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1. Introduction

Green’s relations, five equivalence relations based on mutual divisibility, are arguably the most important tools for analysing the structure of semigroups. It is natural to consider how each of Green’s relations on a semigroup relates to the corresponding relation on a subsemigroup. In general, they bear little resemblance to each other. However, Green’s relations $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ on a regular subsemigroup $T$ of a semigroup $S$ are the restrictions of the corresponding relations on $S$. If $T$ is an ideal of $S$, then the same is also true for Green’s relations $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ [7, Lemma 2.1]. In [5], East and Higgins investigated the inheritance of Green’s relations by subsemigroups in the presence of stability of elements. East also, in [6], characterised Green’s relations on principal one-sided ideals of an arbitrary semigroup, and then applied this theory to full transformation monoids and symmetric inverse monoids.

This article is concerned with the poset of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of a semigroup. (Two elements of a semigroup $S$ are $\mathcal{R}$-related if they generate the same principal right ideal, and the set of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $S$ is a poset under the natural preorder associated with $\mathcal{R}$.) The height of this poset is called the $\mathcal{R}$-height. The term $\mathcal{R}$-height first appeared in [9], in which the $\mathcal{R}$-height of certain finite transformation semigroups was considered. However, as alluded to in [9], this parameter plays an implicit role in the (right) Rhodes expansion of a semigroup, a powerful tool in complexity theory, as well as in similar constructions such as the cover expansion; see [1] and [8, Chapter XII].

The purpose of this article is to compare the $\mathcal{R}$-height of a semigroup with that of its bi-ideals, one-sided ideals and two-sided ideals. A bi-ideal of a semigroup $S$ is a subsemigroup $B$ such that $BSB \subseteq B$. This notion generalises that of one-sided (and hence two-sided) ideals. Bi-ideals were introduced by Good and Hughes in [10], and were then studied systematically by Lajos in [18] [20].

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we first present the necessary preliminary material, and then provide some basic results regarding chains of $\mathcal{R}$-classes. In Section 3, given a semigroup $S$ with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, we establish bounds on the $\mathcal{R}$-height of arbitrary bi-ideals, one-sided ideals and two-sided ideals of $S$. We then investigate in Section 4 whether these bounds can be attained.
2. Preliminaries

2.1. Definitions. Throughout this section, $S$ will denote a semigroup. We denote by $S^1$ the monoid obtained from $S$ by adjoining an identity if necessary (if $S$ is already a monoid, then $S^1 = S$).

A subset $A \subseteq S$ is said to be a right ideal of $S$ if $AS \subseteq I$. Left ideals are defined dually, and an ideal of $S$ is a subset that it is both a right ideal and a left ideal.

A right (resp. left) ideal $A$ of $S$ is said to be generated by $X \subseteq A$ if $A = XS^1$ (resp. $A = S^1X$). A right (resp. left) ideal is said to be finitely generated if it can be generated by a finite set, and principal if it can be generated by a single element.

Principal (one-sided) ideals lead to the well-known Green’s relations. For this article, we only require Green’s relation $R$ and its associated pre-order. Green’s preorder $\leq_R$ is defined by

$$a \leq_R b \iff aS^1 \subseteq bS^1,$$

and this yields the relation $R$: $a \mathrel{R} b \iff a \leq_R b$ and $b \leq_R a$.

It is easy to see that $R$ is an equivalence relation on $S$ that is compatible with left multiplication (i.e. it is a left congruence).

Following standard convention, we write $R_a$ to denote the $R$-class of an element $a \in S$. When we need to distinguish between Green’s relation $R$ on different semigroups, we will write the semigroup as a subscript, i.e. $R_S$ for $R$ on $S$. For convenience, we will write $\leq_S$ rather than $\leq_{R_S}$, and $a \leq_S b$ if $a \leq_R b$ but $(a, b) \notin R_S$.

Green’s pre-order $\leq_R$ induces a partial order on the set of $R$-classes of $S$, given by

$$R_a \leq R_b \iff a \leq_R b.$$

We note that the poset $S/R$ is isomorphic to the poset of principal right ideals of $S$ (under $\subseteq$). The $R$-height of $S$ is the height of the poset $S/R$. We denote the $R$-height of $S$ by $H_R(S)$.

The semigroup $S$ if said to be right simple if it has no proper right ideals, and $S$ is said to be simple if it has no proper ideals. Certainly right simple semigroups are simple.

A right ideal $A$ of $S$ is said to be minimal if there is no right ideal of $S$ properly contained in $A$. Minimal left ideals are defined dually. Similarly, an ideal $A$ is called minimal if it does not contain any other ideal of $S$. It turns out that, considered as semigroups, minimal right ideals are right simple [3, Theorem 2.4], and minimal ideals are simple [3, Theorem 1.1]. There is at most one minimal ideal of $S$; if it exists, we call it the kernel of $S$ and denote it by $K(S)$. On the other hand, $S$ may possess multiple minimal right ideals. If $S$ has a minimal right ideal, then $K(S)$ is equal to the union of all the minimal right ideals [3, Theorem 2.1]. A completely simple semigroup is a simple semigroup that possesses both minimal right ideals and minimal left ideals.

An element $a \in S$ is said to be regular if there exists $b \in S$ such that $a = aba$. We denote the set of regular elements of $S$ by $\text{Reg}(S)$. The semigroup $S$ is said to be regular if $S = \text{Reg}(S)$. It turns that for every regular element $a \in S$ there exists $b \in S$ such that $a = aba$ and $b = bab$; in this case, the element $b$ is said to be an inverse of $a$, and vice versa. If $S$ is regular and each of its elements has a unique inverse, then $S$ is called inverse.

One of the most useful means of constructing semigroups is via a presentation. We briefly discuss presentations here; we refer the reader to [11] for more information.

The free semigroup on a non-empty $X$, denoted by $X^+$, is the set of all words over $X$ under the operation of concatenation. A presentation is a pair $(X \mid R)$, where $X$ is a
non-empty set and $R$ is a binary relation on $X^+$. We call $R$ a set of defining relations, and we write $u = v$ for $(u, v) \in R$. A semigroup $S$ is defined by a presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ if it is isomorphic to $X^+/(R)_{\text{con}}$, where $(R)_{\text{con}}$ denotes the congruence generated by $R$ (that is, the smallest congruence on $X^+$ containing $R$).

Adjoining an empty word $\epsilon$ to $X^+$ yields the free monoid on $X$, denoted by $X^*$. Similarly, one can adjoin a zero to $X^+$ to obtain the free semigroup with zero on $X$, denoted by $X^0_+$. By replacing $X^+$ with $X^*$ or $X^0_+$ in the above definition of a presentation, one obtains the notion of a monoid presentation or a presentation of a semigroup with zero, respectively.

2.2. Elementary results. We now provide a few basic results that will be useful in the next section.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height. Then $S$ has maximal and minimal $\mathcal{R}$-classes. In particular, $S$ has a kernel.

**Proof.** Consider a chain of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $S$ of maximal length. Since $S$ has finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, this chain is finite and hence has both a maximal element and a minimal element. By the maximality of the length of the chain, it follows that $S$ has a maximal $\mathcal{R}$-class and a minimal $\mathcal{R}$-class. \qed

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $S$ be a semigroup. Then $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = 1$ if and only if $S$ is a union of minimal right ideals.

**Proof.** Clearly $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = 1$ if and only if every $\mathcal{R}$-class of $S$ is minimal, which is equivalent to $S$ being a union of minimal right ideals. \qed

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, and let $B$ be a bi-ideal of $S$. If $H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) \geq n$, then there exists a chain

$$b_1 <_B b_2 <_B \cdots <_B b_n$$

where $b_i \in K(S)$.

**Proof.** Since $H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) \geq n$, there exists a chain

$$a_1 <_B a_2 <_B \cdots <_B a_n.$$  

Since $S$ has finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, the kernel $K = K(S)$ exists by Lemma 2.1. If $a_1 \in K$, we just set $b_i = a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose then that $a_1 \notin K$. Since $BKB \subseteq B \cap K$, the intersection $B \cap K$ is non-empty. Choose $u \in B \cap K$ and let $b_1 = a_1 u$. Since $b_1 \in B^2$ and $B$ is a subsemigroup of $S$, we have that $b_1 \in B$. Also, we have that $b_1 \in a_1 K \subseteq K$, since $K$ is an ideal of $S$. Thus $b_1 \in B \cap K$. Clearly $b_1 \leq_B a_1$. In fact, we have that $b_1 <_B a_1$, for otherwise we would have $a_1 \in b_1 B \in K$. Thus, setting $b_{i+1} = a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\}$ yields the desired chain. \qed

An element $a \in S$ is said to have a local right identity (in $S$) if $a \in aS$ (that is, $a = ab$ for some $b \in S$). If $S$ is a monoid, a regular semigroup or a right simple semigroup, then every element has a local right identity.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $S$ be a semigroup and let $B$ be a bi-ideal of $S$. If $b, c \in B$ have local right identities in $B$, then $b \leq_B c$ if and only if $b \leq_S c$, and $b <_B c$ if and only if $b <_S v$.

**Proof.** If $b \leq_B c$ then clearly $b \leq_S c$. Suppose that $b \leq_S c$. Then $b = cs$ for some $s \in S^1$. Now, by assumption, there exist $u, v \in B$ such that $b = bu$ and $c = cv$. Then we have

$$b = bu = csu = c(vsu) \in cB,$$
using the fact that $B$ is a bi-ideal of $S$. Thus $b \leq_B c$.

Suppose that $b <_S c$. Then $b \leq_S c$. If $b \mathcal{R}_S c$, then $c \leq_S b$, and hence $c \leq_B b$ by the first part of the lemma, contradicting the fact that $b <_S c$. Thus $b <_S c$. The proof of the converse is similar.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with a completely simple kernel $K = K(S)$, and let $B$ be a bi-ideal of $S$. For any $b, c \in B \cap K$, we have $b \leq_B c$ if and only if $b \leq_S c$, and $b <_B c$ if and only if $b <_S c$.

**Proof.** We show that every element of $B \cap K$ has a local right identity in $B$, and the result then follows from Lemma 2.3. Consider $b \in B \cap K$. Since $b \in K$, we have that $b \mathcal{R}_S b^2$, so $b = b^2 s$ for some $s \in S^1$. Since $K$ is regular, there exists $x \in K$ such that $b = bxb$. Thus

$$b = b^2 sxb = b(bxxb) \in B,$$

so $b$ has a local right identity in $B$. □

### 3. Bounds on the $\mathcal{R}$-height of Bi-ideals

In general, the property of having finite $\mathcal{R}$-height is not inherited by subsemigroups. For example, the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ has $\mathcal{R}$-height 1 but its subsemigroup $\mathbb{N}$ has infinite $\mathcal{R}$-height. Perhaps surprisingly, however, bi-ideals do inherit the property of having finite $\mathcal{R}$-height. In fact, given a semigroup $S$ with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, the following result establishes a bound on the $\mathcal{R}$-height of an arbitrary bi-ideal of $S$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, and let $B$ be a bi-ideal of $S$. Then

$$H_\mathcal{R}(B) \leq 3n - 1,$$

where $n$ is the maximum length of a chain of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $S$ that intersect $B$.

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that $H_\mathcal{R}(B) \geq 3n$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a chain

$$b_1 <_B b_2 <_B \cdots <_B b_{3n},$$

where $b_1 \in K$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, 3n - 1\}$ we have that $b_i \in b_{i+1} B \subseteq b_{i+1} S$, so $b_i \leq_S b_{i+1}$. Thus we have a chain

$$b_1 \leq_S b_2 \leq_S \cdots \leq_S b_{3n}. \quad (1)$$

Since each $b_i \in B$, by assumption the chain \(1\) has length at most $n$.

We claim that $b_1 <_S b_3$. Indeed, suppose that $b_1 \mathcal{R}_S b_3$. Since the $\mathcal{R}$-classes in $K$ are minimal and $b_i^2 \leq_S b_i$, it follows that $b_1 \mathcal{R}_S b_1^2$. Thus $b_3 \mathcal{R}_S b_1^2$. We then have that

$$b_2 \in b_3 B \subseteq (b_1^2 S) B \subseteq b_1(BSB) \subseteq b_1 B,$$

where for the final containment we use the fact that $B$ is a bi-ideal of $S$. But then $b_1 \mathcal{R}_B b_2$, contradicting the fact that $b_1 <_B b_2$.

It follows from the above claim that $n > 1$. Since $b_1 <_S b_3$ and the length of the chain $1$ is at most $n$, it follows that the length of the chain

$$b_3 \leq_S b_4 \leq_S \cdots \leq_S b_{3n}$$

is at most $n - 1$. Since $\frac{3n - 2}{n - 1} = 3 + \frac{1}{n - 1} > 3$, by the generalised pigeonhole principle there exist $i, j, k, l \in \{3, \ldots, 3n\}$ with $i < j < k < l$ such that $b_i \mathcal{R}_S b_j \mathcal{R}_S b_k \mathcal{R}_S b_l$. Since $b_i \leq_S b_{i+1} \leq_S \cdots \leq_S b_{i+3}$, we deduce that $b_i \mathcal{R}_S b_m$ for all $m \in \{i+1, \ldots, l\}$. In particular, we have $b_i \mathcal{R}_S b_{i+3}$, and hence $b_{i+3} \in b_i S$. Therefore, we have that

$$b_{i+2} \in b_{i+3} B \subseteq b_i SB \subseteq b_{i+1} BSB \subseteq b_{i+1} B,$$
using the fact that $B$ is a bi-ideal of $S$. But then $b_{i+1} R_B b_{i+2}$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

In the case that the kernel $K(S)$ is completely simple, we obtain a slightly shorter bound for the $R$-height of a bi-ideal.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $R$-height whose kernel is completely simple, and let $B$ be a bi-ideal of $S$. Then

$$H_R(B) \leq 3n - 2,$$

where $n$ is the maximum length of a chain of $R$-classes of $S$ that intersect $B$.

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that $H_R(B) \geq 3n - 1$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a chain

$$b_1 <_B b_2 <_B \cdots <_B b_{3n-1},$$

where $b_1 \in K(S)$. Then we have a chain

(2) $$b_1 \leq_S b_2 \leq_S \cdots \leq_S b_{3n-1}.$$

By assumption, the chain (2) has length at most $n$. We cannot have $b_1 R_S b_2$, since that would imply that $b_1 R_B b_2$ by Corollary 2.5, so $b_1 <_S b_2$. Therefore, the length of the chain

$$b_2 \leq_S b_3 \leq_S \cdots \leq_S b_{3n-1}$$

is at most $n - 1$. Since $\frac{3n - 2}{n - 1} > 3$, by the generalised pigeonhole principle we obtain $b_i R_S b_{i+3}$ for some $i \in \{2, \ldots, 3n - 4\}$. But then the same argument as that of Theorem 4.2 yields a contradiction. □

If $B$ is a bi-ideal in which every element has a local right identity, then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists a chain of $R_B$-classes of length $i$ if and only if there exists a chain of $R_S$ classes that intersect $B$ of length $i$. Thus we deduce:

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $R$-height, and let $B$ be a bi-ideal of $S$ in which every element has a local right identity in $B$. Then

$$H_R(B) = n,$$

where $n$ is the maximal length of a chain of $R$-classes of $S$ that intersect $B$.

The next result provides a bound on the $R$-height of a right ideal of a semigroup with finite $R$-height.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $R$-height, and let $A$ be a right ideal of $S$. Then

$$H_R(A) \leq 2n - 1,$$

where $n$ is the maximum length of a chain of $R$-classes of $S$ contained in $A$.

**Proof.** If $n = 1$, then $S$ is a union of minimal right ideals and hence so is $A$, so $H_R(A) = 1$. Assume then that $n > 1$. Suppose for a contradiction that $H_R(A) \geq 2n$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a chain

$$a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \cdots <_A a_{2n},$$

where $a_1 \in K(S)$. Then we have a chain

(3) $$a_1 <_S a_2 <_S \cdots <_S a_{2n}.$$

By assumption, the chain (3) has length at most $n$. Since $a_1 \in K$, we have that $a_1 R_S a_1^2$, so that $a_1 \in a_1^2 S^1 \in a_1 A$, using the fact that $A$ is a right ideal of $S$. We must then have
that \(a_1 \prec_S a_2\), for otherwise we would have \(a_2 \in a_1 S \subseteq a_1 AS \subseteq a_1 A\). It follows that the length of the chain
\[
a_2 \prec_S a_3 \prec_S \cdots \prec_S a_{2n}
\]
is at most \(n - 1\). Since \(\frac{2n-1}{n-1} = 2 + \frac{1}{n-1} > 2\), by the generalised pigeonhole principle we deduce that there exists \(i \in \{1, \ldots, 2n - 2\}\) such that \(a_i R_S a_{i+2}\). Then we have that
\[
a_{i+2} \in a_i S \subseteq a_{i+1} AS \subseteq a_i A,
\]
using the fact that \(A\) is a right ideal of \(S\). But then \(a_i R_A a_{i+2}\), which is a contradiction. □

We now turn our attention to left ideals.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \(S\) be a semigroup with finite \(R\)-height, and let \(A\) be a left ideal of \(S\). Then
\[
H_R(A) \leq 2n,
\]
where \(n\) is the maximal length of a chain of \(R\)-classes of \(S\) that intersect \(A\).

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a chain
\[
a_1 \prec_A a_2 \prec_A \cdots \prec_A a_{2n+1}.
\]
Then we have a chain
\[
(4) \quad a_1 \prec_S a_2 \prec_S \cdots \prec_S a_{2n+1}.
\]
By assumption, the length of the chain \((4)\) is at most \(n\). Since \(\frac{2n+1}{n} = 2 + \frac{1}{n} > 2\), by the generalised pigeonhole principle we obtain \(i \in \{1, \ldots, 2n - 1\}\) such that \(a_i R_S a_{i+2}\). Thus
\[
a_{i+2} \in a_i S. \text{ We then have that }
\]
\[
a_{i+1} \in a_{i+2} A \subseteq a_i S A \subseteq a_i A,
\]
using the fact that \(A\) is a left ideal of \(S\). But then \(a_i R_A a_{i+1}\), which is a contradiction. □

Again, we obtain a slightly shorter bound in the case that \(K(S)\) is completely simple.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \(S\) be a semigroup with finite \(R\)-height whose kernel is completely simple, and let \(A\) be a left ideal of \(S\). Then
\[
H_R(A) \leq 2n - 1,
\]
where \(n\) is the maximal length of a chain of \(R\)-classes of \(S\) that intersect \(A\).

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that \(H_R(A) \geq 2n\). Then, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a chain
\[
a_1 \prec_A a_2 \prec_A \cdots \prec_A a_{2n},
\]
where \(a_1 \in K(S)\). Then we have a chain
\[
(5) \quad a_1 \prec_S a_2 \prec_S \cdots \prec_S a_{2n}.
\]
By assumption, the length of the chain \((5)\) is at most \(n\). By Lemma 2.5 we have \(a_1 \prec_S a_2\). Therefore, the length of the chain
\[
a_2 \prec_S a_3 \prec_S \cdots \prec_S a_{2n}
\]
is at most \(n - 1\). Since \(\frac{2n-1}{n-1} > 2\), by the generalised pigeonhole principle we obtain \(i \in \{2, \ldots, 2n - 2\}\) such that \(a_i R_S a_{i+2}\). But then the same argument as that of Theorem 3.5 yields a contradiction. □

If a left ideal \(A\) of \(S\) is contained in the set Reg\((S)\) of regular elements, then for any \(a \in S\) we have \(a \in aS a \subseteq aA\), using the fact that \(a\) is regular and \(A\) is a left ideal, so every element of \(A\) has a local right identity in \(A\). Thus, by Proposition 3.3 we have:
Proposition 3.7. Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, and let $A$ be a left ideal of $S$ such that $A \subseteq \text{Reg}(S)$. Then
\[ H_{\mathcal{R}}(A) = n, \]
where $n$ is the maximal length of a chain of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $S$ that intersect $A$.

We now provide a bound on the $\mathcal{R}$-height of an ideal.

Theorem 3.8. Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, and let $A$ be an ideal of $S$. Then
\[ H_{\mathcal{R}}(A) \leq n, \]
where $n$ is the maximum length of a chain of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $S$ contained in $A$.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a chain
\[ a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \cdots <_A a_{n+1}. \]
Then we have a chain
\[ a_1 <_S a_2 <_S \cdots <_S a_{n+1}. \]
By assumption, the length of the chain (6) is at most $n$. By the pigeonhole principle there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a_i \mathcal{R}_S a_{i+1}$. Then we have that
\[ a_{i+1} \in a_i S \subseteq a_{i+1} AS \subseteq a_i SAS \subseteq a_i A, \]
using the fact $A$ is an ideal of $S$. But then $a_i \mathcal{R}_A a_{i+1}$, which is a contradiction. \qed

We conclude this section by demonstrating that the $\mathcal{R}$-height of an ideal can be substantially lower than the bound provided in Theorem 3.8.

Example 3.9. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T$ be a semigroup such that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(T) = n$. Let $N = \{x_a : a \in T\} \cup \{0\}$ be a null semigroup, i.e. $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in N$. Let $S = T \cup N$, and define a multiplication on $S$, extending those on $T$ and $N$, as follows:
\[ ax_b = x_a b = x_{ab} \text{ and } a0 = 0 = 0a. \]
for all $a, b \in T$. Then $N$ is an ideal of $S$. It is straightforward to show that for any $a, b \in T$, we have $a <_T b$ if and only if $x_a <_S x_b$; and clearly $0 <_S x_a$. It follows that the maximal length of a chain of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $S$ contained in $N$ is $n + 1$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(N) = 2$.

4. Attaining the Bounds

Given Theorems 3.1-3.8 an immediate question arises: Can the bounds established in these results be attained? In fact, one can ask a stronger question: Can these bounds be attained for every natural number $n$ and in such a way that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n$? More precisely, we have the following problems.

\begin{enumerate}
\item For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does there exist a semigroup $S$ with a bi-ideal $B$ such that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n$ and $H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) = 3n - 1$?
\item For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does there exist a semigroup $S$ with a completely simple kernel and a bi-ideal $B$ such that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n$ and $H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) = 3n - 2$?
\item For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does there exist a semigroup $S$ with a right ideal $A$ such that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n$ and $H_{\mathcal{R}}(A) = 2n - 1$?
\item For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does there exist a semigroup $S$ with a left ideal $A$ such that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n$ and $H_{\mathcal{R}}(A) = 2n$?
\item For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does there exist a semigroup $S$ with a completely simple kernel and a left ideal $A$ such that $H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n$ and $H_{\mathcal{R}}(A) = 2n - 1$?
\end{enumerate}
(6) For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), does there exist a semigroup \( S \) with an ideal \( A \) such that \( H_R(S) = H_R(A) = n \)?

Unfortunately, we have not been able to answer question (1).

**Open Problem 4.1.** For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), does there exist a semigroup \( S \) with a bi-ideal \( B \) such that \( H_R(S) = n \) and \( H_R(B) = 3n - 1 \)?

We shall answer questions (2)-(6) in the positive. Question (6) is easily dealt with: take \( S \) to be any semigroup with \( H_R(S) = n \) and set \( A = S \).

We now consider question (2). The case \( n = 1 \) is trivial: we can just take \( S \) to be the trivial semigroup and \( B = S \); then \( H_R(S) = H_R(B) = 1 = 3(1) - 2 \). For \( n \geq 2 \), the following result provides the desired semigroups.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( n \geq 2 \). Let \( S \) be the finite semigroup defined by the presentation
\[
x, y, z, t \mid xyzt = x, yzt = y, zty = z, tx = t, w = 0
\]
\[
\text{where } w \in \{x^n, y^2, z^2, t^2, xz, xt, yx, yt, zx, zy, tz, t^x\}
\]
Let \( X = \{x, y, z, tx\} \subseteq S \) and let \( B = X \cup XS^1X \) (\( B \) is the smallest bi-ideal of \( S \) containing \( X \)). Then \( H_R(S) = n \) and \( H_R(B) = 3n - 2 \).

**Proof.** We begin by finding a normal form for \( S \) using basic facts about rewriting systems; for more information about rewriting systems, see [2] for instance. The associated rewriting system of \( S \), where each relation \( u = v \) corresponds to a rewriting rule \( u \rightarrow v \), is complete, i.e. noetherian and confluent. That it is noetherian follows from the fact that all the rewriting rules are length-reducing. For confluence, it suffices to check that all the critical pairs (given by overlaps of the left-hand sides of the rewriting rules) reduce to the same word. This rewriting system, therefore, yields the following normal form for \( S \), consisting of all words over \( \{x, y, z, t\} \) that do not contain as a subword the left-hand side of one of the rewriting rules:
\[
\{x^i, x^iy, x^iyz : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{y, yz, yzt, z, zt, zty, t, ty, tyz, 0\} \cup U,
\]
where \( U = \emptyset \) if \( n = 2 \) and
\[
U = \{yzt, yzt, yzt, zty, tz, tx^y, ztx^yz, tx^y, tx^yz, 1 \leq i \leq n - 2\}
\]
if \( n \geq 3 \).

It is easy to calculate that \( |S| = 12(n - 1) + 1 \). Using the above normal form and the relations of the presentation, it is easy to show that \( t, zt, ty, yzt, tyz \notin B \). All the other elements in the normal form have the form \( uvw \) where \( u, v, w \in X \) and \( w \in \{x, y, z, t\}^* \), so they belong to \( B \). Thus \( B = S \setminus \{t, zt, ty, zty, tyz\} \).

From the relations of the presentation, it follows that for each generator \( u \in \{x, y, z, t\} \), the principal right ideal \( uS^1 \) consists of precisely the words in the normal form whose first letter is \( u \), along with 0. Thus, for any two generators \( u, v \in \{x, y, z, t\} \), \( u \neq v \), there are no elements of the form \( uvw \) in \( S \) such that \( uvw \in B \).

Now let \( R_i = \{x^i, x^iy, x^iyz\} (1 \leq i \leq n - 1) \), \( S_1 = \{y, yz, yzt\} \), \( T_1 = \{z, zt, zty\} \), \( U_1 = \{t, ty, tyz\} \). It is easy to see from the presentation that each of these sets is an \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-class. Of course, \( \{0\} \) is both an \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-class and an \( \mathcal{R}_B \)-class.

Suppose that \( n = 2 \). Then \( R_1, S_1, T_1, U_1 \) and \( \{0\} \) are all the \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-classes. We have that
\[
B = \{x, xy, xyz, z, zty, 0\}.
\]
Clearly we have \( x \geq_B xy \geq_B xyz \) and \( y \geq_B yz \). Also, we have that \( (zty)z = z \), so \( zty \geq_B z \). It follows from the relations of the presentation that \( xyB = \{xy, xyz, 0\} \) and
We conclude that the poset of \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-classes and the poset of \( \mathcal{R}_B \)-classes are as presented in Figure 1 below, so that \( H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = 2 \) and \( H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) = 4 \), as desired.

Now assume that \( n \geq 3 \). For \( 2 \leq i \leq n - 1 \), let

\[
S_i = yztR_{i-1} = \{yztx^{i-1}, yztx^{i-1}y, yztx^{i-1}yz\},
\]

\( T_i = ztR_{i-1} \) and \( U_i = tR_{i-1} \). Since \( \mathcal{R}_S \) is a left congruence on \( S \), and \( R_{i-1} \) is an \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-class, each \( S_i \), \( T_i \) and \( U_i \) is also an \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-class. Consider \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n - 2\} \). Clearly \( R_i \geq R_{i+1} \).

Also, we have that \( yztx^{i-1}yz(tx) = yztx^i \in S_{i+1} \), so \( S_i \geq S_{i+1} \); similarly, \( T_i \geq T_{i+1} \) and \( U_i \geq U_{i+1} \). It is easy to see from the presentation that for any \( v \in S \) and \( s \in S^1 \) with \( vs \neq 0 \), we have \( |v|_x \leq |vs|_x \), where \( |w|_x \) denote the number of appearances of \( x \) in \( w \). Thus \( x^i \notin x^{i+1}S^1 \), so \( R_i > R_{i+1} \).

Similarly, we have \( S_i > S_{i+1} \), \( T_i > T_{i+1} \) and \( U_i > U_{i+1} \). We conclude that the poset of \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-classes is as displayed in Figure 2 below, so that \( H_{\mathcal{R}}(S) = n \). Turning out attention to \( B \), we have that

\[
x^i \geq_B x^iy \geq_B x^iyz \geq_B x^iyz(tx) = x^{i+1}.
\]

We certainly have that \( x^iyz > B x^{i+1} \) since \( x^iyz > B x^{i+1} \). Also, it is easy to calculate that

\[
x^iyB = \{x^iy, x^iyz, x^i, x^iy, x^iyz, 0 : i + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\}
\]

and

\[
x^iyzB = \{x^i, x^iy, x^iyz, 0 : i + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\},
\]

so \( (x^i, x^iy), (x^iy, x^iyz) \notin \mathcal{R}_B \). Thus we have a chain

\[
x >_B xy >_B xyz >_B x^2 >_B x^2y >_B x^2yz >_B x_n >_B x^{n-1} >_B x^{n-1}y >_B x^{n-1}yz >_B 0,
\]

so \( H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) \geq 3n - 2 \). By Theorem 3.2, we have that \( H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) \leq 3n - 2 \). Thus \( H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) = 3n - 2 \). \( \square \)

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\{x\} \\
\{xy\}, \{y\}, \{zty\} \\
\{x, xy, xyz\}, \{y, yz, yzt\}, \{z, zt, zty\}, \{t, ty, tyz\}, \{xyz\}, \{yz\}, \{z\}, \{0\}
\end{array} \]

**Figure 1.** Let \( S \) and \( B \) be as given in Theorem 4.2 in the case \( n = 2 \). The poset of \( \mathcal{R}_S \)-classes is displayed on the left, and the poset of \( \mathcal{R}_B \)-classes is displayed on the right.
We now move on to problem (3). To solve this, we utilise the following construction.

**Definition 4.3.** Let $S$ be a semigroup and let $I$ be a non-empty set. The *Brandt extension of $S$ by $I$*, denoted by $B(S, I)$, is the semigroup with universe $(I \times S \times I) \cup \{0\}$ and multiplication given by

$$(i, s, j)(k, t, l) = \begin{cases} (i, st, l) & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $0x = x0 = 0$ for all $x \in (I \times S \times I) \cup \{0\}$.

Brandt extensions of groups, known as *Brandt semigroups*, are precisely the completely 0-simple inverse semigroups [11, Theorem 5.1.8].

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $\mathcal{R}$-height, and let $A$ be a right ideal of $S$. Let $I$ be any set with $|I| \geq 2$, and let $T = B(S, I)$. Fix $1 \in I$, and consider the right ideal $B = (1, a, 1)T^1 = (\{1\} \times A \times I) \cup \{0\}$ of $T$. Then $H_\mathcal{R}(T) = H_\mathcal{R}(S) + 1$ and $H_{\mathcal{R}}(B) = H_{\mathcal{R}}(A) + 2$.

**Proof.** It can be easily proved that for any $s, t \in S$ and $i, j, k, l \in I$, we have

$$(i, s, j)(k, t, l) \leq_T (k, t, l) \iff i = k \text{ and } s \leq_S t,$$

and hence

$$(i, s, j) \mathcal{R}_T (k, t, l) \iff i = k \text{ and } s \mathcal{R}_S t.$$ 

Let $H_\mathcal{R}(S) = n$. Then there exists a chain $s_1 \mathcal{R}_S s_2 \mathcal{R}_S \cdots \mathcal{R}_S s_n$.

Letting $t_i = (1, s_i, 1)$, we then have a chain $0 \mathcal{T} t_1 \mathcal{T} t_2 \mathcal{T} \cdots \mathcal{T} t_n$, so $H_\mathcal{T}(T) \geq n + 1$. Now suppose for a contradiction that $H_\mathcal{R}(T) > n + 1$. Then there exists a chain $0 \mathcal{T} x_1 \mathcal{T} x_2 \mathcal{T} \cdots \mathcal{T} x_{n+1}$.

By (7), each $x_k$ has the form $(i, y_k, j_k)$ for some $y_k \in S$ and $j_k \in I$. But then, by (7), we have a chain $y_1 \mathcal{R}_S y_2 \mathcal{R}_S \cdots \mathcal{R}_S y_{n+1}$, contradicting the fact that $H_\mathcal{R}(S) = n$. Thus $H_\mathcal{R}(T) = n + 1$. 

---

**Figure 2.** The poset of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of the semigroup $S$ in the statement of Theorem 4.2 in the case $n \geq 3$. 

```latex
\begin{array}{cccc}
R_1 & S_1 & U_1 & V_1 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
R_2 & S_2 & U_2 & V_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
R_{n-1} & S_{n-1} & U_{n-1} & V_{n-1} \\
\end{array}
\{0\}
```
Now let $H_R(A) = m$. Then there exists a chain

\[ a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \cdots <_A a_m. \]

Let $b_i = (1, a_i, 1)$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, there exists $c_i \in A$ such that $a_i = a_{i+1}c_i$. Therefore, we have that $b_i = b_{i+1}(1, c_i, 1) \in b_{i+1}B$, so $b_i \leq_B b_{i+1}$. Clearly, if $b_i \mathcal{R}_B b_{i+1}$ then $a_i \mathcal{R}_A a_{i+1}$, contradicting that $a_i <_A a_{i+1}$, so $b_i <_B b_{i+1}$. Now choose $a \in A$ and $j \in I \setminus \{1\}$, and let $b_0 = (1, a, j)$. Then $b_0 = b_1(1, a, j) \in b_1B$. Since $j \neq 1$, we have that $b_0B = \{0\}$, so $b_0 <_B b_1$. In conclusion, we have a sequence

\[ 0 <_B b_0 <_B b_1 <_B b_2 <_B \cdots <_B b_m, \]

so $H_R(B) \geq m + 2$. Now suppose for a contradiction that $H_R(B) > m + 2$. Then there exists a chain

\[ 0 <_B d_1 <_T d_2 <_T \cdots <_T d_{m+2}. \]

Let $d_i = (1, c_i, j_i)$. Then we have a chain

\[ c_1 \leq_A c_2 \leq_A \cdots \leq_A c_{m+2}. \]

Since $H_R(A) = m$, it follows that there exist $k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n + 2\}$ with $k < l$ such that $c_k \mathcal{R}_A c_{k+1}$ and $c_l \mathcal{R}_A c_{l+1}$. In particular, there exists $u \in A$ such that $c_{l+1} = clu$. We cannot have $j_l = 1$, for then $d_{l+1} = d_l(1, u, j_{l+1}) \in d_lB$, contradicting that $d_l <_B d_{l+1}$. But then $d_lB = \{0\}$, contradicting that $d_l \in d_lB$. We conclude that $H_R(B) = m + 2$. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.5.** For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite semigroup $S$ with a principal right ideal $A$ such that $H_R(S) = n$ and $H_R(A) = 2n - 1$.

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction. For $n = 1$, take $S$ to be the trivial semigroup and $A = S$. Now let $n \geq 1$, and assume that there exists a finite semigroup $S$ with a principal right ideal $A = aS^1$ such that $H_R(S) = n$ and $H_R(A) = 2n - 1$. Let $T$ and $B$ be as given in the statement of Theorem 4.4. Then, by Theorem 4.4, we have that $H_R(T) = n + 1$ and $H_R(B) = (2n - 1) + 2 = 2(n + 1) - 1$. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.6.** Proposition 3.7 does not hold if we replace ‘left ideal’ by ‘right ideal’. Indeed, let $G = \{e\}$ be the trivial group, let $I = \{1, 2\}$, and let $T = B(G, I)$. Then $T$ is the 5-element Brandt semigroup. Identifying each $(i, e, j)$ with $(i, j)$, let

\[ B = (1, 1)T^1 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), 0\}. \]

Certainly $B \subseteq \text{Reg}(T) = T$. By Theorem 4.4, we have that $H_R(T) = 2$ and $H_R(B) = 3$. The posets of $\mathcal{R}$-classes of $T$ and $B$ are presented in Figure 3.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\{(1,1)\} \\
\{(1,1), (1,2)\} & \{(2,1), (2,2)\} & \{(1,2)\} \\
\{0\} & \{0\}
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 3.** Let $T$ and $B$ be as given in Remark 4.6. The poset of $\mathcal{R}_T$-classes is displayed on the left, and the poset of the $\mathcal{R}_B$-classes is displayed on the right.

We now turn our attention to question (4), beginning with the case $n = 1$. 


Proposition 4.7. Let $S$ be a right simple semigroup (so $H_R(S) = 1$), let $a \in S$, and consider the principal left ideal $A = S^1a$. Then the $R_A$-classes are $\{a\}$ and $A \setminus \{a\} = Sa$, and hence $H_R(A) = 2$.

Proof. Let $s, t \in S$. Since $S$ is right simple, there exists $x \in S^1$ such that $s = (ta)x$. Thus $sa = (ta)(xa) \subseteq (ta)A$. Similarly, we have $ta \in (sa)A$, so $sa R_A ta$. Now suppose for a contradiction that $a R_A ua$ for some $u \in S$. Then $a R_A a^2$ by transitivity. Therefore, there exists $y \in S^1$ such that $a = a^2(ya)$. Then $a^2y$ is an idempotent. But a right simple semigroup with an idempotent is completely simple by [4, Theorem 8.14], so we have a contradiction. Thus the $R_A$-classes are $\{a\}$ and $Sa$. Clearly $a^2 <_A a$, so we conclude that $H_R(A) = 2$. □

Theorem 4.8. Let $S$ be a semigroup with finite $R$-height, and let $A$ be a left ideal of $S$. Let $T$ be any right simple semigroup that is not completely simple, and let $U$ be the semigroup defined by the presentation

$$(S, T | ab = a \cdot b, cd = c \cdot d, ac = c \cdot d \ (a, b \in S, c, d \in T)).$$

Fix $c \in T$, and let $B$ denote the left ideal $T^1(A \cup \{c\})$ of $U$. Then $H_R(T) = H_R(S) + 1$ and $H_R(B) = H_R(A) + 2$.

Proof. The semigroup $U$ has a normal form $S \cup T \cup TS$. Let $K = T \cup TS$. It is easy to see that $K$ is an ideal of $U$. All elements of $T$ are $R_U$-related since $T$ is right simple, and for any $a \in S$ and $t \in T$ we have that $ta = t \cdot a$ and $(ta)T = tT = T$. Thus $K$ is an $R$-class of $U$. It follows that $K$ is the minimal ideal of $U$. Now, since $U \setminus S = K$ is an ideal, it follows that the restriction of $\leq_U$ to $S$ is $\leq_S$. Thus the poset of $R_U$-classes can be viewed as the poset of $R_S$-classes along with the minimum element $K$. This is depicted in Figure 4 below. It follows that $H_R(T) = H_R(S) + 1$.

We now consider the left ideal $B$ of $U$. We have that $B \cap S = A$. Since $U \setminus S$ is an ideal, it follows that the restriction of $\leq_B$ to $S$ is $\leq_A$. We claim that the sets $\{c\}$ and $TA \cup TC$ are $R$-classes of $B$. First, let $t, t' \in T$ and $a, a' \in A$. Since $T$ is right simple, there exist $x, y, z \in T^1$ such that

$t = t'x, \ t' = ty, \ t = (t'c)z$.

Then we have that:

$ta = (t'a')(xa), \ t'a' = (ta)(ya) \quad \text{and} \quad ta = (t'c)(za), \ t'c = (ta)(ya);$  

so $ta R_B t'a'$ and $ta R_B t'c$. Since $t, t', a, a'$ were chosen arbitrarily, it follows by transitivity that all elements in $TA \cup TC$ are $R_B$-related. Now suppose for a contradiction that $c R_B c^2$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that $c = c^2b$. We cannot have $b \in T^1A$, for this would imply that $c \in T \cap TS$, contradicting the fact that $S \cup T \cup TS$ is a normal form of $U$. Thus $b = wc$ for some $w \in T^1$. Then $c = c^2wc$, so that $c^2w$ is an idempotent. But this contradicts the fact that $T$ is right simple and not completely simple. This proves the claim.

For any $a \in A$ we have that $ac = c$, so $c <_B a$. Also, we have that $c^2 <_B c$. Thus the poset of $R_B$-classes can be viewed as the poset of $R_A$-classes along with the elements $\{c\}$ and $TA \cup TC$, where $\{c\}$ is below all the $R_A$-classes and $TA \cup TC$ is the minimum element; see Figure 4 for an illustration. It follows that $H_R(B) = H_R(A) + 2$. □
Figure 4. Let $S$, $A$, $U$ and $B$ be as given in Theorem 4.8. The poset of $\mathcal{R}_U$-classes is displayed on the left, where $P$ denotes the poset of $\mathcal{R}_S$-classes. The poset of the $\mathcal{R}_B$-classes is displayed on the right, where $Q$ denotes the poset of $\mathcal{R}_A$-classes.

**Corollary 4.9.** For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a semigroup $S$ with a left ideal $A$ such that $H_R(S) = n$ and $H_R(A) = 2n$.

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction. Proposition 4.7 deals with the base case. Now let $n \geq 1$, and assume that there exists a finite semigroup $S$ with a left ideal $A$ such that $H_R(S) = n$ and $H_R(A) = 2n$. Let $T$ and $B$ be as given in the statement of Theorem 4.8. Then, by Theorem 4.8, we have that $H_R(T) = n + 1$ and $H_R(B) = 2n + 2 = 2(n + 1)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Finally, we solve problem (6) with the following result, the case $n = 1$ being trivial.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let $n \geq 2$. Let $S$ be the finite semigroup defined by the presentation

$$\langle x, y, z \mid xyz = x, yzy = y, zyx = z, w = 0 \ (w \in \{x^n, y^2, z^2, xz, yx, zx^{n-1}\}) \rangle,$$

and let $A = S^1\{x, y\}$. Then $H_R(S) = n$ and $H_R(A) = 2n - 1$.

**Proof.** The proof of this result is similar to that of Theorem 4.2, so we will not go into as much detail.

The rewriting system associated to the presentation of $S$ is complete, yielding the following set of normal forms for $S$:

$$\{x^i, x^iy : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{y, z, yz, zy\} \cup U,$$

where $U = \emptyset$ if $n = 2$ and

$$U = \{yzx^i, yzx^jy, zx^j, zx^jy : 1 \leq j \leq n - 2\}$$

if $n \geq 3$. It is easy to calculate that $|S| = 6(n - 1) + 1$. It can also be easily shown that $A = S\{z, yz\}$.

Let $R_i = \{x^i, x^iy\} \ (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$, $S_1 = \{y, yz\}$, $T_1 = \{z, zt\}$ and $U_1 = \{t, ty\}$. It is easy to see from the presentation that each of these sets is an $\mathcal{R}_S$-class.

Suppose that $n = 2$. Then $R_1$, $S_1$, $T_1$, $U_1$ and $\{0\}$ are all the $\mathcal{R}_S$-classes. The poset of $\mathcal{R}_S$-classes and the poset of $\mathcal{R}_A$-classes are as displayed in Figure 5 below, so that $H_R(S) = 2$ and $H_R(A) = 3$, as required.

Now assume that $n \geq 3$. For $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, let $S_i = yzR_{i-1}$, and $T_i = zR_{i-1}$. Then each $S_i$ and $T_i$ is an $\mathcal{R}_S$-class. The poset of $\mathcal{R}_S$-classes is as displayed in Figure 2 below, so that $H_R(S) = n$. Turning out attention to $A$, we have that

$$x^i \succeq_A x^iy \succeq_A x^iy(zx) = x^{i+1}.$$
We certainly have that $x^i y >_A x^{i+1}$ since $x^i y >_S x^{i+1}$. Also, we have that

$$x^i y A = \{x^i y, x^j, x^j y, 0 : i + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\},$$

so $x^i >_A x^i y$. Thus we have a chain

$$x >_A xy >_A x^2 >_A x^2 y >_A \cdots >_A x^{n-1} >_A x^{n-1} y >_A 0,$$

so $H_R(A) \geq 2n - 1$. By Theorem 3.6 we have that $H_R(A) \leq 2n - 1$. Thus $H_R(A) = 2n - 1$. □

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (x) at (0,0) {$\{x\}$};
\node (xy) at (-1,-1) {$\{x, xy\}$};
\node (yz) at (1,-1) {$\{y, yz\}$};
\node (zy) at (0,-2) {$\{z, zy\}$};
\node (0) at (-2,-2) {$\{0\}$};
\node (xy) at (1,-2) {$\{xy\}$};
\node (y) at (2,-2) {$\{y\}$};
\node (zy) at (3,-2) {$\{zy\}$};
\path (x) edge (xy)
      (xy) edge (0)
      (xy) edge (y)
      (y) edge (zy);
\end{tikzpicture}
\caption{Let $S$ and $A$ be as given in Theorem 4.10 in the case $n = 2$. The poset of $R_S$-classes is displayed on the left, and the poset of $R_A$-classes is displayed on the right.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (R1) at (0,0) {$R_1$};
\node (S1) at (1,0) {$S_1$};
\node (T1) at (2,0) {$T_1$};
\node (R2) at (0,-1) {$R_2$};
\node (S2) at (1,-1) {$S_2$};
\node (T2) at (2,-1) {$T_2$};
\node (Sn) at (0,-3) {$R_{n-1}$};
\node (Sn-1) at (1,-3) {$S_{n-1}$};
\node (Tn-1) at (2,-3) {$T_{n-1}$};
\node (0) at (2,-4) {$\{0\}$};
\path (R1) edge (S1)
      (R2) edge (S2)
      (R_{n-1}) edge (Sn-1)
      (Sn-1) edge (0);
\end{tikzpicture}
\caption{The poset of $R$-classes of the semigroup $S$ in the statement of Theorem 4.10 in the case $n \geq 3$.}
\end{figure}
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