Challenges and Opportunities of Edge AI for Next-Generation Implantable BMIs
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Abstract—Neuroscience and neurotechnology are currently being revolutionized by artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning. AI is widely used to study and interpret neural signals (analytical applications), assist people with disabilities (prosthetic applications), and treat underlying neurological symptoms (therapeutic applications). In this brief, we will review the emerging opportunities of on-chip AI for the next-generation implantable brain machine interfaces (BMIs), with a focus on state-of-the-art prosthetic BMIs. Major technological challenges for the effectiveness of AI models will be discussed. Finally, we will present algorithmic and IC design solutions to enable a new generation of AI-enhanced and high-channel-count BMIs.

Index Terms—Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Brain Machine Interface (BMI), hardware efficiency.

I. INTRODUCTION

Globally, millions of people suffer from severe motor disabilities such as paralysis and stroke. In order to bring disabled people back to their normal lives, a wide variety of brain-machine interfaces (BMIs) are being developed at the cutting edge of neurotechnology and neuroscience. In general, BMIs are considered as systems that close the loop from sensing to action (e.g., from vision/touch to reach/grasp). To realize this goal, an implantable BMI records one or more types of neural signals from the brain. Considering the trade-off between spatiotemporal resolution and invasiveness, the intracortical and cortical recordings of brain activity are widely used in BMI applications [1, 2]. Next, data processing and artificial intelligence (AI) techniques can be used to extract task-relevant informative content in the form of a movement intention or a marker of brain malfunction (e.g., brain injury). Finally, the extracted information is used to generate an actuation command to move an artificial or natural limb, or a stimulation command to modulate the brain activity.

From the standpoint of application, BMIs can be categorized into analytical, prosthetic, and therapeutic systems (Fig. 1). Analytical BMIs are utilized to study brain activity, function, or connectivity. Thanks to the recent success of AI in analyzing high-dimensional data, it is widely used in such studies ranging from cell-level (e.g., spike sorting) to cognitive-level (e.g., neural coding). The research goal is to discover the brain mechanism or dynamics underlying sensory perception, or to uncover brain intention for a specific action. Prosthetic BMIs allow subjects to perform daily tasks such as movement [1] or typing [2]. Such BMIs can employ corticomotor activities to control natural limbs via neuromuscular or spinal cord stimulation. Another type of prosthetic BMIs stimulates the somatosensory cortex in order to restore sensory feedback [1]. Through modulation of the nervous system, therapeutic BMIs aim at restoring lost brain functions and treating symptoms (e.g., memory enhancement, seizure or pain suppression).

In a conventional BMI, the recorded neural signals are transmitted to an external device for further processing, while an ‘on-implant’ AI unit performs this function in modern BMIs (Fig. 1). Future-generation BMIs will be miniaturized and ubiquitous prostheses, applicable to daily tasks and chronic use. Thus, designing hardware-efficient and compact implantable BMIs is of crucial importance. Such systems should obtain a high accuracy to be reliable for various prosthetic applications. In this paper, we will first discuss the emerging applications and critical challenges for designing modern implantable BMIs. Next, we will review the state-of-the-art BMI system-on-chips (SoCs) with on-chip AI. Finally, we will discuss novel algorithmic and circuit-level solutions to realize next-generation high-density and intelligent implantable BMIs.

II. MODERN BMIS WITH INTEGRATED AI

The recording capacity of implantable BMIs has grown rapidly over years, promising higher levels of proficiency and performance. For instance, Neuralink and Paradromics develop implantable BMIs with thousands of channels. Yet, local on-implant processing remains a challenge in many BMI directions. Developing high-performance, energy-efficient, and scalable AI techniques could enable a new generation of implantable BMIs with minimal need for data transmission, enhanced security and privacy, and higher independence. To achieve this goal, critical challenges at the algorithm and circuit levels must be addressed, as discussed below.
A. **BMI Effectiveness Metrics and Design Challenges**

The key dimensions for the effectiveness of AI models in the context of a BMI include (I) accuracy, (II) robustness, (III) online adaptability, (IV) interpretability, (V) computational speed, (VI) scalability, and (VII) hardware efficiency.

The decoding performance—often measured as classification or regression accuracy—needs to be as high as possible for clinically viable BMIs. ML models are susceptible to performance loss due to signal variability (e.g., noise). Therefore, the model needs to be robust to handle variations and guarantee reliability over time. In addition, online adaptability enables the model to adjust to non-stationary signal changes in chronic settings. Another emerging AI trend is to develop interpretable models that establish an explainable relationship between brain activity and the associated physical phenomena. Furthermore, BMI systems are desired to make predictions in real-time, with minimal time required for training. Thus, improving the training and inference speed of edge AI models is critical.

Enhancing the recording capacity of modern BMIs will significantly increase the data dimensionality. Thus, an emerging challenge is the processing and decoding of neural data in high-channel-count BMIs with inevitably limited hardware resources and under strict power requirements near neural tissue. Thus, the hardware scalability of the model, i.e., its capacity to handle high-dimensional data without a significant increase in hardware cost (power, chip area) is critical for implantable BMIs. Increasing the neural data dimensionality may also increase the risk of model overfitting.

B. **State-of-the-art BMI SoCs**

AI-based methods have been utilized for spike sorting, detection of neurological symptoms (e.g., epileptic seizures), and motor intention decoding in a number of neural interface SoCs. Here, we focus on AI methods used for spike sorting and/or motor decoding in prosthetic BMIs.

The AI models used in the BMI domain generally aim at spike sorting and movement classification. A classic approach to solve a classification/clustering problem is to allocate each data point to the neighboring class with minimal distance. In such methods, the distance/proximity metric could be the Manhattan (l1-norm) distance, or cosine similarity and template matching are the distance-based methods widely used for spike sorting. For instance, wavelet features were extracted and used to cluster spikes based on the l1-norm distance. The chip consumed 56.9\(\mu W\)/ch and occupied a silicon area of 0.08mm\(^2\)/ch. Similarly, local extrema were detected from neural signal and their adjacent samples were selected and classified using the Bayes optimal template matching (BOTM). This spike sorter consumed 19.0\(\mu W\)/ch and 0.0175mm\(^2\)/ch. An integer-coefficient filter was used for feature extraction from spikes in, followed by feature selection and clustering with a simplified K-means algorithm. Thanks to the dimensionality reduction and ultra-low-voltage SRAM usage, the fabricated spike sorter only consumed 0.175\(\mu W\)/ch and 0.003mm\(^2\)/ch, albeit at the cost of a degraded accuracy.

More recently, an analog implementation of the first and second derivative extrema (FSDE) for feature extraction as well as K-means were reported for spike sorting. Hardware-efficient classification models such as window discrimination (WD) have also been reported for BMIs, where a hyperrectangle discrimination window is assigned to each individual class (Fig. 2b). A discrimination window is composed of two decision boundaries for each data dimension, simply implemented by a few digital comparators. Similarly, decision tree (DT)-based models classify the data with a set of successive comparisons and achieve excellent energy efficiencies. Fig. 2c). Rather than using a single feature per node as in conventional axis-parallel DTs, an oblique decision tree (OT) uses a linear combination of features per node, thus forming a more accurate, oblique boundary for...
movement classification [14] or spike sorting [15] (Fig. 2(d)).

Neural networks-based models have also been used in BMI applications [3], [4], [16]. In [3], a brain-inspired spiking neural network (SNN) was implemented to classify stimulation-evoked brain activity. The SNN classifier contained integrate-and-fire interconnected neurons that mimic the micro-scale property of the neuronal network. The chip consumed 250µW/ch and 3.213mm²/ch. In [4], an extreme learning machine (ELM) was employed to classify finger movements in monkeys. The ELM is a single hidden-layer feedforward network that performs a random projection of the inputs through a nonlinear hidden layer and generates the classification results through a linear output layer. The nonlinear hidden layer was implemented on-chip, while the linear output layer was implemented off-chip via a commercial microcontroller. The chip achieved an excellent power consumption and silicon area of 0.0032µW/ch and 0.191mm²/ch. Alternatively, a binarized neural network (BNN) with binary weights and activation function was reported as a hardware-efficient model in [17]. Moreover, combing a DT structure with a highly-pruned neural network led to a lightweight NeuralTree model for finger movement classification from human ECoG [10]. The model comprised sparsely-connected internal nodes with fewer computations and memory needs compared to conventional OTs. Fabricated in a 65nm process, the on-chip decoder consumed 4.23µW/ch and 0.0187mm²/ch.

In addition to the classifiers discussed above, a number of AI methods seek efficient, minimal data representation to reduce hardware complexity. Namely, salient feature selection selects a small number of salient features that achieve the highest class discrimination from other classes for on-implant spike sorting [13], thus improving the hardware efficiency. Table I summarizes the details of the state-of-the-art BMIs with on-chip AI. Designs with spike sorting only, or spiking rate as input, may need additional blocks for movement classification and spiking rate extraction, respectively.

III. AI ALGORITHM AND HARDWARE SOLUTIONS FOR NEXT-GENERATION BMIs

Neuronal spiking rate is commonly used as the input to intracortical BMIs, requiring a complex spike sorting phase. Recent studies, however, show that simple threshold-crossing rate (without sorting) can lead to successful decoding of motor intentions. Although the decoding accuracy with spike sorting is generally higher, the low complexity threshold-crossing method can be beneficial in certain applications [18]. In addition, the extraction of spiking band power (i.e., the power within 0.3–1kHz) was shown useful in simplifying the decoding pipeline for low-power BMIs [19].

To date, various hardware-algorithm co-design solutions have been introduced to shrink the AI models and improve hardware efficiency, such as network pruning and weight quantization [13], [14]. Similarly, SNN models represent the data with a binary stream of spiking events and facilitate hardware implementation of NNs. Another effective solution for reducing the hardware cost is to introduce a power-aware regularization term in the decoder’s objective function [10].

A recent AI trend is to implement the training algorithm in tandem with the inference model on chip [9], [8], [20]. Such online (i.e., adaptive) ML approaches could enable autonomous BMIs with minimal need for recalibration, at the cost of extra hardware resources required for on-chip training. A. Toward Kinetic Trajectory Decoding in Implantable BMIs

While AI models have been implemented for discrete movement classification in BMIs, no SoC for continuous trajectory decoding has been reported so far (Table I). This could be due to the higher complexity of accurate regression models compared to binary or multi-class classifiers. Given the crucial role of continuous trajectory decoding to control prosthetic BMIs, here we introduce an algorithmic solution that transforms the regression problem into a classification task, with key advantages over conventional regressors.
However, for realizing implantable BMIs, the accuracy, training speed, and hardware complexity need to be considered simultaneously. Here, we transform the regression problem into a classification task by quantizing the kinematic signal (e.g., velocity) and solving a multi-class classification problem. We used PCA for dimensionality reduction, and a simple probabilistic SVM as the classifier. The model reconstructs the kinematic signal by multiplying the kinematic quanta (i.e., class labels) by the corresponding probabilities. In the second phase of training, we run a similar classifier to predict the reconstruction error. The proposed cascaded classification-based regressor (CCBR) generates the trajectory output by adding the predicted movement and error signals (Fig. 3(a)). This model can decode the first-order along with higher-order errors and converge to maximum performance with no need for hyperparameter tuning, thus reducing the training time.

**Low Sensitivity to Hyperparameters:** Reducing the sensitivity of the decoder to hyperparameters is crucial to improve the reliability and lower the need for retraining in an implantable BMI [22]. We tested the performance of CCBR on a monkey intracortical dataset recorded from dorsal premotor cortex during a reaching task [22], by varying various hyperparameters, including the number of principal components (PCs), SVM’s regularization factor (C), and quantization level (QL) of the movement signal (y). We observed that selecting the proper number of PCs, which indicates the input dimensionality, is sufficient to maximize the accuracy. Thus, the model performance is highly robust to hyperparameters (C and QL).

**Prediction Accuracy and Training Speed:** As shown in Fig. 3(b), compared to various decoders reported in [22], CCBR achieved the highest accuracy (82.7%) and one of the lowest variances (1%), proving its high reliability for neural decoding tasks. Although complex AI models (e.g., LSTM) may result in high performance, they often require a considerable training time. Thanks to the robustness of our proposed technique, we can replace the traditional methods for hyperparameter tuning (e.g., grid and random search) by error prediction and significantly reduce the model training time (Fig. 3(c)).

**B. High-Density BMI Design with On-Chip AI**

While the existing BMI SoCs have integrated a limited number of channels (and often lack the integration of AI with the analog front-end), high-density sensing is critical to improve the motor decoding accuracy for next-generation BMIs. One such design [10] implements a 256-channel highly-scalable SoC for low-noise ECoG/LFP sensing, multi-class movement classification, and closed-loop stimulation. The key idea is the use of a highly-pruned energy-aware AI model including the number of principal components (PCs), SVM’s regularization factor (C), and quantization level (QL) of the movement signal (y). We observed that selecting the proper number of PCs, which indicates the input dimensionality, is sufficient to maximize the accuracy. Thus, the model performance is highly robust to hyperparameters (C and QL).

![Fig. 4. Block diagram of a high-density BMI with on-chip AI.](image)
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