspired from deformation theory displayed in Section 4.

In a forthcoming paper, we will determine the L_∞ -algebra controlling deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, introduce homotopy Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras and provide the minimal model of the operad of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, thus this paper can be considered the elementary part of our project on deformation theory and homotopy theory of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras. In particular, we will see that the L_∞ -algebra gives Maurer-Cartan characterisation of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras.

It should be emphasized that relative Rota-Baxter operators and (absolute) Rota-Baxter operators on 3-Lie algebras are not compatible, so their relations remain to be elaborated [15].

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 1, we recall some preliminaries about 3-Lie algebras and their cohomology theory. In Section 2, we consider Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weight and introduce their representations. We also provide various examples and new constructions. In Section 3, we define a cohomology theory for Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we justify this cohomology theory by interpreting lower degree cohomology groups as formal deformations. In Section 5, we study abelian extensions of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras. Section 6 is devoted to cohomological study of skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras and crossed modules.

Throughout this paper, let \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic 0. Except specially stated, vector spaces are \mathbf{k} -vector spaces and all tensor products are taken over \mathbf{k} .

1. PRELIMINARIES

We start with the background of 3-Lie algebras and their cohomology that we refer the reader to [11, 17, 21, 20, 1] for more details.

Definition 1.1. ([11]) A **3-Lie algebra** is a vector space \mathfrak{g} together with a skew-symmetric linear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that, for $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, the following **Fundamental Identity** holds:

$$[x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

For $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, define $\text{ad}_{x_1, x_2} \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\text{ad}_{x_1, x_2}(x) := [x_1, x_2, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Then ad_{x_1, x_2} is a derivation for the bracket $[-, -, -]_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Definition 1.2. ([17]) A **representation** of a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on a vector space M is a linear map: $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(M)$, such that for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_1, x_2) \circ \rho(x_3, x_4) &= \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4) + \rho(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \rho(x_3, x_4) \circ \rho(x_1, x_2), \\ \rho(x_1, [x_2, x_3, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= \rho(x_3, x_4) \circ \rho(x_1, x_2) - \rho(x_2, x_4) \circ \rho(x_1, x_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3) \circ \rho(x_1, x_4). \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra. The linear map $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ defines a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on itself, which is called the **adjoint representation**.

Following [1], we recall the cohomology theory of 3-Lie algebras. Let (M, ρ) be a representation of a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. The cochain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in M is the complex $\{C_{3\text{-Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, M), \delta^*\}$, where $C_{3\text{-Lie}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) = M$ and

$$C_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) = \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_{n-1} \wedge \mathfrak{g}, M), \forall n \geq 1,$$

and the coboundary operator $\delta^n : C_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_{3\text{-Lie}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta^n f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{k-1}, [x_j, y_j, x_k]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge y_k + x_k \wedge [x_j, y_j, y_k]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, [x_j, y_j, x_{n+1}]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \rho(x_j, y_j) f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + (-1)^{n+1} (\rho(y_n, x_{n+1}) f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n) f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)),
\end{aligned}$$

for $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j$ means that this element is deleted. The corresponding cohomology groups are called the **cohomology** of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in M , denoted by $H_{3\text{-Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.

2. REPRESENTATIONS OF ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we consider Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weight and introduce their representations. We also provide various examples and new constructions.

Definition 2.1. ([6]) Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. Given a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$, it is called a **Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ** , if there is a linear operator $T : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ subjecting to

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad [T(x), T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= T([T(x), T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T(x), y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&+ \lambda [T(x), y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&+ \lambda^2 [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})
\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ is a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ consisting of a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ together with a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on it.

Example 2.2. For arbitrary 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$, the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight -1 .

Example 2.3. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ .

- (a) For arbitrary $\lambda' \in \mathbf{k}$, the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda' T)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight $\lambda \cdot \lambda'$.
- (b) The triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, -\lambda \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - T)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ .
- (c) Given an automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \psi^{-1} \circ T \circ \psi)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ .

Definition 2.4. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, T')$ be two Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ . A morphism from $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, T')$ is a 3-Lie algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ satisfying $\phi \circ T = T' \circ \phi$.

Denote by RB3-Lie^λ the category of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ with morphisms defined above.

Definition 2.5. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and (M, ρ) be a representation over the 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. We say that (M, ρ, T_M) is a **representation** over the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ if (M, ρ) is endowed with a linear operator $T_M : M \rightarrow M$ such that the following equation

$$\rho(T(x), T(y))(T_M(m)) = T_M(\rho(T(x), T(y))(m) + \rho(T(x), y)(T_M(m)) + \rho(x, T(y))(T_M(m)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +\lambda\rho(T(x), y)(m) + \lambda\rho(x, T(y))(m) + \lambda\rho(x, y)(T_M(m)) \\ & +\lambda^2\rho(x, y)(m) \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$.

Example 2.6. It is obvious that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ itself is a representation over the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ , called the regular representation or the adjoint representation.

Example 2.7. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra and (M, ρ) be a representation over it. Then the triple (M, ρ, Id_M) is a representation of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ of weight -1 .

Example 2.8. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and (M, ρ, T_M) be a representation over it. Then for arbitrary scalar $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$, the triple $(M, \rho, \mu T_M)$ is a representation of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mu T)$ of weight $(\mu\lambda)$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and $\{(M_i, \rho_i, T_{M_i})\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of representation of it. Then the triple $(\oplus_{i \in I} M_i, (\rho_i)_{i \in I}, \oplus_{i \in I} T_{M_i})$ is a representation of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ .*

Proof. For arbitrary $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m = (m_i)_{i \in I} \in \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(T(x), T(y))(\oplus_{i \in I} T_{M_i})(m_i)_{i \in I} \\ = & (\rho_i(T(x), T(y))(T_{M_i})(m_i))_{i \in I} \\ = & (T_{M_i}(\rho_i(T(x), T(y))(m) + \rho_i(T(x), y)(T_M(m)) + \rho_i(x, T(y))(T_M(m)) \\ & + \lambda \rho_i(T(x), y)(m) + \lambda \rho_i(x, T(y))(m) + \lambda \rho_i(x, y)(T_M(m)) + \lambda^2 \rho_i(x, y)(m)))_{i \in I} \\ = & (\oplus_{i \in I} T_{M_i})((\rho_i)_{i \in I}(T(x), T(y))(m) + (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(T(x), y)(T_M(m)) + (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(x, T(y))(T_M(m)) \\ & + \lambda (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(T(x), y)(m) + \lambda (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(x, T(y))(m) + \lambda (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(x, y)(T_M(m)) \\ & + \lambda^2 (\rho_i)_{i \in I}(x, y)(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\oplus_{i \in I} M_i, (\rho_i)_{i \in I}, \oplus_{i \in I} T_{M_i})$ is a representation of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ . \square

In the following, we construct the semidirect product in the context of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ .

Proposition 2.10. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and (M, ρ, T_M) be a representation of it. Then $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, T \oplus T_M)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ , where the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus M$ is given by the semidirect product*

$$[x + u, y + v, z + w]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} = [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(x, y)w + \rho(y, z)u + \rho(z, x)v,$$

for arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $u, v, w \in M$.

Proof. For arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $u, v, w \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(T \oplus T_M)(x + u), (T \oplus T_M)(y + v), (T \oplus T_M)(z + w)]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} \\ = & [T(x), T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(T(x), T(y))T_M(w) + \rho(T(y), T(z))T_M(u) + \rho(T(z), T(x))T_M(v) \\ = & T([T(x), T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T(x), y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [T(x), y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & + \lambda [x, T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2 [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & + T_M(\rho(T(x), T(y))w + \rho(T(x), y)T_M(w) + \rho(x, T(y))(T_M(w)) + \lambda \rho(T(x), y)(w)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\lambda \rho(x, T(y))(w) + \lambda \rho(x, y)(T_M(w)) + \lambda^2 \rho(x, y)(w) \\
& +T_M(\rho(T(y), T(z))(u) + \rho(T(y), z)(T_M(u)) + \rho(y, T(z))(T_M(u)) + \lambda \rho(T(y), z)(u) \\
& +\lambda \rho(y, T(z))(u) + \lambda \rho(y, z)(T_M(u)) + \lambda^2 \rho(y, z)(u)) \\
& +T_M(\rho(T(z), T(x))(v) + \rho(T(z), x)(T_M(v)) + \rho(z, T(x))(T_M(v)) \\
& +\lambda \rho(T(z), x)(v) + \lambda \rho(z, T(x))(v) + \lambda \rho(z, x)(T_M(v)) + \lambda^2 \rho(z, x)(v)) \\
= & (T \oplus T_M)([(T \oplus T_M)(x + u), (T \oplus T_M)(y + v), z + w]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} \\
& +[(T \oplus T_M)(x + u), y + v, (T \oplus T_M)(z + w)]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} + [x + u, (T \oplus T_M)(y + v), (T \oplus T_M)(z + w)]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} \\
& +\lambda [(T \oplus T_M)(x + u), y + v, z + w]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} + \lambda [x + u, (T \oplus T_M)(y + v), z + w]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} \\
& +\lambda [x + u, y + v, (T \oplus T_M)(z + w)]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M} + \lambda^2 [x + u, y + v, z + w]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus M}).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $T \oplus T_M$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on the semidirect product 3-Lie algebra. Hence the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.11. ([6]) *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . Define a new ternary operation as:*

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, z]_T : = & [T(x), T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T(x), y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
& +\lambda [T(x), y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [x, y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2 [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}},
\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

- (a) $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T)$ is a new 3-Lie algebra, we denote this 3-Lie algebra by \mathfrak{g}_T ;
- (b) the triple $(\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T, T)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ ;
- (c) the map $T : (\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T, T) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ is a morphism of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ .

Proof. (a) On can show it directly by a tedious computation;

(b) We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [T(x), T(y), T(z)]_T \\
= & [T^2(x), T^2(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T^2(x), T(y), T^2(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T(x), T^2(y), T^2(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
& +\lambda [T^2(x), T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [T(x), T^2(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda [T(x), T(y), T^2(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2 [T(x), T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
= & T([T(x), T(y), z]_T + [T(x), y, T(z)]_T + [x, T(y), T(z)]_T \\
& +\lambda [T(x), y, z]_T + \lambda [x, T(y), z]_T + \lambda [x, y, T(z)]_T + \lambda^2 [x, y, z]_T),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that T is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_T .

(c) Since T is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on \mathfrak{g} , it follows from Equation (1) that

$$T([x, y, z]_T) = [T(x), T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{ for } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

This implies that $T : (\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T, T) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ is a morphism of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . \square

In the following, we will introduce new Rota-Baxter representations that will be useful in the next section to construct the cohomology of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and (M, ρ, T_M) be a representation of it. Define a map $\rho_T : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ by*

$$\rho_T(x, y)m := \rho(T(x), T(y))m - T_M(\rho(T(x), y)m + \rho(x, T(y))m + \lambda \rho(x, y)m),$$

for arbitrary $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, m \in M$. Then ρ_T defines a representation of the 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T)$ on M . Moreover, (M, ρ_T, T_M) is a representation of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T, T)$ of weight λ .

Proof. One can show that ρ_T defines a representation of the 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T)$ on M directly by a tedious computation. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho_T(T(x), T(y))T_M(m) \\
 = & \rho(T^2(x), T^2(y))T_M(m) - T_M(\rho(T^2(x), T(y))T_M(m) + \rho(T(x), T^2(y))T_M(m) + \lambda\rho(T(x), T(y))T_M(m)) \\
 = & T_M(\rho(T^2(x), T^2(y))m + \rho(T^2(x), T(y))T_M(m) + \rho(T(x), T^2(y))T_M(m) \\
 & + \lambda\rho(T^2(x), T(y))m + \lambda\rho(T(x), T^2(y))m + \lambda\rho(T(x), T(y))T_M(m) + \lambda^2\rho(T(x), T(y))m) \\
 & - T_M^2(\rho(T^2(x), T(y))m + \rho(T^2(x), y)T_M(m) + \rho(T(x), T(y))T_M(m) + \lambda\rho(T^2(x), y)m) \\
 & + \lambda\rho(T(x), T(y))m + \lambda\rho(T(x), y)T_M(m) + \lambda^2\rho(T(x), y)m + \rho(T(x), T^2(y))m + \rho(T(x), T(y))T_M(m) \\
 & + \rho(x, T^2(y))T_M(m) + \lambda\rho(T(x), T(y))m + \lambda\rho(x, T^2(y))m + \lambda\rho(x, T(y))T_M(m) + \lambda^2\rho(x, T(y))m \\
 & + \lambda\rho(T(x), T(y))m + \lambda\rho(T(x), y)T_M(m) + \lambda\rho(x, T(y))T_M(m) + \lambda^2\rho(T(x), y)m + \lambda^2\rho(x, T(y))m \\
 & + \lambda^2\rho(x, y)T_M(m) + \lambda^3\rho(x, y)m) \\
 = & T_M(\rho_T(T(x), T(y))m + \rho_T(T(x), y)T_M(m) + \rho_T(x, T(y))T_M(m) \\
 & + \lambda\rho_T(T(x), y)m + \lambda\rho_T(x, T(y))m + \lambda\rho_T(x, y)T_M(m) + \lambda^2\rho_T(x, y)m),
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows (M, ρ_T, T_M) is a representation of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_T, T)$ of weight λ . \square

3. COHOMOLOGY THEORY OF ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will define a cohomology theory for Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights.

3.1. Cohomology of Rota-Baxter operators.

Firstly, let's introduce the cohomology of Rota-Baxter operators of arbitrary weights.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and (M, ρ, T_M) be a representation over it. Recall that Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 2.12 give a new 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_T and a new representation M over \mathfrak{g}_T . Consider the cochain complex of \mathfrak{g}_T with coefficients in M :

$$\mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_T, M) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_T, M).$$

More precisely, for $n \geq 0$, $\mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_T, M) = \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_{n-1} \wedge \mathfrak{g}, M)$ and its differential

$$\partial^n : \mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_T, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_T, M)$$

is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\partial^n f)(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 = & \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j f(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{x}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{k-1}, [x_j, y_j, x_k]_T \wedge y_k + x_k \wedge [x_j, y_j, y_k]_T, \mathfrak{x}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{x}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_n, [x_j, y_j, x_{n+1}]_T) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \rho_T(x_j, y_j) f(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{x}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_n, x_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(-1)^{n+1}(\rho_T(y_n, x_{n+1})f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho_T(x_{n+1}, x_n)f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)), \\
= & \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{k-1}, [x_j, y_j, x_k]_T \wedge y_k + x_k \wedge [x_j, y_j, y_k]_T, \mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, [x_j, y_j, x_{n+1}]_T) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \rho(T(x_j), T(y_j))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M(\rho(T(x_j), y_j))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M(\rho(x_j, T(y_j)))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \lambda T_M(\rho(x_j, y_j))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + (-1)^{n+1}(\rho(T(y_n), T(x_{n+1}))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
& - (-1)^{n+1} T_M(\rho(T(y_n), x_{n+1})f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(T(x_{n+1}), x_n)f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
& - (-1)^{n+1} T_M(\rho(y_n, T(x_{n+1}))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n))f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
& - (-1)^{n+1} \lambda T_M(\rho(y_n, x_{n+1})f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n)f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n))
\end{aligned}$$

for $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, i = 1, 2 \dots n$ and $x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and (M, ρ, T_M) be a representation over it. Then the cochain complex $(C_{3\text{-Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_T, M), \partial)$ is called the **cochain complex of Rota-Baxter operator T** of weight λ with coefficients in (M, ρ_T, T_M) , denoted by $C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$. The cohomology of $C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, denoted by $H_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, are called the **cohomology of Rota-Baxter operator T** of weight λ with coefficients in (M, ρ_T, T_M) .

When (M, ρ, T_M) is the regular representation $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$, we denote $C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by $C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ and call it the cochain complex of Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ , and denote $H_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by $H_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ and call it the cohomology of Rota-Baxter operator T of weight λ .

3.2. Cohomology of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras.

In this subsection, we will combine the cohomology of 3-Lie algebras and the cohomology of Rota-Baxter operators of arbitrary weights to define a cohomology theory for Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights.

Let $M = (M, \rho, T_M)$ be a representation over a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}, \mu = [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ . Now, let's construct a chain map

$$\Phi^{\bullet} : C_{3\text{-Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M),$$

i.e., the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C_{3\text{-Lie}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & C_{3\text{-Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \cdots & C_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta^n} & C_{3\text{-Lie}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \cdots \\
\downarrow \Phi^0 & & \downarrow \Phi^1 & & \downarrow \Phi^n & & \downarrow \Phi^{n+1} & \\
C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \xrightarrow{\partial^0} & C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \cdots & C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \xrightarrow{\partial^n} & C_{\text{RBO}^{\lambda}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M) & \cdots
\end{array}$$

Define $\Phi^0 = \text{Id}_{\text{Hom}(k, M)} = \text{Id}_M$, $\Phi^1 = f \circ T - T_M \circ f$ and for $n \geq 2$ and $f \in C_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, define $\Phi^n(f) \in C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^n(f) = & f \circ (T, \dots, T, T) \circ \left((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^{\otimes n-2} \otimes \text{Id}^{\wedge 3} \right) \\ & - \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-k-2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq 2n-1} \\ & T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)}) \circ \left((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^{\otimes n-2} \otimes \text{Id}^{\wedge 3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the operators $\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)}$ successively operate on the elements $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, x_{n+1}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The map $\Phi^\bullet : C_{3\text{-Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is a chain map.*

We leave the long proof of this result to Appendix A.

Definition 3.3. Let $M = (M, \rho, T_M)$ be a representation over a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}, \mu = [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ . We define the cochain complex $(C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M), d^\bullet)$ of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ with coefficients in (M, ρ, T_M) to the negative shift of the mapping cone of Φ^\bullet , that is, let

$$C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) = C_{3\text{-Lie}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) = C_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) \oplus C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, M), \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

and the differential $d^n : C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is given by

$$d^n(f, g) = (\delta^n(f), -\partial^{n-1}(g) - \Phi^n(f))$$

for arbitrary $f \in C_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ and $g \in C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$. The cohomology of $(C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M), d^\bullet)$, denoted by $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, is called the **cohomology of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra** (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ with coefficients in (M, ρ, T_M) . When $(M, \rho, T_M) = (\mathfrak{g}, \mu, T)$, we just denote $C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by $C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$, $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ respectively, and call them the **cochain complex, the cohomology of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra** (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ respectively.

There is an obvious short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)[-1] \rightarrow C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_{3\text{-Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{3\text{-Lie}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^0(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{3\text{-Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow H_{3\text{-Lie}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^p(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow H_{3\text{-Lie}}^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

4. FORMAL DEFORMATIONS OF ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will study formal deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights and interpret them via lower degree cohomology groups of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras defined in last section.

4.1. Formal deformations of Rota-Baxter operator with 3-Lie bracket fixed.

Let (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . Let us consider the case where we only deform the Rota-Baxter operator with the 3-Lie bracket fixed. In this case, $\mathfrak{g}[[t]] = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i \mid a_i \in \mathfrak{g}, \forall i \geq 0\}$ is endowed with the 3-Lie bracket induced from that of \mathfrak{g} , say,

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i t^i\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \mu(a_i, b_j, c_k) \right) t^n.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ becomes a 3-Lie algebra over $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$, whose 3-Lie bracket is still denoted by μ .

Consider a 1-parameterized family:

$$T_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i t^i, \quad T_i \in C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Definition 4.1. A 1-parameter formal deformation of the Rota-Baxter operator T on a 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ) is a family T_t which is a $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -linear Rota-Baxter operator on the 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ such that $T_0 = T$. The operator T_1 is called the **infinitesimal** of the 1-parameter formal deformation $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], T_t)$ of Rota-Baxter operators on the 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ) .

Power series T_t determine a 1-parameter formal deformation of the Rota-Baxter operator T on a 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ) if and only if the following equation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(T_t(x_1), T_t(x_2), T_t(x_3)) \\ = & T_t(\mu(T_t(x_1), T_t(x_2), x_3) + \mu(T_t(x_1), x_2, T_t(x_3)) + \mu(x_1, T_t(x_2), T_t(x_3))) \\ & + \lambda \mu(T_t(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \lambda \mu(x_1, T_t(x_2), x_3) + \lambda \mu(x_1, x_2, T_t(x_3)) + \lambda^2 \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)), \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathfrak{g}$. Expanding this equation and comparing the coefficient of t^n , we obtain that $\{T_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ have to satisfy: for arbitrary $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \mu(T_i(x_1), T_j(x_2), T_k(x_3)) \\ (2) \quad & = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i,j,k \geq 0}} \left(T_i(\mu(T_j(x_1), T_k(x_2), T_k(x_3))) + T_i(\mu(T_j(x_1), x_2, T_k(x_3))) + T_k(\mu(T_i(x_1), T_j(x_2), x_3))) \right) \\ & + \lambda \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 0}} \left(T_i(\mu(T_j(x_1), x_2, x_3)) + T_i(\mu(x_1, T_j(x_2), x_3)) + T_i(\mu(x_1, x_2, T_j(x_3)))) \right) \\ & + \lambda^2 T_n(\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, when $n = 0$, Equation (2) becomes exactly Equation (1) defining Rota-Baxter operator $T = T_0$.

When $n = 1$, Equation (2) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(T_1(x_1), T(x_2), T(x_3)) + \mu(T(x_1), T_1(x_2), T(x_3)) + \mu(T(x_1), T(x_2), T_1(x_3)) \\ = & T(\mu(x_1, T_1(x_2), T(x_3)) + \mu(x_1, T(x_2), T_1(x_3))) \\ & + T(\mu(T_1(x_1), x_2, T(x_3)) + \mu(T(x_1), x_2, T_1(x_3))) \\ & + T(\mu(T_1(x_1), T(x_2), x_3) + \mu(T(x_1), T_1(x_2), x_3)) \\ (3) \quad & + T_1(\mu(x_1, T(x_2), T(x_3)) + \mu(T(x_1), x_2, T(x_3)) + \mu(T(x_1), T(x_2), x_3)) \\ & + \lambda T(\mu(T_1(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \mu(x_1, T(x_2), x_3) + \mu(x_1, x_2, T_1(x_3))) \\ & + \lambda T_1(\mu(x_1, T(x_2), x_3) + \mu(T(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \mu(x_1, x_2, T(x_3))) \\ & + \lambda^2 T_1(\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \end{aligned}$$

which says exactly that $\partial^1(T_1) = 0 \in C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$. This proves the following result:

Proposition 4.2. *Let T_t be a 1-parameter formal deformation of Rota-Baxter operator T of weight λ . Then T_1 is a 1-cocycle in the cochain complex $C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Remark 4.3. The above result shows that the cochain complex $C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ controls formal deformations of Rota-Baxter operators, which justifies the name ‘‘cochain complex of Rota-Baxter operators’’. In fact, we were inspired by Equation (3) while defining $C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$.

4.2. Formal deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras.

Let (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . Consider a 1-parameterized family:

$$\mu_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i t^i, \quad \mu_i \in C_{3\text{-Lie}}^2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad T_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i t^i, \quad T_i \in C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Definition 4.4. A 1-parameter formal deformation of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ is a pair (μ_t, T_t) which endows the flat $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -module $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ with a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra structure of weight λ over $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ such that $(\mu_0, T_0) = (\mu, T)$. The pair (μ_1, T_1) is called the **infinitesimal** of the 1-parameter formal deformation $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ .

Power series μ_t and T_t determine a 1-parameter formal deformation of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ if and only if for arbitrary $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following equations hold :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_t(x_1, x_2, \mu_t(x_3, x_4, x_5)) \\ = & \mu_t(\mu_t(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) + \mu_t(x_3, \mu_t(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + \mu_t(x_3, x_4, \mu_t(x_1, x_2, x_5)) \\ & \mu_t(T_t(x_1), T_t(x_2), T_t(x_3)) \\ = & T_t(\mu_t(T_t(x_1), T_t(x_2), x_3) + \mu_t(T_t(x_1), x_2, T_t(x_3)) + \mu_t(x_1, T_t(x_2), T_t(x_3))) \\ & + \lambda \mu_t(T_t(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \lambda \mu_t(x_1, T_t(x_2), x_3) + \lambda \mu_t(x_1, x_2, T_t(x_3)) \\ & + \lambda^2 \mu_t(x_1, x_2, x_3)). \end{aligned}$$

By expanding these equations and comparing the coefficient of t^n , we obtain that $\{\mu_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{T_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ have to satisfy: for arbitrary $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n} \mu_i(\mu_j(x_1, x_2, \mu_j(x_3, x_4, x_5))) \\ (4) \quad = & \sum_{i+j=n} \mu_i(\mu_j(\mu_j(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) + \mu_j(x_3, \mu_j(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + \mu_j(x_3, x_4, \mu_j(x_1, x_2, x_5))), \\ & \sum_{i+j+k+l=n} \mu_i(T_j(x_1), T_k(x_2), T_l(x_3)) \\ (5) \quad = & \sum_{i+j+k+l=n} T_i(\mu_j(T_k(x_1), T_l(x_2), x_3) + \mu_j(T_k(x_1), x_2, T_l(x_3)) + \mu_j(x_1, T_k(x_2), T_l(x_3))) \\ & + \lambda \sum_{i+j+k=n} T_i(\mu_j(T_k(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \mu_j(x_1, T_k(x_2), x_3) + \mu_j(x_1, x_2, T_k(x_3))) \\ & + \lambda^2 \sum_{i+j=n} T_i(\mu_j(x_1, x_2, x_3))). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, when $n = 0$, the above conditions are exactly the 3-Lie bracket $\mu = \mu_0$ and Equation (1) which is the defining relation of Rota-Baxter operator $T = T_0$ of weight λ .

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ be a 1-parameter formal deformation of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ . Then (μ_1, T_1) is a 2-cocycle in the cochain complex $C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. When $n = 1$, Equations (4) and (5) become

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1, x_2, \mu_1(x_3, x_4, x_5)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_1(x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ = & [\mu_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_3, \mu_1(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_3, x_4, \mu_1(x_1, x_2, x_5)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & + \mu_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5) + \mu_1(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5) + \mu_1(x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \mu_1(T(x_1), T(x_2), T(x_3)) - T(\mu_1(T(x_1), T(x_2), x_3) - \mu_1(T(x_1), x_2, T(x_3)) - \mu_1(x_1, T(x_2), T(x_3))) \\ & - \lambda T(\mu_1(T(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \mu_1(x_1, T(x_2), x_3) + \mu_1(x_1, x_2, T(x_3))) - \lambda^2 T(\mu_1(x_1, x_2, x_3))) \\ = & T_1([T(x_1), T(x_2), x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T(x_1), x_2, T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, T(x_2), T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & + \lambda T_1([T(x_1), x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, T(x_2), x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, x_2, T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda^2 T_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & - [T_1(x_1), T(x_2), T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [T(x_1), T_1(x_2), T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [T(x_1), T(x_2), T_1(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & + T([T_1(x_1), T(x_2), x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T_1(x_1), x_2, T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, T_1(x_2), T(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & + T([T(x_1), T_1(x_2), x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [T(x_1), x_2, T_1(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, T(x_2), T_1(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & + \lambda T([T_1(x_1), x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, T_1(x_2), x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_1, x_2, T_1(x_3)]_{\mathfrak{g}}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first equation is exactly $\delta^2(\mu_1) = 0 \in C_{\text{3-Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ and that second equation is exactly to

$$\Phi^2(\mu_1) = -\partial^1(T_1) \in C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}).$$

So (μ_1, T_1) is a 2-cocycle in $C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

Remark 4.6. Equation (6) inspired us to introduce the chain map $\Phi^\bullet : C_{\text{3-Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C_{\text{RBO}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ as well the cochain complex $C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.

Definition 4.7. Let $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, T'_t)$ be two 1-parameter formal deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ . A formal isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, T'_t)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ is a power series $\psi_t = \sum_{i=0} \psi_i t^i : \mathfrak{g}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[[t]]$, where $\psi_i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are linear maps with $\psi_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, such that:

$$(7) \quad \psi_t \circ \mu'_t = \mu_t \circ (\psi_t \otimes \psi_t \otimes \psi_t),$$

$$(8) \quad \psi_t \circ T'_t = T_t \circ \psi_t.$$

In this case, we say that the two 1-parameter formal deformations $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, T'_t)$ are equivalent.

Given a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ , the power series μ_t, T_t with $\mu_i = \delta_{i,0}\mu, T_i = \delta_{i,0}T$ makes $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ into a 1-parameter formal deformation of (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) . Formal deformations is said to be trivial if it is equivalent to (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) .

Theorem 4.8. *The infinitesimals of two equivalent 1-parameter formal deformations of (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) are in the same cohomology class in $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. Let $\psi_t : (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, T'_t) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ be a formal isomorphism. Expanding the identities and collecting coefficients of t , we get from Equations (7) and (8):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu'_1 &= \mu_1 + \mu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \psi_1) - \psi_1 \circ \mu + \mu \circ (\psi_1 \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) + \mu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \text{Id}), \\ T'_1 &= T_1 + T \circ \psi_1 - \psi_1 \circ T,\end{aligned}$$

that is, we have

$$(\mu'_1, T'_1) - (\mu_1, T_1) = (\delta^1(\psi_1), -\Phi^1(\psi_1)) = d^1(\psi_1, 0) \in C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}).$$

□

Definition 4.9. A Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) of weight λ is said to be rigid if every 1-parameter formal deformation is trivial.

Theorem 4.10. Let (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . If $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) is rigid.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ be a 1-parameter formal deformation of (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) . By Proposition 4.5, (μ_1, T_1) is a 2-cocycle. By $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, there exists a 1-cochain

$$(\psi'_1, x) \in C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^1(\mathfrak{g}) = C_{3\text{-Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \text{Hom}(k, \mathfrak{g})$$

such that $(\mu_1, T_1) = d^1(\psi'_1, x)$, that is, $\mu_1 = \delta^1(\psi'_1)$ and $T_1 = -\partial^0(x) - \Phi^1(\psi'_1)$. Let $\psi_1 = \psi'_1 + \delta^0(x)$. Then $\mu_1 = \delta^1(\psi_1)$ and $T_1 = -\Phi^1(\psi_1)$, as it can be readily seen that $\Phi^1(\delta^0(x)) = \partial^0(x)$.

Setting $\psi_t = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \psi_1 t$, we have a deformation $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \bar{\mu}_t, \bar{T}_t)$, where

$$\bar{\mu}_t = \psi_t^{-1} \circ \mu_t \circ (\psi_t \times \psi_t \times \psi_t)$$

and

$$\bar{T}_t = \psi_t^{-1} \circ T_t \circ \psi_t.$$

It is easy to verify that $\bar{\mu}_1 = 0, \bar{T}_1 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_t &= \mu + \bar{\mu}_2 t^2 + \cdots, \\ \bar{T}_t &= T + \bar{T}_2 t^2 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

By Equations (4) and (5), we see that $(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{T}_2)$ is still a 2-cocycle, so by induction, we can show that $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, T_t)$ is equivalent to $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu, T)$. Thus, (\mathfrak{g}, μ, T) is rigid.

□

5. ABELIAN EXTENSIONS OF ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we study abelian extensions of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights and show that they are classified by the second cohomology, as one would expect of a good cohomology theory.

Notice that a vector space M together with a linear transformation $T_M : M \rightarrow M$ is naturally a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ where the bracket on M is defined to be $[m, n, p]_M = 0$ for all $m, n, p \in M$.

Definition 5.1. An **abelian extension of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras** of weight λ is a short exact sequence of morphisms of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow (M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M) \xrightarrow{i} (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{p} (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T) \rightarrow 0,$$

that is, there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow T_M & & \downarrow \hat{T} & & \downarrow T \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, T_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}})$ of weight λ satisfies $[\cdot, n, p]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0$ for all $n, p \in M$.

We will call $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{T})$ an abelian extension of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$.

Definition 5.2. Let $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{T}_1)$ and $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{T}_2)$ be two abelian extensions of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$. They are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras $\zeta : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{T}_1) \rightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, \hat{T}_2)$ of weight λ such that the following commutative diagram holds:

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{T}_1) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \zeta & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{T}_2) & \xrightarrow{p_2} & (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

A section of an abelian extension $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{T})$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$ is a linear map $s : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $p \circ s = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We will show that isomorphism classes of abelian extensions of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$ are in bijection with the second cohomology group $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.

Let $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{T})$ be an abelian extension of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$. Choose a section $s : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Define $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{gl}(M)$

$$\rho(x)m = \rho(x_1, x_2)m := [s(x_1), s(x_2), i(m)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

for all $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, m \in M$.

Proposition 5.3. *With the above notations, (M, ρ, T_M) is a representation over $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that (M, ρ) is a representation over $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Moreover, $\hat{T}(s(x)) - s(T(x)) \in M$ means that $\rho(\hat{T}(s(x))m) = \rho(s(T(x)))m$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(T(x_1), T(x_2))T_M(m) \\ &= \rho(sT(x_1), sT(x_2))T_M(m) \\ &= \rho(\hat{T}(s(x_1)), \hat{T}(s(x_2)))T_M(m) \\ &= T_M(\rho(\hat{T}(s(x_1)), \hat{T}(s(x_2))))m + \rho(\hat{T}(s(x_1)), s(x_2))T_M(m) + \rho(s(x_1), \hat{T}(s(x_2)))T_M(m) \\ & \quad + \lambda \rho(\hat{T}(s(x_1)), s(x_2))m + \lambda \rho(s(x_1), \hat{T}(s(x_2)))m + \lambda \rho(s(x_1), s(x_2))T_M(m) \\ & \quad + \lambda^2 \rho(s(x_1), s(x_2))m \\ &= T_M(\rho(T(x_1), T(x_2)))m + \rho(T(x_1), x_2)T_M(m) + \rho(x_1, T(x_2))T_M(m) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \lambda \rho(T(x_1), x_2)m + \lambda \rho(x, T(x_2))m + \lambda \rho(x_1, x_2)T_M(m) + \lambda^2 \rho(x_1, x_2)m).$$

Hence, (M, ρ, T_M) is a representation over $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$. \square

We further define linear maps $\psi : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ and $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y, z) &= [s(x), s(y), s(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ \chi(x) &= \hat{T}(s(x)) - s(T(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.4. *The pair (ψ, χ) is a 2-cocycle of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ with coefficients in the representation (M, ρ, T_M) introduced in Proposition 5.3.*

The proof is by direct computations, so it is left to the reader.

The choice of the section s in fact determines a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \end{array} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

subject to $t \circ i = \text{Id}_M$, $t \circ s = 0$ and $it + sp = \text{Id}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Then there is an induced isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & t \end{pmatrix} : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus M : \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can transfer the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra structure of weight λ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus M$ via this isomorphism. It is direct to verify that this endows $\mathfrak{g} \oplus M$ with a 3-Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}$ and a Rota-Baxter operator T_{χ} defined by

$$(11) \quad [x + m, y + n, z + p]_{\psi} = [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(x, y)p + \rho(z, x)n + \rho(y, z)m + \psi(x, y, z),$$

$$(12) \quad T_{\chi}(x + m) = T(x) + \chi(x) + T_M(m),$$

for arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, $m, n, p \in M$. Moreover, we get an abelian extension

$$0 \rightarrow (M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}, T_{\chi}) \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T) \rightarrow 0$$

which is easily seen to be isomorphic to the original one (9).

Now we investigate the influence of different choices of sections.

Proposition 5.5. (a) *Different choices of the section s give the same representation on $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$;*

(b) *the cohomology class of (ψ, χ) does not depend on the choice of sections.*

Proof. Let s_1 and s_2 be two distinct sections of p . We define $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ by $\gamma(x) = s_1(x) - s_2(x)$.

Since the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{v}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, T_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}})$ of weight λ satisfies $[\cdot, n, p]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0$ for all $n, p \in M$, we have

$$\rho(s_1(x), s_1(y))m = \rho(s_2(x) + \gamma(x), s_2(y) + \gamma(y))m = \rho(s_2(x), s_2(y))m.$$

So different choices of the section s give the same representation on $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$;

We show that the cohomology class $[(\psi, \chi)]$ does not depend on the choice of sections. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x, y, z) &= [s_1(x), s_1(y), s_1(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s_1([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= [s_2(x) + \gamma(x), s_2(y) + \gamma(y), s_2(z) + \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - (s_2([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \gamma([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\ &= ([s_2(x), s_2(y), s_2(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s_2([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) + [s_2(x), \gamma(y), \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [s_2(x), s_2(y), \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + [s_2(x), \gamma(y), s_2(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), s_2(y), s_2(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), s_2(y), \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \\
& + [\gamma(x), \gamma(y), s_2(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \gamma([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
& = ([s_2(x), s_2(y), s_2(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s_2([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) + [x, \gamma(y), \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [x, y, \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \\
& + [x, \gamma(y), z]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), y, z]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), y, \gamma(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), \gamma(y), z]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \gamma([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
& = \psi_2(x, y, z) + \delta(\gamma)(x, y, z)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1(x) & = \hat{T}(s_1(x)) - s_1(T(x)) \\
& = \hat{T}(s_2(x) + \gamma(x)) - (s_2(T(x)) + \gamma(T(x))) \\
& = (\hat{T}(s_2(x)) - s_2(T(x))) + \hat{T}(\gamma(x)) - \gamma(T(x)) \\
& = \chi_2(x) + T_M(\gamma(x)) - \gamma(T(x)) \\
& = \chi_2(x) - \Phi^1(\gamma)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $(\psi_1, \chi_1) = (\psi_2, \chi_2) + d^1(\gamma)$. Thus (ψ_1, χ_1) and (ψ_2, χ_2) correspond to the same cohomology class in $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$. \square

We show now the isomorphic abelian extensions give rise to the same cohomology class.

Proposition 5.6. *Let M be a vector space and $T_M \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(M)$. Then $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra with trivial 3-Lie bracket of weight λ . Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ be a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . Two isomorphic abelian extensions of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$ give rise to the same cohomology class in $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^\lambda}^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{T}_1)$ and $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{T}_2)$ are two isomorphic abelian extensions of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ by $(M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M)$ as is given in Equation (10). Let s_1 be a section of $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{T}_1)$. As $p_2 \circ \zeta = p_1$, we have

$$p_2 \circ (\zeta \circ s_1) = p_1 \circ s_1 = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Therefore, $\zeta \circ s_1$ is a section of $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{T}_2)$. Denote $s_2 := \zeta \circ s_1$. Since ζ is a homomorphism of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ such that $\zeta|_M = \text{Id}_M$, $\zeta(\rho(x, y)m) = \zeta(\rho(s_1(x), s_1(y))m) = \rho(s_2(x), s_2(y))m = \rho(x, y)m$, so $\zeta|_M : M \rightarrow M$ is compatible with the induced representation. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_2(x, y, z) & = [s_2(x), s_2(y), s_2(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2} - s_2([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
& = [\zeta(s_1(x)), \zeta(s_1(y)), \zeta(s_1(z))]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2} - \zeta(s_1([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\
& = \zeta([s_1(x), s_1(y), s_1(z)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1} - s_1([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\
& = \zeta(\psi_1(x, y, z)) \\
& = \psi_1(x, y, z)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_2(x) & = \hat{T}_2(s_2(x)) - s_2(T(x)) = \hat{T}_2(\zeta(s_1(x))) - \zeta(s_1(T(x))) \\
& = \zeta(\hat{T}_1(s_1(x)) - s_1(T(x))) = \zeta(\chi_1(x)) \\
& = \chi_1(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, two isomorphic abelian extensions give rise to the same element in $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^t}^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$. \square

Now we consider the reverse direction.

Let (M, ρ, T_M) be a representation over Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ . Given two linear maps $\psi : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ and $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$, one can define a 3-Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}$ and an operator T_{χ} on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus M$ by Equations (11) and (12). The following fact is important:

Proposition 5.7. *The triple $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}, T_{\chi})$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ if and only if (ψ, χ) is a 2-cocycle in the cochain complex of the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$ of weight λ with coefficients in (M, ρ, T_M) . In this case, we obtain an abelian extension*

$$0 \rightarrow (M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_M, T_M) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id} \\ & \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}, T_{\chi}) \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T) \rightarrow 0$$

and the canonical section $s = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ & \end{pmatrix} : (\mathfrak{g}, T) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, T_{\chi})$ endows M with the original representation.

Proof. If $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}, T_{\chi})$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ , then the condition that $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}$ is a 3-Lie bracket implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \rho(x_1, x_2)\psi(x_3, x_4, x_5) \\ &= \psi([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5) + \psi(y_1, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5) + \psi(x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & \quad + \rho(x_4, x_5)\psi(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_5, x_3)\psi(x_1, x_2, x_4) + \rho(x_3, x_4)\psi(x_1, x_2, x_5), \end{aligned}$$

which means $\delta^2(\psi) = 0$ in $C_{\text{3-Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$. Since T_{χ} is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ , for arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n, p \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [T_{\chi}(x+m), T_{\chi}(y+n), T_{\chi}(z+p)]_{\psi} \\ &= T_{\chi}([T_{\chi}(x+m), T_{\chi}(y+n), z+p]_{\psi} + [T_{\chi}(x+m), y+n, T_{\chi}(z+p)]_{\psi} \\ & \quad + [x+m, T_{\chi}(y+n), T_{\chi}(z+p)]_{\psi} + \lambda [T_{\chi}(x+m), y+n, z+p]_{\psi} + \lambda [x+m, T_{\chi}(y+n), z+p]_{\psi} \\ & \quad + \lambda [x+m, y+n, T_{\chi}(z+p)]_{\psi} + \lambda^2 [x+m, y+n, z+p]_{\psi}) \end{aligned}$$

Then χ, ψ satisfy the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(T(x), T(y))\chi(z) + \rho(T(z), T(x))\chi(y) + \rho(T(y), T(z))\chi(x) + \psi(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \\ &= T_M(\rho(T(y), z)\chi(x) + \rho(z, T(x))\chi(y) + \psi(T(x), T(y), z)) + \chi([T(x), T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & \quad + T_M(\rho(T(x), y)\chi(z) + \rho(y, T(z))\chi(x) + \psi(T(x), y, T(z))) + \chi([T(x), y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & \quad + T_M(\rho(x, T(y))\chi(z) + \rho(T(z), x)\chi(y) + \psi(x, T(y), T(z))) + \chi([x, T(y), T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & \quad + \lambda T_M(\rho(y, z)\chi(x) + \psi(T(x), y, z)) + \lambda T_M(\rho(z, x)\chi(y) + \psi(x, T(y), z)) \\ & \quad + \lambda\chi([x, T(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda T_M(\rho(x, y)\chi(z) + \psi(x, y, T(z))) + \lambda\chi([T(x), y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ & \quad + \lambda\chi([x, y, T(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda^2\chi([x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda^2 T_M(\psi(x, y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\partial^1(\chi) + \Phi^2(\psi) = 0.$$

Hence, (ψ, χ) is a 2-cocycle in $C_{\text{RB3-Lie}^t}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.

Conversely, if (ψ, χ) is a 2-cocycle, one can easily check that $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}, T_{\chi})$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ .

The last statement is clear. \square

Finally, we show the following result:

Proposition 5.8. *Two cohomologous 2-cocycles give rise to isomorphic abelian extensions.*

Proof. Given two 2-cocycles (ψ_1, χ_1) and (ψ_2, χ_2) , we can construct two abelian extensions $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_1}, T_{\chi_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_2}, T_{\chi_2})$ via Equations (11) and (12). If they represent the same cohomology class in $H_{\text{RB3-Lie}^1}^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, then there exists two linear maps $\gamma_0 : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow M, \gamma_1 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ such that

$$(\psi_1, \chi_1) = (\psi_2, \chi_2) + (\delta^1(\gamma_1), -\Phi^1(\gamma_1) - \delta^0(\gamma_0)).$$

Notice that $\delta^0 = \Phi^1 \circ \delta^0$. Define $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ to be $\gamma_1 + \delta^0(\gamma_0)$. Then γ satisfies

$$(\psi_1, \chi_1) = (\psi_2, \chi_2) + (\delta^1(\gamma), -\Phi^1(\gamma)).$$

Define $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \oplus M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus M$ by

$$\zeta(x + m) := x - \gamma(x) + m.$$

Then ζ is an isomorphism of these two abelian extensions $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_1}, T_{\chi_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus M, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_2}, T_{\chi_2})$. \square

6. SKELETAL ROTA-BAXTER 3-LIE 2-ALGEBRAS AND CROSSED MODULES

In this section, we introduce the notion of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras of arbitrary weights and show that skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights are classified by 3-cocycles of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights.

6.1. Skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras.

In the following, we first recall the definition of 3-Lie 2-algebras from [26].

Definition 6.1. A 3-Lie 2-algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ over \mathbf{k} consists of the following data:

- (a) a linear map: $d : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$,
- (b) completely skew-symmetric trilinear maps $l_3 : \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes \mathfrak{g}_j \otimes \mathfrak{g}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i+j+k}$, where $0 \leq i+j+k \leq 1$,
- (c) a multilinear map $l_5 : (\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$,

such that for all $x, y, x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_0, i = 1, \dots, 7$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, the following equalities are satisfied:

- (1) $dl_3(x, y, \alpha) = l_3(x, y, d(\alpha))$,
- (2) $l_3(\alpha, d(\beta), x) = l_3(d(\alpha), \beta, x)$,
- (3) $dl_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5))$,
- (4) $l_5(d(\alpha), x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = -l_3(\alpha, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_5))$,
- (5) $l_5(x_1, x_2, d(\alpha), x_4, x_5) = -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(\alpha, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(\alpha, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, \alpha), x_4, x_5) + l_3(\alpha, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5))$,
- (6) $l_3(l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_3(x_5, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6), x_7) + l_3(x_1, x_2, l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)) + l_3(x_5, x_6, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7)) + l_5(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_6), x_7)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_7)) = l_3(x_3, x_4, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_7)) \\
 &+l_5(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5, x_6, x_7) \\
 &+l_5(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_6), x_7) \\
 &+l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, l_3(x_5, x_6, x_7)) + l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_7)).
 \end{aligned}$$

A 3-Lie 2-algebra is said to be **skeletal** if $d = 0$. A 3-Lie 2-algebra is said to be **strict** if $l_5 = 0$. Inspired by [16], we give the notion of a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of arbitrary weights.

Definition 6.2. A **Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra** of weight λ consists of a 3-Lie 2-algebra $\mathcal{G} = (\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, d, l_3, l_5)$ and a Rota-Baxter operator $\Theta = (T_0, T_1, T_2)$ on \mathcal{G} , where $T_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0, T_1 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ and a completely skew-symmetric trilinear map $T_2 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ satisfying the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad &T_0 \circ d = d \circ T_1 \\
 (b) \quad &T_0(l_3(T_0(x), T_0(y), z) + l_3(x, T_0(y), T_0(z)) + l_3(T_0(x), y, T_0(z))) \\
 &+ \lambda l_3(T_0(x), y, z) + \lambda l_3(x, T_0(y), z) + \lambda l_3(x, y, T_0(z)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x, y, z)) - l_3(T_0(x), T_0(y), T_0(z))) \\
 &= dT_2(x, y, z); \\
 (c) \quad &T_1(l_3(T_0(x), T_0(y), \alpha) + l_3(x, T_0(y), T_1(\alpha)) + l_3(T_0(x), y, T_1(\alpha)) + \lambda l_3(T_0(x), y, \alpha) + \lambda l_3(x, T_0(y), \alpha) \\
 &+ \lambda l_3(x, y, T_1(\alpha)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x, y, \alpha)) - l_3(T_1(\alpha), T_0(x))) \\
 &= T_2(x, y, d(\alpha)); \\
 (d) \quad &l_5(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), T_0(x_3), T_0(x_4), T_0(x_5)) + l_3(T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), T_0(x_4), T_0(x_5))) \\
 &+ l_3(T_0(x_3), T_2(x_1, x_2, x_4), T_0(x_5)) + l_3(T_0(x_3), T_0(x_4), T_2(x_1, x_2, x_5))) \\
 &+ T_2(l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), x_3) + l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), T_0(x_3)) + l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, T_0(x_3)))) \\
 &+ \lambda l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, x_3) + \lambda l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), x_3) + \lambda l_3(x_1, x_2, T_0(x_3)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\
 &+ T_2(x_3, l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), x_4) + l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), T_0(x_4)) + l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, T_0(x_4))) \\
 &+ \lambda l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, x_4) + \lambda l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), x_4) + \lambda l_3(x_1, x_2, T_0(x_4)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) \\
 &+ T_2(x_3, x_4, l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), x_5) + l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), T_0(x_5)) + l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, T_0(x_5))) \\
 &+ \lambda l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, x_5) + \lambda l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), x_5) + \lambda l_3(x_1, x_2, T_0(x_5)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)) \\
 &= l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), T_2(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + T_2(x_1, x_2, l_3(T_0(x_3), T_0(x_4), x_5)) + l_3(x_3, T_0(x_4), T_0(x_5))) \\
 &+ l_3(T_0(x_3), x_4, T_0(x_5)) + \lambda l_3(T_0(x_3), x_4, x_5) + \lambda l_3(x_3, T_0(x_4), x_5) \\
 &+ \lambda l_3(x_3, x_4, T_0(x_5)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + T_1(l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We will denote a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ by (\mathcal{G}, Θ) . A Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ is said to be **skeletal** if $d = 0$. A Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ is said to be **strict** if $l_5 = 0, T_2 = 0$.

Let (\mathcal{G}, Θ) be a skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ . Then \mathcal{G} is a skeletal 3-Lie 2-algebra. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad &0 = -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\
 &+ l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)), \\
 (14) \quad &0 = -l_3(\alpha, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\
 &+ l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_5)), \\
 (15) \quad &0 = -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(\alpha, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(\alpha, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, \alpha), x_4, x_5) \\
 &+ l_3(\alpha, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)), \\
 &l_3(l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_3(x_5, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_6), x_7)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +l_3(x_1, x_2, l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)) + l_3(x_5, x_6, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7)) \\
& +l_5(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5), x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_6), x_7) \\
& +l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_7)) \\
(16) \quad & = l_3(x_3, x_4, l_5(x_1, x_2, x_5, x_6, x_7)) + l_5(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) \\
& +l_5(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5, x_6, x_7) + l_5(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5), x_6, x_7) \\
& +l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_6), x_7) + l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, l_3(x_5, x_6, x_7)) \\
& +l_5(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_7)).
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_0, i = 1, \dots, 7$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Theorem 6.3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras of arbitrary weights and 3-cocycles of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of arbitrary weights.*

Proof. Let (\mathcal{G}, Θ) be a skeletal Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ . Then $(\mathfrak{g}_0, l_3, T_0)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . Define linear maps $f : (\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0) \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\theta : \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= l_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), \\
\theta(x, y, z) &= T_2(x, y, z).
\end{aligned}$$

By (c) in Definition 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), T_0(x_3), T_0(x_4), T_0(x_5)) + l_3(T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), T_0(x_4), T_0(x_5)) \\
& +l_3(T_0(x_3), \theta(x_1, x_2, x_4), T_0(x_5)) + l_3(T_0(x_3), T_0(x_4), \theta(x_1, x_2, x_5)) \\
& +\theta(l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), x_3) + l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), T_0(x_3)) + l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, T_0(x_3)) + \lambda l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, x_3) \\
& +\lambda l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), x_3) + \lambda l_3(x_1, x_2, T_0(x_3)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\
(17) \quad & +\theta(x_3, l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), x_4) + l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), T_0(x_4)) + l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, T_0(x_4)) \\
& +\lambda l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, x_4) + \lambda l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), x_4) + \lambda l_3(x_1, x_2, T_0(x_4)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) \\
& +T_2(x_3, x_4, l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), x_5) + l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), T_0(x_5)) + l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, T_0(x_5)) \\
& +\lambda l_3(T_0(x_1), x_2, x_5) + \lambda l_3(x_1, T_0(x_2), x_5) + \lambda l_3(x_1, x_2, T_0(x_5)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)) \\
& -l_3(T_0(x_1), T_0(x_2), T_2(x_3, x_4, x_5)) - \theta(x_1, x_2, l_3(T_0(x_3), T_0(x_4), x_5) + l_3(x_3, T_0(x_4), T_0(x_5)) \\
& +l_3(T_0(x_3), x_4, T_0(x_5)) + \lambda l_3(T_0(x_3), x_4, x_5) + \lambda l_3(x_3, T_0(x_4), x_5) \\
& +\lambda l_3(x_3, x_4, T_0(x_5)) + \lambda^2 l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) - T_1(f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By (16) and (17), we have $d^n(f, \theta) = 0$. Thus, (f, θ) is a 3-cocycle of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ .

The proof of the other direction is similar, and we are done. \square

6.2. Crossed modules.

Now we turn to the study on strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras. First we introduce the notion of crossed modules of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras.

Definition 6.4. A **crossed module** of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ is a sextuple

$$((\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}), (\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}), d, S, T_0, T_1),$$

where $(\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, T_0)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ and $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ is a 3-Lie algebra, $d : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a homomorphism of 3-Lie algebras, and (\mathfrak{g}_1, S, T_1) is a Rota-Baxter

representation over $(\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, T_0)$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, the following equalities are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(x, y))(\alpha) &= [x, y, d(\alpha)]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, T_0 \circ d = d \circ T_1, \\ S(d(\alpha), d(\beta))(\gamma) &= [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, S(x, d(\alpha))(\beta) = -S(x, d(\beta))(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Let (\mathcal{G}, Θ) be a strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ . Then \mathcal{G} is a strict 3-Lie 2-algebra. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad dl_3(x, y, \alpha) &= l_3(x, y, d(\alpha)), \\ (19) \quad l_3(\alpha, d(\beta), x) &= l_3(d(\alpha), \beta, x), \\ (20) \quad 0 &= -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\ &\quad + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)), \\ (21) \quad 0 &= -l_3(\alpha, x_2, l_3(x_3, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(x_3, l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_3), x_4, x_5) \\ &\quad + l_3(x_3, x_4, l_3(\alpha, x_2, x_5)), \\ (22) \quad 0 &= -l_3(x_1, x_2, l_3(\alpha, x_4, x_5)) + l_3(\alpha, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_4), x_5) + l_3(l_3(x_1, x_2, \alpha), x_4, x_5) \\ &\quad + l_3(\alpha, x_4, l_3(x_1, x_2, x_5)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_0, i = 1, \dots, 7$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Theorem 6.5. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebras of weight λ and crossed modules of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ .*

Proof. Let (\mathcal{G}, Θ) be a strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ . We construct a crossed module of strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ as follows. Obviously, $(\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, T_0)$ is a Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra of weight λ . Define brackets $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1$ respectively as:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_0} &= l_3(x, y, z), \\ [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} &= l_3(d(\alpha), d(\beta), \gamma) = l_3(d(\alpha), \beta, d(\gamma)) = l_3(\alpha, d(\beta), d(\gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

By conditions (19) and (21). Then $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ is a 3-Lie algebra. Obviously, we deduce that d is a homomorphism between 3-Lie algebras. Define $S : \mathfrak{g}_0 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow gl(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ by

$$(23) \quad S(x, y)(\alpha) = l_3(x, y, \alpha).$$

By conditions (b), (c) and (22), (23), the triple (\mathfrak{g}_1, S, T_1) is a representation over the Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, T_0)$. Thus $((\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}), (\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}), d, S, T_0, T_1)$ is a crossed module of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of weight λ .

Conversely, a crossed module of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras $((\mathfrak{g}_0, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}), (\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}), d, S, T_0, T_1)$ of weight λ gives rise to a strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, d, l_3, l_5 = 0, T_0, T_1, T_2 = 0)$ of weight λ , where $l_3 : \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes \mathfrak{g}_j \otimes \mathfrak{g}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i+j+k}, 0 \leq i + j + k \leq 1$ is given by

$$l_3(x, y, z) = [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, l_3(x, y, \alpha) = S(x, y)(\alpha).$$

Direct verification shows that $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, d, l_3, l_5 = 0, T_0, T_1, T_2 = 0)$ is a strict Rota-Baxter 3-Lie 2-algebra of weight λ . \square

APPENDIX A: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

The purpose of this appendix is to prove Proposition 3.2.

Firstly, let's introduce some notations we will use in the following proof. For an element

$$(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \in \underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_{n-1} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$$

with $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i$, we write it as an ordered sequence $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n}, z_{2n+1})$, where

$$z_1 = x_1, z_2 = y_1, \dots, z_{2k-1} = x_k, z_{2k} = y_k, \dots, z_{2n-1} = x_n, z_{2n} = y_n, z_{2n+1} = x_{n+1}.$$

For arbitrary permutation $\tau \in S_{2n+1}$, its action on the element (z_1, \dots, z_{2n+1}) is given as

$$\tau(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) = (z_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, z_{\tau^{-1}(2)}, \dots, z_{\tau^{-1}(2n)}, z_{\tau^{-1}(2n+1)}).$$

Define an operation $[i-1, i, i+1]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ from $\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_{n-1} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ to $\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_{n-2} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ as

$$[i-1, i, i+1]_{\mathfrak{g}}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{i-2}, [z_{i-1}, z_i, z_{i+1}]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z_{i+2}, \dots, z_{2n+1}).$$

Proposition 3.2 The map $\Phi^\bullet : \mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{RBO}^\bullet}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is a chain map.

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. For arbitrary $f \in \mathbf{C}_{3\text{-Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{X}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{X}_n \wedge x_{n+1} \in (\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge 3}$ with $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi^{n+1} \delta^n(f))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= (\delta^n(f) \circ (T, \dots, T, T))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} (T_M \circ \delta^n(f) \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_{k-1}) \wedge T(y_{k-1}), ([T(x_j), T(y_j), T(x_k)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge T(y_k) \\ &\quad + T(x_k) \wedge [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(y_k)]_{\mathfrak{g}}), T(x_{k+1}) \wedge T(y_{k+1}), \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(x_{n+1})]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \rho(T(x_j), T(y_j)) f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \rho(T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}), T(x_n)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \rho(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n)) f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}), T(y_n)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j (T_M \circ f \circ [2k-3, 2k-2, 2k-1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \\ &\quad (2j-1, \dots, 2k-2)^{-2} \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j (T_M \circ f \circ [2k-2, 2k-1, 2k]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \\ &\quad (2j-1, \dots, 2k-1)^{-2} \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j (T_M \circ f \circ [2n-1, 2n, 2n+1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \\
 & (2j-1, \dots, 2n)^{-2} \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)})) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} (T_M (\rho \cdot f) \circ (1, \dots, 2j)^2 \circ \\
 & (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)})) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} (-1)^{n+1} \{ T_M \circ (\rho \cdot f) \circ ((1, \dots, 2n+1) + (1, \dots, 2n+1) \circ (1, \dots, 2n-1)) \circ \\
 & (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) \} (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial^n \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 = & \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{k-1}, [x_j, y_j, x_k]_T \wedge y_k + x_k \wedge [x_j, y_j, y_k]_T, \mathfrak{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, [x_j, y_j, x_{n+1}]_T) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \rho(T(x_j), T(y_j)) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M(\rho(T(x_j), y_j)) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M(\rho(x_j, T(y_j))) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \lambda T_M(\rho(x_j, y_j)) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 & + (-1)^{n+1} (\rho(T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n)) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
 & - (-1)^{n+1} T_M(\rho(T(y_n), x_{n+1})) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(T(x_{n+1}), x_n) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
 & - (-1)^{n+1} T_M(\rho(y_n, T(x_{n+1})) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
 & - (-1)^{n+1} \lambda T_M(\rho(y_n, x_{n+1})) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n) \Phi^n(f)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n)) \\
 = & \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_{k-1}) \wedge T(y_{k-1}), [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(x_k)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge T(y_k) \\
 & + T(x_k) \wedge [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(y_k)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T(x_{k+1}) \wedge T(y_{k+1}), \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j T_M \circ f \circ [2k-3, 2k-2, 2k-1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (2j-1, \dots, 2k-2)^{-2} \circ \\
 & (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) \circ (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j T_M \circ f \circ [2k-2, 2k-1, 2k]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (2j-1, \dots, 2k-1)^{-2} \circ \\
& \quad (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) \circ (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(x_{n+1})]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j T_M \circ f \circ [2n-1, 2n, 2n+1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (2j-1, \dots, 2n)^{-2} \circ \\
& \quad (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{j+1} \rho(T(x_j), T(y_j)) f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \\
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \rho(T(x_j), T(y_j)) \cdot T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M (\rho(T(x_j), y_j) f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1}))) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \\
& \quad T_M \circ (\rho(T(x_j), y_j) \cdot T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1})) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M (\rho(x_j, T(y_j)) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1}))) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \\
& \quad T_M \circ (\rho(x_j, T(y_j)) \cdot (T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_{k-1})}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}))) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \lambda T_M (\rho(x_j, y_j) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n), T(x_{n+1}))) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq 2n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \\
& \quad T_M \circ (\rho(x_j, y_j) \cdot (T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_{k-1})}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}))) \\
& + (-1)^{n+1} \rho(T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(x_n)) \\
& + (-1)^{n+1} \rho(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n)) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_n)) \\
& - (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \rho(T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \cdot \{T_M \circ f \circ \\
& (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_{k-1})}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \\
 & \rho(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n)) \cdot \left\{ T_M \circ f(\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n) \right\} \\
 & -(-1)^{n+1} T_M \left\{ \rho(T(y_n), x_{n+1}) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(x_n)) \right\} \\
 & -(-1)^{n+1} T_M \left\{ \rho(T(x_{n+1}), x_n) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_n)) \right\} \\
 & +(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \\
 & T_M \left\{ \rho(T(y_n), x_{n+1}) \cdot \left(T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) \right) \right\} \\
 & +(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \\
 & T_M \left\{ \rho(T(x_{n+1}), x_n) \cdot \left(T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n) \right) \right\} \\
 & -(-1)^{n+1} T_M \left\{ \rho(y_n, T(x_{n+1})) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(x_n)) \right\} \\
 & -(-1)^{n+1} T_M \left\{ \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_n)) \right\} \\
 & +(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \\
 & T_M \left\{ \rho(y_n, T(x_{n+1})) \cdot \left(T_M \circ f(\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) \right) \right\} \\
 & +(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-2-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-1} \\
 & T_M \left\{ \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) \cdot \left(T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n) \right) \right\} \\
 & -(-1)^{n+1} \lambda T_M \left\{ \rho(y_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(x_n)) \right\} \\
 & -(-1)^{n+1} \lambda T_M \left\{ \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_n)) \right\} \\
 & +(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-2} \\
 & T_M \left\{ \rho(y_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot \left(T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) \right) \right\} \\
 & +(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \lambda^{2n-k-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n-2} \\
 & T_M \left\{ \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n) \cdot \left(T_M \circ f \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \dots, \text{Id}^{(2n-1-i_k)})(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n) \right) \right\} \\
 = & \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_{k-1}) \wedge T(y_{k-1}), [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(x_k)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge T(y_k) \\
 & + T(x_k) \wedge [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(y_k)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T(x_{k+1}) \wedge T(y_{k+1}), \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n) \wedge T(x_{n+1})) \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j T_M \circ f \circ [2k-1, 2k, 2k+1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2j-1, \dots, 2k)^{-2} \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j T_M \circ f \circ [2k, 2k+1, 2k+2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (2j-1, \dots, 2k+1)^{-2} \circ \\
& \quad (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n) \wedge [T(x_j), T(y_j), T(x_{n+1})]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^j T_M \circ f \circ [2k, 2k+1, 2k+2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (2j-1, \dots, 2k+1)^{-2} \circ \\
& \quad \circ (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} \rho(T(x_j), T(y_j)) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_j, \dots, T(x_n) \wedge T(y_n) \wedge T(x_{n+1})) \\
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j+1} T_M \circ (\rho \cdot f) \circ (1, \dots, 2j)^2 \circ \\
& \quad (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda^{2n-k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n+1} (-1)^{n+1} T_M \circ (\rho \cdot f) \circ ((1, \dots, 2n+1) + (1, \dots, 2n+1) \circ (1, \dots, 2n-1)) \circ \\
& \quad (\text{Id}^{(i_1-1)}, T, \text{Id}^{(i_2-i_1-1)}, T, \dots, T, \text{Id}^{(2n+1-i_k)}) (\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
& + (-1)^{n+1} \rho(T(y_n), T(x_{n+1})) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}), T(x_n)) \\
& + (-1)^{n+1} \rho(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n)) \cdot f(T(x_1) \wedge T(y_1), \dots, T(x_{n-1}) \wedge T(y_{n-1}), T(y_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $\partial^n \Phi^n = \Phi^{n+1} \delta^n$. □

Acknowledgments. This work is supported in part by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12071137, 12161013, 11971460) and by STCSM (No. 22DZ2229014).

The authors are very grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading of this paper and for his most useful comments which improve much the presentation of this paper.

Data availability statement. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflict of interest statement. The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. de Azcárraga and J. M. Izquierdo, *n*-ary algebras: a review with applications, *J. Phys. A* **43** (2010) 293001. [3](#)
- [2] C. Bai, A unified algebraic approach to classical Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Phys. A* **40** (2007), 11073-11082. [1](#)
- [3] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Generalizations of the classical Yang-Baxter equation and *O*-operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011) 063515. [1](#)
- [4] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010) 553-596. [1](#)

- [5] C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Bialgebras, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and Manin triples for 3-Lie algebras, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **23** (2019) 27-74. [2](#)
- [6] R. Bai, L. Guo, J. Li and Y. Wu, Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), 063504. [2](#), [4](#), [6](#)
- [7] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960) 731-742. [1](#)
- [8] A. Das, Cohomology and deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **63** (2022), no. 9, Paper No. 091703, 16 pp. [2](#)
- [9] A. Das, Cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and Rota-Baxter paired operators, [arXiv:2109.01972](#). [2](#)
- [10] A. Das and S. K. Mishra, The L_∞ -deformations of associative Rota-Baxter algebras and homotopy Rota-Baxter operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **63** (2022), no. 5, Paper No. 051703, 23 pp. [2](#)
- [11] V. T. Filippov, n -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **26** (1985), 126-140. [2](#), [3](#)
- [12] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Ann. Math.* **78** (1963), 267-288. [2](#)
- [13] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras, *Ann. Math.* **79** (1964), 59-103. [2](#)
- [14] G. Hochschild, On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra. *Ann. Math. (2)* **46** (1945), 58-67. [2](#)
- [15] S. Hou, Y. Sheng and Y. Zhou, Relative Rota-Baxter operators of nonzero weights on 3-Lie algebras and 3-post-Lie algebras, [arXiv:2204.02196](#). [3](#)
- [16] J. Jang and Y. Sheng, Representations and cohomologies of relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and applications, *J. Algebra* **602** (2022), 637-670. [19](#)
- [17] S. M. Kasymov, On a theory of n -Lie algebras, *Algebra Log.* **26** (1987) 277-297. [3](#)
- [18] A. Lazarev, Y. Sheng and R. Tang, Deformations and Homotopy Theory of Relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **383** (2021), no. 1, 595-631. [2](#)
- [19] A. Lazarev, Y. Sheng and R. Tang, Homotopy relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, triangular L_∞ -bialgebras and higher derived brackets, [arXiv:2008.00059](#). [2](#)
- [20] M. Rotkiewicz, Cohomology ring of n -Lie algebras, *Extr. Math.* **20** (2005), 219-232. [3](#)
- [21] L. Takhtajan, Higher order analog of Chevalley-Eilenberg complex and deformation theory of n -algebras, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **6** (1995) 429-438. [3](#)
- [22] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Deformations and their controlling cohomologies of \mathcal{O} -operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **368** (2019), no. 2, 665-700. [2](#)
- [23] R. Tang, S. Hou and Y. Sheng, Lie 3-algebras and deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on 3-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **567** (2021), 37-62. [2](#)
- [24] K. Wang and G. Zhou, Deformations and homotopy theory of Rota-Baxter algebras of any weight, [arXiv:2108.06744](#). [2](#)
- [25] K. Wang and G. Zhou, The homotopy theory of Rota-Baxter algebras of arbitrary weights, [arXiv:2203.02960](#). [2](#)
- [26] Y. Zhou, Y. Li and Y. Sheng, 3-Lie $_\infty$ -algebras and 3-Lie 2-algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **16** (2017), no. 9, 1750171 (20 pages). [18](#)

SHUANGJIAN GUO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GUIZHOU UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, GUIYANG 550025, CHINA

Email address: shuangjianguo@126.com

YUFEI QIN, KAI WANG AND GUODONG ZHOU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA

Email address: 290673049@qq.com

Email address: wangkai@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Email address: gdzhou@math.ecnu.edu.cn