REAL ADJOINT ORBITS OF SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS
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Abstract. Let $G$ be a linear Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. An element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called $\text{Ad}_G$-real if $-X = gXg^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. Moreover, if $-X = gXg^{-1}$ holds for some involution $g \in G$, then $X$ is called strongly $\text{Ad}_G$-real. We have classified the $\text{Ad}_G$-real and the strongly $\text{Ad}_G$-real orbits in the special linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$.

1. Introduction

A group element that is conjugate to its inverse has been known as a real element in the group. Such an element is also known as a reversible element. The notion is closely related to the ‘strongly reversible’ or ‘strongly real’ elements in a group, which are product of two involutions in the group. The real elements or the real conjugacy classes in a group have seen many investigations in the literature from different perspectives. The terminology ‘reversible’ has been motivated by dynamical (or geometric) considerations, whence the terminology ‘real’ has been motivated by a classical theorem of Frobenius and Schur that states that the number of real irreducible characters of a finite group $G$ is equal to the number of reversible conjugacy classes of $G$. The latter viewpoint is of importance in representation theory. Despite many works, complete classifications of real and strongly real elements are known only for a very few families of Lie groups. Mostly the equivalence between real and strongly real classes has been understood for certain groups, e.g., [ST], [Wo]. A complete classification of such elements is known only for a very few families. We refer to the monograph [FS] for a survey. Recently, the unipotent real classes in linear Lie groups have been classified in [GM].

Here we ask a related problem that comes from the natural action of a Lie group $G$ on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by adjoint representation. When $G$ is a linear Lie group, the above action is given by conjugation, i.e., $\text{Ad}(g)X := gXg^{-1}$. An element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called $\text{Ad}_G$-real if $-X = gXg^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. An $\text{Ad}_G$-real element $X$ is called strongly $\text{Ad}_G$-real if $-X = \tau X \tau^{-1}$ for some involution (i.e., element of order at most two) $\tau \in G$, compare [GM, Definition 1.1]. Observe that if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is $\text{Ad}_G$-real, then $\exp X$ is real in $G$. This notion may be thought of as an infinitesimal analogue of the classical reality for Lie algebras. The investigation of the adjoint orbits in a semi-simple Lie group is an active area of research in differential geometry, see the survey [CoMc], and relatively recent article [Mc]. The above problem may be restated as the problem to classify the ‘real’ and ‘strongly real’ adjoint orbits of semi-simple Lie groups. There are many directions of research on adjoint orbits, see [ABB] from symplectic geometry point of view, [ChMa],
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Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ or the real quaternions $\mathbb{H}$. It is a fact that if $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is $\text{Ad}_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-real, then $X$ is an element of $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})$. Also for the elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})$, the $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-reality and the $\text{Ad}_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-reality are equivalent, see Lemma 3.1. These motivate us to investigate the Ad-reality problem for the adjoint action of the special linear groups in this paper. We have classified the $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-real and the strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-real elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})$. However, we do not know any result regarding the complete classification of the strongly real elements in the simple Lie group $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})$. We hope the ideas used here would motivate similar classification in the respective Lie groups.

Sometimes we shall drop the ‘Ad’ and henceforth call the above type of elements as real elements, resp. strongly real elements in the respective Lie algebras. To state our main results, we need some terminologies concerning partition of $n$. A partition of a positive integer $n$ is an object of the form $\mathbf{d} (n) := [d_1^{t_1}, \ldots, d_s^{t_s}]$, where $t_d, d_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_d d_i = n$, $t_d \geq 1$ and $d_1 > \cdots > d_s > 0$. For a partition $\mathbf{d} (n) = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \ldots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}]$ of $n$, define

\begin{equation}
\begin{split}
\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}(n)} & := \{d_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)} := \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}(n)} \cap 2\mathbb{N} \\
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)}^2 & := \{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)} \mid \eta \equiv 2 \left( \text{mod } 4 \right)\}.
\end{split}
\end{equation}

Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the set of all partitions of $n$. A partition $\mathbf{d}(n)$ of $n$ will be called even if $d_i$ is even for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, i.e., $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)} = \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}(n)}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of all even partitions of $n$. We call a partition $\mathbf{d}(n)$ of $n$ to be very even if $\mathbf{d}(n)$ is even, and $t_\eta$ is even for all $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}(n)}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of all very even partitions of $n$. Now define

\begin{equation}
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{e}}(n) := \{\mathbf{d}(n) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n) \mid \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)}^2} t_\eta \text{ is odd }\}.
\end{equation}

Equivalently, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{e}}(n)$ denote the set of all partitions $\mathbf{d}(n)$ of $n$ such that:

- $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}(n)} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)}$,
- $\mathbf{d}(n)$ is such that not all $t_d$ corresponding to $d_i$ are even,
- $\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}(n)}^2} t_d$ is odd.

With the above notation, our first result classifies $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-real elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})$. This theorem is a combination of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})$ be any arbitrary element. Then $X$ is $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})}$-real if and only if both the following conditions hold:

1. Let $\lambda$ represents an eigenvalue class of $X$ such that
   a. the real part of $\lambda$ is non-zero for the case when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$,
   b. $\lambda \neq 0$ for the case when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

   Then $-\lambda$ also represents an eigenvalue class of $X$ with the same multiplicity.

2. Let $m$ be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue classes represented by $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$. Let $\mathbf{d}^\lambda$ and $\mathbf{d}^{-\lambda}$ be the partitions of $m$ associated to the eigenvalue classes $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$, respectively. Then

   $\mathbf{d}^\lambda = \mathbf{d}^{-\lambda}$.
The classification of strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,F)}$-real elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n,F)$ is more subtle, and the results are different depending upon the arithmetical properties of the underlying skew-fields. The following result gives a classification of the strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,C)}$-real elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n,C)$.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, C)$ be a non-zero arbitrary $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,C)}$-real element. Let $0$ be an eigenvalue of $X$ with the multiplicity $p_o$, $p_o \geq 0$, and $d(p_o) \in \mathcal{P}(p_o)$ be the corresponding partition associated to the eigenvalue $0$. Then $X$ is strongly real if and only if either $0$ is an eigenvalue of $X$ such that $d(p_o) \not\in \mathcal{P}_e(p_o)$ or $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

For $\mathfrak{sl}(n,H)$, we have the following classification of strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,H)}$-real elements.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n,H)$ be an arbitrary non-zero $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,H)}$-real element. Then the following are equivalent.

1. $X$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,Z)}$-real.
2. Let $\mu$ be the non-zero purely imaginary complex representative of an eigenvalue class of $X$ with the multiplicity $m_\mu$ and $d(m_\mu) = [d_1^{d_1}, \ldots, d_s^{d_s}] \in \mathcal{P}(m_\mu)$ be the corresponding partition. Then $t_{d_\ell}$ is even for all $1 \leq \ell \leq s$.

In the proofs of the above results, we have explicitly constructed conjugating elements for the $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,F)}$-real and the strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n,F)}$-real elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n,F)$. Using the centralizer of such element one can get all the conjugating elements. In some cases, characterizations of the conjugating elements are given.

The above results are proven by analysing the linear action of the Lie groups on their respective Lie algebras. The Jordan canonical form is one of a key tools that help us to identify canonical elements in each conjugacy class. For this reason, we have restricted ourselves over $C$ and over $H$. In [GM, Section 3.5], a suitable ordered basis of the underlying vector space corresponding to a given nilpotent element has been described. Such an ordered basis is crucially used in classification of the strongly $\text{Ad}_{C}$-real elements.

The paper is organized as follows. We fix notation and recall some preliminary results in Section 2. Some useful lemmas have been proved in Section 3. These lemmas are used in proof of the main theorems. Finally, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.3, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3 are proven in Section 4.

## 2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

Here we fix notation, summarize some basic concepts and known results. The Lie groups will be denoted by the capital letters, while the Lie algebra of a Lie group will be denoted by the corresponding lower case German letter. Let $G$ be a linear Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. The centralizer of $X$ in $G$ is

$$Z_G(X) := \{ h \in G \mid hXh^{-1} = X \}.$$  

The notation $F$ stands for either $C$ or $H$, where $C$ denotes the field of complex numbers and $H$ denotes the division ring of Hamilton’s quaternions. We recall that every element in $H$ can be expressed as $a = a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k$, where $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$ are real numbers, and $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, ij = -ji = k, jk = -kj = i, ki = -ik = j$. The conjugate of $a$ is given by $\bar{a} = a_0 - a_1i - a_2j - a_3k$. We identify the real subspace $R \oplus Ri$ with the usual complex plane $C$, and then one can write $H = C \oplus Cj.$
The following notation will allow us to write block-diagonal square matrices with many blocks in a convenient way. For \( r \)-many square matrices \( A_i \in M(m_i, \mathbb{F}) \), \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), the block diagonal square matrix of size \( \sum m_i \times \sum m_i \), with \( A_i \) as the \( i \)-th block in the diagonal, is denoted by \( A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_r \). This is also abbreviated as \( \oplus_{i=1}^r A_i \). For two disjoint ordered sets \( (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \) and \( (w_1, \ldots, w_m) \), the ordered set \( (v_1, \ldots, v_n, w_1, \ldots, w_m) \) will be denoted by \( (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \vee (w_1, \ldots, w_m) \).

2.1. Matrices over the quaternions. For an elaborate discussion on the theory of quaternions and matrices over the quaternions, see [Ro], [FZ].

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( A \in M(n, \mathbb{H}) \). A non-zero vector \( v \in \mathbb{H}^n \) is said to be a (right) eigenvector of \( A \) corresponding to the (right) eigenvalue \( \lambda \in \mathbb{H} \) if the equality \( A\lambda = v\lambda \) holds.

Eigenvalues of \( A \) occur in similarity classes. If \( v \) is an eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue \( \lambda \), then \( v\mu \in v\mathbb{H} \) is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue \( \mu^{-1}\lambda\mu \). Each similarity class of eigenvalues contains a unique pair of complex numbers which are complex conjugate to each other. Often we shall refer to them as ‘eigenvalues’, though it should be understood that our reference is towards their similarity classes. In places where we need to distinguish between the similarity class and a representative, we shall write the similarity class of an eigenvalue representative \( \lambda \) by \([\lambda]\). We shall mostly choose the unique complex representative of a similarity class that has non-negative imaginary part.

Now, we recall the relationship between quaternion and complex matrices. Let \( A \in M(n, \mathbb{H}) \) and write \( A = (A_1) + (A_2)j \), where \( A_1, A_2 \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) \). Consider the embedding

\[
\Phi: M(n, \mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow M(2n, \mathbb{C}); \quad \Phi(A) := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(2.1)

The determinant and trace of \( A \) is defined to be \( \det_\mathbb{H}(A) := \det(\Phi(A)) \) and \( \text{tr}_\mathbb{H}(A) := \text{tr}(\Phi(A)) \), respectively. The above definitions of determinant and trace of a matrix over \( \mathbb{H} \) are well-defined due to Skolem-Noether theorem. For \( A \in M(n, \mathbb{F}) \), let \( A^T \) denotes the transpose of the matrix \( A \), where \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{H} \). Let \( I_n \) denotes the identity matrix of order \( n \times n \), and \( O_{m \times n} \) denotes the zero matrix of order \( m \times n \). Consider the Lie groups

\[
\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid \det(g) = 1 \}, \quad \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H}) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \mid \det_\mathbb{H}(g) = 1 \}
\]

with the associated Lie algebras

\[
\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{ X \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid \text{tr}(X) = 0 \}, \quad \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) = \{ X \in M(n, \mathbb{H}) \mid \text{tr}_\mathbb{H}(X) = 0 \}.
\]

The natural adjoint representation \( \text{Ad}: \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F})) \) is given by \( \text{Ad}(g)X := gXg^{-1} \) for all \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F}) \), \( g \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \).

**Definition 2.2** (cf. [He, p. 302]). A Jordan block \( J(m, \lambda) \) is a \( m \times m \) matrix with \( \lambda \) on the diagonal entries, 1 on all of the super-diagonal entries, and zero elsewhere. We will refer to a block diagonal matrix where each block is a Jordan block as Jordan form.

In what follows, we will use the following notation throughout the paper.

1. \( J(m, \lambda) := \lambda I_m + N(m, \lambda) \), where \( N(m, \lambda) \) is the nilpotent part of Jordan block \( J(m, \lambda) \).
(2) \( J(d(n), \lambda) := \lambda I_n + N(d(n), \lambda) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_d} \left( J(d_j, \lambda) \oplus \cdots \oplus J(d_j, \lambda) \right) \), where \( d(n) = [d_1^{d_1}, \ldots, d_s^{d_s}] \in P(n) \). In some places, we use the notation \( d(n) \) instead of \( d(n) \).

(3) \( \lambda_n \) and \( N(d(n), \lambda) \) are the semi-simple part and the nilpotent part of the Jordan form \( J(d(n), \lambda) \). For given \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \) and a partition of \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there is a unique Jordan form \( J(d(n), \lambda) \).

2.2. Preliminary results. Here we will include some known results. First, we state a basic lemma without proof.

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. [FZ, Proposition 4.2, Theorems 4.2, 4.3]). Let \( A, B \in M(n, \mathbb{H}) \). Then

1. \( \Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B) \), \( \Phi(A + B) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B) \), \( \Phi(A^T) = \overline{\Phi(A)^T} \) and \( \Phi(A^{-1}) = (\Phi(A))^{-1} \) if \( A^{-1} \) exists.
2. \( \det_{\mathbb{H}}(A) \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \det_{\mathbb{H}}(A) \geq 0 \) for all \( A \in M(n, \mathbb{H}) \).
3. \( A \) is invertible if and only if \( \Phi(A) \) is invertible. Also, \( A \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \) if and only if \( \Phi(A) \in \text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \).

Next, we recall the well-known Jordan canonical theorem of \( M(n, \mathbb{F}) \).

**Theorem 2.4** (cf. [Ro, Theorem 15.1.1, Theorem 5.5.3]). For every \( X \in M(n, \mathbb{F}) \) there is an invertible matrix \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \) such that \( gXg^{-1} \) has the form

\[
gXg^{-1} = J(d(m_{\lambda_1}), \lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus J(d(m_{\lambda_p}), \lambda_p),
\]

where

- \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p \) are distinct complex numbers when \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \),
- \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p \) are distinct complex numbers with non-negative imaginary parts when \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H} \).

The form in (2.2) is uniquely determined by \( X \) up to a permutation of Jordan blocks.

Let us note down some useful known-results from [GM]. We include proof for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 2.5** ([GM, Proposition 4.7]). An \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \)-real semi-simple element in \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) is strongly \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \)-real if and only if either 0 is an eigenvalue or \( n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} \).

**Proof.** The proof follows from the fact that any semi-simple element in \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) is conjugate to a diagonal matrix. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.6** ([GM, Theorem 6.3]). Every nilpotent element in \( \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(n, \mathbb{H}) \) is strongly \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})} \)-real.

**Proof.** Let \( X_n \in \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(n, \mathbb{H}) \) be a nilpotent element and \( d(n) \) be the corresponding partition for \( X_n \). Using Theorem 2.4, we may assume \( X_n = N(d(n), 0) \). Consider \( \tau := \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, (-1)^{n+1})_{n \times n} \). Then \( \tau \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \) is an involution and \( \tau X_n \tau^{-1} = -X_n \). Hence \( X_n \) is strongly \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})} \)-real. \( \square \)

Recall that any nilpotent element \( X \in \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) has a unique Jordan canonical form \( N(d(n), 0) \), where \( d(n) \) is the corresponding partition of \( n \). This is the correspondence between \( X \) and the partition \( d(n) \) of \( n \).
Theorem 2.7 ([GM, Theorem 5.6]). Let \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) be a nilpotent element, and \( d(n) \in \mathcal{P}(n) \) be the corresponding partition. Then \( X \) is strongly \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \)-real if and only if \( d(n) \not\in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \), where \( \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \) is defined in (1.2).

**Proof.** Given a nilpotent element \( X \) in \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), the underlying vector space \( \mathbb{C}^n \) has a basis of the form \( \{ X^i v_{ij}^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq t_d, 0 \leq l < d_j \} \), where \( d(n) = [d_1^d, \ldots, d_s^d] \in \mathcal{P}(n) \) is the associated partition for \( X \). We will choose an involution \( g \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) with \( gXg^{-1} = -X \) when \( d(n) \notin \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \). Recall that for a partition \( d(n) \), \( N_{d(n)} \) and \( E_{d(n)} \) are defined as in (1.1). Define \( O_{d(n)} := N_{d(n)} \setminus E_{d(n)} \). Set
\[
O^1_{d(n)} := \{ \eta \mid \eta \in O_{d(n)}, \eta \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \} \text{ and } O^3_{d(n)} := \{ \eta \mid \eta \in O_{d(n)}, \eta \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \}.
\]
First we define \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) as follows:
\[
g(X^i v_{ij}^d) = \begin{cases} (-1)^l X^i v_{ij}^d & \text{if } d(n) \in E_{d(n)} \cup O^1_{d(n)} \\ (-1)^{l+1} X^i v_{ij}^d & \text{if } d(n) \in O^3_{d(n)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}
\]
Then \( gXg^{-1} = -X \) and \( g^2 = I_n \). Moreover, \( \det(g) = (-1)^{\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+} t_\eta} \). Now we will consider two separate cases:

**Case 1:** If either \( d(n) \in \mathcal{P}_{v, \text{even}}(n) \) or \( d(n) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{v, \text{even}}(n) \), \( \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+} t_\eta \) is even then \( \det(g) = 1 \).

**Case 2:** Next assume that \( d(n) \in \mathcal{P}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}}(n) \). If \( \det(g) = 1 \), then we are done. Thus we assume that \( \det(g) = -1 \). Suppose \( d_{i_0} \in O_{d} \) i.e., \( d_{i_0} \) is an odd integer. Now we will define another involution \( \tilde{g} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) as follows:
\[
\tilde{g}(X^i v_{ij}^d) = \begin{cases} g(X^i v_{ij}^d) & \text{if either } d \neq d_{i_0} \text{ or when } d = d_{i_0}, j > 1 \\ (-1)^{l+1} g(X^i v_{ij}^d) & \text{if } d = d_{i_0}, j = 1. \end{cases}
\]
Then \( \det(\tilde{g}) = -\det(g) = 1 \), \( \tilde{g}^2 = I_n \), \( X\tilde{g} = -\tilde{g}X \). Hence, for \( d(n) \notin \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \), \( X \) is strongly \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \)-real.

Finally we assume that \( d \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \). Suppose that \( X \) is strongly \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \)-real, i.e., \( \tau X \tau^{-1} = -X \) for some involution \( \tau \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \). We will write \( \tau \) with respect to the ordered basis \( \mathcal{B} \) constructed as in (3.2). The matrix form \( [\tau]_\mathcal{B} := (g_{ij}) \) of \( \tau \) with respect to \( \mathcal{B} \) is block-upper triangular matrix of the form given in (3.3). Note that the block-upper triangular matrix \([g]_\mathcal{B}\) has \( (d_1 + \cdots + d_s)\)-many diagonal blocks. The order of the first \( s\)-many diagonal blocks \( g_{jj} \) is \( t_d \times t_d \), for \( j = 1, \ldots, s \). Since \( \tau^2 = I_d \), we have \( g^2_{jj} = I_n \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq s \). It follows from the definition of the ordered basis \( \mathcal{B} \) that \( g_{jj} \) and \( -g_{jj} \) both occur \( d_j/2\)-many times in the diagonal block for \( 1 \leq j \leq s \). This contradicts the fact that \( \det(\tau) = 1 \), as
\[
1 = \det(\tau) = (-1)^{\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+} t_\eta} (\det g_{jj})^{d_j} = (-1)^{\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+} t_\eta} = -1.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

3. Some Useful Lemma’s

The first result gives a relation between \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})} \)-real and \( \text{Ad}_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})} \)-real elements in \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F}) \), where \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{H} \).
Lemma 3.1. An element \( X \) of \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{F}) \) is \( \text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F})} \)-real if and only if it is \( \text{Ad}_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})} \)-real.

Proof. Let \( gXg^{-1} = -X \) hold for some \( g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \). Now if \( \det(g) = 1 \) then we are done. Suppose that \( \det(g) \neq 1 \). Recall that in the case \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H} \), \( \det(g) \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \det(g) > 0 \), see Lemma 2.3(2). Let \( \alpha := \frac{1}{(\det(g))^{1/2}} \). Then \( \alpha I_n \) lies in the center of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \).

Now \( g(\alpha I_n)X(\alpha I_n)^{-1}g^{-1} = -X \), and \( \det(g(\alpha I_n)) = 1 \). The proof follows. \( \square \)

In the following example, we will describe a conjugating element \( g \) for an \( \text{Ad}_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})} \)-real nilpotent element \( X \), see [GM, Appendix].

Example 3.2. Let \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(8, \mathbb{C}) \) be a nilpotent element which corresponds to the partition \([4, 2^2]\) of 8. There exists \( v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathbb{C}^8 \) such that the vector space \( \mathbb{C}^8 \) has a basis of the form \( \{ v_1, Xv_1, X^2v_1, X^3v_1, v_2, Xv_2, v_3, Xv_3 \} \). We now re-ordered the above basis as follows:

\[
\mathcal{B} := \{ X^3v_1, Xv_2, Xv_3, X^2v_1, v_2, v_3, Xv_1, v_1 \} = (u_1, \ldots, u_8), \text{ (say).} \tag{3.1}
\]

If \( g \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^8) \) be so that \( -X = gXg^{-1} \), then \( g \) is completely determined by it’s action on \( v_1 \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). Write \( g(v_i) = \sum c_{i,r}^l v^l \) for \( r = 1, 2, 3 \), where \( c_{i,r}^l \in \mathbb{C} \). i.e.,

\[
g(v_1) = c_{11}^3 X^3v_1 + c_{12}^3 Xv_2 + c_{13}^3 X^3v_3 + c_{12}^1 X^2v_1 + c_{21}^0 v_2 + c_{31}^0 v_3 + c_{11}^1 X^2v_1 + c_{11}^1 v_1, \\
g(v_2) = c_{12}^3 X^3v_1 + c_{22}^1 Xv_2 + c_{32}^1 X^3v_3 + c_{22}^0 X^2v_1 + c_{22}^0 v_2 + c_{32}^0 v_3 + c_{12}^1 X^2v_1 + c_{12}^1 v_1, \\
g(v_3) = c_{13}^3 X^3v_1 + c_{23}^1 Xv_2 + c_{33}^1 X^3v_3 + c_{23}^0 X^2v_1 + c_{23}^0 v_2 + c_{33}^0 v_3 + c_{13}^1 X^2v_1 + c_{13}^1 v_1.
\]

Now using the relations \( -X = gXg^{-1} \), and \( X^4v_i = 0, X^2v_i = 0, i = 2, 3 \); it follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
g(Xv_1) &= -Xg(v_1) = -(c_{11}^2 X^3v_1 + c_{21}^0 Xv_2 + c_{31}^0 X^3v_3 + c_{11}^1 X^2v_1 + c_{11}^1 v_1), \\
g(Xv_2) &= -Xg(v_2) = -(c_{12}^3 X^3v_1 + c_{22}^1 Xv_2 + c_{32}^1 X^3v_3 + c_{12}^0 X^2v_1 + c_{12}^0 v_1), \\
g(Xv_3) &= -Xg(v_3) = -(c_{13}^3 X^3v_1 + c_{23}^1 Xv_2 + c_{33}^1 X^3v_3 + c_{13}^0 X^2v_1 + c_{13}^0 v_1), \\
g(X^2v_1) &= c_{11}^1 X^2v_1 + c_{11}^0 X^2v_1, \\
g(X^2v_3) &= -c_{11}^1 X^2v_1.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( g(X^2v_2) = g(X^2v_3) = 0 \), we have \( c_{12}^1 = c_{12}^0 = c_{13}^1 = c_{13}^0 = 0 \). Observe that

\[
g(\text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{u_1, \ldots, u_i\}) \subseteq \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{u_1, \ldots, u_i\}, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots 8;
\]

where the ordered basis \( (u_1, \ldots, u_8) \) is as in (3.1). In particular, the matrix \([g]_\mathcal{B}\) is of the form:

\[
[g]_\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix}
-c_{11}^0 & -c_{21}^0 & -c_{31}^0 & c_{11}^1 & c_{12}^3 & c_{13}^3 & c_{21}^0 & c_{31}^0 \\
-c_{22}^0 & -c_{23}^0 & 0 & c_{21}^1 & c_{23}^0 & -c_{31}^0 & -c_{21}^0 & c_{31}^0 \\
-c_{32}^0 & -c_{33}^0 & 0 & c_{31}^1 & c_{33}^0 & -c_{31}^0 & -c_{31}^0 & c_{31}^0 \\
-c_{11}^0 & c_{12}^3 & c_{13}^3 & c_{11}^1 & -c_{11}^0 & c_{11}^1 & c_{11}^0 & c_{11}^0 \\
0 & c_{22}^0 & c_{23}^0 & 0 & c_{21}^1 & c_{23}^0 & c_{21}^0 & c_{21}^0 \\
0 & c_{32}^0 & c_{33}^0 & 0 & c_{31}^1 & c_{33}^0 & c_{31}^0 & c_{31}^0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{31}^1 & c_{31}^0 & c_{31}^0 & c_{31}^0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{31}^1 & c_{31}^0 & c_{31}^0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

\( \square \)

In the proof of the next result, we generalize the above description of the conjugating elements in (3.3). The following result will be used in Lemma 4.8.
Lemma 3.3. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a nilpotent element and $\mathbf{d}(n) = [d_1^{d_1}, \ldots, d_s^{d_s}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the associated partition for the nilpotent element $X$. Let $g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be such that $g$ satisfies the following properties:

\begin{itemize}
  \item $gX = -Xg$,
  \item $g\bar{g} = -I_n$.
\end{itemize}

Then $t_{d_j}$ is even for all $j = 1, \ldots, s$.

Proof. Given a nilpotent element $X$ in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, the underlying vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ has a basis of the form \{$X^j v_i^j \mid 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq d_j, 0 \leq l < d_j$\}, where $[d_1^{d_1}, \ldots, d_s^{d_s}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ is the associated partition for $X$, [SS, Chapter IV (1.6), p. E-83]. Motivating by an ordering as done in [BCM1, (4.4)], we will consider here the following ordering as in [GM, Section 3.5], also see [GM, Appendix]. Set $\mathcal{B}^i(d_j) := (X^j v_1^j, \ldots, X^j v_{d_j}^j)$. Define

$$
\mathcal{B}(j) := \mathcal{B}^{d_j-j}(d_j) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}^{d_s-j}(d_s), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}(d_1).
$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an ordered basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. From the definition of $\mathcal{B}(1), X|_{\mathcal{B}(1)} = 0$. Using the relation $(-X)^r = gX^rg^{-1}$, it follows that

\begin{itemize}
  \item $g(\text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\mathcal{B}^{d_1-1}(d_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}^{d_j-1}(d_j)\}) \subseteq \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\mathcal{B}^{d_1-1}(d_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}^{d_j-1}(d_j)\}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$.
  \item $g(\text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\mathcal{B}(1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}(m)\}) \subseteq \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\mathcal{B}(1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}(m)\}$ for $m = 1, \ldots, d_1$.
\end{itemize}

Also, $g$ is determined uniquely by its action on $v_i^d$ for $1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq d_j$. Note that the matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a block upper triangular matrix with $(d_1 + \cdots + d_s)$-many diagonal blocks. The matrix $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is of the following form:

$$
[g]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix}
  g_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & g_{1n} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  \cdots & \cdots & g_{rr} & \cdots \\
  \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
  g_{nn} & \cdots & \cdots & g_{nn}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where the order of the first $s$-many diagonal blocks $g_{jj}$ is $t_{d_j} \times t_{d_j}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, s$.

The condition $g\bar{g} = -I_n$ implies that $g_{jj}$, the diagonal block of $[g]_{\mathcal{B}}$, satisfies

$$
g_{jj}g_{jj} = -I_{d_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, s.
$$

By considering the determinant in the above relation, it follows that $\det(g_{jj})\overline{\det(g_{jj})} = |\det(g_{jj})|^2 = (-1)^{t_{d_j}}$. Thus $t_{d_j}$, the order of $g_{jj}$, is even for all $j = 1, \ldots, s$. This completes the proof. \qed

The following lemma is an infinitesimal version of [ST, Lemma 2.2.1].

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra of a connected Lie group $G$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $X = X_s + X_n$ be the Jordan decomposition of $X$. Then $X$ is $\text{Ad}_G$-real if and only if both the following conditions hold:

\begin{enumerate}
  \item $X_s$ is $\text{Ad}_G$-real.
  \item $-X_n$ and $\sigma X_n \sigma^{-1}$ are conjugate in $\text{Z}_G(X_s)$ for some $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s$.
\end{enumerate}
Proof. First assume that $X$ is $\text{Ad}_G$-real. Let $g \in G$ be such that $-X_s - X_n = -X = gXg^{-1} = g(X_s + X_n)g^{-1} = gX_s g^{-1} + gX_n g^{-1}$. From the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition of $X$, we get $-X_s = gX_s g^{-1}$ and $-X_n = gX_n g^{-1}$.

Conversely, let $-X_s = \sigma X_s \sigma^{-1}$ and $-X_n = h \sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} h^{-1}$ for some $\sigma \in G$ and $h \in Z_G(X_s)$. Then it follows that $-X = (h \sigma)(X_s + X_n)(h \sigma)^{-1} = (h \sigma)X(h \sigma)^{-1}$. Hence $X$ is $\text{Ad}_G$-real.

In the following lemma, we get the centralizer of semi-simple elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a non-zero semi-simple element. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $\lambda_i$ denote the distinct eigenvalues of $A$ with the multiplicity $p_i$. Then

$$Z_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(A) := \left\{ g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid gAg^{-1} = A \right\} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{GL}(p_i, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Since $A$ is a semi-simple element in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, up to conjugacy $A$ is of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \lambda_i I_{p_i}$. Now the proof follows from straightforward calculations. □

The following lemma characterizes conjugating elements corresponding to $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})}$-real semi-simple elements of $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Such description has been used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $X_s \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be an element such that

$$X_s = O_{p_0 \times p_0} \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i I_{p_i})) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r (-\lambda_i I_{p_i})),$$

where $p_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\lambda_j \neq \pm \lambda_k$ for all $j \neq k$. Suppose that $\sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s$ for some $\sigma \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\sigma$ has the following form:

$$\sigma = \alpha_{p_0 \times p_0} \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i g_{p_i}) \right),$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^r g_i = 0$ and $f_{p_i}, g_{p_i} \in \text{GL}(p_i, \mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_{p_0 \times p_0} \in \text{GL}(p_0, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let $A := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i I_{p_i}) \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$. Then $X_s = O_{p_0 \times p_0} \oplus A \oplus (-A)$. Let

$$\sigma := \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
L_{p_0 \times p_0} & M_{p_0 \times p_0} & N_{p_0 \times p_0} \\
P_{p \times p_0} & Q_{p \times p_0} & R_{p \times p_0} \\
S_{p \times p_0} & T_{p \times p} & U_{p \times p}
\end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

be such that $\sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s$. Then the followings hold on sub-matrices of $\sigma$:

- $MA = NA = O_{p_0 \times p}$ and $AP = AS = O_{p \times p_0}$. Since $A \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$, it follows that $M = N = O_{p_0 \times p}$ and $P = S = O_{p \times p_0}$.

- $QA = -AQ$ and $AU = -UA$, where $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i I_{p_i})$, $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and $\lambda_j \neq \pm \lambda_k$ for all $j \neq k$. Therefore, $Q = U = O_{p \times p}$.

- $AR = RA$ and $AT = TA$, where $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i I_{p_i})$, $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and $\lambda_j \neq \pm \lambda_k$ for all $j \neq k$. By using Lemma 3.5, we get $R, T \in Z_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})}(A)$ such that $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r f_{p_i}$, $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r g_{p_i}$, where $f_{p_i}, g_{p_i} \in \text{GL}(p_i, \mathbb{C})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

The proof of this lemma now follows from the above observations. □

Next lemma gives us a relationship between $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-reality in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ and $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})}$-reality in $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. 


Lemma 3.7. If \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \) is Ad_{SL(n,\mathbb{H})}-real (resp. strongly Ad_{SL(n,\mathbb{H})}-real), then \( \Phi(X) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \) is Ad_{SL(2n,\mathbb{C})}-real (resp. strongly Ad_{SL(2n,\mathbb{C})}-real).

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that the map \( \Phi \) in (2.1) is a homomorphism. \( \square \)

The converse of the above result is not true, see Example 4.5.

4. Proof of the main results

4.1. Classification of real and strongly real elements in \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \). Proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into two parts according as \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{H} \). Here we will prove Theorem 1.1 for the case \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \). The case \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H} \) will be dealt in Section 4.2.

Theorem 4.1. Let \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) be a non-zero arbitrary element. Then \( X \) is Ad_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}-real if and only if both the following conditions hold:

1. If \( \lambda \) is an eigenvalue of \( X \), then \( -\lambda \) is also an eigenvalue of \( X \) with the same multiplicity.
2. Let \( m \) be the multiplicity of the eigenvalues \( \lambda \) and \( -\lambda \). Let \( d_\lambda \) and \( d_{-\lambda} \) be the partitions of \( m \) associated to the eigenvalues \( \lambda \) and \( -\lambda \), respectively. Then

\[
d_\lambda = d_{-\lambda}.
\]

Proof. First we assume that \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) satisfies conditions (1) and (2). Then the Jordan decomposition of \( X \) will be of the following form:

\[
X = X_s + X_n
\]

such that

\[
\begin{align*}
X_s &= O_{p_0 \times p_0} \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{i=1}^r \left( \lambda_i I_{p_i} \right) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{i=1}^s \left( -\lambda_i I_{p_i} \right) \right), \\
X_n &= N(d_0, 0) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{i=1}^r N(d_\lambda, \lambda_i) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{i=1}^r N(d_{-\lambda}, -\lambda_i) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( p_0 + 2p = n \) and \( \lambda_i \neq 0 \) for all \( i \), \( \lambda_j \neq \pm \lambda_k \) for all \( j \neq k \).

Let

\[
\sigma := I_{p_0} \bigoplus \left( \begin{array}{c} -I_p \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau := \text{diag}(z, -z, z, -z, \ldots, (-1)^{n+1}z)_{n \times n},
\]

where \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( \tau \in SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \). Note that \( \sigma \in SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( \sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s \) and \( \sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} = X_n \). Similarly, \( \tau X_s \tau^{-1} = X_s \) and \( \tau X_n \tau^{-1} = -X_n \).

Set

\[
g := \tau \sigma.
\]

Then \( gXg^{-1} = (\tau \sigma)(X_s + X_n)(\tau \sigma)^{-1} = -X \), and det \( g = 1 \). Hence \( X \) is Ad_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}-real.

Next, we assume that \( X \) is Ad_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}-real. Then \( X_s \) is so; see Lemma 3.4 (1). Now condition (1) of the Proof 4.1 follows.

Moreover, using Lemma 3.4(2), we have \( -X_n \) and \( \sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} \) are conjugate in \( Z_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}(X_s) \) for some \( \sigma \in SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( \sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s \). Then \( \sigma \) is of the form (3.4). In view of Lemma 3.5, it follows that there exists \( h = \oplus_{j=0}^{2r} h_{p_j} \in Z_{SL(n,\mathbb{C})}(X_s) \) such that \( h X_n h^{-1} = -\sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} \), where \( h_{p_j} \in GL(p_j, \mathbb{C}) \) for all \( j \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2r\} \). Now the relation \( h X_n h^{-1} = -\sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} \) implies that \( h_{p_i} N(d_{\lambda_i}, \lambda_i) h_{p_i}^{-1} = -f_{p_i} N(d_{-\lambda_i}, -\lambda_i) f_{p_i}^{-1} \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \),
where \( f_p \)'s are as in (3.4). Now the conclusion follows from the Jordan canonical form over \( \mathbb{C} \); see Theorem 2.4.

Next, we will prove Theorem 1.2. Recall the definition of \( \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \) as in (1.2).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** First we assume that either 0 is an eigenvalue of \( X \) such that \( d(p_o) \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(p_o) \) or \( n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). We will divide the above situation in two cases.

- **Case 1.** When \( n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} \) and \( p_o = 0 \), i.e., \( n = 2p \), where \( p \) is even. define
  \[
  \sigma := \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 1 \end{pmatrix}
  \quad \text{and} \quad
  \tau := \tau_1 \oplus \tau_1, \quad \text{where} \quad \tau_1 := \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, (-1)^{p+1})_{p \times p}.
  \]
  Since \( p \) is even, \( \det(\sigma) = (-1)^p = 1 \). Further, note that \( \tau \sigma = \sigma \tau \).

- **Case 2.** When \( p_o \neq 0 \) and \( d(p_o) \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(p_o) \), set \( \sigma := \pm I_1 \oplus I_{p_o-1} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), where \( +I_1 \) or \( -I_1 \) is chosen so that \( \sigma \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \). Since \( d(p_o) \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(p_o) \) using Theorem 2.7 there exists an involution \( \tau_o \in \text{SL}(p_o, \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( \tau_o N(d(p_o), 0) \tau_o^{-1} = -N(d(p_o), 0) \).
  Further, we can choose \( \tau_o \) such that \( \tau_o \) is diagonal, see [GM, Theorem 5.6, Proposition 5.4]. Let \( \tau := \tau_o \oplus \tau_1 \oplus \tau_1 \) such that \( \tau_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, (-1)^{p+1})_{p \times p} \). Note that by our choice of \( \tau_o \) it follows that \( \tau \sigma = \sigma \tau \).

Now in both the above cases, we have observed that \( \sigma, \tau \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) are involutions such that \( \sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s, \sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} = X_n, \tau X_s \tau^{-1} = X_s, \) and \( \tau X_n \tau^{-1} = -X_n \). Since \( \tau \sigma = \sigma \tau \), it follows that \( \tau \sigma \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) is an involution and \( (\tau \sigma)X(\tau \sigma)^{-1} = -X \). Hence \( X \) is strongly Ad_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})}-real.

Now, we assume that \( X \) in \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) is strongly Ad_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})}-real. This implies that \( X_s \) and \( X_n \) are strongly Ad_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})}-real. Using Proposition 2.5, we have either 0 is an eigenvalue or \( n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). Therefore, if 0 is not an eigenvalue of \( X \), then we are done.

Next, we assume that 0 is an eigenvalue of \( X \), i.e., \( p_o \neq 0 \) and \( p_o \in \mathbb{N} \). Recall that \( d(p_o) \in \mathcal{P}(p_o) \) be the corresponding partition to the eigenvalue 0. Since \( X_n \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) is strongly real, using Theorem 2.7 it follows that if \( d(n) \in \mathcal{P}(n) \) be the corresponding partition of \( X_n \), then \( d(n) \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(n) \). In view of the Jordan decomposition of \( X \) as given in (4.1), it follows that if \( d(n) \notin \mathcal{P}(n) \) then \( d(p_o) \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_e(p_o) \). This completes the proof.

### 4.2. Classification of real and strongly real elements in \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \)

Recall that (right) eigenvalues of a matrix over \( \mathbb{H} \) exist in similarity classes, and we can represent an eigenvalue class by their unique complex representative, see Definition 2.1.

The following lemma classifies Ad_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}-real semi-simple elements in \( \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \) be a semi-simple element. Then the following are equivalent:

1. \( X \) is Ad_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}-real.
2. If \( \lambda \) is an eigenvalue of \( X \) such that the real part of \( \lambda \) is non-zero, then \( -\lambda \) is also an eigenvalue of \( X \).

**Proof.** Since \( X \) is Ad_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}-real, so \( -X = gXg^{-1} \) for some \( g \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \). This implies that if \( \lambda \) is an eigenvalue of \( X \), then \( -\lambda \) is also an eigenvalue of \( X \) with the
same multiplicity. Now the proof follows from the fact that the eigenvalues of $X$ exist in similarity classes, and if $\lambda$ is a purely imaginary unique complex representative of an eigenvalue of $X$ then $[\lambda] = [-\lambda]$.

Conversely, let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be a semi-simple element satisfying (2). Using Theorem 2.4 we can write the Jordan decomposition of $X$ as:

$$X = \left( \oplus_{i=1}^{m} (\mu_i I_{q_i}) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} (\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} (-\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right),$$

(4.2)

where $\mu_i, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re}(\mu_i) = 0, \text{Re}(\lambda_j) \neq 0, \text{Im}(\mu_i) \geq 0, \text{Im}(\lambda_j) \geq 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i = q, \sum_{j=1}^{r} p_j = p, q + 2p = n$.

Define $\sigma := (I_q)j \bigoplus (I_p - I_p) = \begin{pmatrix} (I_q)^j & -I_p \\ I_p & -I_p \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$. To see $\sigma \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$, we write $\sigma = A + Bj$, where $AB = BA$ such that $A = \begin{pmatrix} I_q & 0_{2p \times 2p} \\ 0_{n,q} & O_{2p \times 2p} \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{q \times q} & -I_p \\ I_p & -I_p \end{pmatrix} \in \text{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Using properties of determinants of block matrices we have

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma) = \det(\Phi(\sigma)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} = \det(A^2 + B^2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} I_q & -I_p \\ -I_p & -I_p \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$  

Thus we get $\sigma \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that $\sigma X \sigma^{-1} = -X$. This proves the lemma. \(\Box\)

In the following, we will prove Theorem 1.1 for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$. Then $X$ is $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real if and only if both the following conditions hold:

1. If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $X$ such that real part of $\lambda$ is non-zero, then $-\lambda$ is also an eigenvalue of $X$ with the same multiplicity.
2. Let $m$ be the multiplicity of the eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$. Let $d_\lambda$ and $d_{-\lambda}$ be the partitions of $m$ associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$, respectively. Then

$$d_\lambda = d_{-\lambda}.$$

**Proof.** First we assume that $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2). Then the Jordan decomposition of $X$ will be of the following form:

$$X = X_s + X_n$$

(4.3)

such that

- $X_s = \left( \oplus_{i=1}^{\ell} (\mu_i I_{q_i}) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} (\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} (-\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right)$,
- $X_n = \left( \oplus_{i=1}^{\ell} N(d_{\mu_i}, \mu_i) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} N(d_{\lambda_j}, \lambda_j) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} N(d_{-\lambda_j}, -\lambda_j) \right)$,

where $\mu_i, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Re}(\mu_i) = 0, \text{Re}(\lambda_j) \neq 0, \text{Im}(\mu_i) \geq 0, \text{Im}(\lambda_j) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i = q, \sum_{j=1}^{r} p_j = p, q + 2p = n$. Note that $N(d_{\lambda_j}, \lambda_j) = N(d_{-\lambda_j}, -\lambda_j)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. 


Set $g := \tau\sigma$, where $\sigma, \tau \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that:

$$\sigma = (I_q)j \oplus \left(O_{p \times p} \quad -I_p\right)$$

and $\tau = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, (-1)^{n-1})_{n \times n}$.

Then $g \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that $gXg^{-1} = -X$. Hence $X$ is Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real.

Conversely, let $X$ be Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real. In view of the Lemma 3.7 it follows that $\Phi(X) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is Ad$_{\text{SL}(2n,\mathbb{C})}$-real. Now the proof follows from Theorem 4.1, and from the fact that if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ with multiplicity (say $m$), then $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are also eigenvalues of $\Phi(X) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ such that both have the same multiplicity $m$. □

There are elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ which are Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real but not strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real, e.g., $X = [i] \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$. Now we will classify strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real semi-simple elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be a Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real semi-simple element. Then $X$ is strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real if and only if every non-zero eigenvalue class of $X$ has purely imaginary complex representative has even multiplicity.

**Proof.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be a Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real semi-simple element such that all non-zero purely imaginary eigenvalues of $X$ has even multiplicity. Then by using Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.2, we can write the Jordan decomposition of $X$ as:

$$X = O_{p_o \times p_o} \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{i=1}^\ell (\mu_i I_{2q_i}) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^r (\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right) \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^r (-\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mu_i, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\text{Re}(\mu_i) = 0, \text{Re}(\lambda_j) \neq 0, \text{Im}(\mu_i) > 0, \text{Im}(\lambda_j) \geq 0$,

and $\sum_{i=1}^\ell q_i = q, \sum_{j=1}^r p_j = p, \quad p_o + 2q + 2p = n$.

Let $\sigma = I_{p_o} \bigoplus \left( \oplus_{i=1}^\ell \text{diag} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \oplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^r (-\lambda_j I_{p_j}) \right)$.

Note that $\sigma \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ is an involution and satisfies $\sigma X \sigma^{-1} = -X$. In view of the Lemma 2.3(2), we have $\sigma \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$. Hence $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ is strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real.

Conversely, let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be a strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})}$-real semi-simple element, so there exists an involution $\sigma = [\sigma_{\ell,t}]_{1 \leq \ell, t \leq n} \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that $\sigma X \sigma^{-1} = -X$. Assume that $X$ has an eigenvalue class of odd multiplicity $m$ with representative $a_i$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$.

Now there are two possible cases:

- **Case 1.** If $X$ has exactly one eigenvalue class $[a_i]$, i.e., $m = n$, then up to conjugacy, we can assume that

$$X = [x_{\ell,t}]_{1 \leq \ell, t \leq n} = (ai)I_n.$$

The relation $\sigma X = -X \sigma$ implies that $(\sigma_{\ell,t})(ai) = (-ai)(\sigma_{\ell,t})$ if $1 \leq \ell, t \leq n$. Thus $\sigma_{\ell,t} = (a_{\ell,t})j$, where $a_{\ell,t} \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, we get $\sigma = Aj$, where $A = [a_{\ell,t}]_{1 \leq \ell, t \leq n} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

- **Case 2.** If $X$ has at least two distinct eigenvalue classes, i.e., $m < n$, then by using Theorem 2.4, we can write Jordan decomposition of $X$ as:

$$X = [x_{\ell,t}]_{1 \leq \ell, t \leq n} = (ai)I_m \oplus D, \quad (4.5)$$
where $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+2}, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, and $\lambda_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ has non-negative imaginary parts such that $ai \neq \pm \lambda_\ell$ for all $m + 1 \leq \ell \leq n$. From $\sigma X \sigma^{-1} = -X$, we get

$$\sigma X = -X \sigma$$

$$\iff \sum_{k=1}^{n}(\sigma_{\ell,k})(x_{k,t}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-x_{\ell,k})(\sigma_{k,t}), \text{ for all } 1 \leq \ell, t \leq n.$$ 

$$\iff (\sigma_{\ell,t})(x_{t,\ell}) = (-x_{t,\ell})(\sigma_{\ell,t}), \text{ for all } 1 \leq \ell, t \leq n.$$ 

This implies

$$(\sigma_{\ell,t})(ai) = (-ai)(\sigma_{\ell,t}) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq \ell, t \leq m;$$

$$(\sigma_{\ell,t})(\lambda_\ell) = (-ai)(\sigma_{\ell,t}) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq m, m + 1 \leq t \leq n;$$

$$(\sigma_{\ell,t})(ai) = (-\lambda_\ell)(\sigma_{\ell,t}) \quad \text{if } m + 1 \leq \ell \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq m.$$ 

Since $ai \neq \pm \lambda_\ell$ for all $m + 1 \leq \ell \leq n$, the following hold:

$$\begin{cases} (\sigma_{\ell,t}) = (a_{\ell,t}) j, \text{ where } a_{\ell,t} \in \mathbb{C} & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell, t \leq m, \\ \sigma_{\ell,t} = 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq m, m + 1 \leq t \leq n, \\ \sigma_{\ell,t} = 0 & \text{if } m + 1 \leq \ell \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq m. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\sigma$ has the form

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} A j \\ B \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B \in \text{GL}(n - m, \mathbb{H})$ and $A = [a_{\ell,t}]_{1 \leq \ell, t \leq m} \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$.

Since $\sigma^2 = I_n$, in both cases, we have $A j A j = I_m$. This implies $A \overline{A} = -I_m$ as the matrix $A \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$. By considering determinant in the above relation we get $|\det(A)|^2 = (-1)^m$. This contradicts to the assumption that $m$ is odd. This proves the lemma. □

Recall that if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is Ad$_G$-real (resp. strongly Ad$_G$-real) and $X = X_s + X_n$ is the Jordan decomposition of $X$, then $X_s$ and $X_n$ are Ad$_G$-real (resp. strongly Ad$_G$-real). Converse of this statement may not be true. The following example demonstrates this.

**Example 4.5.** Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{H})$. Then $X = X_s + X_n$ is the Jordan decomposition of $X$, where $X_s = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ and $X_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Take $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are involutions in $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{H})$ such that $\sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s$ and $\tau X_n \tau^{-1} = -X_n$. Thus $X_s$ and $X_n$ are strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})}$-real.

Now assume that $X$ is strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})}$-real. Let $g := \begin{pmatrix} g_{1,1} & g_{1,2} \\ g_{2,1} & g_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$ be an involution such that $g X g^{-1} = -X$. This implies

$$g X_s g^{-1} = -X_s, g X_n g^{-1} = -X_n \text{ and } g^2 = I_2.$$ 

(4.6)

Now $g X_n g^{-1} = -X_n \Rightarrow g X_n = -X_n g \Rightarrow g_{2,1} = 0$ and $g_{1,1} = -g_{2,2}$. Therefore, $g^2 = I_2$ implies $g_{1,1}^2 = 1$, i.e., $g_{1,1} = \pm 1$. But $g X_s g^{-1} = -X_s \Rightarrow g_{1,1} = -g_{1,1}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $X$ is not strongly Ad$_{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})}$-real. □

The following lemma is a generalization of Example 4.5.
Lemma 4.6. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be the Jordan block $J(n, ai)$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$. Then $X$ is not strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real.

Proof. Write $X = X_s + X_n$, where $X_s = (ai)I_n$ and $X_n = J(n, 0)$. Suppose that $X$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$. Therefore, there exists an involution $g : [g_{\ell,i}]_{1 \leq \ell, i \leq n} \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that $gXg^{-1} = X$. This implies
\[
gX_s g^{-1} = -X_s, \quad gX_n g^{-1} = -X_n \quad \text{and} \quad g^2 = I_n. \tag{4.7}
\]
Now on equating the entries in the first column of the left-hand side and first column of the right-hand side of equation $gX_n g^{-1} = -X_n$, we obtain $g_{\ell,1} = 0$ for all $2 \leq \ell \leq n$. Further, $g^2 = I_n$ implies $g_{1,1}^2 = 1$, i.e., $g_{1,1} = \pm 1$. But $gX_n g^{-1} = -X_s \Rightarrow g_{1,1}i = -ig_{1,1}$. This is a contradiction. Hence the lemma is proved. \hfill \Box

Although, the Jordan block $J(n, i) \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ is not strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real. But Jordan form in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ having such Jordan blocks can be $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real.

Lemma 4.7. Let $X := \begin{pmatrix} J(n, ai) \\ J(n, ai) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{H})$ be the Jordan form, where $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$. Then $X$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{H})}$-real.

Proof. Consider $g := \sigma \tau \in \text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{H})$, where $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are defined in the following way:
\[
\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} -(I_n)j \\ (I_n)j \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau := \tau_1 + \tau_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \tau_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, (-1)^{n+1})_{n \times n}.
\]
Equivalently, $g = \begin{pmatrix} -A \\ -jI \end{pmatrix}$, where $A = \text{diag}(j, -j, j, -j, \ldots, (-1)^{n+1}j)_{n \times n}$. Note that $\sigma \in \text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{H})$ is an involution such that $\sigma X_s \sigma^{-1} = -X_s$ and $\sigma X_n \sigma^{-1} = X_n$. Similarly, $\tau \in \text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{H})$ is an involution such that $\tau X_s \tau^{-1} = X_s$ and $\tau X_n \tau^{-1} = -X_n$. Therefore, $gXg^{-1} = -X$. Further, since $\tau$ and $\sigma$ are involutions such that $\tau \sigma = \sigma \tau$, it follows that $g$ is an involution. Hence the lemma is proved. \hfill \Box

Next result will be needed to prove Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.8. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be the Jordan form $J(d(n), ai)$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$ and $d(n) = [d_1^{s_1}, \ldots, d_s^{s_s}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the corresponding partition. Let $X$ be strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real. Then $t_{d_\ell}$ is even for all $1 \leq \ell \leq s$.

Proof. Since $X$ is strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real, there exists an involution $g \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that $gXg^{-1} = -X$. Write $X = X_s + X_n$, where $X_s = (ai)I_n$ and $X_n = N(d(n), 0)$. Then
\[
g((ai)I_n) = -((ai)I_n)g, \tag{4.8}
\]
\[
g(N(d(n), 0)) = -(N(d(n), 0))g, \quad \text{and} \quad g^2 = I_n. \tag{4.9}
\]
Now from (4.8), we get $g = hj$, where $h \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Since $h \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have
\[
hj = j\bar{h}, \quad \text{and} \quad h(N(d(n), 0)) = -(N(d(n), 0))h. \tag{4.10}
\]
Thus from equations (4.9) and (4.10), we have $h \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying following properties:
\begin{itemize}
  \item $h(N(d(n), 0)) = -(N(d(n), 0))h$,
  \item $h\bar{h} = -I_n$.
\end{itemize}
Now the proof follows from Lemma 3.3. □

Next we will give a proof of Theorem 1.3, which classifies the strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real elements in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** First, we assume that $X$ satisfies condition (2). Assume that the distinct non-zero purely imaginary eigenvalues of $X$ are represented by $\mu_i$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ with multiplicities $m_{\mu_i}$. Let $d(m_{\mu_i}) \in \mathcal{P}(m_{\mu_i})$ be the corresponding partition to $\mu_i$. Note that each $m_{\mu_i}$ is even.

Since $X$ is real in $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$, so it satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.3. For $1 \leq j \leq r$, let $\lambda_j$ denote the distinct eigenvalues of $X$ with non-zero real part and multiplicity $m_{\lambda_j}$. For each $j$, $-\lambda_j$ is also an eigenvalue of $X$ with the same multiplicity $m_{\lambda_j}$ and the same partition $d(m_{\lambda_j})$. Let $p_o \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ denote the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of $X$ such that $p_o + q + 2p = n$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_{\mu_i} = q$, $\sum_{j=1}^{r} m_{\lambda_j} = p$. Note that $q$ is even.

Then Jordan decomposition of $X$ has following form:

$$X = \left( \begin{array}{cc} N(d(p_o), 0) & A \\ B & \end{array} \right),$$

where $A = \oplus_{i=1}^{\ell} J(d(m_{\mu_i}), \mu_i)$ and $B = \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} J(d(m_{\lambda_j}), \lambda_j) \right) \oplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} J(d(m_{\lambda_j}), -\lambda_j) \right)$.

We see that each $d(m_{\mu_i})$ satisfies condition (2). After rearranging the Jordan blocks in $A$ and using Lemma 4.7, we can construct an involution $g_1 \in \text{SL}(q, \mathbb{H})$ such that $g_1 Ag_1^{-1} = -A$. Similarly, using idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can construct an involution $g_2 \in \text{SL}(2p, \mathbb{H})$ such that $g_2 B g_2^{-1} = -B$. Define $g := g_o \oplus g_1 \oplus g_2$, where $g_o = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, (-1)^{p_o+1})_{p_o \times p_o}$. Then $g \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ is an involution such that $g X g^{-1} = -X$.

Conversely, let $X$ be strongly $\text{Ad}_{\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{H})}$-real such that $\mu$ is the unique complex representative of a purely imaginary non-zero eigenvalue class of $X$ with multiplicity $m_{\mu}$, i.e., $\mu = a \mathbf{i}$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$. Now we can write Jordan decomposition of $X$ as:

$$X = X_s + X_n,$$

such that $X_s = (\mu I_{m_{\mu}}) \oplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} (\lambda_j I_{m_{\lambda_j}}) \right)$ and $X_n = N(d(m_{\mu}), \mu) \oplus \left( \oplus_{j=1}^{r} N(d(m_{\lambda_j}, \lambda_j) \right)$, where $\lambda_j$ are distinct complex numbers with non-negative imaginary part such that $[\mu] \neq [\lambda_j]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$.

Let $g = [g_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ be an involution such that $g X g^{-1} = -X$. Then

$$gx_s g^{-1} = -X_s, \quad gx_n g^{-1} = -X_n \quad \text{and} \quad g^2 = I_n. \quad (4.11)$$

Since $[\mu] \neq [\lambda_j]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$, from the relation $g X_s g^{-1} = -X_s$, we get that $g$ has the following form:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad g_1 \in \text{GL}(m_{\mu}, \mathbb{H}), g_2 \in \text{GL}((n - m_{\mu}, \mathbb{H}). \quad (4.12)$$

From equations (4.11) and (4.12) we get that there exists an involution $g_1 \in \text{SL}(m_{\mu}, \mathbb{H})$ such that $(g_1) \left( J(d(m_{\mu}), \mu) \right) (g_1^{-1}) = -J(d(m_{\mu}), \mu)$, where $d(m_{\mu})$ is corresponding partition of $\mu$. Now the proof of the theorem follows from Lemma 4.8. □
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