Strange stars in $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity Palatini formalism and gravitational wave echoes from them
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The compact stars are promising candidates associated with the generation of gravitational waves (GWs). In this work, we study a special type of compact stars known as strange stars in the $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity Palatini formalism. Here we consider three promising $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity models viz., Starobinsky, Hu-Sawicki and Gogoi-Goswami models in the domain of MIT Bag model and linear equations of state (EoSs). We compute the stellar structures numerically and constrained the $f(\mathcal{R})$ model parameters with a set of probable strange star candidates. The study shows that the consideration of stiffer MIT Bag model and linear EoSs within a favourable set of $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity model parameters may result in strange stars with sufficient compactness to produce echoes of GWs. Thus, we have computed the GWs echo frequencies and characteristic echo times for such stars. It is found that in compliance with the experimentally obtained possible strange star candidates, the obtained GW echo frequencies for all the models are in the range of $65 - 85$ kHz.
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I. INTRODUCTION

From the current astrophysical and cosmological points of view, we are living in the era of accelerated expansion of the universe [1, 2] as well as in the mystery of missing mass in the universe [3]. There are two general hypotheses to explain this present accelerated expansion of the universe. According to one hypothesis, Einstein’s theory of general relativity (GR) requires the existence of some exotic energy, called the dark energy to explain this current state of the universe [4, 5]. In contrast, as per the second hypothesis the need for such an exotic energy can be avoided by extending the geometric part of the GR, usually referred to as the modified theories of gravity (MTGs), for the reason that it is feasible to obtain the present accelerated universe without the necessity of such an illusive exotic energy (detailed review can be found in [6]). Similarly, the enigma of the missing mass of the universe has been explained by introducing a non-luminous and non-baryonic unknown form of matter, dubbed as the dark matter [7]. However, from the perspective of the MTGs this missing mass can be explained without the need of the idea of dark matter [8, 9]. Besides these, there are well-known observational and theoretical facts that compel us to study MTGs [10]. In fact, currently MTGs are viewed hopefully as a good approach to go beyond GR [11].

To point out new physics from MTGs under the extreme relativistic effects, which are harder to achieve from the earth-based experiments, the compact stars give an excellent platform to do so. It is because of their unique high matter densities than the other nuclear matters. The family of compact objects mainly includes neutron stars and white dwarfs [12, 13]. Such objects are the remnant of luminous stars, i.e. the endpoint of their stellar evolution. The family of such stars is now extended to include some more hypothetical stars, such as the strange stars [14], hybrid stars [15], gravastars [16], boson stars [17], axion stars [18], etc. Compared to other compact stars, by assumption, strange stars have a stable configuration and as such, perhaps they are composed of a matter that may be the true ground state of the hadronic matter [19, 20]. Due to their unique mass-radius (M-R) relations, they are gaining the utmost interest in recent days. In white dwarfs and neutron stars, the degeneracy pressures are due to electrons and neutrons respectively. This degeneracy pressure is balanced by gravitational force to give a stable configuration to the star. If neutron stars get squeezed at a high temperature, decomposition of neutrons into component quarks occurs [21]. Such stars made of quark matter or de-confined quarks are known as the strange stars [22, 23]. Since they are in a much more stable configuration in comparison with neutron stars, so the proper understanding of these stars may lead to explain the origin of the huge amount of the energy released in superluminous supernovae, which occur in about one out of every 1000 supernovae explosions, and they are 100 times more luminous than regular supernovae [24, 25].

Literature surveys show that various authors have been studying the strange stars and their stellar structures in the realm of GR [26–28]. The properties of such compact stars have also been studied in the framework of MTGs by a number of authors [29–36]. Such studies of compact stars in MTGs might allow one to impose constraints on the parameters responsible for the strong field regime, which are expected to break down in GR consideration. Previously, compact stars in $f(\mathcal{R})$ theories of gravity in the Palatini formalism have been investigated in Refs. [37–41]. In Ref. [40], G. Panotopoulos studied the structure of strange
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stars by considering two models of \( f(R) \) theories viz., the Starobinsky model: \( f(R) = R + R^2/(6M^2) \) and the \( 1/R \) model with a constant trace \( T \) of the energy-momentum tensor \( T_{\mu\nu} \). He showed that the behaviour of strange stars varies depending on the model type [40]. Recently, Silveira et. al. studied [41] the compact and ultracompact objects considering a Schwarzschild type homogeneous star and by adding a transition zone for the density near the surface of star in the \( f(R) \)-Palatini theory. In GR the asteroseismological behaviours of strange stars are studied in [42] and also the gravitational wave (GW) echo frequencies emitted by such stars are reported earlier in [42–45]. Motivated by these works, in this present work we have used constant as well as variable trace \( T \) of the energy-momentum tensor \( T_{\mu\nu} \) to see how the structure of such stars depends on models of the \( f(R) \) gravity in Palatini formalism. We have considered three concrete and viable \( f(R) \) gravity models i.e., the Starobinsky model [46], the Hu-Sawicki model [47], and the newly introduced model in [48]. It needs to be mentioned that we consider this new model with the other two well-known models in the field of MTGs to validate the new model in the astrophysical context. Hereafter, for the convenient representation we will call this model as the Gogoi-Goswami model. Using the modified Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations for the \( f(R) \) gravity along with three equations of state (EoSs) viz., the MIT Bag model EoS, the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS and the linear EoS, we found the stellar structure solutions. We have constrained these models using a set of possible strange stars candidates. Also, for the first time in this work we have determined the GW echo frequencies emitted by these ultracompact objects in the \( f(R) \) gravity Palatini formalism.

After this brief introduction, we have organized our article as follows. In section II, the \( f(R) \) gravity theory in Palatini formalism and the concerned models of this gravity are discussed very shortly. The modified TOV equations are derived and the method of their corresponding solutions for the considered models are also discussed in this section. A workable introduction of GW echoes is given in the section III. In section IV, the numerical results of our work are presented. Finally, we conclude our article in the section V. In this article, we adopt the unit of \( c = G = 1 \), where \( c \) and \( G \) denote the speed of light and the gravitational constant respectively, and also we used the metric signature \((- + , + , + )\).

II. ULTRACOMPACT STARS IN MODIFIED THEORIES OF GRAVITY

In this section, first we shall briefly summarize the \( f(R) \) theories of gravity in the Palatini formalism and then shall derive the modified TOV equations in this theory. These TOV equations are then numerically solved for the three viable \( f(R) \) gravity models using the three EoSs as mentioned in the previous section to study the properties of strange stars.

In Palatini \( f(R) \) theories the action is given by

\[
S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_m(g_{\mu\nu}),
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_m \) is the matter Lagrangian density and \( f(R) \) is the generic function of the Ricci scalar. Varying this action with respect to both the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and the affine connection \( \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \), the field equations can be obtained in the form [37]:

\[
F(R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(R) g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu},
\]

\[
\nabla_\rho (\sqrt{-g} F(R) g^{\mu\nu}) = 0.
\]

Here \( F = \partial f/\partial R \), \( R \equiv g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} \), and \( T_{\mu\nu} \) is the energy-momentum tensor of \( \mathcal{L}_m \). Taking the trace of equation (2), a purely algebraic equation relating \( R \) and \( T \) can be obtained as

\[
F(R)R - 2f(R) = 8\pi T.
\]

If we consider the perfect fluid model for the materials of stars, the trace of \( T_{\mu\nu} \) can be obtained as \( T = -\rho + 3p \) with the pressure \( p \) and the energy density \( \rho \). Again, in order to describe a spherically symmetric spacetime, we use the metric represented by the line element:

\[
ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + g(r) dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2,
\]

where the unknown metric functions \( f(r) \) and \( g(r) \) are dependent on the radial coordinate \( r \) only. \( d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \) with \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the polar angle and the azimuth angle respectively. Considering the exterior to the stars be the vacuum with \( T_{\mu\nu} = 0 \), the exterior solutions for our considered models are found to be the Schwarzschild solutions as given by

\[
f(r) = g(r)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}.
\]
Whereas the interior solutions in this theory give,
\[
f(r) = g(r)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r} - \frac{\Lambda_\rho r^2}{3}.
\]  

(7)

Here \( M \) is the total mass of stars with the radius \( R \) and \( m(r) \) is their mass at the radial distance \( r < R \). \( \Lambda_\rho \) is the effective cosmological constant inside the stars, which arises due to the curvature function \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) of the theory and is given by

\[
\Lambda_\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{R} - \frac{f(\mathcal{R})}{F(\mathcal{R})} \right).
\]

(8)

It is to be noted that the vacuum value of the effective cosmological constant \( \Lambda_0 \) outside the stars vanishes for our considered models. Upon matching the exterior and interior solutions at \( r = R \), we can obtain the total mass of stars as

\[
M = m(R) + \frac{\Lambda_\rho R^3}{6}.
\]

(9)

One can see that the curvature modification has a contribution to the total mass of stars in the theory depending on the type of a model. The bare mass \( m(R) \) in this mass equation can be calculated from the modified TOV equations given below. Now, to be more specific we consider \( g(r) = e^{\lambda(r)} \) and \( f(r) = e^{\chi(r)} \), where \( \lambda(r) \) and \( \chi(r) \) are two source functions of \( r \). For the line element (5) with these specific forms of \( f(r) \) and \( g(r) \), the tt- and rr-components of field equations (2) and (3) give the equations for functions \( \lambda(r) \) and \( \chi(r) \) as

\[
\lambda'(r) = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left( 1 - \frac{e^\lambda}{r} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{r} + 8\pi r \rho \frac{e^\lambda}{F} \right),
\]

(10)

\[
\chi'(r) = -\frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left( 1 - \frac{e^\lambda}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{r} - 8\pi r \rho \frac{e^\lambda}{F} \right),
\]

(11)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( r \) and

\[
\alpha = r^2 \left[ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{F'}{F} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{r} \frac{F'}{F} + \frac{e^\lambda}{2} \left( \mathcal{R} - \frac{f}{F} \right) \right], \quad \beta = r^2 \left[ \frac{F''}{F} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{F'}{F} \right)^2 \right], \quad \gamma = \frac{r}{2F}.
\]

(12)

For a star in hydrostatic equilibrium the interior solution is described by the famous TOV equations [49, 50]. The solutions of these equations can lead one to know the physical properties like mass, pressure and radius of the star. These TOV equations will take the modified form in MTGs in comparison to their form in GR. The modified TOV equations for the \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity in the Palatini formalism are found as [37]

\[
\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \frac{p + \rho}{r(r - 2m)} \left[ m + \frac{4\pi r^3 \rho}{F} - \frac{\alpha}{2} (r - 2m) \right],
\]

(13)

\[
\frac{dm}{dr} = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left[ \frac{4\pi r^2 \rho}{F} + \frac{\alpha + \beta \ m}{2 r} (\alpha + \beta - \gamma) \right].
\]

(14)

It is to be noted that when \( F = 1 \), then these equations will take their original form in GR. Moreover, when the trace of the energy-momentum tensor \( T(\rho) \) is a constant independent of \( r \), then the Ricci scalar \( \mathcal{R} \) is also a constant. One should note that in this situation the complete field equations equations (2) and (3) take the familiar form of Einstein’s equations with a non-vanishing cosmological constant and with the right side scaled by a \( \rho \) dependent constant parameter \( F_\rho \) [37] as

\[
G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_\rho g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{F_\rho} T_{\mu\nu}.
\]

(15)

Here \( F_\rho \) is the derivative of \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) with respect to \( \mathcal{R} \) evaluated at the constant value \( \mathcal{R}_0 \) that solves the trace equation (equation 4). \( \Lambda_\rho \) is the effective cosmological constant as given in equation (8) and for a constant trace \( T \) it is independent of \( r \).

At this moment we are in a position to use the \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity models of our interest as mentioned earlier. The Starobinsky model with its extended form can be written as [46]

\[
f_s(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} - sM_s^2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{M_s^4} + 1 \right)^{-n} \right],
\]

(16)
where \( s, M_s \) and \( n \) are the Starobinsky model parameters. In fact, the parameter \( M_s \) is the mass scale and here we consider it as a free parameter. In our work we will use \( n = 1 \), for which the model reduces to the form:

\[
f_s(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} - s \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{M_s^2}.
\]  

The first derivative of the above equation with respect to \( \mathcal{R} \) gives,

\[
F_s(\mathcal{R}) = 1 - 2s \frac{\mathcal{R}}{M_s^2}.
\]  

Using this result with the model (17) in the trace equation (4), we can obtain the background curvature

\[
\mathcal{R}_0 = -8\pi T.
\]  

The second \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity model considered in this work is the Hu-Sawicki model, which is defined as [47]

\[
f_h(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} - \frac{c_1 M_h^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{M_h^2}\right)^\mu}{c_2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{M_h^2}\right)^\mu + 1},
\]  

where \( c_1, c_2 \) and \( M_h \) are model parameters. The parameters \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are dimensionless in nature, while the third parameter \( M_h \) has the dimension of length\(^{-1} \), which is considered to be a free parameter here. For this model we have,

\[
F_h(\mathcal{R}) = 1 + \frac{c_1 c_2 \mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{M_h^2}\right)^{2\mu - 1}}{1 + c_2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{M_h^2}\right)^\mu}.
\]  

From the trace equation (4), we can find out the background curvature for this model as given by

\[
\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{A^{2/3} + B}{3 c_2 C^{1/3}},
\]  

where

\[
A = D + 192\pi^2 (2c_1 + 1)c_2^2 M_h^2 T^2 - 12\pi (c_1 (8c_1 - 1) + 2)c_2 M_h^4 T + (c_1 - 1)^2 (8c_1 + 1)M_h^6 - 512\pi^3 c_2^3,
\]  

\[
B = 2 C^{1/3} \left[(c_1 - 1)M_h^2 - 4\pi c_2 T\right] - 16\pi (2c_1 + 1)c_2 M_h^4 T + (c_1 - 1)(4c_1 - 1)M_h^6 + 64\pi^2 c_2^2 T^2,
\]  

\[
C = D + 12\pi \left(-8c_1^2 + c_1 - 2\right) c_2 M_h^4 T + 192\pi^2 (2c_1 + 1)c_2^2 M_h^2 T^2 + (c_1 - 1)^2 (8c_1 + 1)M_h^6 - 512\pi^3 c_2^3 T^3.
\]  

\[
D = 3M_h^2 \sqrt{-3c_1 \left[-48\pi^2 (5c_1 + 4)c_2^2 M_h^2 T^2 + 24\pi (c_1 - 1)^2 c_2 M_h^4 T + (c_1 - 1)^3 M_h^6 + 512\pi^3 c_2^3 T^3\right]}.
\]  

The third viable \( f(\mathcal{R}) \) gravity model considered in this work is the Gogoi-Goswami model having the form [48]:

\[
f_g(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} - \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \mathcal{R}_c \cot^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{\mathcal{R}_c^2}\right) - \beta \mathcal{R}_c \left[1 - \exp \left(-\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_c}\right)\right],
\]  

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are two free parameters of the model, and \( \mathcal{R}_c \) is the characteristic curvature constant with the dimension same as the curvature scalar \( \mathcal{R} \). The first derivative of the model with respect to \( \mathcal{R} \) is given by

\[
F_g(\mathcal{R}) = 1 - \frac{2\alpha R R_c^3}{\pi R_c^4 + \pi R^2} - \beta e^{-\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_c}}.
\]  

In case of this model, the trace equation (4) takes the following form:

\[
\mathcal{R}_c \left[x \left(-\frac{2\alpha x}{\pi x^4 + \pi} - \beta e^{-x} - 1\right) + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(x^2) + 2\beta (\sinh(x) - \cosh(x) + 1)\right] = 8\pi T,
\]  

where \( x = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_c} \).
values, which have been discussed in detail in Ref. [42]. In this work we shall use the most suitable value of $B$.

These three EoSs we have solved numerically the TOV equations in the MTGs, which will lead to know the structure of the compact object under consideration. Thus with these EoS also we have taken a suitable value of $b$ which is consistent with the Solar system observations. This simply implies that in the stiffer form of this EoS [42, 44] as given by

$$g_b(x) = \frac{8\pi T}{R_c} - 2\beta [\sinh(x) - \cosh(x) + 1],$$

where $x$ can be a solution of equation (29) provided

$$[f_g(x) - g_g(x)] \approx 0.$$

Further, to describe the ultra compact stars, in particular strange stars we shall consider three EoSs. The first one is the MIT Bag model EoS, which was proposed to explain a relativistic gas of massless deconfined quarks [20, 42, 52, 53] and is given by

$$p = \frac{1}{3}(\rho - 4B).$$

Here $p$ is the perfect fluid pressure, $\rho$ is the fluid density and $B$ is the Bag constant. The constant $B$ may have different possible values, which have been discussed in detail in Ref. [42]. In this work we shall use the most suitable value of $B = (168 MeV)^4$.

However, to obtain the stellar properties, especially the property that is suitable to get GW echoes from the stars, we have also chosen the stiffer form of this EoS [42, 44] as given by

$$p = (\rho - 4B).$$

The third EoS considered in this work is the linear EoS [54] having the form:

$$p = b(\rho - \rho_s),$$

where $b$ is the linear constant and $\rho_s$ is the surface energy density of the star. As in the case of the MIT Bag model EoS, for this EoS also we have taken a suitable value of $b = 0.910$ [42] in this work. By fixing some EoSs the TOV equations (in GR or in MTGs) can be solved numerically, which will lead to know the structure of the compact object under consideration. Thus with these three EoSs we have solved numerically the TOV equations in the $f(R)$ gravity models of Starobinsky, Hu-Sawicki and Gogoi-Goswami in the Palatini formalism. Using the solutions of these stellar structure equations, finally we have calculated the GW echo frequencies emitted by such stars.

From an EoS we can have a specific form of the energy-momentum trace, which can be used in the expression of the background curvature for each $f(R)$ gravity model to obtain a specific form for its background curvature. In the case of the MIT Bag model (equation (32)), the trace of the energy-momentum $T$ is a constant quantity leading to a simple situation. While for the stiffer form of the MIT Bag model EoS (equation (33)) the energy-momentum trace $T = 2\rho - 12B$ and for the linear EoS (equation (34)) it is $T = (3b - 1)\rho - 3\rho_s$. Thus, using these EoSs in the modified TOV equations (13) and (14), and also using the considered $f(R)$ gravity models with the initial conditions: $m(r = 0) = 0$ and $p(r = 0) = \rho_s$, we can solve them numerically. In addition the bare mass $m(R)$ and the radius $R$ of a star can be determined by the boundary conditions: $m(r = R) = m(R)$ and $p(r = R) = 0$.

One should note that the gravitational field for strange stars is sourced by the trace of the energy-momentum tensor as given by equation (4). In the usual case, for the Sun like stars the pressure to density ratio is very small ($\approx 10^{-3}$) and so it is possible to neglect the pressure for non-relativistic stars while calculating the metric. But in the case of stars with high compactness such as strange stars, we can not neglect the pressure term, which increases the complexity of the problem. However, in the case of the standard MIT Bag model, we have $T = constant$, which makes the situation less complex. One may note here that $T = constant$ does not imply that the density of a star is constant throughout the whole region, but instead it indicates that any variation in the pressure and density of the star does not influence the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. So, undoubtedly this is an ideal standard case in the $f(R)$ gravity, which makes the situation comparatively simple enough to handle. The complexity increases when we move to the other EoSs, where the trace of the energy-momentum tensor is not constant, i.e. any variation in the pressure and density of a star can impact the trace of the energy-momentum tensor significantly. We have seen that a viability condition $|F(R) - 1| \ll 1$ makes the system solvable under suitable approximations. Another thing to consider is the matching of exterior and interior solutions. In Palatini formalism, however such solutions are obvious and followed directly from the trace equation. The relation between the exterior mass and the interior density can be given as equation (9). This relation plays a very important role and matches the interior and exterior solutions. For example if we consider a Sun like star, $F_\rho$ can not significantly differ from the unity inside it, otherwise this will lead to significant deviations from the predictions of Solar physics. It is because, the local density determines the other thermodynamical observational parameters of the star and the theory should be consistent with the Solar system observations. This simply implies that in $f(R)$ gravity Palatini formalism, Sun like stars
have very very small deviations from the GR calculation and most of it comes from the effective cosmological constants inside and outside the star i.e. from $\Lambda_s$ and $\Lambda_0$ respectively. A recent study shows that consideration of an observed amount of dark energy $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.72$ imposes almost negligible changes in the mass of a star [37]. In this present study, we have calculated the interior and exterior effective cosmological constants from the considered $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity models and matched the interior and exterior solutions for the continuity. So, obviously from the nature of the viable models and the continuity condition, $\Lambda_s$ can’t have a very large difference from $\Lambda_0$. This makes it comparatively less complicated to solve the TOV equations numerically under suitable approximations and with precision.

III. GRAVITATIONAL WAVE ECHOES

An important property associated with the compact stars is that some of them are promising candidates to echo GW falling on their surface. Those compact stars which possess a photon sphere at distance of $R = 3M$ are the only eligible candidates to emit GW echoes [43]. When GWs emerging from some merging events fall on the surface of such compact stars, they get eventually trapped by the photon sphere and then echoes of GWs emerge. The important criteria to bear this photon sphere in a star is that the compactness $C = M/R$ of that star should be, $1/3 < C < 4/9$ [45]. This upper limit on compactness is the Buchdahl’s radius $R_B = 9/4M$ [55], which describes the maximum amount of mass that can exist in a sphere before it must undergo the gravitational collapse [45]. Thus, the compact stellar objects having compactness larger than $1/3$ and smaller than (but very close) $4/9$ can emit GW echoes at a frequency of tens of hertz. The echo frequencies emitted by such highly compact objects can be estimated by using the expression for the characteristic echo time. This is the time taken by a massless test particle to travel from the unstable light ring to the centre of the star and is expressed as [45]

$$\tau_{echo} = \int_0^{3M} e^{(\lambda(r) - \chi(r))/2} \, dr.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Using the relations for the $\lambda(r)$ and $\chi(r)$ obtained from equation (7), the echo frequencies can be calculated as $\omega_{echo} \approx \pi/\tau_{echo}$.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we discuss the numerical results of the work including the associated different stability criterion of the resulting stellar solutions. As mentioned earlier, we have solved the modified TOV equations (13) and (14) for the $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity models together with EoSs and supplemented by some boundary conditions. To do so, we have employed the fourth order Range–Kutta method. To comment on the viability and stability of the obtained results we first checked the mass of stellar structures as a function of their radius. These M-R relationships lead ones to confirm whether the obtained solutions are feasible or not. Besides the M-R profiles, the energy density, pressure, surface redshift, relativistic adiabatic index of stars are also handy tools to comments on the stability of stellar solutions. In the following we have discussed all these properties of the obtained results of strange star structures. Also we have compared the masses and radii of strange stars determined for our cases with some observed candidates of strange stars. These observational results are listed in the Table I. This table shows the results for 25 possible strange star candidates. The values for these masses and radii indicated in this table are taken from different articles (detailed references are included for each of the star in the table). In Ref. [56], the authors provided a list of possible strange star candidates along with the experimental results for their observed mass, radius and some other physical parameters. In this article we have extended the list by including more candidates as listed in Table I. We have used these strange star candidates to constrain the considered $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity models in this context.

A. Mass-radius profiles and GW echoes

The structural behaviours of strange stars are unique in comparison to other compact stars like neutron stars and white dwarfs. Figs. 1-3 illustrate the mass as a function of the radius $R$ (in km) of strange stars in the considered $f(\mathcal{R})$ gravity models for the three standard EoSs. In these figures the M-R profiles for the case of GR are also shown in order to compare the results altogether. Also these figures are incorporated with the standard results of the observational data of compact star candidates listed in Table I. These data are depicted by the black dots with error bar in the figures. These observational data allowed us to comment on the viability and the model parameter range of each of the model considered here. In Fig. 1 the M-R curves for strange stars in the Starobinsky model are shown. The first panel of this figure corresponds to the most general MIT Bag model EoS. From this figure we observed that the strange stars predicted by $f(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} - s\mathcal{R}^2/\mathcal{M}^2$ model are mostly larger in mass and radius than that of the GR case when $s$ is negative. For more negative values of the model parameter $s$, more massive and larger radii configurations are appeared. However, with the available observational data it is thus clear that the values of the
The observed values of the strange star candidates are matching for these $s$ values. In the second panel of Fig. 1, stars with the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS are shown. In this case it is observed that unlike the case of MIT Bag model EoS, the stiffer form is able to give the stellar structures which are capable to cross the photon sphere limit. These structures are now contributing to the emission of GW echoes. The GW echo frequency obtained for the most stable configuration with $s = -1/6$ is 59.882 kHz. For
$s = -1/9$ and $s = 1/12$ the estimated echo frequencies are 63.765 kHz and 81.829 kHz respectively. With the positive $s$ value we have obtained larger value of echo frequency. For this case we found that, the GR case is less matching with the observational data of strange star candidates than the stellar structures obtained with the Starobinsky model. For this $f(R)$ model with the stiffer EoS, the most reasonable good agreement of observational data are seen for the case of $s = 1/12$. Whereas the negative values of $s$ are less likely to depict the standard results for this case. Thus it can be inferred that in the case of stiffer EoS, a positive $s$ is helpful in describing the observed candidates than the negative $s$ values. Again for the stellar configurations in the Starobinsky model with the linear EoS, we observed that the stellar solutions for $s = -1/3$ is found to match pretty well with the observed strange star candidates. However, $s$ values greater than $-1/2$ and less than 1 are not desirable as these values will lead to more massive compact objects ($M > 3M_\odot$). Moreover, in this case the solutions for GR are not matching with the observed strange star candidates. Also, stars obtained from this EoS are compact enough to emit GW echo frequencies. For stars with $s = -1/2$, the characteristic echo frequency corresponding to the maximum mass configuration is found to be 55.097 kHz. The other values of echo frequencies can be found in the Table II. In this table the maximum mass and the corresponding radius, compactness, surface redshift, characteristic echo time and echo frequency for strange stars in the Starobinsky model with three different values of the model parameter $s$ within the range allowed by observational data are listed. While calculating these values shown in the table (Table II) and also in the Fig. 1 the mass scale of Starobinsky model is taken as $M_s = 1$, in the unit of $\mathcal{R}$. Moreover, choosing a particular value of the model parameter $s = -1/6$ and with different values of $M_s$, all the said physical parameters related with the obtained strange star configurations are also calculated. These results are summarized in Table III. It is observed that with the increasing values of $M_s$, the mass, radius and compactness decreases, whereas the echo frequency increases with increase in $M_s$ values, for all the considered cases.

**TABLE II: Physical parameters of strange stars in the Starobinsky model for different values of $s$ with $M_s = 1$.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EoSs</th>
<th>$s$</th>
<th>Radius R (in km)</th>
<th>Mass M (in $M_\odot$)</th>
<th>Compactness (M/R)</th>
<th>Redshift Z</th>
<th>Echo time (ms)</th>
<th>Echo frequency (kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>12.101</td>
<td>2.437</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/6</td>
<td>9.565</td>
<td>1.808</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/12</td>
<td>8.875</td>
<td>1.651</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffer MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>-1/6</td>
<td>12.032</td>
<td>2.940</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>59.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/9</td>
<td>11.462</td>
<td>2.781</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>63.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/12</td>
<td>9.293</td>
<td>2.204</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>81.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear EoS</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>11.097</td>
<td>2.793</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>55.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td>10.008</td>
<td>2.461</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>66.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1/6</td>
<td>8.831</td>
<td>2.124</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>81.158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gravitational wave echoes

For the Hu-Sawicki model of $f(R)$ gravity the M-R profile are shown in Fig. 2. While solving this system for the Hu-Sawicki model, the mass scale value for this model is chosen to be $\mathcal{M}_h = 1$ in the units of $\mathcal{R}$ for this particular model. The first, second and third panel of this figure correspond to the MIT Bag model EoS, stiffer MIT Bag model EoS and the linear EoS respectively. For the case of MIT Bag model EoS with three sets of model parameters $c_1$ and $c_2$, it is seen that the resulting configurations lies below the photon sphere limit and with a compactness of $\approx 0.27$. Thus these configurations cannot produce...
GW echoes. Incorporating Table I for the observed strange star candidates in these M-R profile clarifies that for the considered $c_1$ and $c_2$ values the resulting compact stars are within the observable range. As shown in the second panel of this figure, the M-R profiles given by the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS are compact enough to produce echoes. However for this typical case, the observed results are not found to match with the stars predicted by the GR case. For more negative values of $c_1$ and $c_2$, stars with larger masses and radii are found. Similar to the case of stiffer MIT Bag model EoS, the stars corresponding to linear EoSs are also ultra-compact in nature. Thus they can echo GWs falling on their stellar surfaces. In this case the observed strange star candidates are found to match with the stars given by the model parameter values $c_1 = -0.48$, $c_2 = -0.09$. For more smaller values of these two parameters larger configurations are obtained. A more details about the mass, radius, compactness and other physical parameters are listed in Table IV. From this table it is inferred that unlike the MIT Bag model (both softer and stiffer forms) the compactness of stellar structures increase gradually for the case of linear EoS, in the considered range of model parameter values. Also decreasing $c_1$ and $c_2$ values implies decrease in the echo frequencies of these stars. Varying the mass scale $M_h$ also shows a mass scale dependent nature of stars. This can be seen from Table V. From this table it is clear that for smaller $M_h$ values the mass and radius of the most stable configuration are increasing slightly, while maintaining the constant compactness. However for the case of stiffer MIT Bag model and linear EoS, the echo frequencies decrease gradually with decrease in the $M_h$ values.

![FIG. 2: The variation of mass function with respect to radius of strange stars in the Hu-Sawicki model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively for different values of the model parameters $c_1$ and $c_2$. The observational data from Table I are incorporated together in these plots as shown in black dots. Masses of stars are in the units of solar mass $M_⊙$ and $M_h$ is taken as unity.](image)

| TABLE IV: Physical parameters of strange stars predicted by the Hu-Sawicki model for different values of the model parameters $c_1$ and $c_2$, but with $M_h = 1$. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EoSs | $c_1$ | $c_2$ | Radius R (in km) | Mass M (in $M_⊙$) | Compactness (M/R) | Redshift Z | Echo time (ms) | GWE frequency (kHz) |
| MIT Bag model EoS | -0.15 | -0.09 | 8.859 | 1.624 | 0.271 | 0.483 | - | - |
| | -0.34 | -0.09 | 9.687 | 1.771 | 0.271 | 0.484 | - | - |
| | -0.62 | -0.12 | 10.957 | 2.014 | 0.271 | 0.491 | - | - |
| Stiffer MIT Bag model EoS | -0.15 | -0.09 | 11.168 | 2.678 | 0.355 | 0.783 | 0.040 | 66.880 |
| | -0.34 | -0.09 | 12.220 | 2.935 | 0.355 | 0.794 | 0.052 | 69.593 |
| | -0.48 | -0.10 | 12.999 | 3.127 | 0.355 | 0.799 | 0.055 | 57.146 |
| Linear EoS | -0.48 | -0.09 | 9.502 | 2.249 | 0.350 | 0.498 | 0.037 | 79.069 |
| | -0.70 | -0.10 | 10.337 | 2.450 | 0.351 | 0.499 | 0.043 | 72.298 |
| | -1.20 | -0.30 | 12.426 | 2.988 | 0.355 | 0.546 | 0.054 | 57.705 |

For the new $f(R)$ gravity model i.e. for the Gogoi-Goswami model, the M-R profiles are shown in Fig. 3. In this model also the MIT Bag model EoS generally gives stars with compactness around 0.27 and hence such compact objects cannot produce GW echoes. This is shown in the first panel of Fig. 3. For all the considered $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values, all the obtained configurations are lying below the limiting line i.e., below the photon sphere limit. In this case the stars given by the GR and for $\alpha = -0.10$, $\beta = -0.5$ are in agreement with some of the observed data of the strange star candidates. For $\alpha = -0.30$, $\beta = -3.25$, stars with configurations of maximum mass and radius are obtained, and they are laying within the observed data of similar type. For this gravity model with the MIT Bag model EoS we observed a rapid drop in compactness with smaller $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values. For the case
TABLE V: Physical parameters of strange stars predicted by the Hu-Sawicki model for different $M_0$ values, but with $c_1 = -0.15$, $c_2 = -0.09$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EoSs</th>
<th>$M_0^2$ (in units of $R^2$)</th>
<th>$R$ (in km)</th>
<th>$M$ (in $M_⊙$)</th>
<th>Compactness (M/R)</th>
<th>Echo time (ms)</th>
<th>GWE frequency (kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>8.849</td>
<td>1.621</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.859</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>8.871</td>
<td>1.626</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffer MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>11.154</td>
<td>2.674</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>66.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.168</td>
<td>2.678</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>66.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>11.184</td>
<td>2.682</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>66.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear EoS</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>8.190</td>
<td>1.932</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>92.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.201</td>
<td>1.935</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>92.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>8.212</td>
<td>1.938</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>92.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of stiffer EoS (second panel of Fig. 3), the resulting configurations are with the compactness > 0.33. Smaller $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values are giving larger configurations (this is applicable to all three EoSs). It is found that the combination of parameters $\alpha = 0.12$ and $\beta = 0.34$ represents stars with a more realistic characteristics for the stiffer EoS. For the linear EoS as shown in the third panel of Fig. 3, the solutions in GR are virtually not matching with the observed strange star candidates (in fact this is the situation for this EoS in all three figures). However, for the Gogoi-Goswami model the stellar configurations obtained with the two model parameter sets $\alpha = -0.20$, $\beta = -1.45$ and $\alpha = -0.3$, $\beta = -4.5$ are in good agreement with the observed results. These results are summarized in Table VI. As it can be visualize from the second and third panels of Fig. 3 that the resulting structures are crossing the photon sphere, so they can emit GW echo frequencies. The calculated echo frequencies are listed in Table VI. It is seen that with the decreasing $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values the echo frequencies are getting smaller for both the EoSs. Here the solutions of modified TOV equations are obtained by considering the characteristic curvature constant $R_c = 1$ when expressed in units of $R$. For different $R_c$ values the dependence on the stellar structure are shown in Table VII. It is clear from the table that when the value of $R_c$ decreases, the characteristic echo frequency also decreases. However, the compactness of these stars are found to increase with the decrease in $R_c$ values for all the considered EoSs.

FIG. 3: The variation of mass function against the radius of strange stars in the Gogoi-Goswami model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively for different values of the model parameter $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The observational results from Table I are incorporated together in these plots as shown by black dots. Masses of stars are in the units of solar mass $M_⊙$ and the characteristic curvature $R_c$ of the model is taken as unity.

B. Stability analysis

1. Relativistic adiabatic index

An important and necessary quantity to describe and check a region of stability of relativistic isotropic fluid sphere is the relativistic adiabatic index $\Gamma$. For the spherically symmetric spacetime with a perfect fluid Chandrasekhar [78] explored first the instability regimes using this adiabatic index. He gave a particular numerical value of the adiabatic index i.e. $\Gamma = 4/3$, whose
TABLE VI: Physical parameters of strange stars predicted by the Gogoi-Goswami model for different values of the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and with $R_c = 1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EoSs</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>Radius R (in km)</th>
<th>Mass M (in $M_\odot$)</th>
<th>Compactness (M/R)</th>
<th>Redshift Z</th>
<th>Echo time (ms)</th>
<th>GWE frequency (kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>7.309</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>8.901</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
<td>12.074</td>
<td>2.124</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffer MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>9.492</td>
<td>2.306</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>76.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>11.904</td>
<td>2.813</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>64.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>12.623</td>
<td>2.975</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>60.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear EoS</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.740</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>103.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>9.382</td>
<td>2.172</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>84.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>12.227</td>
<td>2.810</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>66.318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VII: Physical parameters of strange stars predicted by the Gogoi-Goswami model for different $R_c$ values and with $\alpha = 0.15$, $\beta = 0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EoSs</th>
<th>$R_c$ (in units of $R$)</th>
<th>Radius R (in km)</th>
<th>Mass M (in $M_\odot$)</th>
<th>Compactness (M/R)</th>
<th>Echo time (ms)</th>
<th>GWE frequency (kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.666</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.309</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>7.801</td>
<td>1.511</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffer MIT Bag model EoS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.387</td>
<td>2.041</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>86.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.185</td>
<td>2.264</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>76.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>9.796</td>
<td>2.435</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>62.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear EoS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.157</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>127.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.740</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>103.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>7.187</td>
<td>1.758</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>99.597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Violation with higher $\Gamma$ values indicates a stable star configuration. In 1975 Heintzmann and Hillebrandt [79] also proposed that isotropic compact star models are stable for $\Gamma > 4/3$ throughout the stellar interior. Chan et al. [80] proposed the adiabatic index as

$$\Gamma = \frac{p + \rho}{\rho} \frac{dp}{d\rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

In Figs. 4-6 the graphical variation of relativistic adiabatic index in the stellar interior are shown for three considered $f(R)$ gravity models. These variations for the Starobinsky model are shown in Fig. 4. For the Starobinsky model with the MIT Bag model EoS the minimum $\Gamma$ value is obtained as 4.69 and the variations of $\Gamma$ for $s = -1/2, -1/6, -1/12$ are shown in the first panel of Fig. 4. In the second panel of this figure, variations of $\Gamma$ are shown for the stiffer MIT Bag model and in the third panel the same are shown for the linear EoS. For the stiffer EoS the minimum $\Gamma$ value is 2.69 and for the linear EoS it is 4.33. As the minimum $\Gamma$ value for all these EoSs in the Starobinsky model are well above the limiting case, hence they signify the stable configurations.

Fig. 5 shows the behaviour of $\Gamma$ of strange star configurations given by the Hu-Sawicki model for different considered model parameters. The central $\Gamma$ value for the stars with the MIT Bag model is 1.56, for the stiffer MIT Bag model it is 2.69 and for the linear EoS this value is 3.95. Like the case in the Starobinsky model, for this model also solutions are stable against the adiabatic index.

For the case of strange stars predicted by the Gogoi-Goswami model the minimum value of $\Gamma$ obtained for the MIT Bag model, the stiffer MIT Bag model and the linear EoS are respectively 1.56, 2.69 and 3.95. Variations of $\Gamma$ are shown in Fig. 6 for three EoSs respectively. In all the these $f(R)$ gravity models and in considered EoSs, $\Gamma$ are taking values well above 4/3 everywhere inside the stellar structure. These figures clearly ensures the non-instability regions inside the stellar structures. Fulfillment of this condition shows the stable nature of these structures.
FIG. 4: Behaviours of relativistic adiabatic index inside the stellar interior in the Starobinsky model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively.

FIG. 5: Behaviours of relativistic adiabatic index inside the stellar interior in the Hu-Sawicki model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively.

FIG. 6: Behaviours of relativistic adiabatic index inside the stellar interior in the Gogoi-Goswami model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively.

2. Surface redshift

The surface redshift of a star in general describes the relation between the interior geometry of the star and its EoS. As mentioned earlier we have considered the strange star configuration as isotropic, static, spherically symmetric and perfect fluid star. The compactification factor for such a star can be given as

\[ u(r) = \frac{m(r)}{r}. \] (37)
Using this compactification factor the surface redshift of a star can be defined as

\[ Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2u}} - 1 \]  

(38)

For isotropic stars the surface redshift \( Z \leq 2 \) [55, 81]. The variations of surface redshift with radial distance in the case of Starobinsky model for the three EoSs are shown in Fig. 7. The surface redshift corresponding to this \( f(R) \) gravity model is listed in Table II. For the MIT Bag model EoS the maximum surface redshift is \( Z = 0.679 \). For the stiffer EoS, it is 0.840 and for the case of linear EoS, \( Z = 0.679 \). All these obtained redshift values are well within the desired range. For the Hu-Sawicki model the \( Z \) values corresponding to each EoS with the selected sets of model parameter are given in Table IV. Also its variations can be visualized as shown in Fig. 8. The obtained maximum \( Z \) values for this model are 0.491, 0.799 and 0.546 for the MIT Bag model, the stiffer MIT Bag model and the linear EoS respectively. Again for the Gogoi-Goswami model the obtained results are listed in Table VI and the variations are shown in Fig. 9. With the MIT Bag model EoS the maximum surface redshift is found as 0.531, for the stiffer MIT Bag model it is 0.817 and for the linear EoS this value is 0.566. All these values are less than 2 and hence these models predict the stable strange star configurations.

![Graphs showing surface redshift with radial distance for different models](image1)

**FIG. 7:** Behaviours of surface redshift with radial distance of strange stars in the Starobinsky model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively.

![Graphs showing surface redshift with radial distance for different models](image2)

**FIG. 8:** Behaviours of surface redshift with radial distance of strange stars in the Hu-Sawicki model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively.

**V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Strange stars in \( f(R) \) gravity Palatini formalism have been studied in Ref. [40], where the author used the MIT Bag model EoS to study the respective stellar structures. But in this work, we have extended the study to three EoSs viz., MIT Bag model, stiffer MIT Bag model and linear EoSs for three different viable and well-established dark energy \( f(R) \) gravity models. It is worth mentioning that strange stars were not studied earlier using the later two EoSs in the \( f(R) \) Palatini formalism. For the later two EoSs, the trace of the energy-momentum tensor becomes the density dependent resulting a complex situation. We have
solved the corresponding TOV equations numerically and obtained the stellar structures for the three different $f(R)$ gravity models using different model parameters within their most reliable ranges. Because we have chosen the model parameters in compliance with the most promising candidates of strange stars obtained so far. The results show that the $f(R)$ gravity models support the possibilities of such stable strange stars. Moreover, we have also shown that the linear and the stiffer MIT Bag model EoSs provide the possible strange stars that can echo the GWs. For the Starobinsky model with the stiffer EoS, we have seen that the M-R curve for $s = 1/12$ shows good agreement with most of the observed strange star candidates. For this case, the GW echo frequency is found to be $81.829 \text{kHz}$ with echo time $0.038 \text{ms}$. On the other hand, for the linear EoS, $s = -1/3$ shows a better agreement with the experimentally obtained strange star candidates. The corresponding echo frequency is found to be $66.691 \text{kHz}$ with an echo time $0.047 \text{ms}$. For the later EoS, we observe a decrease in the echo frequency and increase in the echo time. For the Hu-Sawicki model with the stiffer EoS, we can see that the model shows a significant deviation from the experimental results and the M-R curves are not found in a good agreement with the experimental candidates in the GR limit also. On the other hand, for the linear EoS with $c_1 = -0.48$ and $c_2 = -0.09$, the M-R curve covers most of the experimental candidates with a possibility of GW echo frequency $79.069 \text{kHz}$ with echo time $0.037 \text{ms}$. Finally, we considered the Gogoi-Goswami model, in which the stiffer MIT Bag model, with $\alpha = 0.12$ and $\beta = 0.34$ shows a better agreement with the observed strange star candidates along with a possibility of GW echo frequency $76.804 \text{kHz}$ and echo time $0.041 \text{ms}$. While for the linear EoS case, the M-R curve of $\alpha = 0.20$ and $\beta = -1.45$ shows a good agreement with the observed candidates with an echo frequency $84.853 \text{kHz}$ and echo time $0.037 \text{ms}$.

These predicted echo frequencies of GWs will get their firm footing once they are detected experimentally. The echo frequencies that we have estimated for all the considered cases lay above $50 \text{kHz}$. So far GWs with frequencies of $\sim 20 \text{Hz} - 4 \text{kHz}$ and with amplitudes of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-22} - 4 \times 10^{-24} \text{strain/Hz}$ [83, 84] are projected at GW detectors like, Advanced LIGO [85], Advanced Virgo [86] and KAGRA [87]. Currently running LIGO [88] and Virgo [89] observatories have a sensitivity of $\geq 2 \times 10^{-23} \text{strain/Hz}$ at $3 \text{kHz}$. The third generation detectors, such as Cosmic Explorer (CE) [90] and Einstein Telescope (ET) [91] with optimal arm length of $\approx 20 \text{ km}$ would have the sensitivity to detect the amount of postmerger neutron star oscillations [91]. The sensitivity of CE may reach below $10^{-25} \text{strain/Hz}$ at above few kHz frequencies and it is a proposed 40 km arm length L-shaped observatory. On the other hand, ET - the L-shaped underground proposed observatory will be able to reach the sensitivity of $\geq 3 \times 10^{-25} \text{strain/Hz}$ at $100 \text{Hz}$ and of $\sim 6 \times 10^{-24} \text{strain/Hz}$ at $\sim 10 \text{kHz}$. Thus, the present and near future GW detectors are not enough sensitive to detect such weak signals of GWs. However, the enhancement of the sensitivity of these detectors are also possible. It is proposed that the sensitivity of these detectors can be enhanced by an optical configuration of detectors using the current interferometer topology to reach $\geq 7 \times 10^{-25} \text{strain/Hz}$ at $2.5 \text{kHz}$ [83]. Also, S. L. Danilishin et al. [92] proposed that by the application of advanced quantum techniques to suppress the quantum noise at high frequency end in the design of GW detectors, the sensitivity of the present GW detectors can be enhanced significantly. If applications of such techniques or other possible method can enhance the sensitivity of GW detectors, it will open a new door to explore such echoes of the GWs. Which will definitely throw more light on the mystery of stellar interior of compact stars.

From these results, we can conclude that the $f(R)$ gravity in Palatini formalism allows the formation of stable strange stars, and can explain the stability and existence of the experimentally obtained strange star candidates. We have also provided the echo frequencies and times for such compact structures. In the near future, with the experimental data of GW echoes from such candidates it might be possible to select the most promising EoS or constraining the EoSs, which will provide a better understanding of such configurations and hence will help to reveal the actual properties of such stars. Consequently, the physics

![FIG. 9: Behaviours of surface redshift with radial distance of strange stars in the Gogoi-Goswami model for the MIT Bag model EoS (left panel), the stiffer MIT Bag model EoS (middle panel) and the linear EoS (right panel) respectively.](image)

- **MIT Bag model**
  - $\alpha = 0.15, \beta = 0.5$
  - $\alpha = -0.10, \beta = -3.25$
  - $\alpha = -0.3, \beta = -3.25$

- **Stiffer MIT Bag model**
  - $\alpha = 0.15, \beta = 0.5$
  - $\alpha = -0.20, \beta = -1.45$
  - $\alpha = -0.3, \beta = -4.5$

- **Linear EoS**
  - $\alpha = 0.15, \beta = 0.5$
  - $\alpha = -0.20, \beta = -1.45$
  - $\alpha = -0.3, \beta = -4.5$

The redshifts and radii are as follows:

- **Redshift (Z)**: 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6
- **Radius (r)**: 0, 5, 10
of near and the high regime will be solved clearly afterwards.


