Spectroscopic investigations of detachment on the MAST Upgrade Super-X divertor
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Abstract.

We present the first analysis of the atomic and molecular processes at play during detachment in the MAST-U Super-X divertor using divertor spectroscopy data. Our analysis indicates detachment in the MAST-U Super-X divertor can be separated into four sequential phases: First, the ionisation region detaches from the target at detachment onset leaving a region of increased molecular densities downstream. The plasma interacts with these molecules, resulting in molecular ions (\(D^+_2\) and/or \(D^-_2 \rightarrow D + D^-\)) that further react with the plasma leading to Molecular Activated Recombination and Dissociation (MAR and MAD), which results in excited atoms and significant Balmer line emission. Second, the MAR region detaches from the target leaving a sub-eV temperature region downstream. Third, an onset of strong emission from electron-ion recombination (EIR) ensues. Finally, the electron density decays near the target, resulting in a density front moving upstream.

The analysis in this paper indicates that plasma-molecule interactions have a larger impact than previously reported and play a critical role in the intensity and interpretation of hydrogen atomic line emission characteristics on MAST-U. Furthermore, we find that the Füchler band emission profile in the divertor can be used as a proxy for the ionisation region and may also be employed as a plasma temperature diagnostic for improving the separation of hydrogenic emission arising from electron-impact excitation and that from plasma-molecular interactions.

We provide evidences for the presence of low electron temperatures (< 0.5 eV) during detachment phases III-IV based on quantitative spectroscopy analysis, a Boltzmann
relation of the high-n Balmer line transitions together with an analysis of the brightness of high-n Balmer lines.
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1. Introduction

Power exhaust is a major challenge for the realisation of fusion energy as the expected un-mitigated target heat fluxes in a reactor can be significantly higher than the tolerable engineering limits at the target \[1,2\]. The heat load reductions that can be obtained by increased radiation alone are limited to a factor 4-5 (not considering magnetic shaping) as they result in an increased ion target flux \[3\]. The heat flux, arising from surface recombination of those ions, can exceed target engineering limits in reactor conditions if the upstream parallel energy flux density is sufficiently high. The target ion flux can be reduced through divertor detachment, during which plasma-atom/molecule interactions result in simultaneous power, particle and momentum dissipation in the divertor. Detachment is obtained when the target electron temperature reaches relatively low values \((T_e \sim 5 \text{ eV})\), which can be achieved by neutral recycling (e.g. higher upstream densities / fuelling) and/or extrinsic impurity seeding (increased radiative dissipation).

Alternative Divertor Configurations (ADCs) aim to utilise variations in the divertor magnetic topology to enhance the power exhaust, compared 'conventional' divertors, in three different ways. First, ADCs facilitate larger reductions of the parallel heat flow into the divertor before attaining the target. Secondly, ADCs reduce the detachment onset threshold (e.g. at lower core density or with lower impurity fractions) \[4,5\]. Thirdly, ADCs can reduce the sensitivity of the displacement of the front-edge of the cold, detached, plasma (e.g. detachment 'front') to a 'physical' control parameter (e.g. density, upstream heat flux, impurity fraction) in steady-state \[4,6,5,7,8\]. An increase of the detachment window and/or larger power dissipation in the divertor would allow the operation of future tokamak reactors with lower core impurity fractions and core radiation, which would benefit core, and hence fusion, performance. Depending on the precise reactor parameters required, using ADCs may become essential \[9\].

The Mega Amp Spherical Tokamak - Upgrade (MAST-U) is a new spherical tokamak at the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy (CCFE) in the United Kingdom \[10,11,12\]. MAST-U was built specifically for investigating ADCs to 1) determine their feasibility and divertor performance; and 2) use magnetic shaping to improve our understanding of plasma-edge physics. MAST-U includes a tightly baffled lower and upper divertor chamber \[\text{11}\] to facilitate a baffled double-null Super-X Divertor (SXD) \[6\].

The SXD features an increased target radius resulting in a significantly increased 'total flux expansion', compared to the Conventional Divertor (CD). That is predicted by analytic and SOLPS-ITER models to reduce the detachment onset threshold \[4,5,6\] in
terms of upstream density and impurity fraction, increase the detachment window and reduce the detachment front sensitivity \[1\]. In contradiction to the predicted benefits of the Super-X divertor, TCV’s (conventional aspect ratio tokamak) experimental results did, initially, not support such predictions \[7\] due to the openness of the, then non-baffled \[7\], TCV divertor \[13\].

Together with the increased target radius and resultant total flux expansion, it is also important to trap the neutrals within the divertor region \[13\]. On MAST-U, this is achieved using tight baffling at the entrance to the divertor that increases neutral trapping \[6\]. Keeping neutral trapping constant while varying the divertor configuration will test the benefit of increased total flux expansion \[13\]. The predictions of the beneficial effect of total flux expansion are in agreement with preliminary MAST-U results, which show strong reductions in the target heat and particle flux in SXD compared to CD.

In general, as expected, operation using the Super-X divertor resulted in detached divertor plasma, except for extremely low upstream densities. It is now critical to study the underlying physics processes to ascertain whether existing models are sufficiently complete. This paper presents a first investigation of the plasma-atom and molecule interaction processes at play throughout the entire detached operational space of the MAST-U Super-X divertor. The level of detachment was separable into four phases. These start with a detachment of the ionisation region from the target and end with the electron density decaying near the target, resulting in the movement of a density front upstream.

This phase progression is based on upon line-of-sight spectroscopy through the Super-X divertor chamber. The ‘intensity’ (e.g. brightness in \(ph/m^2/s\)) of several hydrogen Balmer line intensities, together with the emission in \(D_2\) Fulcher band between 595 to 615 nm, were monitored during detachment. Using the BaSPMI analysis developed previously \[14, 15, 3, 16\], the Balmer line brightnesses were decomposed into atomic and plasma-molecule interaction related emission component. In a relatively novel development, detailed analysis of the \(n = 9−20\) Balmer lines is used to provide evidence for low density \(n_e < 10^{19}m^{-3}\) and temperature conditions \(T_e \ll 0.5\ eV\).

Plasma-molecular interactions are shown to play a major role in the interpretation of hydrogen Balmer line measurements on MAST-U; consideration of such effects is critical in the interpretation of any MAST-U hydrogen spectroscopy and imaging data.

2. MAST Upgrade overview

Figure 1 shows fuelling and core density data together with the evolution of the total target particle flux, as function of time for the main discharge used in this work (# 45371, plasma current, \(I_p = 650\ kA\)), and a discharge that is more deeply detached (# 45370, \(I_p = 450\ kA\)). The level of detachment is delineated by the detachment phase given, based on spectroscopic analysis (see section 3). After the divertor is formed, # 45371 adds fuelling from the lower divertor valve (‘LFS-D-b’, shown in figure 2a) whereas # 45370 employs the default midplane fuelling on the MAST-U inner wall (‘HFS’ - see
A new line-of-sight Divertor Monitoring Spectroscopy (DMS) system was developed and commissioned with lines of sight originating from two different lens-fibre arrangements - V1 and V2 (figure 2b). DMS uses two spectrometers in the lower divertor (‘DMS-York’ in purple; ‘DMS-CCFE’ in green) with interleaved lines of sight to monitor two spectral regions simultaneously. For discharge # 45371 we monitor: 1) the $n = 5, 6$ Balmer lines at medium spectral resolution (0.1 nm) and 2) part of the $D_2$ Fulcher band (595 - 615 nm) and $D\alpha$ (656 nm) simultaneously at low spectral resolution (0.4 nm). The second system includes a shortpass filter to attenuate the $D\alpha$ intensity to better resemble that of the $D_2$ Fulcher band. For discharge # 45370, DMS-York monitored the high-n ($n \geq 9$) Balmer lines simultaneously (365 - 385 nm) using a high spectral resolution (0.06 nm),

Throughout the fuelling ramps, the divertor neutral pressure is expected to increase, although no this measurement is unavailable during the first campaign. Therefore, we describe the observations during detachment in terms of the actuator: the fuelling strength; as we cannot delineate the different impacts of the core electron density and the divertor neutral pressure increase.
Figure 2. a) The magnetic geometries corresponding to the two discharges #45371 and #45370 at 500 ms, are shown together with the vessel geometry, poloidal field magnets and the fuelling valve locations utilized (‘HFS’ and ‘LFS-D-b’). b) Just the lower divertor region is shown along with the DMS spectroscopic chordal lines-of-sight originating from view points V1 and V2, which are both coupled to two spectrometers (‘DMS-York’ and ‘DMS-CCFE’). In both a) and b), the Super-X separatrix strike point is incident on ‘Tile 5’ whereas DMS-CCFE monitored the CIII (465 nm) and $D\beta$ Balmer line (486 nm) (not used in this work).

In addition to DMS, Multi-Wavelength Imaging (MWI) obtains images of spectrally filtered emission from the divertor [17, 18]. MWI features up to 10 spectral filters to individual recording cameras, including the $D_2$ Fulcher band (595-605 nm) and the $n = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9$ Balmer lines. Although MWI data was not used in this paper, its observations are qualitatively consistent with the results in this paper and are occasionally referenced.

Figure 2 shows the magnetic geometry of both Super-X configurations with a strike point on ‘Tile 5’. The poloidal distance between the target and the x-point is $\sim 110$ cm in both cases. As the x-point is significantly upstream of the divertor entrance, only the first 72 cm (poloidally) of the divertor leg can be monitored by diagnostics operating in the lower divertor chamber (DMS, MWI and foil bolometry).
3. Spectroscopic MAST-U Super-X detachment observations and their interpretation

It is important to distinguish three concepts in this paper, illustrated in figure 3.

(i) Reactions: Plasma-atom and molecular interactions lead to reactions that influence the ion and neutral atom particle balance, such as ionisation, Electron-Ion Recombination (EIR), Molecular Activated Ionisation (MAI), Molecular Activated Recombination (MAR) and Molecular Activated Dissociation (MAD). MAST-U characteristic reaction rates are plotted as function of $T_e$ in figure 3 I, using the model from section 4.1.

(ii) Emission processes: Electron-impact excitation (EIE), EIR and Plasma-Molecule Interactions (PMI) generate excited atoms that emit hydrogenic atomic line emission. Electronic-impact collisions with $D_2$ can generate electronically excited molecules, which leads to $D_2$ Fulcher band emission. Figure 3 II shows MAST-U characteristic fractional emission contributions to the $D\alpha$ brightness as function of $T_e$ using the model from section 4.1.

(iii) Emission measurements: Any hydrogen atomic emission (e.g. Balmer line) is, in general, due to a combination of emission processes, which can vary as function of divertor conditions. Detailed analysis is often required to infer information on the dominant emission processes from hydrogenic atomic line measurements. Figure 3 III shows MAST-U characteristic total brightnesses of $D\alpha$, $D\gamma$ and $D\delta$ as function of $T_e$ together with the $D_2$ Fulcher emission brightness, using the model from section 4.1.

In this work, we show hydrogen atomic emission (e.g. Balmer line) measurements analysed using BaSPMI, to infer the quantitative emission processes contributing to the $D\alpha$ emission. Figure 3 shows this also provides qualitative information on 1) appearance; 2) location and 3) relative magnitude of the reactions active in the Super-X divertor and their evolution during detachment. Balmer emission from EIE provides information on the ionisation source; from EIR on the EIR ion sink and from plasma-molecular interactions (mostly $D_2^+$, $D_2^-$) on MAR and MAD. The $D_2$ Fulcher band emission is correlated with $D_2$ electron-impact dissociation. A quantitative analysis of the ion sources and sinks in the divertor remains outside of the scope of this paper.

DMS data analysis (which is consistent with the MWI) indicates that detachment in the MAST-U Super-X divertor can be separated in four different phases (figure 4).

(i) Detachment onset: the ionisation front detaches from the target and moves towards the divertor entrance, leaving behind a region where plasma-molecular interactions involving molecular ions generate excited atoms composing a majority of the Balmer line emission (section 3.1). These molecular ions include $D_2^+$ (likely the dominant contributor) created from molecular charge exchange ($D^+ + D_2 \rightarrow D_2^+ + D$) and

§ Non-dissociative ionisation $e^- + D_2 \rightarrow D_2^+ + 2e^-$ occurs at higher temperatures in the ionisation region.
possibly \( D^- (D_2^- \rightarrow D^- + D) \). The same interactions also result in significant MAR and MAD acting as an energy sink, particle (ion) sink and neutral atom source [3].

(ii) The peak in Balmer line emission associated with molecular ions detaches from the target; indicative of an upstream movement of the MAR and MAD regions [3]. The MAR & MAD rates near the target are reduced as the plasma temperature drops below 1 eV, strongly inhibiting the capability of creating molecular ions (section 3.2).

(iii) Appearance of strong electron-ion recombination (EIR) emission near the target
Figure 4. Schematic overview of the four inferred MAST-U Super-X detachment phases in terms of the reactions occurring in the divertor. Also shown is the Super-X plasma geometry and the DMS spectroscopic viewing chords. The numbers shown indicate: (1) the back-end of the ionisation region; (2) the back-end of the Molecular Activated Recombination (MAR) region; (3) the front-end of the Electron Ion Recombination (EIR) region; and (4) the back-end of the electron ion recombination / density region.

Figure 5 M0-IV shows Balmer line emission intensities, ratios and the $D_2$ Fulcher band emission intensity as a profile along the DMS lines of sight during the attached (0) and four identified different detachment phases (I-IV) for discharge # 45371. Separations of the different $D_\alpha$ emission processes, using BaSPMI analysis, accompany these measurements in figure 3 AI-IV. BaSPMI application is further discussed in Appendix D and is independently verified using a new Bayesian approach (Appendix D.1). The observations and sequence of the four different detachment phases have been observed over a range of plasma currents, variations in super-X geometry (e.g. different poloidal flux expansion and strike point locations) and fuelling locations (upper divertor, lower divertor). Here, EIR may dominate over MAR as an ion sink, which is subject to further investigation.
divertor, high field side, low field side).

3.1. Detachment phase 1: ionisation movement and MAR appearance

Before the detachment onset, we generally observe: 1) line ratios indicative of EIE, 2) a roughly flat profile of the $n = 3, 5, 6$ Balmer line intensities as well as the Fulcher band emission (with slight peaking near the target) along the divertor leg (figure 5 M0). BaSPMI analysis indicates a flat profile of EIE along the divertor leg, suggestive of an attached ionisation front. This is similar to TCV observations [19, 20, 3], where a strong correlation between the Fulcher band emission and the ionisation region were found [3, 15].

At the start of # 45371, near the detachment onset, the Fulcher emission region detaches from the target (figure 5 MI). As plasma fuelling is further increased, the Fulcher emission detaches from the target. Simultaneously, both the Balmer line emission and their ratios remain constant over the entire divertor leg (Figure 5 MI).

Balmer line emission ratios associated with PMI and EIE are similar [14], section 4.1. Therefore, the combined observations of the Fulcher emission region, Balmer line ratio and Balmer line intensity are key to demonstrating how the use of Balmer line intensities will lead to misleading interpretation if excited atoms from PMI are neglected. Neglecting PMI would leads to the same interpretation as that in the attached phase (figure 5 M0 & A0): the ionisation region spans the entire divertor leg and remains attached at the target. However, this would be inconsistent with the Fulcher emission that suggests that the region near the target is too cold for electron-impact molecular dissociation (and too cold to ionise atoms).

Therefore, we hypothesise that the Fulcher band emission brightness profile may be employed to separate hydrogenic emission from PMI and EIE. Separating PMI and EIE related emission using other measurements in addition to hydrogen Balmer lines is crucial. The Balmer line emission brightness and ratios are almost identical in the attached (figure 5 M0) and detachment onset (figure 5 MI) phases, but the $D_2$ Fulcher band brightness profile along the divertor leg is different. Employing the Fulcher band as a filter implies that a movement of the cold-end of the Fulcher emission, suggests movement of the region where the plasma is too cold to ionise and thus the ionisation front. Likewise, an absence of Fulcher emission near the target, suggests - through exclusion - that EIR or PMI are the dominant hydrogenic emission processes near the target. Balmer line ratios can then be used to distinguish between EIR and PMI. In the case of figure 5 MI, the line ratios suggest PMI is the dominant hydrogen emission process near the target.

This hypothesis is analysed more quantitatively in section 4.2, where it is shown that the Fulcher emission profile may employed as a quantitative temperature constraint. That constraint is employed in our BaSPMI analysis (described in Appendix D). Results

\* Since # 45371 is never fully detached in the lower divertor, the data shown has been obtained from # 45376 at 500 ms, which is the same scenario as # 45371 but employs upper divertor fuelling.
**Figure 5.** (M 0) through (M IV): Measured and normalized brightness profiles in the lower divertor during MAST-U pulse # 45371 (M I - M IV) and # 45376 (M 0 - attached) for the Fulcher band (595-615 nm), n = 3, 6 Balmer lines as well as the $6 \rightarrow 2 / 5 \rightarrow 2$ Balmer line ratio along the various spectroscopic chords at four different times, spanning the attached regime (0) and the four phases of detachment (I-IV). A 0) through A IV): Analysed BaSPMI [16, 14] from measurements M 0 - M IV showing the brightness profiles of the Dα associated with electron-impact excitation ("EIE" of D), Plasma-Molecule Interactions ("PMI" of D$_2$, D$_2^+$, D$^-$) and electron-ion recombination ("EIR" of D$^+$). The indicative poloidal distance, along the divertor leg, between the target and intersection of the line-of-sight with the separatrix are shown on top. The 'EIE', 'Mol.' and 'EIR' emission regions are indicative of the 'ionisation', 'MAR & MAD' and 'EIR' regions, respectively [3].
from BaSPMI confirm our hypothesis: figure 5 A I shows a clear separation between the \( \text{D} \alpha \) emission regions from EIE with emission from PMI below it. Figure 6 shows that while the fuelling is increased, the EIE region moves quickly from near the target to near the divertor entrance (indicative of an ionisation front movement), leaving a region where Balmer line emission is dominantly from PMI (indicative of a growing region with significant MAR & MAD).
3.2. Detachment phase 2: movement of MAR region and obtaining sub-eV temperatures

As the fuelling is further increased, the Fulcher emission moves further towards the divertor entrance. Below the Fulcher emission region, the \( D\alpha \) emission increases whilst the \( 6 \rightarrow 2/5 \rightarrow 2 \) Balmer line ratio remains at levels expected of a EIE or PMI dominant plasma (figure 5 MII). This indicates the \( D\alpha \) emission is predominantly from PMI, which is consistent with BaSPMI analysis (figure 5 AII). Ultimately, the \( D\alpha \) (PMI) emission region starts to move from the target towards the divertor entrance, figure 5 MII & AII; which is suggestive of a movement of the MAR & MAD regions.

Plasma-molecule interactions leading to hydrogen emission and MAR/MAD involve \( D_2^+ \) and/or \( D^- \). Creating those species below 5 eV requires the presence of \( D_2(\nu) \) molecules that are excited to relatively high vibrational levels [21, 22]. Most of this vibrational excitation likely arises from plasma-molecular collisions. Below a certain temperature, however, both the probability of exciting molecules vibrationally drops and the ions have insufficient energy to promote molecular charge exchange, reducing the \( D_2^+ / D_2 \) ratio [3, 23] for decreasing \( T_e \). The intensity of MAR/MAD with decreasing \( T_e \) (and its associated hydrogen emission) is a competition between two processes: 1) an increase of the \( D_2 \) density; 2) a decrease in the \( D_2^+ / D_2 \) ratio. The hydrogen emission associated with MAR and MAD is expected to occur between 0.5 (‘cold-end’ of the emission region) and 3.5 eV (‘hot-end’ of the emission region) and to peak \( \sim 0.8 \) eV (section 4.1).

As the fuelling increases further, the cold-end of the MAR region moves increasingly further from the target until it reaches the divertor entrance. This would suggest that the majority of the divertor chamber has achieved sub-eV electron temperatures.

3.3. Detachment phase 3: appearance of strong signs of electron-recombination

As fuelling is further increased, the Fulcher emission region moves into the divertor throat, out of spectroscopy view. The MAR emission region moves towards the divertor throat and, ultimately, a strong increase in both \( n = 6 \) Balmer line intensity and the \( n = 6/5 \) Balmer line intensity ratios are observed near the target, figure 5 MIII. This implies a transition from PMI dominated emission to EIR dominated emission near the target, which is consistent with our BaSPMI analysis (figure 5 A III). EIR preferentially contributes to high- and medium-n Balmer line emission: BaSPMI analysis at 630 ms indicates the EIR contribution near the target to \( n = 5(6) \) is 80-90% (90-95 %), although this is smaller for \( D\alpha \).

The entire high-n Balmer line spectra (discharge # 45370) has been fitted using a Stark broadening model [16] together with a Boltzmann distribution to model the ratio between the intensity of the various high-n Balmer lines [25, 26] as function of \( T_e \). Figure 7 shows a representative example of the fit obtained. Similar analysis results have been obtained over many discharges, including a range of plasma currents.

Our fit results (figure 7) indicate that the EIR emission along the DMS viewing chords originates from a region with low electron density \( (n_e \ll 1 \times 10^{19}m^{-3}) \) and
temperature ($\ll 0.5 eV - (0.1 - 0.2 eV)$). These temperatures and densities can be considered as EIR emission-weighted quantities along the line of sight [20][14] that, as such, may not correspond to the separatrix density/temperature. These low electron density estimates are consistent with the Inglis-Teller limit [27]: by averaging over 15 acquisition frames ($\sim 200 ms$) up to $n = 21$ Balmer line is resolved, for which the Inglis-Teller limit suggests that $n_e < 1.6 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$.

3.3.1. Additional proof for $T_e \ll 0.5 eV$ The obtained electron temperatures from the Boltzmann fit (detachment phase III-IV) are between 0.1 - 0.2 eV, which are surprisingly low and have not been detected in tokamak divertors previously. To support these electron temperature estimates ($T_e \ll 0.5 eV$), we provide four additional sources of evidence in this subsection: 1) an analysis of the required pathlengths needed to model the high-n Balmer line brightness; 2) BaSPMI analysis; 3) comparison against simplified emission modelling; 4) divertor Thomson scattering.

First, we perform a consistency check of $T_e$ using the monitored high-n Balmer line emission brightness. For a given electron density ($n_e$), the monitored brightness depends on the size of the emission region along the line of sight ($\Delta L$) and the electron density ($n_e$) $B = n_e^2 \Delta L$. By fitting the high-n Balmer line brightness to a Boltzmann distribution, we obtain $n_e \sim 5.7 \times 10^{18} m^{-3}$ and $T_e \sim 0.13 eV$.

This brightness measurement supports the low electron density estimated in the Boltzmann fit, indicating a low electron density/temperature regime. The $n = 9$ brightness in this case is $4 \times 10^{18} phm^{-2}s^{-1}$. 

Figure 7. Measured high-n Balmer line spectra for line of sight # 10 (midway divertor) at 670 ms for # 45370, together with a high-n Balmer line fit (red). $n_e$ and $T_e$ (using a Boltzmann distribution) are inferred from the high-n Balmer line fit, indicating a low electron density/temperature regime. The $n = 9$ brightness in this case is $4 \times 10^{18} phm^{-2}s^{-1}$.

It is unclear whether the $n = 21$ observation limit is due to a limited signal to noise ratio or due to a merging of the continuum. As such, the Inglis-Teller limit can only be used to get an upper limit electron density estimate in this case.
temperature \( (T_e) \) - equation \([1]\) assuming that all high-n Balmer line emission \( (n \geq 9) \) is due to EIR - which is verified in Appendix B. Here, \( \Delta L \) is the characteristic pathlength and \( PEC_{n \geq 9 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{rec}}(n_e, T_e) \) is the recombination emission coefficients for high-n Balmer lines that depends on \( n_e \) and increases exponentially with linearly decreasing \( T_e \). For a known \( n_e \), \( \Delta L \) decreases with decreasing \( T_e \). If we assume \( T_e = 0.2 \ \text{eV} \) (ADAS default temperature limit \([28]\)), one would require a pathlength of 1.6 m near the target and 0.2 m near the divertor entrance at \( t = 600 \ \text{ms} \). As \( \Delta L \) is limited to 0.20-0.40 m physically (from a rough inversion of the MWI \( n = 9 \) Balmer line measurement \([17]\)), this provides further evidence for a \( T_e = 0.1 - 0.2 \ \text{eV} \) regime obtained from the Boltzmann fit.

Secondly, BaSPMI analysis (\# 45371) provides additional evidence for \( T_e \ll 0.2 \ \text{eV} \). BaSPMI estimates the recombination rate and recombinative temperature \( T_R^{\text{e}} \) by analysing the intensity of the EIR component of the medium-n Balmer line brightness \([16]\), \( B_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{rec}} \). Lower \( T_R^{\text{e}} \) are obtained for higher \( B_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{rec}} \) until a maximum reference value for \( B_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{rec}} \) is reached at the \( T_R^{\text{e}} = 0.2 \ \text{ADAS limit} \). BaSPMI analysis for \# 45371 indicates that during detachment phases III-IV (figure 5 A III and A IV) the spread of inferred values for \( B_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{rec}} \) exceeds the spread of maximum reference values for \( B_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{rec}} \); providing strong evidence for \( T_e < 0.2 \ \text{eV} \).

Thirdly, the simplified emission modelling as function of \( T_e \) in figure 3 (explained in section 4.1), leads to a predicted maximum \( n = 6 \) Balmer line emission brightness at \( T_e = 0.2 \ \text{eV} \) (ADAS limit) of \( \sim 3 \cdot 10^{19} \ \text{m}^{-3} \ \text{ph}/\text{m}^2/\text{s} \). The peak \( n = 6 \) emission brightness measured in the EIR region of \# 45371 (detachment phase IV) is, however, larger \( \sim 5 \cdot 10^{19} \ \text{ph}/\text{m}^2/\text{s} \) (figure 5 MIV). Although the difference between these two values is not that large, the electron density assumed in figure 3 is \( n_e = 10^{19} \ \text{m}^{-3} \), which is likely significantly higher than obtained in the experiment in this regime. Therefore, this comparison provides some additional evidence of \( T_e \leq 0.2 \ \text{eV} \).

Fourthly, our DMS inferences are qualitatively consistent with results obtained from the MAST-U divertor Thomson scattering \([29]\): for \# 45371 DTS measurements indicate \( n_e \sim 10^{19} \ \text{m}^{-3} \) with \( T_e < 2.5 \ \text{eV} \) (phase I), \( T_e < 1 \ \text{eV} \) (phase II) and, \( n_e < 10^{19} \ \text{m}^{-3} \) with \( T_e \ll 0.5 \ \text{eV} \) (detection limit) during detachment phases III-IV.

### 3.4. Detachment phase 4: density front emission movement

We have shown that through phase III, increased fuelling changes the Fulcher emission intensity such that it becomes almost absent from the divertor chamber, the MAR peak reaches the divertor entrance with the EIR region further expanding towards the divertor entrance. Ultimately, in phase IV, the cold-end of the EIR emission region leaves the target (figure 5 IV). As mentioned in the previous section, here, the dominant Balmer line emission process is EIR (figure 5 AIV). Since the temperature in the divertor must
Figure 8. Measurements of the movement of the MAR and EIR emission regions based on BaSPMI analysis before the fuelling cut (750 ms) and two time frames after the fuelling cut. As a guide, dotted lines are shown corresponding to the peak in MAR (green) and EIR (blue) before the fuelling cut.

decrease (or stay constant) from upstream to downstream, a decrease in the EIR emission must correspond to a $n_e$ decrease near the target. As fuelling is increased, the cold-end of the EIR emission region moves further towards the divertor entrance, indicating a significant movement of the electron density front.

* This is qualitatively consistent with Stark broadening $n_e$ inferences for # 45371, suggesting a decrease of $n_e$ by $\sim 40\%$. However, this single $n = 6$ Balmer line fit at medium spectral resolution (0.1 nm) is much less accurate at $n_e \ll 5 \cdot 10^{19} m^{-3}$ compared to high-$n$ Balmer line fitting approach (section 3.3) and cannot be used as a quantitative measure. Therefore, the inferred $n_e$ for # 45371 are roughly
100 ms before the final $I_p$ ramp-down in # 45371 (figure [1]a, time of 750 ms), we stop all fuelling and observe how the divertor plasma responds. As a result, the discharge transitions back to detachment phase III after 50 ms, as shown from the EIR and PMI $D\alpha$ emission in figure [8]: 1) the peak in MAR emission moves back into the divertor chamber; 2) the cold-end of the EIR emission region moves back towards the target; 3) the electron density (inferred through Stark broadening, not shown) rises again.

Discharge # 45370, which is more deeply detached and ultimately terminates in a disruption engendered by a MARFE, has high-n Balmer line coverage, permitting higher accuracy $n_e$ inferences (see figure [7]). Figure [9] shows that the movement of the $n = 9$ Balmer line emission towards the divertor entrance as the fuelling is increased. This is correlated with with a sharp decay in the inferred electron density near the target, forming an electron density front that moves upstream, providing further quantitative evidence for an electron density front displacement.

$10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ higher than $n_e$ measured through divertor Thomson scattering, which are more in line with the $n_e$ estimates obtained using high-n Balmer line fitting in # 45370.

**Figure 9.** Spatial profiles, from near the target to the divertor baffle, of $n \geq 9$ (summed) Balmer line brightness (dotted lines) as well as inferred electron densities from Stark broadening (solid lines) at 500 (red), 670 (green) and 860 (blue) ms of # 45370.
4. Discussion

4.1. Understanding the impact of plasma-molecule interactions on hydrogen emission on MAST-U

In section 3.1 it was shown that the Fulcher emission region had detached from the target whilst there remains significant Balmer line emission near the target. The corresponding line-ratios were consistent with, but not actually due to, EIE, in contrast to EIR, illustrating that PMI can greatly impact the Balmer line emission. This is investigated in more detail by performing simplified emission modelling to investigate Balmer line emission and reaction rate trends as function of the electron temperature. The model uses scalings for the molecular and hydrogen atom densities, derived in Appendix A from SOLPS-ITER modelling of MAST-U and TCV. $n_e = 10^{19}\text{m}^{-3}$ was assumed for MAST-U, whereas $n_e = 7 \cdot 10^{19}\text{m}^{-3}$ was taken as a characteristic value for the TCV divertor. The results, shown in figure 10, are now described:

- Figure 10 a,b shows PMI leads to line ratios that are very similar to EIE, but lower than EIR. PMI will thus reduce the Balmer line ratio if both EIR and PMI are important. With the MAST-U scalings and densities, PMI reduces the temperature at which the line ratio transitions from a low value (EIE & PMI) to a high value (EIR) (black curve compared to the cyan curve, figure 10 a). This shows that analysing Balmer line emission measurements in MAST-U conditions, but neglecting PMI, may lead to gross misinterpretations (up to orders of magnitude) of the ionisation source when $T_e = [0.4 - 7]$ eV. The impact of neglecting PMI would be smaller for the TCV scalings and densities, although it can still be significant, as PMI only reduces the obtained 'high' level at which the line ratio plateaus, rather than shifting the $T_e$ window at which the line ratio transitions towards lower $T_e$ (figure 10 b).

- The Fulcher emission brightness is well correlated with the EIE emission, the $D_2$ electron-impact dissociation rate and the ionisation source. This reinforces its use to separate EIE and PMI related emission (further discussed in section 4.2). Additionally, comparing figures 10 a and c shows that the MAST-U observed separation (in $T_e$ space) between the Fulcher emission and the Balmer line ratio increase regions are expected when including molecular effects.

- At temperatures below 1 eV, the PMI related emission (and thus the MAR/MAD rate) is reduced, as the likelihood of creating $D_2^+$ from $D_2$ through molecular charge exchange reduces. Together with EIE (and thus ionisation) and EIR, this leads to three different $D\alpha$ emission regions or ‘fronts’ at constant $n_e$ (figure 10 e). This explains why four different detachment phases can be identified based on monitoring the $D\alpha$ emission on MAST-U. The precise $T_e$ to which the peak in PMI corresponds is highly sensitive to the vibrational distribution (e.g. towards lower $T_e$ / higher $T_e$ for an underestimation / overestimation of the highly vibrationally excited $D_2$ population) and is best interpreted as a sub-eV point.
Figure 10. Simplified Balmer emission modelling at two different electron densities using MAST-U and TCV molecular density scalings, respectively, derived from SOLPS-ITER modelling (Appendix A). Note that these scalings for TCV have been derived in an open divertor geometry (before the installation of baffles). a, b) The \( n = 6/n = 5 \) Balmer line ratio is shown as function of \( T_e \) when only atomic effects are included (cyan) and when all processes are included (black). Blue, red, magenta dotted lines show the expected EIR, PMI and EIE only trends. c, d) The \( n = 5 \) Balmer line emission fractions are shown as function of \( T_e \) for EIR, PMI and EIE as well as the normalised expected Fulcher emission brightness. e, f) The \( \alpha \) \( (n = 3) \) brightnesses, divided by \( n_e^2 \), of EIR, PMI and EIE as well as the total are shown as function of \( T_e \). g, h) The reaction rate of ionisation, electron-ion recombination (EIR), molecular activated dissociation/dissociation (MAR/MAD) and electron-impact dissociation are shown as function of \( T_e \).
Throughout this paper, we have analysed the EIE, PMI and EIR $D\alpha$ emission quantitatively and assumed that these are representative of the ionisation, MAR/MAD and EIR regions, respectively. Comparing figure 10 g, h against e, f provides more quantitative evidence for this assumption: a strong correlation is observed between the: 1) $D\alpha$ EIE emission and ionisation rate; 2) $D\alpha$ EIR emission and EIR rate; 3) $D\alpha$ PMI emission and MAR and MAD rate; 4) the Fulcher band emission and the electron-impact dissociation rate. ♯

MAD occurs at slightly higher temperatures than MAR, but at a significantly smaller temperature than electron-impact dissociation. MAD †† may thus be important in plasmas where the ionisation region is detached from the target as, here, it may be a dominant source of neutrals.

Performing simplified emission modelling for MAST-U (figure 10 a,c,e,g) at the same density as TCV (figure 10 b,d,f,h) leads to a result that is in between the shown MAST-U and TCV cases (not shown). It removes the $T_e$ shift, induced by PMI, of the onset of the line ratio increase. This shows that the low divertor electron densities complicate the separation of PMI and EIE related emission (more so on MAST-U than on TCV). However, the $6/5$ Balmer line ratio plateaus between the EIR and PMI/EIE dominant levels after the initial increase ($\sim 0.3$), after which it increases to EIR dominant levels ($\sim 0.45$) levels at $T_e < 0.8$ eV, which is different from the TCV case (figure 10 b). Therefore, the electron density may explain some, but not all of the difference between the MAST-U and TCV observations in [19, 20, 15, 3, 32].

Together with TCV’s higher electron densities, two other effects may explain the difference between these MAST-U and previous TCV observations. First, the PMI measurements on TCV remained peaked near the target as TCV divertor electron temperatures remained above 1 eV. Secondly, the $D_2/D$ ratio is $\sim 2.5$ times higher on MAST-U than TCV from the SOLPS-ITER simulations, discussed in Appendix A. Thus, PMI dominates over EIE at higher temperatures on MAST-U than on TCV. This, combined with higher electron densities in TCV, results in a significantly smaller PMI Balmer emission contributions on TCV (figure 10 d), although it should be noted that the general trends remain similar.

An important caveat regarding this analysis is that the divertor simulations for TCV used in this analysis did not have baffles installed. At this time, TCV has the option to install divertor baffles [33]. That should increase the higher neutral molecule and atom densities and may bring the TCV trends of figure 10 more in line with those shown for MAST-U. This prediction requires further investigation.

In conclusion, including PMI is important for the modelled TCV conditions to obtain MAR estimates as well as a better estimation of the ionisation source during deep detached conditions [15, 14, 3]. In contrast, including PMI in any MAST-U

♯ MAI is found to be negligible and exhibits a similar trend as the electron-impact dissociation rate. †† Figure 10 shows the total number of MAD reactions, which is significantly smaller than the number of neutral atoms created from plasma-molecule interactions, which is 1-2 (2-3) per reaction for MAD (MAR).
divertor analysis is essential even for basic ionisation estimates from Balmer emission spectroscopy.

4.2. Usage of the Fulcher emission region to identify movements in the ionisation front

Section 4.1 described a correlation between the temperatures, where the Fulcher band emits, and where electron-impact excitation and atomic ionisation occurs. This provides potential to use the Fulcher band brightness along the divertor leg as a diagnostic for the temperature regime and as an identifier for the detachment front edge.

First, the suitability of using the Fulcher band brightness profile along the divertor leg as a temperature diagnostic is investigated by modelling the Fulcher band brightness using the SOLPS-ITER scalings from section 4.1 (derived in Appendix A). The Fulcher brightness leads to a peaked profile with a trailing (low $T_e$) edge (figure 10 c, d). Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation is used to probe a large uncertainty in the slope of the derived $\Delta \ln D_2$ trend, see Appendix C. The cold edge of the Fulcher brightness as function of $T_e$ is analysed for the Monte Carlo sample, providing a possible $T_e$ window as function of position along the trailing edge, figure 11. This information can be used as a temperature constraint for the 1.3 - 3.4 eV range (MAST-U). Below / above this range, it can instead provide a maximum / minimum temperature constraint, respectively. The narrow uncertainties obtained in figure 11 and the similarity between the curves from MAST-U and TCV suggests it may be possible to infer a rough estimate of the electron temperature using the Fulcher band emission brightness profile along the divertor leg.

We investigate the suitability of using the Fulcher emission brightness as a diagnostic for the ionisation region by applying a synthetic diagnostic, for both the line-integrated Fulcher band emission brightness ($\propto \text{ph/m}^2\text{/s}$) and the atomic ionisation source ($\text{ion/m}^2\text{/s}$), to SOLPS-ITER simulations using all spectroscopic viewing chords (DSS on TCV [19] and DMS on MAST-U (figure 2)). The two are plotted as function of each other in figure 12, displaying a strong correlation, particularly for decaying levels of the ionisation source (corresponding to lower temperatures ($T_e \ll 5 \text{ eV}$)). This correlation is found for both MAST-U (density scan and seeded cases) and TCV (density scan). The correlation over a density scan for TCV and MAST-U are almost identical despite the different plasma conditions; molecular / neutral densities and chordal integrals. During $N_2$ seeding, however, the obtained correlation is different.

One caveat to this analysis lies in the difference in mean free path for atoms and molecules. Although they both become larger than the divertor leg dimension during detached regimes, in attached conditions (for the studied regimes) the neutral atom mean free path is of a similar order to the divertor size while that of molecules is significantly smaller. Therefore, the correlation between the Fulcher emission region and the ionisation region is expected to worsen in higher temperature conditions (observed in figure 12). A second caveat is that the analysis is sensitive to the Fulcher band brightness emission coefficient, here obtained from AMJUEL [23], which requires further investigation in the future.
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Figure 11. Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation analysis linking the trailing edge of the Fulcher emission profile (between 1 and 80% of its peak intensity) to a possible temperature range (68% confidence). Below/above this range, it can provide a maximum / minimum temperature constraint, respectively.

If the Fulcher emission region could become a proxy for the ionisation region, this could be used as a real-time observer in conjunction with filtered camera imaging [34, 35, 18] to control the ionisation region in real-time, which would be a major step in detachment control.

4.3. Implications of our findings for detachment ‘front’ location studies and real-time detachment control

Detachment is a combination of processes that occur in the divertor volume. One method of investigating these processes volumetrically is to ascribe a spatial ‘extent’ to detachment and define a detachment front location \( L_p \), which can be tracked as detachment evolves [7, 4, 36, 37]. Real-time detachment control can be facilitated by tracking \( L_p \) and acting upon the fuelling/seeding in real-time feedback to move \( L_p \) towards a required value [34, 35]. Analytic models provide predictions for \( L_p \) that can be compared against experiments, as function of magnetic divertor geometry in steady-state, in terms of a single or set of multiple ‘physical’ control parameter(s) \( S \), such as upstream electron density, heat flux and impurity fraction [4].

The detachment front, however, is not well defined [4] and investigations on how it should be defined and diagnosed are ongoing. Examples of ‘fronts’ used for tracking detachment include: 1) the \( CIII \) (465 nm) front location [7, 34], which physically
represents a broad possible electron temperature region (5-11 eV - depending on carbon impurity transport). 2) The total radiation front location [38, 39], that appears to be well correlated with the CIII front on TCV as the radiation in (non-seeded) scenarios is dominated by carbon impurities [7]. 3) The Dα emission front, which is assumed to be a proxy for the ionisation front [10, 11], which cannot be substantiated without additional information. Although these three examples are, experimentally, straightforwardly to track, they are not necessarily directly correlated to detachment [36, 4].

Our analysis on MAST-U suggests the presence of a wide operational regime for detachment in the Super-X configuration, exhibiting four phases of detachment. Each phase starts with the formation and movement of a front of a certain process from the target upstream. All these fronts move from the target at higher densities (and lower temperatures) than the the CIII (465 nm) front.

The detachment process seems to start with the movement of the ionisation region of the target (phase I), in agreement with published TCV studies [20, 14]. The difficulty of using the ionisation region to track the detachment front is, however, in diagnosing the ionisation region. ITER plans to use the Dα emission front (or, if unsuccessful, hydrogen atomic emission spectroscopy) to determine the ionisation front [10]. Our work

Figure 12. The chordal-integrated (atomic) ionisation source plotted as function of the chordal-integrated Fulcher emissivity (a.u.) using synthetic diagnostics applied to SOLPS-ITER simulations (5 simulations TCV, density scan [31]; 15 simulations MAST-U (density scan) [30] and 19 simulations MAST-U (N2 seeding scan) [30]. The experimental spectroscopy chord descriptions of TCV (32 lines of sight, pre-baffles [19]) and MAST-U (40 lines of sight, figure 2, no interleaving) have been used to determine the result.
shows: 1) one cannot assume $D\alpha$ is dominated by EIE without further information and; 2) if PMI is sufficiently strong, the ionisation region cannot be inferred from hydrogen Balmer emission spectroscopy alone as EIE and PMI cannot be distinguished. This is supported by results from TCV \[15\,14\]; JET \[42\,43\] and MAST-U, where it was shown that molecules contribute strongly to the $D\alpha$ emission. Section \[4.2\] showed that tracking the $D_2$ Fulcher band emission may, instead, be a suitable proxy for the ionisation region (possibly in combination with atomic hydrogen spectroscopy), meritorious of further study.

Additional processes occur beyond the ionisation front movement (phase I detachment) during deeper detachment, which can also be tracked: 1) the MAR front, which can be tracked using the $D\alpha$ emission on MAST-U (phase II detachment); 2) the EIR emission "high temperature"-end, which can be monitored by tracking any increase in Balmer line ratio (phase III detachment); 3) the electron-density front, i.e. tracking the "cold"-end of the high-n ($n \geq 7$) Balmer line emission region (phase IV detachment). Each front corresponds to a different process and temperature regime and thus changing the tracked front implies changing the tracked physics process.

Our work has shown that a single detachment front identifier in the divertor chamber is insufficient to track the entire detached operational range of the MAST-U Super-X divertor. For example, the ionisation front leaves the divertor chamber before the divertor reaches its deepest detached phase. Therefore, the detachment window cannot be measured on MAST-U using diagnostics that operate (solely) in the MAST-U divertor chamber (DMS, MWI) as only 60 % of the distance from target to x-point is monitored. Instead, tracking the entire detached operational range of the MAST-U Super-X divertor requires multiple detachment front identifiers. That will, thus, change the tracked physics process. Adding additional diagnostics with X-point views to MAST-U, such as a second multi-wavelength imaging system as well as operating at higher elongation, would ameliorate this issue.

### 4.4. Implications, relevance and accuracy of our findings

An important caveat to the presented analysis is the assumption that the plasma is optically thin. This could not be verified experimentally without divertor VUV spectroscopy. If the plasma is not optically thin, it could greatly impact the analysis results, as explained in \[14\,15\], and could alter both the reaction rates as well as the emission coefficients \[43\,44\]. Although photon opacity may occur in MAST-U conditions \[3\,45\], it is expected to occur at much higher powers and electron densities \[43\]. However, this will require further monitoring in the future.

The achievement of the low temperature regimes has implications for requirements on atomic/molecular data development. By default, ADAS data is available down to 0.2 eV \[28\,46\]. This results in additional uncertainty in the atomic/molecular physics coefficients used in our BaSPMI analysis, which employs nearest neighbour extrapolation (section \[Appendix D\]). The appearance of low electron temperatures also has implications
for plasma-edge codes such as SOLPS-ITER that employs both ADAS and AMJUEL data.

Our results indicate that plasma-molecular interactions, have a particularly strong impact on the MAST-U hydrogen emission: the clear transition between EIE and PMI Balmer line emission suggests a clear transition between an ionising plasma regime and one dominated by MAR and MAD (figure 6). One concern is that such interactions are not fully included in SOLPS-ITER simulations: interactions with molecular ions in a deuterium plasma are essentially negligible for the default SOLPS-ITER reaction set-up is used [3]. Such interactions not only contribute as an ion and energy sink, but are also the dominant neutral source between $\sim 0.4$ eV and $\sim 3.5$ eV, based on simplified modelling (section 4.1), where electron impact dissociation is diminished and EIR is not a strong source of neutrals. Therefore, including the corrected reaction rates for molecular processes is also important for modelling the neutral atom content.

Even when including such interactions through modified reaction rates, the vibrational distribution of the molecules, which strongly impacts PMI rates, is not modelled in SOLPS-ITER. Instead, a simplified model is employed to model the vibrational distribution based on the local plasma parameters ($n_e, T_e$) [23, 47]. The vibrational distribution $D_2(\nu)$ obtained using the reaction set included within Eirene deviates significantly from that of Yacora [48, 49] as was shown in [22]. Additional effects, such as interactions between the molecules and the walls, as well as transport of vibrationally excited molecules [22], may lead to further uncertainties in the vibrational distribution. The vibrational distribution must, therefore, be investigated in more detail, both in general and, more specifically, for MAST-U through both simulations and experiments.

As plasma-edge simulations extrapolate our understanding to reactor-class devices, predictions of the impact of molecular effects for reactors are highly uncertain. Applying the simplified emission modelling from section 4.1 to a $n_e = 10^{21} m^{-3}$ plasma with MAST-U SOLPS-ITER scalings shows an increase of the EIR/MAR ratio. Nevertheless, MAR still dominates over EIR and ionisation between 0.7 and 2 eV. The neutral atom source from MAR and MAD is dominant between 0.5 to 3.5 eV. Whether MAR and MAD contribute significantly below the ionisation region in reactors warrants further study.

5. Conclusions

Detachment of the MAST-U Super-X divertor can be described by four phases: First, the ionisation front detaches away from the target, leading to the formation of a region where the molecular density increases and these molecules become vibrationally excited. The resulting molecular ions ($D_2^+$ and/or $D_2^- \rightarrow D^- + D$) subsequently react with the plasma through Molecular Activated Recombination and Dissociation (MAR/MAD), which generates excited atoms that emit atomic hydrogen line emission. Secondly, the peak in hydrogen line emission from those plasma-molecular interactions detaches from the
target, leaving a region where the plasma is too cold to promote molecular ion generation ($T_e \leq \sim 0.7 \text{ eV}$). Thirdly, strong contributions of electron-ion recombination to the hydrogen line emission start to develop. Finally, the emissive contributions from electron-ion recombination start to detach from the target, suggesting the displacement of an electron density front ($T_e \leq 0.2 \text{ eV}$). This description was motivated through quantitative analysis and by simulating the expected Balmer line emission behaviour using a simplified emission model. Evidence of strongly sub-eV temperatures is presented during phases 3 and 4, with preliminary evidence of $T_e < 0.2 \text{ eV}$. The electron density is modest throughout phases 1-3 ($n_e < 2 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$), but descends to levels of $n_e \ll 1 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^3$ in phase IV below the density front.

We have demonstrated the utility of using $D_2$ Fulcher emissivity and shown that it can be used as a temperature indicator and possibly as a proxy for the ionisation region. That information, combined with spectroscopic analysis, facilitated separating the electron-impact excitation emission from emission arising from excited hydrogen atoms after molecular break-up involving molecular ions. As the contribution of plasma-molecule interactions to the hydrogen Balmer line emission is particularly strong for MAST-U, accounting for this in the interpretation of hydrogen emission diagnostics is critical, which has implications for diagnostic analysis as well as for the development of observers for tracking the detachment front. Plasma-molecule interactions that involve MAR and MAD appear to play a strong role in the detached MAST-U Super-X divertor.
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Appendix A. Simplified emission modelling

The simplified emission trends shown in figure [10] have been modelled using scalings obtained from SOLPS-ITER simulations for the behaviour of the molecular density, neutral atom density and the respective pathlengths as function of $T_e$. Using those scalings with a plasma slab model (such as employed by BaSPMI [15, 16, 19]), the hydrogen line brightnesses for electron-impact excitation (EIE), electron-ion recombination (EIR) and plasma-molecule interactions (PMI) can be calculated as indicated in equation (A.1), where $f_{\Delta Ln_{n_e}}$, $f_{\Delta L_{rec}}$ and $f_{\Delta Ln_{D2/n_e}}$ are the scalings used. Those scalings are analogously derived as in [16].

$$
B_{n_{-2}}^{EIE} = n_e^2(\Delta L_{n_e})PEC_{n_{-2}}^{EIE}(n_e, T_e) = n_e^2f_{\Delta Ln_{D/n_e}}(T_e)PEC_{n_{-2}}^{EIE}(n_e, T_e) \\
B_{n_{+2}}^{EIR} = n_e^2(\Delta L)PEC_{n_{+2}}^{EIR}(n_e, T_e) = n_e^2f_{\Delta L_{rec}}(T_e)PEC_{n_{+2}}^{EIR}(n_e, T_e) \\
B_{n_{0}}^{PMI} = n_e^2(\Delta L_{n_{D2}/n_e})PEC_{n_{+2}}^{PMI}(n_e, T_e) = n_e^2f_{\Delta Ln_{D2/n_e}}(T_e)PEC_{n_{+2}}^{PMI}(n_e, T_e) \\
$$

($A.1$)

$f_{\Delta Ln_{n_e}}$, $f_{\Delta L_{rec}}$ and $f_{\Delta Ln_{D2/n_e}}$ have been obtained using synthetic diagnostic techniques employed to both TCV as well as MAST-U SOLPS-ITER simulations, which provide us with a synthetic brightness ”measurement” of $B_{n_{-2}}^{EIE}$, $B_{n_{+2}}^{EIR}$ and $B_{n_{0}}^{PMI}$. Using the $D_\alpha$ emissivity weighted $n_e$ and $T_e$ along each line of sight, $f_{\Delta Ln_{D/n_e}}$, $f_{\Delta L_{rec}}$ and $f_{\Delta Ln_{D2/n_e}}$ can be calculated for every diagnostic chord and every SOLPS-ITER simulation. For MAST-U both the V1 and V2 views have been used to compute these relations (figure 2). Using the obtained database for those parameters for TCV (density
scan) and MAST-U (density scan and \(N_2\) seeding), a linear fit in logarithmic space \([50, 15]\) is performed through the obtained database for \(f_{\Delta \text{Ln}n_e}\), \(f_{\Delta \text{LnD}/n_e}\) and \(f_{\Delta \text{L}D_2/n_e}\) to obtain the used scalings, shown in equation \(A.2\) and figure \(A1\) as function of temperature.

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_{\Delta \text{Ln}D/n_e}(T_e) &= 10^{1.4721 - 1.126 \log 10(T_e)} \\
 f_{\Delta \text{L}D_2/n_e}(T_e) &= 10^{2.7442 - 0.658 \log 10(T_e)} \\
 f_{\Delta \text{L}D_2/n_e}(T_e) &= 10^{1.9762 - 1.7075 \log 10(T_e)} \\
 f_{\Delta \text{L}D_2/n_e}(T_e) &= 10^{0.2609} \\
 f_{\Delta \text{L}rec}(T_e) &= 10^{-1.0224} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The emission coefficients were obtained using ADAS \([28, 46]\) (\(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\) & \(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\)) and Yacora (on the Web) \([49, 48, 51]\) (\(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\)). \(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\) is an effective emission coefficient which accounts for interactions involving \(D_2, D_2^+\) and \(D^-\): \(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\) = \(n_{D_2}^+\) \(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\) (\(n_e, T_e\)) + \(n_{D_2}^-\) \(PEC_{PMI}^{P} \rightarrow 2\) (\(n_e, T_e\)), where \(n_{D_2}^+\) and \(n_{D_2}^-\) are the ratios between the \(D_2^+\) and \(D^-\) densities to the \(D_2\) density, respectively. Those ratios are modelled as function of \(n_e\) and \(T_e\) using AMJUEL \([23, 21, 15, 3]\) (H12 2.2.0c and H11 7.2.0c respectively). However, those AMJUEL rates are specifically derived for hydrogen and not deuterium. Following previous work \([21]\), the hydrogen rates are remapped to the deuterium rates by multiplying them with 0.95 and 0.7 respectively. One caveat is that the obtained ratios are highly sensitive to the distribution of vibrational states, for which a simplified model is employed to create those AMJUEL rates. Different results may be obtained with a more realistic model \([3, 52]\).

The Fulcher brightness (arbitrary units) is modelled using \(\propto n_{D_2}P_{\text{Fulcher}}(n_e, T_e)\), where the Fulcher emission coefficient is obtained from AMJUEL \([23]\) (H12 2.2.5fl).

Appendix B. Analysis of EIR contribution to high-n Balmer lines

This consistency check, however, assumes that the emission of high-n Balmer lines (e.g. \(n \geq 9\)) is dominated by electron-ion recombination. To challenge this assumption, we post-process the BaSPMI analysis output from \# 45371 to estimate 1) the total high-n Balmer line intensities; 2) the contribution of plasma-molecular interactions to high-n (\(n \geq 9\)) Balmer lines (figure \(B1\)). This indicates that the high-n (\(n \geq 9\)) Balmer line emission may, at most, include a 10\% contribution from plasma-molecular interactions during the detachment phase IV. Although the contribution of plasma-molecular interactions to the \(n = 9\) Balmer line is larger before EIR commences, the contribution of the \(n = 9\) Balmer line emission is at most \(10^{17}\) phm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). This corresponds to below the detection threshold of our instrument.
Appendix C. Fulcher constraint calculation

The Fulcher profile as function of $T_e$ are computed using the simplified emission modelling in Appendix A. The resultant emission profile as function of temperature will peak at a certain temperature and decay towards lower temperatures. These profiles are normalised with respect to their peak and the temperatures at the decaying (low $T_e$) end of the profile, corresponding to 1 % to 80 % of the peak brightness, are recorded. The reason this range is used is that close to the peak brightness, the difference between the peak and the reference point could be too small to comfortably detect the reference point. Likewise, below a certain point, the signal to noise ratio likely prohibits comfortably detecting the correct reference point. To reduce the sensitivity of this method to a density evolution as function of $T_e$, we divide the Fulcher band brightness by the electron temperature ($B_{Fulcher}/n_e \propto \frac{n_e \Delta L n D_2 PEC_{Fulcher}(n_e,T_e)}{n_e} = \Delta L n D_2 PEC_{Fulcher}(n_e,T_e)$). This leads to a $T_e$ curve as function of % of the $B_{Fulcher}(n_e)\left(T_e\right)$ profile (at a single $n_e$).

Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation (similar to BaSPMI analysis \cite{16,15}) is applied where a $\pm (1 + 0.4)$ uncertainty is applied to slope of the $n D_2 \Delta L$ scaling (in log-log space where 0.4 is the difference in slope in log-log space between the MAST-U and TCV scalings (equation A.2)) and a density range of $10^{18} - 2 \cdot 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$ is assumed. A random uncertainty of 0.5 eV is applied to the recorded temperature corresponding to a certain fraction of the peak brightness (e.g. the $T_e$ vs % of the $B_{Fulcher}(n_e)\left(T_e\right)$ profile curve - figure \ref{figure:11}). A kernel density estimator is used to map the resultant distributions to probability density distributions, from which the most likely value as well as the lower/upper bounds spanning a 68 % confidence interval are extracted, leading to the curves shown in figure \ref{figure:11}.

Figure A1. Scalings of $f_{\Delta L n D/n_e}^{\text{MAST-U}}(T_e)$, $f_{\Delta L n D/n_e}^{\text{TCV}}(T_e)$, $f_{\Delta L n D_2/n_n}^{\text{MAST-U}}$, $f_{\Delta L n D_2/n_n}^{\text{TCV}}$, $f_{\Delta L_{rec}}^{\text{MAST-U}}$, $f_{\Delta L_{rec}}^{\text{TCV}}$ obtained from SOLPS-ITER \cite{31,30} using synthetic diagnostics \cite{16,15,53} as function of their respective temperatures (excitation temperature for EIE, PMI and recombination temperature for EIR).
Appendix D. BaSPMI analysis set-up

BaSPMI [15] has been used in this work to separate the $D\alpha$ emission profile into its various atomic and molecular contributions (figure 5). The BaSPMI analysis is performed using the measured brightnesses shown in figure 5 ($n = 3, 5, 6$ Balmer line emission). Instead of $D\beta$ measurements (which are not available for this specific discharge), the separation between $D^+_2$ and $D^-$ is modelled using AMJUEL [23] (similar to the emission model used in Appendix A).

To facilitate these preliminary BaSPMI calculations on MAST-U, various simplifying assumptions are made. First, instead of estimating $\Delta L$ based using the width of the...
ion saturation current profile near the target, an assumed width near the target of 10 cm is used (from the separatrix towards the low-field-side). $\Delta L$ is then computed by calculating the intersections between the line of sight and the flux surfaces corresponding to this width. The lower/upper ranges of $\Delta L$ (68 % confidence interval) are set to 33 and 133 % of $\Delta L$, respectively, and are used in the uncertainty propagation. Secondly, given the low electron densities on MAST-U and the fact that for # 45371 a medium spectral resolution setting was used to measure the n=5,6 Balmer lines simultaneously, a large uncertainty is assigned to the Stark broadening inferred electron densities of $2 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ (68 % confidence interval). To ensure that this does not lead to erroneous high electron density, an upper electron density cap of $3 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ is introduced with a lower cut-off at $2 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$. The inferred electron densities for # 45371 are around $0.8 - 2.5 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, which are somewhat higher than those obtained from divertor Thomson scattering ($0.5 - 1 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$).

To employ BaSPMI, the Fulcher band has been used to provide an excitation temperature constraint (section 4.2 and Appendix C). This is achieved by using the trailing end of the Fulcher brightness profile along the various spectroscopic chords, which are normalised with respect to the peak. After the peak of the Fulcher band brightness has left the spectroscopic view, the last known peak intensity is used for the normalisation. Using the probability density functions derived in section 4.2 and Appendix C, weights are computed for each solution determined by BaSPMI. Above/below the 80/1 % regions of the peak (of the trailing edge) of the Fulcher band emission region, the obtained PDFs are used to obtain a maximum/minimum temperature constraint, respectively. To obtain these constraints, the PDFs at the edge 80 / 1 % of the Fulcher profile peak are used up until the peak of the PDF - above / below the peak of the PDF, the same weight that corresponds to the peak of the PDF is used.

The part of the analysis that obtains an estimate of the recombinative temperature $T_e^R$ had to be altered as the recombinative temperatures on MAST-U seem to be below the ADAS limit of 0.2 eV. As such, no suitable temperatures could be found to explain the measured recombinative emission brightness during conditions where strong recombinative emission occurs. As opposed to filtering out these results from the Monte Carlo sample, $T_e^R$ has been set to 0.2 eV in this regime.

Given the high contribution of plasma-molecule interactions to the hydrogen emission lines on MAST-U, the BaSPMI analysis techniques, at times, struggled to obtain reasonable solutions. To facilitate filling in the solution space which is covered by the likely $T_e$ range obtained by the Fulcher prior, the initial conditions of the analysis were altered. The initial condition used originally, is that plasma-molecule interactions do not contribute to the medium-n Balmer line emission and the analysis is performed until a converged result is obtained (which has a self-consistent split of both the medium-n Balmer lines and $D\alpha$ in terms of atomic and molecular contributions). Currently, the initial condition uses a different contribution of plasma-molecule interactions to the each of the two medium-n Balmer lines to increase the Balmer line ratio of the atomic contribution to the medium-n Balmer lines to levels expected of a plasma where
electron-ion recombination dominates over electron-impact excitation - at the point where electron-ion recombination occurs.

Appendix D.1. Bayesian to BaSPMI comparison

To verify these modifications to the BaSPMI analysis, a stand-alone fully Bayesian analysis methodology has been used as well using the same emission model as BaSPMI and using priors for the excitation emission temperature based on the Fulcher band; \(n_e\) based on Stark broadening; \(\Delta L\) based on the BaSPMI input for \(\Delta L\) same constraints; a constraint that the excitation emission temperature should be larger than the recombination emission temperature [10]. Uncertainties in the emission coefficients are not employed in the Bayesian analysis, but are used in BaSPMI (10 % for atomic PECs and 20 % for molecular PECs). The measurements used in this Bayesian approach are the \(n = 5\) brightness, the \(n = 6/5\) Balmer line ratio and the \(n = 3/5\) Balmer line ratio (using assumed non-correlated uncertainties of similar values as those used in BaSPMI). This is different from BaSPMI where correlated uncertainties for every brightness measurement are calculated from a multi-variate Gaussian distribution based on their absolute and relative uncertainty estimates. This results in a posterior distribution and rejection sampling is applied to obtain the same sets of output data similar to BaPSMI. To keep the number of free parameters at 6, the Bayesian implementation only includes contributions from \(D^+_2\), \(D^-\), electron-impact excitation and electron-ion recombination (e.g. not \(D_2\)) and employs AMJUEL to model the relative contributions between \(D^+_2\) and \(D^-\). To reduce the computational effort needed, the sample size is reduced to 100 in the Bayesian analysis, whereas this is 30000 in BaSPMI (effective sample size (Kish) of 100 and 2500-8000, respectively).

This is then analysed in the same way as BaSPMI (e.g. using a kernel density estimator to estimate the maximum likelihood and uncertainty margins) to obtain the same parameters as those shown in figure 5 A I-IV, which is shown in figure D1 B I-IV. The obtained result is qualitatively in agreement with BaSPMI. However, a less clear separation between plasma-molecule interactions and electron-impact excitation is obtained in the full Bayesian version and the analysis performs more poorly (compared to BaSPMI) in electron-ion recombination dominant conditions, where the analysis suggests electron-impact excitation occurs near the target - which is nonphysical. The reason for the latter difference is that BaSPMI utilises the fact that the discharge is a fuelling scan: it assumes that \(F_{rec}\) [10] - the fraction of recombinative emission compared to the EIR + EIE Balmer line emission - cannot decrease during a discharge; which is something that cannot easily be implemented in a full Bayesian approach.

Additionally, the Bayesian analysis will struggle more with the fact that ADAS data is (currently) only available until 0.2 eV - therefore it cannot describe the EIR portion of the emission accurately (\(B_{n\to2}^{EIR,Bayesian} \approx n_e^2 \Delta LPEC_{n\to2}^{EIR}(n_e, T_e^R)\). This is less of a problem for BaSPMI as the EIR portion of the emission is characterised using the fraction of medium-n Balmer line emission due to plasma-molecule interactions.
Figure D1. Comparison of figure A I-IV obtained using BaSPMI and B I-IV obtained using an alternative Bayesian approach.
(f^{PMI}_{n\rightarrow2}) and the fraction of the atomic portion of the medium-n Balmer line emission due to EIR (F_{rec}^{n\rightarrow2}) - e.g. B^{EIR, BaSPMI}_{n\rightarrow2} = (1 - f^{mol}_{n\rightarrow2}) \times F_{rec}^{n\rightarrow2}B_{n\rightarrow2}. The Bayesian analysis compensates for this by increasing the n_e to $3 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$ (clearly too high when compared with $< 10^{19} m^{-3}$ values from DTS as well as $< 2 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$ values from Stark broadening) in the recombining regime while keeping $T_e^R$ at 0.3 eV.