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Abstract

The genus spectrum of a finite group $G$ is the set of integers $g \geq 2$ such that $G$ can acts faithfully on the orientable closed surface of genus $g$ by orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. In this paper, we propose an efficient method for determining the genus spectra for abelian $p$-groups.
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1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma_g$ be the orientable closed surface of genus $g$. A celebrated result of Hurwitz [8] states that, if $g \geq 2$ and $G$ is a finite group acting faithfully on $\Sigma_g$ by orientation-preserving homeomorphisms, then $|G| \leq 84(g - 1)$. Wiman [21] and Harvey [7] proved that $|G| \leq 2(2g + 1)$ when $G$ is cyclic. Harvey [7] and Kulkarni [9] gave a sharper bound for $p$-groups. These bounds motivated much work of Burnside and Maschke and others on the actions of specific kinds of groups on surfaces, and on embeddings of graphical representations of groups on surfaces (see [1, 13]).

Given a finite group $G$, the set $\text{sp}(G)$ of integers $g \geq 2$ such that $G$ acts faithfully on $\Sigma_g$ by orientation-preserving homeomorphisms is called the genus spectrum of $G$, and is known to be nonempty. The genus spectrum has been studied for various classes of groups, such as cyclic $p$-groups [10], $p$-groups of cyclic $p$-deficiency $\leq 2$ [12], $p$-groups of exponent $p$ and $p$-groups of maximal class [17], split metacyclic groups of order $pq$ with $p, q$ different prime numbers [20]. Genus spectra of abelian $p$-groups were systematically studied in [15, 18].

The smallest element of $\text{sp}(G)$ is called the minimal genus or the symmetric genus of $G$. It has been explored for several kinds of groups, including cyclic group [7], non-cyclic abelian groups [11], metacyclic groups [16], many sporadic simple groups [4], $\text{PSL}(2, p)$ [5], $\text{SL}(2, q)$ [19], $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ [6] and the
Mathieu groups \[3,22\]. The minimum genus was completely determined for abelian groups in \[14\].

This paper aims to find the genus spectra for abelian \(p\)-groups. Some preliminaries are presented in Section 2. In Section 3 we reduce the problem of determining the genus spectrum to an explicitly computational one, and design an efficient algorithm to solve it.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, \(G\) is a finite abelian \(p\)-group, with \(p\) a prime number.

**Notation 2.1.** Let \(\exp(G)\) denote the exponent of \(G\). Let \(\rk(G)\) denote the minimal number of generators of \(G\). For \(x \in G\), let \(|x|\) denote its order.

For a finite set \(Y\), let \(#Y\) denote its cardinality.

For a matrix \(X\) over some ring \(R\), let \(X_i\) denote its \(i\)-th row, and let \(X_{ij}\) denote the \((i,j)\)-entry; let \(\rk(X)\) denote its rank if \(R\) is a field.

For a positive integer \(k\), denote \(\Z/p^k\Z\) by \(\Z_{p^k}\); it is a quotient ring of \(\Z\). Furthermore, \(\Z_p\) is a field.

As a classical result, each finite abelian \(p\)-group is isomorphic to \(\prod_{i=1}^n \Z_{p^k_i}\) for some \(k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_n \geq 1\).

**Definition 2.2.** A tuple of integers \((k_1, \ldots, k_n)\) with \(k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_n \geq 1\) is called a *type*. When \(k_{i+1} = \cdots = k_{i+s} = q\), sometimes we abbreviate the segment \(k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{i+s}\) to \(q^s\).

Given types \(\tau = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)\) and \(\eta = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m)\), denote \(\eta \leq \tau\) if \(m \leq n\) and \(\ell_i \leq k_i\) for all \(i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\); denote \(\eta < \tau\) if \(\eta \leq \tau\) and \(\eta \neq \tau\).

**Definition 2.3.** For a type \(\tau = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)\), let \(e(\tau) = k_1\) and \(A(\tau) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Z_{p^{k_i}}\), so that \(\exp(A(\tau)) = p^{e(\tau)}\). If \(G \cong A(\tau)\), then we say that \(G\) is of *type* \(\tau\) and write \(t(G) = \tau\).

The following should be well-known. We give a proof for completeness.

**Lemma 2.4.** If \(A\) be a finite abelian \(p\)-group and \(B\) is a proper subgroup of \(A\), then \(t(B) < t(A)\) and \(t(A/B) < t(A)\).

**Proof.** Suppose \(t(A) = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)\) and \(t(B) = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m)\).

Note that \(t(pA) = (k_1 - 1, \ldots, k_n - 1)\), and \(t(B) = (\ell_1 - 1, \ldots, \ell_m - 1)\); here we allow \(k_i - 1 = 0\) for some \(i\) or \(\ell_j - 1 = 0\) for some \(j\), whose meaning is self-evident. Since \(pB \leq pA\), the assertion \(t(B) \leq t(A)\) can be proved by induction on \(k_1\). When \(B\) is proper, then \(#B < #A\), so that \(t(B) < t(A)\).
Observe that

\[A^\wedge := \text{hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \cong A, \quad (A/B)^\wedge := \text{hom}(A/B, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \cong A/B,\]

and the quotient map \(A \to A/B\) induces an embedding \((A/B)^\wedge \hookrightarrow A^\wedge\). By the above paragraph, we have \(t((A/B)^\wedge) < t(A^\wedge)\), i.e. \(t(A/B) < t(A)\). \(\square\)

The following is fundamental; see, for instance, [15] Page 5.

**Lemma 2.5.** An integer \(g\) belongs to \(\text{sp}(G)\) if and only if there exist elements \(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_b, y_b, z_1, \ldots, z_{s+1} \in G\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_b, y_b, z_1, \ldots, z_{s+1} \rangle & = G, \\
z_1 + \cdots + z_{s+1} & = 0, \\
|G| \left(2(b - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|z_i|}\right)\right) & = 2(g - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

### 3 Determining the genus spectra

**Definition 3.1.** A generating set for \(G\) is called minimal if any of its proper subsets cannot generate \(G\).

We explain how to determine \(\text{sp}(G)\) for an abelian \(p\)-group \(G\) of type \(\tau\).

In the notation of Lemma 2.5, let \(N = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_{s+1}\rangle\). Suppose \(N \cong A(\eta)\). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \(|z_{s+1}|\) is maximal among the \(|z_i|\)'s, so that \(|z_{s+1}| = p^{e(\eta)}\). Suppose \(\{z_{v_1}, \ldots, z_{v_r}\} \subseteq \{z_1, \ldots, z_s\}\) is a minimal generating set; let \(p^{d_i} = |z_{v_i}|\).

Obviously, (i) is equivalent to \(\langle x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_b, y_b \rangle = G/N\), where \(\overline{x}\) denotes the image of \(x\) under \(G \to G/N\). Let \(u\) denote the minimal possible value of \(\text{rk}(G/N)\) when \(N\) ranges over all subgroups of \(G\) with \(t(N) = \eta\).

Then there exist \(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_b, y_b\) fulfilling (i) if and only if \(2b \geq u\), i.e. \(b = [(u + 1)/2] + h\) for some \(h \geq 0\).

Therefore, the problem is reduced to

**Problem 3.2.** For each type \(\eta \leq \tau\),

(i) determine all possible tuples \((d_1, \ldots, d_r)\) such that there is a minimal generating set \(\{z_1, \ldots, z_r\}\) for \(A(\eta)\) with \(|z_i| = p^{d_i}\);

(ii) determine \(u = \min\{\text{rk}(A(\tau)/N) : N \leq A(\tau), t(N) = \eta\}\).
Thus this implies where \( f \) being 0. Then Consequently, without loss of generality we may assume Theorem 3.4.

Remark 3.3. We only need to consider the \( \Omega \)'s satisfying the following condition: \( \#\{ j \in \Omega : a_j = a \} < p \) for each \( a \in \{ 1, \ldots, e(\eta) \} \). Thus, the set of values \( \{ \sum_{j \in \Omega} (1 - p^{-a_j}) \} \) is completely determined by \( e(\eta) \).

The two parts of Problem 3.2 are separately settled in the following two theorems.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( \eta = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m) \).

(a) If \( \{ z_1, \ldots, z_r \} \) is a minimal generating set for \( A(\eta) \) with \( |z_i| = p^{d_i} \) and \( d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_r \geq 1 \), then \( r = m \) and \( d_i \geq \ell_i \) for all \( i \).

(b) Conversely, given \( d_1, \ldots, d_m \) such that \( d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_m \geq 1 \) and \( d_i \geq \ell_i \) for all \( i \), then there exists a minimal generating set \( \{ z_1, \ldots, z_m \} \) for \( A(\eta) \) with \( |z_i| = p^{d_i} \).

**Proof.** (a) Consider the reduction map \( R : A(\eta) \to A(\eta)/pA(\eta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^m \). Since \( \langle R(z_1), \ldots, R(z_r) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p^m \), we have \( r \geq m \).

On the other hand, assume \( r > m \). Then for some \( j \in \{ 1, \ldots, r \} \), \( R(z_j) \) can be written as a linear combination of \( R(z_i), i \in \{ 1, \ldots, r \} \setminus \{ j \} \); without loss of generality we may assume \( j = r \) and \( R(z_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i R(z_i) \). Consequently, \( z_r = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i z_i + x \) for some \( x \in \ker R \). Then \( x = py \) for some \( y \in A(\eta) \). Writing \( y = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i z_i \), we obtain

\[
(1 - pb_r)z_r = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (a_i + pb_i)z_i.
\]

This implies \( z_r \in \langle z_1, \ldots, z_{r-1} \rangle \), contradicting the minimality of \( \{ z_1, \ldots, z_r \} \). Thus \( r = m \).

Now that \( A(\eta) \) is a quotient of \( \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}_{p^{d_i}} \), by Lemma 2.4 \( \ell_i \leq d_i \).

(b) Let \( i_0 = \max \{ i : \ell_i = \ell_1 \} \). Take

\[
z_i = \begin{cases} f_i, & 1 \leq i \leq i_0, \\ p^{d_1-d_i} f_1 + f_i, & i_0 < i \leq m, \end{cases}
\]

where \( f_i \in A(\eta) \) is the element with 1 sitting at the \( i \)-th and other entries being 0. Then \( \{ z_1, \ldots, z_m \} \) is a minimal generating set, with \( |z_i| = p^{d_i} \). \( \square \)
Theorem 3.5. Suppose $\eta = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m) \leq \tau = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$. Let

$$u(\tau, \eta) = \max\{j - \kappa(j) : 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

where $\kappa(j) = \max\{i : \ell_i \geq k_j\}$ if $\ell_1 \geq k_j$, and $\kappa(j) = 0$ otherwise. Then

$$\min\{\text{rk}(A(\tau)/N) : N \leq A(\tau), t(N) = \eta\} = u(\tau, \eta).$$

Proof. Let $k = k_1$. Embed $A(\tau)$ into $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^n$ by

$$\Phi : A(\tau) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^n, \quad (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \mapsto (p^{k-k_1}c_1, \ldots, p^{k-k_n}c_n).$$

(2)

Then $\text{Im} \Phi$ consists of elements $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^n$ with

$$p^{k-k_j} | a_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$ (3)

We identify $A(\tau)$ with $\text{Im} \Phi$ and write elements of $A(\tau)$ as row-vectors.

(i) Suppose $N \leq A(\tau)$ has type $\eta$. We show $\text{rk}(A(\tau)/N) \geq u(\tau, \eta)$.

By [2], Theorem 3.9, there exists $Q \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$ such that

$$N = \langle p^{k-k_1}Q_1, \ldots, p^{k-k_m}Q_m \rangle.$$ 

Since $p^{k-k_i}Q_i \in A(\tau)$, by (2) we have $p^{k-k_j} | Q_{i,j}$ whenever $\ell_i > k_j$.

Let $v = \text{rk}(A(\tau)/N)$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^v \cong A(\tau)/N \cong \frac{A(\tau)/N}{pA(\tau) + N} \cong \frac{A(\tau)}{pA(\tau) + N}.$$ 

Since $A(\tau)/pA(\tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, we have

$$\frac{N}{N \cap pA(\tau)} \cong \frac{pA(\tau) + N}{pA(\tau)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^v}^{n-v}.$$ 

Let $\Delta = \Delta(\tau, \eta) := \{(i, j) : \ell_i \geq k_j\}$. Define $\hat{Q} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ by setting

$$\hat{Q}_{ij} = \begin{cases} Q_{ij}/p^{k-k_j}, & (i, j) \in \Delta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The map

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \frac{N}{N \cap pA(\tau)}, \quad \bar{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m c_i p^{k-k_i}Q_i.$$ 

is well-defined and surjective, whose kernel is \( \{ \vec{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_p : \vec{c} \bar{Q} = 0 \} \). Hence \( \text{rk}(A(\tau)/N) = v = n - \text{rk}(\bar{Q}) \).

Note that \( \Delta \) satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.6, so by Lemma 3.6

\[
\text{rk}(\bar{Q}) \leq \text{ci}(\Delta) = \min\{n + \kappa(j) - j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}.
\]

Thus \( \text{rk}(A(\tau)/N) \geq u(\tau, \eta) \).

(ii) Let \( r = \text{ci}(\Delta) \). Take \( Q \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}^p) \) with

\[
Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1, & 1 \leq i = j \leq n, \\
p^{i-k_j}, & j = n - r + i, 1 \leq i \leq r, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( N = (p^i Q_1, \ldots, p^{i_m} Q_m) \) realizes \( \text{rk}(A(\tau)/N) = u(\tau, \eta) \).

**Lemma 3.6.** Suppose \( \Upsilon \) is a subset of \( \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \) and satisfies: \( \{(i', j') : i' \leq i, j' \geq j\} \subseteq \Upsilon \) for any \( (i, j) \in \Upsilon \). Let \( \text{ci}(\Upsilon) \) denote the maximal \( s \) with \( \{(i, n-s+i) : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \subseteq \Upsilon \). Then for any \( X \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \) (for any field \( \mathbb{F} \)) with \( \text{supp}(X) := \{(i, j) : X_{ij} \neq 0\} \subseteq \Upsilon \), one has \( \text{rk}(X) \leq \text{ci}(\Upsilon) \).

**Proof.** Let \( r = \text{ci}(\Upsilon) \). If there exists a concave corner \( (a, n-r+a+1) \in \Upsilon \), by which we mean \( (a, n-r+a), (a+1, n-r+a+1) \in \Upsilon \) but \( (a+1, n-r+a) \notin \Upsilon \), then \( \text{supp}(X) \) is covered by the disjoint union of the rectangles

\[
\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq n-r+a\},
\]
\[
\{(i, j) : n-r+a+1 \leq j \leq n\},
\]

with \( a \) rows and \( r-a \) columns, respectively. Hence \( \text{rk}(X) \leq a + r - a = r \).

Now suppose there is no such concave corner. By the maximality of \( r \), there exists a concave corner \( (a, n-r+a) \in \Upsilon \). Let

\[
\Upsilon_1 = \{(i, j) \in \Upsilon : j < n-r+a\}, \quad \Upsilon_2 = \{(i, j) : \Upsilon : i > a\}.
\]

We have either \( \text{ci}(\Upsilon_1) \leq a - 1 \) or \( \text{ci}(\Upsilon_2) \leq r - a \): otherwise

\[
\{(i, n-r-1+i) : 1 \leq i \leq r+1\} \subset \Upsilon,
\]

contradicting the maximality of \( r \). If \( \text{ci}(\Upsilon_1) \leq a - 1 \), then \( \text{supp}(X) \) is contained in the disjoint union of the rectangles

\[
\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq a-1, n-r+1 \leq j \leq n-r+a-1\},
\]
\[
\{(i, j) : n-r+a \leq j \leq n\},
\]

so \( \text{rk}(X) \leq a - 1 + r - a + 1 = r \). The case \( \text{ci}(\Upsilon_2) \leq r - a \) is similar. \( \square \)
Remark 3.7. Suppose \( X \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \), with \( X_{i,n-r+i} = 1 \), and \( X_{i,j} = 0 \) for all \( j > n-r+i \). Then clearly \( \text{rk}(X) \geq r \); on the other hand, \( \text{rk}(X) \leq r \) by the lemma. Hence \( \text{rk}(X) = r \).

Fix \( \tau = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) = (q_1^{n_1}, \ldots, q_c^{n_c}) \), with \( n_1 + \cdots + n_c = n \).

For \( u \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \) and \( \eta = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m) \leq \tau \), let
\[
V(u, \eta) = 2 \left( \frac{u+1}{2} - 1 \right) + \{ 2h : h \geq 0 \} + 1 - \frac{1}{p^{k_1}} + U(\eta) + W(\ell),
\]
where
\[
U(\eta) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (1-p^{-d_i}) : \ell_i \leq d_i \leq \ell_1 \right\},
\]
\[
W(\ell) = \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} a_s (1-p^{-s}) : 0 \leq a_s \leq p-1 \right\}.
\]

Observe that if \( \eta \leq \eta' \) and \( e(\eta) = e(\eta') \), then \( V(u, \eta') \subseteq V(u, \eta) \). So it suffices to find minimal \( \eta \) among those with fixed \( e(\eta) \).

For each \( u \), set \( m = r = n - u \), write \( m-1 = w + n_b + \cdots + n_c \) with \( 1 \leq w \leq n_{b-1} \), and let \( \Lambda(u) \) denote the set of \( \eta \) of the form
\[
\eta = (\ell, q^{w}_{b-1}, q^{n_b}_{b}, \ldots, q^{n_c}_{c}), \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} q_{b-2} \leq \ell \leq q_1, & w = n_{b-1}, \\ q_{b-1} \leq \ell \leq q_1, & 0 < w < n_{b-1}. \end{cases}
\]

These \( \eta \)'s are best, in the sense that for any \( \eta' \) with \( e(\eta') = e(\eta) \) and \( u(\tau, \eta') = u(\tau, \eta) \), one has \( \Delta(\tau, \eta) \subseteq \Delta(\tau, \eta') \) and \( \eta \leq \eta' \).

With Remark 3.3 recalled, \( \text{sp}(\tau) := \text{sp}(A(\tau)) \) can be determined via
\[
\left\{ \frac{2(g-1)}{p^{k_1+\cdots+k_n}} : g \in \text{sp}(\tau) \right\} = \bigcup_{u=0}^{n} \bigcup_{\eta \in \Lambda(u)} V(u, \eta).
\]

Example 3.8. As an illustration, take \( \tau = (6^2, 4^3, 2^2, 1) \). We consider \( u = 1, 2, 3 \). In each case, label the \( (i, n-r+i) \)'s by \( \diamond \), and for the minimal \( \eta \in \Lambda(u) \), label elements of \( \Delta(\tau, \eta) \) by \( * \) as well as \( \diamond \).

- For \( u = 1 \), \( r = 7 \), \( \Lambda(1) = \{(6, 4, 4, 4, 2, 1, 1)\} \).
• When \( u = 2, r = 6 \), \( \Lambda(2) = \{ (\ell, 4, 4, 2, 2, 1) : 4 \leq \ell \leq 6 \} \).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
6 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\diamond & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
* & \diamond & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
* & * & \diamond & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\diamond & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
* & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

• When \( u = 3, r = 5 \), \( \Lambda(3) = \{ (\ell, 4, 2, 2, 1) : 4 \leq \ell \leq 6 \} \).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
6 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\diamond & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
* & \diamond & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
* & * & \diamond & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\diamond & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
* & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
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