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Abstract

All the existing source seeking algorithms for unicycle models in GPS-denied settings guarantee at best an exponential rate of convergence over an infinite interval. Using the recently introduced time-varying feedback tools for prescribed-time stabilization, we achieve source seeking in prescribed time, i.e., the convergence to the source, without the measurements of the position and velocity of the unicycle, in as short a time as the user desires, starting from an arbitrary distance from the source. The convergence is established using a singularly perturbed version of the Lie bracket averaging method, combined with time dilation and time contraction operations. The algorithm is robust, provably, even to an arbitrarily strong gradient-dependent repulsive velocity drift emanating from the source.

1. Introduction

Source seeking has received a lot of attention in recent years due to its potential application for steering autonomous vehicles in environments where GPS is unavailable. The source seeking problem is defined as the search for the source of a scalar signal with a vehicle using only the measurement of the value of that scalar signal at the vehicles location. This signal could be a concentration of a chemical or biological agent \cite{24}, but also an electromagnetic, acoustic, thermal or radar signal. The strength of the signal is assumed to decay away from the source through diffusion or other physical processes. The goal is to design a control algorithm that steers the seeking vehicle to the location of the source. In addition to guidance of autonomous vehicles, this topic has a variety of other promising applications, including wireless communication, search and rescue operations, medical science and security engineering \cite{2, 3}.

In this paper, we achieve the source seeking goal in prescribed time (PT), starting from an arbitrary distance from the source. Our PT source seeking design employs tools recently developed for PT stabilization, which, in turn, build on classical proportional navigation laws for tactical missile guidance \cite{32}, an application in which the control objective is either achieved in finite time or not achieved at all.

1.1. Related works

We review the approaches developed for source seeking that are based on the extremum seeking method \cite{20}. The aim of all source seeking schemes is to locate the source of a scalar signal, having only the information of the value of that signal at the position the vehicle, however, the model of the vehicle is different. For instance, in \cite{23} two models for the vehicle are used. One is a velocity actuated point mass and the other a force actuated point mass. The source seeking problem is solved by exploiting the integrator dynamics of the vehicle model combined with an extremum seeking scheme. A more realistic model of the vehicle motion is considered in \cite{33}, namely, a non-holonomic unicycle and a source seeker is developed where the forward velocity is tuned with an extremum seeking feedback law. The asymptotic behavior of this algorithm was further improved by regulation of the forward velocity in \cite{10}, such that the unicycle slows down as the source is approached. A source seeking scheme for the unicycle model was proposed in \cite{4} and solved this problem by explicitly injecting sinusoidal perturbations to tune the angular velocity. Advancements of the angular velocity tuning based source seeking for the unicycle model to include slowly drifting sensors are investigated in \cite{11}. Contrary to this approach, in \cite{27} the tuning of the angular velocity was achieved by exploiting the special structure of the unicycle dynamics. An extension of this method was presented in \cite{7}, where a filter was added to the sensor measurement to improve the transient behavior. Furthermore, by combining the feedback laws from \cite{4} and \cite{27} the transient and asymptotic behavior of the source seeking algorithm is enhanced in \cite{25}. It was conjectured in \cite{1}, that sperm chemotaxis is a biological implementation of the source seeking algorithm from \cite{27, 7}. Additionally, novel schemes for source seeking with the unicycle were proposed in \cite{23, 21, 22} where the forward and angular velocity are tuned with stochastic signals. This concept of stochastic source seeking was extended to steer multiple non-holonomic unicyles in \cite{9}. Another approach for source seeking with acceleration actuated unicycle is found \cite{29} based on the symmetric product approximation. This approach was further improved in \cite{30}, where the velocity measurements of the unicycle is no longer required \cite{30}. Some improvements of the
tracking behavior of source seeking algorithms are presented in [12]. In addition to the unicycle model, two source seeking control schemes for actuating a three dimensional autonomous vehicle are presented in [5].

The regulation in prescribed finite time for system in nonlinear form was first achieved in [23] by using time-varying gains that grow unbounded as the terminal time is approached. The proposed PT-controller is robust against matched uncertainties and highlights the potential advantage of using PT-control laws. An extension of this methodology was presented for prescribed time output feedback for linear systems [14] and observers that converge in prescribed time [13]. PT-convergence is achieved in [16] [18] [17] by the introduction of temporal transformation. In their work the authors achieve PT-stabilization of non-linear strict feedback systems and PT-regulation of nonlinear systems, but also develop a framework for the design and analysis for systems that converge in prescribed finite time which we adopt here.

1.2. Contribution

We present a novel source seeking algorithm which tunes the angular velocity to find the source of an unknown scalar signal in a user-prescribed finite time. We name this algorithm a PT-Seeker. This represents a major advancement relative to the previous exponential/infinite-time seeking algorithms (exponential Seekers). In addition to tuning the angular velocity, both the angular and forward velocities are scaled by a function of time which grows unbounded as the terminal time is reached. The use of time-varying PT feedback endows our PT-Seeker with robustness to a potentially repulsive and destabilizing velocity drift term in the unicycle model—a first such result in source seeking. We prove the convergence to the source in prescribed time by employing the singularly-perturbed Lie bracket analysis and temporal dilations and contractions.

This paper is a journal version of [31] and contains, in addition, the design in Section 2.3, a generalization from the quadratic map $F(x)$ in the main result, Theorem 2, proofs that will be left out of [31] due to the conference limit to six pages, and additional and different simulation examples.

1.3. Organization

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide the necessary preliminaries for our results. We review the stability analysis with the singularly perturbed Lie bracket approximations and present an introduction to the design and analysis of PT-controllers via temporal transformations. In addition to that, we develop a PT source seeking algorithm for a vehicle modeled as a point mass. In Section 3 we formulate the problem of PT seeking of a repulsive source and in Section 4 we systematically derive the PT-Seeker. The analysis of the convergence of the PT-Seeker to the source is done in Section 5. Furthermore, we discuss feasibility and advantages of our design in Section 6. We conclude by presenting our simulation results in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we provide a review on the most important results, theorems and definitions needed for the understanding of our design and analysis.

2.1. Singularly perturbed Lie bracket approximations

In the following, we revise the method for stability analysis of extremum seeking systems established in [7] [6]. This method is based upon the combination of Singular Perturbations [15] Chapter 11 and the Lie Bracket Approximations [8].

Consider a system of the form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \mu f(\mu \tau, x, z, \omega) \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = g(x, z) \quad (1b)$$

with $x(\tau_0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z(\tau_0) = z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the parameters $\mu, \omega \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f(\mu \tau, x, z, \omega) = b_0(\mu \tau, x, z)$$

$$+ \sqrt{\omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(\mu \tau, x, z)u_i(\mu \tau, \omega \mu \tau)$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The system (1) is in a form where the singular perturbations method can be applied. For this, we calculate the so-called quasi-steady-state $l(x)$, that satisfies $g(x, l(x)) = 0$ and perform the change of variables $\tilde{y} = z - l(x)$.

By letting $\mu \to 0$, we obtain the so-called boundary layer model as

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}}{d\tau} = g(x, \tilde{y} + l(x)) \quad (3)$$

with $\tilde{y}(\tau_0) = \tilde{y}_0 = z_0 - l(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Imposing $z = l(x)$, i.e., $\tilde{y} = 0$ the so-called reduced model is obtained as

$$\frac{d\tilde{x}}{d\tau} = \mu b_0(\mu \tau, \tilde{x}, l(\tilde{x}))$$

$$+ \mu \sqrt{\omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(\mu \tau, \tilde{x}, l(\tilde{x}))u_i(\mu \tau, \omega \mu \tau)$$

with $\tilde{x}(\tau_0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The special form of (4) allows for the use of the Lie bracket approximation method, which leads to the Lie bracket system for $\mu = 1$

$$\frac{d\tilde{x}}{d\tau} = b_0(\tau, \tilde{x}, l(\tilde{x})) + \sum_{j=1+1}^{N} [b_{1j}, b_{2j}](\tau, \tilde{x}, l(\tilde{x}))v_{ji}(\tau) \quad (5)$$

with $\tilde{x}(\tau_0) = x_0$, $v_{ji}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_{0}^{T_0} u_j(\tau, \theta) \int_{0}^{\theta} u_i(\tau, s) ds d\theta$, where $[b_{1j}, b_{2j}]$ represents the Lie bracket of $b_1$ and $b_2$. The approximation (5) is only valid if Assumption A from [7] [6] is fulfilled. Next, the relationship between the stability properties of (1), (3) and (5) is summarized in the following theorem.
Theorem 1 ([7][6]). Consider [1] and suppose Assumption A is satisfied. Furthermore, suppose that a compact set $\mathcal{F}$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable (GUAS) for (5) and there exist $\mathcal{K}_\infty$ functions $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$ and a $\mathcal{K}$-function $\alpha_3$: $[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and a function $V \in C^1 : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $[x^\top, \tilde{y}^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\alpha_1(\tilde{y}) \leq V(\tilde{y}) \leq \alpha_2(\tilde{y})$$

(6)

$$\frac{\partial V(\tilde{y})}{\partial \tilde{y}} g(x, \tilde{y} + I(x)) \leq -\alpha_3(\tilde{y})$$

(7)

are satisfied. Then, the set $\mathcal{F}$ is sSPUAS for (1).

The corresponding definition of the sSPUAS property can be found in the Appendix, with $T$ set to $\infty$.

2.2. Design and analysis of control laws via temporal transformation

Let us recall the main concepts established in [16,18,17] for the design of control laws by using temporal scale transformations.

The objective of this approach is to develop a control algorithm in time $t \in I : = [t_0, t_0 + T]$ with which the states converge to their steady-state values as $t \to t_0 + T$, where $T$ is prescribed by the user, also called PT-controllers. In order to simplify this task, a time scale transformation $\alpha$ is introduced, that maps the finite time interval $I_t$ to the infinite time interval $I_T := [t_0, \infty)$ in time $\tau \in I_T$, i.e., $a : I_t \to I_T$. Thus, the control objective in time $\tau$ becomes the convergence of the states to their steady-state values as $\tau \to \infty$ and the control algorithm is designed on $I_T$. The desired PT-convergence is then achieved by introducing the inverse transformation $a^{-1} : I_T \to I_t$ and converting the control algorithm constructed in time $\tau$ to time $t$.

To illustrate this, consider a simple scalar example as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = u(t).$$

(8)

The goal here, is to choose $u(t)$, such that, $x$ converges to 0 as $t \to t_0 + T$. In order to examine (8) in time $\tau$, we introduce the transformation $a : I_t \to I_T$ as

$$\tau = \frac{t}{v(t-t_0)}$$

(9)

where

$$v(t-t_0) = 1 - \frac{t-t_0}{T}$$

(10)

is a monotonically decreasing linear function with the properties that $v(0) = 1$ and $v(T) = 0$. The transformation (9) has the effect of dilation of the finite time interval $I_t$ to the infinite time interval $I_T$, which is easily seen by

$$\lim_{t \to t_0 + T} \tau = \frac{t_0 + T}{v(T)} = \infty.$$

Considering (9) along with

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{t_0}{T}}{(1 + \frac{t_0}{T})^2},$$

(11)

we perform the change of variables $t \to \tau$ and obtain

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1 + \frac{t_0}{T}}{(1 + \frac{t_0}{T})^2} u(\tau).$$

(12)

The problem is now transformed into designing a control law $u(\tau)$, such that $x$ converges to 0 as $\tau \to \infty$. This is easily achieved by choosing

$$u(\tau) = -\frac{(1 + \frac{\tau}{T})^2}{1 + \frac{t_0}{T}} kx$$

(13)

which yields

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = -kx.$$

(14)

The linear time-invariant model (14) is globally uniformly asymptotically stable (GUAS) for $k > 0$ and $x(\tau)$ converges exponentially to 0 as $\tau \to \infty$, which is evident from the solution

$$x(\tau) = x_0 e^{-k(\tau-t_0)}.$$

(15)

Since the original problem is posed on the finite time interval $I_t$, we convert (13) and (14) in time $t$ with the inverse transformation $a^{-1} : I_T \to I_t$ defined as

$$t = \frac{\tau(1 + \frac{t_0}{T})}{1 + \frac{t_0}{T}},$$

(16)

which amounts to a contraction of the infinite time interval $I_T$ to the finite time interval $I_t$, also apparent from

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \tau = \frac{t_0 + T}{1 + \frac{t_0}{T}} = t_0 + T.$$

In view of

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{t_0}{T}}{v^2(t-t_0)},$$

(17)

we can perform the change of variables $\tau \to t$ and convert (14) to

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{(1 + \frac{t_0}{T})^2}{v^2(t-t_0)} kx.$$

(18)

Thus, we recognize

$$u(t) = -\frac{(1 + \frac{t_0}{T})}{v^2(t-t_0)} kx.$$

(19)

The controller (19) drives $x(t)$ to 0 in prescribed time $T$, which is obvious considering the solution of (18) given by

$$x(t) = x_0 e^{-k\left(1 + \frac{t_0}{T}\right) \frac{t-t_0}{v(T)}}.$$

(20)

and

$$\lim_{t \to t_0 + T} x(t) = x_0 e^{-\infty} = 0.$$

Since (15) and (20) refer to the value of the same signal, only in different time scales $\tau$ and $t$, we conclude that they have the same convergence properties for $\tau \to \infty$ and $t \to t_0 + T$, respectively. Hence, as a consequence of the GUAS property of (14) on the infinite time interval $I_T$, we achieve the desired GUAS stability of (18) on the finite time interval $I_t$, also referred to as FxT-GUAS. The exact definition of FxT-GUAS is found in e.g. [14, Definition 1]. For the visualization of the temporal transformations, see Fig. 1.
2.3. Introduction to PT-Source Seeking

In Section 2.2, we introduced the basic idea of designing a control law on the finite time interval $I_f$. It is to be noted that, with the temporal transformations (9) and (16) an existing control scheme that regulates the system in exponential time, i.e., as $t \to \infty$ can be adapted to regulate the system in user prescribed finite time $T$.

To further illustrate this and simultaneously introduce the source seeking problem for an autonomous vehicle modeled as a velocity-actuated points mass, in this Section we present a PT-version of the first source seeking algorithm based on extremum seeking [34].

The vehicle modeled as a point mass is given as

\begin{align}
  \dot{x}_1 &= v_{x_1} \\
  \dot{x}_2 &= v_{x_2} \\
  y &= F(x)
\end{align}

where $x = [x_1, x_2]^T$ is the position of the vehicle in the plane and $v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}$ are the velocity inputs.

The task of the vehicle (21) is to locate the source $x^*$ of an unknown field $F(x)$, using only the measurement $y$ of the field $F(x)$ at position $x$. It is assumed that at its source $x^*$ the field has its maximum value $F(x^*)$. The goal is to develop a source seeking algorithm, namely, choose $v_{x_1}(t), v_{x_2}(t)$, such that the position $x$ of (21) converges to the source $x^*$ of the field $F(x)$ in user prescribed time $T$, i.e., as $t \to t_0 + T$.

Analogous to the approach in Section 2.2 the source seeking algorithm is first designed on the infinite time interval $I_f$. For this, we perform the time dilation $t \to \tau$ on (21) with (9). Thus, the dynamics of the point mass vehicle (21) in time $\tau$ is given as

\begin{align}
  \frac{dx_1}{d\tau} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0})^2} v_{x_1}(\tau) \\
  \frac{dx_2}{d\tau} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0})^2} v_{x_2}(\tau)
\end{align}

Next, the feedback law is choosen as

\begin{align}
  v_{x_1}(\tau) &= \frac{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0})^2}{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}} \sqrt{\omega} (c(y - h) \sin(\omega \tau) + \alpha \cos(\omega \tau)) \\
  v_{x_2}(\tau) &= \frac{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0})^2}{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}} \sqrt{\omega} (-c(y - h) \cos(\omega \tau) + \alpha \sin(\omega \tau))
\end{align}

where $c \in (0, \infty)$ and $z$ is the state of the high-pass filter $\frac{dz}{d\tau}$ and its purpose is to provide better transient behavior by removing the possible constant offsets in the measurement $y$. The choice of (23) becomes obvious, once the closed loop is obtained by substituting (23) in (22) as

\begin{align}
  \frac{dx_1}{d\tau} &= c(y - h) \sqrt{\omega} \sin(\omega \tau) + \alpha \sqrt{\omega} \cos(\omega \tau) \\
  \frac{dx_2}{d\tau} &= -c(y - h) \sqrt{\omega} \cos(\omega \tau) + \alpha \sqrt{\omega} \sin(\omega \tau) \\
  \frac{dz}{d\tau} &= y - h
\end{align}

which is the same closed-loop system for source seeking as the ones proposed in [8] and [34] and it is known to converge to $x^*$ as $\tau \to \infty$.

Finally, in order to obtain the closed-loop system for source seeking in time $t$ (cf. Fig. 2), we perform the time contraction

**Figure 1:** Trajectory of (15) (\(\cdots\)) and (20) (\(\cdots\)) with $k = 2, t_0 = 0, T = 1$ and the initial condition $x(t_0) = 3$.

**Figure 2:** PT-seeker for the velocity-actuated point mass vehicle (21), where $k(t) = \frac{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_0})^2}$. The choice of (23) becomes obvious, once the closed loop is obtained by substituting (23) in (22) as

\begin{align}
  \frac{dx_1}{d\tau} &= c(y - h) \sqrt{\omega} \sin(\omega \tau) + \alpha \sqrt{\omega} \cos(\omega \tau) \\
  \frac{dx_2}{d\tau} &= -c(y - h) \sqrt{\omega} \cos(\omega \tau) + \alpha \sqrt{\omega} \sin(\omega \tau) \\
  \frac{dz}{d\tau} &= y - h
\end{align}
From (25), the PT-control feedback law for which the position $x$ of the vehicle (21) converges to the source $x^*$ of the field $F(x)$ as $t \to t_0 + T$ is read as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\nu_1}{v^2(t-t_0)}}{t} \sqrt{\omega} \left( c(y - hz) \sin \left( \frac{\omega t}{v(t-t_0)} \right) + \alpha \cos \left( \frac{\omega t}{v(t-t_0)} \right) \right) \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\nu_2}{v^2(t-t_0)}}{t} \sqrt{\omega} \left( -c(y - hz) \cos \left( \frac{\omega t}{v(t-t_0)} \right) + \alpha \sin \left( \frac{\omega t}{v(t-t_0)} \right) \right) \\
\frac{dz}{dt} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\nu_0}{v^2(t-t_0)}}{t} (y - hz).
\end{align*}
$$

(25a, 25b, 25c)

In order to verify the performance of the PT-control feedback law (26) for the point mass vehicle (21), we simulate the point mass PT-seeker (25) and compare it to the exponential source seeking schemes proposed in [8] and [34]. These schemes were already used as a motivation for our source seeking design on $I_z$ and are given in equation (24). By letting $T \to \infty$, which from (9) implies that $\tau = t$, we obtain the point mass exponential Seeker (24) in time $t$. For the simulation we have used the parameters $c = 0.3$, $h = 1$, $\omega = 10$ and $\alpha = 1$, the results are shown in Figure 3. We set the prescribed time for (25) to $T = 3$. From the $y$ axis in Figure 3, we recognize the shape of the field and its maximum value $F(x^*) = 10$ and observe that the PT-seeker (25) reaches it as $t = T$. Furthermore, it is easily seen from Figure 3b that the source of $F(x)$ is at $x^* = [1,0]^T$ and its reached by both seekers.

In addition to that, from Figure 2 it is evident that, the velocity inputs of the point mass PT-seeker (26a) and (26b) do not blow up to infinity as $t \to t_0 + T$.

### 3. Problem Statement

In contrast to the autonomous vehicle modeled as a point-mass considered in Section 2.3, here we consider a more realistic model of the vehicle motion—a non-holonomic unicycle (cf. Fig. 3). In addition to that, the unicycle model is subject to an added potentially destabilizing drift term $EVF(x)$.
Figure 5: Model of the non-holonomic unicycle with forward velocity $u_1$ and orientation $\theta$.

equations of motion of the vehicle in this case are

$$\dot{x} = EVF(x) + u_1 \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

(27a)

$$\dot{\theta} = u_2$$

(27b)

$$y = F(x)$$

(27c)

where $x = [x_1, x_2]^T$ are the coordinates of the vehicles center with $x(t_0) = x_0$, $\theta$ is the orientation with $\theta(t_0) = \theta_0$, $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ are the forward and angular velocity inputs. The scalar measurement $y$ measures the strength of the field $F(x)$ at position $x$. The following assumption is made for the shape of the field $F(x)$.

**Assumption 1.** The field $F(x)$ has a global maximum, also referred to as ‘source’, at position $x^*$, i.e., $F(x^*) \geq F(x)$ for $x \neq x^*$.

The field $F(x)$ is bounded as

$$F(x^*) - a_1 \| x - x^* \|^2 \leq F(x) \leq F(x^*) - a_2 \| x - x^* \|^2$$

(28)

where $a_1, a_2 \in (0, \infty)$.

The gradient of the field $F(x)$, i.e., $\nabla F(x)$ is bounded as

$$b_1 \| x - x^* \| \leq \| \nabla F(x) \| \leq b_2 \| x - x^* \|$$

(29)

where $b_1, b_2 \in (0, \infty)$.

The drift term $EVF(x)$, with an arbitrary unknown matrix $E \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ and the unknown gradient $\nabla F(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$, might result from various physical causes, including the topography of the terrain which, through gravity, alters the unicycle model of a ground vehicle (see [26, Section 6.2]).

Similar to Section 2.3, the objective is to design a control law $(u_1, u_2)$ for (27), so that the states $x$ converge to the maximizer $x^*$ of $F(x)$ in prescribed finite time $T$, i.e., as $t \to t_0 + T$, despite the influence of the possibly unstable drift term $EVF(x)$.

4. PT-Source Seeking Design

Following the methodology presented in Section 2.2, we replace the task of designing the PT-seeker in finite time $t$ by examining $t \to \tau$ on (27) with (11) and obtain

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2} EVF(x) + \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2} u_1(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

(30a)

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2} u_2(\tau).$$

(30b)

Now, the problem of PT-seeking in time $t$ is transformed into a problem of exponential seeking in time $\tau$. Motivated by the exponential seeker proposed in [7], for which the states $x$ are proven to converge to $x^*$ as $\tau \to \infty$, we choose the time-varying dynamic feedback law for exponential seeking as

$$u_1(\tau) = \frac{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2}{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}} \sqrt{\omega} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

(31a)

$$u_2(\tau) = \frac{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2}{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}} \left(\omega - \frac{k}{\mu} (y - z)\right)$$

(31b)

$$\frac{dz}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\mu} (y - z).$$

(31c)

The equation (31c) comes from the fact that the time derivative of the measurement $y(t)$ is replaced by a washout filter $1/(\mu + \tau)$, namely, by an approximate differentiator. The signal after the washout filter is then $1/(\mu + \tau) (y - z)$, where $z$ denotes the state of the filter. Substituting (31) in (30) yields

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2} EVF(x) + \sqrt{\omega} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

(32a)

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2} \left(\omega - \frac{k}{\mu} (y - z)\right)$$

(32b)

$$\frac{dz}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\mu} (y - z).$$

(32c)

Notice that, due to the drift term $\frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{(1 + \frac{\omega}{T})^2} EVF(x)$, the proposed closed-loop system for source seeking (32) is different from the one shown in [7] and the stability of the system needs to be analyzed separately.

Next, we perform the time contraction $\tau \to t$ with (17) and obtain (32) in time $t$ as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = EVF(x) + \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{v^2(t - t_0)} \sqrt{\omega} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

(33a)

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{v^2(t - t_0)} \left(\omega - \frac{k}{\mu} (y - z)\right)$$

(33b)

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega}{T}}{v^2(t - t_0)} \frac{1}{\mu} (y - z).$$

(33c)

From the closed-loop system (33), we deduce the PT-feedback law (cf. Fig. 6). We study its convergence in the next section.
5. PT-Convergence Analysis

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that Assumption [7] is satisfied, then the equilibrium point \( x^* \) of system (27) with the control feedback law

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1(t) &= \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{7}}{v^2(t - t_0)} \sqrt{\omega} \\
    u_2(t) &= \frac{1 + \frac{\beta}{7}}{v^2(t - t_0)} \left( \omega - \frac{k}{\mu}(y - z) \right) \\
    \frac{d\tau}{d\tau} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\beta}{7}}{v^2(t - t_0)} \left( \omega - \frac{k}{\mu}(y - z) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k, \omega, \mu > 0 \), is FXTs-SPUAS, as in Definition [4] from the Appendix.

In what follows, we derive the proof of Theorem 2 using the singularly perturbed Lie bracket averaging techniques presented in Section 2.2 and the temporal transformations presented in Section 2.2. The stability analysis is performed in time \( \tau \) on \( I_\tau \) and by using the inverse temporal transformation \( \text{16} \) we conclude the stability of (35) in time \( t \) on \( I_t \). Furthermore, we recall [9, Lemma B.3.] which we use in the proof.

**Lemma 1 ([9]).** Let \( v, l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) be real-valued functions defined on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) and let \( c \) be a positive constant. If \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are nonnegative and in \( L_1 \) and satisfy the differential inequality

\[
    \dot{v} \leq -cv + l_1(t)v + l_2(t), \quad v(0) \geq 0
\]

then \( v \in L_{\infty} \cup L_1 \) and

\[
   d\tau = g(x, \tilde{y}) = -\tilde{y}.
\]

In order to use the approach introduced in Section 2.1 consider the time scale change for (39) as \( \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{\mu} \) and \( \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{d\tau} = \mu \) which yields

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{dx}{d\tilde{\tau}} &= \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{7}}{(1 + \frac{\mu}{7})^2} \sqrt{\omega} E\nF(x) + \mu \sqrt{\omega} \left( \cos(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau} - kz + p_0) \right) \\
    + & \mu \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sin(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau} - kz + p_0) \right) \\
    \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{d\tau} &= y - z.
\end{align*}
\]

Since (39) is of the form (1), we can apply the singularly perturbed Lie bracket approximation analysis.

Recognizing that \( l(x) = y = F(x) \), the boundary layer model for (39) is obtained as

\[
\frac{dy}{d\tau} = g(x, \tilde{y} + l(x)) = -\tilde{y}.
\]

The reduced model is derived by substituting the quasi steady-state \( z = l(x) = F(x) \) in (39), which yields

\[
\frac{dx}{d\tilde{\tau}} = \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{7}}{(1 + \frac{\mu}{7})^2} E\nF(x) + \mu \sqrt{\omega} \left( \cos(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau} - kF(\tilde{x}) + p_0) \right) - \mu \sqrt{\omega} \left( \sin(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau} - kF(\tilde{x}) + p_0) \right).
\]

**Step 3. Lie Bracket Averaging:**

Now, we derive the Lie bracket system for the reduced model (41). Using the trigonometric identities \( \cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \) and \( \sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) + \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \), (41) becomes

\[
\frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{d\tau} = \mu \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{7}}{(1 + \frac{\mu}{7})^2} E\nF(\tilde{x}) + \mu \sqrt{\omega} \left( \frac{\cos(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau} - kF(\tilde{x}) + p_0)}{b_1(\mu \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau})} \right) - \mu \sqrt{\omega} \left( \frac{\sin(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau} - kF(\tilde{x}) + p_0)}{b_2(\mu \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau})} \right).
\]

Defining \( b_i(\mu \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}) \), \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) and \( u_i(\alpha \mu \tilde{\tau}) \), \( j = 1, 2 \) as in (42) and \( \mu = 1 \) which implies \( \tilde{\tau} = \tau \), we write the Lie bracket system of (42) similar as in (6) as

\[
\frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{d\tau} = \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{7}}{(1 + \frac{\mu}{7})^2} E\nF(\tilde{x}) + \frac{k}{2} \nF(\tilde{x}).
\]

Since \( \mu \) plays the role of a time scale, setting \( \mu = 1 \) does not influence the qualitative stability properties of the system (see proof of Theorem 1 in [6]).

**Step 4. Stability Analysis of the Lie Bracket System:**
In what follows, we analyze the stability of the Lie bracket system \([53]\). For this, we choose the Lyapunov function candidate 

\[
V(\bar{x}) = -(F(\bar{x}) - F(x^*))
\]

whose derivative along the solutions of \([33]\) is

\[
\frac{dV(\bar{x})}{d\tau} = -\frac{1 + \frac{b_0}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_2})^2}}{V(\bar{x})} |V(x)| - \frac{k}{2} |\nabla V(\bar{x})|^2.
\]

(44)

Using the inequality 

\[
\frac{dV(\bar{x})}{d\tau} \leq \frac{1 + \frac{b_0}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_2})^2}}{E} |\nabla V(\bar{x})|^2 - \frac{k}{2} |\nabla V(\bar{x})|^2.
\]

(45)

As a consequence of \(28\) and \(29\) from Assumption 1, we can write

\[
a_1 \|\bar{x} - x^*\|^2 \leq -(F(\bar{x}) - F(x^*)) \leq a_1 \|\bar{x} - x^*\|^2
\]

(46)

Combining \(48\) and \(49\), we obtain

\[
\frac{b_1^2}{a_1} \leq \frac{\|\nabla V(\bar{x})\|^2}{\|\nabla V(\bar{x})\|^2} \leq \frac{b_2^2}{a_2} |V(\bar{x})|
\]

(50)

Thus, with \(50\), the upper bound \(46\) becomes

\[
\frac{dV(\bar{x})}{d\tau} \leq \frac{1 + \frac{b_0}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_2})^2}}{E} |V(\bar{x})| - \frac{b_1^2}{a_1} \frac{k}{2} |V(\bar{x})|
\]

(51)

To apply Lemma 1 on \(51\), we choose \(l_1(\tau) = \frac{1 + \frac{b_0}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_2})^2}}{E} |V(\bar{x})|\),

\[
l_2(\tau) = 0 \text{ and } c = \frac{b_1^2}{a_2} \frac{k}{2}
\]

with

\[
|l_1(\tau)| = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 + \frac{b_0}{(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_2})^2}}{E} |V(\bar{x})| d\tau = \frac{b_1^2}{a_2} |E| (t_0 + \tau).
\]

(52)

In view of Lemma 1 we obtain the upper bound of \(V(\bar{x})\) as

\[
V(\bar{x}) \leq V(0) e^{\frac{b_1^2}{a_2} |E| (t_0 + \tau)} e^{-\frac{b_1^2}{a_2} \frac{k}{2} \tau}.
\]

(53)

Considering \(48\) and \(53\), we get an upper bound for the norm of \(\bar{x}\) as

\[
\|\bar{x}(\tau) - x^*\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_1}{a_2}} \|\bar{x}(0) - x^*\| e^{\frac{b_1^2}{a_2} |E| (t_0 + \tau)} e^{-\frac{b_1^2}{a_2} \frac{k}{2} \tau}.
\]

(54)

From \(54\), it is obvious that as \(\tau \to \infty\), the state \(\bar{x}(\tau) \to x^*\) which implies that the equilibrium point \(x^*\) of \([33]\) is GUAS in time \(\tau \in I_t\).

**Step 5. Singly perturbed Lie Bracket Averaging Theorem:**

In Step 4, we showed the exponential stability properties of the Lie bracket system \([33]\). In addition to that, we observe that the boundary layer model \([40]\) which we have obtained in Step 2 is a linear time-invariant system and by choosing \(V(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{y}^2\) with \(\frac{dV(\bar{y})}{d\tau} - g(x, \bar{y} + l(x)) = -\bar{y}^2\) satisfies the requirements \(65\) and \(77\).

Hence, we apply Theorem 1 from subsection 2.1 and conclude that the equilibrium point \(x^*\) of \([32]\) is sPUAS on the infinite time interval \(I_t\).

**Step 6. Time Contraction \(\tau \to t\):**

In the final step, we convert \([32]\) to \([33]\) using \([17]\). The temporal transformation \([16]\) retains the stability properties of \([32]\) in time \(t\), meeting, in particular, Definition 2. This implies that the equilibrium point \(x^*\) of \([33]\) is sPUAS on the finite time interval \(I_t\) (Ft-sPUAS) which immediately leads to the statement of Theorem 2.

Q.E.D.

**6. Feasibility and Advantages of the PT-Seeker**

We now compare the PT-Seeker \([33]\) with an exponential Seeker for \([27]\) that is obtained by letting \(T \to +\infty\) for \([33]\) and is written as

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = EVF(x) + \sqrt{\omega} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(55a)

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \omega - k \frac{y - z}{\mu}
\]

(55b)

\[
\frac{dz}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\mu} (y - z).
\]

(55c)

From \(9\) and \(16\), it is clear that setting \(T \to +\infty\) implies \(t = \tau\). Consequently, the convergence analysis for \([55]\) is done similarly as in Section 5. To this end, we perform steps 1–4 from the proof of Theorem 2 for system \([55]\). With the choice of \(T \to +\infty\), we observe that the upper bounds \([53]\) and \([54]\) tend to \(+\infty\) and we can not conclude that the equilibrium point \(x^*\) is GUAS for system \([55]\). In order to deduce the stability properties of \(x^*\) for \([55]\), we make use of \([51]\) which now reads as

\[
\frac{dV(\bar{x})}{dt} \leq \left( \frac{b_2^2}{a_2} - \frac{b_1^2}{a_1} \frac{k}{2} \right) |V(\bar{x})|
\]

(56)

From the negative definiteness condition on \(\frac{dV(\bar{x})}{dt}\) and by invoking Theorem 1, we conclude that the exponential seeker converges to \(x^*\), provided \(k > \frac{a_1 b_1^2}{a_2 b_2^2} (2|E|)\), which is positive but unknown. Since the exponential Seeker has guaranteed convergence only when the gain \(k\) is chosen to satisfy this condition, and this condition is not a priori verifiable, the PT-Seeker offers a clear advantage.

Despite this and the obvious merit of prescribed finite time convergence of the PT-Seeker, the practical implementation might appear as an issue. From \([34a]\) and \([34b]\), it is clear that the forward and the angular velocities \(u_1(t)\) and \(u_2(t)\) grow to infinity as the unicycle approaches the source \(x^*\). The fractional expressions in \([34]\) can simply be "clipped."
is driven to a small neighborhood of the source using a PT-seeker and then being further driven closer to the source using an exponential seeker. In practice, rather than letting $v(t-t_0)$ go to zero in (34), it is sufficient to let it decay down to 0.2–0.3.

7. Simulation Results

In this Section, we simulate and compare the performance of the PT-Seeker (33) and the exponential Seeker (55). As discussed in Section 6, we implemented (10) as

$$v(t-t_0) = \max \left( 0.3, 1 - \frac{t-t_0}{T} \right). \quad (57)$$

In this way the time-varying gain $\frac{1+\nu}{v(t-t_0)}$ for PT-seeking in (34) does not blow up to infinity as $t \to t_0 + T$, also shown in Figure 7, nevertheless this is sufficient for the PT-Seeker (33) to achieve its goal in the prescribed time $T$. For the numerical experiments we choose

$$F(x) = 10 - \frac{1}{2} x^T x \quad (58)$$

and

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (59)$$

With this, the unicycle with drift (27) becomes

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x + u_1 \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (60a)$$

$$\dot{\theta} = u_2. \quad (60b)$$

From the drift term $E \nabla F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x$ in (60a), it is clear that the system (60) is open loop unstable, which is confirmed by its eigenvalues at $\lambda_{1,2} = 1$.

Both seekers (33) and (55) are attempting to reach the origin $x^* = [0, 0]^T$, which is the maximizer of $F(x)$, i.e. $F(x^*) = 10$. The prescribed time for the PT-seeker is chosen to be $T = 1$. The parameters are set to $\omega = 25$, $k = 1.2$, $\mu = 0.01$.

We observe the results in Figure 8. From Figure 8a and 8b it is clear that, the PT-Seeker (33) reaches the neighbourhood of the source $x^*$ of $F(x)$ in the prescribed time $T = 1$. In comparison to that, the exponential Seeker (55) diverges from $x^*$, due to the fact that the factor $k$ is chosen as $k \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}} |E|$. Furthermore, as a result of our implementation of $v(t-t_0)$ as (57), the control inputs (34a) and (34b) do not blow up to infinity, also seen in Figure 7.

8. Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed a new algorithm for source seeking that is able to converge to the source in user defined prescribed time. For this, we have used the temporal transformation approach and by adapting the source seeking scheme presented in (27), our system achieved the newly introduced
FxT-sSPUAS convergence property. This resulted in scaling the forward and angular velocities with time-varying gains that grow unbounded as we approach the terminal time. We have discussed how to handle these unbounded gains in practical applications and showed the advantages of using our method compared to a source seeking algorithm that has exponential results by simulations. In future work, we will consider other compared to a source seeking algorithm that has exponential gains in practical applications.

**Definition 1.** The set $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be fixed time singularly practically uniformly attractive for $\{1\}$ if for all $\delta_0, \delta_1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \in (0, \infty)$ there exists a $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\omega \in (\omega_0, \infty)$ there exists a $\mu_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ and for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

\[
x_0 \in U_{\delta_0}^{\varepsilon_0} \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 - l(x_0) \in U_{\delta_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \implies \quad x(t, t_0, x_0) \subseteq U_{\delta_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \quad \text{and} \quad z(t, t_0, x_0) - l(x(t, t_0, x_0)) \subseteq U_{\delta_1}^{\varepsilon_1}, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + T].
\]

**Definition 2.** The set $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be fixed time singularly practically uniformly bounded with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ if for all $\delta_0, \delta_1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \in (0, \infty)$ there exists a $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\omega \in (\omega_0, \infty)$ there exists a $\mu_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ and for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

\[
x_0 \in U_{\delta_0}^{\varepsilon_0} \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 - l(x_0) \in U_{\delta_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \implies \quad x(t, t_0, x_0) \subseteq U_{\delta_1}^{\varepsilon_1}, \quad t \in [t_0 + \tau, t_0 + T] \quad \text{and} \quad z(t, t_0, x_0) - l(x(t, t_0, x_0)) \subseteq U_{\delta_1}^{\varepsilon_1}, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + T + T].
\]

**Definition 3.** The solutions of $\{1\}$ are said to be fixed time singularly semi-globally practically asymptotically stable (FxT-sSPUAS) for $\{1\}$ if the set $\mathcal{S}$ is fixed time singularly practically uniformly stable, fixed time singularly practically uniformly attractive and the solutions of $\{1\}$ are fixed time singularly practically uniformly bounded with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

The above introduced FxT-sSPUAS definitions correspond to the sSPUAS definitions in [7-6], but adjusted for the finite time interval $I_0$ using the temporal transformations in [2-16] and their equivalence is seen by letting $T \to \infty$.
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