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Abstract. Given an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $n$-spheres and $-hemi-$ spheres, we characterise those with the lowest and highest orders which equal $\lambda$ and for which Pólya’s conjecture holds and fails. We further derive Pólya-type inequalities by adding a correction term providing sharp lower and upper bounds for all eigenvalues. This allows us to measure the deviation from the leading term in the Weyl asymptotics for eigenvalues on spheres and hemispheres. As a direct consequence, we obtain similar results for domains which tile hemispheres.

1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a smooth compact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric $g$ and consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator in $M$. In the case where $M$ has no boundary we consider the closed eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0,$$

and denote the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues by $0 = \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$. When the boundary $\partial M$ is non-empty, we impose Dirichlet boundary condition and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta u + \lambda u = 0, & \text{in } M \\
u = 0, & \text{on } \partial M
\end{cases},$$

for which the spectrum is now $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$. In either case the sequence of eigenvalues converges to infinity and satisfies the Weyl asymptotics [W, SV]

$$\lambda_k = C_{W,n}k^{2/n} + o \left( k^{2/n} \right), \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

where the Weyl constant $C_{W,n}$ is given by

$$C_{W,n} := \frac{4\pi^2}{(\omega_n|M|)^{2/n}}.$$

Here $\omega_n$ and $|M|$ denote the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the volume of $M$, respectively. In the case of the Dirichlet problem, and under certain further conditions on $M$, it can be shown that the remainder term in (1.1) is of the form [SV]

$$\frac{2\pi^2\omega_{n-1}|\partial M|k^{1/n}}{n(\omega_n|M|)^{1+1/n}} + o \left( k^{1/n} \right),$$
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where now $\partial M$ denotes the $(n-1)$–measure of the boundary of $M$. One consequence of this result is that for a domain satisfying such conditions and a sufficiently large order $k$ of the eigenvalue, $\lambda_k$ must be larger than the first term in the Weyl asymptotics (1.1), that is
\[ \lambda_k \geq C_{W,n} k^{2/n}. \] (1.3)

In fact, in 1954 Pólya conjectured this to be the case for all Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ [P3]. A few years later, in 1961, he went on to prove this conjecture in the special case of Euclidean domains which tile the plane [P2]. Although some progress has been made since then – see [LY, L], for instance – the general case remains open to this day.

The purpose of the present paper is to consider examples of manifolds where Pólya’s conjecture does not hold, and to see how (1.3) can be modified to yield a set of valid sharp inequalities for the corresponding Laplacian eigenvalues. More precisely, we shall consider the $n$-dimensional sphere
\[ S^n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1 \}, \]
with the canonical round metric, and the corresponding hemisphere
\[ S^n_+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = 1 \land x_{n+1} > 0 \}, \]
with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the equator. In the former case there is no boundary, and in the latter the remainder term in the Weyl asymptotics is not of the form given in (1.2). The behaviour of the remainder for such manifolds has been the object of much study in the literature – see [CG] and the references therein, for instance, for recent progress on this topic. This is another reason why we believe it is of interest to provide sharp inequalities of the type given here as, indeed, Pólya’s inequality will not hold for such manifolds in general, the known exception being $S^2_+$ [BB, G]. Furthermore, some known Weyl remainder estimates are sharp for the round sphere – see [CG] for some examples and references.

Our results fall into two categories. On the one hand, we characterise instances of the eigenvalues of hemispheres for which Pólya’s inequality is and is not satisfied. On the other hand, we determine and prove modified (sharp) versions of Pólya’s inequality for both spheres and hemispheres by adding correction terms. In fact, we provide both sharp lower and upper bounds with a similar flavour, thus allowing us to measure the deviation from the first term in (1.1).

In order to state our main results, we need the concept of a chain of eigenvalues corresponding to a multiple eigenvalue. Let $\lambda_K$ be the $K^{th}$ distinct eigenvalue of $S^n_+$ or $S^n$, with corresponding multiplicity $m = m(K)$, such that $\lambda_K = \lambda_{q+j-1}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, m$. We shall call these eigenvalues the $K$–chain associated with $\lambda_K$ (or just a chain, if there is no danger of confusion), and say that $\lambda_q$ and $\lambda_{q+m-1}$ are the eigenvalues with the lowest and highest order of the chain, respectively – see a more detailed definition in Section 2.

1.1. Hemispheres. We begin by giving a characterisation of which of the lowest and highest order eigenvalues in each chain satisfy Pólya’s inequalities and which do not. In particular, we see that for every $n$ greater than 2 there exist infinite sequences of eigenvalues which do not satisfy (1.3) - although in this case the boundary is not empty and we are considering Dirichlet boundary conditions, note that the known conditions for (1.2) to hold are not satisfied [SV], as all geodesics are periodic.

**Theorem A.** For the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the $n$-dimensional hemisphere $S^n_+$ we have the following:
1. if $n = 2$ all eigenvalues satisfy Pólya’s inequality.
2. if $n \geq 3$ the eigenvalue with the highest order of any chain does not satisfy Pólya’s inequality; in particular, $\lambda_1(S^n_+) < C_{W,n}$.
3. for all $n$ there exists $K_n \geq 2$ such that for all $K \geq K_n$ the lowest order eigenvalue of the corresponding $K-$chain satisfies Pólya’s inequality; in particular $K_n = 2$ for $n \leq 8$, and $K_9 = 3$.

Remark 1.1. As mentioned above, item 1. in Theorem A was first proved in [BB]. Our proof is not very dissimilar, but our general approach allows us to obtain the other results in this theorem and further combination with Pólya’s method yields the results for wedges given in Section 1.2 below.

Remark 1.2. As a consequence of Theorem A, there exists a smooth function $j^\dagger(K)$ such that any eigenvalue $\lambda_{q+j-1}$ in a given $K-$chain satisfies Pólya’s inequality if and only if $j \leq j^\dagger(K)$. For large $K$ we can approximate $j^\dagger(K)$ by an element of $\mathbb{Q}_{n-1}[K]$ (see Proposition 4.4).

Remark 1.3. With the type of analysis developed here it is also possible to obtain estimates for eigenvalue averages, and we present these in Sections 4 and 9.5.

We shall now provide estimates that measure how far from the first term in the Weyl asymptotics the eigenvalues actually are. Our first result in this direction shows that by introducing a constant correction term to (1.3) it is possible to obtain a sharp lower bound satisfied by all eigenvalues of $S^n_+$ for all $n$.

Theorem B. The Dirichlet eigenvalues on the hemisphere $S^n_+$ satisfy

$$\lambda_k(S^n_+) \geq C_{W,n}k^{2/n} - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6}.$$ 

This is asymptotically sharp for the eigenvalue with the highest order on each chain, in the sense that over this subsequence of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k(S^n_+) - C_{W,n}k^{2/n} \sim \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$$

as $k$ goes to infinity, with the sequence being identically zero for $n = 2$.

The second result of this type consists in bounding the same difference from above. However, the situation is not symmetric and now becomes more complex in two ways. On the one hand, the divergence from the main term is not bounded and is, in fact, of order $O(k^{1/n})$. On the other hand, for dimensions 3 and 4 the behaviour is different and it will not be possible to obtain the same type of bound.

Theorem C. For $n = 2$ and all $n \geq 5$ there exist $k_n$ such that for all $k \geq k_n$ the eigenvalues $\lambda_k$ on $S^n_+$ satisfy the inequality

$$\lambda_k(S^n_+) \leq C_{W,n}k^{2/n} + 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}k^{1/n}}.$$ 

For $n = 2, 5, 6$ we have $k_n = 1$. This inequality is strict and asymptotically sharp over the lowest order eigenvalues of each chain, in the sense that

$$\lambda_k(S^n_+) - C_{W,n}k^{2/n} \sim 1,$$

along this subsequence.
Remark 1.4. We conjecture that it will also be possible to take $k_n = 1$ for all $n$ larger than 6, but haven’t been able to prove it.

In dimensions 3 and 4 we obtain a similar two-term upper bound by slightly increasing the coefficient of $k^{1/n}$, with equality being attained for one particular value of $k$.

**Theorem D.** On $S^n_+$, with $n = 3$ or 4, the eigenvalues satisfy an inequality of the form

$$\lambda_k\left(S^n_+\right) \leq C_{W,n}k^{2/n} + c_nk^{1/n},$$

for some constant $c_n > 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}}$, holding for all $k \geq 1$. If $n = 3$, $c_3/(2\sqrt{C_{W,3}}) \approx 1.01508$, and equality holds only at $k = 1092$. If $n = 4$, $c_4/(2\sqrt{C_{W,4}}) \approx 1.00096$ and equality holds only at $k = 12240$. In both cases there exists $k_n$ such that for all $k > k_n$ that is a lowest order eigenvalue index of some $K$-chain, we have

$$\lambda_k\left(S^n_+\right) - \left[C_{W,n}k^{2/n} + 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}k^{1/n}}\right] > 0,$$

with the left-hand side converging to zero along this subsequence.

1.2. Wedges. In [BB], Bérard and Besson also considered Pólya’s conjecture on wedges defined by

$$(W^m_{\pi/p} = S^n \cap \left[\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \left\{ (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : x_n = x_{n+1} \tan \varphi, \varphi \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2p}, \frac{\pi}{2p}\right)\right\}\right],$$

for $p$ a positive integer. They then proved that eigenvalues of both $W^2_{\pi/2}$ and $W^2_{\pi/4}$ satisfy Pólya’s conjecture. By noting that $p$ copies of $W^m_{\pi/p}$ tile $S^n_+$, and using an argument similar to that of Pólya’s for planar tiling domains, it is possible to obtain that domains which tile $S^n_+$ also satisfy similar inequalities. As a direct consequence of Theorem A1, we extend, for instance, Bérard and Besson’s result to $W^2_{\pi/p}$.

**Theorem E.** The eigenvalues of $W^2_{\pi/p}$ satisfy Pólya’s conjecture for all positive integer $p$.

For other applications of the results in Section 1.1 to wedges, see Section 8.

1.3. Spheres. Since the spectrum of $S^n$ consists of the union of the Dirichlet and Neumann spectra on $S^n_+$, and taking the results for hemispheres given in Theorem C into consideration, it is to be expected that any bounds measuring the deviation of the spectrum of $S^n$ from the first term in its Weyl asymptotics should include a second term of order $k^{1/n}$. This is indeed the case, as is shown in the next result, where we obtain a sharp estimate for this deviation.

**Theorem F.** For all $n \geq 2$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, the closed eigenvalues of $S^n$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$C_{W,n}(k + 1)^{2/n} - C_{W,n}(k + 1)^{1/n} \leq \lambda_k(S^n) \leq C_{W,n}k^{2/n} + \sqrt{C_{W,n}k^{1/n}},$$

where in this instance $C_{W,n} = C_{W,n}(S^n) = \left(\frac{n!}{2}\right)^{2/n}$. Both inequalities are asymptotically sharp in the sense that for the left-hand side inequality we have

$$\frac{C_{W,n}(k + 1)^{2/n} - \lambda_k}{C_{W,n}(k + 1)^{1/n}} \to 1$$
along the subsequence of the highest order eigenvalues of each chain, while for the right-hand side inequality we have
\[
\frac{\lambda_k - C_{W,n}k^{2/n}}{\sqrt{C_{W,n}k^{1/n}}} \to 1
\]
along the subsequence of the lowest order eigenvalues of each chain. In the case of \( S^2 \) both lower and upper bounds are attained by eigenvalues with the highest and lowest orders of all chains, respectively.

2. Notation and background

The manifolds under study in the present paper, namely \( S^n, S_+^n \) and \( W^n_{\pi/p} \), all have high, unbounded, eigenvalue multiplicities. For our purposes it will thus be convenient to consider not only the corresponding eigenvalues \( \lambda_k \) in increasing order and repeated according to multiplicity, as defined in the Introduction, but also the corresponding sequence of distinct eigenvalues, also considered in increasing order, and which we will denote by \( \lambda_K \) (with an upper-case \( K \)). Whenever necessary, an explicit notation for the manifold under consideration will be used, as in \( \lambda_k(S^n) \) or \( \lambda_K(S^n) \), for instance, and similarly to the corresponding Weyl constant \( C_{W,n} \). However, if this is clear from the context we will omit such an explicit reference.

For the sphere \( S^n \), and given \( K \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we define the quantity \( \sigma(K) \) to be the sum of multiplicities from the zero-th eigenvalue up to the \( K \)-th distinct eigenvalue
\[
\sigma(K) = \sum_{i=0}^K m(i) = m(0) + m(1) + \ldots + m(K).
\]
Note that, since the sphere is connected, \( m(0) = \sigma(0) = 1 \), and for \( K \geq 1 \), \( \sigma(K) = \sigma(K - 1) + m(K) \). We make the convention that \( \sigma(-1) = 0 \).

Each of these distinct eigenvalues \( \lambda_K \) defines the \( K \)-chain of length \( m(K) \) of non-distinct eigenvalues
\[
\lambda_{\sigma(K-1)} = \lambda_{\sigma(K-1)+1} = \cdots = \lambda_{\sigma(K-1)+m(K)-1} = \lambda_K,
\]
and we denote by \( k_- = k_-(K) \) and \( k_+ = k_+(K) \) the lowest and the highest orders of the eigenvalues of the \( K \)-chain, respectively, that is, \( k_- = \sigma(K - 1) \), and \( k_+ = \sigma(K) - 1 = k_- + m(K) - 1 \). If \( K = 0 \), \( k_- = k_+ = 0 \).

In the case of manifolds with boundary such as \( S_+^n \) or \( W^n_{\pi/p} \), and with Dirichlet boundary conditions, we proceed in a similar way as above, except that now \( K \in \mathbb{N} \). We thus have
\[
\sigma(K) = \sum_{i=1}^K m(i) = m(1) + \ldots + m(K),
\]
\[
\lambda_{\sigma(K-1)+1} = \lambda_{\sigma(K-1)+2} = \cdots = \lambda_{\sigma(K-1)+m(K)} = \lambda_K,
\]
with the convention that \( \sigma(0) = 0 \). The corresponding lowest and highest orders in each chain are now given by \( k_- = \sigma(K - 1) + 1 \) and \( k_+ = \sigma(K) = k_- + m(K) - 1 \).

Two straightforward observations are as follows. In both eigenvalue problems, if one eigenvalue in a \( K \)-chain satisfies Pólya’s inequality, then the corresponding eigenvalue of the lowest order in that \( K \)-chain must also satisfy Pólya’s inequality, that is
\[
\lambda_K \geq C_{W,n} k_-^{2/n}.
\]
All eigenvalues of the $K$–chain satisfy Pólya’s inequality if and only if it is satisfied for the highest order $k_+$, that is

$$\lambda_K \geq C_{W,n} k_+^{2/n}. \quad (2.2)$$

2.1. Eigenvalues of $S^n$. The Weyl constant of $S^n$ is given by

$$C_{W,n} = \left( \frac{n!}{2} \right)^{2/n}. \quad (2.2)$$

It is well known (see e.g. BGN) that the distinct closed eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_K = K(K + n - 1), \quad K = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where the multiplicity of $\lambda_K$ equal the dimension of the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $K$, that is,

$$m(K) = \left( \begin{array}{c} n + K \\ n \end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c} n + K - 2 \\ n \end{array} \right),$$

where $\binom{m}{k}$ is considered to be zero if $m < k$. We shall now compute the sum of the multiplicities of the first $K$ eigenvalues. We recall the notion of $K$ to the $n$ rising factorial

$$K^\tau = K(K + 1) \cdots (K + n - 1). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that $K^\tau = K$ and, by convention $K^\tau = 1$. We use the following equivalent notations $\Gamma(n+1) = n! = 1! \pi$, where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, and $K \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$m(K) = \frac{(K + 1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{2K + n - 1}{K + n - 1} = \frac{K^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{2K + n - 1}{K} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sigma(K) = \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K+1)n!} \cdot (n + 2K) = \frac{(K + 1)^{n-1}}{n!} \cdot (n + 2K).$$

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check that the identities with the binomial terms and those with the rising factorial are equivalent. It thus remains only to prove the first identity for $\sigma(K)$, which we do by induction. From

$$\sigma(K) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{K} \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c} n + \ell \\ n \end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c} n + K - 2 \\ n \end{array} \right) \right], \quad (2.5)$$

we see that when $K = 0 \ (2.4)$ holds. Assume now that (2.4) holds for some $K$. We have

$$\sigma(K + 1) = \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K+1)\Gamma(n+1)} \cdot (n + 2K) + \left( \begin{array}{c} n + K + 1 \\ n \end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c} n + K - 1 \\ n \end{array} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(n + K + 1)}{\Gamma(K+2)n!} \cdot [n + 2(K + 1)].$$

\[\Box\]
We extend \( \sigma \) as a smooth function defined over all reals, given by the same formula, namely,
\[
\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{n!}[(x + 1)(x + 2) \ldots (x + n - 1)](n + 2x).
\]
The eigenvalues on each \( K \)-chain, \( \lambda_k \), are ordered by the integers \( k_- \leq k \leq k_+ \) where we now write
\[
k_- = \sigma(K - 1) = \frac{K^{n-1}}{n!}(n + 2(K - 1)),
\]
\[
k_+ = \sigma(K) - 1 = \frac{(K + 1)^{n-1}}{n!}(n + 2K) - 1,
\]
and so,
\[
C_{W,n}k_-^{2/n} = \left((K - 1)^{n-1}(K + 1) - \frac{n!}{2}\right)^{2/n}, \quad C_{W,n}k_+^{2/n} = \left((K + 1)^{n-1}(K + \frac{n}{2}) - \frac{n!}{2}\right)^{2/n}.
\]

2.2. Eigenvalues of \( S^n_+ \). We now consider the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the unit hemisphere \( S^n_+ \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), \( n \geq 2 \). Note that \( |S^n_+| = \frac{n+1}{2} \omega_{n+1} \). Moreover, from the well–known recursion formula
\[
\omega_{n} = 2 \pi \omega_{n-2}, \quad \omega_{2} = \pi, \quad \omega_{1} = 2,
\]
we can prove by induction on \( n \) that
\[
\frac{n+1}{2} \omega_{n} \omega_{n+1} = \frac{2^n}{n!} \pi^n,
\]
and so \( \omega_n |S^n_+| = \frac{2^n}{n!} \pi^n \), yielding
\[
(2.6) \quad C_{W,n} = (n!)^{2/n}.
\]
The distinct eigenvalues of \( S^n_+ \) are given by (cf. \[BB\], \[B\])
\[
(2.7) \quad \bar{\lambda}_K = K(K + n - 1), \quad K = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
with multiplicity
\[
(2.8) \quad m(K) = \binom{n + K - 2}{n - 1} = \binom{n + K - 2}{K - 1} = \frac{K^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.
\]
Recall the parallel summation
\[
\sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \binom{r + s}{s} = \binom{r + k}{k - 1}, \quad \text{valid for all } r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ (\text{cf. } \[AS\], \[B\]).
\]
Letting \( r = n - 1 \) we get
\[
\sigma(K) = \sum_{s=1}^{K} \binom{n + s - 2}{s - 1} = \sum_{s=0}^{K-1} \binom{n - 1 + s}{s} = \binom{n + K - 1}{K - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)\Gamma(n + 1)} = \frac{K^n}{n!}.
\]
The \( K \)-chain of eigenvalues \( \lambda_k \) defined by \( K(K + n - 1) \) corresponds to the integers \( k \) such that \( k_- \leq k \leq k_+ \), where
\[
k_- = \sigma(K - 1) + 1 = \frac{(K - 1)^n + n!}{n!}, \quad k_+ = \sigma(K) = \frac{K^n}{n!}.
\]
Therefore,
\[
C_{W,n}k_-^{2/n} = \left((K - 1)^n + n!\right)^{2/n}, \quad C_{W,n}k_+^{2/n} = \left(K^n\right)^{2/n}.
\]
We now introduce the following three functionals on distinct eigenvalues $\overline{\lambda}_K$ of $S^*_n$,

$$\mathcal{R}(K) = \mathcal{R}_n(K) := \frac{C_{W,n} \sigma(K)^{2/n}}{K} = \frac{\left(\Gamma(n+K)\right)^{2/n}}{K^{(K+n-1)}} = \frac{(K^n)^{2/n}}{K^{(K+n-1)}},$$

$$\Phi(K) = \Phi_n(K) := C_{W,n}(\sigma(K))^{2/n} - \overline{\lambda}_K = \left(\frac{\Gamma(n+K)}{\Gamma(K)}\right)^{2/n} - K(K+n-1),$$

$$\Theta(K) = \Theta_n(K) := \frac{\overline{\lambda}_K - C_{W,n}(\sigma(K-1) + 1)^{2/n}}{\sqrt{C_{W,n}(\sigma(K-1) + 1)^{1/n}}} = \frac{K(K+n-1) - ((K-1)^{\overline{\pi}} + n)^{2/n}}{(K-1)^{\overline{\pi}} + n!^{1/n}},$$

where we shall omit the index $n$ whenever this is clear. With this notation we may reformulate inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) as $\Theta(K) \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(K) \leq 1$ (or $\Phi(K) \leq 0$), respectively. By Weyl’s asymptotic formula taking the subsequence defined by $k = \sigma(K)$, $K = 1, 2, \ldots$, we have $\mathcal{R}(K) \to 1$ when $K \to +\infty$.

Our first results consist on looking for which $K \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$, the Pólya’s inequality holds for the lowest order eigenvalue of a $K-$chain, that is the weakest inequality holds

$$K(K+n-1) = \overline{\lambda}_K \geq C_{W,n}k_+^{-2/n} = ((K-1)^{\overline{\pi}} + n!)^{2/n},$$

and when it holds for the highest order eigenvalue, that is the strongest inequality holds

$$K(K+n-1) = \overline{\lambda}_K \geq C_{W,n}k_+^{-2/n} = (K^n)^{2/n}. \tag{2.10}$$

2.3. Elementary symmetric functions. Pólya’s inequalities for spheres and hemispheres are equivalent to certain polynomial inequalities which may be stated in terms of elementary symmetric functions. Following [M], for instance, for any natural number $n$ we define the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\sigma_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1, \quad \sigma_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_j} x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_j}, \tag{2.11}$$

and the related constants $s_j(n)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$,

$$s_j(n) = \sigma_j(1, 2, \ldots, n). \tag{2.12}$$

These functions appear in the factorization of monic polynomials such as

$$(K + x_1)(K + x_2) \ldots (K + x_n) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sigma_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n)K^{n-j},$$

which, in the particular case of $x_i = i - 1$, yields

$$K^{\overline{\pi}} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} s_j(n-1)K^{n-j}. \tag{2.13}$$

3. Proof of Theorem A

The first eigenvalue ($k = K = 1$) satisfies Pólya’s inequality if and only if $n^{n/2} / n! \geq n^2$, which holds for $n = 1, 2$, while from Stirling’s lower bound (A.1) we see it cannot hold for $n \geq 3$. This proves the last claim in item 2.

If $K \geq 2$, all eigenvalues of the $K-$chain satisfy Pólya’s inequality if and only if (2.10) is satisfied. This inequality holds for $n = 2$, and statement 1. is proved. From the left-hand side
inequality of Lemma A.1 we conclude that (2.10) never holds for any \( n \geq 3 \). This completes the proof of item 2. Furthermore, some eigenvalue of the \( K \)-chain satisfies Pólya’s inequality if (2.9) holds, or equivalently

\[
Q_n(K) := (K(K + n - 1))^n - ((K - 1)^{\overline{n}} + n!)^2 \geq 0.
\]

(3.1)

For \( n = 2, \ldots, 8 \) inequality (3.1) holds for any \( K \geq 2 \). This may be verified by determining the polynomials \( Q_n(K) \) and computing their derivatives with respect to \( K \), since for all \( K \geq 2 \) these are positive polynomials and \( Q_n(2) > 0 \) for all \( n \leq 8 \). However, this will no longer be the case for \( n = 9 \).

Next we complete the proof of item 3. for any \( n \geq 3 \) by proving the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( n \geq 3 \), the polynomial \( Q_n(K) \) is of degree \( 2n - 1 \) with principal coefficient given by \( 2n \). In particular, we can find \( K_n \geq 2 \) such that for all \( K \geq K_n \) the lowest order eigenvalue \( \lambda_{\ell-} \) of the \( K \)-chain satisfies Pólya’s inequality.

**Proof.** We have

\[
[K(K + n - 1)]^n = [(n - 1)K + K^2]^n
= \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (n - 1)^l K^{2n-l}
= K^{2n} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \binom{n}{l} (n - 1)^l K^{2n-l}.
\]

Set \( \sigma_l = \sigma_l(-1, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2) \), for \( l = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \), (see (2.11)). We have the following identity,

\[
(K - 1)K(K + 1) \ldots (K + n - 2) = K [(K - 1)(K + 1) \ldots (K + n - 2)] = K \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sigma_l K^{n-1-l} \right),
\]

and so

\[
[(K - 1)K(K + 1) \ldots (K + n - 2) + n!]^2 = [(K - 1)K(K + 1) \ldots (K + n - 2)]^2 + (n!)^2 + 2n!(K - 1)K(K + 1) \ldots (K + n - 2)
= K^2 \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sigma_l K^{n-1-l} \right)^2 + (n!)^2 + 2n!K \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sigma_l K^{n-1-l}
= K^2 \left( \sigma_0 K^{n-1} + \sigma_1 K^{n-2} + \ldots + \sigma_{n-2} K + \sigma_{n-1} \right)^2
+ 2n!K \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sigma_l K^{n-1-l} + (n!)^2.
\]
Note that if $0 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$, then $1 \leq i + j \leq 2n - 3$. Hence,

$$[(K - 1)K(K + 1)\ldots(K + n - 2) + n]^2 = K^2\left(\sigma_2^2K^{2(n-1)} + \sigma_1^2K^{2(n-2)} + \ldots + \sigma_{n-2}^2K^2 + \sigma_{n-1}^2\right) + 2\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sigma_iK^{n-i-1}\sigma_jK^{n-j-1} + 2n!K\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sigma_lK^{n-1-l} + (n!)^2$$

$$= K^{2n} + \sigma_2^2K^{2n-2} + \sigma_1^2K^{2n-4} + \ldots + \sigma_{n-2}^2K^2 + \sigma_{n-1}^2K^2 + 2\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sigma_i\sigma_jK^{2n-(i+j)} + 2n!(K^n + \sigma_1K^{n-1} + \ldots + \sigma_{n-2}K^2 + \sigma_{n-1}K) + (n!)^2$$

$$= K^{2n} + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sigma_s^2K^{2n-2s} + \sum_{l=1}^{2n-3} \left( \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} 2\sigma_i\sigma_j \right)K^{2n-l} + 2n!(K^n + \sigma_1K^{n-1} + \ldots + \sigma_{n-1}K) + (n!)^2.$$

Therefore, (3.11) holds if and only if

$$Q_n(K) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \binom{n}{l}(n - 1)^lK^{2n-l} - \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sigma_s^2K^{2n-2s} - \sum_{l=1}^{2n-3} \left( \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} 2\sigma_i\sigma_j \right)K^{2n-l} + 2n!(K^n + \sigma_1K^{n-1} + \ldots + \sigma_{n-2}K^2 + \sigma_{n-1}K) - (n!)^2 \geq 0.$$  

Using that $\sigma_1(1, 2, \ldots, n-2) = s_1(n-2)$ (see (2.11), (2.12)) and Appendix B we have

$$\sigma_1(-1, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2) = -1 + \sigma_1(1, 2, \ldots, n-2) = -1 + \frac{(n - 1)(n - 2)}{2} = \frac{n(n - 3)}{2}.$$  

Hence, the polynomial $Q_n(K)$ is of degree $2n - 1$ with principal coefficient given by

$$\binom{n}{1}(n - 1) - 2\sigma_0\sigma_1 = n(n - 1) - n(n - 3) = 2n,$$

and the lemma follows. \[\square\]

The next result is a consequence of Theorem A.3, but we shall now give a direct proof.

**Corollary 3.2.** The lowest order eigenvalue of the 2-chain satisfies Pólya’s inequality if and only if $n \leq 8$.

**Proof.** For any $n \geq 2$ if we make $K = 2$ inequality (3.11) becomes equivalent to

$$\phi(n) := (2n!)^2 - (n + 1)^n2^n \leq 0.$$
For \( n \leq 8 \) we have \( \phi(n) < 0 \), but \( \phi(9) > 0 \). We will prove by induction that \( \phi(n) > 0 \) holds for all \( n \) greater than 9. By the induction hypothesis we have

\[
((n + 1)!)^2 = (n!)^2(n + 1)^2 > (n + 1)^{2n^2}2n^2(n + 1)^2 = (n + 1)^{n+2}2^{n-2}.
\]

If we show that \( \xi(n) \geq 2 \), where \( \xi(n) = (n + 1)^{n+2}/(n + 2)^{n+1} \), we finish the proof, and we see this holds since \( \xi'(n) > 0 \) and \( \xi(9) > 3 \). □

4. **Pólya’s inequality for eigenvalue averages on \( S^m_+ \)**

In the Euclidean case the point of departure for the Li and Yau estimates are their results for the sum of the first \( n \) eigenvalues. Here we may also derive estimates of a similar type, and we shall do so for both the first \( n \) eigenvalues and also within each chain of eigenvalues. If \( n = 2 \), by Theorem A 1. all eigenvalues satisfy Pólya. Hence we will assume \( n \geq 3 \), except where specific values are indicated.

**Theorem 4.1.** For the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the \( n \)-dimensional hemisphere \( S^m_+ \) we have the following:

1. for all \( n \) there exists \( K'_n \geq 2 \) such that for all \( K \geq K'_n \) the eigenvalue of the corresponding \( K \)-chain satisfies

\[
\sum_{K} > \frac{C_{W,n}}{m} \sum_{k=q}^{q+m-1} k^{2/n}.
\]

In particular \( K'_n = 2 \), for \( n = 3, 4, 5 \), \( K'_6 = 3 \) and \( K'_10 = 10 \).

2. for all \( n \) the total average sequence satisfies

\[
\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\lambda_j - C_{W,n} j^{2/n}) = +\infty.
\]

**Remark 4.1.** From item 1. reduces to \( K(K+1) > m(K) + 1 = K + 1 \), which holds for all \( K \geq 2 \). For \( K = 1 \) we have an equality. Moreover, choosing the largest order of the \( K \)-chain, \( k = k(K) = \sigma(K) = K(K+1)/2 \), \( K \geq 1 \), we can compute the full sum explicitly to obtain

\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\lambda_j - C_{W,n} j^{2/n}) = \frac{2}{3} (K - 1), \quad \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j = k^2 + k \sqrt{8k + 1} + \frac{1}{3}.
\]

For some generic order in the \( (K+1) \)-chain, \( \hat{k} \geq 2 \), \( \hat{k} = k + r \), with \( r = 1, \ldots, m(K+1) = K+1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{8k+1}+1) \), we get

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{k}} \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j + r(K+1)(K+2) = \hat{k}^2 + \hat{k} \times Q(k, r)
\]

where, for \( 1 \leq r \leq K + 1 \),

\[
Q(k, r) = 1 + \left[ -r^2 + (2K+1)r + 6^{-1}K(K+1)(2K-5) \right] \times \left[ r + (K(K+1)/2) \right]^{-1} \geq 1.
\]

**Remark 4.2.** From item 2. it follows that for any non-negative \( L \)

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j \geq \frac{n}{n+2} C_{W,n} k^{2+1} + kL
\]
holds for \( k \) sufficiently large – compare with the Li and Yau inequality 
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j \geq \frac{n}{n+2} C_{W,n} k^{2/n+1}
\]
(cf. [LY]) for Dirichlet eigenvalues on bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Let \( k \in [k_-, k_+] \) be an integer of a \( K \)-chain, that is, \( k = k_j = \sigma(K - 1) + j \) where \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m(K) \). For each positive integer (or positive real, when appropriate) \( j \) we define
\[
(4.1) \quad \Upsilon_j(K) := (K - 1)^{\frac{m}{n}} + jn!,
\]
and consider the following quantities defined by the elementary symmetric functions (2.12)
\[
(4.2) \quad \hat{s}_i := s_i(n-1), \quad \text{if } 0 \leq l \leq n - 1, \quad \hat{s}_n := n!,
\]
where the value for \( \hat{s}_n \) is defined to be \( n! \) for the sake of simplicity. Let
\[
(4.3) \quad y_j(K) = \frac{\hat{s}_1}{K-1} + \frac{\hat{s}_2}{(K-1)^2} + \cdots + \frac{\hat{s}_{n-1}}{(K-1)^{n-1}} + \frac{j\hat{s}_n}{(K-1)^n}, \quad \forall K \geq 2.
\]
The following identities hold (see (2.13))
\[
(4.4) \quad \Upsilon_j(K) = (K - 1)^n + \hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-1} + \hat{s}_2(K - 1)^{n-2} + \cdots + \hat{s}_{n-1}(K - 1) + j\hat{s}_n.
\]
\[
(4.5) \quad = (K - 1)^n(1 + y_j(K))
\]
Then, for \( K \geq 2 \)
\[
(4.6) \quad C_{W,n} k_j^{2/n} = (\Upsilon_j(K))^{2/n} = (K - 1)^2 (1 + y_j(K))^{2/n}.
\]

We will consider a mean–value function defined on each \( K \)-chain by
\[
P_m(K) := \frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{k_{k_-}}^{k_+(K)} \left( \lambda_k - C_{W,n} k_j^{2/n} \right) = K(K + n - 1) - \frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=1}^{m(K)} C_{W,n} k_j^{2/n},
\]
and the following polynomial function
\[
Q(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \left( \frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_{i+2} + \hat{s}_{i+1} \right) x^i + 2(n - 1)!x^{n-2},
\]
where in case \( n = 3 \) the summation term is assumed to be zero.

**Proposition 4.2.** There exists \( K'_n \geq 2 \) such that
\[
\sum_{k=k_-(K)}^{k_+(K)} (\lambda_k - C_{W,n} k_j^{2/n}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } K \geq K'_n.
\]
Moreover, for all \( K \geq 2 \),
\[
P_m(K) \geq (K - 1) + T'_n, \quad \text{where } T'_n = (n - \frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_1) - Q(1). \quad \text{We may take } K'_n \geq 2 \text{ satisfying } K'_n \geq -T'_n + 1.
\]

**Proof.** We must have \( K'_n \geq 2 \), since Pólya’s inequality is not satisfied for \( K = 1 \). Let
\[
Pol_j(K) := K(K + n - 1) - C_{W,n} k_j^{2/n}
\]
Using (16) and the upper bound in Lemma A.2, \((1 + y)^{2/n} \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n}y\), we get for \(K \geq 2\)

\[
\text{Pol}_j(K) \geq (K - 1)^2 + (n + 1)(K - 1) + n - (K - 1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{s}_i}{(K - 1)^i} + \frac{jq!}{(K - 1)^n}\right)
\]

\[
= [n + 1 - \frac{2}{n}\hat{s}_1](K - 1) + [n - \frac{2}{n}\hat{s}_2] - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{s}_i}{(K - 1)^{i-2}} - \frac{2}{n} jq!\frac{1}{(K - 1)^n},
\]

where if \(n = 3\) the summation term is zero. Since \(K^{n-1} = (K - 1)^n/(K - 1)\), from (2.13) we have \(m(K) = \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{s}_i(K - 1)^{n-(i+1)}\). Therefore,

\[
\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=1}^{m(K)} j = \frac{m(K) + 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(n - 1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{s}_i(K - 1)^{n-(i+1)},
\]

and using that \(\hat{s}_{n-1} = (n - 1)!\) we thus obtain the following estimate for the average

\[
\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=1}^{m(K)} \text{Pol}_j(K) \geq (K - 1) + [n - \frac{2}{n}\hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_1] - \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \frac{2}{n} \frac{\hat{s}_{i+2} + \hat{s}_{i+1}}{(K - 1)^i} - \frac{2(n - 1)!}{(K - 1)^{n-2}}.
\]

Then, for \(K \geq 2\), \(P_m(K) \geq K - 1 + [n - \frac{2}{n}\hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_1] - Q((K - 1)^{-1})\). Let \(x_0 \in (0, 1]\) such that for all \(0 < x \leq x_0\), \(Q(x) \leq x^{-1} + n - \frac{2}{n}\hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_1\). Then \(T_n' = n - \frac{2}{n}\hat{s}_2 - \hat{s}_1 - Q(1)\), and for \((K - 1) \geq x_0^{-1}\), \(P_m(K) \geq 0\). The lower bound for \(K_n'\) is obtained by taking \(K_n' \geq 1 - T_n'\).

For small \(n\) we can improve the choice of \(K_n'\) by direct inspection, instead of using the rough upper bound for \((1 + y)^{2/n}\) as was done above. This allows us to obtain \(K_n' = 2\) for \(n = 3, 4, 5\), \(K_6' = 3\), and \(K_0' = 10\). These considerations together with the above proposition prove item 1.

of Theorem 4.1.

If \(K = 1\), \(P_m(K) = n - (n!)^{2/n} < 0\). Given \(K \geq 2\), let \(j^\dagger(K) \in [1, m(K)]\) such that \(Pol_{j^\dagger(K)}(K) = 0\). Then \(Pol_j(K) < 0\) for all \(j^\dagger(K) < j \leq m(K)\) and \(Pol_j(K) \geq 0\), \(\forall j \leq j^\dagger(K)\). This \(j^\dagger(K)\) exists by Lemmas A.1 and A.4 and it is smooth for \(K \in (1, +\infty)\) by the implicit function theorem. In Proposition 4.1 we will approximate \(j^\dagger(K)\) by a polynomial function \(j^\dagger(K)\) with rational coefficients.

An integer-valued polynomial function, \(P_t \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Q}[K]\), that is \(P_t(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}\), is given by a unique integral linear combination of binomial coefficients, \(P_t(K) = \sum_{r=0}^{m} a_r \binom{K}{r}\) (cf. [11]), with the convention \(\binom{K}{r} = 0\) if \(K < r\). Hence, \(m!P_t \in \mathbb{Z}[K]\). Polynomials in \(\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\) of degree at most \(m\) are uniquely determined by assigning arbitrary integer values to a given set of \(m + 1\) consecutive arguments (cf. [CC]). This space is invariant by integral translations on \(K\). For example, the Hilbert polynomials, \(H_m(K) := \binom{K+m}{m}\), are elements of \(\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\) of degree \(m\) with
$H_m(0) = 1$. For each $n \geq 2$ we define

$$j^*(K) = j^*_{n-1}(K) := H_{n-1}(K - 1) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} b_l^*(n-1)(K - 1)^l = m(K)$$

where $b_l^*(n-1) = \frac{\hat{s}_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$, $l = 0, \ldots, n - 1$.

We take $j(K) := \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} b_l(K - 1)^l$ to be any polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$ (not necessarily integer-valued), such that $1 \leq j(K) = j^*_{n-1}(K)$ for $K$ large. Therefore, either $b_{n-1} < b_{n-1}^*(n-1) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$, or $b_{n-1} = b_{n-1}^*(n-1)$, and $b_{n-2} < b_{n-2}^*(n-1) = \frac{\hat{s}_1}{(n-1)!} = \frac{n}{2(n-2)!}$, and so on.

**Lemma 4.3.** We have

$$\text{Pol}_{j(K)}(K) = K(K + n - 1) - ((K - 1)^n + n!j(K))^{2/n}$$

$$= F_1(K - 1) + F_0 + \frac{F_{-1}}{(K - 1)} + o\left(\frac{1}{K - 1}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
F_1 := (n + 1) - \frac{2}{n} (\hat{s}_1 + n! b_{n-1}) \\
F_0 := n - \frac{2}{n} (\hat{s}_2 + n! b_{n-2}) + \frac{(n - 2)}{n^2} (\hat{s}_1 + n! b_{n-1})^2 \\
F_{-1} := -\frac{2}{n} (\hat{s}_3 + n! b_{n-3}) + \frac{n - 2}{n^2} 2(\hat{s}_1 + n! b_{n-1})(\hat{s}_2 + n! b_{n-2}) - \frac{2(n-1)(n-2)}{3n^3} (\hat{s}_1 + n! b_{n-1})^3,
\end{cases}$$

and $\hat{s}_3 = 0$ if $n = 3$. The following holds.

1) If $F_1 = 0$ if and only if $b_{n-1} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}$, that is, $j^*(K) - j(K)$ is of degree at most $n - 2$. Moreover, either $F_1 = 0$ or $F_1 > 0$ and so $\text{Pol}_{j(K)}(K) > 0$ for $K$ sufficiently large.

2) If $F_1 = 0$, then $F_0 = 0$ if and only if $b_{n-2} = \frac{5n+2}{12(n-2)!}$. Moreover, if $F_0 > 0$, we have $b_{n-2} < \frac{5n+2}{12(n-2)!} < b_{n-2}^*(n-1)$, and $\text{Pol}_{j(K)}(K) \geq 0$ for $K$ sufficiently large; if $F_0 < 0$, we have $b_{n-2} < \frac{5n+2}{12(n-2)!} < b_{n-2}^*(n-1)$ and $\text{Pol}_{j(K)}(K) < 0$ for $K$ sufficiently large; if $F_0 = 0$, we have $b_{n-2} < b_{n-2}^*(n-1)$ and the sign of $\text{Pol}_{j(K)}(K)$ will be given by the sign of $F_{-1}$ if not zero, proceeding as above taking a higher order Taylor expansion.

3) Given $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{Pol}_{j}(K) > 0$ and $\text{Pol}_{m(K) - j}(K) < 0$ hold for all $K$ sufficiently large.

**Proof.** Using the third order Taylor expansion of $(1 + y)^{2/n}$ for small $y$ we obtain

$$\text{Pol}_{j(K)}(K) = (K - 1)^2 + (n + 1)(K - 1) + n - (K - 1)^2 \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{s}_l + n! b_{n-l}}{(K - 1)^l} + \frac{n! b_0}{(K - 1)^n}\right)^{2/n}$$
This means the statements now follow trivially. Note that in 1) \( n \geq 1 \). In 2) the inequality \( \frac{5n+2}{12(n-2)!} < b_{n-2}^* - b_{n-1}^* \) holds for all \( n \geq 3 \). Given \( j \), the constant polynomial \( j(K) = j \) satisfies \( j(K) < m(K) \) for \( K \) sufficiently large, and \( b_{n-1} = 0 \) implying \( F_1 = 2 \) and so \( Pol_j(K) > 0 \) proving the first inequality of 3). The second inequality is a trivial application of 1).

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( G = \frac{n-2}{12} \in \mathbb{Q} \). Consider the element of \( \mathbb{Q}[K] \) of degree \((n-1)\) given by

\[
j^*(K) = j_{n-1}^*(K) - G j_{n-2}^*(K).
\]

For any \( j(K) \in \mathbb{R}[K] \) satisfying \( 1 \leq j(K) \leq j^*(K) \) for \( K \) large, the following holds.

(a) If \( j(K) > j^*(K) \) for \( K \) large, then \( j(K) > j^*(K) \) for all \( K \) sufficiently large.

(b) If \( j(K) < j^*(K) \) for \( K \) large, then \( j(K) < j^*(K) \) for all \( K \) sufficiently large.

In particular, given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exist \( K_\epsilon \) such that \( \sup_{K \geq K_\epsilon} |j^*(K) - j^*(K)| < \epsilon \).

**Remark 4.3.** If \( j(K) = j^*(K) \), in order to determine the sign of \( Pol_{j(K)}(K) \) we need to use a Taylor expansion of higher order in Lemma 4.3.

**Proof.** Denote the coefficients of \( j_{n-1}^*(K) \), \( j_{n-2}^*(K) \), and \( j(K) \) by \( b_1^*, \tilde{b}_1^* \) and \( b_1 \), respectively.

(a) By the conditions on \( j(K) \), we must have \( b_{n-1} = b_{n-1}^* \) and so, \( F_1 = 0 \) from 1. of the previous Lemma. Moreover, since \( \hat{s}_1/(n-1) - G = (5n+2)/12 \), then

\[
b_{n-2} > b_{n-2}^* - G \tilde{b}_{n-2} = \frac{\hat{s}_1}{(n-1)!} - \frac{G}{(n-2)!} = \frac{5n+2}{12(n-2)!}.
\]

This means \( F_0 < 0 \) and so (a) follows from Lemma 4.3 2. (b) From the conditions on \( j(K) \), \( b_{n-1} < b_{n-1}^* \) and so \( F_1 > 0 \), and the result follows from from Lemma 4.3 1. Taking \( j(K) = j^*(K) \pm \epsilon \) (note that \( 1 \leq j(K) \leq j^*(K) \) for \( K \) large) we obtain \( j^*(K) - \epsilon \leq j^*(K) \leq j^*(K) + \epsilon \), for all \( K \geq K_\epsilon \) proving the last statement. \( \square \)
Using Proposition 4.2 given $L > 0$ we can take $K_{n,L} \geq K'_n + 1$ such that $P_m(K) \geq L$ for all $K \geq K_{n,L}$. Then for $K \geq K_{n,L}$ we have

\[
PM(K) := \frac{1}{\sigma(K)} \sum_{k=1}^{K'} \left( \lambda_k - C_{W,n} k^{2/n} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{K'=1}^{K'-1} \frac{m(K')P_m(K')}{\sigma(K)} + \sum_{K'=K'_n}^{K_{n,L}} \frac{m(K')P_m(K')}{\sigma(K)} + \sum_{K'=K_{n,L}}^{K} \frac{m(K')P_m(K')}{\sigma(K)}
\]

\[
\geq T_n + \frac{(\sigma(K) - \sigma(K_{n,L} - 1))L}{\sigma(K)}
\]

where $T_n = \sum_{K'=1}^{K'-1} m(K')P_m(K')/\sigma(K)$. The last term in (4.7) converges to $L$ when $K \to +\infty$.

Therefore, $PM(K)$ is as large as we want, proving the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} PM(K) = +\infty \).

**Proof of item 2. of Theorem 4.4.** Let $k \geq 2$ belong to a $K$–chain, $k = \sigma(K - 1) + j(K)$, where $1 \leq j(K) \leq m(K)$. Then

\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=1}^{k} (\lambda_s - C_{W,n} s^{2/n}) = \frac{\sigma(K - 1)}{\sigma(K - 1) + j(K)} PM(K - 1) + \frac{1}{\sigma(K - 1) + j(K)} \sum_{j=1}^{j(K)} Pol_{j}(K).
\]

Moreover, since $j(K) \leq m(K)$,

\[
\frac{j(K)}{\sigma(K - 1) + j(K)} \leq \frac{m(K)}{m(K)} = \frac{m(K)}{n} = \frac{n}{K + n - 1} \to 0,
\]

when $K \to +\infty$. Therefore, \( \frac{\sigma(K - 1)}{\sigma(K - 1) + j(K)} \to 1 \), and the first term of the above equality converges to $+\infty$ as a consequence of previous lemma. If $j(K) \leq j'(K)$, the second term is positive, and we are done. If $j(K) > j'(K)$, we note that,

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma(K - 1) + j(K)} \sum_{j=1}^{j(K)} Pol_{j}(K) \geq \frac{1}{\sigma(K - 1) + j(K)} \sum_{j=1}^{m(K)} Pol_{j}(K) \geq 0,
\]

where the last inequality follows from item 1. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

5. **PROOF OF THEOREM B**

We first prove some properties of the operator $\Phi$ defined in Section 2.2 for which we need the following estimates.

**Lemma 5.1.** 1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following inequality holds for all positive real $K$

\[
(n + 2K) \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{n}{K} \right)^{2/n} - 1 \right] > 4.
\]

2. For any $n \geq 3$ the following (strict) inequality holds

\[
(n!)^{2/n} \left[ (n + 1)^{2/n} - 1 \right] > n + 2,
\]
while for $n = 1, 2$ we have equality.

Proof. From $\left(1 + \frac{n}{K}\right)^{2/n} \geq 1 + \frac{2}{n} \log \left(1 + \frac{n}{K}\right)$ valid for all positive $K$ and $n$ we obtain

$$(n + 2K) \left[\left(1 + \frac{n}{K}\right)^{2/n} - 1\right] \geq 2 \left(1 + \frac{2K}{n}\right) \log \left(1 + \frac{n}{K}\right).$$

Letting $x = n/K$, we want to prove that $h(x) := 2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{x}\right) \log(1 + x) \geq 4$ for all positive $x$. We first note that $\lim_{x \to 0^+} h(x) = 4$. On the other hand,

$$h'(x) = -\frac{4}{x^2} \log(1 + x) + 2 \frac{x + 2}{x(x + 1)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{x} \left(-2 \frac{\log(1 + x)}{x} + \frac{x + 2}{x + 1}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{x} \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{x + 1}} + \frac{x + 2}{x + 1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{x(x + 1)} (-2\sqrt{x + 1} + x + 2)$$

$$\geq 0,$$

where the first inequality follows from $\log(1 + x) \leq x/\sqrt{1 + x}$, and both this and the second inequality follow by noticing that there is equality at $x = 0$ and then checking the sign of the corresponding derivatives. With this we have shown that $h$ is increasing which, together with the limit as $x$ approaches zero shown above, proves 1.

To prove 2. we combine the inequalities $(n!)^{2/n} \geq e^{-2n^2}$ and

$$(n + 1)^{2/n} - 1 = e^{2\log(n+1)/n} - 1 \geq \frac{2}{n} \log(n + 1) + \frac{2}{n^2} \log^2(n + 1),$$

to obtain

$$(n!)^{2/n} \left[\left((n + 1)^{2/n} - 1\right) \geq \frac{2}{e^2} \left[\frac{2}{n^2} \log(n + 1) + \frac{2}{n^2} \log^2(n + 1)\right]\right]$$

$$= \frac{2\log(n + 1)}{e^2} n + \frac{2}{e^2} \log^2(n + 1).$$

When $n$ equals 40 we get $\frac{2\log(41)}{e^2} \approx 1.005$ and $\frac{2}{e^2} \log^2(41) \approx 3.733$, and the result now follows from the monotonicity of these two functions and by checking the cases when $n$ is less than 40 individually. □

Lemma 5.2. For any $n \geq 3$ and all $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi(K + 1) > \Phi(K) + 2(K - 1)$.

Proof. For fixed $n \geq 2$ we will prove by the result by induction in $K \in \mathbb{N}$. By a direct calculation, we have for $K = 1$

$$\Phi(2) - \Phi(1) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(2 + n)}{\Gamma(2)}\right)^{2/n} - 2(n + 1) - \left[\left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + n)}{\Gamma(1)}\right)^{2/n} - n\right]$$

$$= [(n + 1)!]^{2/n} - (n!)^{2/n} - n - 2$$

$$= (n!)^{2/n} [(n + 1)^{2/n} - 1] - n - 2.$$
By Lemma 5.1 2) this last quantity is always positive for all \( n \geq 3 \).

Assume that for a fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \), the following inequality holds for some \( K \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\Phi(K+1) - \Phi(K) = \left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n+1)}{\Gamma(K+1)} \right)^{2/n} - (n+K)(K+1) - \left[ \left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} - (n+K-1)K \right] > 2(K-1).
\]

That is to say, the induction hypothesis

\[
\left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n+1)}{\Gamma(K+1)} \right)^{2/n} - \left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} > n + 4K - 2
\]

holds for \( K \). We thus have

\[
\left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n+2)}{\Gamma(K+2)} \right)^{2/n} - \left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n+1)}{\Gamma(K+1)} \right)^{2/n} = \left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n+1)}{\Gamma(K+1)} \right)^{2/n} \left[ \left( \frac{K+n+1}{K+1} \right)^{2/n} - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\Gamma(K+n)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} \left[ \left( \frac{K+n}{K} \right)^{2/n} - 1 \right] \left( \frac{K+n}{K} \right)^{2/n} \times \left[ \left( \frac{K+n+1}{K+1} \right)^{2/n} - 1 \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
> (n+4K-2) \left( \frac{K+n}{K} \right)^{2/n} \geq (n+4K-2) \left( 1 + \frac{4}{2K+n} \right) \geq n+4K+2,
\]

where the one but last inequality follows from Lemma 5.1 1. Therefore, we have

\[
\Phi(K+2) - \Phi(K+1) > (n+4K+2) - (n+K+1)(K+2) + (n+K)(K+1) = 2K,
\]

completing the proof.

□

Lemma 5.3. The expansion

\[
\left[ \frac{\Gamma(n+K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right]^{2/n} = K^2 + (n-1)K + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} + o(K)
\]

as \( K \to \infty \), holds.
Proof. We have
\[
\left[ \frac{\Gamma(n+K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right]^{2/n} = [K(K+1) \ldots (K+n-1)]^{2/n}
\]
\[
= \left[ K^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{K} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2}{K} \right) \ldots \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{K} \right) \right]^{2/n}
\]
\[
= K^2 \left[ 1 + \hat{s}_1 \frac{1}{K} + \hat{s}_2 \frac{1}{K^2} + o \left( \frac{1}{K^2} \right) \right]^{2/n}
\]
\[
= K^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2K} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)n(3n-1)}{24K^2} + o \left( \frac{1}{K^2} \right) \right]^{2/n},
\]
where \( \hat{s}_1 \) and \( \hat{s}_2 \) are as in (4.2). The lemma now follows from the binomial expansion. \( \square \)

Lemma 5.4.
\[
\lim_{K \to \infty} \Phi(K) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} =: c(n).
\]
Proof. From Lemma 5.3,
\[
\Phi(K) = \left( K^2 + (n-1)K + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} + o(K) \right) - K(K+n-1)
\]
\[
= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} + o(K),
\]
as \( K \to +\infty \), and the limit follows. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem B. If \( n = 2 \) then \( \Phi(K) = 0 \). Now we assume \( n \geq 3 \). By the above lemmas \( \Phi(K) \) is an increasing sequence converging to \( c(n) \). In particular, for all \( K \geq 1 \),
\[
(5.2) \quad \lambda_K > \left( \frac{\Gamma(n+K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} - c(n).
\]
Hence, \( \Phi(K) < c(n) \). If \( \lambda_k \) is in the \( K \)-chain, then \( k \leq k_+ \) and using (5.2) we have
\[
\lambda_k = \lambda_K > C_{W,n} \sigma(K)^{2/n} - c(n) = C_{W,n} k_+^{2/n} - c(n) \geq C_{W,n} k^{2/n} - c(n).
\]
Equality is only possible if \( n = 2 \), hence \( C_{W,2} = 1 \) and \( c(2) = \Phi(K) = 0 \). \( \square \)

6. Proof of Theorems C and D

The proof of Theorem C will be derived from properties of the function \( \Theta \) defined in Section 2.2 and which we now write as
\[
\Theta(K) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_K - \Upsilon(K)^{2/n}}{\Upsilon(K)^{1/n}}
\]
where \( \Upsilon(K) = \Upsilon_1(K) \) is given in (4.4) for \( j = 1 \).
Recall that \( Q_n(K) = (K(K+n-1))^n - (\Upsilon(K))^2 \) (see 3.1), and so, \( \Theta(K) > 0 \) if and only if \( Q_n(K) > 0 \), holds for \( K \) large enough. On the other hand, \( \Theta(1) = \frac{n-(n!)^{2/n}}{(n!)^{1/n}} < 0 \). The main objective is to find the value of \( \sup_{K \geq 1} \Theta(K) \).

Consider the quantities \( \hat{s}_1 \) defined by (4.2) and \( \hat{x}(K) = y_1(K) \) given in (4.3) for \( j = 1 \).
Lemma 6.1. We have \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} \Theta(K) = 2 \). In particular \( \Theta(K) \) is bounded and \( \sup K \Theta(K) \geq 2 \).

Proof. Using Lemma A.2 when \( \hat{x}(K) \to 0 \), we have \( ((K - 1)^{\pi} + n!)^{1/n} = (K - 1) + O(1) \) when \( K \to +\infty \) and

\[
((K - 1)^{\pi} + n!)^{2/n} = (K - 1)^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{n} \hat{x}(K) - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \hat{x}(K)^2 + o(\hat{x}(K)^2) \right] \\
= (K - 1)^2 + \frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_1(K - 1) + \left[ \frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_2 - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_1^2 \right] \\
+ \left[ \frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_3 - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_1 \hat{s}_2 \right] \frac{1}{K-1} + o \left( \frac{1}{K} \right).
\]

Moreover, \( K(K + n - 1) = (K - 1)^2 + (n + 1)(K - 1) + n \), and we get

\[
\lim_{K \to +\infty} \Theta(K) = \lim_{K \to +\infty} \frac{(n + 1 - n + 1)K + n - \frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_2 + \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_1^2}{K} = 2.
\]

We compute the derivative of \( \Upsilon(K) \) using the identity given by (4.4) to obtain

\[
\Upsilon'(K) = n(K-1)^{n-1} + (n-1)\hat{s}_1(K-1)^{n-2} + (n-2)\hat{s}_2(K-1)^{n-3} \\
+ \ldots + 2\hat{s}_{n-2}(K-1) + \hat{s}_{n-1}.
\]  

Next we compute the derivative of \( \Theta(K) \) and check its sign. We have

\[
\Theta'(K) = \frac{\Upsilon(K)^{(1/n)-1} \left[ (2K + n - 1)\Upsilon(K) - \frac{K(K + n - 1)}{n} \Upsilon'(K) \right] - \frac{1}{n} \Upsilon'(K)\Upsilon(K)^{(3/n)-1}}{\Upsilon(K)^{2/n}},
\]

and we have the following

Lemma 6.2. Given \( K \geq 1 \),

\[
\Theta'(K) > 0 \iff n(2K + n - 1)\Upsilon(K) > \Upsilon'(K) \left[ K(K + n - 1) + \Upsilon(K)^{2/n} \right].
\]

Similar statements hold replacing \( > \) by \( = \) or \( < \) on both sides of the equivalence.

In the next propositions we will provide an algebraic proof that for \( n = 5, 6 \), \( \Theta'(K) > 0 \) holds for all \( K \geq 1 \), and so \( \sup K \Theta(K) = 2 \). The same holds for \( n = 2 \) and \( K > 1 \), but \( \Theta'(1) = 0 \). For \( n = 3 \) or \( n = 4 \) we obtain in a similar way that \( \sup K \Theta(K) \) is slightly larger than 2. Furthermore, in these two latter cases \( \Theta'(K) \) is negative for \( K \) sufficiently large, thus displaying a different behaviour from that of all other values of \( n \), namely, \( n = 2 \) and \( n \geq 5 \). Inequality (5.2) is equivalent to

\[
\left( n(2K + n - 1)\Upsilon(K) - K(K + n - 1)\Upsilon'(K) \right)^n > \Upsilon'(K)^n \Upsilon(K)^2 \quad (=, < \text{resp.})
\]
Using (4.4) and (6.1) this expands to
\[
\left\{ n(2K + n - 1) \left[ (K - 1)^n + \hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-1} + \ldots + \hat{s}_{n-1}(K - 1) + \hat{s}_n \right] \\
- K(K + n - 1) \left[ n(K - 1)^{n-1} + (n - 1)\hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-2} + \ldots + 2\hat{s}_{n-2}(K - 1) + \hat{s}_{n-1} \right] \right\}^n
\]
\[
> \left[ n(K - 1)^{n-1} + (n - 1)\hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-2} + \ldots + 2\hat{s}_{n-2}(K - 1) + \hat{s}_{n-1} \right]^n
\times \left[ (K - 1)^n + \hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-1} + \hat{s}_2(K - 1)^{n-2} + \ldots + \hat{s}_{n-1}(K - 1) + \hat{s}_n \right]^2.
\]
In order to write the above inequality in a more condensed way we set \( y = K - 1 \) and define
(6.4)
\[
Q(y) = \left( \left[ 2ny + n(n + 1) \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{n} \hat{s}_s y^{n-s} \right] - [y^2 + (n + 1)y + n] \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (n-s)\hat{s}_s y^{n-s-1} \right] \right] \right)^n
\]
\[
- \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (n-s)\hat{s}_s y^{n-s-1} \right]^n \times \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{n} \hat{s}_s y^{n-s} \right]^2.
\]
This allows us to characterize the monotonicity of \( \Theta(K) \) in terms of the sign of \( Q \) as follows.

**Lemma 6.3.** The function \( \Theta(K) \) is strictly increasing (decreasing resp.) to 2 for \( K \geq K_n \) if
and only if \( Q(y) > 0 \) \((< 0 \text{ resp.}) \) holds for all \( y \geq K_n - 1 \).

For \( n \geq 5 \) not too large it is still possible to perform an algebraic computation that determines
if \( Q(y) > 0 \) holds for all \( y \geq 0 \).

**Proposition 6.4.** If \( n = 5 \) then \( \Theta'(K) > 0 \) for all \( K \geq 1 \). In particular, \( \Theta(K) < 2 \) and we
may take in Theorem 6.1 \( k_n = 1 \) for \( n = 5 \). The same conclusion holds for the case \( n = 6 \). If
\( n = 3 \) or \( n = 4 \) there is \( K_n \) such that \( \Theta'(K) < 0 \) for all \( K \geq K_n \), and so there is a constant
\( c_n > 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}} \) such that \( \sup_{K \geq 1} \Theta_n(K) = c_n/\sqrt{C_{W,n}} > 2 \).

**Proof.** Fix \( n = 5 \). We introduce the constants \( \hat{s}_l = s_l(4), l = 0, \ldots , 4 \), and \( \hat{s}_5 = 5! \). Then \( \hat{s}_0 = 1 \),
\( \hat{s}_1 = 10, \hat{s}_2 = 35, \hat{s}_3 = 50, \hat{s}_4 = 4! \) defining the polynomial function \( Q(y) \). Expanding this yields
that all its coefficients are positive (see Appendix A Section A.3.11), and so \( Q(y) > 0 \) for all \( y \geq 1 \). This proves \( \Theta_5'(K) \) is a strictly increasing function to its limit 2 and so \( \Theta_5(K) < 2 \). The same applies for \( n = 6 \), while when \( n \) equals 3 and 4 we proceed in the same way but obtain
a polynomial function \( Q(y) \) with negative principal coefficient and with only one positive root,
determining the shape of \( \Theta_n(K) \). \( \square \)

The next result covers the general case for \( n \geq 7 \) showing that \( \Theta \) is increasing for \( K \) sufficiently
large. The proof give us a way to estimate \( K_n \) and we may check by hand if \( \Theta(K) < 2 \) for
\( K < K_n \).

We begin by recalling the multinomial formula
\[
(x_1 + \ldots + x_n)^s = \sum_{t_1+\ldots+t_n=s; \ t_i \geq 0} \frac{s!}{t_1! \ldots t_n!} x_1^{t_1} \ldots x_n^{t_n}.
\]
Letting $x_j = \frac{\hat{\delta}_j}{y^j}$ we have
\[
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{\delta}_j}{y^j}\right)^s = \sum_{t_1 + \ldots + t_n = s; \ t_i \geq 0} \frac{s!}{t_1! \ldots t_n!} \times \frac{\hat{\delta}_{t_1} \ldots \hat{\delta}_{t_n}}{y^{t_1 + \ldots + t_n + n}}.
\]
Note that if $t_1 + \ldots + t_n = s$, then
\[
t_1 + 2t_2 + \ldots + nt_n - 2 = (1 - \frac{2}{s})t_1 + (2 - \frac{2}{s})t_2 + \ldots + (n - \frac{2}{s})t_n.
\]
Furthermore, letting $t_1 = s - (t_2 + \ldots + t_n)$,
\[
\inf_{t_1 + \ldots + t_n = s; \ t_i \geq 0} (t_1 + 2t_2 + \ldots + nt_n) = \inf_{t_2 + \ldots + t_n \leq s; \ t_i \geq 0} (s + (2 - 1)t_2 + \ldots + (n - 1)t_n) = s.
\]
Similarly, letting $t_n = s - (t_1 + \ldots + t_{n-1})$, we get
\[
\sup_{t_1 + \ldots + t_{n-1} = s; \ t_i \geq 0} (t_1 + 2t_2 + \ldots + nt_n) = ns.
\]
Fix $l \geq 1$ and consider $\Lambda_s$, $1 \leq s \leq l$, defined as in (A.3) with $a = 2$, and set for each $\tau \in \{1, \ldots, nl\}$
\[
Z_\tau(\Lambda) := \sum_{t_1 + 2t_2 + \ldots + nt_n = \tau; \ t_i \geq 0} \Lambda_{(t_1 + \ldots + t_n)} \left(\frac{t_1 + \ldots + t_n!}{t_1! \ldots t_n!}\right) \hat{\delta}_{1} \ldots \hat{\delta}_{n},
\]
where we use the convention that $\Lambda_s = 0$ whenever $s > l$. Then
\[
\sum_{s=1}^{l} \Lambda_s x^s = \sum_{s=1}^{l} \Lambda_s \left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\hat{\delta}_j}{y^j}\right]^s = \sum_{\tau=1}^{nl} Z_\tau(\Lambda)y^{-\tau}.
\]
We are now ready to prove the first part of Theorem [C]

**Proposition 6.5.** For any $n \geq 7$ there is $K_n \geq 1$ such that $\Theta'(K) > 0$ for all $K \geq K_n$. In particular, $\sup_{K \geq K_n} \Theta(K)$ is attained at infinity and equals 2.

**Proof.** By Lemma [A.2] for any $K > 1$ and any $l \geq 1$ odd
\[
(1 + \hat{x}(K))^{2/n} \leq 1 + \Lambda_1 \hat{x}(K) + \Lambda_2 \hat{x}(K)^2 + \Lambda_3 \hat{x}(K)^3 + \ldots + \Lambda_l \hat{x}(K)^l.
\]
where $\Lambda_s$ is given by (A.3) with $a = 2$. Hence, we prove that $\Theta'(K) > 0$ if we prove a stronger inequality than (6.2), given by
\[
n(2K + n - 1)Y(K) > Y'(K) \{K(K + n - 1) + (K - 1)^2 \left[1 + \Lambda_1 \hat{x}(K) + \Lambda_2 \hat{x}(K)^2 + \ldots + \Lambda_l \hat{x}(K)^l\right]\}
\]
that we will prove to be true for $K$ sufficiently large, and if we choose $l \geq 3$ odd. We note that for $l = 1$ the inequality does not hold for any $K$ sufficiently large. Next we develop both sides of inequality (6.9) for some fixed $l$ odd, given by (6.11) and (6.10) defined below.
\[
n(2(K - 1) + (n + 1)) \left((K - 1)^n + \hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-1} + \ldots + \hat{s}_{n-1}(K - 1) + \hat{s}_n\right)
\]
\[
\geq \left[n(K - 1)^{n-1} + (n - 1)\hat{s}_1(K - 1)^{n-2} + \ldots + 2\hat{s}_{n-2}(K - 1) + \hat{s}_{n-1}\right] \times
\]
\[
\times \left[(K - 1)(K - 1) + (n + n) + (K - 1)^2 \left(1 + \Lambda_1 \hat{x}(K) + \ldots + \Lambda_l \hat{x}(K)^l\right)\right].
\]
Let \( y = K - 1 \) and \( x = \hat{s}(K) \). Then

\[
(6.10) \quad n(2y + (n + 1)) (y^n + \hat{s}_1 y^{n-1} + \hat{s}_2 y^{n-2} + \ldots + \hat{s}_{n-1} y + \hat{s}_n) \\
= y^{n+1} [2n] + y^n [2n \hat{s}_1 + n(n + 1)] + y^{n-1} [2n \hat{s}_2 + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_1] + y^{n-2} [2n \hat{s}_3 + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_2] \\
+ \ldots + y^2 [2n \hat{s}_{n-1} + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_{n-2}] + y [2n \hat{s}_n + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_{n-1}] + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_n
\]

and

\[
(6.11) \quad (y^n \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{n-j} y^{n-j}) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} C_{\mu} y^{-\mu} y^{n-\gamma}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
(6.12) \quad (6.10) - (6.11) = \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} [2n \hat{s}_s + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_{s-1}] y^{(n+1)-s} - \sum_{s=0}^{n(l+1)-1} \left[ \sum_{j+\mu=s-1} A_{n-j} C_{\mu} \right] y^{n-s+1} = M(y) - R(y^{-1}),
\]

where \( M(y) \) is the main polynomial of degree at most \((n+1)\) and \( R(y) \) the remaining polynomial of degree at most \( nl - 2 \), given by

\[
(6.13) \quad M(y) = \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} M_{n-s+1} y^{n-s+1}, \quad R(y^{-1}) = \sum_{s=n+2}^{n(l+1)-1} R_{s-(n+1)} y^{-s+(n+1)}
\]

with,

\[
M_{n-s+1} := 2n \hat{s}_s + n(n + 1) \hat{s}_{s-1} - \sum_{j+\mu=s-1} A_{n-j} C_{\mu}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq n + 1, \\
R_{s-(n+1)} := \sum_{j+\mu=s-1} A_{n-j} C_{\mu}, \quad \text{for } n + 2 \leq s \leq n(l + 1) - 1.
\]

Next we compute the first two values of \( B_\tau \), where \( \Lambda_i \) is considered to be zero if \( i > l \), and \( \hat{s}_s = 0 \) if \( s \geq n + 1 \),

\[
(6.14) \quad B_1 = (\Lambda_1 \hat{s}_1), \quad B_2 = (\Lambda_2 \hat{s}_1^2 + \Lambda_1 \hat{s}_2).
\]
Using (6.11), (6.12), (6.13) and (6.14) we have

\[ M_{n+1} = 2n\hat{s}_0 - \sum_{j+\mu=-1} A_{n-j}C_{\mu} \]
\[ = 2n - A_{n-1}C_{-2} \]
\[ = 2n - 2n\hat{s}_0 \]
\[ = 0 \]

\[ M_n = 2n\hat{s}_1 + n(n+1)\hat{s}_0 - \sum_{j+\mu=0} A_{n-j}C_{\mu} \]
\[ = 2n\hat{s}_1 + n(n+1) - A_{n-1}C_{-1} - A_{n-2}C_{-2} \]
\[ = 2n\hat{s}_1 + n(n+1) - n((n+1) + \lambda_1\hat{s}_1) - 2(n-1)\hat{s}_1 \]
\[ = 0 \]

\[ M_{n-1} = 2n\hat{s}_2 + n(n+1)\hat{s}_1 - \sum_{j+\mu=1} A_{n-j}C_{\mu} \]
\[ = 2n\hat{s}_2 + n(n+1)\hat{s}_1 - A_{n-1}C_0 - A_{n-2}C_{-1} - A_{n-3}C_{-2} \]
\[ = 2n\hat{s}_2 + n(n+1)\hat{s}_1 - (n)\hat{s}_0(n + B_2) - (n - 1)\hat{s}_1(n + 1 + B_1) - 2(n - 2)\hat{s}_2 \]
\[ = 2n\hat{s}_2 + n(n+1)\hat{s}_1 - (n)(n + (\Lambda_2\hat{s}_2^2 + \Lambda_1\hat{s}_2)) - (n - 1)\hat{s}_1(n + 1 + \Lambda_1\hat{s}_1) - 2(n - 2)\hat{s}_2 \]
\[ = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\hat{s}_1(n+4)}{3} - n^2 & \text{if } l \geq 3 \\
-\frac{\hat{s}_1(9n^2 - 13n + 4)}{6} - n^2 & \text{if } l = 1 
\end{cases} \]

Hence \( M_{n+1} = M_n = 0 \), that is \( M(y) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n - 1 \). Note that \( M_{n-1} \leq 0 \) if \( n = 3 \) and \( M_{n-1} = 0 \) if \( n = 4 \), which could mean the stronger inequality we took is not suitable in these two cases, and they will be considered separately. For \( n \geq 5 \) and \( l \geq 3 \) odd we get \( M_{n-1} > 0 \).

Therefore, by taking any \( l \geq 3 \) odd we have shown that the condition \( \Theta'(K) > 0 \) can be satisfied if a stronger inequality (6.10) holds. This inequality holds if and only if \( M(y) > R(y^{-1}) \). Since \( M(y) \) is a polynomial function of degree \( n - 1 \) with principal coefficient given by \(-n^2 + (\hat{s}_1(n+4)/3) > 0\), and \( R(y^{-1}) \) is bounded for \( K \) sufficiently large, then \( K_n \) exists. Note that if we take \( l = 1 \) and \( n \geq 18 \), then \( M_{n-1} < 0 \), and we cannot obtain the result stated in the proposition. This explains why we choose \( l = 3 \).

A study of the behaviour of \( \Theta_n(K) \) for some values of \( n \geq 2 \) and a finite range of values of \( K \) has lead us to formulate the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.6.** For any \( n \geq 5 \), \( \Theta_n(K) < 2 \) holds for any \( K \geq 1 \).

**Proof of Theorem C.** Assuming \( n \geq 5 \), from the previous proposition we have \( \Theta_n(K) < 2 \) for all \( K \geq K_n \). By definition of \( \Theta_n(K) \) we have

\[ \mathcal{K} \leq 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}(\sigma(K-1) + 1)^{1/n} + C_{W,n}(\sigma(K-1) + 1)^{2/n}}, \forall K \geq K_n. \]
Given \( k \geq 1 \) there is a unique pair \((K,j)\) such that \( k = \sigma(K-1) + j \), where \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(K)\} \), that is, \( \lambda_k \) is in the \( K \)-chain. If we take \( k \geq k_n = \sigma(K_n - 1) + 1 \), then \( K \geq K_n \), and so

\[
\lambda_k \leq 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}} k^{1/n} + C_{W,n} k^{2/n}.
\]

This proves the inequality stated in Theorem \( \square \), and the asymptotic sharpness is obtained by the subsequence of eigenvalues of lowest order on each chain. Furthermore, if \( n = 5 \) or \( 6 \), we have proved in Proposition 6.4 that \( \sup \Theta(K) = 2 \).

The case \( n = 2 \) is much simpler since we have \( \Theta_2(K) = 2(K-1)(K(K-1) + 2)^{-1/2} \) for all \( K \geq 1 \), which is a non-negative function increasing to 2. This means that \( \lambda_k \leq C_{W,2} k + 2\sqrt{C_{W,2}} k^{1/2} \).

**Proof of Theorem \( \square \).** If \( n = 3 \) and \( n = 4 \), \( \Theta_n(K) \) behaves differently comparing to \( n = 2 \) or \( n \geq 5 \), giving a coefficient of \( k^{1/n} \) slightly larger than \( 2\sqrt{C_{W,n}} \). For \( n = 3 \) or \( 4 \), \( \Theta_n(K) \) attains maximum value \( T_{\max} = c_n / \sqrt{C_{W,n}} > 2 \) at certain \( K_{\max} \) and then decreases to 2, while in cases \( n = 2, 5, 6, 7 \) the function \( \Theta_n(K) \) is a strictly increasing function to 2, for all \( K \geq 1 \). In the Appendix \( \square \), Section C.1.1 we describe the sign of \( \Theta'(K) \) using a polynomial function \( Q(y) \) that has a negative principal coefficient if \( n = 3, 4 \), and positive if \( n \geq 5 \). \( \square \)

7. Sharp two- and three-term upper bounds for \( S^2_+, S^3_+ \) and \( S^4_+ \)

Recall from Section 2.2 that \( \sigma(K) = \frac{K^n}{n!} \). Hence, \( \sigma : [1, +\infty) \to [1, +\infty) \) is the smooth increasing function

\[
\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{n!} x(x+1) \ldots (x+n-1),
\]

that can be extended to \( x \in [0, 1] \) by the same formula, giving \( \sigma(0) = 0 \). We can define its inverse, \( \sigma^{-1} : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty) \), with \( \sigma^{-1}(0) = 0 \), that is increasing for \( k \geq 1 \). Since \( k_\leq - 1 = \sigma(K-1) \) and \( k_+ = \sigma(K) \), then \( \sigma^{-1}(k_-) = K = \sigma^{-1}(k_- - 1) + 1 \). In particular, for any \( \lambda_k \) of the \( K \)-chain, we have \( k_\leq \leq k \leq k_+ \), and so

\[
\lambda_k = \overline{\lambda}_K = K(K+n-1) = (\sigma^{-1}(k_- - 1) + 1) (\sigma^{-1}(k_- - 1) + n) 
\leq (\sigma^{-1}(k-1) + 1) (\sigma^{-1}(k-1) + n) =: U(k)
\]

with equality if and only if \( k = k_- \). If \( k = K = 1 \), \( \lambda_1 = n = U(1) \).

Given \( z \in [0, +\infty) \), \( \sigma^{-1}(z) \) is a non-negative root of a polynomial function of degree \( n \), that provides formulas to describe each \( K \) in terms of the lowest order index \( k_- \) or the largest order index \( k_+ \) of the chain. Rewriting the expression of \( U(k) \) in the form \( C_{W,n} k^{2/n} + c' k^{1/n} + \ldots \) a remaining bounded term will appear, \( R_-(k) \), allowing us to derive sharp estimations for the eigenvalues, as we will do in next propositions. For simplicity, and due to the nature of the polynomial equations involved, we will restrict ourselves to the cases of \( n = 2, 3 \) and \( 4 \).

7.1. \( S^2_+ \). If \( n = 2 \) we have \( C_{W,2} = 2 \) and from the above formulas,

\[
\sigma^{-1}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{8x + 1},
\]

\[
\overline{\lambda}_K = K(K+1) = 1 + 2(k_- - 1) + \sqrt{8(k_- - 1) + 1}.
\]

Thus, the two-three term estimations stated in the next proposition follows immediately. The last statement was proved in Theorem \( \square \).
Proposition 7.1. If \( n = 2 \), \( C_{W,2} = 2 \) and the Dirichlet eigenvalues of \( S^2_+ \) satisfy the following inequalities for all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \),
\[
\lambda_k \leq C_{W,2}(k - 1) + 2\sqrt{C_{W,2}}\sqrt{(k - 1) + \frac{1}{8}} + 1
\]
\[
= C_{W,2}k + 2\sqrt{C_{W,2}}\sqrt{k - \frac{7}{8}} - 1 < C_{W,2}k + 2\sqrt{C_{W,2}}\sqrt{k}
\]
with equality in the first inequality if and only if \( k = k_- \). The last inequality is strict for any \( k \geq 1 \) but it is asymptotically sharp by the lowest order eigenvalues of each chain in the sense that \( (\lambda_k - C_{W,2}k)/(2\sqrt{C_{W,2}}\sqrt{k}) \to 1 \) when \( k = k_- \to \infty \).

7.1.1. \( S^3_+ \). Now we consider the case \( n = 3 \). We have \( C_{W,3} = 6^{2/3}, \underbrace{\Sigma_K = K(K + 2)}_{\text{Note that } \sigma(0) = 0 \text{ by the above expression, and this is the only non-negative value of } K \text{ for which } \sigma \text{ vanishes, so we set } \sigma^{-1}(0) = 0.}

Proposition 7.2. If \( n = 3 \), \( C_{W,3} = 6^{2/3} \) and the Dirichlet eigenvalues of \( S^3_+ \), \( \lambda_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots \) satisfy the following inequality
\[
\lambda_k \leq C_{W,3}(k - 1)^{2/3} + 2\sqrt{C_{W,3}}(k - 1)^{1/3} + \tilde{R}_-(3, k),
\]
where \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \) is a function that at \( k = 1 \) takes on the value \( 3 = n = \lambda_1 \), and for \( k \geq 2 \) it is a decreasing function, with \( \tilde{R}_-(3, 2) \approx 1.06383 \) and converging to \( 2/3 \) as \( k \to +\infty \). Equality in (7.2) holds if and only if \( k = k_- \). An equivalent upper bound for \( \lambda_k \) is given by
\[
\lambda_k \leq C_{W,3}k^{2/3} + 2\sqrt{C_{W,3}}k^{1/3} + \tilde{R}_-(3, k),
\]
with equality at \( k = k_- \) of each chain, where \( \tilde{R}(3, k) \) at \( k = 1 \) values \( -C_{W,3} - 2\sqrt{C_{W,3}} + 3 \approx -3.936168 \), and it is bounded for \( k \geq 2 \) with \( \tilde{R}_-(3, 2) \approx -2.4870064 \), increasing up to \( 2/3 \) when \( k \to \infty \). It is given by
\[
\tilde{R}_-(3, k) = \tilde{R}_-(3, k) - C_{W,3} \left( \frac{k^{2/3} - (k - 1)^{2/3}}{2\sqrt{C_{W,3}}} \right) - 2\sqrt{C_{W,3}} \left( \frac{k^{1/3} - (k - 1)^{1/3}}{3} \right).
\]

Proof. Applying the above formula of \( \sigma^{-1} \) to \( x = k_- - 1 \) and insert into (7.1) we obtain the following expression for \( U(k) \),
\[
U(k) = (3^{-2/3}L(k - 1)^{1/3} + 3^{-1/3}L(k - 1)^{-1/3})^2 + 2 \left( \frac{3^{-1/3}L(k - 1)^{1/3}}{3} + 3^{-1/3}L(k - 1)^{-1/3} \right) + 2
\]
\[
= C_{W,3}(k - 1)^{2/3} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{(27(k - 1))^2}} \right)^{2/3} + 2\sqrt{C_{W,3}}(k - 1)^{1/3} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{(27(k - 1))^2}} \right) \right)^{1/3}
\]
\[
+ 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{27(k - 1) + \sqrt{(27(k - 1))^2 - 3}} \right)^{2/3}} + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{27(k - 1) + \sqrt{(27(k - 1))^2 - 3}} \right)^{2/3}}
\]
Writing \( U(k) \) involving remainder \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \), it satisfies \( \tilde{R}_-(3, 1) = 3 \) and for \( k \geq 2 \) it is given by
\[
\tilde{R}_-(3, k) = A(k) + B(k) + \frac{2}{3} + C(k) + D(k),
\]
where

\[
\begin{align*}
A(k) &= -C_W 3(k - 1)^{2/3} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{(27(k-1))^2}} \right)^{2/3} \right\} \\
B(k) &= -2 \sqrt{C_W} 3(k - 1)^{1/3} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{(27(k-1))^2}} \right)^{1/3} \right\} \\
D(k) &= \frac{1}{3^{2/3} (27(k-1)^2 + \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3})^{2/3}} \\
C(k) &= 2 \sqrt{D(k)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \) is a positive function decreasing to 2/3 when \( k \to \infty \) – see proof in Section C.1.2 of Appendix C. We note first that \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \) converges at infinity to the same limit as \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \), since \( 0 < k^{a/3} - (k - 1)^{a/3} \leq \frac{4}{3} (k - 1)^{(3-a)/3} \) for \( a = 1, 2 \). On the other hand after some straightforward simplifications we may rewrite \( \tilde{R}(3, k) \) as

\[(7.4)\]

\[
\tilde{R}_-(3, k) = -C_W n k^{2/3} - 2 \sqrt{C_W} n k^{1/3} + \frac{2}{3} + \left( D(k) + \frac{D(k)^{-1}}{3^2} \right) + 2 \left( \sqrt{D(k)} + \frac{(\sqrt{D(k)})^{-1}}{3} \right)
\]

From this expression we obtain a negative value at \( k = 2 \), implying \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \) changes sign. In Section C.1.2 of Appendix C we prove that \( \tilde{R}_-(3, k) \) is an increasing function for \( k \) sufficiently large.

7.1.2. Now we consider the case \( n = 4 \). We have \( C_W 4 = (24)^{1/2}, \sqrt{K} = K(K + 3) \). From \( \sigma(K) = K(K + 1)(K + 2)(K + 3)/24 \) we obtain

\[
\sigma^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -3 + \sqrt{4x + 1} \right)^{1/2}. 
\]

**Proposition 7.3.** For \( n = 4, C_W 4 = \sqrt{24} \) and the Dirichlet eigenvalues of \( S_n \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, \), satisfy the following inequality

\[
\lambda_k \leq C_W 4 (k - 1)^{1/2} + 2 \sqrt{C_W 4} (k - 1)^{1/2} + \tilde{R}_-(4, k),
\]

where \( \tilde{R}_-(4, k) \) is a decreasing function with \( \tilde{R}_-(4, 1) = 4 \) and converging to zero as \( k \to \infty \). Equality holds in the above inequality if and only if \( k = k_- \), that is at eigenvalues of lowest order of each chain. Equivalently, we have

\[
\lambda_k \leq C_W 4 k^{1/2} + 2 \sqrt{C_W 4} k^{1/4} + \tilde{R}_-(4, k),
\]

where

\[
\tilde{R}_-(4, k) = \tilde{R}_-(4, k) - C_W 4 \left( k^{1/2} - (k - 1)^{1/2} \right) - 2 \sqrt{C_W 4} \left( k^{1/4} - (k - 1)^{1/4} \right),
\]

and equality holds if and only if \( k = k_- \) as well. The remainder \( \tilde{R}(4, k) \) is not monotonic and changes sign. It evaluates to \( -C_W 4 - 2 \sqrt{C_W 4} + 4 \approx -5.326 \) at \( k = 1 \), then vanishes somewhere on the interval \([400, 500]\) it has a local positive maximum of approximately 0.0322276 around \( k = 6452 \), and converges to zero at infinity.
Proof. From (7.1) and the expression of $\sigma^{-1}$ we obtain $U(k)$ and develop it as follows.

$$U(k) = \sqrt{1 + 24(k - 1)} + \sqrt{5 + 4\sqrt{1 + 24(k - 1)}}$$

$$= C_{\omega,4} \left( k - 1 + \frac{1}{24} \right)^{1/2} + 2\sqrt{C_{\omega,4}} \left( \left( k - 1 + \frac{1}{24} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{5}{4C_{\omega,4}} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= C_{\omega,4}(k - 1)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{24(k - 1)} \right) + 2\sqrt{C_{\omega,4}(k - 1)^{1/4}} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{24(k - 1)}} + \frac{5}{4\sqrt{24(k - 1)}} \right)$$

$$= C_{\omega,4}(k - 1)^{1/2} + 2\sqrt{C_{\omega,4}}(k - 1)^{1/4} + \tilde{R}(4, k),$$

where $\tilde{R}(4, 1) = 4 = n = \lambda_1$ and for $k \geq 2$

$$\tilde{R}(4, k) = C_{\omega,4}(k - 1)^{1/2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{24(k - 1)}} - 1 \right) + 2\sqrt{C_{\omega,4}}(k - 1)^{1/4} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{24(k - 1)}} - \frac{5}{4\sqrt{24(k - 1)}} - 1 \right).$$

Clearly $\tilde{R}(4, k) > 0$, and a straightforward calculation yields $\lim_{k \to \infty} \tilde{R}(4, k) = 0$. The proof that $\tilde{R}(4, k)$ is a decreasing function of $k$ is given in Section C.1.3 of Appendix C. The expression using $\tilde{R}_-(4, k)$ follows by a direct computation, or by rewriting $U(k)$ obtaining

$$U(k) = C_{\omega,4}k^{1/2} + 2\sqrt{C_{\omega,4}}k^{1/4} + \tilde{R}_-(4, k),$$

with

$$\tilde{R}_-(4, k) = \sqrt{5 + 4\sqrt{24k - 23} + \sqrt{24k - 23} - \sqrt{24k - 2(24k)^{1/4}}.}$$

From this expression we see that the limit at infinity is zero, and derive the remaining properties of $\tilde{R}_-(4, k)$.

7.2. Single term lower bound. The main purpose of this Section is to prove the following one-term lower bound without an additive constant and valid for all $k \geq 1$.

**Proposition 7.4.** On $S^n$, $n \geq 2$, the following inequality is valid for all eigenvalues $\lambda_k$,

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{n}{(n!)^{2/n}} \cdot C_{\omega,n}k^{2/n} = nk^{2/n} = \lambda_1k^{2/n},$$

with equality holding for the first eigenvalue. For $n = 2$ this is Pólya’s inequality (1.3).

This one-term inequality should be compared with the main inequality in [LY] for Euclidean domains, where a lighter correction constant $n/(n + 2)$ is multiplied. Furthermore, our result on the hemisphere implies $\lambda_{k+1} \geq \lambda_1k^{2/n}$, an opposite inequality compared with Euclidean domains [CY]. In [CY Corollary 1.1] it is shown that spherical domains satisfy an inequality with two correction constants. The multiplicative constant, $n/\sqrt{(n + 2)(n + 4)}$, converges to one when $n \to \infty$, while our correction constant $n/(n!)^{2/n}$ converges to zero when $n \to \infty$. Their additive correction constant, $n^2/4$, is comparable to our $c(n) = (n - 1)(n - 2)/6$ in Theorem [13] but with no need of an extra multiplicative correction constant. These multiplicative constants turn out to be a compromise in order to make the inequality hold for lower eigenvalues also. The proof of Proposition 7.4 relies on properties of $R(K)$. This function may be extended to all real $K > 0$ and is smaller than one, by Lemma [A.1].
Lemma 7.5. Let $\psi(t)$ be the digamma function [AS p. 253]. For all real $K > 0$

\[ \mathcal{R}'(K) = \mathcal{R}(K) \left( \frac{2}{n} (\psi(n + K) - \psi(K)) - \frac{2K + n - 1}{K(n + K - 1)} \right). \]

Proof. Denoting the derivative with respect to $K$ by $'$, for any real $K \geq 1$ we have

\[
\mathcal{R}'(K) = \left( \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} \times (K(n + K - 1))^{-1}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} \left( \frac{2}{n} \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)} ' \right) (K(n + K - 1) - (2K + n - 1)) \times (K(n + K - 1))^{-2}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} \left( \frac{2}{n} \ln(\Gamma(n + K)) - \ln(\Gamma(K)) ' \right) - \frac{(2K + n - 1)}{(K(n + K - 1))^2}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} \left( \frac{2(\psi(n + K) - \psi(K))}{nK(n + K - 1)} - \frac{(2K + n - 1)}{(K(n + K - 1))^2} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{nK(n + K - 1)} \left( \frac{\Gamma(n + K)}{\Gamma(K)} \right)^{2/n} \left( \psi(n + K) - \psi(K) - \frac{n(2K + n - 1)}{2K(n + K - 1)} \right),
\]

and the expression for the derivative follows. \hfill \square

Lemma 7.6. If $n \geq 3$ we have $\mathcal{R}'(K) < 0$ for any real $K > 0$.

Proof. From the above expression of $\mathcal{R}'(K)$, we need to show that for any real $K > 0$, the following inequality

\[
\psi(n + K) - \psi(K) = \frac{1}{K} + \ldots + \frac{1}{K + n - 1} < \frac{n(2K + n - 1)}{2K(n + K - 1)}
\]

holds for all integer $n \geq 3$. We fix $K$ and prove by induction on $n$. It holds for $n = 3$ since the above inequality is equivalent to the polynomial inequality $K(K + 2) < (K + 1)^2$. Assume now that the inequality holds for $n$. We have

\[
\left( \frac{1}{K} + \frac{1}{K + 1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{K + n - 1} + \frac{1}{K + n} \right) \leq \frac{n(2K + n - 1)}{2K(K + n - 1)} + \frac{1}{K + n}
\]

\[
= \frac{n(2K + n - 1)(K + n) + 2K(n + K - 1)}{2K(n + K - 1)(K + n)}.
\]

The induction is proved if we show that

\[
\frac{n(2K + n - 1)(K + n) + 2K(n + K - 1)}{2K(n + K - 1)(K + n)} < \frac{(n + 1)(2K + n)}{2K(K + n)}
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
(2K + n - 1)(K + n) < (K + n - 1)(2K + n + 1),
\]

that is, $-1 + n > 0$. \hfill \square
Lemma 8.1. If $n \geq 3$, By Lemma 7.6 we have $\mathcal{R}'(K) < 0$. In particular $\mathcal{R}(L) < \mathcal{R}(K)$ for $L > K \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_L > \left[ \frac{\Gamma(K)\Gamma(n+L)}{\Gamma(L)\Gamma(n+K)} \right]^{2/n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda_K}{\lambda_1} \geq C_{W,n} \left[ \frac{\sigma(K)}{(n!)^2} \right].$$

Finally, $\lambda_1 = n$, and if $\lambda_k$ is in the $K-$chain, from the last inequality we have

$$\lambda_k = \lambda_K \geq n^{(n-1)^{-2/n}} C_{W,n} \sigma(K)^{2/n} \geq n(n!-2/n) C_{W,n} k^{2/n},$$

and Proposition 8.4 is proved. \qed

8. The case of wedges $W^n_{\pi/p}$

We recall the concept of a tiling domain of a manifold $M$ in Euclidean space. If a domain $M' \subset M \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ contains $p$ non-overlapping subdomains congruent with a model domain $M'' \subset M$, we write $M' \supset pM''$; if these $p$ subdomains cover $M'$ without gaps, we write $M' = pM''$. Pólya [P2, Lemma 1] proved the following result in the case of domains in the plane, but a similar proof holds in this more general situation.

Lemma 8.1. If $M' \supset pM''$, then $\lambda'_k \leq \lambda''_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda'_k$, $\lambda''_k$ are the $(kp)$-th and $k$-th Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Laplacians on $M'$ and $M''$, respectively.

An example of tiling domains on the $n$-dimensional sphere $S^n$ is given by the wedges defined by [L4], for which we have that $p$ copies of $W^n_{\pi/p}$ cover a hemisphere $S^n_+ = W^n_{\pi} = pW^n_{\pi/p}$.

Theorem 8.2 on $W^2_{\pi/p}$ follows immediately by applying Lemma 8.1 and part 1. of Theorem A for the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Laplacian on the 2-dimensional hemisphere $S^2_+$. Applying Theorem A and Lemma 8.1 we obtain the following.

Theorem 8.2. Let $M'$ and $M''$ be two domains in $S^n_+$. If $M''$ tiles $M'$ with $M' = pM''$, and $n \geq 2$, then for any $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\lambda''_k + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \geq (pkn!)^{2/n},$$

where $\lambda''_k$ is the $k$-th Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $M''$. Furthermore, in case $M' = S^n_+$, then

$$\lambda''_k + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \geq C_{W,n}(M'')k^{2/n}.$$

An immediate consequence of the above result is the following.

Corollary 8.3. The eigenvalues $\lambda''_k$ of the wedge $W^n_{\pi/p}$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy the inequality

$$(8.1) \quad \lambda''_k + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \geq C_{W,n}(W^n_{\pi/p})k^{2/n} = (pkn!)^{2/n}.$$

Moreover, when $n = 2$, equality holds in (8.1) if and only if $k = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 8.2. Let $\lambda''_k$, $\lambda'_k$ and $\lambda_k$ be the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $M''$, $M'$ and $S^n_+$, respectively. Let $c(n) = (n-1)(n-2)/6$. By (2.6), $(\omega_n|S^n_+|)^{2/n} = 4\pi^2/(n!)^{2/n}$. In fact, if $M''$ tiles
$M'$ with $M' = pM''$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $|M'| = p|M''|$ and by Theorem 3 and Lemma 8.1 we have
\[
\lambda''_k \geq \lambda'_p \geq \lambda_{pk} \geq \frac{4\pi^2(p^2k^{2/n} - c(n))}{(\omega_n[S^2_+])^{2/n}} - c(n) = (pk\Gamma(n+1))^{2/n} - c(n) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
If $M' = S^2_+$, then $|S^2_+| = p|M|$ and so
\[
\lambda''_k \geq \frac{4\pi^2(p^2k^{2/n} - c(n))}{(\omega_n[p|M|])^{2/n}} - c(n), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
The conclusion of Theorem 8.2 follows and Corollary 8.3 by taking $M'' = W^n_{\pi/p}$.

Combining Lemma 8.2 follows and Corollary 8.3 by taking $M'' = W^n_{\pi/p}$.

**Corollary 8.4.** The Dirichlet eigenvalues $\lambda''_k$ of $W^n_{\pi/p}$ satisfy
\[
\lambda''_k \geq \left(\frac{n}{n!} \right) C_{W,n}(W^n_{\pi/p}) k^{2/n} = np^{2/n} k^{2/n}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.
\]

9. **Spheres**

We recall the notation given in Section 2.1. for the closed eigenvalues of $S^n$, namely $C_{W,n} = (n!/2)^{2/n}$, $\lambda_K = K(K + n - 1)$ for $K = 0, 1, \ldots$, $\sigma(K) = (K + 1)^{n-1}n(n + 2K)/n!$, and the lowest and highest orders $k_- = \sigma(K - 1)$, $k_+ = \sigma(K) - 1$ of a $K$–chain, and we define $\sigma(0) = 1$, corresponding to letting $k_- = k_+ = 0$ if $K = 0$. We may further extend $\sigma^{-1}$ continuously down to 0 by $\sigma^{-1}(0) = -1$, to obtain $\sigma^{-1} : [0, \infty) \to (-1, \infty)$ (possibly complex valued).

The next lemma follows immediately from the expressions of $k_+$ and $\lambda_K$.

**Lemma 9.1.** For each $K \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the eigenvalues of $S^n$ in the $K$–chain, and its orders given by the integers $k_- \leq k \leq k_+$, satisfy the inequalities
\[
\sigma^{-1}(k+1) \leq \sigma^{-1}(k+1) = K = \sigma^{-1}(k_-) + 1 \leq \sigma^{-1}(k+1),
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}(k) \leq \mathcal{L}(k_+) = \lambda_K \leq \mathcal{U}(k_-) \leq \mathcal{U}(k),
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{U}(k) &:= \left[\sigma^{-1}(k+1) \right] \left[\sigma^{-1}(k+1) + n\right], \\
\mathcal{L}(k) &:= \left[\sigma^{-1}(k+1) \right] \left[\sigma^{-1}(k+1) + n - 1\right].
\end{align*}
\]
Equality holds in both right hand-sides if and only if $k = k_-$, and in both left hand-sides if and only if $k = k_+$.

9.1. **$S^2$**. When $n = 2$, the eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_K = K(K + 1)$, $K = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, with sum of multiplicities $\sigma(K) = (K + 1)^2$. The eigenvalues of the $K$–chain are given by
\[
\lambda_{K^2} = \lambda_{K^2+1} = \cdots = \lambda_{K^2+2K-1} = \lambda_{(K+1)^2-1} = K(K + 1).
\]
The next proposition now follows directly from Lemma 9.1 and the fact that for $S^2$ we have $\sigma^{-1}(x) = \sqrt{x} - 1$. 

\[
\]
Proposition 9.2. If \( n = 2 \), we have \( C_{W,2} = 1 \) and the eigenvalues of the 2-sphere, \( \lambda_k, k = 0, 1, \ldots \), satisfy
\[
(k + 1) - \sqrt{(k + 1)} \leq \lambda_k \leq k + \sqrt{k}
\]
for any \( k \geq 0 \). Moreover, upper bounds can be achieved at \( k = K^2 \), that is, at the lowest order eigenvalue of a distinct eigenvalue \( K(K + 1) \), and lower bounds can be achieved at largest order \( k = (K + 1)^2 - 1 \) of the same chain, \( K = 0, 1, \ldots \).

9.2. \( S^3 \). When \( n = 3 \) the sum of multiplicities of the eigenvalues \( \lambda_k = K(K + 2) \), \( K = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), equals \( \sigma(K) = (K + 1)(K + 2)(2K + 3)/6 \). If \( K = \sigma^{-1}(x) \), then \( K \) is the only real root of
\[
\sigma(K) = \frac{1}{3}(K + 1)(K + 2)(K + \frac{3}{2}) = x.
\]

Solving this third order polynomial equation allows us to determine \( \sigma^{-1}(x) \) as described in the next lemma.

Lemma 9.3. If \( n = 3 \) then
\[
\sigma^{-1}(x) = \frac{3^{-2/3}}{2}G(x)^{1/3} + \frac{3^{-1/3}}{2}G(x)^{-1/3} - \frac{3}{2},
\]
where \( G \) is defined by
\[
G(x) = 108x + \sqrt{(108x)^2 - 3}, \quad \forall x \geq 0.
\]

This function is univocally defined, smooth, positive and increasing for \( x \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{108} \), and complex valued for \( x \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{108}) \), where we choose the branch with \( G(0) = i\sqrt{3} \). From \( \sigma^{-1}(0) = -1 \) we must have \( U(0) = 0 \) and \( \frac{3^{-2/3}}{2}G(0)^{1/3} + \frac{3^{-1/3}}{2}G(0)^{-1/3} = \frac{1}{2} \).

From this and Lemma 9.1 we may now derive the formulas of \( U(k) \) and \( L(k) \).

Lemma 9.4. We have \( U(0) = L(0) = 0 \) and for \( k \geq 1 \),
\[
U(k) := -\frac{7}{12} + C_{W,3}k^{2/3}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108k}^{3/2}}\right)^{2/3} + \sqrt{C_{W,3}}k^{1/3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108k}^{3/2}}\right)^{1/3} \right)^{1/3} + 2^{-5/3}k^{-1/3} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108k}^{3/2}}\right]^{1/3} - k^{-1/3} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108k}^{3/2}}\right]^{2/3} - \sqrt{C_{W,3}}(k + 1)^{1/3}\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108(k + 1)^{3/2}}}\right]^{1/3}.
\]

\[
L(k) := -\frac{7}{12} + C_{W,3}(k + 1)^{2/3}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108(k + 1)}^{3/2}}\right)^{2/3} - \sqrt{C_{W,3}}(k + 1)^{1/3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108(k + 1)^{3/2}}}\right]^{1/3} \right)^{1/3} + 2^{-5/3}(k + 1)^{-1/3} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108(k + 1)}^{3/2}}\right]^{1/3} - 2^{-10/3}(k + 1)^{-2/3} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{108(k + 1)}^{3/2}}\right]^{1/3}.
\]

The inequalities obtained in the next proposition are an immediate consequence of Lemma 9.1 using the expression for \( G(x) \).

Proposition 9.5. If \( n = 3 \), we have \( C_{W,3} = 3^{2/3} \) and the eigenvalues for the 3-sphere, \( \lambda_k \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \), satisfy the following inequalities
\[
C_{W,3}(k + 1)^{2/3} - \sqrt{C_{W,3}}(k + 1)^{1/3} + R_+(3, k) \leq \lambda_k \leq C_{W,3}k^{2/3} + \sqrt{C_{W,3}}k^{1/3} + R_-(3, k),
\]
where both functions $R_{\pm}(3,k)$ are negative and bounded with values on a small interval. They are given by $R_-(3,0) = 0, R_+(3,0) = -C_{W,3} + \sqrt{C_{W,3}}$, and for $k \geq 1$,

\[
R_-(3,k) = -C_{W,3} \left\{ k^{2/3} - 2^{-2/3} \left( k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{2/3} \right\} - \sqrt{C_{W,3}} \left\{ k^{1/3} - 2^{-1/3} \left( k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{1/3} \right\}
\]

\[
-7 + 2^{-10/3}3^{-8/3}(k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}})^{-2/3} + 2^{-5/3}3^{-4/3}(k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}})^{-1/3},
\]

\[
R_+(3,k) = -C_{W,3} \left\{ (k + 1)^{2/3} - 2^{-2/3} \left( (k + 1) + \sqrt{(k + 1)^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{2/3} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{C_{W,3}} \left\{ (k + 1)^{1/3} - 2^{-1/3} \left( (k + 1) + \sqrt{(k + 1)^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{1/3} \right\}
\]

\[
-7 + 2^{-10/3}3^{-8/3} \left( (k + 1) + \sqrt{(k + 1)^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{-2/3}
\]

\[
-2^{-5/3}3^{-4/3} \left( (k + 1) + \sqrt{(k + 1)^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{-1/3}.
\]

Moreover, $R_-(3,k)$ is a decreasing negative function for $k \geq 1$, and converges to $-7/12$ when $k \to \infty$. Function $R_+(3,k)$ is a negative increasing function for all $k \geq 0$, values $-C_{W,3} + \sqrt{C_{W,3}}$ at $k = 0$, and converges to $-7/12$ when $k \to \infty$. Furthermore, in the inequality, lower bounds can be achieved at the largest order eigenvalue of each $K$-chain, that is $k = k_+ = \sigma^{-1}(K) - 1$, and upper bounds can be achieved at the lowest order eigenvalue of the same chain, $k = k_- = \sigma^{-1}(K) - 1$.

More details on the remaining functions $R_{\pm}(3,k)$ are given in next Lemma 9.6.

**Lemma 9.6.** The following functions $R_{\pm}(3,k)$ are negative and bounded with values on a small interval. They are given by $R_-(3,0) = 0, R_+(3,0) = -C_{W,3} + \sqrt{C_{W,3}}$, and for $k \geq 1$

\[
R_-(3,k) := A_2(k) + A_1(k) - \frac{7}{12} + B_1(k) + B_2(k),
\]

\[
R_+(3,k) := D_2(k) + D_1(k) - \frac{7}{12} + E_1(k) + E_2(k),
\]

where

\[
A_2(k) = -C_{W,3} \left\{ k^{2/3} - 2^{-2/3} \left( k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{2/3} \right\},
\]

\[
A_1(k) = -\sqrt{C_{W,3}} \left\{ k^{1/3} - 2^{-1/3} \left( k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{1/3} \right\},
\]

\[
B_1(k) = 2^{-5/3}3^{-4/3} \left( k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{-1/3},
\]

\[
B_2(k) = 2^{-10/3}3^{-8/3} \left( k + \sqrt{k^2 - 2^{-43-5}} \right)^{-2/3},
\]

and

\[
D_2(k) = A_2(k + 1), \quad D_1(k) = -A_1(k + 1),
\]

\[
E_1(k) = -B_1(k + 1), \quad E_2(k) = B_2(k + 1).
\]

All functions converge to zero when $k \to +\infty$, $A_1 + B_i$ are positive decreasing functions, $E_1 + E_2$ and $D_1 + D_2$ are both negative and increasing.
9.3. $S^4$. Now we consider the eigenvalues of $S^4$, $\kappa_K = K(K+3)$, $K = 0, 1, \ldots$, with $\sigma(K) = (K+1)(K+2)^2(K+3)/12$, $k_- = \sigma(K-1)$ and $k_+ = \sigma(K) - 1$.

**Lemma 9.7.** When $n$ equals 4 the inverse map of $\sigma$ is given by

$$
\sigma^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -4 + \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 48x}} \right).
$$

**Proposition 9.8.** If $n = 4$, we have $C_{W,4} = \sqrt{12}$ and the eigenvalues $\lambda_k$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, of the 4-dimensional sphere $S^4$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$
C_{W,4}(k+1)^{1/2} - \sqrt{C_{W,4}(k+1)^{1/4}} + R_+(4,k) \leq \lambda_k \leq C_{W,4} k^{1/2} + \sqrt{C_{W,4} k^{1/4}} + R_-(4,k),
$$

where both $R_\pm(4,k)$ are negative bounded functions given by $R_-(4,0) = 0$, $R_+(4,0) = -C_{W,4} + \sqrt{C_{W,4}}$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$
R_-(4,k) = -\frac{3}{2} + C_{W,4} \left\{ \sqrt{k + \frac{1}{48}} - k^{1/2} \right\} + \sqrt{C_{W,4}} \left\{ \sqrt{k + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{2C_{W,4}}} - k^{1/4} \right\},
$$

$$
R_+(4,k) = -\frac{3}{2} + C_{W,4} \left\{ \sqrt{(k+1) + \frac{1}{48}} - (k+1)^{1/2} \right\} - \sqrt{C_{W,4}} \left\{ \sqrt{(k+1) + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{2C_{W,4}}} - (k+1)^{1/4} \right\}.
$$

They satisfy $R_-(4,0) = 0$, $R_+(4,0) = -C_{W,4} + \sqrt{C_{W,4}}$, and $\lim_{k \to +\infty} R_\pm(4,k) = -\frac{3}{2}$. Moreover, we have $R_+(4,k) \leq R_-(4,k+1)$, being $R_-(4,\cdot)$ decreasing while $R_+(4,\cdot)$ increasing. Furthermore, lower bounds in the inequality can be achieved at the largest order eigenvalue of each $K-$chain and upper bounds can be achieved at the lowest order eigenvalue of the same chain.

**Proof.** From Lemma 9.1 and previous lemma,

$$
U(k) := -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 48k} + \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 48k}},
$$

$$
L(k) := -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 48(k+1)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 48(k+1)}}.
$$
Then \( U(0) = L(0) = 0 \) and for \( k \geq 1 \) we may write in the following way

\[
U(k) = \frac{3}{2} + C_{W,4} k^{1/2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48k}} + \sqrt{C_{W,4} k^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C_{W,4} k^{1/2}}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48k}} = C_{W,4} k^{1/2} + \sqrt{C_{W,4} k^{1/4}} + R_-(4, k),
\]

\[
L(k) = -\frac{3}{2} + C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48(k + 1)}} - \sqrt{C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/2}}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48(k + 1)}} = C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/2} - \sqrt{C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/4}} + R_+(4, k),
\]

where \( R_{\pm}(4, k) \) are given by

\[
R_-(4, k) = -\frac{3}{2} + C_{W,4} k^{1/2} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48k}} - 1 \right\} + \sqrt{C_{W,4} k^{1/4}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48k}} - \frac{1}{2C_{W,4} k^{1/2}} - 1 \right\},
\]

\[
R_+(4, k) = -\frac{3}{2} + C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/2} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48(k + 1)}} - 1 \right\} - \sqrt{C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/4}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48(k + 1)}} + \frac{1}{2C_{W,4} (k + 1)^{1/2}} - 1 \right\}.
\]

From (9.1) \( U(0) = 0 \), and we must have \( R_-(4, 0) = 0 \). Similarly, from (9.2) \( L(0) = 0 \), and so \( R_+(4, 0) = -C_{W,4} + \sqrt{C_{W,4}} \). Computing the corresponding limits we obtain \( \lim_{k \to +\infty} R_{\pm}(4, k) = -\frac{3}{2} \). The sign and monotonic properties of \( R_{\pm}(4, k) \) are described in Appendix [C] Section C.1.3.

9.4. \( S^n \). In this Section we will prove Theorem [F] a less sharp two term inequality for the closed eigenvalues, but valid for all \( n \geq 2 \).

**Lemma 9.9.** For all \( n \geq 2 \) and \( K \geq 0 \) we have

\[
K(K + n - 1) \leq C_{W,n} \sigma(K - 1)^{2/n} + \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \sigma(K - 1)^{1/n}
\]

with equality only for \( K = 0 \). Furthermore if we set

\[
\Omega(K) := \frac{\bar{\lambda}_K - C_{W,n} \sigma(K - 1)^{2/n}}{\sqrt{C_{W,n}} \sigma(K - 1)^{1/n}} = \frac{K(K + n - 1) - \left( K^{n-1} \left( \frac{n}{2} + K - 1 \right) \right)^{2/n}}{\left( K^{n-1} \left( \frac{n}{2} + K - 1 \right) \right)^{1/n}}
\]

then \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} \Omega(K) = 1 \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( \sigma(-1) = 0 \), and so equality holds for \( K = 0 \). Now assume \( K \geq 1 \). We want to show that

\[
K(K + n - 1) \leq \left( K^{n-1} \left( K + \frac{(n - 2)}{2} \right) \right)^{2/n} + \left( K^{n-1} \left( K + \frac{(n - 2)}{2} \right) \right)^{1/n}.
\]

Using Lemma [A.1]

\[
\left( K^{n-1} \left( K + \frac{(n - 2)}{2} \right) \right)^{1/n} = \left( K^{n-1} \right)^{1/n} \left( K + \frac{(n - 2)}{2} \right)^{1/n}
\]

\[
\geq \left( \sqrt{K(K + n - 2)} \right)^{n-1/n} \left( K + \frac{(n - 2)}{2} \right)^{1/n} = \sqrt{K(K + n - 2)(\varphi(K))^{1/2n}},
\]

where \( \varphi(K) := \frac{K^{n-1} - 1}{2} \).
where
\[
\varphi(K) := \frac{(K + \frac{(n-2)}{2})^2}{K(K + n - 2)} > 1 \quad (=1 \text{ if } n = 2).
\]

Hence
\[
\left( K^{n-1} \left( K + \frac{(n-2)}{2} \right) \right)^{2/n} + \left( K^{n-1} \left( K + \frac{(n-2)}{2} \right) \right)^{1/n} \geq K(K + n - 2)(\varphi(K))^{1/n} + \sqrt{K(K + n - 2)(\varphi(K))^{1/2n}} \geq K(K + n - 2) + K = K(K + n - 1).
\]

We have just proved that \( \Omega(K) \leq 1 \), that is, the inequality in the Lemma holds. Now we prove it converges to one at infinity. Note that
\[
\frac{K^n}{K + n - 1} = \frac{K^n}{K + n - 1} \left( n^2 + K + \frac{n}{2} \right)^{1/n} + \frac{1}{n} \left( n^2 + K + \frac{n}{2} \right)^{1/n} \geq K(K + n - 2) + \frac{K}{K + n - 1}.
\]

From (2.13), \( K^n = \frac{n}{K + n - 1} \), where \( \hat{s}_i \) is as in (4.2), and by the following Taylor expansion,
\[
(1 + y)^{a/n} = 1 + \frac{a}{n} y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n} - 1 \right) y^2 + o(y^2),
\]
we get for \( n \geq 3 \),
\[
\left( K^{n} \left( \frac{n}{K + n - 1} \right) \right)^{\frac{a}{n}} = \left( K^n \left( 1 + \frac{\hat{s}_1}{K} + \frac{\hat{s}_2}{K^2} + o \left( \frac{1}{K^2} \right) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{K + n - 1} \right) \right)^{\frac{a}{n}}
\]
\[
= K^{\frac{a}{n}} \left( 1 + \frac{\hat{s}_1}{K} - \frac{n}{2} \right) + \frac{\hat{s}_2}{K^2} - \frac{n}{2} \frac{\hat{s}_1}{K(K + n - 1)} + o \left( \frac{1}{K^2} \right).
\]

Since \( \hat{s}_1 = n(n-1)/2 \) (see Appendix B)
\[
\lim_{K \to +\infty} \Omega(K) = \lim_{K \to +\infty} \frac{K^2 + (n-1)K - K^2}{K \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{\hat{s}_1}{K} - \frac{n}{2} \right] + o \left( \frac{1}{K^2} \right) \right)} = 1.
\]

**Proof of the upper bound of Theorem F.** If \( \lambda_k \) is in the \( K \)-chain, then \( k \geq \sigma(K - 1) = k_- \) and from previous lemma
\[
\lambda_k = K(K + n - 1) \leq C_{W,n} k_-^{2/n} + \sqrt{C_{W,n} k_-^{1/n}} \leq C_{W,n} k_-^{2/n} + \sqrt{C_{W,n} k_-^{1/n}}.
\]
Polya-type inequalities

Equality holds for \( k = 0 \) but not for any other \( k \geq 1 \) in case \( n \geq 3 \), because \( \varphi(K) > 1 \) (see proof of previous lemma). The inequality is asymptotically sharp as a consequence of \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} \Omega(K) = 1 \).

The case \( n = 2 \) is stated in Proposition \([9.2] \square \).

Lemma 9.10. The function

\[
\Psi(K) := \frac{C_{W,n}\sigma(K)^{2/n} - X_K}{\sqrt{C_{W,n}\sigma(K)^{1/n}}} = \frac{((K + 1)^{n-1}\left(\frac{n}{2} + K\right))^{2/n} - K(K + n - 1)}{((K + 1)^{n-1}\left(\frac{n}{2} + K\right))^{1/n}}
\]

satisfies

1. \( \Psi(0) = \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \) and \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} \Psi(K) = 1 \)
2. \( \Psi(K) \leq \Psi(0) \ \forall K \geq 0 \), with equality only for \( K = 0 \).

Proof. The equality of the two quotients given in the definition of \( \Psi(K) \) comes from the value \( C_{W,n} \) and (2.4).

1. Trivially, \( \Psi(0) = \left(1^{n-1}(n/2)^{1/n}\right) = (n!/2)^{1/n} = \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \). Next we compute \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} \Psi(K) \). In the following two estimations we use \( (K + 1)^{n-1} = K^n/K \), Lemma \([A.1] \) and (5.2).

\[
\begin{align*}
(K + 1)^{n-1}\left(\frac{n}{2} + K\right) &\geq K(K + n - 1)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{n}{2K} + 1\right)^{2/n} - K(K + n - 1) &\leq \left(\left(\frac{n}{2K} + 1\right)^{2/n} - 1\right)K(K + n - 1) + \left(\frac{n}{2K} + 1\right)^{2/n}c(n),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c(n) = (n - 1)(n - 2)/6 \). Setting

\[
\tau(K) := \frac{\left((K + 1)^{n-1}\left(\frac{n}{2} + K\right)\right)^{2/n} - K(K + n - 1)}{(K + n - 1)},
\]

we get

\[
\lim_{K \to +\infty} \tau(K) \geq \lim_{K \to +\infty} K\left[\left(\frac{n}{2K} + 1\right)^{2/n} - 1\right] = 1
\]

and

\[
\lim_{K \to +\infty} \tau(K) \leq \lim_{K \to +\infty} K\left[\left(\frac{n}{2K} + 1\right)^{2/n} - 1\right] + \frac{c(n)}{K + n + 1}\left(\frac{n}{2K} + 1\right)^{2/n} = 1.
\]

Hence \( \lim_{K \to +\infty} \tau(K) = 1 \). Now,

\[
\Psi(K) = \tau(K) \cdot \frac{(K + n - 1)}{(K^n/(2K + 1))^{1/n}}.
\]
and using the expression of $K^\pi$ as in the proof of previous lemma, we have

$$\frac{(K + n - 1)}{(K^\pi \left( \frac{n}{2K} + 1 \right))^{1/n}} = \frac{(K + n - 1)}{K \left( \left( 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{s_i}{K} \right) \left( \frac{n}{2K} + 1 \right) \right)^{1/n}}.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{K \to +\infty} \Psi(K) = 1.$

2. Set

$$X(K)^{1/n} := \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \sigma(K)^{1/n} = \left( (K + 1)^{n-1} \right)^{1/n}.$$

Now we will prove the following inequality holds

$$(9.3) \quad \lambda_K = K(K + n - 1) \geq X(K)^{1/n} \left( X(K)^{1/n} - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \right),$$

which is equivalent to proving $\Psi(K) \leq \Psi(0) = \sqrt{C_{W,n}}.$ Since (9.3) holds trivially for $K = 0,$ we may assume $K \geq 1.$ We divide the proof into three cases:

(a) $n = 2, 3, \ldots, 8$ and $K \geq 1.$
(b) $K \geq n \geq 9.$
(c) $1 \leq K \leq n - 1,$ $n \geq 9.$

Applying Lemma A.1 we have

$$X(K)^{1/n} \leq \left( \frac{n}{2} + K \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left( \frac{n}{2} + K \right)^{1/n} = \left( \frac{n}{2} + K \right),$$

and

$$X(K)^{1/n} \geq ((K + 1)(K + n - 1))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left( \frac{n}{2} + K \right)^{1/n}.$$

We define a linear function on $K$

$$Z(n, K) := \left( \left( \frac{n!}{2} \right)^{1/n} - 1 \right) K + \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{n!}{2} \right)^{1/n} - \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

Using only the first inequality (9.4) and the fact that $x(x - \sqrt{C_{W,n}})$ is an increasing function for $x \geq \sqrt{C_{W,n}}/2,$ namely for $x = X(K)^{1/n},$ we obtain the inequality,

$$X(K)^{1/n} \left( X(K)^{1/n} - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \right) \leq \left( \frac{n}{2} + K \right)^2 - \left( \frac{n!}{2} \right)^{1/n} \left( \frac{n}{2} + K \right),$$

valid for all $K \geq 1.$ If we prove the w.h.s. expression of this inequality is bounded from above by $K(K + n - 1),$ or equivalently $Z(n, K) \geq 0,$ the proof of 2. is done.

Note first that for any $K \geq 1,$ $Z(n, K) \geq Z(n, 1),$ and checking directly we see that $Z(n, 1) \geq 0$ for $n = 2, 3, \ldots, 8.$ This proves the case (a).

Next we consider $n \geq 9.$ Using $(n!)^{1/n} \geq e^{-1}n$ (see A.1) we now show that $Z(n, K) \geq 0$ holds for $K \geq n \geq 9$ as well. To see this, define

$$u(n) := Z(n, n), \quad V(x) := x\psi(x + 1) - \ln(\Gamma(x + 1)).$$
Then
\[ u'(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -2 - n + \frac{1}{n2^{1/n}} (n!)^{1/n} (3n + \ln(8) + 3V(n)) \right), \]
and \( V'(x) = x^{\psi'}(x + 1) > 0 \) for all \( x > 0 \). Hence, for \( x \geq 2 \), \( V(x) \geq V(2) = 1.15242 \), and we get for \( n \geq n_0 \geq 2 \),
\[
\frac{1}{n2^{1/n}} (n!)^{1/n} (3n + \ln(8) + 3V(n)) \geq \frac{(n!)^{1/n}}{n2^{1/n}} (3n + \ln(8) + 3V(n_0)) \geq \frac{1}{2^{n_0} e} (3n + \ln(8) + 3V(n_0)).
\]
(9.6)

If we have
\[ W(n) := (3 - 2^{1/n_0} e)n + \ln(8) + V(n_0) - 2^{1+(1/n_0)} e \geq 0 \]
then \( u'(n) \geq 0 \). We take \( n_0 = 9 \), giving \( W(n) > 0 \) for all \( n \geq 2 \). Hence \( u'(n) \geq 0 \) for all \( n \geq n_0 = 9 \). Now \( u(9) = 22.5867 > 0 \), and so \( Z(n, K) \geq 0 \) for all \( K \geq n \geq 9 \) and (b) is proved.

Next we use both inequalities \([9.4]\) and \([9.5]\) to obtain the lower bound of \( K(K + n - 1) \) for the remaining case (c), \( K < n \). We have

\[
X^{1/n} \left( X^{1/n} - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \right) \leq \left( K + \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \left( (K + 1)(K + n - 1) \right) \left( \frac{n/2 + K}{(K + 1)(K + n - 1)} \right)^{1/n}.
\]
(9.7)

Hence, if we prove the following stronger inequality holds,
\[
\left( K + \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \left( (K + 1)(K + n - 1) \right) \left( \frac{n/2 + K}{(K + 1)(K + n - 1)} \right)^{1/n} \leq K(K + n - 1).
\]
That is, equivalently
\[
\sqrt{C_{W,n}} (K^2 + nK + n - 1) \left( \frac{n/2 + K}{(K + 1)} \right)^{1/n} \geq (K + \frac{n^2}{4})(K + n - 1)^{1/n}.
\]
(9.8)
then we show that inequality \([9.3]\) holds under conditions in (c).

We first prove the following inequality holds
\[
(K^2 + nK + (n - 1)) \geq K^{1/n} e^{(n-1)/(nK)} \left( \frac{e}{n} \left( \frac{2}{e} \right)^{1/n} K + \frac{e}{4} \left( \frac{2}{e} \right)^{1/n} n \right),
\]
(9.9)
that is,
\[
F(K) := K^2 + A(n, K)K + B(n, K) - 1 \geq 0,
\]
where
\[
A(n, K) = n - K^{1/n} e^{(n-1)/(nK)} \frac{e}{n} \left( \frac{2}{e} \right)^{1/n},
\]
\[
B(n, K) = n \left( 1 - K^{1/n} e^{(n-1)/(nK)} \frac{e}{4} \left( \frac{2}{e} \right)^{1/n} \right).
\]

Recall that \( x^{1/x} \) takes its maximum at \( x = e \). We will show that if \( n \geq 9 \), \( F(K) \geq 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq K \leq n - 1 \).
Hence, we conclude

\[ \text{for all } n \]

Now, we conclude the following inequality holds for 1

\[ \text{Therefore, when } w(K) \leq 0 \text{ we have } F'(K) \geq 0 \text{ trivially. Moreover, } w(K) \text{ has one negative zero and the other zero is positive and given by} \]

\[ K_0^+ := \frac{-(n - 2)^2 + \sqrt{(n - 2)^4 + 16n^2(n^2 - 1)}}{8(n + 1)}. \]

It turns out that \( K_0^+ \in [n/4, n/2.5] \), and \( w(K) \leq 0 \) for \( K \in [0, K_0^+] \). For \( K \in (K_0^+, n - 1] \), \( w(K) \) increases with \( w(K) > 0 \) up to \( w(n - 1) \). Now \( F'(K) \geq 0 \) if and only if

\[ K^2(2K + n) \geq \frac{y(K) \left( \frac{e}{2} \right)^{1-1/n}}{2n^2} w(K). \]

This holds for \( K \leq K_0^+ \) since \( w(K) \leq 0 \) on this domain. Now we are considering \( K \in [n/4, n - 1] \). On this interval we have maximum values for \( y(K) \) at \( K = n/4 \), maximum value \( w(n - 1) = 4n(n - 1)^2 \) for \( w(K) \). Then we consider the following stronger inequality on \([n/4, n - 1]\),

\[ K^2(2K + n) \geq \frac{y(K) \left( \frac{e}{2} \right)^{1-1/n}}{2n^2} w(n - 1) = e^{(n^2+3n-4)/2} 2^{-1/n} n^{-1+1/n} (n-1)^2. \]

Since this inequality holds for \( K = n/4 \), then it is also true for all \( K \) in the interval \([n/4, n - 1]\). Hence, we conclude \( F'(K) \geq 0 \) for \( n \geq 9 \) and for all \( 1 \leq K \leq n - 1 \). It turns out that \( F(1) \geq 0 \) for all \( n \geq 9 \). Hence, for \( n \geq 9 \), \( F(K) \geq 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq K \leq n \). Consequently \([9.9]\) holds for \( 1 \leq K \leq n - 1 \). From \([9.9]\) and using the fact that

\[ 1 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{n - 1}{K} \right)^{1/n} \leq e^{(n-1)/(nK)}, \]

we conclude the following inequality holds for \( 1 \leq K \leq n - 1 \),

\[ (K^2 + nK + (n - 1)) \geq (K + n - 1)^{1/n} \left( \frac{e}{n} \left( \frac{2}{e} \right)^{1/n} K + \frac{e}{4} \left( \frac{2}{e} \right)^{1/n} n \right). \]

Using \( n! > \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n e \) (see [A.1]), and that \( C_{W,n} = (n!/2)^{1/n} \) we obtain from \([9.10]\) a new inequality holding for \( 1 \leq K \leq n - 1 \),

\[ C_{W,n} (K^2 + nK + n - 1) \geq (K + n - 1)^{1/n} \left( K + \frac{n^2}{4} \right). \]

Note that \( \left( \frac{2 + K}{(K+1)} \right)^{1/n} \geq 1 \). Then \([9.8]\) holds. Therefore, \([9.3]\) holds as well in case (c).
Proof of lower bound in Theorem 4. For any eigenvalue $\lambda_k$ in the $K$–chain, we have $k \leq \sigma(K) - 1$. From Lemma 9.10, $\Psi(K) \leq \Psi(0) = \sqrt{C_{W,n}}$, follows the first inequality, while the second follows from $k + 1 = \sigma(K)$,

$$\lambda_k = \bar{\lambda}_K \geq \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \sigma(K)^{1/n} \left( \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \sigma(K)^{1/n} - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \right) \geq \sqrt{C_{W,n}} (k + 1)^{1/n} \left( \sqrt{C_{W,n}} (k + 1)^{1/n} - \sqrt{C_{W,n}} \right).$$

The proof of asymptotic sharpness comes from the limit of Lemma 9.10. The case $n = 2$ is proved in Proposition 9.2. \square

9.5. Converse Pólya’s inequality for averages over chains on $S^n$. We consider any element $k \in [k_-, k_+]$ of the $K$–chain, $k = k_j = \sigma(K - 1) + j$ where $j = 0, 1, \ldots, (m(K) - 1)$. Let $\hat{s}_i$ be given as in 4.2. From (2.13) we have $K^n = K^n(1 + z(K))$ with $z(K) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{s}_i/K^i$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{m(K)-1} j = \frac{m(K) - 1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{m(K)-1} j^2 = \frac{(2m(K) - 1)(m(K) - 1)}{6}.$$

We define

$$\text{Pol}_j(K) := \bar{\lambda}_K - C_{W,n} k_j^{2/n}.$$

Pólya’s inequality is given by the inequality $\text{Pol}_j(K) \geq 0$. We have $\text{Pol}_0(0) = 0$, but for $K = 1$ $\text{Pol}_j(1) \geq 0$ is equivalent to $n \geq (n!(1+j)/2)^{2/n}$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, that only holds if $n = 2$ and $j = 0, 1$, or $n = 3, 4$ and $j = 0$. We have the following conclusion on the Pólya average on $n$-spheres.

Proposition 9.11. 1. If $n = 2$, then for all $K \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=k_-(K)}^{k_+(K)} (\lambda_k - C_{W,n} k^{2/n}) = 0$.

2. If $n \geq 3$, there exists $K_n \geq 1$ s.t. for all $K \geq K_n$, $\sum_{k=k_-(K)}^{k_+(K)} (\lambda_k - C_{W,n} k^{2/n}) < 0$.

Proof. If $n = 2$ then, $\text{Pol}_0(K) = K(K + 1)$ and for $K \geq 1$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m(K) - 1 = 2K$ we have

$$\text{Pol}_j(K) = K(K + 1) - (\sigma(K - 1) + j) = K(K + 1) - K^2 - j = K - j,$$

and the average is given by

$$\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{m(K)-1} \text{Pol}_j(K) = K - \frac{1}{2K + 1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2K} j \right) = K - \frac{2K(2K + 1)}{(2K + 1)^2} = 0.$$

Now assume $n \geq 3$. Let

$$\varphi(K) := \left( \frac{n/2 + K - 1}{K + n - 1} \right)^{2/n} = \left( 1 - \frac{n/2}{K + n - 1} \right)^{2/n}.$$
We have
\[
C_{W,n}k_j^{2/n} = \left( \left( \frac{n}{2} + K - 1 \right) \frac{K^n}{K + n - 1} + \frac{n!}{2} j \right)^{2/n} = \varphi(K)K^2(1 + w_j(K))^{2/n},
\]
where
\[
w_j(K) = z(K) + \left( \frac{K + n - 1}{n/2 + K - 1} \right) \frac{n! j}{2K^n} = z(K) + jG(K),
\]
with
\[
G(K) = \left( \frac{K + n - 1}{n/2 + K - 1} \right) \frac{n!}{2K^n}.
\]
Now we assume \( n \geq 3 \). Using Lemma A.2, we have \((1 + w_j)^{2/n} \geq 1 + \frac{2}{n} w_j - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} w_j^2 \). Hence,
\[
Pol_j(K) := K(K + n - 1) - C_{W,n}k_j^{2/n} = K^2 + (n-1)K - \varphi(K)K^2 (1 + w_j(K))^{2/n} \leq (1 - \varphi(K))K^2 + (n-1)K - \varphi(K)K^2 \left( \frac{2}{n} w_j - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} w_j^2 \right) = (1 - \varphi(K))K^2 + (n-1)K - \varphi(K)K^2 \left( \frac{2}{n} z - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} z^2 \right) + 2j\varphi(K)K^2 \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} z \right) G + j^2 \varphi(K)K^2 \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} G^2.
\]
Now we estimate the average
\[
\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{m(K)-1} Pol_j(K) \leq \frac{1}{m(K)} (1 - \varphi(K))K^2 + (n-1)K - \varphi(K)K^2 \left( \frac{2}{n} z - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} z^2 \right) + \varphi(K)K^2 \left( - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} z \right) G(m(K) - 1) + \varphi(K)K^2 \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} G^2 \left( \frac{m(K) - 1)(2m(K) - 1)}{6} \right) \leq (1 - \varphi(K))K^2 + (n-1)K - \varphi(K)K^2 \left[ \frac{2}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{s_i}{K^i} \right) - \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{s_i}{K^i} \right)^2 \right] + \varphi(K)K^2 \left( - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{s_i}{K^i} \right) \right) \times \left( \frac{K + n - 1}{K - 1 + \frac{n}{2}} \right) \frac{n!}{2K^n} (m(K) - 1) + \varphi(K)K^2 \frac{(n-2)}{n^2} \left[ \left( \frac{K + n - 1}{K - 1 + \frac{n}{2}} \right) \frac{n!}{2K^n} \right]^2 \left( \frac{(m(K) - 1)(2m(K) - 1)}{6(m(K) - 1)} \right).
Note that \((1 - \varphi(K))K \to 1\) when \(K \to +\infty\). Hence \((1 - \varphi(K))K^2 \sim K\). Moreover, \(K^nG(K) \to n/2\) when \(K \to +\infty\). Then we rearrange the w.h.s. to get an inequality as follows (we are assuming \(n \geq 3\))

\[
\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{m(K)-1} Pol_j(K) \leq A(K)K + B(K) + \frac{C(K)}{K} + o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right),
\]

where \(A(K), B(K),\) and \(C(K)\) are bounded functions, namely,

\[
A(K) = \left[(1 - \varphi(K))(K + (n - 1)) - \varphi(K) \left(\frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_1 + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{K + n - 1}{K + \frac{n - 2}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{m(K) - 1)n!}{2K^{n-1}}\right)\right]\]

\[
B(K) = \varphi(K) \left[-\frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_2 + \frac{(n - 2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_1 - \frac{(n - 2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_1 \left(\frac{K + n - 1}{K + \frac{n - 2}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{m(K) - 1)n!}{2K^{n-1}}\right) + \frac{(2m(K) - 1)(m(K) - 1)}{6} \left(\frac{K + n - 1}{n^2} \left(\frac{m(K) - 1)n!}{2K^{n-1}}\right)\right)^2\right],
\]

\[
C(K) = \varphi(K) \left[-\frac{2}{n} \hat{s}_3 + \frac{2(n - 2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_2 \hat{s}_2 + \frac{(n - 2)}{n^2} \hat{s}_2 \left(\frac{K + n - 1}{K + \frac{n - 2}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{m(K) - 1)n!}{2K^{n-1}}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)\right].
\]

Now

\[
\lim_{K \to +\infty} A(K) = 2 - n,
\]

and so, for \(n \geq 3\) we have \(\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{m(K)-1} Pol_j(K) < 0\) for \(K\) sufficiently large. \(\square\)

**Remark 9.1.** In the particular case of \(S^2\), the total average for \(k = k_+(K) = \sigma(K-1)+m(K)-1\) satisfies

\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=0}^{K} (\lambda_s - s) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{K'=0}^{m(K)-1} \sum_{j=0}^{K'} Pol_j(K') = 0,
\]

and for \(k = \sigma(K-1) + r, K \geq 1,\) where \(r = 0, \ldots, m(K)-1 = 2K\) we have

\[
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=0}^{K} (\lambda_s - s) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{r} Pol_j(K) = \frac{1}{k} \left((r + 1)K - \sum_{j=1}^{r} j\right) = \frac{(r + 1)(2K - r)}{2(K^2 + r)} =: \phi(K, r).
\]

For the range values of \(r\) above, this is a positive function except at points of the form \(k = k_+(K)\) where it vanishes. For positive \(K\) we have the upper bound

\[
\phi(K, r) \leq K^2 + K - \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{K(K^2 - 1)(K + 2)},
\]

which converges to \(1/2\) as \(K\) goes to infinity. Moreover, fixing any rational \(a \in (0, 2) \cap \mathbb{Q}\), and considering an infinite sequence of \(K \in \mathbb{N}\) s.t. \(r = aK \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(\phi(K, aK) \to (2 - a)a/2\). This
proves the interval $[0, 1/2]$ contains the set of sublimits of $\phi(K, r)$, whose closure will be the whole of this interval.

**Appendix A. Auxiliary results**

In order to derive the Pólya–type inequalities we will make extensive usage of several results which we now collect here. Except for Lemma A.1 below, these are mostly known, but we include them here for ease of reference.

We will use the following Stirling bounds for $n!$. For any positive real $x > 0$ there exists some $0 < \theta < 1$ such that \cite{AS} 6.1.38, \[ \Gamma(x + 1) = \sqrt{2\pi} x^{x+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x+\frac{\theta}{12x}}. \] Hence, for any $n \geq 1$ we have
\[ \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-n} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} < n! \leq e^{1-n} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}. \]

The next two lemmas are also crucial tools for our eigenvalue estimates. The first of these relates to bounds for the quotients of two $\Gamma$ functions, but we were not able to find this result in the literature – see \cite{J} Theorem 2 for similar results.

**Lemma A.1.** For any positive real number $K$ we have
\[ K(K + n - 1) < (K^n)^{2/n} < \left[ K + \frac{(n - 1)}{2} \right]^2 \]
for all integer $n$ greater than or equal to three, while when $n$ is two we have identity on the left–hand side (and inequality on the right–hand side).

**Proof.** For $s \in [0, n - 1]$ the function $\theta(s) = (K + s)(K + n - 1 - s)$ achieves its minimum at the end points of the interval, namely $\theta(0) = \theta(n - 1) = K(K + n - 1)$, and its maximum at $s_+ = (n - 1)/2$ with $\theta(s_+) = (K + s_+)^2$. For odd $n$ write $n = 2m + 1$. Then $s_+ = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and
\[ K^n = K(K + 1) \ldots (K + m) \ldots (K + n - 2)(K + n - 1) \]
\[ = [K(K + n - 1)][(K + 1)(K + n - 2)] \ldots [(K + m - 1)(K + m + 1)] \cdot [K + m] \]
\[ > [K(K + n - 1)]^m \cdot [K + m] \]
\[ \geq [K(K + n - 1)]^m \sqrt{K(K + n - 1)} \]
\[ = [K(K + n - 1)]^{n/2}. \]

For $n$ even write $n = 2m$. Then $s_+ = m - (1/2)$ and
\[ K^n = K(K + 1) \ldots (K + m - 1)(K + m) \ldots (K + n - 2)(K + n - 1) \]
\[ = [K(K + n - 1)][(K + 1)(K + n - 2)] \ldots [(K + m - 1)(K + m)] \]
\[ > [K(K + n - 1)]^m \]
\[ = [K(K + n - 1)]^{n/2}. \]

In either case we have $(K^n)^{2} > [K(K + n - 1)]^{n}$ as desired. Furthermore, since $\theta(s)$ attains a local maximum at $s_+ = (n - 1)/2$, a similar argument yields $(K^n)^{2} < \left[ K + \frac{(n - 1)}{2} \right]^{2n}$, proving the upper bound.

By a standard application of Taylor’s theorem we have the following bounds for $(1 + x)^{a/n}$ for $n \geq 2$ and $a = 1, 2$, which we will need in the sequel.
Lemma A.2. Let \( a = 1, 2 \). For any \( x \in [0, +\infty) \), and \( l \geq 2 \) even, we have

\[
1 + \Lambda_1 x + \Lambda_2 x^2 + \ldots + \Lambda_l x^l \leq (1 + x)^{a/n} \leq 1 + \Lambda_1 x + \ldots + \Lambda_{l-1} x^{l-1},
\]

and for any \( x \in [0, 1) \), and any \( l \geq 1 \) we have

\[
(1 - x)^{a/n} \leq 1 - \Lambda_1 x + \Lambda_2 x^2 - \Lambda_3 x^3 + \ldots + (-1)^l \Lambda_l x^l,
\]

where, for \( l \geq 0 \),

\[
\Lambda_{l+1} = \Lambda_{l+1}(a) := \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \frac{a}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{a}{n} - 2 \right) \ldots \left( \frac{a}{n} - l \right).
\]

APPENDIX B. EXPLICIT EXPRESSIONS FOR \( s_1(m) \), \( s_2(m) \) AND \( s_3(m) \)

From \( \sigma_1(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = x_1 + \cdots + x_m \) we immediately have

\[
s_1(m) = \sigma_1(1, \ldots, m) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}.
\]

The expressions for \( \sigma_k, k = 2, 3, \) may now be derived using Newton’s formulas \([M, \text{identity (2.11')}]\)

\[
k \sigma_k(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i-1} p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \sigma_{k-i}(x_1, \ldots, x_m),
\]

where the polynomials \( p_k \) are the \( k \)th power sums defined by \( p_k(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^k \). For \( s_2(m) \) we have

\[
s_2(m) = \sigma_2(1, 2, \ldots, m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i-1} \sigma_{2-i}(1, 2, \ldots, m) p_i(1, 2, \ldots, m)
= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1(1, 2, \ldots, m)(1 + 2 + \cdots + m) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0(1, 2, \ldots, m) p_2(1, 2, \ldots, m)
= \frac{(m-1)m(m+1)(3m+2)}{24}.
\]

In a similar way we may obtain

\[
s_3(m) = \sigma_3(1, \ldots, m) = \frac{(m-2)(m-1)m^2(m+1)^2}{48}.
\]

APPENDIX C. COMPUTATIONS USING MATHEMATICA

Some of the computations needed in the paper are straightforward but quite fastidious, involving many algebraic manipulations. They are thus quite suited to be carried out using a computer package such as Mathematica. Below we collect the main results used in the paper which were carried out in this way.

C.1. \( S^n_+ \).
C.1.1. $\Theta_n(K)$. We shall now determine which of the inequalities in (6.3) holds for small $n$, in order to establish the monotonicity of $\Theta_n(K)$. For $x \geq 0$ define $H(x) := \Upsilon(x+1)$, $P_1(x) := 2nx + n(n+1)$, $P_2(x) := x^2 + (n+1)x + n$, $S_k := s_k = \sigma_k(1, \ldots, n-1)$, $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$, $S_n := n!$. Then

\[
H(K-1) := \Upsilon(K), \quad P_1(K-1) = n(2K + n - 1) = 2n(K-1) + n(n+1),
\]

\[
P_2(K-1) = K(K + n - 1) = ((K-1) + 1)((K-1) + n) = (K-1)^2 + (n+1)(K-1) + n
\]

\[
H(x) = x^n + S_1x^{n-1} + S_2x^{n-2} + \ldots + S_{n-1}x + S_n.
\]

Inequality (6.3) is equivalent to $Q(y) > 0$ (resp $=, <$) (see definition of $Q(y)$ in (6.4)), where

\[
Q(x) = (P_1(x)H(x) - P_2(x)H'(x))x^n - (H'(x))^n(H(x))^2.
\]

a) $n = 2$.

\[
S_0 := 1, \quad S_1 := 1, \quad S_2 := 2, \quad P_1[x] := 4x + 6, \quad P_2[x] := x^2 + 3x + 2, \quad H[x] := x^2 + x + 2,
\]

\[
Q[x] := (P_1[x]H[x] - P_2[x]H'[x])x^3 - (H'[x])^3(H[x])^2 = 120x + 72x^2 + 44x^3 + 8x^4.
\]

Hence $Q(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$.

b) $n = 3$.

\[
S_0 := 1, \quad S_1 := 3, \quad S_2 := 2, \quad S_3 := 6,
\]

\[
P_1[x] := 6x + 12, \quad P_2[x] := x^2 + 4x + 3, \quad H[x] := x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 6,
\]

\[
Q[x] := (P_1[x]H[x] - P_2[x]H'[x])x^3 - (H'[x])^3(H[x])^2.
\]

Using Mathematica we get

\[
Q(x) = 287208 + 441528x + 3987652x^2 + 346480x^3 + 243430x^4
\]

\[
+ 121806x^5 + 54317x^6 + 19584x^7 + 3537x^8 - 54x^10.
\]

This function is negative for $x$ sufficiently large. We can plot $\Theta(K)$ to find its unique maximum ($> 2$) and then decreasing to 2.

c) $n = 4$.

\[
S_0 := 1, \quad S_1 := 6, \quad S_2 := 11, \quad S_3 := 6, \quad S_4 := 24,
\]

\[
P_1[x] := 8x + 20, \quad P_2[x] := x^2 + 5x + 4, \quad H[x] := x^4 + 6x^3 + 11x^2 + 6x + 24,
\]

\[
Q[x] = 43236633600 + 73568079360x + 77221916352x^2 + 82092167616x^3 + 7522668416x^4
\]

\[
+ 56202442816x^5 + 38360560768x^6 + 24086819776x^7 + 13080516224x^8 + 6163551040x^9
\]

\[
+ 2576142848x^{10} + 912055104x^{11} + 247757312x^{12} + 46192320x^{13} + 4971840x^{14}
\]

\[
+ 134016x^{15} - 29952x^{16} - 2560x^{17}.
\]

Hence $Q(x) < 0$ for $x$ sufficiently large. This is similar to the case $n = 3$.

d) $n = 5$.

\[
S_0 := 1, \quad S_1 := 10, \quad S_2 := 35, \quad S_3 := 50, \quad S_4 := 24, \quad S_5 := 120
\]

\[
P_1[x] := 10x + 30, \quad P_2[x] := x^2 + 6x + 5, \quad H[x] := x^5 + 10x^4 + 35x^3 + 50x^2 + 24x + 120.
\]
Using Mathematica we get

\[
Q[x] = 510382908135014400 + 93569973808885760x + 111954373311320576x^2 \\
+ 1341746818936012800x^3 + 1414531013783854080x^4 + 1269928589586474496x^5 \\
+ 105337527909689728x^6 + 819976485526946560x^7 + 58487324327073880x^8 \\
+ 384030620559845100x^9 + 235363309665136527x^{10} + 134029912381507100x^{11} \\
+ 7009257320696000x^{12} + 3352473850370000x^{13} + 1462738906628125x^{14} \\
+ 575347089006000x^{15} + 200138982919875x^{16} + 603733887489500x^{17} \\
+ 157044999569875x^{18} + 35415842860000x^{19} + 7047071406875x^{20} \\
+ 1260873842500x^{21} + 203193834375x^{22} + 28668200000x^{23} \\
+ 3357715625x^{24} + 3053750000x^{25} + 19856250x^{26} + 812500x^{27} + 15625x^{28}.
\]

all coefficients are positive, hence \(Q(x) > 0\) for all \(x \geq 0\), and so (6.3) holds.

e) \(n = 6\).

\[
S_0 := 1, \quad S_1 := 15, \quad S_2 := 85, \quad S_3 := 225, \quad S_4 := 234, \quad S_5 := 120, \quad S_6 := 720, \\
P_1[x] := 12x + 42, \quad P_2[x] := x^2 + 7x + 6, \\
H[x] := x^6 + 15x^5 + 85x^4 + 225x^3 + 234x^2 + 120x + 720.
\]

Using Mathematica we expand \(Q(x)\) giving a polynomial with all coefficients positive. Hence \(Q(x) > 0\) for all \(x\).

C.1.2. \(R_-(3, k)\) and \(R_-(3, k)\). We prove that \(R_-(3, k)\) is positive and decreasing to \(2/3\) by proving that \(B(k) + C(k)\) is positive and decreasing to zero. Similar reasoning for \(A(k) + D(k)\).

Both \(C(k)\) and \(B(k)\) converge to zero when \(k \to +\infty\). Consider the function \(z(t) = (1 + \sqrt{1 - 3^{-3/5} t^{-2}})^{1/3}\) with \(t \geq 1\), and \(H(t) = (3t)^{1/3} z(t)\). Note that \(z(t)\) is an increasing function and converges to \(2^{1/3}\) when \(t \to \infty\). Hence, \(z(t) \in \left[(1 + \sqrt{1 - 3^{-3/5}})^{1/3}, 2^{1/3}\right]\). The condition \(B(k) + C(k) > 0\), with \(k \geq 2, t = k - 1\), is equivalent to

\[
Y(t) := \frac{B(k) + C(k)}{2} = -(6t)^{1/3} + \left(H(t) + \frac{1}{3H(t)}\right) > 0,
\]

or equivalently

\[
3 \times 3^{1/3} 6^{1/3} z(T) \left(1 - 2^{-1/3} z(t)\right) < \frac{1}{t^{2/3}}.
\]

Note that

\[
t = (3^5 z(t)^3 (2 - z^3))^{-1/2}
\]

and so, the above inequality is equivalent to

\[
2^{1/3} \left(1 - 2^{-1/3} z(t)\right) < (2 - z(t)^3)^{1/3}.
\]

Now, the inequality \((2^{1/3} - z)^3 < (2 - z^3)\) is valid for of \(z \in \left[(1 + \sqrt{1 - 3^{-3/5}})^{1/3}, 2^{1/3}\right]\), equality holds for \(z = 2^{1/3}\). Therefore, \(Y(t) > 0\) for \(t \geq 1\).
The derivative is given by
\[
Y'(t) = -\frac{2^{1/3}}{3^{2/3}t^{2/3}} - \frac{1}{9 \times 3^{1/3}(t + \sqrt{-3^{-5} + t^2})^{1/3}\sqrt{-3^{-5} + t^2}} + \frac{(t + \sqrt{-3^{-5} + t^2})^{1/3}}{3^{2/3}\sqrt{-3^{-5} + t^2}}
\]

Then, \(Y'(t) < 0\) if and only if,
\[
\frac{1}{3t^{1/3}\sqrt{1 - 3^{-5}t^{-2}}} (3H(t)^2 - 1) < \frac{2^{1/3}}{3^{2/3}} 3H(t).
\]

Using the expression of \(\sqrt{1 - 3^{-5}t^{-2}}\) and \(t\) in terms of \(z\) and \(H(t)\) in terms of \(t\) we get the equivalent inequality
\[
\left(3 \times 3^{2/3} \times z(t)^2 - t^{-2/3}\right) < 3 \left(z(t)^3 - 1\right) \times 2^{1/3} \times 3^{2/3} \times z(t).
\]

Taking again the expression of \(t\) in terms of \(z\) we get
\[
\left(3 \times 3^{2/3} \times z(t)^2 - 3^{5/3}z(t)(2 - z(t)^3)^{1/3}\right) < 2^{1/3} \times 3^{5/3} \left(z(t)^3 - 1\right) \times z(t),
\]

that after simplification is given by
\[
z(t) < \left[2^{1/3}(z(t)^3 - 1) + (2 - z(t)^3)^{1/3}\right].
\]

That is,
\[
(2 - z(t)^3)^{1/3} > z - 2^{1/3}(z(t)^3 - 1).
\]

We can check this is true by considering the polynomial inequality
\[
(2 - z^3) > (z - 2^{1/3}(z^3 - 1))^3,
\]
and to check to be true for \(z \in [(1 + \sqrt{1 - 3^{-a}})^{1/3}, 2^{1/3}]\), being an equality at \(z = 2^{1/3}\). Thus, \(Y'(t) < 0\) is true for all \(t \geq 1\). We have then proved \(Y(t)\) is decreasing and so it is \(B(k) + C(k)\). The same holds for \(A(k) + D(k)\).

It follows that \(\tilde{R}_-(3, k)\) converges at infinity to the same limit as \(\tilde{R}_-(3, k)\), since \(0 < k^{a/3} - (k - 1)^{a/3} \leq \frac{a}{2}(k - 1)^{-3-a/3}\) for \(a = 1, 2\).

Now using identity \((7.4)\), we will prove that \(\tilde{R}_-(3, k)\) is increasing by showing the following functions are increasing
\[
DD(k) := D(k) + 3^{-2}D(k)^{-1} - (6k)^{2/3},
CC(k) := \sqrt{D} + 3^{-1}(\sqrt{D})^{-1} - (6k)^{1/3}.
\]
\[ DD'(k) = -\frac{2 \left( 27 + \frac{27^2(k-1)}{\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3}} \right)}{3 \times 3^{2/3} (\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)})^{5/3}} \]

\[ + \frac{2 \left( 27 + \frac{27^2(k-1)}{\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3}} \right)}{9 \times 3^{1/3} (\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)})^{1/3}} \left[ \frac{2^{1+2/3}}{3^{1/3}k^{1/3}} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2 \left( 27 + \frac{243(k-1)}{\sqrt{(242/3) - 162k + 81k^2}} \right)}{9 \times 3^{1/3} (\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)})^{5/3}} \left[ \frac{2^{1+2/3}}{3^{1/3}k^{1/3}} \right] \]

Thus, \( DD'(k) \geq 0 \) if and only if

\[ 2 \left( 27 + \frac{729(k-1)}{\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3}} \right) \left[ -3 + 3^{1/3} \left( 27(k-1) + \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3} \right)^{4/3} \right] - \frac{2^{1+2/3}}{3^{1/3}k^{1/3}} > 0. \]

Multiplying the above inequality by

\[ 9 \times k^{1/3} \times 3^{1/3} (\sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)})^{5/3} \times \sqrt{-3 + (27(k-1))^2}, \]

we get an equivalent inequality,

\[ 3 \times k^{1/3} \left[ \left( \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)} \right)^{4/3} - 3^{2/3} \right] \]

\[ > 2^{2/3} \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3} \left( \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)} \right)^{2/3}, \]

that is,

\[ W(k) := \frac{3 \times k^{1/3}}{2^{2/3} \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3}} \times \left[ \left( \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)} \right)^{2/3} \right. \]

\[ - \frac{3^{2/3}}{\left( \sqrt{(27(k-1))^2 - 3 + 27(k-1)} \right)^{2/3}} \]

\[ > 1. \]
We prove this inequality is true by showing that $W$ decreases and at infinity it equals 1. We have that $W'(k) < 0$ is equivalent to

$$
\left[ \left( \sqrt{-3 + 729(-1 + k)^2} + 27(-1 + k) \right)^{4/3} - 3^{2/3} \right] \times (729(-1 + k)^2 - 3) \\
+ 54 \left[ 3^{2/3} + \left( \sqrt{-3 + 729(-1 + k)^2} + 27(-1 + k) \right)^{4/3} \right] \times \sqrt{-3 + 729(-1 + k)^2 k} \\
- 2187 \left[ \left( \sqrt{-3 + 729(-1 + k)^2} + 27(-1 + k) - 3^{2/3} \right)^{4/3} \right] \times (k - 1)k < 0,
$$

or

$$
\left[ \left( \sqrt{27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3} + 27(k - 1) \right)^{4/3} - 3^{2/3} \right] \times (27^2(-1 + k)^2 - 3) \\
+ 54k \sqrt{27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3} \left[ \left( \sqrt{27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3} + 27(k - 1) \right)^{4/3} + 3^{2/3} \right] \\
< 81 \times 27 \times k(k - 1) \times \left[ \left( \sqrt{27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3} + 27(k - 1) \right)^{4/3} - 3^{2/3} \right].
$$

Let $X(k) = \sqrt{27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3} + 27(k - 1)$. Then the previous inequality is equivalent to

$$
(27^2(2k^2 - k - 1) + 3) > 2 \times 27 \times k \times \sqrt{27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3} \times \frac{\left( X(k)^{4/3} + 3^{2/3} \right)}{X(k)^{4/3} - 3^{2/3}}.
$$

Note that $Z(k) := \frac{\left( X(k)^{4/3} + 3^{2/3} \right)}{X(k)^{4/3} - 3^{2/3}}$ is decreasing, and so $1 < Z(k) \leq Z(2) = 1.02062107...$, so we are considering the equivalent inequality

$$
F(k) := (27 \times (2k^2 - k - 1) + 3)^2 - Z(k)^2 \times 27^2(k - 1)^2 - 3 > 0.
$$

The Taylor series for $F(k)$ in powers of $(k - 2)$ is given by

$$
F(k) = 4.487 \times 10^6 + 1.1177 \times 10^7(k - 2) + 8.77212 \times 10^6(k - 2)^2 + O((k - 2)^3).
$$

Hence, for $k$ large enough $W(k)$ is an increasing function, and so $W(k) > 1$ for $k$ large. It converges to 1 at infinity (using Taylor expansions for example). Hence $DD(k)$ is increasing for $k$ large. Similar for $CC$, proving that $\hat{R}_-(3, k)$ is increasing for $k$ large enough.

The values of $\hat{R}_-(3, k)$ and of $\hat{R}_+(3, k)$ given in the proposition may be obtained from the corresponding expressions. In particular, $\hat{R}_-(3, k)$ vanishes for some $k$ between 35 and 36.

C.1.3. $\hat{R}_-(4, k), \hat{R}_+(4, k)$. We may write $\hat{R}_-(4, k)$ as

$$
\hat{R}_-(4, k) = \sqrt{5 + 4 \sqrt{1 + 24(k - 1)}} + \sqrt{1 + 24(k - 1)} - \sqrt{24(k - 1) - 2} \times (24(k - 1))^{1/4}.
$$

Since the functions $S(t) := \sqrt{5 + t} - \sqrt{t}$, and $Q(t) := \sqrt{5 + 4 \sqrt{1 + t}} - 2t^{1/4}$ are decreasing, it follows that $\hat{R}_-(4, k)$ is decreasing.

The behaviour of $\hat{R}_-(4, k)$ can be described using Mathematica. For example, it is negative at $k = 1$, vanishing somewhere on the interval $[400, 500]$ and attains a local maximum approx. 0.0322267 around $k = 6452$ and converges to zero at infinity (as explained in case $n = 3$).
C.2. $S^n$.

C.2.1. $R_{+}(3, k)$. It is elementary to prove that $A_i$ and $B_i$ converge to zero when $k \to \infty$. We prove now that $A_i + B_i$ are positive decreasing functions. Let $X(k) = 108 + \sqrt{(108)^2 - 3k^2}$. Then $X(1) > 215$ and $X(k) \nearrow 63$ when $k \to +\infty$. We have

$$A_1'(k) + B_1'(k) = \frac{-18 \times 3^{1/6} \times X(k) \times k^{4/3} + 3^{5/6} \times X(k)^{1/3} + 18 \times 3^{1/3}(\sqrt{3})^{-1}X(k)^{1/3} \left(-6 + X(k)^{1/3}\right)k^2}{3k^{8/3}(X(k))^{4/3}\sqrt{3} \times 108 - k^{-2}}.$$  

Note that $\left(-6 + X(k)^{1/3}\right)k^2 \to 0$ when $k \to +\infty$. Moreover, $(-6 + X(k)^{1/3}) < 0$ and so

$$A_1'(k) + B_1'(k) < \frac{-18 \times 3^{1/6} \times X(k) \times k^{4/3} + 3^{5/6} \times X(k)^{1/3}}{3k^{8/3}(X(k))^{4/3}\sqrt{3} \times 108 - k^{-2}} < 0.$$  

Similarly $A_2'(k) + B_2'(k) < 0$. Since $A_1(1) + B_1(1) = 0.0577504$ and $A_2(1) + B_2(1) = 0.00324951$ and $A_i + B_i$ decrease to zero, we conclude they are positive for all $k \geq 1$. Moreover, $A_1(1) + B_1(1) + A_2(1) + B_2(1) = 0.0609999 < 7/12$. This proves $R_-(3, k)$ is negative decreasing to $-7/12$.

It follows immediately that $D_1(k)$ and $E_1(k)$ are functions converging to zero. Clearly $E_1(k) + E_2(k) < 0$. Let $V(t) = D_2(t(t - 1)) + D_1(t - 1)$, where $t = k + 1 \geq 2$. Then

$$V(t) = -\sqrt{C_{W3}}\left[t^{1/3} - 2^{-1/3}(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}})^{1/3}\right] \times \left\{\sqrt{C_{W3}}\left[t^{1/3} + 2^{-1/3}(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}})^{1/3}\right] - 1\right\}$$  

and

$$V'(t) = \frac{1}{3^{2/3}t^{3/3}} - \frac{2}{3^{1/3}t^{1/3}} - \frac{1}{3^{1/3}t^{2/3}(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}})^{4/3}} + \frac{2^{1/3}\left(1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}}}\right)}{3^{1/3}(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}})^{1/3}}.$$  

It follows that $V(t) < 0$, that is $D_1(k) + D_2(k)$ is negative. Now we show that

$$2^{1/3}(3t)^{2/3} \sqrt{t^2 - 3^{-5}2^{-6}} \times V'(t) > 0,$$

that is,

$$(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}})^{1/3}t^{2/3}[12^{1/3}(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}})^{1/3} - 1] > 2^{1/3}\sqrt{t^2 - 2^{-6}3^{-5}}[2 \times 3^{1/3}t^{1/3} - 1].$$  

Let us define the constant $\delta = 3^{-5}2^{-6}$ and the following functions

$$J(t) = \sqrt{1 - \delta t^{-2}}, \quad \xi(t) = \left(\frac{J(t) + 1}{2}\right)^{1/3}, \quad a(t) = 2 \times 3^{1/3}t^{1/3}.$$  

Previous inequality is equivalent to the following one,

$$(C.2) \quad (J(t) + 1)^{1/3}\left(2^{2/3}3^{1/3}t^{1/3}(1 + J(t))^{1/3} - 1\right) > 2^{1/3}J(t)(2 \times 3^{1/3}t^{1/3} - 1).$$  

We will prove this inequality holds for all $t \geq 2$, or equivalently,

$$(C.3) \quad \xi(t) \times (a(t)\xi(t) - 1) > (2\xi^2(t) - 1) \times (a(t) - 1),$$

where we used in the w.h.s. the identity $J(t) = 2\xi^3(t) - 1$. Now (C.2) is equivalent to

$$(C.4) \quad a(t) \times (\xi^2(t) - 2\xi(t)^3 + 1) > \xi(t) - 2\xi(t)^3 + 1.$$
Since $0 < \xi < 1$ we have $\xi + 1 > \xi^2 + 1 > \xi^3 + \xi^3 = 2\xi^3$. Hence (C.4) is equivalent to

(C.5) \quad a(t) \times \nu(\xi(t)) > 1, \quad \text{where } \nu(\xi) := \left(\frac{\xi^2 - 2\xi^3 + 1}{\xi - 2\xi^3 + 1}\right), \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1).

From the derivative of $\nu(\xi)$, given by

$$\nu'(\xi) = \frac{2\xi^2 - 1}{(2\xi^2 + 2\xi + 1)^2},$$

we conclude that $\nu(\xi)$ attains minimum value $m_0 = 0.792893$ at $\xi = 2^{-1/2}$ and $a(t)$ is an increasing function with $a(1) = 2 \times 3^{1/3}$. Hence, $a(t)m_0 \geq a(1)m_0 > 1$ implying (C.5) holds.

We have then proved that $D_1(k) + D_2(k)$ is a negative function increasing to zero.

Therefore, the sum $E_1 + E_2 + D_1 + D_2$ is negative and converges to zero. Now we prove that $E_1 + E_2$ is also increasing. The functions $E_i$ are of the form $E_1(k) = -1/Y(k)$ where $Y(k)$ increases and is positive with $Y(k) > 2$, and $E_2(k) = 1/(Y(k)^2)$. Hence,

$$E_1'(k) + E_2'(k) = \frac{Y'(k)(Y(k) - 2)}{Y(k)^3} > 0.$$ 

Therefore, $R_+(3, k)$ is negative, increasing and converges to $-7/12$.

C.2.2. $R_+(4, k)$. We have $R_-(4, k) = R_1(k) + R_2(k)$ where

$$R_1(k) = \sqrt{12k} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48k}} - 1\right) = \sqrt{12k} + \frac{1}{4} - \sqrt{12k},$$

$$R_2(k) = (12k)^{1/4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{12k}}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{48k}} - 1\right) = \left(\sqrt{12k} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} - (12k)^{1/4}.$$ 

The derivative of $R_1(k)$ is negative. The same holds for $R_2$ since

$$t^{3/4} < \left(t + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/2} \left(\left(t + \frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$ 

Note that $R_1(0) = 1/2$, $R_2(0) = 1$ and both $R_i$ are decreasing positive everywhere. For $k \geq 1$ $R_-(4, k) \leq R_-(4, 1) = -1.32531$. Hence $R_-(4, k)$ is a negative function decreasing to $-3/2$.

Since $R_+(4, k) < R_-(4, k) + 1$ then $R_+(4, k)$ is also negative. Moreover,

$$R_+(4, k) = R_1'(k + 1) - R_2'(k + 1) = \frac{3^{1/4}}{2^{3/2}(k + 1)^{3/4}} - \sqrt{3} \frac{6 \left(2\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)} - \sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{k + 1} + \sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}\sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}}.$$ 

Multiplying by $\sqrt{(k + 1)}$ the above expression and the fact that

$$\frac{3^{1/4}}{2^{3/2}(k + 1)^{1/4}} + 6(k + 1)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}\sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}}\right) > \sqrt{3}$$
we conclude that $R'_+(4, k) > 0$, that is $R_+(4, k)$ increases to $-2/3$. It remains to prove the above fact, or equivalently,

$$\frac{12(k + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}}}\right) > \sqrt{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{1/4}2^{3/2}(k + 1)^{1/4}}\right).$$

From $(12)^2(k + 1) > 3(1 + 48(k + 1))$ we conclude that

$$\frac{12(k + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}} > \sqrt{3},$$

and from $(1 + \sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}) > 4 \times 3^{1/2}(k + 1)^{1/2}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{3/2}3^{1/4}(k + 1)^{1/4}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + 48(k + 1)}}},$$

and the stated fact follows.
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