LEFSCHETZ THEOREMS IN FLAT COHOMOLOGY AND APPLICATIONS
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Abstract. We prove a version of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for fpf cohomology with coefficients in any finite flat commutative group scheme over the ground field. As consequences, we establish new Lefschetz results for the Picard scheme. We then use Godeaux-Serre varieties to give a number of examples of pathological behavior of families in positive characteristic.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Overview. This paper is divided into two essentially disjoint parts. In the first part, we prove a version of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for finite flat commutative group scheme coefficients. Specifically, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.1. (Theorem 3.3.6) Let $k$ be a field, $Y$ a projective syntomic $k$-scheme, $X \subset Y$ a closed subscheme of dimension $d$, and $G$ a finite flat commutative $k$-group scheme. Then the cone

$$\text{cone} \left( \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, G) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G) \right)$$

lies in $D^{\geq d}(Z)$ if

1. $Y \cong P^N_k$ for some $N$ and $X$ is a global complete intersection, or
2. $X \subset Y$ is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor (see Definition 2.5.8 and Remark 2.5.9).

Remark 1.1.2. Example 3.3.7 shows that Theorem 1.1.1 may fail for ample (but not sufficiently ample) divisors $X \subset Y$. Example 3.3.8 shows that Theorem 1.1.1 may also fail for finite flat commutative $Y$-group schemes that are not defined over $k$. The assumption of syntomicity is similar to the assumptions in the Lefschetz theorems of [SGA2].

We expect that there is a version Theorem 1.1.1 for non-commutative finite $k$-group schemes, but we cannot prove it.

Question 1.1.3. Let $X \subset P^N_k$ be a complete intersection of dimension at least 2, and $G$ a finite (not necessarily commutative) $k$-group scheme. Is the natural morphism $H^1(P^N_k, G) \rightarrow H^1(X, G)$ a bijection? The same question may be asked for $X \subset Y$ a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor (with an appropriate definition of “sufficiently ample”).

By deissassage, Theorem 1.1.1 is reduced to the cases $G = \mu_\ell$, $\alpha_p$, and $\mathbb{Z}/p$, where $\ell$ is a prime number and $p$ is the characteristic of $k$. The cases of $\alpha_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p$ are reduced to questions of coherent cohomology using standard exact sequences. For $\ell \neq p$, the case of $\mu_\ell$ is settled using results in the theory of perverse sheaves.

The case of $\mu_p$ will give us the most difficulty. Here we will find it convenient to pivot to proving a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for the (pro-syntomic) cohomology of the Tate twists $\mathbb{Z}_p(i)$. Using the Nygaard filtration, this will ultimately be reduced to proving a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for each filtered piece in the conjugate filtration on de Rham cohomology, which has been established in [ABM21]. In particular, we get a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for the pro-syntomic cohomology of the Tate twists $\mathbb{Z}_p(i)$ defined in [BMS19] (see also Definition 2.3.1):

Theorem 1.1.4. (Corollary 3.1.2) Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, $Y$ a projective syntomic $k$-scheme, $X \subset Y$ a closed subscheme of dimension $d$, and $G$ a finite flat commutative $k$-group scheme. Then the cone

$$C := \text{cone} \left( \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) \right)$$

lies in $D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $H^d(C)$ torsion-free for $i \geq 0$ if

1. $Y \cong P^N_k$ for some $N$ and $X$ is a global complete intersection, or
2. $X \subset Y$ is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1.1, we show that if $k$ is a field and $X$ is a global complete intersection in $P^N_k$ of dimension $\geq 2$, then $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^* = 0$. 

Theorem 1.1.5. ([CS21, Corollary 7.2.3], Theorem 3.4.1) Let $k$ be a field, and $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N_k$ be a complete intersection of dimension at least 2. Then

(1) $\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}} = 0$;

(2) the group scheme $\text{Pic}^\tau_{X/k}$ is trivial;

(3) the class of $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1)$ is a non-divisible element of $\text{Pic}(X)$.

If $\dim X \geq 3$, then this was essentially settled by Grothendieck in [SGA2]. If $\dim X \geq 2$ and $X$ is smooth, then this was settled by Deligne in [SGA7, Exp. XI]. A version for weighted complete intersection surfaces with certain limited singularities can be found in [Lan84, §1]. The general case was established in [CS21, Corollary 7.2.3]. However, Theorem 1.1.6 does not seem to follow from their methods. When we started writing this paper, we were not aware that Theorem 1.1.5 was proven in [CS21].

Both proofs of Theorem 1.1.5 share a similar idea of using perfectoid techniques to reduce the study of flat cohomology of $\mu_p$ to studying cohomology of certain coherent sheaves. However, the actual proofs seem to be fairly different. Our proof is global and is based on the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem from [ABM21]. While the proof in [CS21] is local, they relate the Picard group of $X$ to the local Picard group of the vertex $x$ of the affine cone over $X$, and then use local techniques to study that Picard group. Namely, if $R$ is the local ring $x$, $\text{Pic}(X)/\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X(1)]$ injects into $\text{Pic}(\text{Spec } R \setminus \{x\})$, and it is good enough to get Theorem 1.1.5. This is the reason why their methods do not seem to be sufficient to get a proof of Theorem 1.1.6. Both proofs are uniform in $\dim X$ and do not make any assumptions on singularities of $X$.

Apart from this vanishing, we obtain the following Lefschetz type result for sufficiently ample Cartier divisors in a projective syntomic $k$-scheme.

Theorem 1.1.6. (Theorem 3.4.2, Corollary 3.4.6) Let $k$ be a field, and $Y$ a projective syntomic $k$-scheme of pure dimension $d$, and $X \subset Y$ a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor. Then

(1) $\text{Pic}^\tau_{Y/k} \to \text{Pic}^\tau_{X/k}$ is an isomorphism if $d \geq 3$;

(2) $\text{Pic}_{Y/k} \to \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ is an isomorphism if $d \geq 4$.

Remark 1.1.7. Remark 3.4.7 gives some evidence that Theorem 1.1.6 is quite likely false for an ample (but not sufficiently ample) divisor.

The main difficulty in proving Theorem 1.1.6 is that the Picard schemes can be highly non-reduced in positive characteristic, so one cannot argue on the level of Picard groups, i.e., on the level of $k$-points. To overcome this issue, we need to use the structure theory of commutative group schemes over a field and generalize Theorem 1.1.1 to more general base schemes, at least for $G = \mu_p$ (see Corollary 3.3.5).

In the second part of this paper, we give a number of examples of the pathological behavior of various cohomology theories over fields of positive characteristic. Specifically, we give examples of the following types:

Theorem 1.1.8. Let $R$ be a discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic $p > 0$, and $d \geq 2$. Then there is a smooth, projective morphism $f: X \to S = \text{Spec } R$ with geometrically connected fibers of pure dimension $d$ such that

(1) (Theorem 4.2.1 and Theorem 4.4.1) $\text{rk}_{k(\eta)} H^1_{dR}(X_{\eta}/k(\eta)) > \text{rk}_{k(\eta)} H^1_{dR}(X_{\eta}/k(\eta))$;

(2) (Theorem 4.5.4) $R^1 f_{\text{crys,-stars}} \mathcal{O}_{X/S}$ is not a crystal on $(S/S)_{\text{crys}}$. 

(3) (Corollary 4.4.3) \( H^2_{\text{dR}}(X/S) \) does not admit any stratification structure (in the sense of [BO78, Definition 2.10]). In particular, the Gauss-Manin connection on \( H^2_{\text{dR}}(X/S) \) does not prolong to a stratification;

These examples seem not to have been previously established in the literature. Though it is well-known that de Rham numbers can jump in smooth families in characteristic \( p \), it does not seem to be addressed anywhere besides a brief remark in [Ray79, 4.2.6(iii)]. The question of whether \( R^i f_{\text{crys*}} \mathcal{O}_{X/S} \) are crystals in the equicharacteristic case (i.e., \( p \mathcal{O}_S = 0 \)) is raised in [BO78, Remark 7.10]. Our second example negatively answers this question. As for the third example, Grothendieck constructed an example of a smooth projective family (see [Gro68a, §3.5] and [BO78, Example 2.18]) where the Gauss-Manin connection on \( H^1_{\text{dR}}(X/S) \) does not admit any functorial stratification.

Using \( p \)-curvature considerations and the relation between \( p \)-curvature and the Kodaira-Spencer map (see [Kat72, Theorem 3.2] for a precise statement), it is not hard to construct examples where the Gauss-Manin connection does not extend to a stratification in positive characteristic (see Remark 4.4.4). The novelty of our example is that \( H^2_{\text{dR}}(X/S) \) has no stratification structure whatsoever.

All of the above examples are built using a general construction due to Godeaux and Serre, which we recall briefly in Theorem 4.1.1. For \( p > 2 \), we give an example which seems close to what Raynaud had in mind in Section 4.2, followed by another example in Section 4.4 that works for any \( p > 0 \). The vague idea behind both examples is to find a sufficiently weird finite flat group scheme \( G \) over \( S \) such that the Godeaux-Serre construction applied to \( G \) gives the desired family \( X \to S \).

Our first example in Section 4.2 is fairly elementary. It studies the Hodge numbers \( h^{0,1} \) and \( h^{1,0} \) of the fibers of \( X \to S \) in an explicit way by relating them to the the tangent space of Pic\(^\tau\)\(_X/S\) and the global sections of differential forms respectively. To pass from Hodge numbers to de Rham numbers, we use the degeneration of the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence for varieties of dimension at most \( p - 1 \) which admit a lift to \( W_2(k) \) (see [DI87]). This is the reason why this example works only for \( p > 2 \).

Our second example is based on the idea that the smooth, proper family of Artin stacks \( BG \to S \) already has jumps in the first de Rham numbers of its fibers for some explicit \( G \). To see this, we use a result of Mondal [Mon21] which relates the second crystalline cohomology group of \( BG \) to its Dieudonné module. This gives the desired family in the world of stacks. The second step is to use the Godeaux-Serre construction to approximate \( BG \) by a family of smooth, projective scheme without changing the first de Rham numbers of fibers. To do this, we use the notion of a Hodge d-equivalence from [ABM21] (see Definition 2.5.1).

The reader may be surprised to find rather extensive use of the language of \( \infty \)-categories and especially \( \infty \)-functors and \( \infty \)-sheaves in this paper, particularly in Section 2. The role of these mainly lies beneath the surface; we will need \( \infty \)-categories mainly in order to give proper definitions. This use of \( \infty \)-categories comes essentially from the desire to have good functoriality properties for derived categories. In particular, we will use these to define derived cohomology theories which satisfy descent. Outside of these preliminary technical considerations, the paper may be read “as if” we were working with ordinary derived cohomology theories throughout.

1.2. Terminology. Throughout this paper, we use the formalism of \( \infty \)-categories based on the notion of a quasi-category as it is developed in [Lur09], [Lur17], and [Lur22]. We often conflate ordinary categories with \( \infty \)-categories by identifying a category \( \mathcal{C} \) with its nerve \( N(\mathcal{C}) \).

The \( \infty \)-category of spaces \( S \) is by definition \( N^{hc}_\bullet(\text{Kan}) \) the homotopy coherent nerve of the simplicial category of Kan complexes (see [Lur22, Tag 01YX] for more detail).
If $C$ is a site and $D$ a complete $\infty$-category, the category of $\infty$-sheaves $\text{Shv}_\infty(C, D)$ is the full subcategory of the category of functors $\text{Fun}_\infty(C^{\text{op}}, D)$ satisfying the descent condition (see [Lur09, §6.2.2] for more detail).

For a ring $A$, we denote its \textit{triangulated derived category} by $D(A)$ and its $\infty$-\textit{enhancement} by $\hat{D}(A)$. In particular, the homotopy category $hD(A)$ is canonically equivalent to $D(A)$. There are different equivalent ways to define $D(A)$, this choice does not play any role for this paper. However, to fix some notation, we use the definition of $D(A)$ as $N^{\text{dg}}_\bullet(K\text{-}\text{inj}, A)$ the dg-nerve of the differential graded category of $K$-injective complexes (see [Lur17, Definition 1.3.5.8] for more detail). We define the \textit{filtered derived $\infty$-category} $D\hat{F}(A)$ as $\text{Fun}_\infty(N, D(A))$ the $\infty$-category of $\infty$-functors from $N$ to $D(A)$.

For a fixed prime $p$ and an object $M \in D(A)$, the \textit{derived quotient} $[M/p] := \text{cone}(M \xrightarrow{p} M)$ is the cone of the multiplication by $p$ map. We denote by $\hat{D}(A)$ the full subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of $p$-adically derived complete objects (in the sense of [Sta21, Tag 091S]). Similarly, $\hat{D}(A)$ is the full $\infty$-subcategory of $D(A)$ consisting of $p$-adically derived complete objects. The $p$-adic derived completion functor is denoted by $(\hat{\_}) : D(A) \rightarrow \hat{D}(A)$.

If $S = \text{Spec } R$ is a spectrum of a discrete valuation ring, we denote by $k(\eta) := \text{Frac}(R)$ the quotient field, and $k(s) := R/m$ the residue field. Likewise, $\eta = \text{Spec } k(\eta)$ denotes the generic point of $S$ and $s = \text{Spec } k(s)$ the closed point of $S$. The \textit{geometric generic point} $\overline{\eta} := \text{Spec } k(\eta)$ for some choice of an algebraic closure $k(\eta) \subset \overline{k(\eta)}$, and the \textit{geometric special point} $\overline{s}$ is defined by $\text{Spec } k(s)$ for some choice of an algebraic closure $k(s) \subset \overline{k(s)}$.

If $A$ is an $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra, and $X$ an $A$-scheme. We denote the \textit{Frobenius twist} of $X$ relative to $A$ by $X^{(1)}$.
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2. \textbf{Preliminaries}

The main results of this paper concern de Rham cohomology of smooth varieties and flat cohomology of (possibly singular) varieties over a field. However, in order to compute these invariants, we need to consider de Rham cohomology of possibly singular varieties (and smooth stacks). The usual de Rham theory does not give a reasonable answer for such spaces. There are different ways to extend de Rham theory to singular spaces, but we found the formalism of derived de Rham complex to be the most convenient for our purposes. Moreover, it turns out that a useful way to understand flat $\mu_p$-cohomology of (even smooth) varieties is via the so-called twisted syntomic cohomology $R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_p(1))$ introduced in [BMS19], [BS22], and [BL22]. The theory of derived de Rham complex and twisted syntomic cohomology are related and quite convenient to explain at the same time. Moreover, it seems that these two theories are not usually used to study the geometry of projective varieties. For this reason, we will give a brief outline of these theories for
the interested reader. A reader familiar with the formalism of non-abelian derived functors and the main results of [BMS19] (or [BS22]), and [ABM21] can safely skip this section.

2.1. Derived invariants of rings. The main purpose of this section is to recall how to “derive” non-abelian functors, and to use this construction to define the cotangent complex and derived de Rham cohomology of possibly singular algebras. We will globalize this theory in Section 2.4.

For the rest of this section, we fix a ring $k$.

For a smooth $k$-algebra $R$, de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet_{R/k}$ defines a functor

$$\Omega^\bullet_{-/k} : \text{Alg}^\text{sm}_k \to \text{Ch}(k)$$

from the category of smooth $k$-algebras to the category of cochain complexes over $k$. This complex comes with an increasing conjugate filtration defined by canonical truncations:

$$\text{Fil}^\text{conj}_i \Omega^\bullet_{R/k} = \tau_{\leq i} \Omega^\bullet_{R/k}.$$

In other words, $\Omega^\bullet_{-/k}$ can be promoted to a functor

$$\text{Fil}^\text{conj}_\bullet \Omega^\bullet_{-/k} : \text{Alg}^\text{sm}_k \to \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}, \text{Ch}(k))$$

such that $\text{colim}_n \text{Fil}^\text{conj}_n \Omega^\bullet_{-/k} \simeq \Omega^\bullet_{-/k}$.

This filtration is not particularly useful when $k$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra because differentials in $\Omega^\bullet_{R/k}$ are not $R$-linear, so the associated graded pieces do not have a good algebraic structure. However, if $k$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, things change drastically due to the fact that differentials are Frobenius-linear, in particular the associated graded pieces are modules over the Frobenius twist $R^{(1)}$. Moreover, the Cartier isomorphism [DI87, Theorem 1.2] gives an explicit formula for these graded pieces as $\text{gr}^\text{conj}_i \Omega^n_{R/k} \simeq \Omega^n_{R^{(1)}/k}[-i]$.

For a singular $k$-algebra $R$, the de Rham complex is no longer a reasonable object. Instead we use the derived de Rham complex, an $\infty$-functor

$$dR^\bullet_{-/k} : \text{Alg}_k \to \mathcal{D}(k)$$

obtained by “deriving” the usual de Rham complex. We cannot “derive” this functor in the usual sense as we are not in the realm of abelian categories. However there is another general procedure how to derive non-abelian functors called left Kan extension; we refer to [Bha18, Lecture VII] and [BMS19, §2] for nice overviews of this theory and to [Lur09, §5.5.8] for details. The basic idea is to use finite type polynomial algebras in place of projective objects; any $k$-algebra $A$ admits a resolution by polynomial algebras $P_\bullet \to A$ and each polynomial algebra $P_i$ is a filtered colimit of finite type polynomial algebras. Therefore, if we require an extension to commute with filtered colimits and geometric realizations, there is an essentially unique way to form this extension. It turns out that it is actually always possible (as long as the target $\infty$-category has all small limits) to extend an $\infty$-functor from the category of finite type polynomials $\text{Poly}_k$ to $\text{Alg}_k$ by requiring it to commute with all sifted colimits\footnote{Strictly speaking, one has to extend the functor to the whole $\infty$-category of simplicial rings and interpret sifted colimits in this $\infty$-category, but we ignore these subtleties in this introduction.}, the main examples of which are filtered colimits and geometric realizations\footnote{Given a simplicial object $X_\bullet : \Delta^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(k)$, its geometric realization $|X_\bullet|$ is simply the the direct sum totalization of the bicomplex obtained from $X_\bullet$ by making the simplicial direction the horizontal one and applying the Dold-Kan correspondence to it.}. 
Warning 2.1.1. If we start with $\infty$-functor $F: \text{Alg}_k^{\text{sm}} \to \mathcal{D}$ that is defined on all smooth $k$-algebras, then its derived functor $LF$ is still obtained as a left Kan extension of the restriction of $F$ on the category of finite type polynomial algebras. In particular, the canonical morphism $LF(A) \to F(A)$ is not necessarily an isomorphism for a smooth $k$-algebra $A$ (see Warning 2.1.3 for an explicit example). However, this map is always an isomorphism on finite type polynomial algebras, and it is an isomorphism on any polynomial algebra if $F$ commutes with filtered colimits (e.g., $F(-) = \Omega^\_/-k$).

We apply the described procedure above to $\Omega^\bullet/_k$ to get the derived de Rham complex. If one forgets about functoriality of $\text{dR}^-/-_k$, it is easy to describe how to compute $\text{dR}^-/-_k$. For a $k$-algebra $A$, one considers the canonical simplicial resolution (see [Sta21, Tag 08NA])

$$P_\bullet := (\cdots \xrightarrow{k[k[A]]} k[k[A]] \xrightarrow{k[A]} A) \to A.$$ 

with natural transition maps. Then $\text{dR}^-/A_k$ is computed by the geometric realization of the simplicial chain complex $\Omega^\bullet_{P/}$. Similarly, one can define the cotangent complex and its (derived) wedge powers

$$\wedge^iL^-/-_k: \text{Alg}_k \to \mathcal{D}(k)$$

as left Kan extensions of $\Omega^i_-/-_k$ for $i \geq 1$.

Remark 2.1.2. We defined $L^-/-_k$ as an $\infty$-functor with values in $\mathcal{D}(k)$. Using the canonical resolution as above, it is not hard to see that, for each particular $A$, $L^-/A_k$ can be canonically promoted to an object of $D(A)$. We refer to [Sta21, Tag 08P5] for details.

Warning 2.1.3. The derived de Rham complex $\text{dR}^-/A_k$ is not particularly useful if $k$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. Indeed, $\text{dR}^-/A_k \simeq k[0]$ for all $k$-algebras $A$; see [Bha18, Lecture VII, Remark 3.8].

Now we list the main results about the cotangent complex and derived de Rham cohomology.

Theorem 2.1.4. (1) The $\infty$-functors $\wedge^iL^-/-_k$ and $\text{dR}^-/-_k$ commute with filtered colimits$^3$; i.e., for a filtered colimit $A \simeq \text{colim}_i A_i$, the natural morphism $\text{hocolim} F(A_i) \to F(A)$ is an isomorphism for $F = \wedge^iL^-/-_k$ or $\text{dR}^-/-_k$;

(2) $\text{dR}^-/-_k$ admits a “promotion to a filtered complex”; i.e., there is an $\infty$-functor

$$\text{Fil}^\text{conj}_i\text{dR}^-/-_k: \text{Alg}_k \to \mathcal{D}(k) = \text{Fun}_\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{D}(k))$$

with an isomorphism of $\infty$-functors $\text{hocolim}_i \text{dR}^-/-_k \simeq \text{dR}^-/-_k$;

(3) if $k \to k'$ is a flat morphism, then the natural morphism $\text{dR}^-/-_k \otimes^L_{k} k' \to \text{dR}^-/-_{k'/k'}$ is an isomorphism of $\infty$-functors;

(4) there is an isomorphism of $\infty$-functors $\text{gr}^\text{conj}_i \text{dR}^-/-_k \simeq \wedge^iL^-/-_{(\cdot \cdot \cdot)/[i]}$ when $k$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra;

(5) the natural morphism $\wedge^iL^-/A_k \to \Omega^i_A/k$ is an isomorphism if $A$ is $k$-smooth;

(6) the natural morphism $\text{dR}^-/A_k \to \Omega^\bullet_A/k$ is an isomorphism of $A$ is $k$-smooth and $k$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra;

(7) $\wedge^iL^-/A_k[-i]$ lies in $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$ if $A$ is $k$-ind-syntomic (see Definition A.2.1);

(8) $\text{dR}^-/A_k$ lies in $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$ if $A$ is $k$-ind-syntomic and $k$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra.

$^3$It also commutes with all sifted colimits in the $\infty$-category of simplicial algebras, but this will never be important for us.
Proof. The first point follows from the definition of left Kan extension (see [Bha18, Lecture VII, Proposition 1.2]). One defines $\Fil^\conj_i \dR_{-/k}$ as a left Kan extension of $\Fil^\conj_i \Omega^\bullet_{-/k}$ from polynomial algebras. Since left Kan extensions are defined using colimits, and colimits commute with colimits, we get a functorial isomorphism $\hocolim_i \Fil^\conj_i \Omega^\bullet_{-/k} \simeq \dR_{-/k}$ since colim $\Fil^\conj_i \Omega^\bullet_{-/k} \simeq \Omega^\bullet_{-/k}$ on polynomial algebras. The third point is obtained by left Kan extending the canonical isomorphism of complexes $\Omega^\bullet_{A/k} \otimes_k k' \simeq \Omega^\bullet_{A/k'}/k'$ from finite type polynomial algebras. The fourth point follows by deriving the Cartier isomorphism $\gr^\conj_i \Omega^\bullet_{-/k} \simeq \Omega^\bullet_{R^{(i)}/[−i]}$; see [Bha18, Lecture VII, Proposition 3.2] for more details. The fifth point is standard (but not formal); we refer to [Sta21, Tag 08R5] for details when $i = 1$. The case of $i > 1$ follows from $i = 1$. The sixth point follows from (2), (4), and (5). The seventh point for $i = 1$ follows from Lemma A.1.3 (1) and the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{≥0}$ is closed under homotopy colimits. Then a combination of [Lur18, Proposition 25.2.4.1 and 25.2.4.2] implies that $\wedge^i L_{A/k}[-i] \in \mathcal{D}^{≥0}(k)$. The last point follows from the combination of (2), (4), (7), and the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{≥0}$ is closed under homotopy colimits and extensions. \qed

2.2. Crystalline cohomology and the Nygaard filtration. For the rest of the section, we fix a perfect field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$.

For any $k$-algebra $R$, we can define its crystalline cohomology $\Gamma^\crys(R/\mathcal{W}(k))$, or just $\Gamma^\crys(R/W(k))$ for simplicity, as in [BO78] or [Sta21, Tag 07GI]. This is a derived $p$-adically complete complex of $W(k)$-modules, i.e., an object of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(W(k))$. The construction can be promoted an $\infty$-functor

$$\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k)) : \Alg_k \to \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(W(k)).$$

Similarly to the cases of the cotangent complex and derived de Rham cohomology, we can define derived crystalline cohomology as a left Kan extension of $\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k))$ (considered as a functor with values in $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(W(k))$) from the category of finite type polynomial $k$-algebras. This gives an $\infty$-functor

$$\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k)) : \Alg_k \to \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(W(k))$$

which comes with a natural morphism $\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k)) \to \Gamma^\crys(−/W(k))$. We will show that this morphism is an equivalence when restricted to the category of syntomic $k$-algebras. Therefore, unlike the case of the derived de Rham complex, which is usually quite different from the usual de Rham complex in the presence of singularities, derived crystalline cohomology coincide with classical crystalline cohomology under the syntomicity assumption (the only case which we consider in this paper). However, even in the syntomic case, the concept of derived crystalline cohomology is useful as it allows to define the Nygaard filtration on $\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k))$ beyond the smooth case. Before we discuss this, we mention the main properties of derived crystalline cohomology.

**Theorem 2.2.1.**

1. The $\infty$-functor $\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k))$ commutes with filtered colimits, i.e., for a filtered colimit $A \simeq \colim_i A_i$, the natural morphism

$$(\hocolim_i \Gamma^\crys(A_i/W(k)))^\wedge \to \Gamma^\crys(A/W(k))$$

is an isomorphism;

2. there is an isomorphism of $\infty$-functors

$$\Gamma^\crys(−/W(k)) \otimes^L_{W(k)} k \simeq \dR_{−/k};$$

3. $\Gamma^\crys(A/W(k)) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{≥0}(W(k))$ if $A$ is $k$-ind-syntomic;
(4) the natural morphism $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k)) \to R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k))$ is an isomorphism if $A$ is $k$-syntomic.

**Proof.** The first point follows from the definition of left Kan extension (see [Bha18, Lecture VII, Proposition 1.2]). [BO78, Corollary 7.9] (or [BdJ11, Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.10] after noting that their proof works the same over the base $\Sigma = \text{Spec} W(k)$) constructs a 1-functorial in $A$ isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k)) \simeq \Omega^i_{A/k}$ for a smooth $k$-algebra $A$. We wish to do deduce (2) by left Kan extending that isomorphism from finite type polynomial algebras. However, it requires to check that the isomorphism constructed in [BO78] (or [BdJ11]) is $\infty$-functorial. One way to avoid this issue is to use de Rham-Witt complexes, where the isomorphism between reduction of the crystalline and de Rham comology can be seen on the level of complexes (as, for example, in [BLM18, Theorem 4.3.1 and Remark 4.3.6]), so $\infty$-functorial for trivial reasons.

To show the third point, we note that (2) and Theorem 2.1.4(8) imply that $[R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(A/W(k))/p]$ lies in $\hat{D}^{+0}(k)$. Therefore, using $p$-adic derived completeness of $R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(A/W(k))$ and [Sta21, Tag 09B9], one concludes that $R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(A/W(k))$ lies in $\hat{D}^{+0}(W(k))$.

For the last point, the morphism

$$\alpha_A : R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(A/W(k)) \to R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k)).$$

has $p$-adically derived complete source and target. Therefore, the derived Nakayama lemma [Sta21, Tag 0GIU] implies that it suffices to show that $\alpha_A$ is an isomorphism after applying the $\infty$-functor $\otimes^L_W k$. This essentially follows from (2) and [Bha12, Theorem 3.27], which naturally identifies both sides of this morphism with $dR_{A/k}$.

For a smooth $k$-algebra $A$, the crystalline cohomology $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k))$ is isomorphic to a canonical complex $W\Omega^\bullet_A$, called the *de Rham-Witt complex*, which we will write as

$$W\Omega^i_A = (W\Omega_A \to W\Omega^1_A \to W\Omega^2_A \to \ldots).$$

We recall that the terms $W\Omega^i_A$ of the de Rham-Witt complex are $p$-torsion-free, and the complex comes with two endomorphisms $F, V : W\Omega^\bullet_A \to W\Omega^\bullet_A$, such that $F \circ V = V \circ F = p$. Moreover, by functoriality there is an action of Frobenius $\varphi : W\Omega^i_A \to W\Omega^0_A$ on each term of the complex, this Frobenius does not commute with the differential, so it is different from $F$. They are related by the formula $p^i F = \varphi$ on $W\Omega^i_A$, in particular the image of $\varphi$ on $W\Omega^1_A$ is (uniquely) divisible by $p^i$. See [Ill79] or [BLM18] for an extensive discussion of this theory.

Using the de Rham-Witt complex, [Nyg81] defined the Nygaard filtration on $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k))$ for a $k$-smooth $A$. Namely, he defined a filtration on the de Rham-Witt complex

$$\text{Fil}_N^i W\Omega_A := (p^{-i} V W(A) \to p^{-i-2} V W\Omega_A^1 \to \cdots \to V W\Omega_i^{-1} \to W\Omega^i_A \to W\Omega_{i+1}^i \to \ldots),$$

and used the isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k)) \simeq W\Omega^\bullet_A$ to get a decreasing filtration $\text{Fil}_N^i R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k))$ on the crystalline cohomology. For the purposes of this paper, we need to extend this filtration to the case of syntomic $k$-algebras as it is done in [BMS19, §8.1].

We recall that one defines the *Nygaard filtration* $\text{Fil}_N^i R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(A/W(k))$ for an arbitrary $k$-algebra $A$ by taking a left Kan extension of the $\infty$-functor

$$\text{Poly}_k \to \hat{D} F^{\text{op}}(W(k)) = \text{Fun}_\infty(\mathbf{N}^{\text{op}}, \hat{D}(W(k)))$$

that sends $A$ to $\text{Fil}_N^i W\Omega_A^\bullet$ as defined above. Using Theorem 2.2.1(3), we get a well-defined Nygaard filtration $\text{Fil}_N^i R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k))$ on the crystalline cohomology of any syntomic $k$-algebra.
Using the properties of the de Rham-Witt complex above, one easily sees that the restriction of
the absolute Frobenius \( \varphi : \Omega^* \to \Omega^* \) on \( \text{Fil}^i_k \Omega^* \) is uniquely divisible by \( p^i \) for any smooth
\( k \)-algebra \( A \), thereby defining the divided Frobenius
\[
\varphi_i := \frac{\varphi}{p^i} : \text{Fil}^i_k \Omega^*_A \to \Omega^*_A
\]
which is a map of actual complexes. Therefore, we can derive it to get a transformation of \( \infty \)-functors:
\[
\varphi_i : \text{Fil}^i_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \to \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k).
\]
Combining this with the mod-\( p \) reduction \( \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \to R_{\mathbb{A}/k} \), we get a transformation of \( \infty \)-functors:
\[
\varphi_i \mod p : \text{Fil}^i_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \to R_{\mathbb{A}/k}.
\]

**Proposition 2.2.2.** For any \( i \geq 0 \), the transformation \( \varphi_i \mod p \) induces a fiber sequence of \( \infty \)-functors
\[
\text{Fil}^{i+1}_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \to \text{Fil}^i_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i \mod p} \text{Fil}^i_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k)
\]
where the first map comes from the construction of the filtered object \( \text{Fil}^i_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \).

**Proof.** If \( R \) is a smooth algebra, the claim is proven [BMS19, Lemma 8.2]. In general it follows
from the smooth case by applying left Kan extension. \( \square \)

2.3. \textit{p-adic Tate twists.} In this section, we recall the definition of \( p \)-adic Tate twists \( Z_p(i) \) from
[BMS19]. One subtlety is that the quasi-syntomic topology is used in [BMS19] to define \( Z_p(i) \).
However, it seems difficult to relate cohomology in the quasi-syntomic topology to cohomology
in the flat topology, and we are ultimately interested in the flat cohomology of finite flat group
schemes. Therefore, we briefly sketch why all the results of [BMS19] hold true if one works in the
pro-syntomic topology instead of the quasi-syntomic one. Some results on the pro-syntomic site
are collected in Appendix A.

For the rest of the section, we fix a perfect field \( k \) of characteristic \( p > 0 \) and let \( \text{psyn}_k \) denote
the affine pro-syntomic site of \( k \).

**Definition 2.3.1.** The \textit{p-adic Tate twist} \( Z_p(i) \) is an \( \infty \)-functor
\[
Z_p(i) : \text{Alg}_k \to \hat{D}(Z_p)
\]
defined as
\[
Z_p(i) := \text{fib} \left( \text{Fil}^i_k \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Gamma_{dcrys}(\mathbb{A}/k) \right)
\]

The main usage of these functors in this paper is to relate the cohomology groups of \( \mu_p \) to
crystalline cohomology, which can be studied by coherent methods. In order to get this relation,
we need to get an explicit formula for \( Z_p(1) \) evaluated on \textit{pro-syntomic algebras}.

**Theorem 2.3.2.** There is a canonical isomorphism of \( \infty \)-functors \( \log : Z_p(1)(-) \to \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(-, \lim_{n} \mu_{p^n}) \)
as \( \infty \)-functors \( \text{psyn}^{op} \to \hat{D}(Z_p) \). Modulo \( p \), this transformation induces an isomorphism \( [Z_p(1)(-)/p] \simeq \Gamma_{\text{fpff}}(-, \mu_p) \).

**Proof.** The second claim follows from the first one, once we show that
\[
[\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(-, \lim_{n} \mu_{p^n})]/p \simeq \Gamma_{\text{fpff}}(-, \mu_p).
\]
Lemma A.2.7 implies that \( \lim_{n} \mu_{p^n} \simeq R \lim_{n} \mu_{p^n} \), so
\[
\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(-, \lim_{n} \mu_{p^n}) \simeq R \lim_{n} \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(-, \mu_{p^n}) \simeq R \lim_{n} \Gamma_{\text{fpff}}(-, \mu_{p^n}) \simeq \Gamma_{\text{fpff}}(-, \mathcal{G}_m)[-1],
\]
where the middle isomorphism follows from Theorem A.4.5. Therefore, we get that

$$[R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(-, \lim_n \mu_{p^n})/p] \simeq [R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(-, G_m)^{\wedge}[{-1}]/p] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(-, [G_m/p])^{\wedge}[{-1}] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(-, \mu_p).$$

The first claim is proven in [BMS19, Proposition 7.17] if one changes the pro-syntomic topology on the right side by the quasi-syntomic topology. However, one can check that their proof works in the pro-syntomic topology as well. Alternatively, one can deduce the claim from [SW13, Lemma 4.2.1, Theorem 4.1.4, and Corollary 4.1.12] by reducing to the case of a regular semi-perfect algebra. An algebraic proof can be found in [BL22, Theorem 7.3.5] by using the above-established isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(-, \lim_n \mu_{p^n}) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(-, G_m)^{\wedge}[{-1}]$ and Theorem A.4.2 applied to $G = G_m$. \hfill \Box

2.4. Cohomology of stacks. We wish to globalize the functors from the previous section, and also extend them to (a class of) algebraic stacks. To do this, we show that the functors of interest are $\infty$-sheaves, and so by general nonsense we may evaluate them on other $\infty$-stacks “by descent”. We can descend functors using different topologies, but the pro-syntomic topology is the most convenient choice for our purposes.

First, we discuss how $\infty$-sheaves can be evaluated on stacks on an abstract (classical) site $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that, for a usual sheaf of sets $\mathcal{F}$, we have an natural isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(T) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}}(h_T^\#, \mathcal{F})$ for every $T \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. Therefore, for two sheaves of sets $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, one can define $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ extending the usual notion of $\mathcal{F}(T)$.

A similar construction is possible with $\infty$-sheaves and stacks. Any stack $\mathcal{X}$ defines an $\infty$-sheaf of groupoids (in particular of spaces via the construction [Lur22, Tag 01Z3])

$$h_\mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{X}) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{S}.$$  

For technical reasons, it will be more convenient to extend $\infty$-sheaves to all $\infty$-sheaves of spaces. Namely, in this level of generality, we invoke [Lur18, Proposition 1.3.1.7] that implies that, for any presentable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{D}$, there is a natural isomorphism of $\infty$-categories

$$\text{Shv}_\infty(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}_\infty'(\text{Shv}_\infty(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}),$$  \hfill (2.1)

where $\text{Fun}_\infty'$ is the $\infty$-category of $\infty$-functors which commute with (small) limits. Informally, one can write

$$F(h_\mathcal{X}) = R\Gamma(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}, F).$$

Construction 2.4.1. (Extending values of $\infty$-sheaves) Let $F$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-valued $\infty$-sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$ for some presentable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{D}$, and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a stack on $\mathcal{C}$. We extend $F$ to an $\infty$-functor $\tilde{F} : \text{Shv}_\infty(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}}) \to \mathcal{D}$ on the category of $\infty$-sheaves of spaces, i.e.,

$$\tilde{F}(\mathcal{X}) := F(h_\mathcal{X}) = R\Gamma(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}, F).$$

If $\mathcal{X}$ has a cover $U \to \mathcal{X}$ such that all elements of its Čech nerve lie in $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, then Čech descent gives an identification

$$\tilde{F}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Tot} \left( F(U) \longrightarrow F(U \times_\mathcal{X} U) \longrightarrow F(U \times_\mathcal{X} U \times_\mathcal{X} U) \longrightarrow \cdots \right),$$

thus allowing one to compute $F(\mathcal{X})$ in terms of the value of $F$ on objects of $X$.

Now we wish to apply this construction to syntomic $k$-stacks (or pro-syntomic $k$-schemes), the pro-syntomic topology, and $\infty$-functors from the above sections. In order to do this, we need to check that syntomic $k$-stacks (and pro-syntomic $k$-schemes) form $\infty$-sheaves on $\text{pSyn}_k$ and that the $\infty$-functors of interest are $\infty$-sheaves on the small affine pro-syntomic site. The former statement is Lemma A.2.8, and the latter statement is proven below:
**Theorem 2.4.2.** [ABM21, Theorem 2.5] Let \( k \) be an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-algebra. The following assignments give \( \infty \)-sheaves of complexes on \( \text{pSyn}_k \):

1. (Flat Cohomology) the \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( R\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(-, G) \) for a flat, finitely presented group \( k \)-group scheme \( G \);
2. (Wedge powers of the cotangent complex) the \( \mathcal{D}(k) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functors \( \wedge^i L_{-/k} \);
3. (Derived de Rham complex) the \( \mathcal{D}(k) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( dR_{-/k} \);
4. (Filtered derived de Rham complex) the \( \mathcal{D}(F(k)) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( \text{Fil}^\text{conj} dR_{-/k} \);
5. (Nygaard Filtration) the \( \mathcal{D}(W(k)) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(-/W(k)) \) when \( k \) is a perfect field;
6. (Nygaard Filtration) the \( \mathcal{D}(W(k^\text{op})) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( \text{Fil}_N^* R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(-/W(k)) \) when \( k \) is a perfect field;
7. (Tate twists) the \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( \mathbb{Z}_p(i)(- ) \) for \( i \geq 1 \) when \( k \) is a perfect field;

In other words, given an ind-syntomic cover \( A \to A' \) of ind-syntomic \( k \)-algebras, the natural maps

\[
F(A) \to \text{Tot}^\Pi \left( \begin{array}{c} F(A') \to F(A' \otimes A A') \to F(A' \otimes A A' A') \to \cdots \end{array} \right)
\]

are isomorphisms for \( F \) any of the above \( \infty \)-functors on \( \text{pSyn}_k \).

**Remark 2.4.3.** All these \( \infty \)-functors take values in \( \mathcal{D}^{\geq -N} \) for some fixed \( N \) (\( N = 0 \) for all \( \infty \)-functors besides \( \wedge^i L_{-/k} \), and \( N = i \) for \( \wedge^i L_{-/k} \)) by Theorem 2.1.4 and Theorem 2.2.1. Therefore, these \( \infty \)-sheaves are automatically hypersheaves (see [CM21, Lemma 2.6]).

**Proof.** Firstly, flat cohomology of flat, finitely presented group schemes are canonically isomorphic to the pro-syntomic by Theorem A.4.5, and pro-syntomic cohomology clearly satisfy pro-syntomic descent.

The proof that \( \wedge^i L_{-/k}, dR_{-/k}, \text{and } R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(-/W(k)) \) are \( \infty \)-sheaves of complexes is analogous to [ABM21, Theorem 2.5] using that any ind-syntomic morphism of \( k \)-algebra is quasi-syntomic\(^4\) and that [BMS19, Example 5.12] guarantees that \( dR_{-/k} \) is an \( \infty \)-sheaf of complexes on the (small) quasi-syntomic site of \( k \).

To check that \( \text{Fil}^\text{conj} dR_{-/k} \) (resp. \( \text{Fil}_N^* R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(-/W(k)) \)) is an \( \infty \)-sheaf, it suffices to check that each particular term \( \text{Fil}^\text{conj} dR_{-/k} \) (resp. \( \text{Fil}_N^* R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(-/W(k)) \)) is an \( \infty \)-sheaf. This follows from Theorem 2.1.4(4) (resp. Proposition 2.2.2), sheafiness of \( \wedge^i L_{-/k} \) (resp. of \( R\Gamma_{\text{dcrys}}(-/W(k)) \) and \( \text{Fil}^\text{conj} dR_{-/k} \)). Tate twists \( \mathbb{Z}_p(i)(- ) \) are pro-syntomic \( \infty \)-sheaves as fibers of morphisms of pro-syntomic \( \infty \)-sheaves (see Definition 2.3.1).

**Definition 2.4.4.** (Cohomology of Syntomic Stacks) Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a syntomic \( k \)-stack or a pro-syntomic \( k \)-scheme\(^5\). Then one can apply Construction 2.4.1 to the \( \infty \)-functors in Theorem 2.4.2 to define the following \( \infty \)-functors on syntomic \( k \)-stacks:

1. (Flat Cohomology) the \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functor \( \mathcal{X} \to R\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(\mathcal{X}, G) \) for a flat, finitely presented group \( k \)-group scheme \( G \);
2. (Wedge powers of the cotangent complex) the \( \mathcal{D}(k) \)-valued \( \infty \)-functors \( \mathcal{X} \to R\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \wedge^i L_{\mathcal{X}/k}) \);

\(^4\)We recall that a morphism of \( k \)-algebras \( A \to B \) is quasi-syntomic if \( B \) is faithfully flat over \( A \) and \( L_{B/A} \) has Tor-amplitude in \([-1, 0]\).

\(^5\)The main reason to restrict to the case of schemes is that we do not know the correct definition of pro-syntomic \( k \)-stacks as this notion is not local on the target.
(3) *(Derived de Rham complex)* the $\mathcal{D}(k)$-valued $\infty$-functor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{X}/k)$ when $k$ is an $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra;

(4) *(Filtered derived de Rham complex)* the $\mathcal{D}F(k)$-valued $\infty$-functor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cont}}^* \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{X}/k)$ when $k$ is an $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra;

(5) *(Derived crystalline complex)* the $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(W(k))$-valued $\infty$-functor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dcris}}(\mathcal{X}/W(k))$ when $k$ is a perfect field;

(6) *(Nygaard Filtration)* the $\mathcal{D}F^\text{op}(W(k))$-valued $\infty$-functor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}_N^* \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dcris}}(\mathcal{X}/W(k))$ when $k$ is a perfect field;

(7) *(Tate twists)* the $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$-valued $\infty$-functor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Z}_p(i))$ for $i \geq 1$ when $k$ is a perfect field;

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a quasi-compact syntomic $k$-stack with affine diagonal and $F$ is any of the $\infty$-sheaves above, then there exists an affine syntomic cover $U \to \mathcal{X}$ with $U$ a syntomic affine $k$-scheme, and Čech descent gives an identification

$$\hat{F}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Tot} \prod \left( F(U) \longleftarrow F(U \times_U U) \longleftarrow F(U \times_U U \times_U U) \longleftarrow \cdots \right)$$

thus allowing one to compute $F(\mathcal{X})$ in terms of the value of $F$ on affines.

**Remark 2.4.5.** Remark 2.4.3 implies that these $\infty$-functors automatically satisfy syntomic hyperdescent (see [CM21, Lemma 2.6] for the hyperdescent part). In particular, they satisfy Zariski hyperdescent.

Similarly, the usual flat cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G)$ (resp. de Rham cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega^*_X)$, resp. crystalline cohomology $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(X/W(k))$) satisfy Zariski hyperdescent on (resp. smooth, resp. syntomic) $k$-schemes.

Therefore, we can choose a Zariski hypercover of $X$ by affine schemes and use Theorem A.4.5 (resp. Theorem 2.1.4, resp. Theorem 2.2.1) to conclude that Definition 2.4.4 recovers the usual flat cohomology (resp. de Rham cohomology, resp. crystalline cohomology) for any (resp. smooth, resp. syntomic) $k$-scheme.

**Remark 2.4.6.** In [ABM21], they define de Rham and (derived) crystalline cohomology of stacks using the affine syntomic site of $\text{Spec} \ k$. Their definition agrees with ours since any $k$-syntomic stack has a hypercover by affine syntomic $k$-schemes.

**Definition 2.4.7.** For an $\mathbf{F}_p$-algebra $k$ and a syntomic $k$-stack $\mathcal{X}$, we define the $i$-th *de Rham cohomology group* of $\mathcal{X}$ as

$$H^i_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{X}/k) := H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{X}/k)).$$

Similarly, if $k$ is a perfect field, we define the $i$-th *crystalline cohomology group* of $\mathcal{X}$ as

$$H^i_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{X}/W(k)) := H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dcris}}(\mathcal{X}/W(k))).$$

**Remark 2.4.8.** Using Theorem 2.2.1(4) and that a syntomic $k$-stack has a hypercovering by affine syntomic $k$-schemes, it is easy to see that our definition of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{dcris}}(\mathcal{X}/W(k))$ coincides with the definition of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{X}/W(k))$ in both [ABM21, Construction 2.7] and [Mon21, §2.1]. In particular, it also coincides with the definition in [Ols07, Section 2.7.1] via the lisse-étale crystalline site due to [Mon21, Proposition 2.16].

One can deduce many global properties of the de Rham and crystalline cohomology from the local properties mentioned in Theorem 2.1.4, Theorem 2.2.1, and Theorem 2.3.2. We mention some of them.
Theorem 2.4.9. Let $k$ be an $F_p$-algebra, and let $X$ be a syntomic $k$-stack or a pro-syntomic $k$-scheme. Then

1. There is a functorial isomorphism $\text{hocolim}_i \text{Fil}^{\text{conj}}_i R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k)$;
2. There is a functorial isomorphism $\text{gr}^{\text{conj}}_i \Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k) \simeq R\Gamma(X^{(i)}, L_{X^{(i)}/k})$;
3. If $k \to k'$ is a flat morphism and $X$ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, then there is a canonical isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k) \otimes_k^L k' \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X_{k'}/k')$;
4. There is a functorial isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} k \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k)$;
5. $R\Gamma(X, L_X/k)[-i] \in D_{\geq 0}(k)$, $R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k) \in D_{\geq 0}(k)$, and $R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k)) \in \widehat{D}_{\geq 0}(W(k))$;
6. There is an exact triangle
   $$\text{Fil}^{i+1}_N R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k)) \to \text{Fil}^i_N R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\phi_i \mod p} \text{Fil}^{i+1}_N R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k);$$
7. There is an exact triangle
   $$R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, Z_p(i)) \to \text{Fil}^i_N R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k)) \xrightarrow{\phi_i - 1} R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k))$$
   (8) There is a canonical identification $[R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, Z_p(1))/p] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, \mu_p)$.

2.5. Hodge $d$-equivalences. This section recalls the main results from [ABM21, §5].

For the rest of the section, we fix a field $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition 2.5.1. [ABM21, Definition 5.1] A $k$-morphism of syntomic $k$-stacks or pro-syntomic $k$-schemes $X \to Y$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence if, for every $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\text{cone}(R\Gamma(Y, \wedge^s L_Y) \to R\Gamma(X, \wedge^s L_X)) \text{ lies in } D_{\geq d-s}(k).$$

Remark 2.5.2. Definition 2.5.1 may look a bit abstract, but really it just a formal way to say that $f$ satisfies the conclusion of the “Lefschetz hyperplane theorem” for Hodge cohomology groups.

Using the conjugate filtration on derived de Rham cohomology, one can show that a Hodge $d$-equivalence $f$ automatically induces an isomorphism on low degree de Rham (resp. crystalline) cohomology groups. This, together with the Nygaard filtration, will eventually allow us to get a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for $\mu_p$ cohomology groups.

Lemma 2.5.3. [ABM21, Remark 5.2] Let $X \to Y$ be a Hodge $d$-equivalence of syntomic $k$-stacks or pro-syntomic $k$-schemes. Then

1. $\text{cone} \left( \text{Fil}^{i+1}_i R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(Y/k) \to \text{Fil}^i_i R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k) \right) \in D_{\geq d}(k)$ for every $i \geq 0$,
2. $\text{cone} \left( R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(Y/k) \to R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/k) \right) \in D_{\geq d}(k)$,
3. $C := \text{cone} \left( R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(Y/W(k)) \to R\Gamma_{\text{dR}}(X/W(k)) \right) \in D_{\geq d}(W(k))$ and $H^d(C)$ is torsionfree if $k$ is perfect.

Proof. [ABM21, Remark 5.2] proves the claim if $X \to Y$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence of syntomic $k$-stacks. The proof works verbatim in the case of pro-syntomic $k$-schemes.

Definition 2.5.4. [ABM21, Definition 5.4] A Kodaira pair is an $n$-dimensional projective syntomic $k$-scheme $Y$ and an ample line bundle $L$ such that $R\Gamma(Y, \wedge^s L_Y/k \otimes L) \in D_{\geq d-s}(k)$ for all $s > 0$ and all $r > 0$.
Remark 2.5.5. If $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample line bundle on a projective syntomic $k$-scheme $Y$, then $(Y, \mathcal{L}^\otimes d)$ is a Kodaira pair for a sufficiently big $d >> 0$. This follows from Serre vanishing and the fact that $\wedge^s L_{Y/k} \in D^{2-s}(Y)$ (this follows from Theorem 2.1.4(7)).

Theorem 2.5.6. ([ABM21, Proposition 5.3, Example 5.6, and Proposition 5.7] Let $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of syntomic $k$-schemes. It is a Hodge $d$-equivalence in one of the following cases:

1. $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_k$ a $d$-dimensional (global) complete intersection over $k$;
2. $k$ is a field of characteristic 0, $Y$ a smooth projective variety of pure dimension $d + 1$, and $X \subset Y$ is an ample Cartier divisor.

Theorem 2.5.7. ([ABM21, Proposition 5.3] Let $Y$ be a syntomic $k$-scheme of pure dimension $d + 1$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $Y$. Then there exists some integer $n_0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and any effective Cartier divisor $X \subset Y$ defined by a section of $L^n$, the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence.

Proof. This follows from [ABM21, Proposition 5.7] and Remark 2.5.5. □

Definition 2.5.8. An effective Cartier divisor $X \subset Y$ in a projective $k$-scheme of pure dimension $d + 1$ is sufficiently ample if $X \rightarrow Y$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence and $Y - X$ is an affine open in $Y$.

Remark 2.5.9. Theorem 2.5.7 implies that, for every ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $Y$, there is an integer $n_0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and any effective Cartier divisor $X \subset Y$ defined by a section of $\mathcal{L}^n$, the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is sufficiently ample. This explains why we chose such name.

By Theorem 2.5.6, another example of a sufficiently ample divisor is an ample Cartier divisor in a smooth projective variety $Y$ over a field of characteristic 0.

Theorem 2.5.10. ([ABM21, Proposition 5.10] Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Hodge $d$-equivalence of syntomic $k$-schemes.

1. For any pro-syntomic $k$-scheme $Z$, $X \times_k Z \rightarrow Y \times_k Z$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence.
2. If an affine finite type $k$-group scheme $G$ acts on $X$ and $Y$ making $f$ into a $G$-equivariant morphism. Then the natural morphism of syntomic $k$-stacks $[X/G] \rightarrow [Y/G]$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence.

Proof. [ABM21, Proposition 5.10] proves both claims under the additional assumption that $Z$ is $k$-syntomic. However, the argument works verbatim in the case of a pro-syntomic $k$-scheme using Theorem 2.4.9(5). □

\[6\text{i.e., an effective Cartier divisor such that } \mathcal{O}(H) \cong \mathcal{L}^n\]
3. LEFSCHETZ HYPERPLANE THEOREM FOR FLAT COHOMOLOGY

3.1. \( \mathbf{Z}_p(i) \) and \( \mu_p \) coefficients. In this section, we prove the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for \( \mu_p \)-cohomology groups of (not necessarily smooth) complete intersections in the projective space \( \mathbf{P}^N_k \) over a perfect field \( k \). More generally, we show it for \( \mathbf{Z}_p(i) \)-cohomology groups for all \( i \geq 0 \).

For the rest of the section, we fix a perfect field \( k \) of characteristic \( p > 0 \).

**Theorem 3.1.1.** Let \( X \to Y \) be a Hodge \( d \)-equivalence of syntomic \( k \)-stacks or pro-syntomic \( k \)-schemes. Then for every \( i \geq 0 \), the cone

\[
C := \text{cone} \left( R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (Y, \mathbf{Z}_p (i)) \to R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (X, \mathbf{Z}_p (i)) \right)
\]

lies in \( D_{\geq d}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \) and \( H^d(C) \) is torsion-free.

We will give a proof shortly, but before doing so we discuss its main application for our purposes.

**Corollary 3.1.2.** Let \( Y \) a projective syntomic \( k \)-scheme, \( X \subset Y \) a closed subscheme of dimension \( d \), and \( G \) a finite flat commutative \( k \)-group scheme. Then the cone

\[
C := \text{cone} \left( R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (Y, \mathbf{Z}_p (i)) \to R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (X, \mathbf{Z}_p (i)) \right)
\]

lies in \( D_{\geq d}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \) with \( H^d(C) \) torsion-free for \( i \geq 0 \) if

1. \( Y \cong \mathbf{P}^N_k \) for some \( N \) and \( X \) is a global complete intersection, or
2. \( X \subset Y \) is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor (see Definition 2.5.8).

**Proof.** In the first case, the map \( X \to Y \) is a Hodge \( d \)-equivalence by Theorem 2.5.6, and in the second case this map is a Hodge \( d \)-equivalence by definition.

Thus the claim for the cohomologies of \( \mathbf{Z}_p(i) \) follows from Theorem 3.1.1. The same result guarantees that

\[
\text{cone} \left( [R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (Y, \mathbf{Z}_p (1)) / p] \to [R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (X, \mathbf{Z}_p (1)) / p] \right) \in D_{\geq d}(\mathbf{F}_p).
\]

Theorem 2.4.9(8) says that

\[
[R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}} (Y, \mathbf{Z}_p (1)) / p] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \mu_p)
\]

and similarly for \( X \). Combining these observations, we conclude that

\[
\text{cone} \left( R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \mu_p) \to R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, \mu_p) \right) \in D_{\geq d}(\mathbf{F}_p),
\]

as desired. \( \square \)

Now we go to the proof of Theorem 3.1.1. The main idea of the proof is deduce it through a series of reduction from Theorem 2.5.6.

**Lemma 3.1.3.** Let \( X \subset Y \) be a Hodge \( d \)-equivalence of syntomic \( k \)-stacks or pro-syntomic \( k \)-schemes. Then, for any \( i \geq 0 \), the cone

\[
\text{cone} \left( \text{Fil}^i_N R\Gamma_{\text{dcris}} (Y/W(k)) \to \text{Fil}^i_N R\Gamma_{\text{dcris}} (X/W(k)) \right)
\]

lies in \( D_{\geq d}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \) and \( H^d(C) \) is torsion-free.

**Proof.** We argue by induction on \( i \geq 0 \). The case of \( i = 0 \) is clear from Lemma 2.5.3 since \( \text{Fil}^i_N R\Gamma_{\text{dcris}}(-/W(k)) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{dcris}}(-/W(k)) \).
Now fix \( i \geq 0 \) and suppose we know the claim for \( i \). Theorem 2.4.9(6) guarantees that we have a commutative diagram of exact triangles:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Fil}_N^{i+1} \Gamma_{dcrys}(Y/W(k)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}_N^{i} \Gamma_{dcrys}(Y/W(k)) \\
& \phi \bmod p & \phi \bmod p \\
\text{Fil}_N^{i+1} \Gamma_{dcrys}(X/W(k)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}_N^{i} \Gamma_{dcrys}(X/W(k))
\end{array}
\]

Denote by \( C, C', \) and \( C'' \) cones of the left, middle, and right vertical maps respectively. Lemma 2.5.3 gives that \( C'' \in D_{\geq d}(k) \) and the induction hypothesis gives that \( C' \in D_{\geq d}(W(k)) \) with \( \text{H}^d(C') \) torsion-free. This formally implies that \( C \in D_{\geq d}(W(k)) \) and that \( \text{H}^d(C) \) is torsion-free. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.1.** The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 3.1.3 using the following commutative diagram of exact triangles from Theorem 2.4.9(7) as an input:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{R}_\text{psyn}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}_N^i \Gamma_{dcrys}(Y/W(k)) \\
& \phi^{-1} & \phi^{-1} \\
\text{R}_\text{psyn}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}_N^i \Gamma_{dcrys}(X/W(k))
\end{array}
\]

To run the induction argument, we need to know the claim for \( \text{R}_\text{crys}(-/W(k)) \) and \( \text{Fil}_N^i \Gamma_{crys}(-/W(k)) \). The first case is done in Lemma 2.5.3 and the second one in Lemma 3.1.3. □

### 3.2. \( \mu_\ell \) coefficients

In this section, we give a proof of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for \( \mu_\ell \)-coefficients in the generality we will need later. The proof is probably well-known to the experts, but it seems hard to extract from the literature. The main difficulty is that we do not require the ambient space \( Y \) to be smooth, but only syntomic.

For the rest of the section, we fix a separably closed field \( k \) (possibly of characteristic 0) and a prime number \( \ell \) co-prime to the characteristic of \( k \).

We recall that there is a well-behaved theory of perverse \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \)-sheaves on finite type \( k \)-schemes; see [BBD82, Intro to Ch. 4] or [BH21, §4] for a more detailed discussion. We only mention two main results that we will need in this section.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** Let \( X \) a finite type \( k \)-scheme of pure dimension \( d \). Then

1. the sheaf \( \mu_\ell[d] \) is a perverse sheaf on \( X \) if \( X \) is \( k \)-syntomic;
2. for a perverse \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{L} \), the complex \( \text{R}_\text{c}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{L}) \) lies in \( D_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \) if \( X \) is affine.

*Proof.* The proof of the first claim is analogous to that of [KW01, Lemma III.6.5]. The proof of the second claim is analogous to that of [KW01, Theorem III.6.1]. □

**Theorem 3.2.2.** Let \( Y \) be a syntomic projective \( k \)-scheme of pure dimension \( d + 1 \), and let \( X \subset Y \) be an ample Cartier divisor. Then the cone

\[
\text{cone} (\text{R}_\text{ét}(Y, \mu_\ell) \to \text{R}_\text{ét}(X, \mu_\ell))
\]

lies in \( D_{\geq d}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \).

\[\text{(This is written for rigid-analytic spaces, but similar (and, in fact, easier) proofs work in the algebraic situation.)}\]
Proof. Denote the complement of $X$ in $Y$ by $U$. Then we have an exact triangle
\[ \text{R} \Gamma_c(U_{\text{ét}}, \mu_\ell) \to \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mu_\ell) \to \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X, \mu_\ell). \]
By Lemma 3.2.1, $\mu_\ell[d+1]$ is a perverse sheaf on $U$. Therefore the same lemma implies that $\text{R} \Gamma_c(U_{\text{ét}}, \mu_\ell) \in D_{\geq d+1}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ finishing the proof. □

3.3. Finite flat commutative group scheme coefficients. In this section, we prove the general version of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. The strategy is to reduce the general case to the cases of finite flat group schemes $G = \mu_p, \alpha_p, F_p, \text{and } F_\ell$, and deal with each case separately.

Lemma 3.3.1. Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, $X \to Y$ a Hodge $d$-equivalence of syntomic $k$-stacks or pro-syntomic $k$-schemes, and $G$ a commutative finite flat $k$-group scheme with a finite filtration $\text{Fil}^p G$ such that all $\text{gr}^i G$ are isomorphic to $\mu_p, \alpha_p, \text{or } \mathbb{Z}/p$. Then $C := \text{cone} (\text{R} \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, G) \to \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G)) \in D_{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.3.2. One is mostly interested in the case of syntomic $X$ and $Y$. However, it will be useful for the later purposes to allow this more general set-up.

Proof of Lemma 3.3.1. One easily reduces to the case $G = \mu_p, G = \alpha_p$, or $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$. The first case is just Theorem 3.1.1. In the second case, one uses the short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \alpha_p \to G_a \xrightarrow{f \to f^p} G_a \to 0 \]
and reduces to Theorem 2.5.6. In the last case, one uses the sequence
\[ 0 \to \mathbb{Z}/p \to G_a \xrightarrow{f \to f^p - f} G_a \to 0 \]
and reduces to Theorem 2.5.6 again. □

Corollary 3.3.3. Let $k$ be any field of characteristic $p > 0$, $X \to Y$ a Hodge $d$-equivalence of pro-syntomic $k$-schemes, and $G$ a commutative finite flat $k$-group scheme of order $p^m$ for some $m$. Then $C := \text{cone} (\text{R} \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, G) \to \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G)) \in D_{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.3.4. The pro-syntomic topology, and in particular the pro-syntomic descent, is crucially used in the proof to cover the case of a possibly non-perfect field $k$. If one is willing to assume that $k$ is perfect, the proof can be simplified by using étale descent.

Proof of Corollary 3.3.3. Theorem A.4.5 implies that it suffices to show the claim for pro-syntomic cohomology in place of fppf cohomology. This allows us to use pro-syntomic descent to compute $\text{R} \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, G)$ using the Čech nerves of the coverings $X_{K} \to X$ and $Y_{K} \to Y$.

Theorem 2.5.10 guarantees that all morphisms
\[ X_n := X_{K \otimes k^n} \to Y_n := Y_{K \otimes k^n} \]
are Hodge $d$-equivalences, and all these schemes are pro-syntomic over $\bar{k}$ because $\bar{k}$ is a pro-syntomic $k$-algebra. Therefore, we see that we have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{R} \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(Y, G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tot}_n \left( \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(Y_n, G) \right) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{R} \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tot}_n \left( \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X_n, G) \right)
\end{array}
\]
whose horizontal arrows are isomorphisms.
Now since $G_{\mathbb{T}}$ has a filtration with associated graded pieces being equal to $\mu_p$, $\alpha_p$, or $\mathbb{Z}/p$, by the classification of commutative finite group schemes of order $p^n$ for some $n$ over an algebraically closed field. Therefore, we conclude that each map
\[ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(Y_n, G) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X_n, G) \]
has cone $C_n \in D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 3.3.1. Therefore, the cone of the map
\[ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(Y, G) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, G) \]
is equal to $\text{Tot} \prod_n (C_n) \in D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$.

\begin{proof}

Corollary 3.3.5. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, $Y$ a $k$-syntomic scheme of dimension $d + 1$, $X \subset Y$ be a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor, and $G$ a commutative finite flat $k$-group scheme of order $p^m$ for some $m$. Then
\[ C := \text{cone}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(Y_S, G) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(X_S, G)) \in D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z}) \]
for any syntomic $k$-scheme $S$.

\begin{proof}

The closed embedding $X \to Y$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence by definition. Then $X_S \to Y_S$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence by Theorem 2.5.10. Moreover, both $X_S$ and $Y_S$ are syntomic over $k$ because syntomic morphisms are closed under pullbacks and compositions. Therefore, Corollary 3.3.3 implies the claim.
\end{proof}

Theorem 3.3.6. Let $k$ be a field, $Y$ a projective syntomic $k$-scheme, $X \subset Y$ a closed subscheme of dimension $d$, and $G$ a finite flat commutative $k$-group scheme. Then the cone
\[ \text{cone}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(Y, G) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(X, G)) \]
lies in $D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$ if

1. $Y \cong \mathbb{P}_k^N$ for some $N$ and $X$ is a global complete intersection, or
2. $X \subset Y$ is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor.

\begin{proof}

Suppose that $k$ is a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and consider the short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to G[p^\infty] \to G \to G/G[p^\infty] \to 0. \]
The group $G' := G/G[p^\infty]$ is a $p$-torsion-free finite étale commutative $k$-group scheme. Therefore, it suffices to prove the claim separately for a $p$-power torsion $G[p^\infty]$ and for a $p$-torsion-free étale $G'$.

In the case of a $p$-torsion-free étale group scheme, the claim follows from Theorem 3.2.2. Firstly, we reduce to the case of a separably closed field $k$ by writing
\[ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{fpf}}(-, G') \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(-, G') \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{cont}}(G_k, \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\text{ét}}((-)_{k^{\text{sep}}}, G')). \]
After we reduce to the case of a separably closed field, we can find a filtration of $G'$ by finite étale group subschemes with associated graded pieces equal to $\mu_\ell$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the claim for $G = G' = \mu_\ell$ and $k$ a separably closed field. Now if $X \subset Y$ is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor, the claim follows from Theorem 3.2.2. If $X \subset Y = \mathbb{P}_k^N$ is a global complete intersection, then write $X \to Y$ as a composition of immersions of ample Cartier divisors and apply Theorem 3.2.2 to each of those immersions.

Now we assume that $G = G[p^\infty]$ is a $p$-power torsion flat commutative $k$-group scheme. Then $X \to Y$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence if it is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor (by its very definition), and it is a Hodge $d$-equivalence in case of a global complete intersection due to Theorem 2.5.6. Therefore, the claim follows from Corollary 3.3.3.
\end{proof}
**Example 3.3.7.** ([BH07, §2]) Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and $X \subset Y$ an ample Cartier divisor such that

1. $X$ and $Y$ are smooth and connected;
2. $Y$ is of pure dimension $d \geq 2$;
3. $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-X)) \neq 0$. (For examples of such pairs with $d = 2$, see [Eke88, Proposition 2.14]\(^8\))

Then $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p) \to H^1_{\text{fppf}}(X, \alpha_p)$ is not injective. In particular,

$$\text{cone}(R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p) \to R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p))$$

do not lie in $D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$.

**Proof.** Our assumptions on $X$ and $Y$ imply that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong k.$$

Therefore, the map $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-X)) \to H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is injective. So any non-trivial class in $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-X))$ defines a non-trivial class $x \in H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ such that $x|_X = 0 \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We claim that $(F^n_Y)^*(x) = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$. Indeed, by functoriality, $(F^n_Y)^*(x)$ lies in

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-((F^n_Y)^*(X))) = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-p^nX)) = 0$$

for large $n \gg 0$.

We replace $x$ with $(F^{n-1}_Y)^*(x)$ to assume that $F^n_Y(x) = 0$. Since $F^n_Y$ and $F^n_X$ are bijective on $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ respectively, we may use the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \alpha_p \to G_a \xrightarrow{F^n_X} G_a \to 0,$$

to conclude that

$$H^1_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p) \cong \ker(F^n_Y : H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \to H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y))$$

and the same for $X$. In particular, $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p) \to H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is injective (and the same for $X$). Therefore, $x$ (uniquely) defines a non-trivial class in $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p)$ that lies in the kernel of the restriction map

$$H^1_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p) \to H^1_{\text{fppf}}(X, \alpha_p).$$

In particular, $\text{cone}(R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p) \to R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(Y, \alpha_p))$ does not lie in $D^{\geq d}(\mathbb{Z})$. \hfill \( \square \)

Recall that if $G$ is a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\mathbf{F}_\ell$-modules on $Y_{\text{ét}}$ with $\ell \neq \text{char } k$, then the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem holds for $G$ if $Y$ is smooth. One may wonder if there is an analogous result for flat coefficients. We do not know whether there is any result like this, and in general we do not know the correct coefficient theory for flat cohomology. In any event, Theorem 3.3.6 is false if one does not assume that $G$ comes from a base field, as the following example shows.

**Example 3.3.8.** Let $k$ be any field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then, for any $N > 1$, there is a commutative finite flat rank $p$ group scheme $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^N_k$ such that

1. Zariski-locally on $\mathbb{P}^N_k$, $G$ is defined over $k$,
(2) for any hyperplane $H \subset P^N_k$, the cone
\[
\text{cone} \left( \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(P^N_k, G) \to \text{R} \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(H, G) \right)
\]
does not lie in $D^{\geq N-1}(Z)$.

Proof. Let $G_a(n)$ be the $P^N$-group scheme associated with the line bundle $O(n)$. Then we define $G = \ker(Fr: G_a(1) \to G_a(p))$. It is clear that, Zariski locally on $P^N$, $G$ is isomorphic to $\alpha_p$. In particular, it is defined over the ground field $k$.

Now using that $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(P^N_k, G_a(n)) = H^1(P^N_k, O(n))$, Serre’s calculation of cohomology groups of $O(n)$, and the short exact sequence
\[
0 \to G \to G_a(1) \to G_a(p) \to 0,
\]
we conclude that
\[
\dim_k H^1_{\text{fppf}}(P^N_k, G) = \binom{N+p}{N} - N - 1
\]
\[
\dim_k H^1_{\text{fppf}}(H, G) = H^1_{\text{fppf}}(P^N_{k-1}, G) = \binom{N+p-1}{N-1} - N.
\]
In particular, the map $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(P^N_k, G) \to H^1_{\text{fppf}}(H, G)$ cannot be injective by dimension reasons. Therefore, the cone $C$ cannot lie in $D^{\geq N-1}$ for any $N > 1$. \hfill \Box

Question 3.3.9. Let $X \subset P^N_k$ be a complete intersection of dimension at least 2, and $G$ a finite (not necessarily commutative) $k$-group scheme. Is the natural morphism $H^1(P^N_k, G) \to H^1(X, G)$ a bijection? The same question may be asked for $X \subset Y$ a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor.

3.4. The torsion part of the Picard scheme. In this section, we use the results of Section 3.1 and Section 3.2 to get a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for the torsion part of Picard group. We show that, for a complete intersection $X \subset P^N_k$ scheme of dimension at least 2, the torsion part of the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}}$ and the torsion component $\text{Pic}^r_{X/k}$ vanish. We also give a version of this result for a general sufficiently ample divisor.

If $X$ is of dimension at least 3, Grothendieck proved the stronger result that $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq Z$ in [SGA2, Exp. XII, Corollaire 3.2] which can also be used to deduce that $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^r \simeq Z$ as $k$-group schemes. These results are sharp in the sense that the whole Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ may not be isomorphic to $Z$ if $\dim X = 2$. For instance, the Segre embedding realizes $P^1_k \times P^1_k$ as a hypersurface in $P^4_k$, but $\text{Pic}(P^1_k \times P^1_k) \simeq Z \oplus Z$. However, it turns out that one can still control the torsion part of Picard group in dimension 2. If $X$ is a smooth surface and $k$ is algebraically closed, then these results were established in [SGA7II, Exp. XI, Th. 1.8]. A general case was proven in [CS21, Corollary 7.2.3] by different methods.

For the rest of the section, we fix a field $k$ of arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 3.4.1. Let $X \subset P^N_k$ be a complete intersection of dimension at least 2. Then

1. $\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}} = 0$;
2. the group scheme $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^r$ is trivial;
3. the class of $O_X(1) = i^*O_{P^N}(1)$ is a non-divisible element of $\text{Pic}(X)$.

Proof. For the first point, it suffices to show that $\text{Pic}(X)[p] = 0$ for all prime numbers $p$. Using the Kummer exact sequence (in the fppf topology)
\[
0 \to \mu_p \to G_m \to G_m \to 0,
\]
we see that it suffices to show that $H^1_{fppf}(X, \mu_p) = 0$. If $p$ is equal to the characteristic of $k$, the claim follows from Corollary 3.3.3 and Theorem 2.5.6. If $p$ is different from the characteristic of $k$, it follows from Theorem 3.2.2.

Now we show the second point. Recall that the Picard functor $\text{Pic}_X$ is (representable by) a locally finite type $k$-group scheme by [SGA6, Exp. XII, 1.5] and the functorial criterion for local finite presentation. By [SGA6, Exp. XIII, 4.7], $\text{Pic}^r_X$ is an open subfunctor of $\text{Pic}_X$, so we have an isomorphism of tangent spaces

$$T_e(\text{Pic}^r_X/k) = T_e(\text{Pic}_X/k) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since $X$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^N_k$ of dimension $\geq 2$, we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ by Theorem 2.5.6, and hence $\text{Pic}^r_X$ is etale. Thus we need only show that $\text{Pic}^r_X(k) = 0$.

Now we show the second point. Recall that the Picard functor $\text{Pic}_X$ is (representable by) a locally finite type $k$-group scheme by [SGA6, Exp. XII, 1.5] and the functorial criterion for local finite presentation. By [SGA6, Exp. XIII, 4.7], $\text{Pic}^r_X$ is an open subfunctor of $\text{Pic}_X$, so we have an isomorphism of tangent spaces

$$T_e(\text{Pic}^r_X/k) = T_e(\text{Pic}_X/k) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since $X$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^N_k$ of dimension $\geq 2$, we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ by Theorem 2.5.6, and hence $\text{Pic}^r_X$ is etale. Thus we need only show that $\text{Pic}^r_X(k) = 0$.

Now we show that $[\mathcal{O}_X(1)] \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is non-divisible. It suffices to show that this class has non-zero image in $\text{Pic}(X)/p$. Consider the first Chern class $c_1^X : \text{Pic}(X)/p \to H^2_{fppf}(X, \mu_p)$ which comes from the Kummer exact sequence

$$0 \to \mu_p \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \to 0.$$ 

By definition, $c_1^X$ is injective, so it is enough to show that $c_1^X([\mathcal{O}_X(1)]) \neq 0$ in $H^2_{fppf}(X, \mu_p)$. The commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^N_k)/p & \xrightarrow{c_1^N} & H^2_{fppf}(\mathbb{P}^N_k, \mu_p) \\
\downarrow \text{res}_{\text{Pic}} & & \downarrow \text{res}_{fppf} \\
\text{Pic}(X)/p & \xrightarrow{c_1^X} & H^2_{fppf}(X, \mu_p).
\end{array}$$

shows that we have

$$c_1^X([\mathcal{O}_X(1)]) = c_1^X(\text{res}_{\text{Pic}}([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)])) = \text{res}_{fppf}(c_1^N([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)])).$$

(3.1)

We know that $c_1^N([\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)])$ is non-zero because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ is a generator of $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^N_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{res}_{fppf}$ is injective by Corollary 3.1.2 or Theorem 3.2.2 depending on whether $p$ is equal to the characteristic of $k$.

Our next aim is to prove an analogue of Theorem 3.4.1 for sufficiently ample divisors. Specifically, we have the following statement.

**Theorem 3.4.2.** Let $Y$ be a projective syntomic $k$-scheme of dimension $d \geq 3$, and let $X \subset Y$ be a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor. The natural map $\text{Pic}^r_Y/k \to \text{Pic}^r_X/k$ is an isomorphism.

The derivation of this is slightly more involved than in the previous case, and we must begin with a series of general results about algebraic groups (most of which hold in general for abelian sheaves on a site). Although it is not logically necessary, we recall that a morphism $f : G \to H$ of locally finite type $k$-group schemes is faithfully flat if and only if $f$ is an epimorphism of fppf sheaves. Indeed, $f$ is an epimorphism of sheaves if and only if $f$ admits sections fppf-locally, and this is in turn equivalent to the condition that $f$ is an fppf $(\ker f)$-torsor.
Lemma 3.4.3. Let $G$ be a commutative group scheme locally of finite type over a field $k$, and let $H$ be a finite type closed $k$-subgroup scheme of $G$. Let $n$ be a positive integer. For each $M \geq 1$, there exists a closed subgroup scheme $G_M$ of $G$ killed by some power of $n$ such that the natural map

$$G_M \to G/H$$

factors through $(G/H)[n^M]$ and such that the factored map is faithfully flat. (Note that $G/H$ exists as a scheme by [SGA3, VI A, 3.2].)

Proof. Fixing the positive integer $M$, we may replace $G$ by the schematic preimage of $(G/H)[n^M]$ in $G$ to assume that $G/H$ is $n^M$-torsion. Consider for any $N$ the commutative diagram of commutative locally finite type $k$-group schemes

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G/H & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow n^N & & \downarrow n^N & & \downarrow n^N & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G/H & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows. By the snake lemma, this gives an exact sequence of group schemes

$$G[n^N] \to (G/H)[n^N] \to H/n^N H \to G/n^N G.$$

So it suffices to show that there is some $N \geq M$ such that $H/n^N H \to G/n^N G$ is a monomorphism. Equivalently, one must show that there is some $N \geq M$ such that

$$H \cap n^N G = n^N H.$$

To prove the existence of some such $N$, note that the sequence $\{n^N H\}$ of closed $k$-subgroup schemes of $H$ is decreasing, so the fact that $H$ is noetherian (being finite type over $k$) implies that there exists some $N_0$ such that $n^{N_0} H = n^{N_0 + 1} H$. We have

$$H \cap n^{N_0 + M} G \subset n^{N_0} H = n^{N_0 + M} H$$

by choice of $N_0$. Thus taking $N = N_0 + M$ completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4.4. Let $G$ be a commutative group scheme locally of finite type over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

(1) The natural map $G(k)_{\text{tors}} \to (G(k)/G^0(k))_{\text{tors}}$ is surjective.

(2) There exists some integer $N \geq 1$ such that the natural map $G[p^N] \to G/G_{\text{red}}$ is faithfully flat.

(3) If $G$ is smooth, $p > 0$, and $G/G^0$ is torsion (e.g., $G$ is of finite type over $k$), then the set $G(k)_{\text{tors}}$ is schematically dense in $G$.

Proof. For the first point, we may evidently replace $G$ by the preimage of $(G/G^0)_{\text{tors}}$ in $G$ to assume that $G/G^0$ is torsion. Note that it suffices to show that for every integer $n \geq 1$, the natural map $G(k)[n^\infty] \to (G(k)/G^0(k))[n^\infty]$ is surjective, and this follows from Lemma 3.4.3. (Recall that $G^0$ is of finite type over $k$ since it is a connected group scheme locally of finite type.)

For the second point, there is nothing to prove if $p = 0$. If instead $p > 0$, then $G/G_{\text{red}}$ is a finite $k$-group scheme whose order is a power of $p$. By a theorem of Deligne [TO70, Sec. 1], $G/G_{\text{red}}$ is killed by its order, so the result follows again from Lemma 3.4.3.

Finally we consider the third point. By the first point of this lemma, we may and do reduce to the case that $G$ is connected, in which case we will show that if $\ell \neq p$ is any prime number then
$G(k)[(\ell p)^\infty]$ is schematically dense in $G$. By a theorem of Chevalley [Con02], since $k$ is perfect there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H \to G \to A \to 0,$$

where $H$ is a linear algebraic group over $k$ and $A$ is an abelian variety over $k$. Moreover, since $H$ is a commutative linear algebraic group over a perfect field, we have $H = T \times U$ for some $k$-torus $T$ and a smooth commutative unipotent $k$-group scheme $U$. It is standard that $T(k)[\ell^\infty]$ and $A(k)[\ell^\infty]$ are schematically dense in $T$ and $A$, respectively, and $U = U[p^M]$ for some $M \geq 1$. (This is where we use that $p > 0$; in characteristic $0$, $G_a$ has no torsion.)

Let $G_0$ denote the schematic closure of $G(k)[(\ell p)^\infty]$ in $G$, so that $G_0$ is a smooth closed $k$-subgroup scheme of $G$. We aim to show that $G_0 = G$. Every connected commutative finite type $k$-group scheme is $\ell$-divisible, so by Lemma 3.4.3 we see that the natural map $G(k)[\ell^\infty] \to A(k)[\ell^\infty]$ is surjective. By schematic density of $A(k)[\ell^\infty]$ in $A$, it follows that the induced map $G_0 \to A$ is dominant, hence surjective by [SGA3, VI\text{B}, 1.2]. It suffices therefore to show that $H$ is contained in $G_0$. But $H(k)[(\ell p)^\infty]$ is schematically dense in $H$, so indeed $H \subset G_0$ and so $G_0 = G$, establishing the result.

**Lemma 3.4.5.** Let $f : G \to H$ be a homomorphism of commutative group schemes locally of finite type over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Suppose that $G/G^0$ and $H/H^0$ are torsion, and suppose

- $f[\ell^n](k) : G[\ell^n](k) \to H[\ell^n](k)$ is an isomorphism of groups for every prime number $\ell$ and every positive integer $n$,
- $\text{Lie } f : \text{Lie } G \to \text{Lie } H$ is an isomorphism,
- if $p > 0$, then $f[p^n] : G[p^n] \to H[p^n]$ is faithfully flat.

Then $f$ is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** The second bullet shows that ker $f$ is finite etale, and so the first point implies that ker $f = 0$. Thus $f$ is a closed embedding by [SGA3, VI\text{B}, 1.4.2]. Moreover, the first point of Lemma 3.4.4 shows that the image of $f$ intersects each connected component of $H$ nontrivially. If $p = 0$ then $G$ and $H$ are smooth, so $f$ is an isomorphism. Thus we may and do assume from now on that $p > 0$.

Let $\overline{G} = G/G_{\text{red}}$ (which exists as a scheme by [SGA3, VI\text{A}, 3.2]) and consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G_{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \overline{G} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow f_{\text{red}} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \overline{f} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{red}} & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \overline{H} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows. Since $p > 0$ and $f$ is surjective on torsion, the third point of Lemma 3.4.4 shows that $f$ is dominant, and thus it is surjective by [SGA3, VI\text{B}, 1.2]. Now $G_{\text{red}}$ and $H_{\text{red}}$ are both smooth over $k$, so because $f$ is a surjective closed embedding it follows that $f_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism. Thus to show that $f$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\overline{f}$ is an isomorphism.

Now by the second point of Lemma 3.4.4, there is some integer $N \geq 1$ such that the natural maps $G[p^N] \to G/G_{\text{red}}$ and $H[p^N] \to H/H_{\text{red}}$ are faithfully flat. Thus we find a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G_{\text{red}}[p^N] & \longrightarrow & G[p^N] & \longrightarrow & \overline{G} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow f_{\text{red}[p^N]} & & \downarrow f[p^N] & & \downarrow \overline{f} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{red}}[p^N] & \longrightarrow & H[p^N] & \longrightarrow & \overline{H} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$
with exact rows. By assumption, $f[p^N]$ is faithfully flat, so it is an isomorphism since $\ker f = 0$. The previous paragraph shows that $f_{\text{red}}[p^N]$ is an isomorphism, so also $\overline{f}$ is an isomorphism. Since both $f_{\text{red}}$ and $\overline{f}$ are isomorphisms, we see that $f$ is an isomorphism, as desired.

Proof of Theorem 3.4.2. We may and do assume that $k$ is algebraically closed of characteristic $p \geq 0$. We need only verify the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4.5 applied to $G = \text{Pic}_{Y/k}$ and $H = \text{Pic}_{X/k}$. The second bullet follows from the fact that the natural map $H^1(Y, O) \to H^1(X, O)$ is an isomorphism by definition of sufficient ampleness. For the first bullet, we note that if $\ell$ is a prime number then $\text{Pic}_{Y/k}[\ell^n](k) \to \text{Pic}_{X/k}[\ell^n](k)$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, the map

$$H^1(Y, \mu_{\ell^n}) \to H^1(X, \mu_{\ell^n})$$

is an isomorphism by Theorem 3.2.2. Moreover, the $\ell^n$-power map $H^0(Y, G_m) \to H^0(Y, G_m)$ is surjective because $\ell$ is invertible in the algebraically closed field $k$ and $H^0(Y, G_m)$ is the group of units in the finite $k$-algebra $H^0(Y, O)$. Thus from the exact sequence

$$H^0(Y, G_m) \to H^0(Y, G_m) \to H^1(Y, \mu_{\ell^n}) \to H^1(Y, \mu_{\ell^n})$$

we see that $H^1(Y, \mu_{\ell^n}) \cong \text{Pic}(Y)[\ell^n]$. The same reasoning applies to $X$ in place of $Y$, so we see that the map $\text{Pic}(Y)[\ell^n] \to \text{Pic}(X)[\ell^n]$ is an isomorphism.

Finally, we must check that if $p > 0$ then $f : P_{Y,n} := \text{Pic}_{Y/k}[p^n] \to \text{Pic}_{X/k}[p^n] =: P_{X,n}$ is faithfully flat for all $n$. For any syntomic $k$-scheme $S$, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(Y_S, G_m) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Y_S, \mu_{p^n}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(X_S, G_m) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_S, \mu_{p^n})
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. The leftmost vertical arrow is an isomorphism by definition of sufficient ampleness and the fact that $H^0(Y_S, G_m) = H^0(Y_S, O)^*$ (and similarly for $X_S$). The second vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Corollary 3.3.5, so the map $\text{Pic}(Y_S)[p^n] \to \text{Pic}(X_S)[p^n]$ is an isomorphism.

Now set $S = P_{X,n}$, so that $S$ is a syntomic $k$-scheme by Lemma A.1.2. Since $X$ has a rational point ($k$ being algebraically closed) and $\text{Pic}(P_{X,n}) = 0$ ($P_{X,n}$ being an extension of a finite $k$-group scheme by a unipotent group scheme), we have $\text{Pic}_{X/k}(P_{X,n}) = \text{Pic}(X_{P_{X,n}})$. Thus also $P_{X,n}(P_{X,n}) = \text{Pic}_{X/k}[p^n](P_{X,n})$. Completely similar reasoning applies to $P_{Y,n}(P_{X,n})$.

By the above, the natural map $\text{Pic}(Y_{P_{X,n}})[p^n] \to \text{Pic}(X_{P_{X,n}})[p^n]$ is an isomorphism. Thus by the previous paragraph, $P_{Y,n}(P_{X,n}) \to P_{X,n}(P_{X,n})$ is an isomorphism, and thus there is a morphism $g : P_{X,n} \to P_{Y,n}$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_{P_{X,n}}$. Therefore $f$ is an epimorphism of fppf sheaves. The hypotheses of Lemma 3.4.5 are now seen to hold, so $\text{Pic}_{Y/k} \to \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 3.4.6. Let $Y$ be a projective syntomic $k$-scheme of dimension $d \geq 4$, and let $X \subset Y$ be a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor. The natural map $\text{Pic}_{Y/k} \to \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.4.2, we see that $\text{Pic}_{Y/k} \to \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ is an isomorphism. Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{Y/k} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{Y/k} & \longrightarrow & \text{NS}(Y) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/k} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X/k} & \longrightarrow & \text{NS}(X) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$


we see that it suffices to show that the natural map $\text{NS}(Y) \to \text{NS}(X)$ is an isomorphism, and for this it suffices to show that $\text{Pic}(Y) \to \text{Pic}(X)$ is an isomorphism, a consequence of [SGA2, Exp. XII, 3.6] (whose hypotheses are satisfied because $Y$ is syntomic and $X$ is sufficiently ample in $Y$). \hfill \square

**Remark 3.4.7.** It is not difficult to see that an example of a pair $X \subset Y$ as in Example 3.3.7 with $d \geq 3$ (resp. $d \geq 4$) would give an example of an ample divisor such that the map $\text{Pic}^r_Y/k \to \text{Pic}^r_X/k$ (resp. $\text{Pic}^r_Y/k \to \text{Pic}^r_X/k$) is not an isomorphism. If one additionally assumes that $X$ is smooth and the natural map $H^0(Y, \Omega^1_X) \to H^0(X, \Omega^1_Y)$ is an isomorphism, it is not hard to see that the natural map $\text{Pic}(Y)[p] \to \text{Pic}(X)[p]$ is not an isomorphism. However, we are not aware of any such examples in the literature.

### 4. Examples

#### 4.1. Weird deformations of commutative group schemes.

The main goal of this section is to construct an example of a finite flat commutative group scheme $G$ over any discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic $p > 0$ with connected $p$-torsion special fiber $G_s$ and etale non-$p$-torsion generic fiber $G_\eta$. We will use such $G$ to construct interesting smooth projective varieties $X$ as in the following theorem, usually called *Godeaux-Serre varieties*.

**Theorem 4.1.1.** ([Ray79, Theorem 4.2.3] or [CZ] for a detailed exposition) Let $S$ be a local scheme and let $G$ be a finite locally free $S$-group scheme. For any $d \geq 1$, there is an $S$-flat relative complete intersection $Y$ of dimension $d$ (inside of some relative projective space $\mathbb{P}^N_S$) with a free $S$-action of $G$ such that the quotient $X = Y/G$ is smooth and projective over $S$ with geometrically connected fibers. If $d \geq 2$ then for such $X$ we have $\text{Pic}^r_{X/S} \cong G^\vee$, where $G^\vee$ is the Cartier dual of $G$.

**Proof.** We only give some remarks on the hypotheses. In [CZ] it is assumed that $G$ is commutative, but this does not play a role in the proof of the above theorem. Regarding the final point, in [CZ] it is shown that if $Y \to X$ is a $G$-torsor over $S$, then the kernel of the pullback map $\pi^*: \text{Pic}^r_Y/S \to \text{Pic}^r_X/S$ is $G^\vee$. If $Y$ is a complete intersection of dimension $d \geq 2$, then $\text{Pic}^r_Y/S = 0$: it suffices to check that the identity section $e : S \to \text{Pic}^r_Y/S$ is an isomorphism, and this may be checked on fibers by the fibral isomorphism criterion. Thus this claim follows from Theorem 3.4.1, and we see that $\text{Pic}^r_{X/S} \cong G^\vee$, as desired. \hfill \square

For the rest of the section, we fix a discrete valuation ring $R$ characteristic $p > 0$. In what follows, we denote $\text{Spec} R$ by $S$.

Before we start the construction of the desired group scheme $G$, we need to recall some basic facts about supersingular elliptic curves.

**Lemma 4.1.2.** There is always a smooth family of elliptic curves $f : \mathcal{E} \to S$ with supersingular special fiber and ordinary generic fiber.

**Proof.** We note that there is always a supersingular elliptic curve $E_s$ over the residue field $k(s)$. If $k(s)$ contains $\mathbb{F}_p^2$, this is clear as any supersingular curve over $k(s)$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p^2$. In general, this follows from [Brö99, Theorem 1.1] or [Wat69, Theorem 4.1]. We will show that this always admits an ordinary deformation. At this point it is not difficult to conclude by considering Weierstrass equations, but we offer the following different proof.

Now fix a supersingular elliptic curve $E_s$ and an integer $N \geq 3$ not divisible by $p$, and let $\Gamma = E_s[N]$ denote the $N$-torsion subgroup of $E_s$. We consider the moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma)$ whose fiber over a $k(s)$-scheme $T$ consists of those pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma)$ with $\mathcal{E}$ an elliptic curve over $S$ and $\gamma : \Gamma \to \mathcal{E}[N]$ an isomorphism of $T$-group schemes. If $k'/k(s)$ is a finite separable extension splitting $\Gamma$, then we
note that \( \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma) \otimes_{k(s)} k' \cong Y(N) \) is a smooth affine \( k' \)-scheme of dimension 1 with smooth regular compactification \( X(N) \) \cite[IV, Corollaire 2.9, Théorème 3.4]{DR73}. In particular, Galois descent shows that \( \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma) \) is representable by a smooth affine \( k(s) \)-scheme \( Y(\Gamma) \). We recall that there are well-known formulas for the genus of \( X(N) \) \cite[VI, Section 4.2]{DR73}, and in particular \( X(N) \) is of genus 0 if \( N \in \{3, 4\} \).

Let \( X(\Gamma) \) be the regular compactification of \( Y(\Gamma) \), so that \( X(\Gamma) \otimes_{k(s)} k' \cong X(N) \). It is clear that \( X(\Gamma) \) is a smooth projective curve over \( k(s) \), and it has genus 0 if \( N \in \{3, 4\} \). It follows that in fact the \( k(s) \)-point corresponding to \( E_s \) lies in a connected component of \( X(\Gamma) \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). Thus \( E_s \) lies in a connected component \( Y(\Gamma)^0 \) of \( Y(\Gamma) \) which is an open subscheme of \( \mathbb{A}^1 \), and it follows immediately that there is an \( R \)-point of \( Y(\Gamma)^0 \) mapping the special point of \( R \) to \( E_s \) and mapping the generic point of \( R \) to the generic point of \( Y(\Gamma)^0 \). Since there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of supersingular elliptic curves over \( k(s) \), the generic point of \( Y(\Gamma) \) corresponds to an ordinary elliptic curve.

**Lemma 4.1.3.** Let \( E \) be a supersingular elliptic curve over a field \( k \), and let \( H \subset E \) be a finite subgroup scheme of order \( p^2 \). Then \( H = E[p] \).

**Proof.** It suffices to check equality after base change to the algebraic closure of \( k \), so we may and do assume that \( k \) is algebraically closed.

Since \( H \) is a commutative group scheme of order \( p^2 \), we conclude that \( H = H[p^2] \subset E[p^2] \) is connected. Therefore, the relative Frobenius morphism \( F \colon H \to H^{(p)} \) is nilpotent. We use that \( H \) is of order \( p^2 \) again to conclude that \( F^2 = 0 \). Therefore,

\[
H \subset E[F^2] = \ker(F^2 \colon E \to E^{(p^2)}).
\]

Both \( H \) and \( E[F^2] \) are finite group schemes of order \( p^2 \). Therefore, the inclusion \( H \subset E[F^2] \) must be an isomorphism. It is a classical result that \( E[F^2] = E[p] \) for any supersingular \( E \).

Now let \( f \colon \mathcal{E} \to S \) be a family of elliptic curves provided by Lemma 4.1.2. In particular, its special fiber is supersingular, and its generic fiber is ordinary. We consider the subgroup

\[
H_\eta := (\mathcal{E}_\eta[p^2])^0 \subset E_\eta.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{E}_\eta \) is ordinary, we conclude that \( H_\eta \cong \mu_{p^2} \). In particular, \( H_\eta \) is a commutative group scheme of order \( p^2 \) such that \( H_\eta \neq G_\eta[p] \).

We define \( H \) to be the schematic closure of \( H_\eta \) inside \( \mathcal{E} \), which is clearly a finite flat commutative group scheme over \( S \). We define \( G \) to be the Cartier dual of \( H \).

**Lemma 4.1.4.** Let \( G \) be the \( S \)-group scheme defined above. Then \( G \) is a finite flat commutative \( S \)-group scheme of order \( p^2 \) with connected \( p \)-torsion generic fiber \( G_\eta \) and etale non-\( p \)-torsion special fiber \( G_s \).

**Proof.** With notation as above, \( H_s \) is a finite group scheme of order \( p^2 \) inside the supersingular elliptic curve \( E_s \). Therefore Lemma 4.1.3 guarantees that \( H_s = E_s[p] \). Since \( E_s[p] \) is self-dual, we see that \( G_s \cong E[p] \) as well, so in particular \( G_s \) is connected and \( p \)-torsion. Moreover, since \( H_\eta \cong \mu_{p^2} \) we see that \( G_\eta \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \), so \( G_\eta \) is etale and not \( p \)-torsion.

4.2. First example. Jump of de Rham numbers. In this section we aim to give a relatively elementary (if somewhat ad hoc) example of de Rham cohomology jumping, inspired by \cite[Section 4.2]{Ray79}. 

\[
\text{\hspace{1cm}}
\]
For the rest of the section, we fix an $R$-group scheme $G$ from Lemma 4.1.4. By construction $G_{\eta} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$, so there is a generically finite extension of discrete valuation rings $R \subset R'$ such that $G_{\text{Frac}(R')} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$. For the rest of the section, we replace $R$ with $R'$ and assume that $G_{\eta} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $U$ be the subgroup of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of strictly upper-triangular matrices, so that $U$ is a non-split extension of $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ by $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2$. A section of $G(R) = G_{\eta}(k(\eta))$ of order $p^2$ defines a morphism $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \to G$ which is an isomorphism on the generic fiber and the zero map on the special fiber. Form the pushout $H$ of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2 & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

where the rightmost vertical map is the identity. The generic fiber of $H$ satisfies $H_{\eta} \cong U$, whereas the special fiber satisfies $H_s \cong G \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

By Theorem 4.1.1, there exists a smooth projective $R$-scheme $X$ with fibers of pure dimension 2 such that $\text{Pic}^\times_{X/k}$ is isomorphic to the Cartier dual $H^\vee$. In fact, we may find $X$ as the quotient of a complete intersection $Y$ by a free action of $H$. Beware that in the non-commutative case (as above), $H^\vee$ is not generally flat over $R$. In fact, in our setting we note that $(H^\vee)_s = (H_s)^\vee = G \times \mu_{p^2}$ (since $G$ is self-dual), whereas $(H^\vee)_\eta = \mu_{p^2}^2$, so indeed $H^\vee$ is not flat. We have the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p > 2$, and let $X \to S$ be as above. We have $\text{dim}_{k(s)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_s/k(s)) > \text{dim}_{k(\eta)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_\eta/k(\eta))$.

**Proof.** First, we note that both geometric fibers of $H$ lift to the length-2 Witt vectors. Indeed, the special fiber of $H$ is $E_s[p] \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^2$ (which lifts since elliptic curves have no obstructions to lifting), and the generic fiber of $H$ is equal to $U$, a finite constant group scheme. Consequently both geometric fibers of $X$ lift to the length-2 Witt vectors: see the construction in [CZ, Section 3]. By [DI87, Corollaire 2.4], as long as $p > 2$ the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequences degenerate on both fibers, so that

$$H^0_{\text{dR}}(X_t/k(t)) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X_t, \Omega^j_{X_t/k(t)})$$

where $t \in \{s, \eta\}$ and $k(t)$ is the residue field of $t$.

We now compute

$$H^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = T_* \text{Pic}^\times_{X_t/k(t)},$$

so that $h^{0,1}(X_s) = 3$ and $h^{0,1}(X_\eta) = 2$ via the above description of $\text{Pic}^\times_{X_t/k(t)}$. Moreover, since $H_{\eta}$ is etale, we see from the smoothness of $X$ that $Y_\eta$ is also smooth. This shows that the map $H^0(X_\eta, \Omega_{X_\eta/k(\eta)}) \to H^0(Y_\eta, \Omega_{Y_\eta/k(\eta)})$ is injective, and the latter is 0 because $Y_\eta$ is a complete intersection. Thus we find

$$\text{dim}_{k(\eta)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_\eta/k(\eta)) = 2,$$

whereas $H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_s/k(s))$ contains $H^1(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s})$, which is 3-dimensional over $k(s)$. Thus the dimension of $H^1_{\text{dR}}$ jumps from the generic fiber to the special fiber. \qed
4.3. Second example I. Jump of de Rham numbers. Stacks. The main goal of this section is to construct a smooth and proper morphism $f: X \to S$ of algebraic stacks such that the rank of the first de Rham cohomology group of the fiber is not (locally) constant on the base.

The construction is quite easy. We take the base to be $S = \text{Spec} \mathbb{R}$ for some discrete valuation ring $R$ of equicharacteristic $p > 0$, and define our family to be $f: BG \to S$ for $G$ as in Lemma 4.1.4.

Our main tool to get control over $H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_t/k(t))$ for $t \in \{\eta, s\}$ is [Mon21, Theorem 1.2, Proposition 3.14] that say that $H^1_{\text{crys}}(BG/W(k)) = 0$ and $H^2_{\text{crys}}(BG/W(k)) \simeq M(G)$ where $M(G)$ is the Dieudonné module of $G$. Together with Theorem 2.4.9(4), we get that $H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG/k) \simeq M(G)[p]$, so the question boils down to computing Dieudonné modules of geometric fibers.

**Theorem 4.3.1.** Let $f: BG \to S$ be classifying stack over $S = \text{Spec} \mathbb{R}$ for $G$ coming from Lemma 4.1.4. Then $\dim_{k(s)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_s/k(s)) = 2$ and $\dim_{k(\eta)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_\eta/k(\eta)) = 1$. In particular $H^1_{\text{dR}}$ is not (locally) constant on $S$.

**Proof.** Theorem 2.4.9(3) guarantees that

$$\dim_{k(s)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_s/k(s)) = \dim_{k(\eta)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_\eta/k(\eta))$$

where $\overline{s}$ and $\overline{\eta}$ are geometric points over $s$ and $\eta$, respectively. Therefore, the discussion before the theorem ensures that it suffices to show that $\dim_{k(s)} M(G_\eta)[p] > \dim_{k(\eta)} M(G_\eta)[p]$.

Now recall that $G_\eta$ is of order $p^2$ for $t \in \{\eta, s\}$. Therefore, both $M(G_\eta)$ are $W(k(\overline{\eta}))$-modules of length 2. We want to compute these Dieudonné modules as $W(k)$-modules.

Now observe that $M(G_\eta)$ must be $p$-torsion since $G_\eta$ is so. The only $p$-torsion $W(k(\overline{\eta}))$-module is $k(\overline{\eta}) \oplus k(\overline{\eta})$. Therefore, $M(G_\eta) \cong k(\overline{\eta}) \oplus k(\overline{\eta})$. In particular,

$$\dim_{k(s)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_s/k(s)) = \dim_{k(\eta)} M(G_\eta)[p] = 2.$$

Now we recall that [Fon77, Chapitre III, Théorème 1] ensures that the Dieudonné functor $M(\cdot)$ is an anti-equivalence between finite commutative group $k(\overline{\eta})$-schemes of order $p^2$ and finite Dieudonné modules of length $p^2$. In particular, it implies that $M(G_\eta) \neq M(G_\eta)[p]$. Then the classification of finite $W(k(\overline{\eta}))$-modules implies that $M(G_\eta) \cong W_2(k(\overline{\eta}))$. In particular,

$$\dim_{k(\eta)} H^1_{\text{dR}}(BG_\eta/k(\eta)) = \dim_{k(\overline{\eta})} M(G_\eta)[p] = 1.$$

4.4. Second example II. Jump of de Rham numbers. Schemes. In this section, we use the approximation results from [ABM21] and [CZ] to approximate $BG \to S$ from Section 4.3 by a smooth, projective family $f: X \to S$ with the same first de Rham cohomology of fibers. In particular, it will give a smooth projective family over a connected $S$ with nonconstant de Rham numbers of fibers.

For the rest of the section, we fix $S = \text{Spec} \mathbb{R}$ for some discrete valuation ring $R$ of equicharacteristic $p > 0$, and $G$ a finite flat $S$-group scheme from Lemma 4.1.4.

**Theorem 4.4.1.** Let $f: X \to S$ be a smooth, projective morphism coming from Theorem 4.1.1 for $G$ from Lemma 4.1.4 and $d \geq 2$. Then $H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_s/k(s)) = 2$ and $H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_\eta/k(\eta)) = 1$. 


Proof. Theorem 2.5.6 guarantees that the inclusion $Y_t \to \mathbb{P}_k^{N}$ is a Hodge $d$-equivalence for any $t \in \{\eta, s\}$. Clearly the morphism $\mathbb{P}_k^{N} \to \text{Spec } k(t)$ is a Hodge 2-equivalence. Therefore, the composition morphism $Y_t \to \text{Spec } k(t)$ is a Hodge 2-equivalence as well. Finally, we use Theorem 2.5.10 to conclude that

$$X_t \simeq Y_t/G_t \simeq [Y_t/G_t] \to [\text{Spec } k(t)/G_t] = BG_t$$

is a Hodge 2-equivalence. In particular, $H^i_{\text{dr}}(X_t/k(t)) = H^1_{\text{dr}}(BG_t/k(t))$ for any $t \in \{\eta, s\}$. □

**Corollary 4.4.2.** Let $d$ be any integer bigger than 1, and $R$ any discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic $p > 0$. Then there is a smooth projective family $f : X \to \text{Spec } R$ with geometrically connected fibers of pure dimension $d$ such that

$$\dim_{k(s)} H^i_{\text{dr}}(X_s/k(s)) > \dim_{k(\eta)} H^i_{\text{dr}}(X_\eta/k(\eta)).$$

In particular, $H^2_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$ has non-trivial torsion.

Proof. The first part is simply Theorem 4.4.1. To see that $H^2_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$ has non-trivial torsion classes, we first note that

$$R\Gamma_{\text{dr}}(X/R) \otimes^L_R k(t) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{dr}}(X_t/k(t))$$

for $t \in \{\eta, s\}$. Therefore, we see that

$$\dim_{k(s)} H^i_{\text{dr}}(X_s/k(s)) > \dim_{k(\eta)} H^i_{\text{dr}}(X_\eta/k(\eta))$$

if and only if $H^i_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$ or $H^i_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$ have non-trivial torsion classes.

Now note the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence and [Sta21, Tag 0FW5] imply that

$$H^0_{\text{dr}}(X_t/k(t)) \simeq H^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t})$$

(4.1)

for $t \in \{\eta, s\}$. Since the fibers of $f$ are geometrically integral, we conclude that

$$\dim_{k(s)} H^0_{\text{dr}}(X_s/k(s)) = 1 = \dim_{k(\eta)} H^0_{\text{dr}}(X_\eta/k(\eta)).$$

using Equation (4.1) and [Sta21, Tag 0FD2]. Therefore, none of $H^0_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$ and $H^1_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$ has non-trivial torsion elements. However, there is a jump in $H^1_{\text{dr}}$, so there must be non-trivial torsion classes in $H^2_{\text{dr}}(X/R)$. □

**Corollary 4.4.3.** Let $d$ be any integer bigger than 1, and $k$ any field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then there exist a $k$-smooth scheme $S$ and a smooth projective family $f : X \to S$ with connected geometric fibers of pure dimension $d$ such that $\mathcal{F}^2_{\text{dr}}(X/S)$ does not admit any stratification (see [BO78, Definition 2.10] for a definition). In particular, the Gauss-Manin connection on $\mathcal{F}^2_{\text{dr}}(X/S)$ (see [KO68, Theorem 1]) cannot be promoted to a stratification.

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.4.2 to $R = k[T]_{(T)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1,0}$, and standard spreading out techniques to find an open subscheme $S \subset \mathbb{A}_k^1$ and a smooth projective morphism $f : X \to S$ with geometrically connected fibers of pure dimension $d$ such that $\mathcal{F}^2_{\text{dr}}(X/S)$ is not locally free. However, [BO78, Note 2.17] guarantees that any coherent $\mathcal{O}_S$-module with a structure of a stratification must be locally free. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}^2_{\text{dr}}(X/S)$ can not admit any stratification. □

---

9Use the notation from Theorem 4.1.1 for $Y$.

10Both isomorphisms follow from [SGA3, Exposé V, Théorème 4.1]. It is crucial that $G$ acts freely on $Y$.

11Use Remark 2.4.5 to make sure that abstractly defined de Rham cohomology of $k$-syntomic stacks coincide with usual de Rham cohomology for $k$-smooth schemes.
Remark 4.4.4. There are easier examples of smooth, projective families $f: X \to S$ such that the Gauss-Manin connection $\mathcal{H}^1_{dR}(X/S)$ does not prolong to a stratification. Namely, this phenomenon already occurs for the Legendre family of elliptic curves $f: E \to S \coloneqq \mathbb{A}^1_k \setminus \{0,1\}$. Indeed, using [BO78, Proposition 2.11], it is not hard to see that if
\[ \nabla_{GM}: \mathcal{H}^1_{dR}(E/S) \to \mathcal{H}^1_{dR}(E/S) \otimes_{O_S} O^1_{S/k} \]
admits a structure of a stratification, its $p$-curvature vanishes. However, [Kat72, Theorem 3.2] implies that $p$-curvature of $\nabla_{GM}$ is non-zero if the Kodaira-Spencer class of $f$ does not vanish. However, it is a classical computation that the Kodaira-Spencer class does not vanish for the Legendre family of elliptic curves. However, it is not clear if there is no other structure of a stratification on $\mathcal{H}^1_{dR}(E/S)$.

4.5. Example. Higher pushforwards of crystals in characteristic $p$. In this section, we give an example of a smooth projective morphism $f: X \to S$ of $F_p$-schemes such that $R^1 f_{\ast,\text{crys}} (O_{X/S})$ is not a crystal on $S$. This negatively answers the question raised in [BO78, Remark 7.10]. Before we discuss the construction, we recall some important definitions.

We fix an $F_p$-scheme $S$, and an $S$-scheme $X$. Objects of a (small) crystalline site $(X/S)_{\text{crys}}$ of an $S$-scheme $X$ are given by triples $(U, T, \gamma)$ where $U \subset T$ is a Zariski open, $U \to T$ is a nilpotent thickening, and $\gamma$ is a PD-structure on the ideal of the thickening. Morphisms are defined as morphisms of triples, and coverings are defined to be Zariski coverings in $T$. See [Sta21, Tag 07GI] and [BO78, §6] for more details.

A crystalline site comes with the crystalline structure sheaf $O_{X/S}$ defined by the rules
\[ O_{X/S}(U, T, \gamma) = O_T(T). \]
For any $O_{X/S}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ and a PD-thickening $T := (U, T, \gamma)$, we can define a Zariski $O_T$-module $\mathcal{F}(U, T, \gamma)$ (or just $\mathcal{F}_T$ if there cannot be any confusion) by restricting $\mathcal{F}$ on $T$ with its Zariski topology.

**Definition 4.5.1.** An $O_{X/S}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ is a crystal in (quasi-)coherent modules if

1. for every PD-thickening $(U, T, \gamma)$, an $O_T$-module $\mathcal{F}_T$ is (quasi-)coherent;

2. for every morphism $u: (U', T', \gamma') \to (U, T, \gamma)$, the natural morphism $u^*(\mathcal{F}_T) \to \mathcal{F}_{T'}$ is an isomorphism.

An object $\mathcal{F} \in D(\text{Mod}_{O_{X/S}})$ is a derived crystal in (quasi-)coherent modules if

1. for every PD-thickening $(U, T, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{F}_T \in D_{qc}(\text{Mod}_{O_T})$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_T \in D_{coh}(\text{Mod}_{O_T})$);

2. for every morphism $u: (U', T', \gamma') \to (U, T, \gamma)$, the natural morphism $u^*(\mathcal{F}_T) \to \mathcal{F}_{T'}$ is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 4.5.2.** [BO78, Theorem 7.16] Let $S$ be a noetherian $F_p$-scheme, $f: X \to S$ a smooth, proper morphism. Then $Rf_{\ast,\text{crys}} (O_{X/S})$ is a derived crystal in coherent modules on $S$.

**Remark 4.5.3.** [BO78, Theorem 7.16] proves a stronger result. In particular, they allow $\mathcal{E}$ to be any “locally free, finite rank” crystal. We will not need this result.

If $S$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-scheme, then the correct analog of the crystalline site would be the infinitesimal site whose objects are pairs $(U, T)$ of an open $U \subset X$ and a nilpotent thickening. Using [BO78, Note 2.17] and methods used in [BO78, Theorem 7.16], one can show that each individual $O_{S/S}$-module $R^i f_{\ast,\text{inf}} (O_{X/S})$ is a crystal in coherent modules.

It is natural to ask whether the same result holds in characteristic $p$, or even in mixed characteristic. It is relatively easy to construct a counter-example in mixed characteristic. However, it is
somewhat harder to do in the characteristic $p$ situation and is essentially equivalent to the question raised in [BO78, Remark 7.10]. The theorem below gives a counter-example in characteristic $p$ which can be adapted to mixed characteristic with little work.

**Theorem 4.5.4.** Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and $R$ any discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic $p > 0$. Then there is a smooth projective family $f : X \to S = \text{Spec } R$ with geometrically connected fibers of pure dimension $d$ such that at least one of $R^1 f_* \mathcal{Crys}(\mathcal{O}_{X/S})$ is not a crystal.

**Proof.** Take $f : X \to S$ as in Corollary 4.4.2. In what follows, we will denote by $S$ the trivial PD-thickening of $S$. Then [BO78, Corollary 7.9] implies that

$$ M := Rf_* \mathcal{Crys}(\mathcal{O}_{X/S})(S) = R\Gamma(X, \Omega^\bullet_{X/S}). $$

Since $Rf_* \mathcal{Crys}(\mathcal{O}_{X/S})(S, \text{Spec } A, \gamma)$ is a derived crystal by Theorem 4.5.2, we conclude that, for any morphism of PD-thickenings $(S, \text{Spec } A, \gamma) \to (S, S, \text{trivial})$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$ Rf_* \mathcal{Crys}(\mathcal{O}_{X/S})(S, \text{Spec } A, \gamma) \simeq M \otimes_R L^R S. \tag{4.2} $$

We wish to show that $R^1 f_* \mathcal{Crys}(\mathcal{O}_{X/S})$ is not a crystal. Using equation (4.2), we see that it is equivalent to

$$ H^1(M) \otimes_R A \not\simeq H^1(M \otimes_R L^R A) $$

for some morphism of PD-thickenings $(S, \text{Spec } A, \gamma) \to (S, S, \text{trivial})$.

In order to prove that claim, we construct an explicit PD-thickening of $S$. We fix a uniformizer $t \in R$ and consider a square zero nilpotent thickening

$$ S \to \text{Spec } R[[e]]/(e^2, et) = \text{Spec } R'. $$

The ideal of the thickening $(e) \subset R'$ admits a PD-structure $\gamma$ by [Mes71, Chapter V, Lemma (2.3.4)] (for example, by taking $\pi = 0$). We denote by $S'$ the PD-thickening $(S, \text{Spec } R', \gamma)$. Note that $R' \simeq R \oplus R/t$ as an $R$-module. Therefore,

$$ H^1(M \otimes_R R') \simeq H^1(M \oplus [M/t]) \simeq H^1(M) \oplus H^1([M/t]) $$

and

$$ H^1(M) \otimes_R R' \simeq H^1(M) \otimes_R H^1(M)/t. $$

Therefore, $R^1 f_* \mathcal{Crys}(\mathcal{O}_{X/S})$ is not a crystal as long as the natural morphism

$$ H^1(M)/t \to H^1([M/t]) $$

is not an isomorphism. This is equivalent to the existence of non-trivial torsion classes in $H^2(M) = H^2_{\text{dR}}(X/R)$ which exist by construction. □
Appendix A. Pro-syntomic topology

The main goal of this Appendix is provide the reader with the foundations of the pro-syntomic topology. A closely related quasi-syntomic topology was defined and studied in [BMS19]. However, it seems that it is difficult to relate quasi-syntomic cohomology of finite flat group scheme to flat cohomology. On the other hand, we show that pro-syntomic cohomology coincide with flat cohomology for any flat, finitely presented group scheme.

A.1. Syntomic morphisms. We recall the definition of a syntomic morphism from [Sta21] and give some examples. We also show that this definition is equivalent to the definition of a syntomic morphism in [ABM21].

For the rest of the section, we fix a commutative ring $k$. Throughout the paper, we will be mostly interested in the case of a field $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition A.1.1. We say that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is syntomic if $f$ is flat, locally finitely presented, and all fibers are local complete intersections (in the sense of [Sta21, Tag 00S9]).

The following lemma is entirely standard, and we prove it only for want of a reference.

Lemma A.1.2. Let $G$ be a flat, finitely presented $k$-group scheme. Then $G$ is $k$-syntomic.

Proof. Since $G$ is already flat and finitely presented over $k$, it suffices to show that its fibers are local complete intersections. So we can assume that $k$ is a field. Moreover, [Sta21, Tag 00SJ] ensures that we can assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. In this case, $G_{\text{red}}$ is a normal smooth subgroup scheme of $G$, and the map

$$G \to G/G_{\text{red}}$$

is a smooth morphism of $k$-group schemes. Therefore, it suffices to show that $G/G_{\text{red}}$ is $k$-syntomic.

Now note that $G/G_{\text{red}}$ is a connected finite group scheme. Then the classification of such group schemes in [Wat79, §14.4, Theorem] ensures that there is an isomorphism of $k$-schemes

$$G/G_{\text{red}} \cong \text{Spec } k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]/(T_1^{p^{e_1}}, \ldots, T_n^{p^{e_n}})$$

for some $n, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, it is a local complete intersection. □

Lemma A.1.3. A morphism $f : A \to B$ is syntomic if and only if it is flat, finitely presented, and $L_{B/A} \in D(B)$ has Tor amplitude in $[-1, 0]$.

Proof. One direction is easy. If $f$ is syntomic, it is locally a complete intersection morphism by [Sta21, Tag 069K]. Then $L_{B/A}$ has Tor amplitude in $[-1, 0]$ by [Sta21, Tag 08SL].

Now we assume that $f$ is flat, finitely presented, and $L_{B/A}$ has Tor amplitude in $[-1, 0]$. We want to conclude that the fibers of $f$ are complete intersections.

Firstly, we note that the cotangent complex is pseudo-coherent. Indeed, if $A$ is noetherian, it is a classical result that $L_{B/A} \in D_{\text{coh}}(B)$. In general, formation of the cotangent complex of a flat morphism commutes with any base change [Sta21, Tag 08QQ], so a standard spreading out argument reduces to the case of noetherian $A$.

Then loc. cit. and [Sta21, Tag 068V] ensure that $L_{B/A}$ has Tor amplitude in $[-1, 0]$ if and only if $L_{B \otimes_A k(p)/k(p)}$ has Tor amplitude in $[-1, 0]$ for any prime ideal $p \subset A$. Now [Avr99, (1.2) Second Vanishing Theorem] ensures that $L_{B \otimes_A k(p)/k(p)}$ has Tor amplitude in $[-1, 0]$ if and only if $B \otimes_A k(p)$ is a local complete intersection. □

Remark A.1.4. Lemma A.1.3 guarantees that Definition A.1.1 coincides with the definition of syntomic morphisms given in [ABM21, Notation 2.1]. In particular, all results of their paper are applicable with our definition of syntomic morphism.
We note that syntomic morphisms are local on source-and-target by [Sta21, Tag 06FC], so we can extend the definition of syntomic morphisms of schemes to algebraic stacks by general nonsense.

**Definition A.1.5.** A morphism \( f : X \to Y \) of algebraic stacks is *syntomic* if it is syntomic in the sense of [Sta21, Tag 06FM]\(^{12}\).

An algebraic \( k \)-stack \( X \) is *\( k \)-syntomic* if the structure morphism \( X \to \text{Spec } k \) is syntomic.

**Remark A.1.6.** We leave it to the reader to check that an algebraic \( k \)-stack is syntomic if and only if there exists a syntomic cover \( U \to X \) with \( U \) a syntomic \( k \)-scheme. This guarantees that our definition of \( k \)-syntomic stacks coincides with the definition in [ABM21, Notation 2.1].

**Example A.1.7.** Let \( G \) be a flat, finitely presented \( k \)-group scheme. Then \( BG \) is a syntomic \( k \)-stack\(^{13}\) with a syntomic cover \( f : \text{Spec } k \to BG \).

Indeed, \( \text{Spec } k \) is clearly \( k \)-syntomic. So it suffices to show \( f \) is syntomic. Note that

\[
\text{Spec } k \times_{BG} \text{Spec } k \simeq G
\]

is syntomic over \( \text{Spec } k \) by Example A.1.2. Therefore, \( \text{Spec } k \to BG \) is syntomic since being syntomic is fpqc local on the base by [Sta21, Tag 0428].

**Example A.1.8.** More generally, if \( X \) is \( k \)-syntomic scheme with a \( k \)-action of a flat, finitely presented \( k \)-scheme \( G \). Then \([X/G]\) is a \( k \)-syntomic stack with a syntomic cover \( X \to [X/G] \).

**A.2. The pro-syntomic site.** In this section we wish to set up the basic formalism of pro-syntomic morphisms, and in particular show that the pro-syntomic site of a scheme induces the same topos as the syntomic site. For this we will largely follow the analogous arguments for the pro-etale site as in [BS15].

**Definition A.2.1.** Let \( A \) be a ring. An \( A \)-algebra \( B \) is *ind-syntomic* if there is a filtered system \( \{ B_i \} \) of syntomic \( A \)-algebras such that \( B \cong \varinjlim_i B_i \).

**Definition A.2.2.** A morphism \( \text{Spec } B \to \text{Spec } A \) is *standard pro-syntomic* if \( A \to B \) is ind-syntomic.

A morphism \( f : X \to Y \) *pro-syntomic* if there exist affine open covers \( \{ V_j = \text{Spec } B_j \} \) and \( \{ U_i = \text{Spec } A_i \} \) of \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively, such that for each \( j \) there exists some \( i \) such that \( f(V_j) \subset U_i \) and such that \( V_j \to U_i \) is standard pro-syntomic.

**Definition A.2.3.** For a scheme \( X \), we denote by \( X_{\text{psyn}} \) the *small pro-syntomic site* (resp. *small syntomic site*) of \( X \) whose objects are pro-syntomic \( X \)-schemes (resp. syntomic \( X \)-schemes) \( Y \to X \) and whose covers are given by pro-syntomic (resp. syntomic) fpqc\(^{14}\) covers, i.e., a family \( \{ f_i : Y_i \to Y \}_{i \in I} \) of maps in \( X_{\text{psyn}} \) is a covering if each \( f_i \) is pro-syntomic (resp. syntomic), and any open affine \( U \subset Y \) lies in the image of some quasi-compact open \( V \subset \bigsqcup_{i \in I} Y_i \).

If \( X \) is an affine scheme, we denote by \( X_{\text{aff}} \) (resp. \( X_{\text{syn}} \)) the *small affine pro-syntomic site* (resp. *small affine syntomic site*) of \( X \) whose objects are affine morphisms \( f : Y \to X \) such that \( \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \) is a pro-syntomic (resp. syntomic) \( \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \)-algebra. Covers are given by fpqc families \( \{ Y_i' \to Y \}_{i \in I} \) with ind-syntomic (resp. syntomic) \( \mathcal{O}(Y) \to \mathcal{O}(Y_i') \).

If \( X = \text{Spec } k \), we also denote the small affine pro-syntomic site of \( X \) by \( \text{pSyn}_k \).

---

\(^{12}\)This definition makes sense as syntomic morphisms of algebraic spaces are smooth local on source-and-target by [Sta21, Tag 06FC].

\(^{13}\)We note that, if \( G \) is not \( k \)-smooth the cover \( \text{Spec } k \to BG \) is not smooth, so it is not an atlas. Therefore, one needs to use [Art74, Theorem (6.1)] to show that \( BG \) is an algebraic stack.

\(^{14}\)Here fpqc refers to the notion defined in [Sta21, Tag 022B]. In particular, faithfully flat quasi-compact morphisms are fpqc, but the converse does not hold.
**Remark A.2.4.** Clearly, any isomorphism is a pro-syntomic cover. Also, it is easy to see that a base change of a pro-syntomic cover is a pro-syntomic cover. One can also show that pro-syntomic morphisms are closed under compositions using [Sta21, Tag 0C33]. So, $X_{psyn}$ is indeed a site. The same arguments show that $X_{aff}^{psyn}$ is indeed a site. The same arguments show that $X_{aff}^{psyn}$ is a site whenever $X$ is affine.

**Remark A.2.5.** Morphisms between pro-syntomic $X$-schemes need not be pro-syntomic, so fiber products of pro-syntomic $X$-schemes need not exist and in particular $X_{psyn}$ and $X_{aff}^{psyn}$ are not functorial in $X$. The same remarks apply to the syntomic, fpqc, and smooth sites. This contrasts with the situation for the Zariski, étale, and pro-étale topologies.

**Remark A.2.6.** One can resolve this issue by working with the big pro-syntomic site. However, we prefer not to go this way because many functors of interest, e.g. $\text{dR}_{-/k}$, are known to be $(\infty)$-sheaves only on the small pro-syntomic site of the point (see Theorem 2.4.2).

The first useful property of the pro-syntomic site that is not satisfied by “coarse” sites (e.g., Zariski, étale, syntomic, or fpqc sites) is that it defines a replete topos in the sense of [BS15, Definition 3.1.1.]. In particular, derived limits behave especially nice on this site.

**Lemma A.2.7.** Let $X$ be a scheme. Then the topos $\text{Shv}(X_{psyn})$ is replete. In particular, for any diagram $F: N^{op} \to \text{Shv}(X_{psyn}, \mathbb{Z})$ with surjective transition maps $F_{n+1} \to F_n$, we have an isomorphism $\lim_n F_n \cong R \lim_n F_n$.

*Proof.* The proof of the first claim is analogous to [BS15, Example 3.1.7] using that ind-syntomic $A$-algebras are closed under filtered colimits. The second claim follows from the properties of replete topoi, see [BS15, Proposition 3.1.10].

The second nice property of the pro-syntomic site is that it is subcanonical in a strong sense, i.e. any scheme and locally finitely presented algebraic stack define a stack on the pro-syntomic topology.

**Lemma A.2.8.** Let $k$ be a ring, and $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally finitely presented $k$-stack (resp. any $k$-scheme). Then the $h_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a stack (resp. sheaf) on $p\text{Syn}_k$.

*Proof.* The case of schemes is easy. Any pro-syntomic covering is, in particular, an fpqc covering. So the claim follows from the fact that fpqc topology is subcanonical.

The case of $k$-syntomic stacks is slightly harder as algebraic stacks are stacks only for the fppf topology. However, any covering $U \to V$ in $p\text{Syn}_k$ can be written as $U = \lim_I U_i \to V$ where $U_i \to V$ is a syntomic (affine) morphism. Each $U_i \to V$ is automatically surjective and, therefore, an fppf covering. Now the descent for $U \to V$ follows from the fact that $h_{\mathcal{X}}$ satisfies descent for the fppf covers $U_i \to V$, $h_{\mathcal{X}}(U) \simeq \text{colim}_I h_{\mathcal{X}}(U_i)$ (see [Sta21, Tag 0CMX]), and the fact that filtered colimits commute with finite limits.

A.3. **Syntomic vs pro-syntomic.** Let us recall that if $u : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a continuous functor between sites, then there is an induced pair of adjoint functors $u_* : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{D}) \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ and $u^* : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{D})$ (see [Sta21, Tag 00WU] for more details). If $F$ is a sheaf on $\mathcal{D}$ and $X$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$, then by definition

$$u_* F(X) = F(u(X)).$$

If $G$ is a sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$, then $u^* G$ is defined as the sheafification of a presheaf pullback $u^*_p G$, defined on objects $Y$ of $\mathcal{D}$ via

$$u^*_p G(Y) = \text{colim}_{Y^{op}} G(Z)$$

$^{15}$In the sense of [Sta21, Tag 00WU].
where \( I_Y \) is the category of pairs \( \{(Z, \phi) \mid Z \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), \phi : Y \to u(Z)\} \). By definition, \( u \) defines a morphism of sites \( D \to \mathcal{C} \) if \( u^* \) is exact.

If \( X \) is an affine scheme, the inclusion functor \( \alpha : X_{\text{psyn}} \to X_{\text{psyn}} \) is continuous, so it induces a pair of adjoint functors \( (\alpha^*, \alpha_*) : \text{Shv}(X_{\text{psyn}}) \to \text{Shv}(X_{\text{aff}}_{\text{psyn}}) \). A priori, we do not know that \( \alpha^* \) is exact as \( X_{\text{aff}}_{\text{psyn}} \) does not have all finite limits (so [Sta21, Tag 00X6] is not applicable). However, we will show that \( \alpha_* \) is actually an equivalence with the quasi-inverse \( \alpha^* \).

**Lemma A.3.1.** Let \( B \) and \( C \) be sites, and let \( u : B \to C \) be a fully faithful continuous cocontinuous\(^\dagger\) functor. If \( F \in \text{Shv}(B) \) then the adjunction morphism \( F \to u_* u^* F \) is an isomorphism.

Suppose moreover \( u \) has the property that for every cover \( Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), there is a cover \( U = \{u(U_i) \to Y\}_{i \in I} \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( U_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) \). Then \( u_* : \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \) is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse \( u^* \).

**Proof.** The first part follows from [Sta21, Tag 00XT]. We briefly explain the notation used on the Stacks project as it differs from our notation. Part (1) of [Sta21, Tag 00XR] says that \( g^{-1} \) is equal to \( u_* \), and then Part (2) guarantees that \( g_! = u^* \) as they are both left adjoint to \( g^{-1} = u_* \).

Now suppose that the condition in the second paragraph of the lemma statement holds. Since \( u^* \) and \( u_* \) are adjoint, it suffices to show that the adjunction morphisms \( a_F : F \to u_* u^* F \) and \( b_G : u^* u_* G \to G \) are isomorphisms for any \( F \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{B}) \) and \( G \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \). We have already seen that \( a_F \) is an isomorphism. Now the formalism of units and counits of adjoint functors tell us that \( u_* (b_G) \circ a_{u_* (G)} = \text{Id}_{u_* (G)} \). Since \( a_{u_* (G)} \) was already proven to be an isomorphism, we conclude that

\[
u: u_* (b_G) : u_* u^* u_* G \to u_* G\]

is an isomorphism. In particular, for each \( U \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) \), we see that

\[
u_U : (u^* u_* G)(u(U)) \to G(u(U))\]

is an isomorphism. Since any \( V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \) can be covered by objects coming from \( B \), we conclude that \( b_G(V) \) is an isomorphism for any \( V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma A.3.2.** Let \( X \) be an affine scheme. Then \( \alpha_* : \text{Shv}(X_{\text{psyn}}) \to \text{Shv}(X_{\text{aff}}_{\text{psyn}}) \) is an equivalence of categories with the quasi-inverse given by \( \alpha^* \). The same applies to \( X_{\text{aff}} \) and \( X_{\text{psyn}} \).

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Lemma A.3.1. \( \square \)

**Lemma A.3.3.** Let \( W \subset X \) be an open subscheme and let \( j : X_{\text{syn}} \to W_{\text{syn}} \) (resp. \( j : X_{\text{psyn}} \to W_{\text{psyn}} \)) be the natural continuous functor sending \( U \) to \( U \times_X W \). If \( F \) is a syntomic (resp. pro-syntomic) sheaf on \( X \) and \( V \to W \) is a syntomic (resp. pro-syntomic) \( W \)-scheme, regarded also as an \( X \)-scheme via the composition \( V \to W \to X \), then

\[
j^* F(V) \simeq F(V)\]

naturally in \( V \). In particular, \( j^* \) is exact.

**Proof.** Easy and left to the reader. \( \square \)

**Lemma A.3.4.** Suppose \( X \) is affine. Let \( F \in \text{PShv}(X_{\text{psyn}}) \) and \( U \in X_{\text{psyn}} \) with \( U = \lim_{i \in I} U_i \) as in the definition. Then the natural morphism \( \text{colim}_I F(U_i) \to \nu^*_p(F) \) is an isomorphism. If moreover \( F \in \text{Shv}(X_{\text{syn}}) \), then \( \nu^*_p F \) is already a sheaf, so \( \nu^* F(U) = \text{colim}_I F(U_i) \).

\(^\dagger\)In the sense of [Sta21, Tag 00XI].
Proof. It suffices for the first claim to show that $\pi_i: U \to U_i$ is a cofinal system in $I^{\text{op}}_U$, i.e., if $Y$ is a syntomic $X$-scheme and $f: U \to Y$ is an $X$-morphism, there is $i$ such that $f$ factors through some $\pi_i$. By [EGA, IV$_3$, 8.14.2], $Y(U) = \text{colim}_i Y(U_i)$ since $Y$ is locally of finite presentation over $X$. This proves the claim.

For the second claim, let $\{Y_j \to Y\}_{j \in J}$ be a pro-syntomic cover in $X_{\text{aff}}$. In particular, since $Y$ is affine, there is some finite subset $J' \subset J$ such that $\{Y_j \to Y\}_{j \in J'}$ is a covering. Thus we may refine $\{Y_j \to Y\}_{j \in J}$ to assume that $J$ is finite. Since finite limits commute with filtered colimits (of sets), we see that $\nu^*_p(F)(\bigcup_{j \in J} Y_j) = \prod_{j \in J} \nu^*_p(F)(Y_j)$, so we may replace $\{Y_j \to Y\}_{j \in J}$ by $\{\bigcup_{j \in J} Y_j \to Y\}$ to assume that there is only a single $Y_j$, which we will denote by $Y'$. 

Suppose first that $Y'$ is syntomic over $Y$. Consider the diagram

$$\nu^*_p F(Y) \xrightarrow{\nu^*_p F(Y')} \nu^*_p F(Y') \xrightarrow{\pi} \nu^*_p F(Y' \times_Y Y')$$

Since $Y'$ is syntomic over $Y$, there is some index $i_0$ such that $Y'$ spreads out to a syntomic morphism $Y'_{i_0} \to Y_{i_0}$ due to [Sta21, Tag 0C33]. Hence, using the fact that filtered colimits commute with finite products (of sets), we see that the above diagram is equivalent to the diagram

$$\text{colim}_{i \geq i_0} F(Y_i) \xrightarrow{\text{colim}_{i \geq i_0} F(Y'_i)} \text{colim}_{i \geq i_0} F(Y'_i \times_Y Y_i)$$

which is an equalizer diagram by assumption on $F$.

In general, write $Y' = \lim_{j \in J} Y'_j$ as an inverse limit of affine syntomic $Y$-schemes, necessarily faithfully flat. Then we have

$$(\nu^*_p F)(Y') = \text{colim}_{j} \nu^*_p F(Y'_j),$$

and the sheaf axiom for $Y' \to Y$ follows by taking filtered colimits. Thus indeed $\nu^*_p F$ is already a pro-syntomic sheaf, and the proof is complete. 

Proposition A.3.5. The functor $\nu^*$ is exact. If $F \in \text{Shv}(X_{\text{syn}})$, then the adjunction morphism $F \to \nu_* \nu^* F$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. First we note that Lemma A.3.3 allows us to reduce to the case that $X$ is affine. So we may and do assume that $X$ is affine.

Using Lemma A.3.2, we work only with $X_{\text{aff}}$ and $X_{\text{syn}}$. In this case, Lemma A.3.4 shows that if $U = \lim_i U_i$ is an object of $X_{\text{psyn}}$ then $\nu^* F(U) = \text{colim}_i F(U_i)$.

Let $\{F_\alpha\}$ be a finite diagram of sheaves on $X_{\text{syn}}$. If $U = \lim_i U_i$ is an object of $X_{\text{aff}}$, then we have

$$(\nu^* \lim_{\alpha} F_\alpha)(U) = \text{colim}_i (\lim_{\alpha} F_\alpha)(U_i) = \lim_{\alpha} (\text{colim}_i F_\alpha(U_i)) = \lim_{\alpha} \nu^* F_\alpha(U).$$

Thus indeed $\nu^*$ is exact. Moreover, if $U \in X_{\text{psyn}}$ then we have

$$(\nu_* \nu^* F)(U) = (\nu^* F)(U) = F(U)$$

and thus indeed $F \to \nu_* \nu^* F$ is an isomorphism. 

Corollary A.3.6. Let $\Lambda$ be a commutative ring, and $K \in D^+(X_{\text{syn}}, \Lambda)$. Then $L\nu^* = \nu^*$ and the adjunction map $K \to R\nu_* \nu^* K$ is an equivalence. Moreover, if $X$ is affine and $Y \in X_{\text{aff}}$ with $Y = \lim Y_i$ as in the definition, then $R\Gamma(Y, \nu^* K) = \text{hocolim}_i R\Gamma(Y_i, K)$.

Proof. First, $\nu^*$ is exact on $\text{Ab}(X_{\text{syn}})$ by Proposition A.3.5, so $L\nu^* = \nu^*$. The second claim can be proven locally, so we may and do assume that $X$ is affine. Then it follows from the last claim as we can see by applying $R\Gamma(Y, -)$ to the map $K \to R\nu_* \nu^* K$ for every $Y$ in $X_{\text{syn}}$. To prove the
last claim, we can work in $X_{\text{psyn}}^{\text{aff}}$ by Lemma A.3.2, then the proof is the same as that of [BS15, Corollary 5.1.6].

A.4. Comparison of topologies. We show that the pro-syntomic cohomology of any flat, finitely presented commutative group scheme are canonically isomorphic to its fppf cohomology. Therefore, while studying properties of cohomology of such groups, one can work instead with the pro-étale topology. This topology has an advantage that it is not that far from the syntomic topology, so it is possible to check descent properties of certain sheaves of interest in this topology (see Theorem 2.4.2). But on the other side, it is large enough to define a replete topos (see Lemma A.2.7) making derived limits much easier to work with, and it is also large enough to make all the arguments from [BMS19] to work verbatim in this topology.

For the rest of the section, we fix a base scheme $X$.

**Lemma A.4.1.** Let $G$ be a flat finitely presented commutative group $X$-scheme. Then natural morphism

$$\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X,G) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X,G)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** The group $G$ is syntomic over $X$ by Lemma A.1.2. Therefore, the functor $h^\text{syn}_G := \text{Hom}(-, G)$ is representable on $X_{\text{syn}}$ (resp. $h^\text{psyn}_G$ is representable on $X_{\text{psyn}}$). More $h^\text{syn}_G$ (resp. $h^\text{psyn}_G$) is a sheaf since syntomic (resp. pro-syntomic) topology is subcanonical. Thus, we Yoneda’s lemma implies that we have a canonical isomorphism of sheaves $\nu^*h^\text{syn}_G \simeq h^\text{psyn}_G$ by Yoneda’s lemma. So the claim follows from Corollary A.3.6. □

**Theorem A.4.2.** (Grothendieck) Let $G$ be a smooth commutative $X$-group scheme. The natural maps $\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X,G) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X,G)$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(X,G) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X,G)$ are both isomorphisms.

**Remark A.4.3.** In [Gro68b], only the first isomorphism is stated, but the proof works to show the second isomorphism in precisely the same way.

**Theorem A.4.4.** Let $G$ be a flat, locally finitely presented commutative $X$-group scheme. The natural map $\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X,G) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X,G)$ is an isomorphism.\(^\text{17}\)

We give two proofs: one that works only for finite, locally free group schemes and one that works for any flat, locally finitely presented $G$. The first one is based on Theorem A.4.2 and Begueri’s resolution. The other one is based on Toen’s theorem of higher gerbes.

**First Proof.** Since $G$ is finite locally free over $X$, there exists a short exact sequence (in the fppf topology) of commutative $X$-group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0,$$

see [Béť81, Proposition 2.2.1]. In fact, because $\psi$ has kernel $G$ it is a $G$-torsor, and hence it follows from Lemma A.1.2 that $\psi$ is syntomic. In particular, it is an epimorphism of syntomic sheaves. In particular, we have a commutative diagram of exact triangles in $D(\mathbb{Z})$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X,G) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X,H) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X,C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X,G) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X,H) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X,C).
\end{array}
$$

\(^\text{17}\)To get this morphism, one needs to work with the big syntomic site as opposed to the small syntomic site used above. However, it is easy to see that cohomology groups in the big and small pro-syntomic site coincide. We leave details to the reader.
We know that the middle and the right vertical arrows are isomorphisms by Theorem A.4.2, so the left one is also an isomorphism.

**Second Proof.** Consider the natural morphism of big sites $\alpha : X_{\text{FPPF}} \to X_{\text{SYN}}$. It suffices to show that the natural morphism

$$G \to R\alpha_*\alpha^*G$$

is an isomorphism for any flat, locally finitely presented $G$. It is clear that the natural morphism

$$G \to \alpha_*\alpha^*G$$

is an isomorphism. So, it suffices to show that

$$R^i\alpha_*\alpha^*G = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$. In other words, it suffices to show that, for any affine $X$-scheme $U = \text{Spec } A$, any class $x \in H^i_{\text{fppf}}(U, G)$ is killed after a syntomic cover $U' \to U$.

We first consider the case $i = 1$. In this case, any class $x \in H^1_{\text{fppf}}(U, G)$ is representable by an fppf $G$-torsor $P$. Now [Sta21, Tag 04SK] guarantees that $P$ is representable by an algebraic space $Y$. Note that $P$ can be trivialized after a pullback along $Y \to U$. Now Lemma A.1.2 ensures that $Y$ is an $U$-syntomic algebraic space. So if we choose an étale cover $Y' \to Y$ of $Y$ by a scheme, the composite morphism $Y' \to U$ is syntomic as well, and $P$ can be trivialized after the pullback along this morphism. In particular, the class $x$ is annihilated by a syntomic covering $Y' \to U$.

Now if $i > 1$, then a theorem of Toen [Toë11, Corollaire 3.2 (5)] guarantees that any class $x \in H^i_{\text{fppf}}(U, G)$ can be annihilated by an étale covering $U' \to U$. His proof is similar to the case $i = 1$, but he uses an identification of classes in $H^i_{\text{fppf}}(U, G)$ with higher torsors (aka (higher) gerbes), and then shows that these torsors are automatically representable by higher algebraic stacks via establishing a higher analogue of Artin’s representability theorem. One gets a stronger result that a class in $H^i_{\text{fppf}}(U, G)$ is annihilated by an étale covering for $i > 1$ (as opposed to just syntomic) because the stack $BG$ (or its higher analogues $K(G, i)$ for $i \geq 1$) is smooth even if $G$ is not. 

**Theorem A.4.5.** Let $G$ be a flat, locally finitely presented commutative $X$-group scheme. Then there is a functorial isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, G) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G)$.

**Proof.** Lemma A.4.1 and Theorem A.4.4 gives two functorial isomorphisms

$$R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, G) \xleftarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{syn}}(X, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G).$$

So the composition of the first one and the inverse of the second one provides the desired functorial isomorphism $R\Gamma_{\text{psyn}}(X, G) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{fppf}}(X, G)$. 
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