A single speaker is almost all you need for automatic speech recognition
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\textbf{Abstract}

We explore the use of speech synthesis and voice conversion applied to augment datasets for automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems, in scenarios with only one speaker available for the target language. Through extensive experiments, we show that our approach achieves results compared to the state-of-the-art (SOTA) and requires only one speaker in the target language during speech synthesis/voice conversion model training. Finally, we show that it is possible to obtain promising results in the training of an ASR model with our data augmentation method and only a single real speaker in different target languages.

\textbf{Index Terms}: Speech Recognition, Speech Synthesis, Cross-lingual Zero-shot Voice Conversion, Cross-lingual Zero-shot Multi-speaker TTS, ASR Data Augmentation

1. Introduction

Text-to-Speech (TTS) systems have received a lot of attention in recent years due to the great advances in deep learning, which have allowed for the massive use of voice-based applications such as virtual assistants. These advances have allowed TTS models to achieve naturalness with respect to human speech\textsuperscript{1,2,3}. Notably, most TTS systems are tailored for a single speaker, but many applications could benefit from the new-speaker synthesis, i.e., not seen during training, employing only a few seconds of the target speech. This approach is called zero-shot multi-speaker TTS (ZS-TTS) as in\textsuperscript{4,5,6}.

Advances in TTS have motivated works that exploit it as a way to augment data for the ASR (Automatic Speech Recognition) task, such as\textsuperscript{7,8,9}. All of these works used the LibriSpeech\textsuperscript{10} dataset to train the ASR model. For the TTS model training,\textsuperscript{7} used 3 speakers from the American English MAILABS\textsuperscript{11} dataset, while\textsuperscript{8} and\textsuperscript{9} trained the TTS model with more than 251 speakers from the LibriSpeech.

In Table\textsuperscript{1} we report the Word Error Rate (WER) of the best experiment of each of the related works in the test-other subset of the LibriSpeech dataset. Although the studies contain both test-clean and test-other results, we focus on the results of the most difficult sub-set. Also,\textsuperscript{7} reported results using an external language model (LM); however, for fairness, we omit this LM in our comparison.

The ASR model trained with synthesized speech combined with human speech achieved results of 1.047, 1.007 and 1.040 times better than the model trained with human speech alone, respectively, for\textsuperscript{7,8} and\textsuperscript{9}. When training only with synthesized speech, the results were 5.04 and 4.75 times worse than the model trained only with human speech, respectively, for\textsuperscript{7} and\textsuperscript{8}, which motivates further improvements and research.

Table 1: Comparison between related works in the test-other subset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>TTS Model</th>
<th>ASR Model</th>
<th>Train data</th>
<th>WER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textsuperscript{7}</td>
<td>Tacotron \textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>Wav2Letter \textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>Human + Synthesized</td>
<td>16.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>ZS-Tacotron \textsuperscript{14} + VAE \textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>LAS \textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Human + Synthesized</td>
<td>13.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textsuperscript{9}</td>
<td>GMVAE Tacotron \textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td>LAS \textsuperscript{13} \textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>Human + Synthesized</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although previous work show the potential for multi-speaker or zero-shot multi-speaker TTS models to augment ASR datasets to improve the performance of these systems, these models still require high quality datasets with many speakers in hours of speech to converge\textsuperscript{2,6}. Generally, such models are trained for the English language with big datasets such as VCTK\textsuperscript{17} and LibriTTS\textsuperscript{18}. However, the vast majority of languages do not have an open TTS dataset and the few available datasets are limited in the number of speakers.

In our previous work\textsuperscript{6}, we presented YourTTS a zero-shot multi-speaker TTS model that showed good results for Portuguese using only a single-speaker dataset in the target language. In this paper, we combine the power of the YourTTS model with that of Wav2vec 2.0\textsuperscript{19} trained in a self-supervised way on 100 thousand hours of speech in 23 different languages\textsuperscript{20}.

Our contribution is as follows:

- As far as we know, we are the first to investigate cross-lingual zero-shot multi-speaker TTS and cross-lingual zero-shot voice conversion together as data part of an augmentation strategy for ASR model training;
- Our novel data augmentation approach achieves high-quality results comparable to the SOTA and can be applied to languages with medium/low resources available;
• We show that it is possible to apply speech synthesis and voice conversion as a data augmentation method for a language using only a single-speaker dataset in the target language;
• We present promising results with the training of an ASR model using only a single-speaker dataset plus our data augmentation method in two target languages from different language families.

2. Audio datasets
We used 3 languages/training datasets for the TTS model, as follows:

- **English**: VCTK [17] dataset, containing 44 hours of speech from 109 speakers, sampled at 48kHz. We divided the VCTK dataset into training, development and test subsets following [6]. To further increase the number of speakers for training, we used the subsets train-clean-100 and train-clean-360 from LibriTTS [19]. In the end, our TTS model was trained with 1,248 English speakers.
- **Portuguese**: TTS-Portuguese Corpus [2], a single-speaker male dataset in Brazilian Portuguese (pt-BR) containing ca. 10 hrs, sampled at 48KHz. As the authors did not use a soundproof studio, the dataset contains some environmental noise. Following [6], we resampled the audios to 16KHz and used FullSubNet [22] as a denoiser. For development, we randomly selected 500 samples, leaving the rest for training.
- **Russian**: ru-RU set of the M-AILABS dataset [11], which is based on LibriVox [1]. The dataset consists of 46 hrs from 1 female and 2 male speakers. In this work, we used samples only from the female speaker for diversity, since we already used a male for pt-BR.

For all TTS datasets, pre-processing was carried out to normalize volume and to remove long periods of silence, following [6]. After pre-processing, the datasets contained 8.38 hrs for pt-BR and 14.94 hrs for ru-RU (Russian). For ASR model training, we used Common Voice version 7.0 [24] for pt-BR and ru-RU. In all experiments, we used the default train, development and test partitions. For pt-BR, these sets have 14.52, 8.9 and 9.5 hours, respectively; and ru-RU has 25.95, 13.06 and 13.75 hours, in the same order.

3. Audio augmentation methods
We explore three popular augmentation methods in speech processing – additive noise, pitch shifting and room impulse response (RIR) simulation. For additive noise, we use the MU-SAN corpus [24], which is composed of three subsets: (i) the noise subset (ca. 6 hrs.), such as ambient noise and dialtones; (ii) the music subset (ca. 42 hrs.); and (iii) the speech subset (ca. 60 hrs). For RIR, we use the simulated filters provided in [25].

As in [26], for additive noise, we randomly selected the audio and added it to the original signal. For the speech subset, we added it to the original signal with a random signal to noise ratio (SNR) from 13 to 20dB. In a similar fashion, we added from 5 to 15dB SNR for music and from 0 to 15dB SNR for noise. For the RIR filters, we performed speech reverberation via convolution operation with a collection of simulated RIR filters [25]. For pitch shift, we randomly chose a semitone from -4 to 4. All augmentations are randomly selected with a 25% probability of being chosen for each audio in every training step. For all methods, we use the implementations available in the Python Audiomentations package.

4. TTS model setup
For TTS, we use YourTTS [6] fine-tuned in English, pt-BR and ru-RU. In the original work, YourTTS was trained using English (LibriTTS and VCTK corpora), French (M-AILABS corpus) and pt-BR (TTS-Portuguese Corpus). In that work, it was able to produce female voices even without being trained on a female pt-BR voice. Here we use transfer learning from the checkpoints made publicly available by the authors. The dataset in English and pt-BR were the same dataset used by YourTTS [6]. On the other hand, we replaced the French M-AILABS dataset by a female speaker from the Russian M-AILABS dataset. Using these datasets, we train the YourTTS model for 100k steps with the same parameters used in the original work. Also, after we fine-tuned the model for another 40k steps using the Speaker Consistency Loss (SCL) [6]. The SCL consists of using a speaker encoder as a loss for the TTS model. The SCL was shown to help with zero-shot speech similarity, especially when the recording characteristics of the target speaker are different from those of training.

YourTTS can synthesize different audios for the same input sentence. During inference, the latent variable predicted by the encoder is added with a random sample of the standard normal distribution multiplied by a temperature $T$. In this way, diversity can be controlled by the temperature $T$. As shown by [27], the manipulation of $T$ allows for the generation of different pitches; for more details see [27, 3, 6]. Furthermore, the YourTTS model is trained with the stochastic flow-based duration predictor proposed in [3]. As shown in [3], this duration predictor can produce several different speech rhythms for the same sentence. This happens because during inference, a random sample of the standard normal distribution is multiplied by a temperature $T_{dp}$ and added to the latent variable before it is inverted by the flow. In this way, it is possible to control the variety of rhythms with the temperature $T_{dp}$. Finally, it is possible to control the speaking rates of speech by multiplying the predicted durations by a positive scalar $L$, thus making the pronunciation faster when $L$ is smaller and slower when $L$ is bigger. During the generation of the artificial datasets in this work, diversity is achieved by randomly choosing $L$, $T$ and $T_{dp}$: for $L$, a value between 0.7 and 2, while for temperatures ($T$ and $T_{dp}$) a value between 0 and 0.667.

5. Comparison between human and synthesized speech
5.1. Experiments Setup
For a fair comparison between human and synthesized speech, we have generated a copy of pt-BR and ru-RU Common Voice corpora. For each sentence in Common Voice, we generate the pronunciation of that sentence in the voice of the same speaker using the pronunciation of that sentence as a reference to extract the speaker embedding. The idea being that if the zero-shot multi-speaker TTS model is good enough, it will generate the same speaker voice as in the original audio.

As for the ASR model, we use Wave2vec 2.0 [19], a large model trained in a self-supervised way on the VoxPopuli dataset [20]. We used the model checkpoint provided by the authors at [21] which was trained on 100,000 hours of speech in the

---

1. [https://librivox.org/](https://librivox.org/)
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following 23 languages: Bulgarian (Bg), Czech (Cs), Croatian (Hr), Danish (Da), Dutch (Nl), English (En), Estonian (Ee), Finnish (Fi), French (Fr), German (De), Greek (El), Hungarian (Hu), Italian (It), Latvian (Lv), Lithuanian (Lt), Maltese (Mt), Polish (Pl), Portuguese (Pt), Romanian (Ro), Slovak (Sk), Slovene (Sl), Spanish (Es) and Swedish (Sw). We chose Portuguese and Russian because the Portuguese language was used in the pre-training of this model and Russian was not used, presenting realistic results for both scenarios. Also, these languages are from diverse language families.

We carried out four experiments:

- **Experiment 1**: ASR models trained in pt-BR and ru-RU with the Common Voice dataset using the standard training and development subsets. For pt-BR, the model was trained for 140 epochs and ru-RU for 100 epochs;

- **Experiment 1.1**: Transfer learning from experiment 1 and adds in the training audio augmentations (AA) described in Section 3. In this experiment, the ASR is trained with half the number of epochs used in experiment 1.

- **Experiment 2**: Similar to experiment 1, but the model is trained using the synthesized copy of Common Voice in pt-BR and ru-RU. For model training and development, we used synthesized speech.

- **Experiment 2.1**: Transfer learning from experiment 2 and adds the AA described in Section 3. The ASR is trained with half the number of epochs used in experiment 2.

To run the experiments, we use the HuggingFace Transformers framework. The models were trained with a GPU NVIDIA TITAN RTX 24GB using a batch size of 8 and gradient accumulation over 24 steps. We used the optimizer AdamW with a linear learning rate warm-up from 0 to 3e-05 in the first 8 epochs and after using linear decay to zero. During training, the best checkpoint was chosen, using the loss in the development set and we used early stopping after the development loss had not improved for 10 consecutive epochs. The code used for all of the experiments, as well as the checkpoints of the trained models are publicly available at: https://github.com/Edresson/Wav2Vec-Wrapper

5.2. Results and Discussion

Table 2 presents the results for our experiments on the pt-BR and ru-RU test subsets from Common Voice.

Table 2: WER of the comparison between human and synthesized speech

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>PT</th>
<th>RU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Human Speech</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>25.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Human Speech + AA</td>
<td>21.54</td>
<td>22.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Synthesized speech</td>
<td>56.84</td>
<td>65.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Synthesized speech + AA</td>
<td>43.99</td>
<td>50.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The model trained only with human speech (Experiment 1) reached a WER of 23.50% and 25.47%, respectively for pt-BR and ru-RU. The model trained only with synthesized speech (Experiment 2) reached a WER of 56.84% and 65.85%, respectively, for pt-BR and ru-RU. Therefore, without AA, the model trained only with human speech is 2.41 and 2.58 times better than the one trained only with synthesized speech, respectively, for the same languages. Fine-tuning the models with AA (Experiment 1.1 and Experiment 2.1) improved performance. The model trained with human speech only improved its result by 1.96% and 3.20% WER for pt-BR and ru-RU after the addition of AA. On the other hand, the model trained only with synthesized speech improved by 12.85% and 15.39% WER, respectively. Therefore, using AA benefits the model trained with synthesized speech much more than the model trained with human speech. This can be explained by the absence of diversity in the synthesized speech. With the use of AA, the gap between models trained only with human and only synthesized speech is reduced to a 2.04 and 2.26 times for those two languages. The results are remarkable considering it was trained with synthetic data.

Our results are also interesting because, despite using only a single speaker dataset for training the TTS model for pt-BR and ru-RU, our ASR model trained only with synthesized speech achieves a comparable result to related works. For comparison, in [3] the authors reported that their model trained only with human speech when tested on test-other achieves a result of 4.75 times better than the model trained only with synthesized speech. Even though this work explores the English language in a setting with many available speakers and it is not directly comparable, we believe that our results indicate that our approach can be used in low-resource languages. Furthermore, because our approach reduces the gap between models trained with human and synthesized speech, we believe this can encourage the exploration of ASR models trained only with synthesized speech, especially for low-resource languages.

6. Is only one speaker in the target language sufficient for learning?

6.1. Experiments Setup

In section 5 we applied the YourTTS model, trained with a single speaker in pt-BR and ru-RU to create datasets for ASR. Although the TTS model was trained with only one speaker in the target languages, we made a copy of the Common Voice dataset in order to gain many speakers in the target languages. This approach has shown promising results, but many languages do not have datasets with many publicly available speakers. For that reason, in this section, we explore the use of a single speaker in the target language. To make up for the lack of speakers during the creation of the synthesized dataset we explored the use of voice conversion, using English speakers, rather than cloning target speakers.

We created the GEN_TTS dataset which was created by synthesizing all the sentences in Common Voice using English speakers. Furthermore, we created the GEN_VC dataset which consists of the dataset used for training the TTS model in the target language converted to a multi-speaker dataset using voice conversion with English speakers. For voice conversion, we also use the YourTTS model. Each sample of the dataset used in the TTS model training was converted to the voice of 5 speakers, chosen at random from the 1,248 English speakers used to train the YourTTS model. The value of 5 transfers per sample was chosen in preliminary experiments. We would like to note that, in preliminary experiments, we explored increasing the number of speakers per sentence in the GEN_TTS dataset; however, this did not bring significant improvements. We carried out three experiments:

1. https://github.com/huggingface/transformers
• **Experiment 3**: ASR models trained on pt-BR and ru-RU with Common Voice plus the TTS dataset.

• **Experiment 4**: explores the use of human speech from a single speaker in the target language, with data augmentation being accomplished by the YourTTS model. For the data augmentation we merge the GEN\_TTS and GEN\_VC datasets, detailed above.

• **Experiment 5**: this experiment seeks to verify how data augmentation using speech synthesis and voice conversion can improve the results of the ASR models, even when the TTS and voice conversion models were trained with only a single speaker in the target language. For this, we train the ASR models with the merged datasets from GEN\_TTS, GEN\_VC and Common Voice.

All 3 experiments use AA and the same training parameters used in Section 5.

### 6.2. Results and Discussion

Table 3 presents our experiments’ results on the test subsets of the pt-BR and ru-RU Common Voice datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>PT</th>
<th>RU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. TTS dataset + GEN_TTS + GEN_VC</td>
<td>33.96</td>
<td>36.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Common Voice + TTS dataset + GEN_TTS + GEN_VC</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>20.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: WER of the experiments 3 to 5**

#### 6.2.1. Human x Generated + 1 real speaker

The model trained with the Common Voice and a single-speaker TTS dataset in the target languages achieved a WER of 20.39% and 24.80% for pt-BR and ru-RU, respectively. The model trained with only 1 real speaker in the target language (TTS dataset) with data augmentation using voice conversion and TTS, achieved a WER of 33.96% and 36.59%, respectively. For comparison in pt-BR, [29] used ca. 158 hrs of speech and a non-self-supervised model without an external LM and achieved a WER of 47.41% on the test set of BRSD v2 dataset. Despite being different datasets, [30] showed that the test set of the Common Voice dataset is more challenging than the test set of BRSD v2, and for this reason, the model proposed by these authors reached a higher WER on the Common Voice dataset.

On the other hand, in ru-RU, [31] used transfer learning from 5 large English datasets, they trained the QuartzNet [32] model on Common Voice, obtaining a WER of 32.20% on the test set of the same dataset. Therefore, 33.96% WER achieved by our model is probably superior to the SOTA for pt-BR, before the introduction of self-supervised learning approaches. Also, the WER of 36.59% achieved in Russian is comparable with the SOTA. This is remarkable since our model was trained with just one real speaker in the target language.

**6.2.2. Human x Human + Generated**

The model trained with Common Voice and a single-speaker TTS dataset in the target languages achieved a WER of 20.39% and 24.80% for pt-BR and ru-RU, respectively. The model trained with Common Voice, a single-speaker TTS dataset and our data augmentation approach in the target languages achieved a WER of 20.20% and 19.46%, respectively. Therefore, the addition of our data augmentation approach has improved the ASR model’s result by 1.009 and 1.2744 times, respectively, for those languages. This is consistent with the results in [3], where a result of 1.067x was achieved and 1.044x in [2] and [3]. Unlike related works, we use AA and only one target-language speaker in the training of the TTS/voice conversion model. AA can make the ASR model more robust and improve generalization; however, these approaches may have overlapping contributions. To verify this hypothesis, we did an ablation study by re-training experiments 3 and 5 in pt-BR without AA. The model trained with human speech only achieved a WER of 22.96% and the model trained with human speech plus our data augmentation approach achieved a WER of 21.41%. That is, without the use of AA as in the related works, our data augmentation approach in pt-BR improves by 1.072x. Thus, in pt-BR, our approach achieves slightly better results than related works in English and much better results for ru-RU, again using only one speaker for training the TTS/voice conversion model in the target language.

We believe that the difference between the results achieved for pt-BR and ru-RU in experiment 5, can be explained by the characteristics of the datasets. In Common Voice, the amount of hours available for training the ASR model for the ru-RU is 25.95 hrs as opposed to 14.52 hrs for pt-BR. Furthermore, the ru-RU TTS dataset has approximately 6.5 more hours after preprocessing than pt-BR. In our experiments, we use voice conversion to transform the TTS dataset into a multi-speaker dataset using 5 transfers for each sample, thus the difference in the number of hours is a multiple as well.

### 7. Conclusions and future work

In this work, we present a novel data augmentation approach for training ASR systems by using speech synthesis and voice conversion. Our approach can be applied to languages with only one speaker, achieving results comparable to English-based related works. Additionally, we show that it is possible to achieve promising results for ASR model training with just a single-speaker dataset in a target language. Finally, our approach works both in a language (pt-BR) present in the Wav2Vec 2.0 model pre-training, as well as for an unseen language (Russian).

In future work, we intend to explore the use of the self-supervised model feature extractor as a discriminator during training of the YourTTS model. In this way, the YourTTS model may produce even more human-like speech for the self-supervised model.
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