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ABSTRACT. We prove that the Schweitzer complex is elliptic and its cohomologies define cohomological functors. As applications, we obtain finite dimensionality, a version of Serre duality, restrictions of the behaviour of cohomology in small deformations, and an index formula which turns out to be equivalent to the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch relations.

INTRODUCTION

The Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology are classical and well-established invariants of complex manifolds [1, 5]. Given a complex manifold $X$, with double complex of forms $(\mathcal{A}(X), \partial, \bar{\partial})$ they are defined as

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) := \frac{\ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial}}{\text{im} \, \partial \bar{\partial}}$$

and

$$H_{A}^{p,q}(X) := \frac{\ker \bar{\partial} \partial \partial}{\text{im} \, \partial + \text{im} \, \bar{\partial}}.$$ 

M. Schweitzer and J. P. Demailly [10, 6] have shown that they arise as the cohomology groups in certain degrees of a differential complex $L_{p,q} := (L_{p,q}(X), d_L)$, the definition of which we recall below. The cohomologies of this complex appear naturally when considering higher-length Aeppli-Bott-Chern Massey products [9], but have received relatively little attention otherwise. In this short note, we will establish some basic properties of the Schweitzer complex. More precisely, we show:

**Theorem A.** Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $L_{p,q}(X)$ its Schweitzer complex.

1. The complex $L_{p,q}^\bullet(X)$ is elliptic.
2. For every $p, q, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the association $X \mapsto H^k(L_{p,q}^\bullet(X))$ defines a cohomological functor in the sense of [11, 12].

From now on, let us assume $X$ to be compact and of dimension $n$. Then we obtain:

**Corollary A.1.** The dimensions $s_{p,q}^k(X) := \dim H^k(L_{p,q}(X))$ are finite.

This has also been shown by Demailly [6, Thm. 12.4], using a different argument. Further, we have

**Corollary A.2.** For $X$ connected, wedge product and integration induce a perfect pairing

$$H^k(L_{p,q}(X)) \times H^{2n-k-1}(L_{n-p+1,n-q+1}(X)) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

**Corollary A.3.** The numbers $s_{p,q}^k(X_t)$ vary upper semi-continuously in holomorphic families.

We will illustrate that this last result gives new restrictions on the $E_1$-isomorphism-type under small deformations. In particular, one obtains semi-continuity results even for classical objects such as certain differentials in the Frölicher spectral sequence.
By ellipticity, we may apply the Atiyah-Singer index theorem and obtain equalities between the Euler-characteristics $\chi_{p,q}(X)$ of $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(X)$ and certain expressions $td_{p,q}(X)$ in characteristic numbers.

**Theorem B.** The relations $\chi_{p,q}(X) = td_{p,q}(X)$ are equivalent to the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch relations.

Theorem B is in accord with a conjecture made in [12], stating that any universal linear relation between cohomological invariants and Chern numbers of compact complex manifolds of a given dimension is a consequence of the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch relations.

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Rui Coelho, Alexander Milivojević, Nicola Pia and Giovanni Placini for many inspiring conversations.

### PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**The Schweitzer complex.** Throughout, we fix an $n$-dimensional complex manifold $X$ and we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{X}^{p,q}$ the sheaf of smooth complex valued $(p,q)$-forms. Given any fixed pair of integers $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, Schweitzer and Demailly [10, 6], define a simple complex $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet$ of locally free sheaves as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^k := \bigoplus_{r+s=k \atop r \leq p, s \leq q} \mathcal{A}_{X}^{r,s} \quad \text{if } k \leq p + q - 2
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^k := \bigoplus_{r+s=k+1 \atop r \geq p, s \geq q} \mathcal{A}_{X}^{r,s} \quad \text{if } k \geq p + q - 1
$$

with differential $d_{\mathcal{L}}$ given by:

$$
\ldots \to \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{p+q-3} \to \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{p+q-2} \to \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{p+q-1} \to \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{p+q} \to \ldots
$$

We illustrate which components of $\mathcal{A}_{X}$ contribute to $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet$ for $n = 3$, $(p, q) = (2, 1)$:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{X}^{2,3} \quad \mathcal{A}_{X}^{3,3}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{X}^{2,2} \quad \mathcal{A}_{X}^{3,2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{X}^{2,1} \quad \mathcal{A}_{X}^{3,1}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{X}^{0,0} \quad \mathcal{A}_{X}^{1,0}
$$

By construction, one has

$$
H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) = H^{p+q-1}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})) := \mathbb{H}^{p+q-1}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet)
$$

and

$$
H_{A}^{p,q}(X) = H^{p+q-2}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})) := \mathbb{H}^{p+q-2}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet)
$$

We will mainly be interested in the differential complex of global sections $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.

---

1Up to a shift in total degree, this complex is denoted $S_{p,q}(X)$ in [9]. Here we follow the indexing convention used in [10, 6].
Cohomological functors. We recall [11, 12] that a cohomological functor on the category of compact complex manifolds is a functor to the category of finite dimensional vector spaces which factors as $H \circ A$, where $A : X \mapsto A_X := (A_X(X), \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is the Dolbeault double complex functor and $H$ is a linear functor which vanishes on ‘squares’, i.e. double complexes of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C} & \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} & \mathbb{C} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{C} & \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} & \mathbb{C}
\end{array}
$$

It is clear from the construction that $L_{p,q}(X)$ depends only on $A_X$. For any double complex $A$, denote by $L_{p,q}(A)$ the Schweitzer complex formed using $A$ instead of $A_X(X)$ and denote $H^k_{S_{p,q}}(A) := H^k(L_{p,q}(A))$. It is then clear that $H^k_{S_{p,q}}(A)$ is a linear functor (in particular it commutes with direct sums of double complexes) and what remains to show is the following:

**Lemma 1.** For any square $Sq$, we have $H^k_{S_{p,q}}(Sq) = 0$ for all $p, q, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** Any square $Sq$ is concentrated in four bidegrees:

$$
S := \text{supp } Sq := \{(r, s), (r + 1, s), (r, s + 1), (r + 1, s + 1)\}.
$$

The structure of $L_{p,q}(Sq)$ (and hence $H_{S_{p,q}}(Sq)$) depends on the relative position of $S$ and $T = T_A \cup T_B$ where

$$
T_A := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a < p, b < q\} \\
T_B := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a \geq p, b \geq q\}.
$$

There are three possibilities: $\#(S \cap T) \in \{0, 2, 4\}$, surveyed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1: $S \cap T = \emptyset$</th>
<th>Case 2: $#(S \cap T) = 2$</th>
<th>Case 3: $#(S \cap T) = 4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="#" alt="Diagram 1" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Diagram 2" /> or</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="Diagram 3" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{p,q}(Sq) = 0$</td>
<td>$L_{p,q}(Sq) = \mathbb{C} \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \mathbb{C}$</td>
<td>$L_{p,q}(Sq) = \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each case, clearly $H^k_{S_{p,q}}(Sq) = H^k(L_{p,q}(Sq)) = 0$ for all $p, q, k$. □

Since for any compact $X$, there exists a decomposition $A_X = A_X^{sq} \oplus A_X^{zig}$ where $A_X^{sq}$ is a direct sum of squares and $A_X^{zig}$ is finite dimensional [11], this implies Corollary A.1.

**Proof of Corollary A.2.** We recall from [11, Cor. 20] that for any cohomological functor $H$, the integration pairing induces an isomorphism $H(A_X) \cong H(DA_X)$, where $DA_X$ denotes the dual double complex as in [11]. The bigraded components of the dual complex are given by $(DA_X)^{p,q} = (A_X^{p,q})^\vee$ and the differentials (up to sign) by pullback with the differentials of $A_X$. Therefore, $^2$If we consider all complex manifolds, one should modify this definition by lifting the dimension restriction on the target of $H$, but imposing the condition that $\dim H(Z)$ is finite dimensional for any (bounded) indecomposable double complex.
we have $\mathcal{L}^k_{p,q}(DA_X) = (\mathcal{L}^{2n-k-1}_{n-p+1,n-q+1}(A_X))^\vee$. But cohomology of a complex of vector spaces commutes with dualization, so

$$H^k(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(A_X)) \cong H^k(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(DA_X)) = H^{2n-k-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n-p+1,n-q+1}(X))^\vee.$$ 

\[ \square \]

**Ellipticity.** Let $\pi : TX^\vee \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection map of the cotangent bundle and for any $\xi = \xi_x \in TX_x^\vee$ denote by $(L^* \sigma) := (\pi^* L^\vee_{p,q}(X) \xi, \sigma(d_{L^\vee_{p,q}})(\xi))$ the symbol complex (c.f. [2]). To show ellipticity, we have to prove:

**Lemma 2.** For any $\xi \neq 0$, the symbol complex $(L^* \sigma)$ is exact.

**Proof.** As is well-known, we have $\sigma(\partial)(\xi) = \xi^{1,0} \wedge$ and $\sigma(\bar{\partial})(\xi) = \xi^{0,1} \wedge$, where the superscripts mean projection to the corresponding bidegree. Without loss of generality, we may pick a local coordinate system $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ around $x$ such that $\xi = dz_1 + d\bar{z}_1$.

Let us check exactness of the following part of the complex. Exactness at stages of lower or higher degree is only notationally more cumbersome.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
A_{X,x}^{p-3,q-1} & \oplus & A_{X,x}^{p-2,q-2} & \longrightarrow & A_{X,x}^{p-1,q-1} & \longrightarrow & A_{X,x}^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & A_{X,x}^{p+1,q-1} \\
A_{X,x}^{p-1,q-3} & \oplus & A_{X,x}^{p,q} & \oplus & A_{X,x}^{p+1,q-2} & \oplus & A_{X,x}^{p+2,q-2}.
\end{array}$$

The first map is given by

$$\sigma^{p+q-4} : \omega^{p-3,q-1} + \omega^{p-2,q-2} + \omega^{p-1,q-3} \longrightarrow \xi^{1,0} \omega^{p-3,q-1} + \xi^{0,1} \omega^{p-2,q-2} + \xi^{1,0} \omega^{p-2,q-2} + \xi^{0,1} \omega^{p-1,q-3}.$$ 

The second map is given by

$$\sigma^{p+q-3} : \omega^{p-2,q-1} + \omega^{p-1,q-2} \longrightarrow \xi^{1,0} \omega^{p-2,q-1} + \xi^{0,1} \omega^{p-1,q-2}.$$ 

The third map is given by

$$\sigma^{p+q-2} : \omega \longrightarrow \xi^{1,0} \xi^{0,1} \omega.$$ 

The fourth and fifth maps are given by $\omega \longrightarrow \xi \omega$.

Now assume $\omega = \omega^{p-2,q-1} + \omega^{p-1,q-2} \in \ker \sigma^{p+q-3}$. Write

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\omega^{p-2,q-1} &= \xi^{1,0} \xi^{0,1} \omega_A + \xi^{1,0} \omega_B + \xi^{0,1} \omega_C + \omega_D \\
\omega^{p-1,q-2} &= \xi^{1,0} \xi^{0,1} \omega_A' + \xi^{1,0} \omega_B' + \xi^{0,1} \omega_C' + \omega_D',
\end{array}$$

with $\omega_A, \omega_A', \ldots$ having no summand which contains a factor of $\xi^{1,0}$ or $\xi^{0,1}$. Then $\sigma(\omega) = 0$ translates into the three equations

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\xi^{1,0} \xi^{0,1} \omega_C + \xi^{0,1} \xi^{1,0} \omega_B' &= 0 \\
\xi^{1,0} \omega_D &= \xi^{0,1} \omega_D' = 0.
\end{array}$$

4
The second and third equation imply \( \omega_D = \omega'_D = 0 \) and the first implies \( \omega_C = \omega'_B \). Hence, defining \( \eta \in L^{p+q-4}_x \) as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta^{p-3,q-1} &= \xi^{0,1}\omega_A + \omega_B \\
\eta^{p-2,q-2} &= \omega_C \\
\eta^{p-1,q-3} &= -\xi^{1,0}\omega'_A + \omega'_C,
\end{align*}
\]

one obtains \( \sigma(\eta) = \omega \).

Now, let \( \omega \in L^{p+q-2}_x = A^{p-1,q-1}_X \). Write \( \omega = \xi^{1,0}\omega_A + \xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_C + \omega_D \) as before. Then \( 0 = \sigma(\omega) = \xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega + \xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_C + \omega_D \) as before. Hence, defining \( \eta \in L^{p+q-3}_x \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta^{p-2,q-1} &= \omega_B + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{0,1}\omega_A \\
\eta^{p-1,q-2} &= \omega_C - \frac{1}{2}\xi^{1,0}\omega_A,
\end{align*}
\]

we get \( \sigma(\eta) = \omega \).

Next, let \( \omega \in L^{p+q-1}_x = A^{p,q}_X \). Write \( \omega = \xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega_A + \xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_C + \omega_D \) as above. Then sorting \( 0 = \sigma(\omega) = \xi^{1,0}\omega + \xi^{0,1}\omega \) by bidegree yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega_C + \xi^{1,0}\omega_D &= 0 \\
\xi^{0,1}\xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_D &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, \( \omega_D = -\xi^{0,1}\omega_C \) and therefore \( 0 = \omega_D = \omega_C = \omega_B \). Thus, \( \omega = \sigma(\omega_A) \). Finally, let \( \omega = \omega^{p,q+1} + \omega^{p+1,q} \in A^{p,q+1}_X \oplus A^{p+1,q}_X = L^{p+q}_x \) s.t. \( 0 = \sigma(\omega) = \xi \wedge \omega \). Again, write

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^{p,q+1} &= \xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega_A + \xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_C + \omega_D \\
\omega^{p+1,q} &= \xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega_A' + \xi^{1,0}\omega_B' + \xi^{0,1}\omega_C' + \omega_D'.
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( \sigma(\omega) = 0 \) translates into

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= \xi^{0,1}\xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_D \\
0 &= \xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega_C + \xi^{1,0}\omega_D + \xi^{0,1}\xi^{1,0}\omega_B + \xi^{0,1}\omega_D' \\
0 &= \xi^{1,0}\xi^{0,1}\omega_C' + \xi^{1,0}\omega_D'.
\end{align*}
\]

This implies \( \omega_D = \omega_B = \omega'_C = \omega'_D = 0 \) and \( \omega_C = \omega'_B \). Defining

\[
\eta := -\xi^{1,0}\omega_A + \omega_C + \xi^{0,1}\omega'_A \in L^{p+q-1}_x = A^{p,q}_X,
\]

we have \( \sigma(\eta) = \omega \). \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** Ellipticity immediately gives a second proof of Corollary A.1, see [2, Prop. 6.5.]

**Small deformations.** Let us prove Corollary A.3:

**Proof.** Pick a hermitian metric. For any \( k \neq p + q \), the order of \( d^k \mathcal{L}_{p,q} \) is 1 and thus for \( k \neq p + q, p + q - 1 \), the operators \( \Delta^k_{p,q} := (d^k_{p,q})^* d^k_{p,q} + d^{k-1}_{p,q} (d^{k-1}_{p,q})^* \) are elliptic and their kernel is isomorphic to \( H^k(\mathcal{L}^*_{p,q}(X)) \). They vary smoothly in families and hence the result follows. For \( k = p + q, p + q - 1 \), i.e. for Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology, the Corollary is known. (In that case, \( \Delta^k_{p,q} \) as defined above is not elliptic, but an appropriate modification of it is, see [2, sect. 6] for a general statement or [10, 6] for an explicit construction in this case.) \( \square \)
As an example we use this result to obtain semicontinuity properties for a classical object: the Frölicher spectral sequence [7]. We denote by $e_{r}^{p,q} := \dim E_{r}^{p,q}$ the dimensions of the bigraded pieces on the $r$-th page of this spectral sequence. The dimensions on the first page $e_{1}^{p,q} = h_{b}^{p,q}$ are known to behave upper semi-continuously, but for later pages this is false in general. However, denoting by $FD_{r}^{p,q} := e_{r}^{p,q} - e_{\infty}^{p,q}$, we may show:

**Corollary 1.** Let $X_t$ be a small deformation with $X_0 = X$ compact of dimension $n$. Then for $t$ sufficiently close to zero, there are inequalities

$$FD_{0}^{0,1}(X_0) \geq FD_{0}^{0,1}(X_t) \quad \text{and} \quad FD_{0,n-1}^{0,0}(X_0) \geq FD_{0,n-1}^{0,0}(X_t).$$

**Proof.** In order to avoid heavy notation which obscures the idea of the proof, we do the proof in the case of 3-folds, where it is easier to draw all necessary diagrams. We use [8, 11] that $A_X$ may be decomposed into a direct sum of indecomposable double complexes, namely infinitely many squares and finitely many zigzags. The multiplicities $\text{mult}_Z(A_X) =: \# Z(A_X)$ of these summands are an isomorphism invariant of $A_X$. Furthermore, for each zigzag, its images under the involutions $\tau : (p, q) \mapsto (q, p)$ ‘flipping along the diagonal’ and $\sigma : (p, q) \mapsto (n-p, n-q)$ ‘flipping along the main antidiagonal’ appear with the same multiplicity, so, denoting by $Z'$ the sum of the elements in the $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$-orbit of $Z$ we may write $\# Z' = \# Z$. Finally, certain zigzags always have zero multiplicity (‘only dots in the corners’), see [11, Ch. 4]. With this understood we compute:

$$b_1(X) := 2 \cdot \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{BC}^{0,1}(X) = \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X),$$

whereas

$$e_{\infty}^{0,1}(X) = \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X).$$

Therefore $e_{\infty}^{0,1} = b_1 - h_{BC}^{0,1}$. Since $b_1$ stays constant in families and $h_{BC}^{0,1}$ behaves upper semi-continuously, the first inequality, $FD_{0}^{0,1}(X) \geq FD_{0}^{0,1}(X_t)$, follows. For the second one, we compute

$$b_3(X) = 2 \cdot \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + 2 \cdot \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + 2 \cdot \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X)$$

and, writing as before $s_{p,q}^{k}(X) := \dim H^k(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(X))$,

$$s_{1,0}^{2}(X) = \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + 2 \cdot \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + 2 \cdot \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X).$$

On the other hand, $FD_{0}^{0,2}(X) = h_{b}^{0,2}(X) - e_{\infty}^{0,2}(X)$ counts the dimensions of all differentials in the Frölicher spectral sequence starting at $(0, 2)$, i.e.

$$FD_{0}^{0,2}(X) = \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X) + \# \begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \end{array} (A_X).$$
Therefore, \[ FD^{0,2} = h_{BC}^{0,3} + s_{1,0}^2 - b_3, \]
which is upper semi-continuous since the first two terms are and \( b_3 \) is constant. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.** The proof also shows that \( e^{0,1}_\infty \) behaves lower semi-continuously. The result should be compared to the fact that \( e^{k}_\infty = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} e^{p,q}_\infty = b_k \) is constant along deformations and the total Frölicher defect \( FD^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} FD^{p,q} = h_\partial^k - b_k \) is upper semi-continuous.

**Index formulae.** Denote by \( \chi_p(X) := \sum_q (-1)^q h^{p,q}_\partial(X) \) and by \( td_p(X) := \int_X Td(X) ch(\Omega^p) \), where \( Td(X) \) denotes the Todd class and \( ch \) the Chern character. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch relations can be expressed as \( \chi_p(X) = td_p(X) \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \), see [3].

Similarly, let us denote by \( \chi_{p,q}(X) := \sum_k (-1)^k \dim H^k(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}_{p,q}(X)) \) the analytical index of \( \mathcal{L}_p^\bullet \) and by \( td_{p,q}(X) \) its topological index, which is the pairing of the fundamental class \( [X] \) with a universal characteristic class which depends only on the \( K \)-theory class \( [\mathcal{L}_{p,q}] := \sum_k (-1)^k [\mathcal{L}^k_{p,q}] \in K(X) \). The Atiyah-Singer index theorem [3, 4] then yields:

**Theorem 1** (ABC index formulae). For any compact complex manifold \( X \) and \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \),
\[
\chi_{p,q}(X) = td_{p,q}(X).
\]

**Remark 3.** Strictly speaking, [3, 4] treat only elliptic complexes where all operators have order one, which is not the case for \( \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(X) \). However, the validity of Theorem 1 also follows a posteriori from Theorem B.

**Proof of Theorem B.** Recall from [6, 10] that there are subcomplexes \( (\mathcal{S}^\bullet_p, \partial) \) and \( (\bar{\mathcal{S}}^\bullet_q, \bar{\partial}) \) of \( \mathcal{L}_{p,q} \) defined as follows (if \( p, q \geq 1 \)):
\[
\mathcal{S}^k_p := \begin{cases} 
\Omega^k_X & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq p-1 \\
0 & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\bar{\mathcal{S}}^k_q := \begin{cases} 
\bar{\Omega}^k_X & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq q-1 \\
0 & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\]

If \( p = 0 \) or \( q = 0 \), one sets instead \( \mathcal{S}^0_p = \mathbb{C} \), resp. \( \bar{\mathcal{S}}^0_q = \mathbb{C} \) and all other components equal to 0. Set \( \mathcal{S}_{p,q}^k := \mathcal{S}^k_p + \bar{\mathcal{S}}^k_q \), the sum being direct except for \( k = 0 \). It is then known [6, 10] that \( \mathcal{S}_{p,q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{p,q} \) is a quasi-isomorphism. Further, there is a short exact sequence of complexes
\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^\bullet_p \oplus \bar{\mathcal{S}}^\bullet_q \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^\bullet_{p,q} \longrightarrow 0.
\]

For a complex \( C \) of abelian sheaves on \( X \), denote by \( \chi(C) := \sum_k (-1)^k \dim H^k(C) \) its hypercohomology Euler characteristic. Then, using \( \chi_p = (-1)^n \chi_{n-p} \), there is an equality of Euler characteristics:
\[
\chi_{p,q}(X) = \chi(\mathcal{S}^\bullet_{p,q}) = \chi(\mathcal{S}^\bullet_p) + \chi(\bar{\mathcal{S}}^\bullet_q) - \chi(\mathbb{C})
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \chi_k + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} (-1)^k \chi_k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \chi_k
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \chi_k + \sum_{k=n-q}^{n} (-1)^k \chi_k - \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^k \chi_k = \sum_{k=p}^{n-q} (-1)^{k+1} \chi_k
\]

To identify the characteristic number expressions, we instead identify the \( K \)-theory classes of the relevant complexes, using only the relation \( (\mathcal{A}^{p,q}_X)^\vee \cong \mathcal{A}^{n-q,n-p}_X \). Assume for simplicity \( p + q \leq n \)
and \( p > q \), the other cases are similar. The following chain of equalities in \( K(X) \) might be easier to follow with the following picture in mind, which illustrates the case \( n = 3 \), \((p, q) = (2, 1)\):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
+ & - & \\
- & + & \\
+ & - & \\
\end{array}
\quad \sim 
\begin{array}{ccc}
+ & - & \\
- & + & \\
+ & - & \\
\end{array}
\quad \sim 
\begin{array}{ccc}
+ & - & \\
- & + & \\
+ & - & \\
\end{array}
\]

Thus,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^* = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^k = \sum_{k \leq p+q-2} (-1)^k \sum_{r+s=k \atop r < p, s < q} A^{r,s}_X + \sum_{k \geq p+q-1} (-1)^k \sum_{r+s=k+1 \atop r \geq p, s \geq q} A^{r,s}_X
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X + \sum_{r=p}^{n} \sum_{s=q}^{n} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=n-q+1}^{n} \sum_{s=n-p+1}^{n} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X + \sum_{r=p}^{n} \sum_{s=q}^{n} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=p}^{n-q} \sum_{s=q}^{n} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X + \sum_{r=n-q+1}^{n} \sum_{s=q}^{n} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=p}^{n-q} \sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{r+s} A^{r,s}_X = \sum_{r=p}^{n-q} (-1)^{r+1} A^{r,s}_X
\]

And therefore:

\[
\text{td}_{p,q} = \sum_{r=p}^{n-q} (-1)^{r+1} \text{td}_p
\]

which implies the theorem since \( \chi_{p,q} = \text{td}_{p,q} \) for all \( p, q \) if and only if \( \chi_p = \text{td}_p \) for all \( p \). \( \square \)

**Remark 4.** In particular, we have shown the following relation between Dolbeault and Schweitzer cohomologies:

\[
\chi_{p,q}(X) = \sum_{k=p}^{n-q} (-1)^{k+1} \chi_p(X).
\]
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