A BOUND FOR TWISTS OF $GL_3 \times GL_2$ $L$-FUNCTIONS WITH COMPOSITE MODULUS

QINGFENG SUN AND YANXUE YU

Abstract. Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $g$ be a holomorphic or Maass cusp form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus $M = M_1M_2$ with $M_i$ prime, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $M^{1/2+2\eta} < M_1 < M^{1-2\eta}$ with $0 < \eta < 1/8$. Then we have

$$L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi \right) \ll_{\pi, g, \epsilon} M^{3/2-\eta+\epsilon}.$$ 

1. Introduction

Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with normalized Fourier coefficients $\lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2)$ such that $\lambda_\pi(1, 1) = 1$. Let $g$ be a holomorphic or Maass cusp form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with normalized Fourier coefficients $\lambda_g(n)$ such that $\lambda_g(1) = 1$. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $M$. The $L$-function attached to the twisted form $\pi \otimes g \otimes \chi$ is given by the Dirichlet series

$$L(s, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{r=1}^\infty \lambda_\pi(n, r)\lambda_g(n)\chi(n)(r^2n)^s$$

for Re$(s) > 1$, which can be continued to an entire function with a functional equation of arithmetic conductor $M^\delta$ (ignoring the dependence on the form $\pi$ and $g$). Then by the Phragmen-Lindelöf convexity principle one derives the convexity bound $L(1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi) \ll_{\pi, g, \epsilon} M^{3/2+\epsilon}$, where hereafter $\epsilon$ denotes arbitrary small positive constant, which is not necessarily the same at different occurrences. The important challenge for us is to prove a subconvexity bound which improves the convexity bound by reducing the exponent $3/2$. For $\pi$ self-dual, $g$ a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $\Gamma_0(M) \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $M$ prime and $\chi$ a quadratic character modulo $M$, Blomer [1] first proved the subconvexity

$$L(1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi) \ll_{\pi, g, \epsilon} M^{5/4+\epsilon}.$$ 

Later, Sharma [16] generalized Blomer’s result to any $GL_3$ form and obtained the bound $O_{\pi, g, \epsilon}(M^{3/2-1/16+\epsilon})$ for $M$ prime. Recently, Liu, Michel and Sawin [9] extended this bound by replacing $\chi$ by a generic trace function $K: (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}$ which is the Frobenius trace function associated to some geometrically irreducible middle extension sheaf $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_M}$ pure of weight $0$ satisfying some additional generic conditions.

In this paper, we further extend the previous works by considering the case that $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character of composite modulus. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\pi$ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $g$ be a holomorphic or Maass cusp form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$ be a Dirichlet character with $\chi_i$ primitive modulo $M_i$. Suppose that $M_1, M_2$ are primes such that $M^{1/2+2\eta} < M_1 < M^{1-2\eta}$, where $M = M_1M_2$ and $0 < \eta < 1/8$. Then we have

$$L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi \right) \ll_{\pi, g, \epsilon} M^{3/2-\eta+\epsilon}.$$ 

This paper is inspired by the work of Munshi [12] where the subconvexity problem of the $GL_3$ $L$-function $L(s, \pi \otimes \chi)$ with the Dirichlet character $\chi$ of modulus a composite number is considered. More precisely, for...
\[ \chi = \chi_1 \chi_2 \text{ a Dirichlet character with } \chi_i \text{ primitive modulo prime } M_i \text{ such that } \sqrt{M_2} M_2^{4\delta} < M_1 < M_1 M_1^{-3\delta}, \]

Munshi proved that

\[ L \left( 1/2, \pi \otimes \chi \right) \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} M^{3/4 - \delta + \varepsilon}, \]

where \( M = M_1 M_2 \) and \( 0 < \delta < 1/28 \). As in [12], for the sake of brevity, we do not pursue the best \( \eta \) here.

Let’s take a quick look at the method. We follow Munshi’s strategy in [12] and start the proof by using the approximate functional equation to translate the problem as

\[ L(1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi) \ll N^{-1/2} \sum_{r \sim N} \lambda_\pi(n, r) \lambda_g(n) \chi(n). \]

with \( N \sim M^3 \). Since the contribution from large \( r \) can be trivially controlled by Cauchy-Schwarz and Rankin-Selberg’s estimate, and the parameter \( r \) in our actual proof is fixed, without loss of generality, we only consider the contribution from \( r = 1 \) here and denote

\[ S(N) = \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda_\pi(n, 1) \lambda_g(n) \chi(n). \]

Next, we separate the oscillations from \( \lambda_\pi(n, 1) \) and \( \lambda_g(n) \chi(n) \) by applying the \( \delta \)-method due to Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec [2] together with a conductor lowering mechanism developed in a series of works of Munshi [12], [13] and [14]; see Lemma 2. Then we get

\[ S(N) \approx \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{q = 1 \atop (q, M_1) = 1}^{Q} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \ (a, qM_1) = 1} \sum_{n, m \sim N} \lambda_\pi(n, 1) \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e \left( \frac{am - n}{qM_1} \right), \]

where we take \( Q = \sqrt{N/M_1} \). This choice of \( Q \) benefits from the conductor lowering trick, where we write \( \delta(n) \) as \( \delta(n/M_1) 1_{M_1 \parallel n} \). Now the \( m \)-and \( n \)-sums are in a form ready to use the Voronoi summation formulas. By employing the GL\(_2\) and GL\(_3\)-Voronoi formulas to the \( m \)-and \( n \)-sums respectively, we arrive at an expression of the form (in fact there is another smaller sum which we omit here for simplicity)

\[ \sum_{n_1^2 n_2 \sim N^2/Q^3} \lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2) \sum_{q = Q} \sum_{m \sim M^2/M_1} \lambda_g(m) C^*(n_1, n_2, m, q), \]

where the character sum can be factored as sub-character sums with modulus \( qr/n_1 \) and \( M_1 \), respectively. Next we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get rid of the Fourier coefficients \( \lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2) \). Then we need to deal with

\[ \sum_{n_1^2 n_2 \sim N^2/Q^3} \left| \sum_{q = Q} \sum_{m \sim M^2/M_1} \lambda_g(m) C^*(n_1, n_2, m, q) \right|^2. \]

Opening the square and applying Poisson summation to the sum over \( n_2 \), we end up with a character sum which has essentially been estimated in [9] and [10]. There is certainly a complex integral after Poisson, which we evaluate by the stationary phase analysis and the two dimensional second derivative test; see Lemma 6.

\[ \text{2. Proof of main theorem} \]

By applying the approximate functional equation of \( L(s, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi) \) (Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 of [17]), we have

\[ L(1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi) \ll M^\varepsilon \sup_{N \ll M^{3+\varepsilon}} \frac{|S(N)|}{\sqrt{N}} + M^{-A}, \quad (2.1) \]

for any \( A > 0 \), where

\[ S(N) = \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_\pi(n, r) \lambda_g(n) \chi(n) V(r^2 n/N) \]
with some compactly supported smooth function $V$ such that $\text{supp } V \subset [1, 2]$ and $V^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ for any integer $j \geq 0$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Rankin-Selberg estimates (see Molteni [11, Theorem 2])

$$\sum_{n \ll x} |\lambda_g(n)|^2 \ll_{g, \varepsilon} x^{1+\varepsilon}$$

(2.2)

and

$$\sum_{nr^2 \ll x} |\lambda_\pi(n, r)|^2 \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} x^{1+\varepsilon},$$

(2.3)

we have the trivial bound $S(N) \ll N$. Thus the contribution from $N \leq M^{3-\theta}$ to $L(1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi)$ in (2.1) is at most $O \left( M^{(3-\theta)/2} \right)$, where $\theta > 0$ is a constant which will be chosen optimally later. Moreover, the contribution from “large” $r$ is

$$\sum_{r \geq M^\theta} \sum_{n \ll N/r^2} \lambda_\pi(n, r)\lambda_g(n)\chi(n)V(r^2n/N)$$

$$\ll \left( \sum_{r \geq M^\theta} r^2 \right) \sum_{n \ll N/r^2} \lambda_\pi(n, r)\lambda_g(n)\chi(n)V(r^2n/N) \left( \sum_{r \geq M^\theta} 1/r^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\ll \left( \sum_{r \geq M^\theta} r^2 \right) \sum_{n \ll N/r^2} \lambda_\pi(n, r) \left( \sum_{n \ll N/r^2} \lambda_g(n)^2 \right)^{1/2} M^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}$$

$$\ll N^\frac{1}{2} M^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} \left( \sum_{n \ll N} \lambda_\pi(n, r)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\ll \frac{NM^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}}{r^\theta}.$$  

It follows that the contribution from $r \geq M^\theta$ to $L(1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi)$ in (2.1) is bounded by $O \left( M^{(3-\theta)/2+\varepsilon} \right)$. Assembling the above argument, we obtain

$$L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi \right) \ll_{\pi, g, \varepsilon} M^r \sum_{r \leq M^\theta} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{M^{3-\theta}/r^2 \leq N \leq M^{3+\varepsilon}/r^2} \frac{|S_r(N)|}{N} + M^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

(2.4)

where

$$S_r(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\pi(n, r)\lambda_g(n)\chi(n)V(n/N).$$

(2.5)

The contribution from the terms with $(r, M) \neq 1$ is smaller. For simplicity, we assume

$$r < \min \{M_1, M_2\}.$$  

(2.6)

Then $(r, M_1M_2) = 1$. Under the assumption (2.6) we will establish the following.

**Proposition 1.** We have

$$\sum_{r \leq M^\theta} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{M^{3-\theta}/r^2 \leq N \leq M^{3+\varepsilon}/r^2} \frac{|S_r(N)|}{N} \ll M^{3/4} M_1^{3/4} + M^{1+\theta/2} M_1^{1/4} + M^{3/2} M_1^{-1/4} + M^{7/4} M_1^{-1/2}.$$  

By Proposition [1] the right hand side of (2.4) can be dominated by $M^{(3-\theta)/2+\varepsilon}$ if

$M^{1/2+\theta} < M_1 < M^{1-2\theta/3}, \quad 0 < \theta < 3/10.$

Then by combining the assumption in (2.6), one sees that

$$L \left( 1/2, \pi \otimes g \otimes \chi \right) \ll_{\pi, g, \varepsilon} M^{\frac{3-\theta}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$
for
\[ M^{1/2+\theta} < M_1 < M^{1-\theta}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1/4. \]

So Theorem 1 follows. The following sections of this paper will be devoted to the proof of Proposition 1.

2.1. Applying the \( \delta \)-method. Define \( \delta : \mathbb{Z} \to \{0, 1\} \) with \( \delta(0) = 1 \) and \( \delta(n) = 0 \) for \( n \neq 0 \). To separate oscillations from \( \lambda_\varphi(r,n) \) and \( \lambda_\varphi(n) \), we use a version of the delta method due to Duke, Freidlander and Iwaniec (see [7, Chapter 20]) which states that for any \( A > \delta \) true, and is 0 otherwise. Following Munshi [12] we write
\[ \lambda_\varphi(n) \chi(n), \quad (a, q) = 1, \]

where the * on the sum indicates that the sum over \( a \) is restricted to \( (a, q) = 1 \). The function \( \omega \) has the following properties (see (20.158) and (20.159) of [7] and Lemma 15 of [5])
\[ \omega(q, \zeta) < |\zeta|^{-A}, \quad \omega(q, \zeta) = 1 + h(q, \zeta) \text{ with } h(q, \zeta) = O \left( \frac{Q}{q} \left( \frac{q}{Q} + |\zeta| \right)^A \right), \]

for any \( A > 1 \) and
\[ \zeta^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \omega(q, \zeta) < (\log Q) \min \left\{ \frac{Q}{q}, \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right\}, \quad j \geq 1. \]

**Lemma 2.** Let \( M = M_1 M_2 \) with \( M_i \) prime, \( i = 1, 2 \). Then

\[
\delta(n) = \sum_{s=0,1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\log Q/\log M_2]} \sum_{q \leq Q/M_2^{\ell-1}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}/M_2^{\ell-1}} \frac{1}{qM_1 M_2^{s}(n q M_2^{s} a \mod q M_1^{-s} M_2^{s})} e \left( \frac{an}{qM_1^{-s} M_2^{s}} \right)
\]

\[ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M_2^{s}} \right) \omega(q M_2^{s}, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n\zeta}{qM_1 M_2^{s}} \right) d\zeta
\]

\[ + \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\log Q/\log M_2]} \sum_{t=1}^{[\log Q/\log M_1]} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{q \leq Q/M_1^{t}} \frac{1}{qM_1^{t} M_2^{s} a \mod q M_1^{-t} M_2^{s}} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{an}{qM_1^{t} M_2^{s}} \right)
\]

\[ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M_2^{s}} \right) \omega(q M_2^{s}, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n\zeta}{qM_1 M_2^{s}} \right) d\zeta + O(M^{-A})
\]

for any \( A > 0 \), where \( U(x) \in C^\infty_c(-2, 2) \) is a smooth positive function satisfying \( U(x) = 1 \) if \( x \in [-1, 1] \) and \( U^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1 \) for any integer \( j \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** Note that the contribution from \( |\zeta| \leq M^{-G} \) in (2.7) is negligible for \( G > 0 \) sufficiently large and by the first property in (2.8), we can restrict \( \zeta \) in the range \( |\zeta| \leq M^\varepsilon \) up to an negligible error. So we can insert a smooth partition of unity for the \( \zeta \)-integral in (2.7) and write

\[ \delta(n) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{q \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{na}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M_2^{s}} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n\zeta}{q M_1} \right) d\zeta + O(A(M^{-A})
\]

for any \( A > 0 \), where \( U(x) \in C^\infty_c(1, 2) \) satisfying \( U^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1 \) for any integer \( j \geq 0 \). Define \( 1_{\mathcal{F}} = 1 \) if \( \mathcal{F} \) is true, and is 0 otherwise. Following Munshi [12] we write \( \delta(n) \) as \( \delta(n/ M_1) 1_{M_1/n} \) and detect the congruence by additive characters to get

\[ \delta(n) = \frac{1}{Q M_1} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{a \mod q \ mod q}} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{a+bq}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M_2^{s}} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n\zeta}{q M_1} \right) d\zeta + O(A(M^{-A}), \quad (2.10)\]

for any \( A > 0 \).
where the first term can be further written as \( \delta_1(n) + \delta_2(n) \) with

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1(n) &= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{b(a \mod M_1) \cdot a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{n a + b q}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta, \\
\delta_2(n) &= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{b(a \mod M_1) \cdot a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{n a + b q}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]

For \( \delta_1(n) \), making a change of variable \( a \to a M_1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1(n) &= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{b(a \mod M_1) \cdot a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{n a M_1 + b q}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta \\
&= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{b(a \mod M_1) \cdot a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{n a M_1 + b q}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} \left( \frac{a n}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]

Observe that in the first sum of the last expression, as \( a \) varies over a set of representatives of the reduced residue classes modulo \( q \) and \( b \) varies over a set of representatives of the reduced residue classes modulo \( M_1 \), \( a M_1 + b q \) varies over a set of representatives of the reduced residue classes modulo \( q M_1 \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1(n) &= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} \left( \frac{a n}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} \left( \frac{a n}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]

For \( \delta_2(n) \), similarly making a change of variable \( q \to q M_1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_2(n) &= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{b(a \mod M_1) \cdot a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{n a + b M_1 q}{q M_1^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(M_1 q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta \\
&= \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{b(a \mod M_1) \cdot a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{n a + b M_1 q}{q M_1^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(M_1 q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{1}{QM_1} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{a \mod q} \sum_{\zeta} \left( \frac{a n}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(M_1^2 q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{\lceil \log Q / \log M_1 \rceil} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q, \, (q, M_1) = 1} \frac{1}{q M_1^{1+t} \cdot a \mod q M_1^{1+t}} \sum_{\zeta} e \left( \frac{a n}{q M_1^{1+t}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^2} \right) \omega(M_1^t q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1^{1+t}} \right) d\zeta.
\end{align*}
\]
By (2.10)-(2.12), we can therefore write

$$
\delta(n) = \sum_{s=0,1} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{qM_1} \sum_{(q,M_1) = 1}^{*} e\left(\frac{an}{qM_1^{1-s}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{\zeta}{M^s}\right) \omega(q, \zeta)e\left(\frac{n\zeta}{qQM_1}\right) d\zeta
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{[\log Q / \log M_1]} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \leq Q/M_1^{1+\ell}} \frac{1}{qM_1^{1+\ell}} \sum_{(a,M_1) = 1}^{*} e\left(\frac{an}{qM_1^{1+\ell}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{\zeta}{M^s}\right) \omega(qM_1^{1+\ell}, \zeta)e\left(\frac{n\zeta}{qQM_1^{1+\ell}}\right) d\zeta + O(M^{-A}).
$$

With the above procedure repeated, we further transform the above sums into a form of summing over terms with $(q, M_1M_2) = 1$. More precisely, we have

$$
\delta(n) = \sum_{s=0,1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\log Q / \log M_2]} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q \leq Q/M_2} \frac{1}{qM_1M_2^{1+s}} \sum_{(q,M_2) = 1}^{*} e\left(\frac{an}{qM_1^{1-s}M_2^s}\right) \cdot
$$

$$
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{\zeta}{M^s}\right) \omega(qM_2^s, \zeta)e\left(\frac{n\zeta}{qQM_1M_2^s}\right) d\zeta
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\log Q / \log M_2]} \sum_{\ell=1}^{[\log Q / \log M_1]} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \leq Q/M_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}} \frac{1}{qM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}} \sum_{(a,M_1) = 1}^{*} e\left(\frac{an}{qM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{\zeta}{M^s}\right) \omega(qM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}, \zeta)e\left(\frac{n\zeta}{qQM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}}\right) d\zeta + O(M^{-A}).
$$

This proves the lemma. □

Write $S_r(N)$ in (2.5) as

$$
S_r(N) = \sum_n \lambda_r(n, r)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \sum_m \lambda_2(m)\chi(m)V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \delta(m - n).
$$

Then by apply Lemma 2 with $Q = \sqrt{N/M_1}$, we get

$$
S_r(N) = \sum_n \lambda_r(n, r)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \sum_m \lambda_2(m)\chi(m)V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \cdot
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \sum_{s=0,1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\log Q / \log M_2]} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q \leq Q/M_2^{1+s}} \frac{1}{qM_1M_2^{1+s}} \sum_{(q,M_2) = 1}^{*} e\left(\frac{a(m - n)}{qM_1^{1-s}M_2^s}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{\zeta}{M^s}\right) \omega(qM_2^s, \zeta)e\left(\frac{(m - n)\zeta}{qQM_1M_2^s}\right) d\zeta
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\ell=0}^{[\log Q / \log M_2]} \sum_{\ell=1}^{[\log Q / \log M_1]} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \leq Q/M_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}} \frac{1}{qM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}} \sum_{(a,M_1) = 1}^{*} e\left(\frac{a(m - n)}{qM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{\zeta}{M^s}\right) \omega(qM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}, \zeta)e\left(\frac{(m - n)\zeta}{qQM_1^{1+\ell}M_2^{1+s}}\right) d\zeta \right\} + O_A(M^{-A}),
$$

where $W(x) \in C^\infty_c(1/2, 5/2)$ satisfying $W(x) = 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$ and $W^{(j)}(x) \ll j$ for any integer $j \geq 0$. 
In the above sum, we only consider the first term in braces with $s = 0$ and $\ell = 0$, that is,
\[
S^*_r(N) := \sum_n \lambda_\pi(n, r) W \left( \frac{n}{N} \right) \sum_m \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) V \left( \frac{m}{N} \right) \times \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \atop (q, M_1) = 1}} \frac{1}{q M_1} \sum_{n} e \left( \frac{am(n - n)}{q M_1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^\varepsilon} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{(m - n) \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta.
\]

The other terms are lower order terms, which can be treated similarly. We arrange $S^*_r(N)$ as
\[
S^*_r(N) = \frac{1}{QM_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^\varepsilon} \right) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \atop (q, M_1) = 1}} \frac{\omega(q, \zeta)}{q} \sum_{n} \sum_{\mod{q M_1}} \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e \left( \frac{am(m - n)}{q M_1} \right) V \left( \frac{m}{N} \right) \times e \left( \frac{m \zeta}{q M_1} \right) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \atop (q, M_1) = 1}} \lambda_\pi(n, r) e \left( -\frac{an}{q M_1} \right) W \left( \frac{n}{N} \right) e \left( -\frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right) d\zeta,
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{A} = \sum_m \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e \left( \frac{am(q M_1)}{q M_1} \right) V \left( \frac{m}{N} \right) e \left( \frac{m \zeta}{q M_1} \right),
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{B} = \sum_n \lambda_\pi(n, r) e \left( -\frac{an}{q M_1} \right) W \left( \frac{n}{N} \right) e \left( -\frac{n \zeta}{q Q M_1} \right).
\]

2.2. Voronoï formulas. Next we transform $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathrm{GL}_2$ and $\mathrm{GL}_3$ Voronoï formulas, respectively, and obtain the following results.

**Lemma 3.** $\mathcal{A}$ is approximately $\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 + O_A(M^{-A})$ for any $A > 0$, where

\[
\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{N^{1/2}}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{c \neq -1, \mod{M_2}} \mathcal{X}(c) \sum_{m \leq M^{1+\varepsilon} M_2} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/2}} e \left( \pm \frac{am_2 + cq}{q M_2} m \right) \mathcal{J}^\pm \left( \frac{m N}{q^2 M_2}, q, \zeta \right),
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{A}_2 = \frac{N^{1/2}}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{c = -1, \mod{M_2}} \mathcal{X}(c) \sum_{m \leq M^{2+\varepsilon} M_1} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/2}} e \left( \pm \frac{(aM_2 + cq)/M_1}{q M_2} m \right) \mathcal{J}^\pm \left( \frac{m N}{q^2 M_2}, q, \zeta \right).
\]

Here $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum, for $x \ll M^\varepsilon$,

\[
\mathcal{J}^\pm (x, q, \zeta) = x^{1/2} \int_0^\infty V(y) e \left( \frac{\zeta N y}{q Q M_1} \right) J_y^\pm \left( 4\pi \sqrt{xy} \right) dy,
\]
and for $x \gg M^\varepsilon$,

\[
\mathcal{J}^\pm (x, q, \zeta) = x^{1/4} \int_0^\infty y^{-1/4} V(y) e \left( \frac{\zeta N y}{q Q M_1} \pm 2\sqrt{xy} \right) dy.
\]

**Lemma 4.** We have

\[
\mathcal{B} = \frac{N^{1/2}}{q^{1/2} M_1^{1/2} r^{1/2}} \sum_{n_1 | q M_1^r} \sum_{n_2 | q M_1^r} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2)}{n_2^{1/2}} S \left( -r \alpha, \pm n_2; \frac{q M_1 r}{n_1} \right) \times 3^\pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^r}, q, \zeta \right) + O(M^{-A})
\]
for any $A > 0$, where
\[
\mathcal{J}^\pm (x, q, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Nx)^{-ir} \gamma_\pm \left( -\frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right) W^\dagger \left( \frac{\zeta N}{qQM_1}, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right) \, dr.
\]

By Lemmas 2 and 4 we have
\[
S_j^q(N) = S_1 + S_2 + O_A(M^{-A}),
\]
where $S_j = S_j(r, N)$, $j = 1, 2$, are defined by
\[
S_j = \sum_{n_1 \leq N} \sum_{n_2 \leq N} \lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2) \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, n_2, \pm m, q) \mathcal{R} \mathcal{J}^\pm (y_j, n_1^2 n_2, q)\]
with
\[
N_1^* = M^{1+\varepsilon} M_2, \quad N_2^* = M_2^{2+\varepsilon} / M_1, \quad Y_1 = \frac{mN}{q^2 M_2}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{mN}{q^2 M_2^2},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_1(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \sum_{a \mod qM_1} \sum_{\chi(c) \mod c \neq \pi \mod M_2 \mod M_1} \zeta(c) S \left( -\tau, n_2; \frac{qM_1r}{n_1} \right) e \left( \frac{aM_2 + cq}{qM_2} \right),
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_2(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \sum_{a \mod qM_1} \sum_{\chi(c) \mod c \neq \pi \mod M_2 \mod M_1} \zeta(c) S \left( -\tau, n_2; \frac{qM_1r}{n_1} \right) e \left( \frac{(aM_2 + cq)/M_1}{qM_2} \right)
\]

and
\[
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{J}^\pm (y_1, y_2, q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^r} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) \mathcal{J}^\pm (y_1, q, \zeta) \mathcal{J}^\pm (y_2, q, \zeta) \, d\zeta.
\]

Reducing the $n_1, n_2$-sum, $q$-sum and $m$-sum into dyadic intervals, we have
\[
S_j = \sum_{L \in \mathcal{S}_{2+\varepsilon}^\mathcal{S}_{2+\varepsilon}} \sum_{N_j \in \mathcal{N}_j^*} \sum_{c \in Q^*} \mathcal{S}_j (C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm),
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{S}_j (C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm) = \sum_{n_1 \sim L} \sum_{n_2 \sim L} \lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2) \sum_{n_{1/2} \sim L} \sum_{q \sim C, (q, M) = 1} \frac{1}{q^{3/2}} \sum_{m \sim N_j} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/2}} \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, n_2, \pm m, q) \mathcal{R} \mathcal{J}^\pm (y_j, n_1^2 n_2, q).
\]

We only consider the case $(n_1, M_1) = 1$, since the contribution from the case $M_1 | n_1$ is smaller. Under this assumption and the assumption in (2.6), the condition $n_1 | qM_1r$ is reduced to $n_1 | qr$ and by abusing notations we still denote the contribution from terms with $(n_1, M_1) = 1$ by $S_j (C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm)$, i.e.,
\[
\mathcal{S}_j (C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm) = \sum_{n_1 \sim L} \sum_{n_2 \sim L} \lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2) \sum_{n_{1/2} \sim L} \sum_{q \sim C, (q, M) = 1} \frac{1}{q^{3/2}} \sum_{m \sim N_j} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/2}} \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, n_2, \pm m, q) \mathcal{R} \mathcal{J}^\pm (y_j, n_1^2 n_2, q).
\]
Before further analysis, we make a computation of the character sums $\mathcal{C}_j(n_1, n_2, m, q)$, $j = 1, 2$. Set

$$Kl_2(n; M_1) = \frac{1}{M_1^{1/2}} \sum_{x_1, x_2 \equiv n (\mod M_1)} e \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2}{M_1} \right)$$

(2.21)

and

$$L_{a, b}(v; M_1) = \frac{1}{M_1^{1/2}} \sum_{b \equiv (a M_1) \pmod{M_1}} \chi_1(b) e \left( \frac{a b + \beta v}{M_1} \right).$$

(2.22)

We have the following result.

**Lemma 5.** We have

$$\mathcal{C}_1(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \chi_1(q) \chi_2(q^2 M_1 m) \tau(\chi_2) M_1 \mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, m; q) \mathcal{D}(n_1, n_2, m; q; M_1)$$

(2.23)

and

$$\mathcal{C}_2(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \chi_1(q M_1) \chi_2(q^2 M_1) \tau(\chi_1) \tau(\chi_2) \mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, M_1^2 m; q),$$

(2.24)

where

$$\mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, m; q) = \sum_{d | q} \mu \left( \frac{q}{d} \right) \sum_{u \equiv qr/n_1 \mod M_1} e \left( \frac{n_2 \overline{M_1} u}{qr/n_1} \right)$$

(2.25)

and

$$\mathcal{D}(n_1, n_2, m; q; M_1) = \sum_{a \equiv (\mod M_1)} L_{m a M_1 M_2}(a; M_1) Kl_2(-rn_2 a(qr/n_1)^2; M_1).$$

(2.26)

**Proof.** By (2.10), we compute

$$\mathcal{C}_1(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \sum_{a \equiv (\mod M_1)} \sum_{c \equiv (\mod M_2)} \chi_1(c) S \left( -r a M_1, n_2 M_1; \frac{q r}{n_1} \right)$$

$$S \left( -r a q r/n_1, n_2 q r/n_1; M_1 \right) e \left( \frac{m(a M_2 + c q) q}{M} \right) e \left( \frac{m a M M_2}{q} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{a_1 \equiv (\mod q)} S \left( -a_1 M_1, n_2 M_1; q r/n_1 \right) e \left( \frac{m a_1 M_1 M_2^2}{q} \right) \sum_{c_2 \equiv (\mod M_2)} \chi_2(c_2) e \left( \frac{m c_2 q^2 M_1}{M_2} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{a_2 \equiv (\mod M_1)} \sum_{c_1 \equiv (\mod M_1)} \chi_1(c_1) S \left( -r a_2 q r/n_1, n_2 q r/n_1; M_1 \right) e \left( \frac{m(a_2 M_2 + c_1 q) q M_2}{M_1} \right).$$

where the first sum over $a_1 \equiv \pmod{q}$ equals

$$\sum_{u \equiv (\mod qr/n_1)} e \left( \frac{n_2 \overline{M_1} u}{qr/n_1} \right) \sum_{a_1 \equiv (\mod q)} e \left( \frac{m M_1 M_2^2 - n_1 \overline{M_1} u}{a_1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{d \equiv (\mod qr/n_1)} \mu \left( \frac{q}{d} \right) \sum_{u \equiv (\mod qr/n_1)} e \left( \frac{n_2 \overline{M_1} u}{qr/n_1} \right),$$
the second sum over $c_2 \mod M_2$ is $\chi_2(q^2 M_1 \overline{m}) \tau(\chi_2)$ and the first sum over $a_1 \mod q$ equals
\[
\sum_{u \mod qr/n_1}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{n_2 M_1 u}{qr/n_1}\right) \sum_{a_1 \mod q}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{mM_2 - n_1 M_1 u}{a_1}\right) = \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{u \mod qr/n_1}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{n_2 M_1 u}{qr/n_1}\right).
\]
Finally, the last double sum over $a_2 \mod M_1$ and $c_1 \mod M_1$ is equal to
\[
\sum_{a_2 (\mod M_1)}^{\ast} \sum_{c_1 (\mod M_1)}^{\ast} \chi_1(c_1) S \left(-raqr/n_1, n_2 qr/n_1; M_1\right) e\left(\frac{m(a_2 M_2 + c_1 q) q M_2}{M_1}\right)
= \chi_1(q) M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{a (\mod M_1)}^{\ast} \sum_{b (\mod M_1)}^{\ast} \chi_1(b) e\left(\frac{m(b + a M_2) q M_2}{M_1}\right) Kl_2(-rn_2 a(qr/n_1)^2; M_1)
= \chi_1(q) M_1 \sum_{a (\mod M_1)}^{\ast} L_{n q M_2, M_2}(a; M_1) Kl_2(-rn_2 a(qr/n_1)^2; M_1)
\]
with $Kl_2(n; M_1)$ and $L_{\alpha, \beta}(v; M_1)$ defined in (2.21) and (2.22), respectively. Here we have used the following identity for the Ramanujan sum
\[
R_q(b) = \sum_{a \mod q}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{ba}{q}\right) = \sum_{d|(q, b)} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right).
\]
Assembling the above computations, we obtain
\[
\mathcal{C}_1(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \chi_1(q) \chi_2(q^2 M_1 \overline{m}) \tau(\chi_2) M_1 \mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, m; q) \mathcal{D}(n_1, n_2, m; q; M_1),
\]
where $\mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, m; q)$ and $\mathcal{D}(n_1, n_2, m; q; M_1)$ are defined in (2.25) and (2.26), respectively. Similarly, by (2.17),
\[
\mathcal{C}_2(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \sum_{a_1 \mod q}^{\ast} S \left(-ra_1 M_1, n_2 M_1; qr/n_1\right) e\left(\frac{m M_1 a_1 M_2}{q}\right) \sum_{c_2 \mod M_2}^{\ast} \chi_2(c_2) e\left(\frac{m M_1 c_2 q^2}{M_2}\right)
\times \sum_{a_2 \mod M_2}^{\ast} \chi_1(-q a_2 M_2) S \left(-ra_2 q M_1, n_2 q M_1; qr/n_1\right) e\left(\frac{m M_1 M_2}{q}\right) \sum_{u \mod qr/n_1}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{n_2 M_1 u}{qr/n_1}\right) \sum_{a_1 \mod q}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{m M_1 M_2 - n_1 M_1 u}{a_1}\right)
\]
where the first sum over $a_1 \mod q$ is
\[
\sum_{a_1 \mod q}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{n_2 M_1 u}{qr/n_1}\right) \sum_{a_1 \mod q}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{m M_1 M_2 - n_1 M_1 u}{a_1}\right)
= \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{u \mod qr/n_1}^{\ast} e\left(\frac{n_2 M_1 u}{qr/n_1}\right) = \mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, M_1^2 m; q),
\]
where $\mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, m; q)$ is defined in (2.25), the second sum over $c_2$ mod $M_2$ equals $\chi_2(q^2mM_1)\tau(\chi_2)$ and the last sum over $a_2$ mod $M_1$ is equal to

\[
\chi_1(-qM_2) \sum_{v \mod M_1}^* e\left(\frac{n_2qr/n_1v}{M_1}\right) \sum_{a_2 \mod M_1}^* \chi_1(a_2)e\left(\frac{-qrqr/n_1v}{M_1}\right)
\]

\[
= \chi_1(-qM_2) \sum_{v \mod M_1}^* e\left(\frac{n_2qr/n_1v}{M_1}\right) \chi_1(-qr/n_1)\tau(\chi_1)
\]

\[
= \chi_1(qM_2r(qr/n_1)^2)\tau^2(\chi_1)
\]

Therefore

\[
\mathcal{C}_2(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \chi_1(qM_2r(qr/n_1)^2)\chi_2(q^2mM_1)\tau(\chi_2)\mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, M_1^2m; q).
\]

This proves the lemma.

\[\square\]

### 2.3. Cauchy-Schwarz and Poisson summation

We return to the analysis of $S_j(C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm)$ in (2.20). Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to $n_1, n_2$-sum in (2.20) and using the Rankin-Selberg estimate in (2.24), one has that $S_j(C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm)$ is bounded by

\[
\frac{NL^{1/2}}{r^{1/2}QM_1^{3/2}M_1^{1/2}} \left(\sum_{n_1 \sim N_j} \sum_{n_2 \sim L} \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{a_1 \sim C(q_1 M_1)} q^{-3/2} \sum_{m \sim M_j} \lambda_g(m) \frac{m^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, \pm n_2, \pm m, q) \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left(Y_j, \frac{n_1^2n_2}{q_1^2q_1^2M_1^2r}, q_1q_2\right) \right)^{1/2}.
\]

As in Munshi [14], we write $q = q_1q_2$ with $q_1 \mid (n_1r)^\infty$, $q_2 \mid n_1r$ = 1 and apply Cauchy-Schwarz again to get that the expression inside the absolute value being

\[
\sum_{q_1 \sim (n_1r)^\infty} \frac{1}{q_1^3} \sum_{q_2 \sim (q_1 M_1)} q_2^{-3/2} \sum_{m \sim N_j} \lambda_g(m) \frac{m^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, \pm n_2, \pm m, q_1q_2) \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left(Y_j, \frac{n_1^2n_2}{q_1^2q_1^2M_1^2r}, q_1q_2\right) \leq N^\epsilon \left(\sum_{q_1 \sim (n_1r)^\infty} \frac{1}{q_1^3} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{q_2 \sim (q_1 M_1)} q_2^{-3/2} \sum_{m \sim N_j} \lambda_g(m) \frac{m^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, \pm n_2, \pm m, q_1q_2) \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left(Y_j, \frac{n_1^2n_2}{q_1^2q_1^2M_1^2r}, q_1q_2\right) \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Hence we have

\[
S_j(C, L, N_j, \pm, \pm) \leq \frac{NL^{1/2}}{r^{1/2}QM_1^{3/2}M_1^{1/2}} \left\{ \sum_{n_1 \leq C} \sum_{q_1 \sim (n_1r)^\infty} q_1^{-3} \times \Omega_j^{\pm}(n_1, q_1, r) \right\}^{1/2},
\]

where

\[
\Omega_j^{\pm}(n_1, q_1, r) = \sum_{n_2} \frac{1}{n_2} \phi\left(\frac{n_2}{L/n_1}\right) \sum_{q_2 \sim C(q_1 M_1)} q_2^{-3/2} \sum_{m \sim N_j} \lambda_g(m) \frac{m^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \times \mathcal{C}_j(n_1, \pm n_2, \pm m, q_1q_2) \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left(Y_j, \frac{n_1^2n_2}{q_1^2q_1^2M_1^2r}, q_1q_2\right).
\]

Here $\phi$ is a nonnegative smooth function on $\Re^+$, supported on $[2/3, 3]$, and such that $\phi(x) = 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$. 
2.3.1. Estimation of $\Omega_1^\pm(n_1, q_1, r)$. We first estimate the contribution from $\Omega_1^\pm(n_1, q_1, r)$. Note that

$$n_2 \mapsto \mathcal{C}_1(n_1, \pm n_2, \pm m, q_1 q_2) \overline{\mathcal{C}_1(n_1, \pm n_2, \pm m', q_1 q_2)}$$

is periodic of period $M_1 q_2 q_1 r/n_1$. Opening the absolute square in (2.25), we break the $n_2$-sum into congruence classes $M_1 q_2 q_1 r/n_1$ and then apply the Poisson summation formula to the sum over $n_2$. It is therefore sufficient to consider the following sum:

$$\Omega_1^\pm(n_1, q_1, r) = \sum_{(q_2 - C, q_1)} q_2^{-3/2} \sum_{(q_2' - C, q_1')} q_2'^{-3/2} \sum_{m = N_1}^{1/2} \sum_{m' = N_1}^{1/2} \frac{|\lambda_g(m')|^2}{m'^{1/2}}$$

where the character sum $C(n_2) = C(n_2, m, m', q_2, q_2', n_1, q_1, r)$ is given by

$$C(n_2) = \frac{n_1}{M_1 q_2 q_1 r} \sum_{v \equiv M_1 q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \mathcal{C}_1(n_1, \pm v, \pm m, q_1 q_2) \overline{\mathcal{C}_1(n_1, \pm v, \pm m', q_1 q_2')} e\left(\frac{n_2 v}{M_1 q_2 q_1 r/n_1}\right),$$

and the integral $\mathcal{H}_{\pm, \pm}(X) = \mathcal{H}_{\pm, \pm}(X; m, m', q_2, q_2, q_1, r)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pm, \pm}(X) = \int \phi(\xi) \mathfrak{R}_{\pm, \pm} \left(\frac{m N}{q_1 q_2' M_1^2}, \frac{L \xi}{q_1 q_2' M_1}; q_2, q_2' \right) \mathfrak{R}_{\pm, \pm} \left(\frac{m' N}{q_1' q_2'' M_1^2}, \frac{L \xi}{q_1' q_2'' M_1}; q_1, q_1'' \right) e(-X \xi) \frac{d\xi}{\xi}.$$

Here we have used the estimate $|\lambda_g(m)| |\lambda_g(m')| \leq |\lambda_g(m)|^2 + |\lambda_g(m')|^2$ and we recall that $Y_1 = m N/(q^2 M^2)$.

2.3.2. Estimation of the integral $\mathcal{H}_{\pm, \pm}(X)$.

**Lemma 6.** The integral $\mathcal{H}_{\pm, \pm}(X)$ is negligibly small unless $|X| \ll M^2 Q/C$, in which case

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pm, \pm}(X) \ll M^2 C/Q.$$

**Proof.** By (2.18),

$$\xi^\ell \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \xi^\ell} \mathfrak{R}_{\pm, \pm} \left(Y_j, \frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2}; q, \xi\right) = \int U \left(\frac{\zeta}{M}\right) \omega(q, \zeta) \mathfrak{J}_{\pm, \pm} \left(Y_j, q, \zeta\right) \xi^\ell \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \xi^\ell} \mathfrak{J}_{\pm, \pm} \left(\frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2}; q, \zeta\right) d\zeta,$$

where by (1.3),

$$\xi^\ell \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \xi^\ell} \mathfrak{J}_{\pm, \pm} \left(\frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2}; q, \xi\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int (-i\tau)^{-i\ell - 1} (-i\tau - 1)^{-i\ell} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau\right)^{\ell} \left(\frac{N L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2}\right)^{-i\tau} W^1 \left(\frac{\zeta N}{qQM_1}, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau\right) d\tau.$$
Thus

$$
\xi \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \tau^\ell} \mathcal{Y}(\frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell}, q, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varpi(\frac{\left|\tau\right|}{\varepsilon}) (-i\tau)(-i\tau - 1) \cdots (-i\tau - \ell + 1) \left(\frac{N L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell}\right)^{-i\tau} \gamma_+ \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau\right) W^\dagger \left(\frac{\zeta N}{\zeta Q M_1}, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau\right) d\tau + O(M^{-A}),
$$

where \(\varpi(x) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0, \infty)\) satisfying \(\varpi^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1\) for any integer \(j \geq 0\). Hence

$$
\xi \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \tau^\ell} \mathcal{Y}(\frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell}, q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{\zeta}{M^\ell}\right) \omega(q, \zeta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varpi(\frac{\left|\tau\right|}{\varepsilon}) (-i\tau)(-i\tau - 1) \cdots (-i\tau - \ell + 1) \left(\frac{N L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell}\right)^{-i\tau} \gamma_+ \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau\right) \mathcal{Y}^\dagger (Y_j, q, \zeta) d\tau d\zeta + O(M^{-A}),
$$

where by Lemma 3 for \(Y_j \gg M^\varepsilon\),

$$
\mathcal{Y}^\dagger (Y_j, q, \zeta) = Y_j^{1/4} \int_0^{v_1} v_1^{-1/4} V(v_1)e \left(\frac{\zeta N v_1}{q Q M_1} \pm 2 \sqrt{Y_j v_1}\right) dv_1,
$$

and for \(Y_j \ll M^\varepsilon\),

$$
\mathcal{Y}^\dagger (Y_j, q, \zeta) = Y_j^{1/2} \int_0^{\infty} V(v_1)e \left(\frac{\zeta N v_1}{q Q M_1}\right) J_\delta^\dagger (4\pi \sqrt{Y_j v_1}) dv_1.
$$

Consider the \(\zeta\)-integral

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{\zeta}{M^\ell}\right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left(\frac{\zeta N(v_1 - v_2)}{q Q M_1}\right) d\zeta.
$$

Repeated integration by parts shows that the above integral is negligibly small unless \(|v_1 - v_2| \ll q Q M_1^{1+\varepsilon} / N \ll CM^\varepsilon / Q\) for \(q \sim C\) and \(Q = \sqrt{N / M_1}\), where we have used (2.29). Moreover, by Stirling’s formula (see (13)),

$$
\gamma_+ \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau\right) = \left(\frac{|\tau|}{e\pi}\right)^{3i\tau} Y_\pm(\tau), \quad Y^{(j)}(\tau) \ll |\tau|^{-j}
$$

for any integer \(j \geq 0\). Thus for \(Y_j \gg M^\varepsilon\), we write

$$
\xi \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \tau^\ell} \mathcal{Y}(\frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell}, q) = \frac{Y_j^{1/4}}{2\pi} \int_{|\tau| \ll CM^\varepsilon / Q} U \left(\frac{\zeta}{M^\ell}\right) \omega(q, \zeta) \left(\frac{\zeta N v_1}{q Q M_1}\right) e \left(\frac{\zeta N v}{q Q M_1}\right)
$$

$$
\times \int_{0}^{\infty} V_0(v_1, \tau; v)e \left(f(v_1, \tau; v)\right) dv_1 d\tau d\zeta,
$$

where

$$
V_0(v_1, \tau; v) = v_1^{-1/4}(v_1 + v)^{-1/2} V(v_1) W(v_1 + v)(-i\tau)(-i\tau - 1) \cdots (-i\tau - \ell + 1) X_\pm(\tau) \varpi(\frac{\left|\tau\right|}{\varepsilon})
$$

and

$$
f(v_1, \tau; v) = -\frac{\tau}{2\pi} \log \frac{N L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell} - \frac{3\tau}{2\pi} \log \frac{|\tau|}{e\pi} - \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \log(v_1 + v) \pm 2 \sqrt{Y_j v_1}.
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{\partial f(v_1, \tau; v)}{\partial v_1} = -\frac{\tau}{2\pi(v_1 + v) \pm Y_j^{1/2} v_1^{-1/2}},
$$

$$
\frac{\partial f(v_1, \tau; v)}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{N L \xi}{q^3 M_1^\ell} - \frac{3}{2\pi} \log \frac{|\tau|}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(v_1 + v).
$$
Thus we have
\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v_1^2} = \frac{\tau}{2\pi (v_1 + v)^2} + \frac{1}{2} Y_j^{1/2} v_1^{-3/2} \gg |\tau|,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau^2} = -\frac{3}{2\pi \tau} \gg |\tau|^{-1},
\]
\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v_1 \partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{2\pi (v_1 + v)}.
\]
This implies that
\[
|\det f''| = \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v_1^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau^2} - \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v_1 \partial \tau} \right)^2 \right| \gg 1.
\]

By applying the two dimensional second derivative test in [6, Lemma 5.1.3] (see also [13, Lemma 4]) with \(\rho_1 = |\tau|, \rho_2 = |\tau|^{-1}\), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty V_0(v_1, \tau; v) e(f(v_1, \tau; v)) \, dv_1 \, d\tau \, d\zeta \ll \Xi \ll \left( \frac{|\zeta| N}{qQM_1} \right)^\ell \ll \left( \frac{Q}{q} \right)^\ell
\]
recalling \(Q = \sqrt{N/M_1}\). It follows that (note that in this case \(\Xi \asymp \sqrt{N_j}\) by (2.34))
\[
\Xi^\ell \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \zeta^\ell} \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left( Y_j, \frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2 r}, q \right) \ll M^\varepsilon \left( \frac{Q}{C} \right)^{\ell - 1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad q \sim C.
\]
This holds also for \(Y_j \ll M^\varepsilon\). In fact, by (2.33) and (2.36) we have
\[
\Xi^\ell \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \zeta^\ell} \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left( Y_j, \frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2 r}, q \right) = \frac{Y_j^{1/2}}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty V(v_1) J^{(4\sqrt{N_j}v_1)}_3(4\pi \sqrt{N_j}v_1)
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega \left( \frac{|\tau|}{2} \right) (-i\tau)^{-\ell} (-i\tau - 1) \cdots (-i\tau - \ell + 1) \left( \frac{NL \xi}{q^3 M_1^2 r} \right)^{-i\tau} \gamma_\pm \left( -\frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right)
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left( \frac{\zeta}{M^\varepsilon} \right) \omega(q, \zeta) e \left( \frac{\zeta N v_1}{qQM_1} \right) W^{\dagger} \left( \frac{\zeta N}{qQM_1} \right)^{1/2 - i\tau} \, dv_1 \, d\tau \, d\zeta + O(M^{-A}).
\]
As before, by considering the \(\zeta\)-integral and integrating by parts, one sees that the above integral is negligibly small unless \(|v_1 - v_2| \ll qQM_1^{1+\varepsilon}/N \ll CM^\varepsilon/Q\). Furthermore, by (6.3) we have \(J^{(4\sqrt{N_j}v_1)}_3(4\pi \sqrt{N_j}v_1) \ll Y_j^{-1/4}\). Combining these estimates with (2.32), we obtain
\[
\Xi^\ell \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \zeta^\ell} \Re^{\pm, \pm} \left( Y_j, \frac{L \xi}{q^3 M_1^2 r}, q \right) \ll Y_j^{1/4} CM^\varepsilon \left( \frac{Q}{Q} \right)^{\ell + 1/2} \ll M^\varepsilon \left( \frac{Q}{C} \right)^{\ell - 1/2}.
\]
Hence by applying integration by parts repeatedly on the \(\xi\)-integral in (2.31) and evaluating the resulting \(\xi\)-integral trivially, we find that
\[
\mathcal{H}^{\pm, \pm}(X) \ll \ell \, M^\varepsilon \left( \frac{C}{Q} \right) \left( \frac{XC}{Q} \right)^{-\ell}
\]
for any integer \(\ell \geq 0\). Therefore, \(\mathcal{H}^{\pm, \pm}(X)\) is negligibly small unless \(X \leq N^\varepsilon Q/C\). Moreover, by taking \(\ell = 0\), one has
\[
\mathcal{H}^{\pm, \pm}(X) \ll \ell \, M^\varepsilon C/Q.
\]

By Lemma 6 the sum over \(n_2\) in (2.29) can be restricted to, up to a negligibly error,
\[
\bar{n}_2 \ll Q^{1+\varepsilon} M_1 C n_1 r/(q_1 L) := L^*.
\] (2.36)

\[\square\]
2.3.3. Estimation of the character sum \( C(\tilde{n}_2) \). In this section we estimate the character sums in (2.30). By (2.23), we have

\[
C(\tilde{n}_2) = \frac{n_1}{M_1 q_2 q_1 r} \sum_{v \mod M_1} \sum_{a_2 \mod q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \chi_1(q_1 q_2) \chi_2(\pm q_1^2 q_2^2 M_1 \bar{m}) \tau(\chi_2) M_1 \mathcal{B}(n_1, \pm v_2, \pm m; q_1 q_2)
\]

\[
\times \mathcal{D}(n_1, \pm v_1, \pm m, q_1 q_2; M_1) \bar{X}_1(q_1 q_2^2) \bar{X}_2(\pm q_1^2 q_2^2 M_1 \bar{m}) \tau(\chi_2) M_1 \mathcal{B}(n_1, \pm v_2, \pm m; q_1 q_2^2)
\]

\[
\times \mathcal{D}(n_1, \pm v_1, \pm m', q_1 q_2^2; M_1) e \left( \frac{q_2 q_1 r/n_1 v_1 \tilde{n}_2}{M_1} \right) e \left( \frac{M_1 v_2 \tilde{n}_2}{q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \right)
\]

\[
\leq M_1^2 M_2 |C_1(\tilde{n}_2) C_2(\tilde{n}_2)|,
\]

where

\[
C_1(\tilde{n}_2) = \frac{1}{M_1} \sum_{v \mod M_1} \mathcal{D}(n_1, \pm v, \pm m, q_1 q_2; M_1) \mathcal{D}(n_1, \pm v, \pm m', q_1 q_2; M_1) e \left( \frac{q_2 q_1 r/n_1 v \tilde{n}_2}{M_1} \right)
\]

and

\[
C_2(\tilde{n}_2) = \frac{n_1}{q_2 q_1 r} \sum_{v \mod q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \mathcal{B}(n_1, \pm v, \pm m; q_1 q_2) \mathcal{B}(n_1, \pm v, \pm m'; q_1 q_2^2) e \left( \frac{M_1 v \tilde{n}_2}{q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \right).
\]

We first evaluate \( C_1(\tilde{n}_2) \) in (2.38). Following closely Lin, Michel and Sawin [9], we transform \( C_1(\tilde{n}_2) \) to make it to a sheaf-theoretic treatment. Define \( K(v) := KL_2(\gamma v; M_1), L(v) = L_{\alpha, \beta}(v; M_1) \) and their normalized multiplicative convolution

\[
K \ast L(v) = \frac{1}{M_1^{1/2}} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{M_1}^*} K(u) L(v/u) = \frac{1}{M_1^{1/2}} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{M_1}^*} K(\overline{v u}) L(1/u).
\]

We also define likewise \( K'(v), L'(v) \) with parameters \( \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \). In particular, in our case we shall choose the parameters as the following:

\[
\alpha = \pm m \overline{q_1 q_2 M_2}, \quad \beta = M_2, \quad \gamma = \mp r(q_1 q_2 r/n_1)^2, \quad \eta = \overline{n_2 q_2 q_1 r/n_1},
\]

\[
\alpha' = \pm \overline{q_1 q_2 M_2}, \quad \beta' = M_2, \quad \gamma' = \mp r(q_1 q_2 r/n_1)^2.
\]

Then by the definition of \( \mathcal{D}(n_1, n_2, m, q; M_1) \) in (2.24), one has

\[
C_1(\tilde{n}_2) = \frac{1}{M_1} \sum_{a \equiv n_1 \equiv M_1} \sum_{a_2 \equiv n_2 \equiv M_1} L_{\pm m a_2 q_2 M_2} \mathcal{K}_2(\alpha_1; M_1) K L_2(\mp r a_2 q_1 r/n_1; M_1)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{a \equiv n_2 \equiv M_1} L_{\pm m' a_2 q_2 M_2} \mathcal{K}_2(\alpha_2; M_1) K L_2(\mp r a_2 q_1 r/n_1; M_1) e \left( \frac{q_2 q_1 r/n_1 \tilde{n}_2 v}{M_1} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{v \equiv n \equiv M_1} K \ast L(v) \mathcal{K}' \ast L'(v) e \left( \frac{\eta v}{M_1} \right).
\]

Define the Fourier transform of the function \( K : (\mathbb{Z}/M_1 \mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C} \) by

\[
\hat{K}(v) = \frac{1}{M_1^{1/2}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{M_1}} K(a) e \left( \frac{av}{M_1} \right).
\]

Then by Plancherel formula we have (see [9] Section 4)

\[
\sum_{v \equiv \mathbb{Z}/M_1} K \ast L(v) \mathcal{K}' \ast L'(v) e \left( \frac{\eta v}{M_1} \right) = \sum_{v} \hat{K} \ast \hat{L}(v) \mathcal{K}' \ast \hat{L}'(v - \eta) = \sum_{v} \mathbb{Z}(v) \mathbb{Z}'(v - \eta),
\]

where
Proposition 7. Let \( F \) be the subgroup \( \mathbb{F}_{M_1}^\times \) of \( \mathbb{F}_{M_1} \), defined by
\[
T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{F}_{M_1}) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{M_1}^\times, |x|\mathcal{F} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{F} \}
\]
Assume that the sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) is good. For any \( \alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta', \gamma, \gamma', \eta \in \mathbb{F}_{M_1}^\times \), we have
\[
\sum_v Z(v)\overline{Z(v-\eta)} = O(M_1^{1/2}).
\]
If \( \eta = 0 \) the above bound holds unless \( \alpha/\alpha' = \beta\gamma/(\beta'\gamma') \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{F}_{M_1}) \) in which case
\[
\sum_v Z(v)\overline{Z(v)} = c_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha/\alpha')M_1 + O(M_1^{1/2})
\]
for \( c_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha/\alpha') \) some complex number of modulus 1. Here the implicit constants depend only on \( C(\mathcal{F}) \).

By the definition of \( L(v) := L_{\alpha,\beta}(v; M_1) \) in (2.22) and the Fourier expansion of \( \chi_1 \) in terms of additive characters (see (3.12) in [7]), we have
\[
L(v) = \frac{1}{\tau(\chi_1)} \sum_{c \equiv \text{mod } M_1} \chi_1(c)e\left(\frac{bc}{M_1}\right)e\left(\frac{\alpha b + \beta v}{M_1}\right)
\]
and by (2.43),
\[
Z(v) = \frac{1}{\tau(\chi_1)} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{M_1}^\times} K\ell_2(\beta\gamma u; M_1)\chi_1(u\gamma)\overline{K\ell_2(\alpha; M_1)}.
\]

We quote the following results of Lin, Michel and Sawin [9, Proposition 4.5].
By (2.40)-(2.42) and Proposition 7, we have
\[ C_1(\tilde{n}_2) \ll M_1^{1/2} \] (2.44)
unless
\[ m q'_2 / (m' q_2) = q'_2 / q_2 \in T_F(F_M) \] (2.45)
in which case
\[ C_1(\tilde{n}_2) = c_F(m q'_2 / (m' q_2)) M_1 + O \left( M_1^{1/2} \right), \quad |c_F(m q'_2 / (m' q_2))| = 1. \] (2.46)

Next, we evaluate \( C_2(\tilde{n}_2) \) in (2.24). By (2.25) and (2.24), we have
\[
C_2(\tilde{n}_2) = \sum_{d | q_2 q'_2 q_1 r} d \cdot \mu \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{d} \right) \sum_{d' | q_1 q'_2} d' \cdot \mu \left( \frac{q_1 q'_2}{d'} \right) e \left( \frac{\pm v_1 M_1 u}{q_1 q_2 r / n_1} \right) 
\]
\[
\times \sum_{d' | q_1 q'_2} d' \cdot \mu \left( \frac{q_1 q'_2}{d'} \right) e \left( \frac{\mp v_2 M_1 u}{q_2 q'_2 q_1 r / n_1} \right) e \left( \frac{\mp v_2 M_1 u}{q_2 q'_2 q_1 r / n_1} \right) 
\]
\[
= \sum_{d | q_1 q_2} d \cdot \mu \left( \frac{q_1 q_2}{d} \right) \sum_{d' | q_1 q'_2} d' \cdot \mu \left( \frac{q_1 q'_2}{d'} \right) e \left( \frac{\pm v_1 M_1 u}{q_1 q_2 r / n_1} \right) 
\]
\[
\times \sum_{d' | q_1 q'_2} d' \cdot \mu \left( \frac{q_1 q'_2}{d'} \right) e \left( \frac{\mp v_2 M_1 u}{q_2 q'_2 q_1 r / n_1} \right) e \left( \frac{\mp v_2 M_1 u}{q_2 q'_2 q_1 r / n_1} \right) 
\]

This expression for \( C_2(\tilde{n}_2) \) has been estimated in Lin and Sun by [10, Lemma 4.2] (see (5.1) in [10]). More precisely, we have the following result.

**Lemma 8.** We have
\[ C_2(\tilde{n}_2) \ll \sum_{d_1, d'_1 | q_1} \sum_{d_1 d'_1} \sum_{d_1 d'_1} \sum_{d_1 d'_1} \sum_{d_2 d'_2} \sum_{d_2 d'_2} \] (2.47)
Moreover, if \( \tilde{n}_2 = 0 \), we have
\[ q_2 = q'_2 \] (2.48)
and
\[ C_2(0) \ll q_1 q_2 r \sum_{(d, d') \mid (q_1 q_2)} (d, d'). \] (2.49)

3. **Contribution from the zero frequency**

In this section we evaluate the contribution to \( \tilde{\Omega}_1^\pm(n_1, q_1, r) \) in (2.20) (and in turn to \( S_1(C, L, N_1, \pm, \pm) \)) from the terms with \( \tilde{n}_2 = 0 \).

Denote by \( \Delta^\pm_0 \) (resp. \( \Sigma^\pm_0 \)) the contribution from the terms with \( \tilde{n}_2 = 0 \) to \( \tilde{\Omega}_1^\pm(n_1, q_1, r) \) (resp. \( S_1(C, L, N_1, \pm, \pm) \)). By (2.48) and (2.40), we have
\[ q_2 = q'_2, \quad \gamma = \gamma', \quad \eta = \tilde{n}_2 q_2 q_1 r / n_1. \]

By (2.44)-(2.46), one has \( \tilde{C}_1(0) \ll M_1^{1/2} \) unless \( m / m' = 1 \in T_F(F_M) \) in which case
\[ \tilde{C}_1(0) = c_F(1) M_1 + O \left( M_1^{1/2} \right), \quad |c_F(1)| = 1. \]
Then by (2.29), (2.37), (2.49) and Lemma 6 we have

\[ \Delta_0^\pm \ll \sum_{q_2 \sim C/\ell_1} \sum_{\sum_{m \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \sum_{m' \sim N_1} \frac{|\lambda_g(m')|^2}{m'^{1/2}} M_1^2 M_2 |C_1(0)| |C_2(0)| |\mathcal{H}^\pm(0)| \]

\[ \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1 C \ll \sum_{q_2 \sim C/\ell_1} \sum_{\sum_{m \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \sum_{m' \sim N_1} \frac{|\lambda_g(m')|^2}{m'^{1/2}} M_1^{1/2} (1 + M_1^{1/2} q_1 q_2 r \sum_{d,d' \mid (q_1 q_2)} (d,d'))} \]

\[ \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1 C^2 r Q N_1}{Q} \sum_{q_2 \sim C/\ell_1} \sum_{\sum_{m \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \sum_{m' \sim N_1} \frac{|\lambda_g(m')|^2}{m'^{1/2}} M_1^{1/2} \left( M_1 \left( \frac{N_1}{M_1 \ell} + 1 \right) + M_1^{1/2} \left( \frac{N_1}{\ell} + 1 \right) \right) \]

\[ \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1 C^2 r Q}{Q} \left( \frac{q_1}{C} \right)^2 \left( M_1 \left( \frac{N_1}{M_1} + C \right) + M_1^{1/2} (N_1 + C) \right) \]

\[ \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1 q_1 r}{Q} \left( M_1 + M_1^{1/2} N_1 \right) \]

(3.1)

recalling (2.19) that \( N_1 \leq N_1^* = M^{1+\varepsilon} M_2 \) and \( C \ll Q \). Here we have used Rankin-Selberg’s estimate in (2.2).

Plugging this bound into (2.27) one has

\[ \Sigma_0^\pm \ll \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} L^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} Q M_1^{3/2} M^{1/2}} \left\{ \sum_{n_1 \leq r'} \sum_{q_1 \mid (n_1 r')} q_1^{-3} \frac{M M_1 q_1^2 r}{Q} \left( M_1 + M_1^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \]

\[ \ll \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} L^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} Q M_1 M^{1/2}} \frac{M^{1/2} M_1^{1/2}}{Q^{1/2}} \left( M_1^{1/2} Q^{1/2} + M M_1^{-1/4} \right) \]

\[ \ll \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} L^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} Q M_1 M^{1/2}} \left( M_1^{1/2} Q^{1/2} + M M_1^{-1/4} \right) \]

Therefore, the contribution from the terms with \( \tilde{n}_2 = 0 \) to \( S_1 \) in (2.19) is at most

\[ \sum_{L \leq \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} q_1^2}{M_1}} \sum_{N_1 \leq N_1^*} \sum_{C \leq Q} \sum_{C \text{ dyadic}} \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} L^{1/2}}{r^{3/2} Q M_1 M^{1/2}} \left( M_1^{1/2} Q^{1/2} + M M_1^{-1/4} \right) \]

\[ \ll \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} q_1^2}{r^{3/2} Q_3^{1/2}} \left( M_1^{1/2} Q^{1/2} + M M_1^{-1/4} \right) \]

\[ \ll \frac{N^{1+\varepsilon} q_1^2}{r^{3/2} Q_3^{1/2}} \left( M_1^{1/2} Q^{1/2} + M M_1^{-1/4} \right) \]

(3.2)

recalling \( Q = \sqrt{N/\ell_1} \).

4. CONTRIBUTION FROM THE NONZERO FREQUENCIES

In this section we evaluate the contribution to \( \Omega^\pm_1(n_1, q_1, r) \) in (2.20) (and in turn to \( S_1 (C, L, N_1, \pm, \pm) \) by (2.27)) from the terms with \( \tilde{n}_2 \neq 0 \).
Denote by \( \Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm} \) (resp. \( \Sigma_{\neq 0}^{\pm} \)) the contribution from the terms with \( \tilde{n}_2 \neq 0 \) to \( \Omega_1^{\pm}(n_1, q_1, r) \) (resp. \( S_1(C, L, N_1, \pm, \pm) \)). We distinguish two cases according as \( \tilde{n}_2 \neq 0 \mod M_1 \) or \( \tilde{n}_2 \equiv 0 \mod M_1 \).

4.1. \( \tilde{n}_2 \neq 0 \mod M_1 \). Denote the contribution from \( \tilde{n}_2 \neq 0 \mod M_1 \) by \( \Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm, a} \). By (2.24), (2.38) and (2.44), we have

\[
\Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm, a} \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1^{3/2}}{N_1} \sum_{q_2 \sim C/q_1} q_2^{-3/2} \sum_{d_2} q_2^{r-3/2} \sum_{n_1 \sim N_1} \sum_{m_1 \sim N_1} |\lambda_g(m')|^2
\]

\[
\times \sum_{n_2 \neq 0 \mod \tilde{n}_2 \ll L^*} |C_1(\tilde{n}_2)| |C_2(\tilde{n}_2)| \left| \mathcal{H}_{\pm, \tilde{n}} \left( \frac{\tilde{n}_2 L}{M_1 q_2 d_2 q_1 n_1 r} \right) \right|.
\]

Applying (2.37), (2.47) and Lemma 6 we obtain

\[
\Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm, a} \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1^{3/2} C}{Q N_1} \sum_{q_2 \sim C/q_1} q_2^{-3/2} \sum_{d_2} q_2^{r-3/2} \sum_{n_1 \sim N_1} \sum_{m_1 \sim N_1} |\lambda_g(m')|^2
\]

\[
\times \sum_{0 \neq \tilde{n}_2 \ll L^*} \sum_{d_1, d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_1''} \sum_{d_2'} \sum_{n_2 \neq 0 \mod \tilde{n}_2 \ll L^*} |\lambda_g(m')|^2 \sum_{m' \sim N_1} \sum_{m_2 \equiv 0 \mod d_2} 1.
\]

Next we proceed as in [10], Section 4.5. Writing \( q_2 d_2 \) in place of \( q_2 \) and \( q_2' d_2' \) in place of \( q_2' \), and noting that for fixed \( (u, d_2, d_2', q_2, q_2', n_2) \) the congruence condition \( \tilde{n}_2 \equiv d_2 q_2 u - d_2' q_2' \tilde{n}_2 \mod q_1 r/n_1 \) determines \( u' \) uniquely, we infer

\[
\Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm, a} \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1^{3/2} C^2 Q N_1}{d_1} \sum_{u \mod q_1 r/n_1} \sum_{d_1, d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_1''} \sum_{d_2'} \sum_{m' \sim N_1} \sum_{m_2 \equiv 0 \mod d_2} |\lambda_g(m')|^2 \sum_{n_2 \neq 0 \mod \tilde{n}_2 \ll L^*} \sum_{m_2 \equiv 0 \mod d_2} 1.
\]

Notice that for fixed tuple \((n_1, u, \tilde{n}_2)\) the congruences

\[
\begin{cases}
  n_1 u \equiv \pm M_2 m \mod d_1 \\
  M_2 q_2 d_2 n_1 \equiv \pm \tilde{n}_2 \equiv 0 \mod d_2
\end{cases}
\]

imply that \( m \) is uniquely determined modulo \( d_1 d_2/(d_2, \tilde{n}_2) \). Therefore the number of \( m \) is dominated by \( O((d_2, \tilde{n}_2) (1 + N_1/d_1 d_2)) \). We conclude that

\[
\Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm, a} \ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon} M_1^{3/2} q_1 r}{C^2 Q N_1} \sum_{d_1, d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_1''} \sum_{d_2'} \sum_{m' \sim N_1} \sum_{m_2 \equiv 0 \mod d_2'} 1.
\]

Case 1. If \( M_2 q_2 d_2 n_1 \equiv m' \tilde{n}_2 \equiv 0 \mod d_2' \) but \( M_2 q_2 d_2 n_1 \equiv m_2 \tilde{n}_2 \neq 0 \), then \( d_2' \) is a factor of the integer \( M_2 q_2 d_2 n_1 \equiv m' \tilde{n}_2 \). Therefore by switching the order of summation, the \( d_2' \)-sum is bounded above by
Assembling the above argument, we have bounded above by the ternary divisor function, and the contribution from this case is
\[
\ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{CQN_1n_1} \sum_{d_1,d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1, d_2 \neq \bar{n}_2 \leq L^*} \left( \frac{N_1}{d_1d_2} \right)
\times \sum_{d_2' \mid q_1} \sum_{m' \sim N_1} |\lambda_g(m')|^2.
\]

\[
\ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{QN_1n_1} \sum_{d_1,d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1, d_2 \neq \bar{n}_2 \leq L^*} L^* \left( \frac{N_1}{d_1d_2} \right) N_1
\ll \frac{L^* M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{Qn_1} (C + N_1).
\]

**Case 2.** If \(M_2^2q_2d_2n_1 + m'\bar{n}_2 = 0\), then as long as \(m'\) and \(\bar{n}_2\) are fixed, the number of tuples \((q_2,d_2,n_1)\) is bounded above by the ternary divisor function \(\tau_3((m'\bar{n}_2))\). Hence such a contribution is dominated by
\[
\ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{C^2QN_1n_1} \sum_{d_1,d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1, d_2 \neq \bar{n}_2 \leq L^*} \left( \frac{N_1}{d_1d_2} \right) \sum_{m' \sim N_1} |\lambda_g(m')|^2 \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} (d_2 + \frac{N_1}{d_1}) \sum_{q_2 \mid q_1, q_2 \neq d_2^2n_1, M_2^2q_2d_2n_1 + m'\bar{n}_2 = 0}
\ll \frac{M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{C^2QN_1n_1} \sum_{d_1,d_1' \mid q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1, d_2 \neq \bar{n}_2 \leq L^*} \left( \frac{N_1}{d_1d_2} \right) \sum_{m' \sim N_1} |\lambda_g(m')|^2 \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} (d_2 + \frac{N_1}{d_1}) \sum_{0 \neq \bar{n}_2 \leq L^*/\ell, m' \sim N_1} \ell \sum_{d_2 \mid q_2-C/q_1} \left( \frac{C}{q_1} + \frac{N_1}{d_1} \right)
\ll \frac{L^* M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{Q^2n_1} \sum_{d_1,d_1' \mid q_1} \left( \frac{C}{q_1} + \frac{N_1}{d_1} \right)
\ll \frac{L^* M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{Qn_1} (C + N_1).
\]

Assembling the above argument, we have
\[
\Delta_{\neq 0}^\pm \ll \frac{L^* M^{1+\varepsilon}M^{3/2}}{Qn_1} (C + N_1). 
\tag{4.2}
\]

4.2. \(\bar{n}_2 \equiv 0 \mod M_1\). Denote the contribution from \(\bar{n}_2 \equiv 0 \mod M_1\) by \(\Delta_{\neq 0}^{\pm,b}\). In this case we can write \(\bar{n}_2 = M_1\tilde{n}_2\) with \(\tilde{n}_2 \ll L^*/M_1\) and run exactly the same argument as in Section 4.1, except for replacing \(L^*\) by \(L^*/M_1\) and replacing the estimate
\[
C_1(\tilde{n}_2) \ll M_1^{1/2}
\]
in (2.44) with
\[
C_1(\tilde{n}_2) \ll M_1
\]
Furthermore, we will show in the next section that this bound into (2.27) one has

\[ 4.3. \]

**Conclusion.** Recalling (2.15) and (2.36) that

\[ Q \]

By (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

\[ 1 \ll r \ll r \]

Therefore, the contribution from the terms with \( \bar{n}_2 \neq 0 \) to \( S_1 \) in (2.19) is at most

\[ 4.4. \]

Thus

\[ 4.5. \]

Furthermore, we will show in the next section that \( S_2 \) can be dominated by the upper bound in (4.5). Combining this bound with (2.13) Proposition 1 follows.
5. Estimation of \( S_2 \)

In this section, we will estimate \( S_2 \) in (5.14) and its contribution to the right hand side of (5.44). Since the proof is very similar as that for \( S_1 \), we will be brief. Recall (2.17) and (2.28) that

\[
O_2^{\pm}(n_1, q_1, r) = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{n_2} 1 \phi \left( \frac{n_2}{L/n_1^2} \right) \sum_{q_2 = C/q_1} \sum_{m \sim N_2} \lambda_g(m) \frac{m^{1/2}}{m^{3/2}} \chi_2(n_1, q_2, q_1, M_2) R_{q_2}^{\pm, \pm} \left( Y_2, \frac{n_2^2}{q_1 q_2 M_2^2 r}, q_1 q_2 \right),
\]

where \( Y_2 = m N/(q_2^2 M_2^2) \) and

\[
C_2(n_1, n_2, m, q) = \chi_1(q M_2 r(q r/n_1)^2) \chi_2(q^2 M_1 r^2) \tau^2(\tau_1) \tau_2(\tau) \mathcal{B}(n_1, n_2, M_1^2 m; q).
\]

Note that

\[
n_2 \rightarrow C_2(n_1, n_2, m, q) \mathcal{C}(n_1, n_2, m, q) \mathcal{C}^\prime(n_1, n_2, m, q),
\]

is periodic of period \( q_2 q_1^2 r/n_1 \). Opening the absolute square in (5.1), we break the \( n_2 \)-sum into congruence classes modulo \( q_2 q_1 r/n_1 \) and then apply the Poisson summation formula to the sum over \( n_2 \). It is therefore sufficient to consider the following sum:

\[
O_2^{\pm}(n_1, q_1, r) = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{q_2 = C/q_1} \sum_{m \sim N_2} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \sum_{m' \sim N_2} \frac{1}{m'^{1/2}} \chi_2(n_1, q_2, q_1, M_2) R_{q_2}^{\pm, \pm} \left( Y_2, \frac{n_2^2}{q_1 q_2 M_2^2 r}, q_1 q_2 \right),
\]

where the character sum \( D(\tilde{n}_2) = C(\tilde{n}_2, m, m', q_2, q_1, n_1, q_1, r) \) is given by

\[
D(\tilde{n}_2) = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \mathcal{C}_2(n_1, n_2, m, q_2, q_1, n_1, r) e \left( \frac{\tilde{n}_2 v}{q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \right),
\]

and the integral \( \mathcal{K}^{\pm, \pm}(X) = \mathcal{K}^{\pm, \pm}(X; m, m', q_2, q_1, r) \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{K}^{\pm, \pm}(X) = \int_\mathbb{R} \phi(\xi) \mathcal{R}^{\pm, \pm} \left( m N \left( \xi q_1 q_2 M_2^{2 r} - \xi q_1 q_2 m M_1^2 r \right), q_1 q_2 \right) \mathcal{R}^{\pm, \pm} \left( m' N \left( \xi q_2 q_1 M_2^{2 r} - \xi q_1 q_2 m M_1^2 r \right), q_1 q_2 \right) e(-X \xi) d\xi.
\]

The integral \( \mathcal{K}^{\pm, \pm}(X) \) can be estimated exactly the same as \( \mathcal{H}^{\pm, \pm}(X) \).

**Lemma 9.** The integral \( \mathcal{K}^{\pm, \pm}(X) \) is negligibly small unless \( |X| \ll M^2 Q/C \), in which case

\[
\mathcal{K}^{\pm, \pm}(X) \ll M^2 C/Q.
\]

By Lemma 9 the sum over \( \tilde{n}_2 \) in (5.2) can be restricted to, up to a negligibly error,

\[
\tilde{n}_2 \ll Q^{1+\varepsilon} C_n r/(q_1 L) := L^{**}.
\]

Next, we estimate \( D(\tilde{n}_2) \). By (5.24), we have

\[
|D(\tilde{n}_2)| = \frac{n_1}{q_2 q_1 r} \sum_{v \mod q_2 q_1 r/n_1} \chi_1(q_1 q_2 r/\tilde{n}_2) \chi_2(q_1 q_2 r/\tilde{n}_2) \tau^2(\tau_1) \tau_2(\tau) B(n_1, n_2, m, q_1 q_2) \chi_1(q_1 q_2 r/\tilde{n}_2) \chi_2(q_1 q_2 r/\tilde{n}_2) \tau^2(\tau_1) \tau_2(\tau) B(n_1, n_2, m, q_1 q_2)
\]

\[
\leq M_2^2 M_1^2 C_n^2(\tilde{n}_2),
\]
where
\[ |C_2^*(\vec{n}_2)| = \frac{n_1}{q_2 q_3 q_4 r \mod q_2 q_3 q_4 r/n_1} B(n_1, \pm v, \pm M_1^2 m; q_1 q_2) B(n_1, \pm v, \pm M_1^2 m'; q_1 q_2) e \left( \frac{\vec{n}_2 v}{q_2 q_3 q_4 r/n_1} \right). \]

The character sum \( C_2^*(\vec{n}_2) \) can be estimated similarly as \( C_2(\vec{n}_2) \) in (2.39). More precisely, we have the following estimate.

**Lemma 10.** We have
\[
C_2^*(\vec{n}_2) \ll \sum_{d_1, d_1'} q_1 d_1' \sum_{d_1 \mod q_1 r/n_1} \sum_{d_1' \mod q_1 r/n_1} \sum_{u \mod q_1 / n_1} \sum_{u' \mod q_1 / n_1} d_2 d_2'.
\]

Moreover, if \( \vec{n}_2 = 0 \), we have
\[
q_2 = q_2'.
\]

and
\[
C_2^*(0) \ll q_1 q_2 r \sum_{d,d'} (d,d').
\]

By (2.2), (5.4), Lemma 9 and Lemma 10, the contribution from the terms with \( \vec{n}_2 = 0 \) to \( \Omega_2^+ (n_1, q_1, r) \) is at most
\[
\frac{M^2 C}{Q} \sum_{q_2 \sim C/q_1} \frac{1}{q_2^3} \sum_{m \sim N_2} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} \sum_{m' \sim N_2} \frac{|\lambda_g(m')|^2}{m'^{1/2}} M^2 \cdot q_1 q_2 r \sum_{d,d'} (d,d').
\]

\[
\ll \frac{M^{1+\epsilon} M_1^2 C^2 r}{Q N_1} \sum_{q_2 \sim C / q_1} \frac{1}{q_2^3} \sum_{m \sim N_2} \sum_{m' \sim N_2} |\lambda_g(m')|^2 \sum_{d,d'} (d,d').
\]

\[
\ll \frac{M^{1+\epsilon} M_1 C^2 r}{Q} \sum_{q_2 \sim C / q_1} \frac{1}{q_2^3} \sum_{m \sim N_2} \sum_{m' \sim N_2} \left( \frac{N_1}{\ell} + 1 \right)
\]

\[
\ll \frac{M^{1+\epsilon} M_1 C^2 r}{Q} \left( \frac{q_1}{C} \right)^2 (N_2 + C)
\]

which is obviously dominated by the right hand of (3.1). It follows that the contribution from the terms with \( \vec{n}_2 = 0 \) to \( S_2 \) is smaller that the right hand side of (3.1).

By (5.2), (5.4) and Lemma 9-10 we have that the contribution from the terms with \( \vec{n}_2 \neq 0 \) to \( \Omega_2^+ (n_1, q_1, r) \) is bounded by
\[
\frac{M^{1+\epsilon} M_1 C}{Q N_1} \sum_{q_2 \sim C / q_1} \frac{1}{q_2^3} \sum_{m \sim N_2} \sum_{m' \sim N_2} |\lambda_g(m')|^2
\]

\[
\times \sum_{0 \neq \vec{n}_2 \sim L \ast d_1, d_1' / q_1} \sum_{d_1, d_1'} q_1 d_1' \sum_{u \mod q_1 r / n_1} \sum_{u' \mod q_1 r / n_1} d_2 d_2'.
\]
Recall $L^{**} = Q^{1+\varepsilon}Cn_{1}r/(q_{1}L) < L^{*}$ in (2.36). One sees that the above display is smaller than the right hand side of (4.4). Therefore, the contribution from the terms with $\tilde{n}_{2} \neq 0$ to $S_{2}$ is smaller than the upper bound in (4.4).

6. PROOF OF LEMMA [5]

In this section we apply GL$_{2}$ Voronoi formula to transform $\mathcal{A}$. By the Fourier expansion of $\chi$ in the terms of additive characters (see (3.12) in [7])

$$\chi(m) = \frac{1}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{c \pmod{M}} \chi(c)e\left(\frac{cm}{M}\right),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{g}(m)e\left(\frac{am}{qM_{1}}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{m\zeta}{qM_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{c \pmod{M}} \chi(c)e\left(\frac{cm}{M}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{c \pmod{M}} \chi(c) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{g}(m)e\left(\frac{am}{qM_{1}}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{m\zeta}{qM_{1}}\right),$$

(6.1)

Let $f$ be a holomorphic cusp form of weight $k$ or a Maass cusp form with Laplace eigenvalue $1/4 + \mu^2$ for SL$_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. We have the following Voronoi formula for $g$ (see [8] Theorem A.4).

Lemma 11. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a smooth function compactly supported on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Let $a, \overline{a}, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c \neq 0, (a, c) = 1$ and $a\overline{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$. Then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{g}(m)e\left(\frac{am}{c}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) = \frac{N}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{g}(m)e\left(\pm \frac{am}{c}\right) \Psi^{\pm} \left(\frac{mN}{c^{2}}\right),$$

where

$$\Psi^{\pm}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(y) J_{g}^{\pm}(4\pi \sqrt{xy}) dy,$$

(6.2)

with

$$J_{g}^{+}(x) = 2\pi i^{k} J_{k-1}(x), \quad J_{g}^{-}(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } g \text{ is holomorphic},$$

and

$$J_{g}^{+}(x) = -\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi i \mu} (J_{2i\mu}(x) - J_{-2i\mu}(x)), \quad J_{g}^{-}(x) = 4\varepsilon_{g} \cosh(\pi \mu) K_{2i\mu}(x) \quad \text{if } g \text{ is Maass}.$$

Here $\varepsilon_{g}$ is the eigenvalue of $g$ under the reflection operator.

Lemma 12. (1) For $0 < x \ll 1$, we have

$$x^{\ell} \frac{df}{dx^{\ell}} J_{g}^{+}(x) \ll_{\ell} 1, \quad x^{\ell} \frac{df}{dx^{\ell}} J_{g}^{-}(x) \ll_{\ell} x^{-1/2}$$

(6.3)

for any integer $\ell \geq 0$.

(2) For any fixed integer $J \geq 1$ and $x \gg 1$, we have $\Psi^{-}(x) \ll_{A} x^{-A}$ for any $A > 0$ and

$$\Psi^{+}(x) = x^{-1/4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(y) y^{-1/4} \sum_{J=0}^{J} c_{J} e^{(2\sqrt{xy})} + d_{J} e^{-2\sqrt{xy}} \frac{dy}{(xy)^{3/2}} + O_{\mu}(x^{-J/2-3/4}),$$

(6.4)

where $c_{J}$ and $d_{J}$ are some constants depending on $\mu$. 

Proof. (1) By the Taylor expansion of the $J$-Bessel function (see \cite[8.402-8]{R}) for $|\arg z| < \pi$,
\[ J_\nu(x) = \frac{x^\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k} k! (\nu + k + 1)} x^{2k} \]
we have
\[ x^\ell J_\nu^{(\ell)}(x) \ll_{\nu, \ell} x^\nu \]
for any integer $\ell \geq 0$. Thus the first inequality in (6.3) follows.

Similarly, by the integral representation of $K_\nu(x)$ (see \cite[8.32-8]{R}), for $|\arg z| < \pi$, $\Re(\nu) > -1/2$, one has
\[ K_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} \frac{e^{-x}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\nu - 1/2} (1 + \frac{u}{2x})^{\nu - 1/2} du. \]
Thus for $\nu \in i\mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$, we have
\[ K_\nu(x) \ll_{\nu} x^{-1/2} e^{-x} \]
for any integer $\ell \geq 0$, which yields the second inequality in (6.3).

(2) For $x \gg 1$, by (6.2) and (6.3), it is easily seen that $\Psi^-(x) \ll_A x^{-A}$ for any $A > 0$. The asymptotic formula (6.4) is proved in Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 in \cite{I}.

Now we return to the sum over $m$ in (6.1). Note that $(aM_2 + cq, q) = 1$, $(aM_2 + cq, M_2) = 1$ and $(aM_2 + cq, M_1) = 1$ or $M_1$. So we distinguish two cases.

Case I. $(aM_2 + cq, M_1) = 1$.

In this case $(aM_2 + cq, qM) = 1$. Applying the $GL_2$ Voronoi formula in Lemma 12 with $\varphi(x) = V(x)e\left(\frac{\zeta Ny}{qQ M_1}\right)$, we have
\[ \sum_{\substack{m=1}}^{\infty} \lambda_g(m) e\left(\frac{(aM_2 + cq)m}{qM}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) = \frac{N}{qM} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{m=1}}^{\infty} \lambda_g(m) e\left(\pm \frac{aM_2 + cq}{qM} m\right) \Psi\left(\frac{mN}{q^2 M^2}\right), \]
where by (6.2),
\[ \Psi^\pm(x) = \int_0^\infty V(y) e\left(\frac{\zeta Ny}{qQ M_1}\right) J^\pm_g(4\pi \sqrt{xy}) dy. \]

For $x \gg M^\varepsilon$, by the second statement of Lemma 12, we have $\Psi^-(x) \ll M^{-A}$ and the evaluation of $\Psi^+(x)$ is reduced to considering the integral
\[ \Psi_0(x, n, q) = x^{-1/4} \int_0^\infty y^{-1/4} V(y) e\left(\frac{\zeta Ny}{qQ M_1} \pm 2\sqrt{xy}\right) dy. \]

Let $\varphi(y) = \frac{\zeta Ny}{qQ M_1} \pm 2\sqrt{xy}$. Then $\varphi'(y) = \frac{\zeta N}{qQ M_1} \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{y}}$, $\varphi''(y) = \mp \frac{1}{2y} \sqrt{\frac{2}{y}}$.

Then repeated integration by parts shows that the above integral is negligibly small unless we take the $-$ sign and $x \asymp \left(\frac{\zeta N}{qQ M_1}\right)^2$. More precisely, by a stationary phase argument,
\[ \Psi_0(x, n, q) = x^{-1/2} \frac{qQ^2 M_1^2 x}{\zeta^2 N^2} e\left(-\frac{qQ M_1 x}{\zeta N}\right) + O_A(M^{-A}) \]
for any $A > 0$. So for $x = \frac{mN}{q^2M^2}$, we can restricted the sum over $m$ to

$$m \ll \frac{Q^2 M^{2+\varepsilon}}{N} \ll M^{1+\varepsilon} M_2.$$  

Here we recall that $Q^2 M_1 = N$. On the other hand, for $x \ll M^\varepsilon$, the above restriction on $m$ holds trivially. So in any case, up to a negligible error, we can restricted the sum over $m$ to $m \leq M^{1+\varepsilon} M_2$.

By (6.1), (6.6) and (6.8), the contribution from terms with $(aM + cq, qM) = 1$ to $\mathcal{A}$ in (6.1) is approximately

$$\frac{N^{1/2}}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{c \neq 7 qM_2 \pmod{M}} \chi(c) \sum_{m \leq M^{1+\varepsilon} M_2} \lambda_g(m) \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^{1/2}} e \left( \frac{aM_2 + cq}{qM} m \right) \mathcal{Y}(mN, q, \zeta) + O_A(M^{-A}),$$  

where for $x \ll M^\varepsilon$,

$$\mathcal{Y}(x, q, \zeta) = x^{1/2} \int_0^\infty V(y) e \left( \frac{\zeta Ny}{qQM_1} \right) \mathcal{J}_g \left( 4\pi \sqrt{xy} \right) dy,$$  

and for $x \gg M^\varepsilon$,

$$\mathcal{Y}(x, q, \zeta) = x^{1/4} \int_0^\infty y^{-1/4} V(y) e \left( \frac{\zeta Ny}{qQM_1} \pm 2\sqrt{xy} \right) dy.$$  

Case II. $(aM_2 + cq, M_1) = M_1$.

In this case, $(aM_2 + cq, qM_1) = M_1$ and $c \equiv \mp qM_2 \pmod{M_1}$. As in Case I, we apply Lemma 11 with $\varphi(x) = V(x)e(\zeta Nx/(qQM_1))$ to get that $m$-sum in (6.1) equals

$$\sum_{m \leq M_2} \lambda_g(m) e \left( \frac{(aM_2 + cq)/Q_1}{M_2} m \right) \varphi \left( \frac{m}{M} \right) = \frac{N}{qM_2} \sum_{m} \lambda_g(m) e \left( \pm \frac{(aM_2 + cq)/Q_1}{M_2} m \right) \varphi \left( \frac{mN}{q^2M_2^2} \right),$$  

where $\mathcal{Y}(x, q, \zeta)$ is defined in (6.2). By the argument in Case I, we have, up to a negligible error, the sum over $m$ can be restricted by

$$\frac{mN}{q^2M_2^2} \leq x \frac{Q^2 M_1}{N^2} \leq M^\varepsilon,$$  

i.e., $m \leq M_2^{2+\varepsilon}/M_1$. For these values of $m$, by (6.1),

$$\mathcal{Y} \left( \frac{mN}{q^2M_2^2} \right) = \frac{M_2}{N^{1/2}} \frac{q^2M_1}{m^{1/2}} \mathcal{Y} \left( \frac{mN}{q^2M_2^2}, q, \zeta \right),$$  

where $\mathcal{Y}(x, q, \zeta)$ is defined in (6.10) and (6.11).

By (6.1), (6.12) and (6.13), the contribution from $(aM_2 + cq, qM) = M_1$ to $\mathcal{A}$ in (6.1) equals

$$\frac{N^{1/2}}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{c \neq 7 qM_2 \pmod{M}} \chi(c) \sum_{m \leq M^{2+\varepsilon}/M_1} \lambda_g(m) \frac{\varphi(m)}{m^{1/2}} e \left( \frac{(aM_2 + cq)/Q_1}{M_2} m \right) \mathcal{Y}(mN, q, \zeta) + O_A(M^{-A}).$$  

Then Lemma 3 follows from (6.9), (6.10), (6.11) and (6.14).

7. Proof of Lemma 3

In this section we will apply the GL Voronoi formula to transform $\mathcal{B}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} = \sum_{n} \lambda_g(n, r) e \left( -\frac{an}{qM_1} \right) \phi(n),$$  

where $\phi(x) = W(x/N)e(-\zeta x/(qQM_1)).$
We now recall the Voronoi summation formula for $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. For $\phi(x) \in C^\infty_c(0, \infty)$ we denote by $\tilde{\phi}(s) = \int_0^\infty \phi(x)x^{s-1}dx$ the Mellin transform of $\phi(x)$. Let

$$\Phi \pm (x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} x^{-s} \gamma \pm (s) \tilde{\phi}(-s) ds, \quad \sigma > \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \{-1 - \text{Re}(\mu_j)\},$$

(7.1)

with

$$\gamma (s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i 3(s+1/2)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma((1 + s + \mu_j)/2)}{\Gamma((-s - \mu_j)/2)} + i \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma((2 + s + \mu_j)/2)}{\Gamma((-s - \mu_j + 1)/2)} \right),$$

where $\mu_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are the Langlands parameters of $\pi$. Then we have the following Voronoi summation formula (see [3], [15]).

**Lemma 13.** Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $(a, q) = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\pi(n, r) e \left( \frac{an}{q} \right) \phi(n) = q \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1|q} \sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2)}{n_1 n_2} S \left( r \overline{\sigma}, \pm n_2; \frac{qM_1 r}{n_1} \right) \Phi \pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2} \right),$$

where $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $S(m, n; c)$ is the classical Kloosterman sum.

Applying the $\text{GL}_3$ Voronoi formula in Lemma [13] we obtain

$$\mathcal{B} = qM_1 \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1|qM_1} \sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n_1, n_2)}{n_1 n_2} S \left( -r \overline{\sigma}, \pm n_2; \frac{qM_1 r}{n_1} \right) \Phi \pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2} \right),$$

(7.2)

where $\Phi \pm (x)$ is defined as in (7.1).

First, we study the integral transform $\Phi \pm (x)$ in (7.1). By Stirling’s formula, for $\sigma \geq -1/2$,

$$\gamma (\sigma + i \tau) \ll_{\sigma, \tau} (1 + |\tau|)^{3(\sigma+1/2)}.$$

Moreover, for $s = \sigma + i \tau$,

$$\tilde{\phi}(-s) = N^{-s} W^\dagger \left( \frac{\zeta N}{qQM_1}, -s \right) \ll N^{-\sigma} \min \left\{ 1, \left( \frac{N}{qQM_1 |\tau|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

for any $j \geq 0$. Thus

$$\Phi \pm (x) \ll x^{-\sigma} N^{-\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\tau|)^{3(\sigma+1/2)} \min \left\{ 1, \left( \frac{N}{qQM_1 |\tau|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} d\tau$$

$$\ll \left( xN \right)^{-\sigma} \left( \frac{N}{qQM_1} \right)^{3\sigma+5/2}$$

$$\ll \left( \frac{N}{qQM_1} \right)^{5/2} \left( \frac{Q^3 q^3 M_1^3 x}{N^2} \right)^{-\sigma}.$$

Thus, $\Phi \pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2} \right)$ on the right hand side of (7.2) gives arbitrary power savings in $M$ if $n_1^2 n_2 > N^{2+\varepsilon} r/Q^3$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. For small values of $n_1^2 n_2$, we move the integration line to $\sigma = -1/2$ to get

$$\Phi \pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2} \right)^{-\sigma + i \tau} \Phi \pm \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \tau \right) N^{\Phi - i \tau} W^\dagger \left( \frac{\zeta N}{qQM_1}, \frac{1}{2} - i \tau \right) d\tau$$

$$:= \left( \frac{N n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2} \right)^{1/2} \gamma \pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2}, q, \zeta \right),$$

(7.3)
where
\[ J^\pm (x, q, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R (Nx)^{-i\tau} \gamma_\pm \left( -\frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right) W^\pm \left( \frac{\zeta N}{qQM_1}, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right) d\tau. \] (7.4)

By (7.2) and (7.3),
\[ B = \frac{N^{1/2}}{q^{1/2}M_1^{1/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1|qM_1r} \sum_{n_2 \leq N^{2+\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_x(n_1, n_2)}{n_2} S^\pm \left( -ra, \frac{n_2}{n_1} \right) \times J^\pm \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2}{q^3 M_1^2}, q, \zeta \right) + O(M^{-A}). \] (7.5)

Then Lemma 4 follows from (7.4) and (7.5).
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