1 Introduction

Power graphs of both groups and semigroups have been widely studied, for example in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. While the power graph of a quasigroup can be defined analogously to that of a group, power graphs of quasigroups and loops have thus far been little studied. In this paper we begin transferring results on the power graphs of groups to the context of loops by addressing a question posed by Peter Cameron: if two Moufang loops have isomorphic undirected power graphs, must they have isomorphic directed power graphs? In [3] Cameron shows that two groups with isomorphic undirected power graphs must have isomorphic directed power graphs. We are able to extend that result to Moufang loops in our main theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Moufang loops with isomorphic undirected power graphs have isomorphic directed power graphs.

Cameron’s proof in [3] relied on handling groups with multiple vertices connected to all others in the power graph separately. Groups with such power graphs are either cyclic or generalized quaternion. We take a similar approach here. In generalizing to Moufang loops, a third type of loop with such a power graph arises; we have termed these generalized octonion loops. In §3 we will investigate Moufang loops with power graphs having multiple vertices connected to all others. This will yield the following generalization of a result from group theory:

Theorem 1.2. A Moufang $p$-loop $M$ with a unique subloop of order $p$ is either a cyclic group, a generalized quaternion group, or a generalized octonion loop. These last two only occur when $p = 2$.

In §4 we will investigate the structure of generalized octonion loops, yielding the following equivalent characterizations of such loops:

Theorem 1.3. For a finite nonassociative Moufang loop $M$, the following are equivalent.

1. every associative, commutative subloop of $M$ is cyclic;
2. $M$ is isomorphic to the result of applying Chein’s construction to a generalized quaternion group;
3. $M$ is isomorphic to a subloop of the unit octonions generated by $\{e^{\frac{i\pi}{n}}, j, e_5\}$;

4. $M$ is a finite Moufang 2-loop with a unique element of order 2;

In §5 we will use the above results to prove Theorem In §6 we will present miscellaneous results on the power graphs of Moufang loops that arose during our attempts to answer the motivating question.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Moufang loops

Definition 2.1. A quasigroup $(Q, \cdot)$ is a magma whose multiplication table is a Latin square.

Definition 2.2. A loop $(Q, \cdot)$ is a quasigroup with an identity element $1 \in Q$ such that

$$x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$$

for all $x \in Q$.

Basic references for loop theory are [8], [9], [10]. We will use the convention that juxtaposition binds more tightly than $\cdot$. Any uncited facts in the discussion that follows can be found in these references.

Definition 2.3. A loop $(Q, \cdot)$ has the left inverse property (LIP) if there exists a bijection $\lambda : Q \to Q$ such that for all $x, y \in Q$

$$\lambda(x) \cdot xy = y$$

Definition 2.4. Similarly, a loop $(Q, \cdot)$ has the right inverse property (RIP) if there exists a bijection $\gamma : Q \to Q$ such that for all $x, y \in Q$

$$xy \cdot \gamma(y) = x$$

Definition 2.5. A loop $(Q, \cdot)$ which has both the left and right inverse properties is said to be an inverse property loop (IP loop).

Definition 2.6. A loop $(Q, \cdot)$ is power-associative if $\langle x \rangle$ is a group for all $x \in Q$.

Definition 2.7. A loop $(Q, \cdot)$ is diassociative if $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a group for all $x, y \in Q$.

Definition 2.8. The exponent of a power-associative loop $L$, denoted $\text{Exp}(L)$, is the least common multiple of orders of elements of $L$ if it exists and 0 otherwise.

Definition 2.9. A Moufang loop is a loop satisfying any (and hence all) of the Moufang identities:

$$z \cdot (x \cdot yz) = (zx \cdot z) \cdot y$$
$$x \cdot (z \cdot yz) = (xz \cdot y) \cdot z$$
$$zx \cdot yz = (z \cdot xy) \cdot z$$
$$zx \cdot yz = z \cdot (xy \cdot z).$$
Standard examples of nonassociative Moufang loops are the unit octonions with multiplication and the sphere $S^7$ with octonion multiplication. We now present some fundamental results on Moufang loops which we will need in later sections.

**Theorem 2.10** (Moufang’s Theorem). Suppose that $M$ is a Moufang loop and $x, y, z \in M$ are such that $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z$. Then $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ is a group.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let $M$ be a finite Moufang loop. Then

- $M$ has the inverse property.
- $M$ is diassociative (and thus power-associative).
- For all $x, y \in M$, $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a group [17].
- For all $x \in M$, $|x|$ divides $|M|$.
- Suppose that $|M| = p^k$ for $p$ prime, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then there exists $S \leq M$ with $|S| = p^{k-1}$.

Regarding the last statement, note that the center of a Moufang $p$-loop is nontrivial [12] [13]. So an inductive argument identical to that used to prove the last result for groups will also prove the existence of such a subloop.

### 2.2 Power graphs

To maintain generality, in what follows let $A = (A, \cdot)$ be a magma with $\cdot$ a power-associative binary operation.

**Definition 2.12.** The directed power graph of $A$ is the directed graph with vertex set $A$ and an edge $x \to y$ if and only if $x^k = y$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Definition 2.13.** The undirected power graph of $A$ is the graph with vertex set $A$ and an edge between $x$ and $y$ if and only if $x^k = y$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $y^k = x$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So the undirected power graph of $A$ is the underlying undirected graph of the directed power graph of $A$. In the remainder of this paper, power graph will refer to the undirected power graph unless otherwise specified.

Recall that a group in which every commutative subgroup is cyclic is either cyclic or generalized quaternion [14]. We will use the following definition for generalized octonion loops in the interest of closely following this characterization of generalized quaternion groups. In §4 we will see that there are several alternate characterizations of generalized octonion loops.

**Definition 2.14.** Let $M$ be a nonassociative Moufang $p$-loop such that every associative commutative subloop of $M$ is cyclic, then we call $M$ a generalized octonion loop.
2.3 Chein’s construction

**Theorem 2.15.** Let $G$ be a group. For $1 \neq c \in Z(G)$ and $u$ an indeterminate. Define $(M, \cdot)$ by $M = G \cup Gu$ and

\[
g \cdot h = gh \\
g \cdot (hu) = (hg)u \\
gu \cdot h = (gh^{-1})u \\
gu \cdot hu = ch^{-1}g
\]

for all $g, h \in G$. Then $M$ is a Moufang loop \[15\]. Further, $M$ is associative if and only if $G$ is abelian \[15\].

Throughout the paper we will denote loops arising from this construction by $M(G, 2)$, where $G$ is the underlying group. We will show that generalized octonion loops are precisely the loops $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$, where $Q_{4n}$ is a generalized quaternion group.

**Theorem 2.16.** Suppose that $M$ is a finite Moufang loop with a set of generators $\{u, u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ such that

- $u \notin G = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_n \rangle$,
- $u^2 \in N\langle u^2, G \rangle$,
- conjugation by $u$ maps $G$ into itself.

Let $k$ be the smallest positive integer such that $u^k \in G$. Then

- each element of $M$ can be expressed uniquely as $gu^\alpha$ where $g \in G$ and $0 \leq \alpha < k$; and
- multiplication of elements of $M$ is given by

\[
(g_1u^\alpha)(g_2u^\beta) = [\theta^{-\beta}(\theta^{\beta}(g_1)\theta^{\beta-\alpha}(g_2))g_0^\epsilon]u^\rho
\]

where

\[
\theta(g) = u^{-1}gu, g_0 = u^k \in G, \epsilon = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{k}, \text{ and } \rho = \alpha + \beta - \epsilon k
\]

\[15\].

3 Moufang $p$-loops with a unique subloop of order $p$

We will begin by classifying Moufang $p$-loops $M$ with a unique subloop of order $p$. In the proof of Theorem \[1.1\] we will handle such loops separately. Note that every nontrivial subloop of a Moufang loop of order $p^n$ with a unique subloop of order $p$ also has a unique subloop of order $p$.

**Theorem 3.1.** A Moufang $p$-loop $M$ with a unique subloop of order $p$ is either a cyclic group, a generalized quaternion group, or $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$. These last two only occur when $p = 2$. 

4
We will first handle the simpler case that \( p \) is an odd prime.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( G \) be a group of order \( p^n \), \( p > 2 \) prime with a unique subloop of order \( p^s \) for some \( 1 < s < n \). Then \( G \) is cyclic [10].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( M \) be a Moufang loop of order \( p^n \) for some prime \( p > 2 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( M \) has a unique subloop of order \( p \). Then \( M \) is a cyclic group.

**Proof.** Let \( x, y, z \in M \) be given. If \( \langle x, y \rangle = M \), then \( M \) is a group by diassociativity and we are done by the result for groups [10]. Otherwise \( \langle x, y \rangle \subsetneq M \) must be a \( p \)-group with a unique subgroup of order \( p \) and thus cyclic by Lemma 3.2. Say \( \langle x, y \rangle = \langle g \rangle \) and \( x = g^i \), \( y = g^j \). Then \( x \cdot yz = g^i \cdot g^j z = g^{i+j} z = xy \cdot z \) by diassociativity. Hence in either case \( M \) is a group and thus cyclic by Lemma 3.2. \( \square \)

We will now handle the case \( p = 2 \). In what follows, let \( M \) be a nonassociative Moufang loop of order \( 2^n \) with a unique subloop of order 2.

**Lemma 3.4.** For all \( x, y \in M \) exactly one of the following holds:

- \( xy = yx \),
- \( xy = y^{-1}x \) and \( |x| = 4 \),
- \( xy = yx^{-1} \) and \( |y| = 4 \),
- \( |x| = |y| = 4 \).

**Proof.** If \( \langle x, y \rangle \) is cyclic, then \( xy = yx \), so assume that \( G = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle a, b | a^{2^n} = b^4 = 1, ab = ba^{-1} \rangle \) is generalized quaternion. All elements of \( G \) can be written in the form \( a^ib \) or \( a^i \) for some \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( x = a^i \), \( y = a^j \), then \( xy = yx \). If \( x = a^ib \), \( y = a^j \), then \( x^2 = a^iba^ib = ba^{-i}a^ib = b^2 \) and similarly \( y^2 = b^2 \), thus \( |x| = |y| = 4 \). If \( x = a^i \), \( y = a^j \), then \( xy = a^i a^j b = a^j ba^{-i} = yx^{-1} \).

Finally, if \( x = a^ib \), \( y = a^jab \), then \( xy = a^ibaj = a^{-j}a^ib = y^{-1}x \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.5.** If \( |M| = 2^n \), then \( M \) has an element of order at least \( 2^{n-2} \) and thus an associative subloop of index 2.

**Proof.** We will proceed by induction, taking \( |M| = 64 = 2^6 \) as our base case. The case of \( n = 64 \) follows from the classification of Moufang loops of order 64 in [17]. The result for Moufang loops of order \( 2^n \), \( n < 6 \) follows from the classification of Moufang loops of order \( < 64 \) in [15] [18].

Let \( M \) be a Moufang loop of order \( 2^n \) with a unique element of order 2. Then there exists \( S \subseteq M \) with \( |S| = 2^{n-1} \) by Proposition 2.11. By the inductive hypothesis, \( S \) contains an element \( x_0 \) of order \( 2^{n-3} \).

First assume there exists \( y \in M \setminus \langle x_0 \rangle \) with \( yx_0 = x_0y \). Then \( \langle x_0, y \rangle \) is a cyclic group strictly larger than \( \langle x_0 \rangle \). Thus \( \langle x_0, y \rangle \) contains an element of order at least \( 2^{n-2} \). Hence we can assume without loss of generality that \( x_0y = yx_0^{-1} \) and \( |y| = 4 \) for all \( y \in M \setminus \langle x_0 \rangle \) since \( |x_0| > 4 \).

Note that if \( \langle x_0 \rangle \) is properly contained in a cyclic subgroup of \( M \), then the proof is complete. The following lemmas show that \( \langle x_0 \rangle \) must be contained in such a subgroup. Thus \( M \) contains an element of order \( 2^{n-2} \) and thus an associative subgroup of index 2. \( \square \)
As above, let $x_0 \in M$ be the element of order $2^{n-3}$.

**Lemma 3.6.** If $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is not properly contained in a cyclic subgroup of $M$, then $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is normal in $M$.

**Proof.** Let $\varphi$ be an inner mapping and set $u = \varphi(x_0)$. Inner mappings preserve powers, so $|\langle u \rangle| = |\langle x_0 \rangle| > 4$. By Lemma 3.4, we conclude that $xu = ux$, that is, $\langle x_0, u \rangle = \langle z \rangle$ for some $z$. By assumption $\langle x_0 \rangle = \langle z \rangle$, hence $u$ must be a power of $x$, that is, $\varphi(x_0) = x_0^i$ for some $i$. Thus for all $j$, $\varphi(x_0^j) = x_0^{ij}$, that is, $\varphi[\langle x_0 \rangle] = \langle x_0 \rangle$. Since $\varphi$ is an arbitrary inner mapping, $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is normal in $M$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.7.** $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is properly contained in a cyclic subgroup of $M$.

**Proof.** Suppose toward a contradiction that $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is not contained in a cyclic subgroup. Then $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is normal in $M$ from the preceding lemma. Note that $M/\langle x_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ since every element has order at most 2.

Choose $a, b \in M$ such that $a, b \notin \langle x_0 \rangle$ and $a \langle x_0 \rangle \neq b \langle x_0 \rangle$. Let $d = ab$. Then $d \langle x_0 \rangle$ is distinct from $\langle x_0 \rangle, a \langle x_0 \rangle$, and $b \langle x_0 \rangle$. Further, $b \langle x_0 \rangle d \langle x_0 \rangle = a \langle x_0 \rangle$. Thus

\[
ab = d \\
b d = a x_0^i \text{ for some } i \\
a \cdot (a x_0^i \cdot d^{-1}) = d
\]

Hence

\[
a x_0^i \cdot d^{-1} = a^{-1} d \\
= a^3 d \\
= a a^2 d \\
= a d^2 \cdot d, \text{ since } a^2 = d^2 = \text{ unique element of order } 2 \\
= a d^3 \\
= a d^{-1}
\]

Thus $a x_0^i \cdot d^{-1} = a d^{-1}$ and $x_0^i = 1$ after canceling $d^{-1}$ and $a$.

So $ab = d$ and $bd = a$ and $b \cdot ab = a$. Then

\[
ab \cdot \langle x_0 \rangle = (b \cdot ab) a \cdot \langle x_0 \rangle \\
\quad = (ab)^2 \cdot \langle x_0 \rangle \\
\quad = \langle x_0 \rangle \text{ since all squares are contained in } \langle x_0 \rangle
\]

But this last contradicts that $a \langle x_0 \rangle \neq b \langle x_0 \rangle$. This contradicts our assumption that $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is not properly contained in a cyclic subgroup. Thus $\langle x_0 \rangle$ must be properly contained in a cyclic subgroup and $M$ must contain an element of order $2^{n-2}$. \hfill \Box

With the above lemmas we can now prove Theorem 3.1.
Proof. Let $M$ be a Moufang loop with a unique subloop of order $p$ for each prime divisor $p$ of $|M|$. By Proposition 3.3, we have that if $|M|$ is not a power of 2, then $M$ is a cyclic group. So assume that $|M| = 2^n$ for some $n$. If $M$ is associative, then it is either cyclic or generalized quaternion by the result for groups. So assume that $M$ is not associative.

By Proposition 3.5 $M$ has an associative index 2 subloop $S$. By the result for groups $S$ is either cyclic or generalized quaternion. If $S$ is cyclic, say $S = \langle s \rangle$, then $M = \langle s, x \rangle$ for $x \notin S$ since $S$ is an index 2 subloop. But then $M$ is associative by diassociativity, hence we can assume that $S$ is generalized quaternion. Let $u \in M \setminus S$ be given and $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be a generating set for $S$. Then $u \notin S = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$, $u^2 \in Z(M) \subseteq N(\langle u^2, S \rangle)$ and $S \leq M$, so $u^{-1}Su = S$. Thus the hypotheses of Theorem 2.16 are satisfied. Hence $M$ is isomorphic to $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$ and the proof is complete. 

\[\square\]

4 Generalized octonion loops

To make the Theorem 3.1 more closely follow the result for groups we will investigate the loops $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$, which turn out to be precisely the generalized octonion loops. We will show that they behave analogously to generalized quaternion groups.

Theorem 4.1. A Moufang loop $M$ is a generalized octonion loop if and only if it is isomorphic to $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a generalized octonion loop. Note that every associative subloop of $M$ is either cyclic or generalized quaternion since a finite $p$-group with every abelian subgroup cyclic is either cyclic or generalized quaternion [14].

We will show first that $p = 2$. Suppose toward a contradiction that $p \neq 2$. Then every associative subloop of $M$ is cyclic. Let $x, y, z \in M$ be given. Then $\langle x, y \rangle$ is associative and thus cyclic, so there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that $x_0^i = x$, $x_0^j = y$. But then $x \cdot yz = x_0^i \cdot x_0^j z = x_0^{i+j} \cdot z = xy \cdot z$ by diassociativity. Thus $M$ is associative by Moufang’s Theorem and thus a cyclic group. But this contradicts our assumption that $M$ is nonassociative, hence we must have that $p = 2$.

Thus $M$ is a nonassociative Moufang 2-loop. By Theorem 3.1 it is sufficient to show that $M$ has a unique element of order 2. Let $x, y \in M$ be given. Then $\langle x, y \rangle$ is either a cyclic 2-group or generalized quaternion and in either case contains a unique element, $c$, of order 2. Thus there exists $c \in M$ with $|c| = 2$. Suppose that $d \in M$ is another element of order 2 and consider $\langle c, d \rangle$, which is again either a cyclic 2-group or generalized quaternion and thus contains a unique element of order 2. Hence $c = d$ and $M$ contains a unique element of order 2. Thus $M$ is isomorphic to $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$ for some $n$.

Now let $M$ be the loop $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$. It is shown in [15] that $M$ is a nonassociative Moufang loop. So every associative subloop of $M$ is either cyclic or generalized quaternion and thus every commutative and associative subloop of $M$ is cyclic. Thus $M$ is generalized octonion.

\[\square\]

Lemma 4.2. $M(Q_{4n}, 2)$ contains a unique element of order 2.

Proof. Let $c$ be the unique element of order 2 in $Q_{4n}$. Suppose that $x$ is another element of order 2 in $M$. Since $x \neq c$ and $c$ is unique in $Q_{4n}$ we must have that $x = gu$ for some
\[ g \in Q_{4n}. \text{ But then } x^2 = (gu)^2 = cg^{-1}g = c \neq 1. \text{ Thus } |x| > 2 \text{ and } c \text{ is the unique element of order 2 in } M. \]

**Theorem 4.3.** A nonassociative Moufang loop is generalized octonion if and only if it has order a power of 2 and a unique element of order 2.

**Proof.** Using the characterization of generalized octonion loops in Theorem 4.1 it is clear that all such loops have order a power of 2. By the preceding lemma all such loops have a unique element of order 2. The converse follows immediately from Theorem 3.1. \qed

**Theorem 4.4.** \( M \) is a generalized octonion loop if and only if it is isomorphic to a subloop of the unit octonions generated by \( \{ e^{\frac{e\pi}{2n}}, e_3, e_5 \} \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proof.** Let \( M = \langle e^{\frac{e\pi}{2n}}, e_3, e_5 \rangle \) and note that \( M \) is nonassociative. We will use Theorem 1 in [15] to show that this is precisely \( M(Q_{4n}, 2) \), taking the presentation \( Q_{4n} = \langle e^{\frac{e\pi}{2n}}, e_3 \rangle \). First note that \( e_5 \notin Q_{4n} \). Further, \( e_5^2 = -1 \in N((-1, Q_{4n})) \). Finally

\[ e_5e_3e_5^{-1} = (e^{\frac{e\pi}{2n}})^{-1} \in Q_{4n} \]

Thus \( Q_{4n} \) is closed under conjugation by \( e_5 \) and by Theorem 2.16 \( M \) is precisely \( M(Q_{4n}, 2) \). We showed in Theorem 4.1 that this is the same as \( M \) being a generalized octonion loop.

Since there is a unique nonassociative generalized octonion loop of each possible order, the above shows that any generalized octonion loop is isomorphic to such a subloop of the unit octonions. \qed

The above results complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that with the above results, we can restate Theorem 3.1 as:

**Theorem 4.5.** A Moufang \( p \)-loop \( M \) with a unique subloop of order \( p \) is either a cyclic group, a generalized quaternion group, or a generalized octonion loop. These last two only occur when \( p = 2 \).

Recall that the generalized quaternion group of order \( 4n \) can be presented as \( Q_{4n} = \langle a, b | a^n = b^2, a^{2n} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle \). Viewing the generalized octonion loop of order 16 as \( M(Q_8, 2) \) with this presentation yields the power graph of \( O_{16} \) presented below. Note that the non-identity vertex \( a^2 \) is connected to all other vertices. This feature is the primary reason we are interested in generalized octonion loops in the context of power graphs.
5 Undirected power graphs determine directed

With Theorem 1.2 at our disposal we can now translate the argument in [3] to the Moufang case to show that two Moufang loops with isomorphic undirected power graphs must have isomorphic directed power graphs. As in [3] the proof is split into two cases depending on whether the identity vertex is the only one connected to all other vertices. In what follows, let $M$ be a Moufang loop with power graph $\Gamma$.

5.1 Non-identity vertex connected to all others

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that $x \in M$ with $x \neq 1$ and $x$ connected to all other vertices in the power graph of $M$ and $p$ is a prime divisor of $\text{Exp}(M)$. Then $M$ has a unique subgroup $P$ of order $p$ and $P = \langle x^n \rangle$ for some $n$.

Proof. Let $|x^n| = k$ and $y \in M$ such that $|y| = p$ be given. Since $x$ and $y$ are connected in $\Gamma$, either $x$ is a power of $y$ or $y$ is a power of $x$. Suppose that $y^i = x^1$ where $1 \leq i < p$ without loss of generality. Then $(p, i) = 1$ and there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(y^i)^j = y = x^j$. Thus every element of $Q$ of order $p$ is a power of $x$.

Further $1 = y^p = x^{jp} = (x^j)^p$ and so $k \mid j$. Thus $y = x^j = (x^k)^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So every element of order $p$ is contained in $\langle x^k \rangle$, a cyclic subgroup of order $p$.

Thus if the power graph of a Moufang $p$-loop $M$ has a non-identity vertex connected to all others, then $M$ is either cyclic, generalized quaternion or generalized octonion by Theorem 1.2. We now handle the case that $M$ does not have prime power order.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $x \in M$ with $x \neq 1$ and $x$ connected to all other vertices in the power graph of $M$ and $|M|$ is not a prime power. Then $M$ is a cyclic group.

Proof. Since $M$ is not a $p$-loop $\text{Exp}(M)$ is not a prime power [17]. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1 $|x|$ is divisible by every prime divisor of $\text{Exp}(M)$. Since $\text{Exp}(M)$ has at least two distinct prime factors so does $|x|$ and for all $y \in M$ with $|y|$ a prime power we have that $y$ is a power of $x$. Let $z \in M$ such that $|z|$ is not a prime power be given. If $z$ is a power of $x$ then we are done. We will show that $z$ must be a power of $x$.

Suppose toward a contradiction that $z$ is not a power of $x$. Then $x = z^k$ for some $k$. Say $|z| = p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_m^{i_m}$, where this is a factorization into distinct primes and $m \geq 1$. Then $z^{p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_m^{i_m}} = \cdots = z^{p_0^{i_0} \cdots p_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}}$ are all powers of $x$ as elements of $M$ with prime power order. Thus $p_0^{i_0} \cdots p_m^{i_m} | |x|$ and $|z|||x|$. Then $|x| = |z^k| = \frac{|z|\gcd(k, |z|)}{\gcd(k, |z|)}$ and $|x| = |z|$. But then $\langle x \rangle = \langle z \rangle$ while $\langle x \rangle \subseteq \langle z \rangle$, a contradiction since $|x|$ is finite.

Hence every element of $M$ is a power of $x$ and $M = \langle x \rangle$ is a cyclic group.

Note that if $|M|$ is not a power of 2, then $M$ is a cyclic group and there is nothing to prove. So suppose that $|M|$ is a power of 2. Recall that the power graph of a cyclic $p$-group is complete [19] and let $X$ be the power graph of $M$. If the largest complete subgraph in $X$ has order $2^{n-2}$, then $M$ cannot be cyclic or generalized quaternion, since both of these have complete subgraphs of order at least $2^{n-1}$ in their power graphs. So in this case $M$ must be generalized octonion. Similarly, if the largest complete subgraph in $X$ has order $2^{n-1}$, then $M$ must be generalized quaternion. Finally, if $X$ is complete, then $M$ is cyclic.
The above shows that if the power graph of $M$ has a non-identity vertex connected to all others, then we can identify $M$ up to isomorphism. So in this case the undirected power graph determines the directed power graph up to isomorphism. We will next handle the case that the power graph of $M$ has no such vertex.

5.2 Only identity connected to all others

Note that we have shown that if there is a non-identity vertex connected to all others in $\Gamma$, then $M$ is either cyclic, generalized quaternion, or generalized octonion. In each of these cases the directed power graph is determined by the undirected power graph. So proceeding we will assume that $M$ is not cyclic, generalized quaternion, or generalized octonion, and so the only vertex connected to all others in $\Gamma$ is the identity.

In [3] the proof that the undirected power graph of a group with only the identity vertex connected to all others determines the directed power graph only required power associativity, the inverse property, and the element-wise Lagrange property. Since these properties all hold in Moufang loops the proof in [3] shows that the undirected power graph of a Moufang loop in which only the identity vertex is connected to all others determines the directed power graph. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6 Future directions of research

The enhanced power graph of a group, which lies between the power graph and the commuting graph as a subgraph, was recently defined in [20]. They were able to prove a similar result, that two finite groups with isomorphic power graphs must have isomorphic enhanced power graphs. One natural progression of our research would be to attempt to transfer this result to the context of Moufang loops.

There has been some work in describing properties of the power graph of a group and how they relate to properties of the group itself as in [4], [21], and [22]. Another natural progression of this research would be to attempt to characterize properties of the power graphs of Moufang loops.
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