The loss value of multilinear regression
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Abstract. Determinant formulas are presented for: a certain positive semi-definite, hermitian matrix; the loss value of multilinear regression; the multiple linear regression coefficient.
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1. Euclidean distance by help of determinants

Introduction. We consider the euclidean norm \( x \mapsto \|x\| := \sqrt{x^*x} \) of column vectors \( x \in \mathbb{C}^m \). For a complex \( m \times n \)-matrix \( A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \) and a vector \( b \in \mathbb{C}^m \) we denote by \( (A|b) \) the \( m \times (n+1) \)-matrix \( A \) together with \( b \) as the last column. The following theorem is well-known in the real case and can be shown by help of a formula for the volume of an \( n \)-dimensional parallelepiped embedded in \( \mathbb{R}^m \). Here we offer a less known proof via QR-decomposition of a complex matrix.

Theorem 1. For the euclidean distance \( \text{dist}(A, b) \) between \( b \in \mathbb{C}^m \) and the column space of \( A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \) it holds:

\[
\text{dist}(A, b) \sqrt{\det(A^*A)} = \sqrt{\det((A|b)^*(A|b))}
\]

Proof. For a unitary \( m \times m \)-matrix \( Q \) it holds \( \text{dist}(A, b) = \text{dist}(QA, Qb) \) due to [1], thm. 2.1.4(g). According to [1], thm. 2.1.14(d) there is a unitary \( Q \) s.t. \( (A|b) = Q(R|c) \) with \( (R|c) \) upper right triangular. Hence \( \delta = \text{dist}(R, c) \) is the absolute value of the \( (n + 1) \)-th coordinate of \( c \). So we have

\[
\det((A|b)^*(A|b)) = \det((R|c)^*(R|c)) = \delta^2 \det(R^*R) = \delta^2 \det(A^*A).
\]

Since \( \det(A^*A) \geq 0 \) for arbitrary complex matrices \( A \), s. e.g. [1], thm. 4.1.5 & 7.2.7(a), the assertion follows. \( \square \)
Matrix equation for the distance. In case $A$ has full column rank $\text{rk}(A) = n$ we have $\det(A^*A) > 0$. Then the formula yields $\text{dist}(A,b)$ as a quotient of the two square root values. And by plugging the minimum point $x = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*b$ into $\|Ax - b\|^2$ we obtain

$$\det((A|b)^*(A|b))/\det(A^*A) = \text{dist}(A,b)^2 = b^*b - b^*A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*b.$$ 

Special determinant equation. Now, for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)\times n}$ let $A_i$ denote the matrix $A$ without its $i$-th row. Via developing $\det(A|a_j) = 0$ by the last column for every column $a_j$ of $A$ we see that the vector $b := \left((-1)^n\det(A_i)\right)_{i=1,...,n+1}$ is orthogonal to the column space of $A$. Applying Theorem 1 to $A$ and $b$ we obtain

**Corollary 2.** For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)\times n}$ holds the identity of $n \times n$-determinants:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\det(A_i)|^2 = \det(A^*A)$$

2. Loss value and correlation

Introduction. The task of *multiple linear regression* is the computation of regression coefficients $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ of the fitting hyperplane (in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$)

$$y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + ... + \alpha_n x_n$$

as a function of variables $x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ from (empirical) data points

$$(x_{11}, ..., x_{1n}, y_1), ..., (x_{m1}, ..., x_{mn}, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

s.t. the loss value

$$\delta := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{i1} + ... + \alpha_n x_{in} - y_i)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

is at minimum. For $a := (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)^t$, $y := (y_1, ..., y_m)^t$ and the matrix $(1|X)$ that we obtain from $X := (x_{ij})_{i\in\mathbb{N},j\in\mathbb{N}}$ by prepending $(1, ..., 1)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ as an extra column (of index 0) we have $\delta = \|(1|X)a - y\|$. So the minimal value of $\delta$ is the euclidean distance between $y$ and the column space of $(1|X)$.

Centering. In statistics it is common to express empirical values of expectation with help of the arithmetic mean $\bar{y} := (y_1 + ... + y_m)/m$ of a (sample) vector like $y$ above. A regression vector $a$ like described above is defined by the normal equation system

$$(1|X)^t(1|X)a = (1|X)^ty.$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

After division by $m$ the equation of row index 0 of equation (2.1) ends in

$$\bar{y} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \bar{x}_1 + ... + \alpha_n \bar{x}_n$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.2)
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where \( x_j \) denotes the \( j \)-th column of \( X \). We denote by \( \hat{y} := (y_1 - \bar{y}, ..., y_m - \bar{y})^t \) the centering of \( y \) and by \( \hat{X} \) the \( m \times n \)-matrix obtained from \( X \) by centering all its columns. Then the normal equations of row indices 1 to \( n \) of equation 2.1 are transformed to

\[
\hat{X}^t \hat{X} a_1 = \hat{X}^t \hat{y}, a_1 := (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)^t
\]  

(2.3)

by subtracting the \( \bar{x}_i \)-th multiple of equation 2.2 from the \( i \)-th normal equation for \( i = 1, ..., n \). This shows \( \text{rk}(1 | \hat{X}) = \text{rk}(\hat{X}) + 1 \).

**Theorem 3.** In case \( \text{rk}(1 | X) = n + 1 \) the loss value of the sample matrix \((X|y)\) equals

\[
\sqrt{\det \left( \left( \hat{X}|\hat{y} \right)^t \left( \hat{X}|\hat{y} \right) \right) / \det \left( (\hat{X})^t \hat{X} \right)}
\]

Proof. Expressing \( \alpha_0 \) in terms of the other regression coefficients by help of equation 2.2 gives us

\[
y - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 x_1 - ... - \alpha_n x_n = \hat{y} - \alpha_1 \hat{x}_1 - ... - \alpha_n \hat{x}_n.
\]

Hence the loss value is the euclidean distance between \( \hat{y} \) and the column space of \( \hat{X} \). Because \( \hat{X} \) has full rank the formula follows by Theorem 1. \( \square \)

**Correlation.** For the orthogonal projection \( p := (1|X)a \) of \( y \) onto the column space of \((1|X)\) it holds \( \hat{p} = \hat{X}a_1 \). So by equation 2.3 \( \hat{p} \) is the orthogonal projection of \( \hat{y} \) onto the column space of \( \hat{X} \). Hence in case \( \hat{y}, \hat{p} \neq 0 \) the angle between \( \hat{y} \) and \( \hat{p} \) is at most \( \pi/2 \). Therefore the multiple correlation coefficient

\[
\rho(X, y) := \hat{y}^t \hat{p} / \|\hat{p}\| / \|\hat{y}\|
\]

between \( y \) and \( X \) is non-negative. According to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it is at most 1. The latter theorem allows the computation of \( \rho(X, y) \) without the computation of \( p \), i.e. without performing the linear regression.

**Corollary 4.** For a sample vector \( y \in \mathbb{R}^m \) with \( \hat{y} \neq 0 \) and a sample matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) with \( \text{rk}(\hat{X}) = n \) it holds

\[
\rho(X, y) = \sqrt{1 - \det \left( \left( \hat{X}|\hat{y} \right)^t \left( \hat{X}|\hat{y} \right) \right) / \det \left( (\hat{X})^t \hat{X} \right) \hat{y}^t \hat{y}}
\]

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 3 by the Theorem of Pythagoras applied to \( \hat{y} / \|\hat{y}\| \) as the hypotenuse and \( \hat{p} / \|\hat{y}\| \) as a cathetus. \( \square \)

---

1. Then \((\hat{X}^t \hat{X})/(m-1)\) is the sample covariance matrix of the sample matrix \( X \). It serves as an estimator of the covariance matrix of the random vector \((X_1, ..., X_n)\) whose \( m \) samples are given by \( X \), row by row. With the additional random variable \( Y \) whose samples are represented by \( y \) the mean squared loss value \( \delta^2/(m-1) \) is an estimator of the expected value of the random variable \((Y - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 X_1 - ... - \alpha_n X_n)^2\); s. e.g. [2], Kap. 3.8!

2. The condition \( \hat{y} \neq 0 \) means a non-zero sample variance \((\hat{y}^t \hat{y})/(m-1)\) of \( y \).
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