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Abstract

We investigate the weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas which appear in the recently established $L_p$ Steiner formula of the $L_p$ Brunn Minkowski theory. We show that they are valuations on the set of convex bodies and prove isoperimetric inequalities for them. We show that they are related to $f$ divergences of the cone measures of the convex body and its polar, namely the Kullback-Leibler divergence and the Rényi-divergence.

1 Introduction

In [34] an $L_p$ Steiner formula was proved for the $L_p$ affine surface area, namely, if a convex body $K$ is $C^2$, then we have for all suitable $t$ and for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, that

$$as_p(K + tB^n_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n(1-p)}{n+p} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} \geq 0} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n - 1 \\ j \end{array} \right) H_j^{i_j} dH^{n-1} \right],$$

where $as_p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{n-1/p}}{n^{n-1/p}} dH^{n-1}$ is the $L_p$ affine surface area of a convex body $K$, $N(x)$ is the outer normal to $K$ in $x \in \partial K$, the boundary of $K$, $H_{n-1}(x)$ is the Gauss curvature in $x$ and $H^{n-1}$ is the usual surface area measure on $\partial K$. The Euclidean unit ball centered at 0 is denoted by $B^n_2$.

Identity (1) is the analogue of the classical Steiner formula (e.g., [13, 30]) of the Brunn Minkowski theory in the more recent $L_p$ Brunn Minkowski theory. This theory has as it’s starting point E. Lutwak’s seminal paper [23] and it has developed immensely since (e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 17, 21, 24, 42, 41, 43, 44]). In analogy to the classical theory, the coefficients $W_{m,k}^p(K)$ are called $L_p$ Steiner coefficients and they are defined in [34] for a (general) convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as

$$W_{m,k}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{n-1/p}}{n^{n-1/p}} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} \geq 0} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n - 1 \\ j \end{array} \right) H_j^{i_j} dH^{n-1},$$

where the $H_j$ are the $j$-th normalized elementary symmetric functions of the principal curvatures. The $c(n, p, m)$ are certain binomial coefficients, see [34, 35] for the details. The $L_p$ Steiner coefficients were studied in [35], where it was proved, among other results, that they are valuations on the set of convex bodies.
1.1 Main Results

In this paper, we look at the $L_p$ Steiner formula with a different focus. Expressions also appearing naturally in formula (1) are weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas, $\mu^p_t - as_t(K)$, which we define in Section 2.2.

We investigate in detail those weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas in Section 3. We show that they are homogeneous of a certain degree and are invariant under rotations and reflections. We show that they are valuations on the set of convex bodies. Valuations have become a vitally important subject of study in convexity and affine and differential geometry, e.g., [1 8 15 10 22 33]. The weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas satisfy isoperimetric inequalities which generalize the $L_p$ affine isoperimetric inequalities of [23 39]. This is shown in Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.2** Let $s \neq -n, r \neq -n, t \neq -n$ be real numbers. Let $K$ be a $C^2_+$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with centroid at the origin.

(i) If $\frac{(n+r)(t-s)}{(n+t)(r-s)} > 1$, then

$$\mu^p_t - as_t(K) \leq \left( \frac{\mu^p_t - as_t(K)}{\mu^p_t - vol_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{(n+s)}{(n+r)}} \left( \frac{\mu^p_t - as_t(K)}{\mu^p_t - vol_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{(n+t)}{(n+r)}}.$$

(ii) If $\frac{(n+r)t}{(n+tr)} > 1$, then

$$\frac{\mu^p_t - as_t(K)}{\mu^p_t - vol_n(K)} \leq n^{\frac{(n-s)}{(n+r)}} \left( \frac{\mu^p_t - as_t(K)}{\mu^p_t - vol_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{(n+t)}{(n+r)}}.$$

Equality holds in the above inequalities, if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.

We show in Section 3.3 that the weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas have natural geometric interpretations in terms of certain convex bodies associated with the given convex body $K$.

We prove a monotonicity behavior in the parameter $p$ for the weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas which allows to establish asymptotics for the weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas. These asymptotics connects them to entropy powers, namely to the Kullbak-Leibler divergence $D_{KL}$ of the cone measures of $K$ and its polar $K^\circ, Q_K$ and $P_K$. We quote the relevant Theorem 3.8 and refer to Section 3.4 for the details. We put

$$\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_n-1(x)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}}{{\langle x,N(x)\rangle}^{\frac{n-p}{n}}} (x,N(x))^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} H_j^j(x) \, dH^{n-1}(x),$$

and then the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.8** Let $K$ be a $C^2_+$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with centroid at the origin. Then

(i)\[\lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \right)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} = \exp \left( -\frac{n D_{KL}(P_K || Q_K)}{\mu^p_t - vol_n(K^\circ)} \right).\]

(ii)\[\lim_{p \to 0} \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K^\circ)}{\omega^0_{m,k,i}(K^\circ)} \right)^{\frac{n+p}{p}} = \exp \left( -\frac{n D_{KL}(P_K || Q_K^\circ)}{\mu^p_t - vol_n(K^\circ)} \right).\]

This leads naturally to consider more general $f$-divergences than just the Kullbak-Leibler divergence. We treat that in Section 4 where we also observe that the weighted $L_p$ affine surface areas themselves are special $f$-divergences.

Throughout the paper we assume that the convex bodies $K$ are $C^2_+$, i.e., $K$ has twice continuously differentiable boundary with strictly positive Gauss curvature everywhere and such that 0 is the centroid of $K$, $0 = \frac{1}{vol_n(K)} \int_K x \, dx$. 
2 Weighted $L_p$-affine surface areas

2.1 Background from differential geometry

For more information and the details in this section we refer to e.g., [13, 30].

Let $K$ be a convex body of class $C^2$. For a point $x$ on the boundary $\partial K$ of $K$ we denote by $N(x)$ the unique outward unit normal vector of $K$ at $x$. The map $N_K : \partial K \to S^{n-1}$ is called the spherical image map or Gauss map of $K$ and is of class $C^1$. Its differential is called the Weingarten map. The eigenvalues of the Weingarten map are the principal curvatures $k_i(x)$ of $K$ at $x$.

The $j$-th normalized elementary symmetric functions of the principal curvatures are denoted by $H_j$. They are defined as follows

$$H_j = \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ j \end{array}\right)^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n-1} k_{i_1} \cdots k_{i_j}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ and $H_0 = 1$. Note that

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} k_i$$

is the mean curvature, that is the average of principal curvatures, and

$$H_{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i$$

is the Gauss curvature.

We say that $K$ is of class $C^2_+$ if $K$ is of class $C^2$ and the Gauss map $\nu$ is a diffeomorphism. This means in particular that $N_K$ has a smooth inverse. This assumption is stronger than just $C^2$, and is equivalent to the assumption that all principal curvatures are strictly positive, or that the Gauss curvature $H_{n-1} \neq 0$. It also means that the differential of $N_K$, i.e., the Weingarten map, is of maximal rank everywhere.

Let $K$ be of class $C^2_+$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, let $\xi_K(u)$ be the unique point on the boundary of $K$ at which $u$ is an outward normal vector. The map $\xi_K$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Its restriction to the sphere $S^{n-1}$, the map $\xi_K : S^{n-1} \to \partial K$, is called the reverse spherical image map, or reverse Gauss map. The differential of $\xi_K$ is called the reverse Weingarten map. The eigenvalues of the reverse Weingarten map are called the principal radii of curvature $r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}$ of $K$ at $u \in S^{n-1}$.

The $j$-th normalized elementary symmetric functions of the principal radii of curvature are denoted by $s_j$. In particular, $s_0 = 1$, and for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ they are defined by

$$s_j = \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ j \end{array}\right)^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n-1} r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_j}.$$  

(4)

Note that the principal curvatures are functions on the boundary of $K$ and the principal radii of curvature are functions on the sphere.

Now we describe the connection between $H_j$ and $s_j$. For a body $K$ of class $C^2_+$, we have for $u \in S^{n-1}$ that $\xi_K(u) = N_K^{-1}(u)$. In particular, the principal radii of curvature are reciprocals of the principal curvatures, that is

$$r_i(u) = \frac{1}{k_i(\xi_K(u))}.$$ 

This implies that for $x \in \partial K$ with $\nu(x) = u$,

$$s_j = \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ j \end{array}\right)^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n-1} \frac{1}{k_{i_1}(\xi_K(u)) \cdots k_{i_j}(\xi_K(u))} = \frac{H_{n-1-j}}{H_{n-1}} \left(\xi_K(u)\right)$$

and

$$H_j = \frac{s_{n-1-j}}{s_{n-1}} \left(\nu(x)\right),$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. 


2.2 Definitions

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and fixed sequence $\vec{i} = (i_j)_{j=0}^{n-1}$ such that $i_1 + 2i_2 + \cdots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m$ and all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, we define

$$\omega_{m,k,\vec{i}}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(x) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

and

$$u_{m,k,\vec{i}}^p(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f_{K}(u)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{h_{K}(u)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}} h_{K}(u)^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} s_{n-1-j}^{i_j} \left( u \right) \frac{\sum_{j} u_j^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{s_{n-1}^{n}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u).$$

Remark 2.1 Note that the above quantities are vanishing for polytopes. Therefore, we will treat only $C^2$ convex bodies throughout the text and then

$$\omega_{m,k,\vec{i}}^p(K) = u_{m,k,\vec{i}}^p(K).$$

Denote

$$c(n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j}.$$

Let $\mu_{m,k,\vec{i}}$ be the measure on $\partial K$ with density

$$d\mu_{m,k,\vec{i}}(x) = c(n) \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j^{i_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

with respect to the surface measure $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ on $\partial K$ and let $\nu_{m,k,\vec{i}}$ be the measure on $S^{n-1}$ with density

$$d\nu_{m,k,\vec{i}}(u) = c(n) h_{K}^{m-k} \left( u \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} s_{n-1-j}^{i_j} \left( u \right) \frac{\sum_{j} u_j^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{s_{n-1}^{n}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u).$$

with respect to the surface measure $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ on $S^{n-1}$. To keep notations simple we mostly write $\mu_{\vec{i}}$ and $\nu_{\vec{i}}$ instead of $\mu_{m,k,\vec{i}}$ and $\nu_{m,k,\vec{i}}$.

We then define the weighted $L_p$-affine surface areas by

$$\mu_{\vec{i}} = a_{R}(K) = \omega_{m,k,\vec{i}}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{n}{n+p}}} d\mu_{\vec{i}}(x).$$

Definition 2 explains that those can be considered as $L_p$-affine surface area weighted by the measure $\mu_{\vec{i}}$. In particular,

$$\omega_{m,k,\vec{i}}^0(K) = \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle d\mu_{\vec{i}}(x) = n(\mu_{\vec{i}} - \text{vol}_n(K))$$

is a weighted volume of $K$, weighted by the measure $\mu_{\vec{i}}$ and

$$\omega_{m,k,\vec{i}}^\infty(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{p}} d\mu_{\vec{i}}(x) = n(\mu_{\vec{i}} - \text{vol}_n(K^\circ))$$

is a weighted volume of $K^\circ$, weighted by the measure $\mu_{\vec{i}}$, where

$$K^\circ = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, x \rangle \leq 1, \text{ for all } x \in K \}.$$
is the polar body of $K$.

We can take another point of view for the measure $d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ on $S^{n-1}$ via the density $f_p(K,u) = \left(\frac{f_c(u)}{h_p^{-1}(u)}\right)^n$. This density was introduced by Lutwak. Then

$$u^p_{m,k,i}(K) = c(n) \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(K,u) h_k(u)^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} s_{n-1-j}^{i_j,\frac{p}{n+p}}(u) \frac{1}{s_{n-1}^{i_0,\frac{p}{n+p}}(u)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u).$$

### 2.3 Special cases

Note that

$$\omega^p_{0,0,0}(K) = u^p_{0,0,0}(K) = a_{S^p}(K),$$

where we denote the sequence $\vec{i} = \{0, \ldots, 0\}$ as 0.

1. When $k = m$, we get

$$\omega^p_{m,m,i}(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}(x) \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{(x, N(x))} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{n-1}{j}\right)^{i_j} H_j^i(x) \right\} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

2. $m = 0$ implies that $\vec{i} = 0$ and (5) simplifies to

$$\omega^p_{0,k,0}(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}(x) \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{(x, N(x))} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = a_{S^p} + \frac{k}{n}(n+p), -k(K)$$

(see [34 Eq. (29)]).

3. For the Euclidean unit ball $B^n_2$, we get

$$\omega^p_{m,k,i}(B^n_2) = \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B^n_2)}{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial B^n_2)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n-1}{j}\right)^{i_j} = c(n) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial B^n_2)$$

which does not depend on $k$. Note that if $i_0 \neq 0$ and $i_1 = \cdots = i_{n-1} = 0$, that is $\vec{i} = \{i_0, 0, \ldots, 0\}$, then $\omega^p_{m,k,i}(B^n_2) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial B^n_2)$.

4. If $p = 0$, we have

$$\omega^0_{m,k,i}(K) = c(n) \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j^i(x) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

When in addition $k = m$, we get

$$\omega^0_{m,m,i}(K) = c(n) \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j^i(x) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

5. If $p = \infty$, we get that

$$\omega^\infty_{m,m,i}(K) = c(n) \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}}{(x, N(x))} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j^i(x) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$
3 Properties of the weighted $L_p$-affine surface areas

3.1 Valuation, Invariance and Homogeneity

Proposition 3.1 Let $K$ be a $C_+^2$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with centroid at the origin. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$. Then $\omega_{m,k,i}(K)$ is a $n\frac{n-p}{m+p} - k$-homogeneous valuation that is invariant under rotations and reflections.

Proof The proof follows immediately from results in [35]. We present an outline of the proof for completeness.

1. Valuation. As was shown in [35] Theorem 5.9, for all $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{\nu}^{-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{k-m} \prod_{i=1}^{j} k^{\alpha_j} \ d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

is a valuation. It immediately follows that $\omega_{m,k,i}(K)$ are valuations as the linear combination of valuations is again a valuation.

2. Homogeneity. Similarly to [35] Theorem 5.1 we can show that $\omega_{m,k,i}(K)$ are homogeneous of order $n\frac{n-p}{m+p} - k$. Applying [35] Proposition 5.4 with $T = a \text{Id}$, we get that $\omega_{m,k,i}(K) = a^{k-n\frac{n-p}{m+p}} \omega_{m,k,i}(aK)$.

3. Invariance. If $T$ is a rotation or a reflection, then $|\det T| = 1$, $\|T^{-1}(N_K(T^{-1}(y))\| = \|N_K(T^{-1}(y))\| = 1$ and for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$\{H_j(y) : y \in \partial T(K)\} = \{H_j(x) : x \in \partial K\}.$$

Thus, using these observations and [35] Proposition 5.4, we get

$$\omega_{m,k,i}(K) = \int_{\partial T(K)} \langle y, N_T(K)(y) \rangle^{m-k+n\frac{p}{n+p}} H_{\nu}^{n-1}(y) \prod_{j=1}^{j} \left(n-1 \atop j\right) H_{\nu}^{j_1}(y) \ d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \omega_{m,k,i}(T(K)).$$

3.2 Inequalities

Theorem 3.2 Let $s \neq -n, r \neq -n, t \neq -n$ be real numbers. Let $K$ be a $C_+^2$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with centroid at the origin.

(i) If $(\frac{n+r}{n+t})^{(t-s)} > 1$, then

$$\mu_\gamma - as(K) \leq (\mu_\gamma - as(K))^{(r-s)(n-r)} (\mu_\gamma - as(K))^{(t-r)(n-t)}.$$

(ii) If $(\frac{n+r}{n+t})^{(t-s)} > 1$, then

$$\frac{\mu_\gamma - as(K)}{\mu_\gamma - \text{vol}_n(K)} \leq n^{\frac{(n-t)}{n-r}} (\mu_\gamma - as(K))^{\frac{(r-s)(n-r)}{(t-s)(n-t)}}.$$
Proof
(i) By Hölder's inequality - which enforces the condition \( \frac{(n+r)(s-t)}{(n+t)(s-r)} > 1 \), we then get

\[
\mu_\gamma - as_r(K) = \omega_{m,k,i}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x) x^m}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} H_j(x) \right) d\mu_t^n(x) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x) x^m}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} H_j(x) \right) \frac{\mu}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} d\mu_t^n(x)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^t(K) \right)^{\frac{(r-s)(n+s)}{(t-s)(n+t)}} \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^s(K) \right)^{\frac{(t-r)(n+s)}{(t-s)(n+t)}} \left( \mu_\gamma - as_s(K) \right)^{\frac{(t-r)(n+r)}{(t-s)(n+r)}}.
\]

(ii) Similarly, again using Hölder’s inequality -which now enforces the condition \( \frac{(n+r)(s-t)}{(n+t)(s-r)} > 1 \),

\[
\mu_\gamma - as_r(K) = \omega_{m,k,i}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x) x^m}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} H_j(x) \right) d\mu_t^n(x) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x) x^m}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{n-1}{j} \right)^{i_j} H_j(x) \right) \frac{\mu}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} d\mu_t^n(x)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^t(K) \right)^{\frac{(r-s)(n+s)}{(t-s)(n+t)}} \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^s(K) \right)^{\frac{(t-r)(n+s)}{(t-s)(n+t)}} \left( \mu_\gamma - as_s(K) \right)^{\frac{(t-r)(n+r)}{(t-s)(n+r)}}.
\]

The equality characterizations follow from the equality characterization of Hölder’s inequality. Equality holds in (i) and (ii) if and only if equality holds in Hölder’s inequality which happens if and only if

\[
\frac{H_{n-1}(x)}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} = \text{constant}
\]

\( \mu_\gamma \)-almost everywhere on \( \partial K \). As \( \partial K \) is \( C_2^2 \), \( \{ x \in \partial K : \mu_\gamma(x) = 0 \} = 0 \) and therefore

\[
\frac{H_{n-1}(x)}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} = \text{constant}
\]

holds for all \( x \in \partial K \). Thus we can use the following theorem by Petty [27], which then finishes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3** ([27]) Let \( K \) be a convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) that is \( C_2^2 \). \( K \) is an ellipsoid if and only if for all \( x \) in \( \partial K \)

\[
\frac{H_{n-1}(x)}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} = c
\]

where \( c > 0 \) is a constant.

**Theorem 3.4** Let \( K \) be a \( C_2^2 \) convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with centroid at the origin.

If \( r < s < k \) then

\[
\omega_{m,s,i}^p(K) \leq \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^p(K) \right)^{\frac{r}{r+s}} \left( \omega_{m,r,i}^p(K) \right)^{\frac{s}{r+s}}
\]

Equality holds if and only if \( K \) is a ball.
Proof

\[ \omega_{m,s,i}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n-p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{m}{n-p}}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-s} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \binom{n-1}{j} H_j^{ij}(x) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \]

\[ = \int_{\partial K} \left( \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n-p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{m}{n-p}}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k(n)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j^{ij} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+p}} \left( \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n}{n-p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{m}{n-p}}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-r(n)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j^{ij} \right)^{-\frac{n}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \]

\[ \leq \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^p(K) \right)^{\frac{n}{n+p}} \left( \omega_{m,r,i}^p(K) \right)^{-\frac{n}{n+p}}. \]

Remark 3.5 When \( \bar{i} = 0 \) and \( k = 0 \), we recover \( L_\nu \) affine isoperimetric inequalities of [37].

We also obtain monotonicity behaviors.

Corollary 3.6 Let \( K \) be a \( C^2_+ \) convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with centroid at the origin. Let \( p \neq -n \) be a real number.

(i) The function \( p \rightarrow \left( \omega_{m,k,i}^p(K) \right)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \) is increasing in \( p \in (-n, \infty) \) and \( p \in (-\infty, -n) \).

(ii) The function \( p \rightarrow \left( \frac{\omega_{m,k,i}^p(K)}{\omega_{m,k,i}^{p-n}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+p}} \) is decreasing in \( p \in (-n, \infty) \) and \( p \in (-\infty, -n) \).

(iii) The inequalities are strict unless \( K \) is an ellipsoid.

Proof. The statement (i) follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 (ii). The statement (ii) follows from Theorem 3.2 (i), by letting \( s \to \infty \). The statement (iii) follows from the equality characterizations.

3.3 Geometric interpretations

We recall several constructions of convex bodies associated with a given convex body \( K \). Namely,

1. Weighted floating bodies [36]

Let \( K \) be a convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and denote by \( \lambda \) the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( s \geq 0 \) and let \( f : K \to \mathbb{R} \) be an integrable function such that \( f > 0 \) \( \lambda \)-a.e. The weighted floating body \( F(K, f, s) \) was defined in [36] (see also [34, 35]) as the intersection of all closed half-spaces \( H^+ \) whose defining hyperplanes \( H \) cut off a set of \( (f \lambda) \)-measure less than or equal to \( s \) from \( K \),

\[ F(K, f, s) = \bigcap_{H \in \partial F(K, f, s) \leq s} H^+. \]

It was shown in [36] that

\[ 2 \left( \frac{\text{vol}_{n-1}(B_2^n)}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}_{n}(K) - \text{vol}_{n}(F(K, f, s))}{s^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}{f^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \]

2. Surface bodies [32]

Let \( K \) be a convex body and \( f : \partial K \to \mathbb{R} \) be a nonnegative, integrable function with \( \int_{\partial K} f d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 1 \). The probability measure \( P_f \) is the measure on \( \partial K \) with density \( f \). Let \( s \geq 0 \). The surface body \( S(K, f, s) \) was defined in [32] as the intersection of all the closed half-spaces \( H^+ \) whose defining hyperplanes \( H \) cut off a set of \( P_f \)-measure less than or equal to \( s \) from \( \partial K \),

\[ S(K, f, s) = \bigcap_{P_f(H \cap \partial K) \leq s} H^+. \]
It was shown in [32] that
\[
2 \left( \nu_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}) \right) \frac{d}{s} \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\nu_n(K) - \nu_n(S(K, f, s))}{s^{n-1}} = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}^s}{f^{n-1}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]

3. Random polytopes [31]

A random polytope is the convex hull of finitely many points that are chosen with respect to a probability measure. In [31], random polytopes are considered where the points are chosen from $\partial K$ with respect to $P_f$, where $f : \partial K \to \mathbb{R}$ is an integrable, nonnegative function with $\int_{\partial K} f \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 1$ and $dP_f = f \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$. Then the expected volume of such a random polytope is

\[
\mathbb{E}(f, N) = \mathbb{E}(P_f, N) = \int_{\partial K} \cdots \int_{\partial K} \nu_n([x_1, \ldots, x_N]) dP_f(x_1) \cdots dP_f(x_N),
\]

where $[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$ is the convex hull of the points $x_1, \ldots, x_N$. It was shown in [31] that under mild smoothness assumptions on $K$,

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\nu_n(K) - \mathbb{E}(f, N)}{(\frac{1}{N})^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} = c_n \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}^s}{f^{n-1}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]

\[c_n = \frac{(n-1)_{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{2(n+1)! (\nu_{n-2}(\partial B_2^{n-1}))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}
\]

4. Ulam floating bodies [19]

Given a Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the metronoid associated to $\mu$ was introduced by Huang and Slomka [18] and is the convex set defined by

\[
\mathcal{M}(\mu) = \bigcup_{0 \leq f \leq 1, f \mu = 1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y f(y) \, d\mu(y) \right\},
\]

where the union is taken over all functions $0 \leq f \leq 1$ for which $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\mu = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y f(y) \, d\mu(y)$ exists. The metronoid $\mathcal{M}_\delta(K)$ is generated by the uniform measure on $K$ with total mass $\delta^{-1} |K|$. Namely, let $\mathbb{1}_K$ be the characteristic function of $K$, and $\mu$ the measure whose density with respect to Lebesgue measure is $\delta^{-1} \mathbb{1}_K$. Then $\mathcal{M}_\delta(K) := \mathcal{M}(\mu)$. In [19] weighted variations of $\mathcal{M}_\delta(K)$ were defined where the weight is given by a positive continuous function $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$,

\[
\mathcal{M}_\delta(K, f) := \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{f(x)}{\delta} \mathbb{1}_K(x) \, dx \right).
\]

It was shown in [19] that

\[
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\nu_n(K) - \nu_n(\mathcal{M}_\delta(K, f))}{\delta^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} = \frac{n+1}{n+3} \left( \frac{\nu_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1})}{n+1} \right)^\frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}^s}{f^{n-1}} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]

This leads to geometric interpretations of the weighted $L_p$-affine surface areas in terms of these associated bodies. That is, if we let

\[
f(x) = \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{n(1-p)}{2(n+p)}}}{c(n) H_{\gamma}^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}(x)^{\frac{n(1-p)}{2(n+p)}}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle \left( \frac{n+1}{n+3} + k-m \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}
\]

\[\left[ c(n) H_{\gamma}^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}(x)^{\frac{n(1-p)}{2(n+p)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}
\]

\[9\]
in the case of weighted floating bodies and Ulam floating bodies, and
\[
 f(x) = \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{2n/(n+p)}}{c(n) H^1_j(x)} \langle x, N(x) \rangle \left( \frac{p-1}{n+p} \right) \frac{n-1}{4} 
\]
in the case of surface bodies and random polytopes, respectively, and denote by \( K_{f,s} \) the corresponding associated body, i.e.,
\[
 K_{f,s} = F(K, f, s), \quad \text{or} \quad K_{f,s} = S(K, f, s), \quad \text{or} \quad K_{f,s} = M_s(K, f), \quad \text{or} \quad \text{vol}_n(K_{f,s}) = E(f, N),
\]
then, with the properly adjusted constant \( c_n \), we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7** Let \( K \) be a \( C^2 \) convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with centroid at the origin. Let \( p \neq -n \) be a real number.
\[
 c_n \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}_n(K) - \text{vol}_n(K_{f,s})}{s^{n-1}} = \omega_{m,k,i}(K).
\]

### 3.4 Asymptotics for the weighted \( L_p \)-affine surface areas

Let \((X, \mu)\) be a measure space and \( dP = pd\mu \) and \( Q = qd\mu \) be measures in \( X \) that are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure \( \mu \). The **Kullback-Leibler divergence** or **relative entropy** from \( P \) to \( Q \) is defined as in [11]
\[
 D_{KL}(P||Q) = \int_X p \log \frac{p}{q} d\mu. \tag{10}
\]
The information inequality (also called Gibb’s inequality) [11] holds for the Kullback-Leibler divergence. Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be as above, then
\[
 D_{KL}(P||Q) \geq 0. \tag{11}
\]
with equality if and only if \( P = Q \).

We will apply this when \((X, \mu) = (\partial K, \mu_\gamma)\), where \( K \) is \( C^2 \) and densities \( p \) and \( q \) with respect to \( \mu_\gamma \) given by
\[
p_K(x) = \frac{H_{n-1}(x)}{(x, N(x))^n}, \quad q_K(x) = \langle x, N(x) \rangle. \tag{12}
\]
We let
\[
P_K = \frac{H_{n-1}(x)}{(x, N(x))^n} \mu_\gamma, \quad Q_K = \langle x, N(x) \rangle \mu_\gamma. \tag{13}
\]
Recall that classical cone measure \( cm_K \) on \( \partial K \) is defined as follows: for every measurable set \( A \subseteq \partial K \)
\[
 cm_K(A) = \text{vol}_n(\{ta : a \in A, t \in [0,1]\}).
\]
It is well-known (see, e.g., [20] for a proof) that the measures \( \frac{H_{n-1}}{(x, N(x))^n} \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \) and \( \langle x, N(x) \rangle \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \) are the cone measures of \( K \) and \( K^\circ \),
\[
 cm_K(A) = \frac{1}{n} \int_A \langle x, N(x) \rangle \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \quad cm_{K^\circ}(A) = \frac{1}{n} \int_A \frac{H_{n-1}}{(x, N(x))^n} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \tag{14}
\]
The interpretation of \( cm_{K^\circ} \) as the “cone measure of \( K^\circ \)” is via the Gauss map on \( K^\circ, N_{K^\circ} : \partial K^\circ \to S^{n-1}, \ x \mapsto N_{K^\circ}(x) \) and the inverse of the Gauss map on \( K \ N_K : \partial K \to S^{n-1}, \ x \mapsto N_K(x) \),
\[
 N_{K^{-1}}^T N_K = cm_K^\circ
\]
where we use \( N_K(x) \) to emphasize that it is the normal vector of a body \( K \) at \( x \in \partial K \).
We now define the weighted cone measures \( \mu_i - cm_K \) of \( K \) and \( \mu_i - cm_{K^o} \) of \( K^o \) by

\[
\mu_i - cm_K = \frac{1}{n} \int_{A} \langle x, N(x) \rangle \mu_i(x),
\]

\[
\mu_i - cm_{K^o}(A) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{A} \frac{H_{n-1}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \mu_i(x).
\]  

We show that the limits of the weighted \( L_p \) affine surface areas are entropy powers.

**Theorem 3.8** Let \( K \) be a \( C^2 \) convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with centroid at the origin.

(i) \[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \right)^{n+p} = \exp \left( - \frac{n D_{KL}(P_K||Q_K)}{\mu_i - \text{vol}(K^o)} \right) p
\]

(ii) \[
\lim_{p \to 0} \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K^o)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} \right)^{\frac{n(n+p)}{p}} = \exp \left( - \frac{n D_{KL}(P_{K^o}||Q_{K^o})}{\mu_i - \text{vol}(K^o)} \right) p
\]

**Proof.** (i) We use l’Hôpital’s rule

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \ln \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \right)^{n+p} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\ln \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \right)}{(n+p)^{n+1}} = - \lim_{p \to \infty} (n+p)^{n+1} \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \right)
\]

\[
= - \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{(n+p)^2}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \int_{\partial K} \frac{d}{dp} \left( \exp \left( \ln \left( \frac{H_{n-1}(x) \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right) \right) \right) d\mu_i(x)
\]

\[
= - \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{n}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x) \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} d\mu_i(x)
\]

\[
= - \frac{n}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K)} D_{KL}(P_K||Q_K).
\]

(ii) We use l’Hôpital’s rule

\[
\lim_{p \to 0} \ln \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K^o)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} \right)^{\frac{n(n+p)}{p}} = \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{\ln \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K^o)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} \right)}{p(n+p)^{n+1}} = \lim_{p \to 0} (n+p)^{n+1} \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{\omega^p_{m,k,i}(K^o)}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} \right)
\]

\[
= \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{(n+p)^2}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} \int_{\partial K^o} \frac{d}{dp} \left( \exp \left( \ln \left( \frac{H_{n-1}(x) \langle x, N_{K^o}(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{\langle x, N_{K^o}(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right) \right) \right) d\mu_i(x)
\]

\[
= \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{n}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} \int_{\partial K^o} \frac{H_{n-1}(x) \langle x, N_{K^o}(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{\langle x, N_{K^o}(x) \rangle^{n+1}} d\mu_i(x)
\]

\[
= - \frac{n}{\omega^\infty_{m,k,i}(K^o)} D_{KL}(Q_{K^o}||P_{K^o}).
\]
4 \textbf{f-divergence}

The results in the previous section lead naturally to consider more general \textit{f}-divergences than just the Kullback-Leibler divergence.

4.1 \textbf{Background on f-divergence.}

In information theory, probability theory and statistics, an \textit{f}-divergence is a function that measures the difference between two (probability) distributions. This notion was introduced by Csiszár [12], and independently Morimoto [25] and Ali & Silvery [2].

Let \((X, \mu)\) be a measure space and let \(P = p\mu\) and \(Q = q\mu\) be (probability) measures on \(X\) that are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure \(\mu\). Let \(f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}\) be a convex or a concave function. The \(*\)-adjoint function \(f^* : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}\) of \(f\) is defined by

\[
f^*(t) = tf(1/t), \quad t \in (0, \infty).
\]

It is obvious that \((f^*)^* = f\) and that \(f^*\) is again convex if \(f\) is convex, respectively concave if \(f\) is concave. Then the \(f\)-divergence \(D_f(P, Q)\) of the measures \(P\) and \(Q\) is defined by

\[
D_f(P, Q) = \int_{\{pq>0\}} f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu + f(0) Q(\{x \in X : p(x) = 0\}) + f^*(0) P(\{x \in X : q(x) = 0\}),
\]

provided the expressions exist. Here

\[
f(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f^*(t).
\]

We make the convention that \(0 \cdot \infty = 0\).

Please note that \(D_f(P, Q) = D_{f^*}(Q, P)\).

With (18) and as

\[
f^*(0) P(\{x \in X : q(x) = 0\}) = \int_{\{q=0\}} f^*\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) pd\mu = \int_{\{q=0\}} f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu,
\]

we can write in short

\[
D_f(P, Q) = \int_X f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) q d\mu.
\]

Examples of \(f\)-divergences are as follows.

1. For \(f(t) = t \ln t\) (with \(*\)-adjoint function \(f^*(t) = -\ln t\)), the \(f\)-divergence is \textit{Kullback-Leibler divergence} or \textit{relative entropy} from \(P\) to \(Q\) (see [11])

\[
D_{KL}(P\|Q) = \int_X p \ln \frac{p}{q} d\mu.
\]

2. For the convex or concave functions \(f(t) = t^\alpha\) we obtain the \textit{Hellinger integrals} (e.g. [20])

\[
H_\alpha(P, Q) = \int_X p^\alpha q^{1-\alpha} d\mu.
\]

Those are related to the Rényi divergence of order \(\alpha\), \(\alpha \neq 1\), introduced by Rényi [28] (for \(\alpha > 0\)) as

\[
D_\alpha(P\|Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln \left(\int_X p^\alpha q^{1-\alpha} d\mu\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln (H_\alpha(P, Q)).
\]

The case \(\alpha = 1\) is the relative entropy \(D_{KL}(P\|Q)\).

More on \(f\)-divergence can be found in e.g. [7, 9, 10, 20, 37, 38, 40].
4.2 $f$-divergence for the $\mu_\gamma$-measure

In [38], $f$-divergence with respect to the surface area measure $\mu_K$ was introduced for a convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with 0 in its interior. We now introduce similarly $f$-divergence with respect to the measure $\mu_\gamma$.

**Definition 4.1** Let $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex or concave function. Let $p_K$ and $q_K$ be as in (12). Then the $f$-divergence $D_f (P_K, Q_K)$ of a convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the $\mu_\gamma$-measure is

$$D_f (P_K, Q_K) = \int_{\partial K} f \left( \frac{p_K}{q_K} \right) q_K d\mu_\gamma = \int_{\partial K} f \left( \frac{H_{n-1}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right) \langle x, N(x) \rangle d\mu_\gamma.$$

In particular, for $f(t) = t \log t$ we recover the above Kullback-Leibler divergences of Section 3.4 and for $f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p'}}$, we obtain the weighted $L_p$-affine surface areas.

**Remarks**

(i) By (19),

$$D_f (Q_K, P_K) = \int_{\partial K} f \left( \frac{q_K}{p_K} \right) p_K d\mu_\gamma = D_{f^*} (P_K, Q_K) = \int_{\partial K} f^* \left( \frac{p_K}{q_K} \right) q_K d\mu_\gamma.$$

(ii) $f$-divergences can also be expressed as integrals over $S^{n-1}$,

$$D_f (P_K, Q_K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f \left( \frac{\text{vol}_n (K) \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{\text{vol}_n (K) f_K (u) h_K (u)^{n+1}} \right) \frac{h_K (u) f_K (u)}{n \text{vol}_n (K)} d\sigma.$$

(iii) Similar to (23) and (20), one can define mixed $f$-divergences for $n$ convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will not treat these here but will concentrate on $f$-divergence for one convex body. We also refer to [41], where they have been investigated for functions in $\text{Conv}(0, \infty)$.

**Proposition 4.2** Let $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a concave function. Then

$$D_f (P_K, Q_K) \leq f \left( \frac{\mu_\gamma - \text{vol}_n (K^o)}{\mu_\gamma - \text{vol}_n (K)} \right) \mu_\gamma - \text{vol}_n (K).$$

If $f$ is convex, the inequality is reversed. If $f$ is linear, equality holds in (4.2). If $f$ is not linear, equality holds iff $K$ is an ellipsoid.

**Proof** Let $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a concave function. By Jensen’s inequality

$$D_f (P_K, Q_K) \leq f \left( \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle \frac{d\mu_\gamma}{\mu_\gamma - \text{vol}_n (K)} \right) \mu_\gamma - \text{vol}_n (K).$$
Equality holds in Jensen’s inequality iff either $f$ is linear or $\frac{pK}{qK}$ is constant. Indeed, if $f(t) = at + b$, then

$$D_f(P_K, Q_K) = \int_{\partial K} \left( a \frac{pK}{qK} + b \right) qK \, d\mu_t = a \int_{\partial K} pK \, d\mu_t + b \int_{\partial K} qK \, d\mu_t$$

$$= a \mu_t - \text{vol}(K^c) + b \mu_t - \text{vol}(K).$$

If $f$ is not linear, equality holds iff $\frac{pK}{qK} = c$, $c$ is a constant. By the above quoted Theorem 33 of Petty, this holds iff $K$ is an ellipsoid. Note that the constant $c$ is different from 0, as we assume that $K$ is $C^2_+$. Now we show that $D_f(P_K, Q_K)$ are valuations, i.e. for convex bodies $K$ and $L$ such that that $K \cup L$ is convex

$$D_f(P_{K \cup L}, Q_{K \cup L}) + D_f(P_{K \cap L}, Q_{K \cap L}) = D_f(P_K, Q_K) + D_f(P_L, Q_L). \quad (27)$$

**Proposition 4.3** Let $K$ be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the origin in its interior and let $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then $D_f(P_K, Q_K)$ are valuations.

**Proof.** To prove (27), we proceed as in Schütz [29]. For completeness, we include the argument. We decompose

$$\partial(K \cup L) = (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K \cap L^c) \cup (K^c \cap \partial L),$$

$$\partial(K \cap L) = (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K \cap \text{int}L) \cup (\text{int}K \cap \partial L),$$

$$\partial K = (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K \cap L^c) \cup (\partial K \cap \text{int}L),$$

$$\partial L = (\partial K \cap \partial L) \cup (\partial K^c \cap \partial L) \cup (\text{int}K \cap \partial L),$$

where all unions on the right hand side are disjoint. Note that for $x$ such that the curvatures $\kappa_K(x)$, $\kappa_L(x)$, $\kappa_{K \cup L}(x)$ and $\kappa_{K \cap L}(x)$ exist,

$$\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle = \langle x, N_L(x) \rangle = \langle x, N_{K \cap L}(x) \rangle = \langle x, N_{K \cup L}(x) \rangle \quad (28)$$

and

$$\kappa_{K \cup L}(x) = \min\{\kappa_K(x), \kappa_L(x)\}, \quad \kappa_{K \cap L}(x) = \max\{\kappa_K(x), \kappa_L(x)\}. \quad (29)$$

To prove (27), we split the involved integral using the above decompositions and (28) and (29).
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