Built-in scordatura in U-DHOST
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Modified gravity theories can accommodate exact solutions, for which the metric has the same form as the one in general relativity, i.e., stealth solutions. One problem with these stealth solutions is that perturbations around them exhibit strong coupling when the solutions are realized in degenerate higher-order scalar-tensor theories. We show that the strong coupling problem can be circumvented in the framework of the so-called U-DHOST theories, in which the degeneracy is partially broken in such a way that higher-derivative terms are degenerate only in the unitary gauge. There is an apparent Ostrogradsky mode in U-DHOST theories, but it does not propagate as it satisfies a three-dimensional elliptic differential equation on a spacelike hypersurface. We also clarify how this nonpropagating mode, i.e., the “shadowy” mode shows up at the nonlinear level.

Introduction.—The direct detection of gravitational waves from compact binary coalescences [1–4] and the direct imaging of black hole shadow [5] offer a possibility for testing gravity at strong-field regimes. This motivates us to investigate alternative theories of gravity and predict their observational consequences for comparison with general relativity (GR). The observations so far are consistent with the Kerr metric, which is a unique asymptotically flat and stationary black hole solution in GR. This fact of course adds new supporting evidence for the validity of GR. However, it does not excludes all modified gravity theories as they can allow the metric of the same form as the GR solutions as an exact solution in principle. To be more precise, the solution can be dressed with nontrivial hair associated with additional degrees of freedom (DOFs) in modified gravity, but still the background metric itself can remain the same as in GR. In this sense, effects of modified gravity are invisible in the background metric, and hence such a configuration is referred to as “stealth.” Nevertheless, nontrivial contributions from modified gravity would show up once gravitational perturbations are taken into account, which allows us to distinguish stealth solutions from GR solutions.

In the context of scalar-tensor theories, the first stealth solution called ghost condensation was constructed on Minkowski and de Sitter backgrounds [6, 7] and then extended to a Schwarzschild black hole background [8]. The general construction of stealth solutions was then developed [9, 10] and perturbations around them [11–13] have been extensively studied, with a particular focus on degenerate higher-order scalar-tensor (DHOST) theories [14–22], which form a large class of healthy scalar-tensor theories without Ostrogradsky ghost [23] thanks to particular degeneracy conditions imposed on higher-derivative terms [17, 24–28]. A remarkable fact is that perturbations about a stealth Minkowski (or de Sitter) background in DHOST theories are strongly coupled in general [6, 29]. The point is that the strong coupling scale is proportional to some positive power of the sound speed of the scalar perturbation, which is typically suppressed by the Planck scale in the case of DHOST theories. The strong coupling problem persists in stealth black hole solutions [11–13], for which the metric is asymptotically Minkowski (or de Sitter). Therefore, in order to avoid the generic problem of strong coupling within the framework of scalar-tensor theories, we have to improve the dispersion relation of the scalar perturbation by detuning the degeneracy conditions in an appropriate manner, where the amount of detuning should be at most of order unity in the unit of the effective field theory (EFT) cutoff so that the Ostrogradsky ghost shows up only above the cutoff. It is natural to expect the violation of degeneracy conditions because the degeneracy is not protected by symmetry in general. Therefore, one needs to take into account its effect for describing stealth solutions consistently within the framework of scalar-tensor theories. Such a controlled detuning of the imposed degeneracy conditions, dubbed “scordatura,” had already been taken into account in ghost condensation [6–8] and was recently revisited in a broader context [29–31].

In this Letter, we show that the scordatura mechanism is generically intrinsic to the so-called U-DHOST theories [32, 33]. In U-DHOST theories, the degeneracy is partially broken in such a way that higher-derivative terms are degenerate only in the unitary gauge where the scalar field is spatially uniform (see also [34–36]). Away from the unitary gauge, due to the violation of the degeneracy conditions, apparently there is an extra Ostrogradsky mode. Nevertheless, this apparently existing Ostrogradsky mode is actually harmless as it does not propagate: It satisfies a three-dimensional elliptic differential equation on a spacelike hypersurface, meaning that the configuration of the extra mode is completely fixed by an appropriate boundary condition. This nonpropagating mode living on a spacelike hypersurface is called a “shadowy” mode. As we shall see below, the deviation from DHOST theories can be characterized by two parameters (called below $c_{D1}$ and $c_{D2}$), both of which are associated with the shadowy mode. One of the parameters ($c_{D1}$) turns out to control the scordatura effect, and hence the perturbations about stealth solutions can be weakly coupled in U-DHOST theories.
The model.—We study a general class of higher-order scalar-tensor theories described by the following action:

\[
S[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi] = \int \! d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ P(X) + Q(X) \Box \phi + F(X) R + \sum_{i=1}^{5} A_i(X) L_i^{(2)} \right],
\]

where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar associated with the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( \phi \) is a scalar field. Here, \( P, Q, F, \) and \( A_i \) (\( i = 1, \ldots, 5 \)) are arbitrary functions of \( X := \phi x^\mu x^\nu \) and

\[
L_1^{(2)} := \phi' \phi, \quad L_2^{(2)} := (\Box \phi)^2, \quad L_3^{(2)} := \phi' \phi' \Box \phi, \quad L_4^{(2)} := \phi' \phi \phi' \phi' \phi', \quad L_5^{(2)} := (\phi' \phi' \phi')^2,
\]

with \( \phi := \nabla \phi \) and \( \phi' := \nabla_\mu \phi \). In general, the action (1) yields higher-order equations of motion, and hence there exists an associated Ostrogradsky ghost [23]. From the EFT viewpoint, the ghost is irrelevant so long as its mass scale is higher than the cutoff. It is known that the ghost is intrinsically absent in DHOST theories, which satisfy the following degeneracy conditions [17]:

\[
c^D_1 = c^D_2 = c_U = 0.
\]

Here, we have defined

\[
\begin{align*}
c^D_1 &:= X(A_1 + A_2), \\
c^D_2 &:= X^2 A_4 + 4X Y F_X - 2\tilde{G}_T + 2(1 - \Upsilon)\tilde{G}_T, \\
c_U &:= -X^2 A_5 - X^2 (A_3 + A_4) - c^D_1 \\
&\quad + 3\Upsilon^2 (2\tilde{G}_T + 3c^D_1),
\end{align*}
\]

with a subscript \( X \) denoting the \( X \)-derivative and

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{G}_T &:= F - X A_1, \\
\tilde{\Gamma}_T &:= (1 - \Upsilon) F - 2X F_X, \\
\Upsilon &:= -\frac{X (4F_X + 2A_2 + A_3)}{2(2\tilde{G}_T + 3c^D_1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Specifically, the ghost mass is inversely proportional to (the square root of) \( c_U \) [see (22)], meaning that the ghost is absent if only \( c_U = 0 \). The class of theories satisfying

\[
c_U = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (c^D_1, c^D_2) \neq (0, 0)
\]

is known as the U-DHOST theory [32], in which there is no higher time derivative in the unitary gauge, but higher spatial derivatives show up. These theories are built-in to U-DHOST theories (except a fine-tuned subset for which \( c^D_1 = 0 \)), leading to perturbations about a stealth Minkowski solution.

Stealth Minkowski perturbations.—Let us consider general theories described by the action (1) without restricting ourselves to (U-)DHOST theories from the outset. This class of theories admits the Minkowski spacetime with a linearly time-dependent scalar field,

\[
\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -dt^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad \bar{\phi} = qt,
\]

as an exact solution if the function \( P(X) \) satisfies [10]

\[
P(X) = P_X(X) = 0.
\]

Here, \( q \) is a constant and the background value of \( X \) is denoted by \( X := -q^2 (< 0) \).

In what follows, we study scalar perturbations around this stealth Minkowski solution. Let us write down the perturbed metric and scalar field as

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu &= -(1 + 2\alpha) dt^2 + 2 \partial_i \chi dt dx^i + [(1 + 2\zeta) \delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ij} E] dx^i dx^j, \\
\phi &= qt + \delta \phi,
\end{align*}
\]

with the perturbation variables being denoted by \( \alpha, \chi, \zeta, E, \) and \( \delta \phi \). Here, we have defined \( \Delta_{ij} := \partial_i \partial_j - (\partial^2 / 3) \delta_{ij} \) with \( \partial^2 := \delta^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \). Since \( \partial_i \phi = q \neq 0 \), we fix the gauge DOFs by setting \( E = \delta \phi = 0 \), which is a complete gauge fixing and hence can be imposed at the Lagrangian level [37]. Under this gauge choice, the quadratic Lagrangian for perturbations can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} &= c_U \delta^2 - 3 (2\tilde{G}_T + 3c^D_1) \left( \tilde{\zeta}^2 - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\zeta} \partial^2 \tilde{\zeta} \right) - 2 F \tilde{\zeta} \partial^2 \tilde{\zeta} \\
&\quad + \alpha (\Sigma - c^D_2 \partial^2) \alpha + 6 \Theta \alpha \left( \frac{1}{3} \partial^2 \chi \right) \\
&\quad - 4G_T \partial^2 \tilde{\zeta} - c^D_1 (\partial^2 \chi)^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where a dot denotes the time derivative, we have defined

\[
\Sigma := 2X^2 P_{XX}, \quad \Theta := -(-X)^{3/2} Q_X,
\]

and performed a field redefinition

\[
\tilde{\zeta} := \zeta + \Upsilon \alpha.
\]

It is understood that all the coefficients, which are functions of \( X \), are evaluated at the background \( X = \bar{X} \). We recall that the coefficient \( c_U \) is absent for U-DHOST theories. For DHOST theories, the coefficients \( c^D_1 \) and \( c^D_2 \) are also vanishing.

Dispersion relation.—In the Fourier space, the Lagrangian (10) can be written in the form

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}_{ij} \tilde{v}^i \tilde{v}^j + M_{1j} \tilde{v}^i v^j - \frac{1}{2} W_{1j} v^i v^j,
\]

up to total derivative, where \( v^i = (\alpha, \chi, \tilde{\zeta}) \). Here, the matrices \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{W} \) are symmetric and \( \mathcal{M} \) is antisymmetric, with \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{W} \) depending on the wavenumber \( k \). Note that all the coefficient matrices are constant with respect to \( t \) due to the symmetry of the background. Then, the dispersion relation is given by

\[
det (-\omega^2 \mathcal{K}_{ij} - 2i \omega \mathcal{M}_{1j} + \mathcal{W}_{1j}) = 0,
\]

or written explicitly,

\[
c_U \omega^4 + (d_0 + d_1 k^2) \omega^2 + d_2 k^2 - c^D_1 d_3 k^4 = 0,
\]
where
\[
d_0 = \Sigma + \frac{3\Theta^2}{2G_T + 3c_{D1}}, \quad d_1 = c_{D2} + \frac{c_{D1}c_U F}{G_T(2G_T + 3c_{D1})},
\]
\[
d_2 = \frac{F(\Theta^2 + c_{D1}\Sigma)}{G_T(2G_T + 3c_{D1})}, \quad d_3 = \frac{2\hat{G}_T^2 - c_{D1}d_{C2}F}{G_T(2G_T + 3c_{D1})}.
\]

(16)

For generic higher-order scalar-tensor theories with \(c_U \neq 0\), there are two dynamical DOFs, one of which is the Ostrogradsky mode. Let us now make an order estimation of the coefficients in the dispersion relation (15). Writing the nonminimal coupling \(F(X)\) in the form
\[
F(X) = \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{2} + f(X),
\]
with \(M_{Pl}\) denoting the reduced Planck mass, we assume the following scaling:
\[
X = \hat{X}M^4, \quad P_{XX} = \hat{P}_{XX} \frac{1}{M^4}, \quad Q = \hat{Q}M,
\]
\[
f = \hat{f}M^2, \quad A_1 = \frac{\hat{A}_1}{M^2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{\hat{A}_2}{M^2},
\]
\[
A_3 = \frac{\hat{A}_3}{M^6}, \quad A_4 = \frac{\hat{A}_4}{M^6}, \quad A_5 = \frac{\hat{A}_5}{M^{10}},
\]
where all the hatted quantities are dimensionless and assumed to be \(O(1)\). Here, \(M (\ll M_{Pl})\) is a mass scale which we regard as the EFT cutoff, i.e., \(\omega/M \ll 1\) and \(k/M \ll 1\). With this scaling, the leading term of each coefficient in (15) is written as
\[
c_U \simeq \hat{c}_U M^2, \quad c_{D1} \simeq \hat{c}_{D1} M^2, \quad d_0 \simeq \hat{d}_0 M^4,
\]
\[
d_1 \simeq \hat{d}_1 M^2, \quad d_2 \simeq \hat{d}_2 \frac{M^6}{M_{Pl}^2}, \quad d_3 \simeq 1.
\]
(19)

The dimensionless quantities \(\hat{c}_U, \hat{c}_{D1}, \hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \) and \(\hat{d}_2\) are \(O(1)\) in general and their explicit form is written as
\[
\hat{c}_U = -\hat{X}(\hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2) - \hat{X}^2(\hat{A}_3 + \hat{A}_4) - \hat{X}^3\hat{A}_5,
\]
\[
\hat{c}_{D1} = \hat{X}(\hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2), \quad \hat{d}_0 = 2\hat{X}^2\hat{P}_{XX},
\]
\[
\hat{d}_1 = 2\hat{X}(\hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2) + \hat{X}^2(\hat{A}_3 + \hat{A}_4),
\]
\[
\hat{d}_2 = -\hat{X}^3 \left[\hat{Q}_X^2 - 2\hat{P}_{XX}(\hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2)\right].
\]
(20)

Then, the dispersion relation (15) yields the following two branches of solution for \(\omega^2\):
\[
\frac{\omega^2}{M^2} \simeq -\frac{\hat{d}_2}{\hat{d}_0} \frac{M^2}{M_{Pl}^2}\frac{k^2}{M^2} + \frac{\hat{c}_{D1} k^4}{\hat{d}_0} \frac{M^2}{M^2},
\]
\[
\omega^2 \frac{M^2}{M^2} \simeq -\frac{\hat{d}_0}{\hat{c}_U}.
\]
(21)

(22)

up to terms of higher order in \(k/M\) and/or \(M/M_{Pl}\). The second branch, which is absent in the limit of \(c_U \rightarrow 0\), corresponds to the Ostrogradsky mode. Nevertheless, unless \(|\hat{d}_0/\hat{c}_U| \ll 1\), the Ostrogradsky mode does not satisfy \(\omega^2/M \ll 1\) and hence is beyond the regime of validity of the EFT. For the first (healthy) branch, the \(k^4\) term can be \(O(1)\), which makes the perturbations weakly coupled all the way up to the cutoff scale \(M\). This is nothing but the scordatura mechanism [29]. Of course, in order to have positive \(\omega^2\) in the regime of \(M^2/M_{Pl} \ll k \ll M\), the coefficient \(\hat{c}_{D1}/\hat{d}_0\) in front of the \(k^4\) term should be positive. Note that \(\omega^2\) can be negative in the infrared regime \(k \lesssim M^2/M_{Pl}\) if \(\hat{d}_2/\hat{d}_0 > 0\), leading to instability. Nevertheless, due to the \(k^4\) term, the instability shows up only in the infrared regime (like the Jeans instability) and hence is harmless. Indeed, this infrared instability and other effects put observational/experimental upper bounds on the EFT cutoff \(M\), the strongest among which is \(M \lesssim 100\) GeV [38]. Note also that the scordatura effect is essentially controlled by the parameter \(c_{D1}\). In the limit of \(c_{D1} \rightarrow 0\), the strong coupling scale becomes infinitely low, which leads to the strong coupling problem.

For U-DHOST theories in which \(c_U = 0\), there is only one dynamical DOF, and one can obtain a single master variable as below. When \(c_U = 0\), the quadratic Lagrangian in the Fourier space can be written as
\[
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = -3(2G_T + 3c_{D1})\left(\frac{\hat{\zeta} + \frac{k^2}{3} \chi - \frac{\Theta}{2G_T + 3c_{D1}}}{\chi} \right)^2 + 2F k^2 \hat{\zeta}^2 + (d_0 + c_{D2} k^2) \alpha^2 + 4G_T k^2 \alpha \hat{\zeta}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \hat{G}_T k^4 \chi^2,
\]
(23)

from which we observe that it would be useful to employ a new variable
\[
\psi \equiv \frac{\hat{\zeta} + \frac{k^2}{3} \chi - \frac{\Theta}{2G_T + 3c_{D1}}}{\alpha},
\]
(24)

instead of \(\hat{\zeta}\). This can be done by adding the following trivial auxiliary Lagrangian to the Lagrangian (23):
\[
3(2G_T + 3c_{D1})\left(\frac{\hat{\zeta} + \frac{k^2}{3} \chi - \frac{\Theta}{2G_T + 3c_{D1}}}{\chi} - \psi \right)^2.
\]
(25)

After integrating out the auxiliary variables, we obtain a Lagrangian written in terms of \(\psi\) only, i.e.,
\[
\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{9(2G_T + 3c_{D1})^2}{2k^2[2G_T(2G_T + 3c_{D1})d_0 k^2 F d_0]}
\times \left[(d_0 + c_{D2} k^2)\psi^2 + (d_2 - c_{D1} d_3 k^2) \psi^2 \right].
\]
(26)

Hence, in the regime of \(M^2/M_{Pl} \ll k \ll M\), the ghost-free condition is given by \(d_0 > 0\), where we have also assumed that \(c_{D2} = c_{D2} M^2\), being \(c_{D2}\) of order unity. There is an apparent ghost for smaller \(k\), but it is harmless [39]. From the Lagrangian (26), we obtain the dispersion relation as
\[
(d_0 + c_{D2} k^2)\omega^2 + d_2 k^2 - c_{D1} d_3 k^4 = 0,
\]
(27)
which is nothing but the $c_U \rightarrow 0$ limit of (15). By solving the dispersion relation for $\omega^2$, we have

$$\frac{\omega^2}{M^2} \simeq -\frac{\hat{d}_2}{d_0} M^2 P_1^2 k^2 + \frac{\hat{c}_{D1}}{d_0} M^2 k^4.$$

(28)

This essentially reproduces (21), i.e., the dispersion relation for the healthy branch in the case of $c_U \neq 0$, and hence the scordatura mechanism works so long as $\hat{c}_{D1}$ is positive and $O(1)$. One may notice that the sign of the $k^2$ term is negative, leading to instability (note that $\hat{d}_2 = -\hat{X}^2 Q_X^2 + \hat{c}_{D1} d_0 > 0$). Nevertheless, as mentioned earlier, this instability is infrared one and hence is harmless. On the other hand, the sign of $\hat{c}_{D2}$ will never trigger any instability unless $|\hat{c}_{D2}| \gg d_0$. In the case of DHOST theories where $c_{D1} = c_{D2} = 0$ on top of $c_U = 0$, the dispersion relation reads

$$\omega^2 \simeq -\frac{\hat{d}_2}{d_0} M^2 P_1^2 k^2,$$

(29)

which means that the sound speed is suppressed by $M^2/M_0^2$, and hence the perturbations would be strongly coupled. This is consistent with the result of [29].

Our result is summarized in the Figure below. The shaded region corresponds to $|\hat{c}_{D1}| \ll 1$ or $|\hat{c}_{D1}| \ll M^2$, where the strong coupling problem shows up. DHOST theories have $c_{D1} = 0$ and lie within the shaded region. On the other hand, outside the shaded region, perturbations would be weakly coupled. U-DHOST theories have $\hat{c}_{D1} = O(1)$ in general, and hence the scordatura mechanism is intrinsic to this class of theories.

![FIG. Higher-order scalar-tensor theories and the strong coupling issue on the stealth Minkowski background.](image)

**Shadowy mode.**—Finally, let us make a comment on the shadowy mode, which is present in the case of U-DHOST theories. As was demonstrated in [33], a Hamiltonian analysis is useful to see how the shadowy mode shows up. For this purpose, we introduce the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner variables as $g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -N^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt)$, where $N$ is the lapse function, $N^i$ is the shift vector, and $\gamma_{ij}$ is the induced metric. Here, we take the unitary gauge where $\phi = \phi(t)$. In this gauge, the kinetic term of the scalar field is related to the lapse function through $X = -\frac{\phi''}{N^2}$. For simplicity, we focus on U-DHOST theories with $Y = 0$. In other words, we move to a frame where $Y = 0$ by use of an invertible disformal transformation [19, 40, 41]. Then, the Lagrangian density in (1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L} = N\sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{G_T} \left( \pi_{ij} \pi^{ij} \right) - \frac{G_T + c_{D1}}{2G_T + 3c_{D1}} \frac{\pi^2}{\gamma} \right] + c_{D2} D_1 N D_1^\dagger N \frac{\pi^2}{N^2} + \hat{Q} K + FR + P,$$

(30)

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the spatial curvature, $K_{ij}$ is the extrinsic curvature with its trace denoted by $K := K_{ii}$, and $D_i$ is the covariant derivative associated with $\gamma_{ij}$. Here, the function $\hat{Q}(X)$ is defined so that $\hat{Q}_X = (-X)^{-1/2}Q_X$. Performing a Legendre transformation, the total Hamiltonian can be straightforwardly obtained as

$$H_T = \int d^3x \left( H_N + N^i \pi_i + u_N \pi_N + u^i \pi_i \right),$$

(31)

where the canonical momenta conjugate to $N$, $N^i$, $\pi_{ij}$ are respectively denoted by $\pi_N$, $\pi_i$, $\pi_{ij}$, and we have defined

$$H_N := N\sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{2G_T} \left( \pi_{ij} \pi^{ij} \right) - \frac{G_T + c_{D1}}{2G_T + 3c_{D1}} \frac{\pi^2}{\gamma} \right] + c_{D2} D_1 N D_1^\dagger N \frac{\pi^2}{N^2} + \hat{Q} K + FR + P,$$

(32)

$$H_i := -2D^j \pi_{ij} \frac{\pi^i}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$$

(33)

with $\pi := \pi^i$. The primary constraints $\pi_N \approx 0$ and $\pi_i \approx 0$ have been incorporated in the total Hamiltonian by use of the Lagrangian multipliers $u_N$ and $u^i$, respectively. Although the momentum constraints $H_i \approx 0$ remain first class, the Hamiltonian constraint is now second class, which fixes $N$ as a functional of other canonical variables. As is clearly seen in (32), a nonvanishing $c_{D2}$ results in higher spatial derivatives in the Hamiltonian constraint, which reflects the presence of the shadowy mode (see also [33]). Note also that the terms quadratic in $\pi_{ij}$ are detuned from the usual combination $\pi_{ij} \pi^{ij} - \pi^2/2$ when $c_{D1} \neq 0$, as in nonprojectable Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [42]. Therefore, even for the fine-tuned case $c_{D2} = 0$, there would be a shadowy mode corresponding to the instantaneous mode in nonprojectable Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [43, 44].

**Discussions.**—In this Letter, we studied the perturbations about the stealth Minkowski solution in higher-order scalar-tensor theories described by the action (1). We found that the perturbations would be strongly coupled in DHOST theories satisfying the degeneracy conditions $c_{D1} = c_{D2} = c_U = 0$, while this problem can be avoided in nondegenerate higher-order scalar-tensor theories in general, which is consistent with the result of [29]. We clarified that the parameter $c_{D1}$ controls the magnitude of the sound speed and thereby the strong
coupling scale. Our result implies that the scordatura effect is intrinsic to generic U-DHOST theories, for which $c_U = 0$ and $c_{DD} = O(M^2)$. Also, the dispersion relation for generic higher-order scalar-tensor theories is the same as the one for U-DHOST theories at the leading order of $M/M_{Pl}$. In this sense, U-DHOST theories would provide the most general effective framework that describes perturbations about stealth background. Hence, it would be intriguing to further study stealth solutions, e.g., black holes along the lines of [11–13] within the framework of U-DHOST theories.
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