A TOPOLOGICAL CONSTRUCTION OF FAMILIES OF GALOIS
COVERS OF THE LINE
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Abstract. We describe a new construction of families of Galois coverings of
the line using basic properties of configuration spaces, covering theory, and the
Grauert-Remmert Extension Theorem. Our construction provides an alterna-
tive to a previous construction due to González-Díez and Harvey (which uses
Teichmüller theory and Fuchsian groups) and, in the case the Galois group is
non-abelian, corrects an inaccuracy therein.
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1. Introduction

The object of this note are families of Galois coverings of the line.

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $C$ and $C'$ be smooth projective curves over the
complex numbers endowed with a $G$-action. We say that $C$ and $C'$ are topologically
equivalent or have the same (unmarked) topological type if there are $\eta \in \text{Aut} G$
and an orientation preserving homeomorphism $f : C \to C'$ such that
$f(g \cdot x) = \eta(g) \cdot f(x)$
for $x \in C'$ and $g \in G$. We say that $C$ and $C'$ are (unmarkedly) $G$-isomorphic if
moreover $f$ is a biholomorphism.

Given a $G$-covering $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, it has been proved by González-Díez and Harvey
that there exists an algebraic family of curves with a $G$-action

$$\pi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B},$$
such that

1. every curve $C'$ in the family is topologically equivalent to $C$;
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(2) every curve with an action of the given topological type is $G$-isomorphic to
some fiber of the family and at most to a finite number of fibers.
This result has been subsequently used in several papers, e.g. [2] [6] [7] [19] [20], just
to mention a few.

The construction in [9] uses Teichmüller theory. Other approaches to this con-
struction include [8] [20] [13]. In this paper we describe an alternative, explicit and
mostly topological construction of such families. We expect this to be useful to
make explicit computations on the family. E.g., we expect this to allow a better
understanding of the monodromy and the generic Hodge group for the natural vari-
ation of Hodge structure associated with the family, generalizing the results of [21]
carried out in the cyclic case. Our motivation comes from the fact that these fami-
lies and their variation of the Hodge structure are important in the study of Shimura
subvarieties of the moduli space $A_g$ (of principally polarized abelian varieties of di-
mension $g$) in relation with the Coleman-Oort conjecture (see e.g. [17] [18] [6] [24]).
The results presented here are, nevertheless, of independent interest.

1.1. We give a quick glance at our construction. For $n \geq 3$ let $M_{0,n}$ denote the
set of $n$-tuples $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (P^1)^n$ such that $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $x_{n-1} =
0, x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = \infty$. Consider the group $\Gamma_n = \langle \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \mid \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n = 1 \rangle$. Let $G$
be a finite group and let $\theta : \Gamma_n \to G$ be an epimorphism. Fix $X \in M_{0,n}$. After
choosing a base point $x_0 \in P^1 - X$ and an isomorphism $\chi : \Gamma_n \cong \pi_1(P^1 - X, x_0)$,
Riemann’s existence theorem yields a $G$-covering $C_X \to P^1$ with monodromy $\theta \circ \chi^{-1}$
and branch locus $X$. Nevertheless this covering depends on the choices. Our goal
is to make this construction for all $X \in M_{0,n}$ together, in order to get a family of
curves parametrized by $M_{0,n}$. Consider the map
\[ p : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n}, \quad p(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n). \]
We have $p^{-1}(X) = P^1 - X$. Hence $p$ can be thought as the universal family of
genus 0 curves with $n$ marked points. The basic idea of our construction is that
the total space of our family should be a suitable $G$-covering of $M_{0,n+1}$. For the
construction of this covering, choose
i) an element $x = (x_0, X) \in M_{0,n+1}$;
ii) an isomorphism $\chi : \Gamma_n \to \pi_1(P^1 - X, x_0)$.

The following sequence is exact and splits:
\[ 1 \to \pi_1(P^1 - X, x_0) \to \pi_1(M_{0,n+1}, x) \to \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X) \to 1. \]
Set for simplicity $N_x := \pi_1(P^1 - X, x_0)$, $H_X := \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X)$ and $f := \chi^{-1} \circ \theta$.
Assume that we can find an extension $\hat{f}$:
\[ 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^1 - X, x_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M_{0,n+1}, x) \longrightarrow H_X \longrightarrow 1. \]
\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{From } \hat{f} \text{ we get a topological } G \text{-covering } \mathcal{C}^* \to M_{0,n+1}. \text{ By Grauert-Remmert Ex-

extension Theorem (see Theorem [7.3] below) this compactifies to a branched covering
} \mathcal{C} \to P^1 \times M_{0,n} \text{ of quasi-projective varieties. Composing with the projection to
} M_{0,n} \text{ we get a holomorphic family } \pi : \mathcal{C} \to M_{0,n} \text{ satisfying property (1) and (2).}
\end{array} \]

1.2. The purpose of this note is to use this elementary idea to construct the families
using only basic properties of configuration spaces, covering theory and the Grauert-
Remmert Extension Theorem, avoiding Teichmüller theory and Fuchsian groups.
In general however the extension $\hat{f}$ does not exist, but there is always a finite
index subgroup $H_a \subset H_X$ such that the restriction $f|_{H_a}$ extends to a morphism $f_a : N_a \times H_a \to G$. Geometrically this means that in the general case the base of the family is a finite cover $\mathcal{Y}_a$ of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ instead of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ itself. This corrects an inaccuracy in [9] where it is erroneously claimed that $\mathcal{Y}_a = \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ always. On the other hand we prove that indeed $\mathcal{Y}_a = \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ if the group $G$ is abelian (Theorem 9.1).

1.3. The subgroup $H_a \subset H_X$ and the extension $f_a$ are far from unique. And it seems hard to choose one in a canonical way. So we prefer to consider simultaneously the whole collection of pairs $(H_a, f_a)$. Different choices of $(H_a, f_a)$ yield different families that differ only by an étale finite cover. In other words, we show that the configuration spaces of $P_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

1.4. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic facts about the configuration spaces of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Section 3 deals with parallel transport for fiber bundles. This material is for sure known to the experts, but rather hard to locate in the literature. Since these arguments are quite useful and we like their geometric flavour, we prefer to expound them concisely. In Section 4 we recall some classical concepts of surface topology. After these preliminaries in Section 5 we study the set $\mathcal{S}^0(G)$ of topological types of $G$-actions: the main result is Theorem 5.6 that gives a combinatorial description of the set of topological types. The proof of this well-known fact presents our ideas in a simple context. Section 6 is dedicated to the description of some technical tools for the construction of the families. In Section 7 we construct the collection of families $\{\mathcal{C}_a \to \mathcal{Y}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{I}}$ of families that differ by finite étale pull-backs. Also this point becomes quite neat using our approach. Section 8 is dedicated to the study of the dependence of the collection on the choices (i) and (ii), and on the epimorphism $\theta : \Gamma_n \to G$. In 9 we study the equivalence relation generate by finite étale pull-backs.

Theorem 1.5.

1. The topological types of $G$-curves $C$ with $g(C) = g$, $g(C/G) = 0$ and $n$ branch points are in bijection with the set $\mathcal{S}^0(G)/\text{Aut} G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n$ (see Definitions 5.3 and 4.8 for notation).

2. For any topological type there is a non-empty ordered set $(\mathcal{I}, \geq)$ and for any $a \in \mathcal{I}$ there is an algebraic family $\pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to \mathcal{Y}_a$ of genus $g$ curves with a $G$-action. The following properties hold:

(a) every curve $C$ in the family has the given topological type;
(b) for any $a \in \mathcal{I}$ and for any $G$-curve $C$ with $C/G \equiv \mathbb{P}^1$, there is at least one fibre of $\pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to \mathcal{Y}_a$ which is $G$-isomorphic to $C$, and there are only finitely many such fibres;
(c) each $\mathcal{Y}_a$ is a finite étale cover of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$;
(d) $(\mathcal{I}, \geq)$ is a directed set: for any $a, b \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $c$ with $c \geq a$ and $c \geq b$;
(e) $\mathcal{Y}_a \equiv \mathcal{Y}_b$;
(f) all the families have the same moduli image;
(g) if $G$ is abelian, then there exists $a \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_a = \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$.

The precise statement can be found in Theorems 7.8 and 8.2. Roughly speaking one can say that for any topological type there is a "universal" family of $G$-curves with that topological type. Such a family is not unique, but only unique up to
2. Configuration spaces

2.1. If $M$ is a manifold, its configuration space is $F_{0,n} M := \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j \}$. We use the following notation: $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a point of $F_{0,n} M$ and $x = (x_0, X) = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a point of $F_{0,n+1} M$. We set $M - X := M - \{ x_1, \ldots, x_n \}$. The group $\pi_1(F_{0,n} M)$ is called the pure braid group with $n$ strings of the manifold $M$.

2.2. If $n \geq 3$, the group $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts freely and holomorphically on $F_{0,n} \mathbb{P}^1$. The quotient $F_{0,n} \mathbb{P}^1 / \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the moduli space of smooth curves of genus $0$ with $n$ marked points. Set $C^{**} := \mathbb{C} - \{ 0, 1 \}$. The map

$$F_{0,n-3} C^{**} \to F_{0,n} \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-3}) \mapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-3}, 0, 1, \infty)$$

is a section for the action of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. its image intersects each orbit in exactly one point and it induces a biholomorphism of $F_{0,n-3} C^{**}$ onto the moduli space $F_{0,n} \mathbb{P}^1 / \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. We define $M_{0,n}$ as the image of the section i.e. we set

$$M_{0,n} := F_{0,n-3} C^{**} \times \{(0, 1, \infty)\} = \{ X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F_{0,n, \mathbb{P}^1} : x_{n-2} = 0, x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = \infty \}. $$

Points of $M_{0,n}$ will be denoted as $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with the understanding that $x_{n-2} = 0, x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = \infty$. Similarly we set $M_{0,n+1} := \{ x = (x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in F_{0,n+1, \mathbb{P}^1} : x_{n-2} = 0, x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = \infty \}$. It is often useful to compare the configuration space of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with that of the plane. Denote by $T(2, \mathbb{C}) \subset \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ the subset of elements in $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ fixing $\infty$. The group $T(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $F_{0,n-1} \mathbb{P}^1$ and the map

$$(2.1) \quad M_{0,n} \to F_{0,n-1} \mathbb{P}^1, \quad X \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-3}, 0, 1)$$

is a section for this action, hence $M_{0,n} \times T(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong F_{0,n-1} \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\pi_1(M_{0,n}) \subset \pi_1(F_{0,n-1} \mathbb{C})$. Thus, when dealing with $\pi_1(M_{0,n})$, we can work with the more classical braid group of the plane. The map

$$(2.2) \quad p : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n}, \quad p(x_0, X) := X,$$

is a fiber bundle. In fact it is the restriction of the bundle $F_{0,n} \mathbb{P}^1 \to F_{0,n-1} \mathbb{C}$, see [1]. The fibre over $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^1 - X = C^{**} - \{ x_1, \ldots, x_{n-3} \}$. Hence (2.2) is the universal family of genus $0$ curves with $n$ ordered marked points.

2.3. Fix $x = (x_0, X) \in M_{0,n+1}$ and let $\bar{x} = (x_0, \bar{X}) \in F_{0,n} \mathbb{C}$ be the corresponding point via (2.1). We have a commutative diagram

$$1 \to \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \to \pi_1(M_{0,n+1}, x) \to \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X) \to 1$$

(2.3)

The rows are the split exact sequence of the fibrations $p$ and $F_{0,n} \mathbb{C} \to F_{0,n-1} \mathbb{C}$, see e.g. [1 Corollary 1.8.1] and [2 Theorem 3.1]. A geometric way to exhibit the splitting is to produce a cross section as follows: given $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M_{0,n}$ we set

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \min\{ 1, |x_1|, \ldots, |x_{n-3}| \}. $$
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Then \( s(x) := (f(x), x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is a section of \( p : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n} \). (A similar idea is used in \[4 \] Thm. 3.1.) The morphism \( s_* : \pi_1(M_{0,n+1}, X) \to \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X) \) is a splitting. Setting

\[
\varepsilon : \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X) \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0))
\]

\[
\varepsilon([\alpha])([\gamma]) := s_*[\alpha] \cdot [\gamma] \cdot s_*[\alpha]^{-1} = [s \circ \alpha \star \gamma \star s \circ i(\alpha)]
\]

we get

\[
\pi_1(M_{0,n+1}, x) = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)) \times_{\varepsilon} \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X).
\]

### 3. Parallel transport

In this section we recall a notion of parallel transport up to homotopy on any fiber bundle. In the sequel, we will use it for the bundle \( p : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n} \) to study the dependence of the construction of Section 7 from the choices made.

3.1. Given \( b_0, b_1 \in B \) let \( \Omega(B, b_0, b_1) \) denote the set of all paths \( \alpha \) in \( B \) with \( \alpha(0) = b_0 \) and \( \alpha(1) = b_1 \). We write \( \alpha \sim \beta \) if \( \alpha \simeq \beta \). Let \( \Pi_1(B) \) denote the fundamental groupoid of \( B \): this is the small category whose objects are the points of \( B \) and with morphisms from \( b_0 \) to \( b_1 \) equal to \( \Omega(B, b_0, b_1)/\sim \), composition being given by \([\alpha] \circ [\beta] = [\alpha \star \beta] \).

3.2. Let \( p : E \to B \) be a fiber bundle (in the sense of \[22 \] p.90) i.e. a locally trivial bundle. Assume that the base \( B \) is Hausdorff and paracompact. Then \( p \) is a fibration \[22 \] Cor. 14, p. 96 i.e. it has the homotopy lifting property for every topological space \( Z \): if \( H : Z \times [0,1] \to B \) is any map and \( f : Z \to E \) lifts \( H(\cdot,0) \), then there is a lifting \( \tilde{H} \) of \( H \) with \( \tilde{H}(\cdot,0) = f \) (see e.g. \[22 \] p. 66]). For any fiber bundle \( p : E \to B \) one can define a sort of parallel transport up to homotopy, which is a contravariant functor \( T \) from \( \Pi_1(B) \) to the homotopy category of topological spaces, denoted by \( h - \text{TOP} \). For \( b \in B \) set \( T(b) := E_b = p^{-1}(b) \).

Given \([\alpha] \in \Pi_1(B)(b_0, b_1)\) consider the map \( H : E_{b_0} \times [0,1] \to B, H(e,t) := \alpha(t) \).

The inclusion \( i : E_{b_0} \hookrightarrow E \) is a lifting of \( H(\cdot,0) \). By the homotopy lifting property there is \( \tilde{H} : E_{b_0} \times [0,1] \to E \) with \( p\tilde{H} = H \) and \( \tilde{H}(\cdot,0) = i \). Moreover the homotopy parallel transport along \( \alpha \), see e.g. \[22 \] p. 100f and \[16 \] p. 54.

3.3. If \( p : E \to B \) is a differentiable fiber bundle one can say more. Recall the following basic fact from differential topology. Let \( M \) and \( N \) be smooth manifolds.

An isotopy of \( M \) in \( N \) is a smooth map \( f : M \times [0,1] \to N \) such that \( f(\cdot, t) \) is an embedding for any \( t \). If \( M = N \), \( f(\cdot, t) \) is a diffeomorphism of \( M \) for any \( t \) and \( f(\cdot, 0) = \text{id}_M \), we say that \( f \) is an ambient isotopy.

**Theorem 3.4.** If \( M \) is a compact submanifold of \( N \), any isotopy \( f : M \times [0,1] \to N \) such that \( f(\cdot, 0) \) is the inclusion \( M \hookrightarrow N \) extends to an ambient isotopy.

(See e.g \[12 \] Thm. 1.3 p. 180.)

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that \( p : E \to B \) is a differentiable bundle. Let \( \alpha \) be a path in \( B \) from \( b_0 \) to \( b_1 \). Let \( \sigma \) be a path in \( E \) with \( \sigma \circ \alpha = \alpha \) and set \( x_0 = \sigma(0) \in E_{b_0}, \ x_0' = \sigma(1) \in E_{b_1} \). Then there is a map \( \tilde{H} : E_{b_0} \times [0,1] \to E \) such that

1. \( \tilde{H}(\cdot,0) \) is the inclusion \( E_{b_0} \hookrightarrow E \);
2. \( \tilde{H}(\cdot,t) \) is a diffeomorphism of \( E_{b_0} \) onto \( E_{\alpha(t)} \);
3. \( \tilde{H}(x_0,t) = \sigma(t) \).
In particular the map $f^\alpha := \tilde{H}(\cdot, 1)$ is a diffeomorphism of $E_{b_0}$ onto $E_{b_1}$ such that $f^\alpha(x_0) = x'_0$ and $T([\alpha]) = [f^\alpha]$. Moreover if $G$ is a finite group acting fibrewise on $E$ and the fibre is compact, then $f^\alpha$ can be chosen $G$-equivariant.

Proof. If the fibre of $E$ is compact, the argument is the usual proof of Ehresmann theorem: pull-back $E$ to $[0, 1]$, choose a lifting to $E$ of the vector field $d/dt$ and integrate it, see e.g. [25]. A $G$-invariant lift gives the last statement. But we also need the case of non-compact fibres. This can be treated as follows. Denote by $\tilde{\alpha} : \alpha^* E \to E$ the bundle map covering $\alpha$. Since $[0,1]$ is contractible, there is a (smooth) trivialization $\psi : E_{b_0} \times [0,1] \to \alpha^*E$ such that $\psi(x,0) = x$, see [23].

Given any such $\psi$ the composition $\tilde{\alpha} \circ \psi : E_{b_0} \times [0,1] \to E$ is a possible choice for the map $\tilde{H}$ in (4.2). We now modify $\psi$ so that it matches the conditions (a)-(c). First notice that if $\{h_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is any path in $\text{Diff}(E_{b_0})$ starting at the identity, then $\psi_t := \psi_t h_t$ is a new trivialization of $\alpha^*E$. Next observe that $t \mapsto \psi_t^{-1}(\sigma(t))$ is a path in $E_{b_0}$ from $x_0$ to $\psi_t^{-1}(x'_0)$, i.e. an isotopy of $\{x_t\}$ in $E_{b_0}$. By Theorem 3.3 there is $\{h_t\}$ that extends this isotopy. Then $\psi_t := \psi_t h_t$ is a trivialization and $\tilde{H} := \tilde{\alpha} \circ \psi_t$ satisfies (a)-(c).\qed

We now use this construction for the fiber bundle $M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n}$ and give a geometric interpretation of the morphism (2.4) in terms of parallel transport.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $x, x' \in M_{0,n+1}$. Let $\beta : [0,1] \to M_{0,n}$ be a path such that $\beta(0) = X$ and $\beta(1) = X'$. Let $\tilde{H}, f^\beta$ and $T([\beta])$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that $f^\beta(x_0) = x'_0$. Set $\tilde{\beta}(t) := \tilde{H}(t,x_0)$. Then for $[\gamma] \in \pi_1([\mathbb{P}^1 - X],x_0)$ we have $f^2([\gamma]) = \tilde{\beta}_#([\gamma])$.

**Proof.** Take $[\gamma] \in \pi_1([\mathbb{P}^1 - X],x_0)$. Consider the map $F : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to M_{0,n+1}$ such that $F(t,s) = \tilde{H}(s,t,x_0)$. Then $F(0,s) = \tilde{H}(s,0,x_0) = \gamma(s)$, $F(0,1) = \tilde{H}(s,1) = f^\beta \circ \gamma(s)$ and $F(t,0) = \tilde{H}(t,x_0) = \tilde{\beta}(t)$. It follows that $\iota(\tilde{\beta}) \ast \gamma \ast \tilde{\beta} \simeq f^\beta \circ \gamma \circ \iota(0,1)$. Hence $f^2([\gamma]) = \tilde{\beta}_#([\gamma])$ for any $[\gamma] \in \pi_1([\mathbb{P}^1 - X],x_0)$.\qed

**Proposition 3.7.** Let $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(M_{0,n},X)$ and let $\tilde{H}, f^\alpha$ and $T([\alpha])$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that $\sigma(t) := \tilde{H}(t,x_0) = s \circ \alpha$. Then $\epsilon([\alpha]) = f^\alpha$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.3 we get $f^\alpha([\gamma]) = \sigma_#([\gamma]) = [\sigma \ast \gamma \ast \iota(\sigma)]$ for any $[\gamma] \in \pi_1([\mathbb{P}^1 - X],x_0)$. Hence $f^\alpha$ satisfies $f^\alpha([\gamma]) = [s \circ \alpha \ast \gamma \ast \iota(\alpha)] = \epsilon([\alpha])([\gamma])$ for every $[\gamma] \in \pi_1([\mathbb{P}^1 - X],x_0)$. This proves the statement.\qed

4. **Dehn-Nielsen theorems and consequences**

We dedicate this section to fixing some notations and recalling some classical concepts of surface topology.

4.1. Let $\Sigma$ be an oriented surface and set $\Sigma^* := \Sigma \setminus \{y\}$ for some $y \in \Sigma$. Given $b_0,b_1 \in \Sigma$ let $\Omega(\Sigma,b_0,b_1)$ denote the set of all paths $\alpha$ in $\Sigma$ with $\alpha(0) = b_0$ and $\alpha(1) = b_1$. Fix $x_0 \in \Sigma^*$. Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega(\Sigma,x_0,y)$ be such that $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = y$ only for $t = 1$ and let $D$ be a small disk around $y$. Let $\alpha$ be the loop that starts at $x_0$, travels along $\tilde{\alpha}$ till it reaches $\partial D$, then makes a complete tour of $\partial D$ counterclockwise and finally goes back to $x_0$ again along $\tilde{\alpha}$. An important observation is that the conjugacy class of $[\alpha]$ in $\pi_1(\Sigma^*,x_0)$ is well defined. Indeed the choice of the disk does not change $[\alpha]$, while if a different path $\tilde{\beta} \in \Omega(\Sigma,x_0,y)$ is chosen, then $[\tilde{\beta}]$ and $[\alpha]$ are conjugate by the class of a loop in $\Sigma^*$ that starts at $x_0$ travels along $\tilde{\alpha}$ up to $\partial D$, then along a piece of $\partial D$ and finally goes back along $\tilde{\beta}$. 
4.2. Fix a point \((x_0, X) \in \mathbf{F}_{0,n} S^2\). Consider a smooth regular arc \(α_i\) joining \(x_0\) to \(x_{σ_i}\) (for some permutation \(σ\)). Assume that the paths \(α_i\) intersect only at \(x_0\) and that the tangent vectors at \(x_0\) are all distinct and follow each other in counterclockwise order (we orient \(S^2\) by the outer normal). Now consider the loops \(α_i\) constructed as in Definition 4.1 and assume that the circles are pairwise disjoint and that the intersection of the interior of the \(i\)-th circle with \(X\) reduces to \(x_{σ_i}\).

**Definition 4.3.** Let \(x = (x_0, X) \in \mathbf{F}_{0,n+1} S^2\). We call a set of generators \(B = \{(α_1), \ldots, [α_n]\}\) obtained as above a geometric basis of \(π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\). We say that a geometric basis \(B = \{(α_1)\}_{i=1}^n\) is adapted to \(x\) if it respects the order of the points in \(X\), that is, \(α_i\) turns around \(x_i\), i.e., the permutation \(σ = id\).

Notice that, thanks to the permutation, the definition of geometric basis depends only on the set \(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\), not on the ordering of the points. On the other hand the classes \([α_i]\) have a fixed order.

4.4. For \(n \geq 3\), set \(Γ_n := (γ_1, \ldots, γ_n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n γ_i = 1)\). From a geometric basis \(B = \{(α_1)\}_{i=1}^n\) we get an isomorphism

\[
χ : Γ_n → π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)
\]

such that \(χ(γ_i) = [α_i]\). Assume that \(B = \{(α_1)\}_{i=1}^n\) and \(\overline{B} = \{[α_i]\}_{i=1}^n\) are two geometric bases for \(π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\). It follows from Definition 4.1 that every \([α_i]\) is conjugate to some \([α_j]\). If we denote by \(χ, χ : Γ_n → π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) the isomorphisms corresponding to the two bases, then \(μ := χoχ^{-1} \in Aut_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) has the following properties:

1. for every \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), \(μ([α_i])\) is conjugate to \([α_j]\) for some \(j\);
2. the induced homomorphism on \(H^2(π_1(S^2 - X, x_0), \mathbb{Z})\) is the identity.

**Definition 4.5.** We denote by \(Aut^* π_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) the subgroup of elements of \(Aut_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) satisfying properties (1) and (2) above. By 4.4 this definition does not depend on the choice of the geometric basis \(B\).

4.6. Now assume that \(B\) and \(\overline{B}\) are adapted to \(X\). In this case, for every \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), \([α_i]\) is conjugate to \([α_i]\). As a consequence, the automorphism \(μ := χoχ^{-1}\) of \(π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) belongs to the subgroup \(Aut^* \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) defined as follows

**Definition 4.7.** We denote by \(Aut^{**} π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) the subgroup of \(Aut^* π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) of elements that map \([α_i]\) to a conjugate of \([α_j]\) for every \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). The definition does not depend on the choice of the geometric basis \(B\) adapted to \(x\).

**Definition 4.8.** Similarly, we denote by \(Aut^* Γ_n \subset Aut_1 Γ_n\) the subgroup of automorphisms \(ν\) satisfying:

1. for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) the element \(ν(γ_i)\) is conjugate to \(γ_j\) for some \(j\);
2. the automorphism of \(H^2(Γ_n, \mathbb{Z})\) induced by \(ν\) is the identity.

We denote by \(Aut^{**} Γ_n \subset Aut^* Γ_n\) the subgroup of automorphisms \(ν\) such that

1. for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) the element \(ν(γ_i)\) is conjugate to \(γ_i\).

If \(χ : Γ_n → π_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) is induced from a geometric basis (not necessarily adapted to \(x\)), then \(ν \in Aut^* Γ_n\) (resp. \(Aut^{**} Γ_n\)) if and only if \(χνχ^{-1} \in Aut^* \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\) (resp. \(Aut^{**} \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)\)).

4.9. If \(G\) is a group and \(α \in G\), then \(inn_{α} : G → G\) denotes conjugation by \(α: inn_{α}(x) = αxα^{-1}\). Notice that if \(f : G → H\) is a morphism, then \(f ∘ inn_{α} = inn_{f(α)} ∘ f\). The group of inner automorphisms of \(G\) is denoted \(Inn G\). It is a normal subgroup of \(Aut G\). We set \(Out G := Aut G/Inn G\). For \((x_0, X) \in \mathbf{F}_{0,n+1} S^2\), we
define by \( \text{Out}^* \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) := \text{Aut}^*(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0))/(\text{Inn}(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0))) \) and similarly for \( \text{Out}^*(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)) \).

4.10. If \( S_{g,n} \) is a topological surface of genus \( g \) with \( n \) punctures, the mapping class group of \( S_{g,n} \) is denoted by \( \text{Mod}(S_{g,n}) \), while \( \text{PMod}(S_{g,n}) \) denotes the pure mapping class group of \( S_{g,n} \), which is defined to be the subgroup of \( \text{Mod}(S_{g,n}) \) of elements that fix each punctures individually.

4.11. In the sequel we will need the following variants of the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer Theorem, for which see [5] Thm. 8.8 p. 234], [27] Thm. 5.7.1 p. 197 and Thm. 5.13.1 p. 214 and [13] §2.9.

**Theorem 4.12** (Dehn-Nielsen-Baer). Let \( x = (x_0, X) \in F_{0,n+1} S^2 \). Then \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}^* \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) if and only if there exists \( \sigma \in \text{Inn} \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism \( h : S^2 - X \to S^2 - X \) such that \( h(x_0) = x_0 \) and \( \varphi = \sigma \circ h \). In other words, \( \text{Mod}(S^2 - X) \cong \text{Out}^*(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)) \).

**Corollary 4.13.** Let \( x, y \in F_{0,n+1} S^2 \) and \( \varphi : \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \to \pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0) \) be a homomorphism that sends geometric bases to geometric bases. Then there exists \( \sigma \in \text{Inn}(\pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0)) \) and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism \( h : S^2 - X \to S^2 - Y \) such that \( h(x_0) = y_0 \) and \( \varphi = \sigma \circ h \).

**Proof.** Fix an orientation preserving homeomorphism \( f : S^2 - Y \to S^2 - X \) such that \( f(y_0) = x_0 \) and apply the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer theorem to \( f \circ \varphi \).

**Corollary 4.14.** Let \( x = (x_0, X) \in F_{0,n+1} S^2 \). Then \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}^{**} \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) if and only if there exists \( \sigma \in \text{Inn}(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)) \) and an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism \( h \) of \( S^2 \) such that \( h(x_i) = x_i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) and \( \varphi = \sigma \circ h \). In other words, \( \text{PMod}(S^2 - X) \cong \pi_1 \text{Out}^{**}(S^2 - X, x_0) \).

**Proof.** Applying the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer theorem we get the homeomorphism \( h \) of \( S^2 - X \) and \( \sigma \). It is elementary that \( h \) extends to a homeomorphism of \( S^2 \). Next assume \( h(x_1) = x_j \) and fix a geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} = \{[\alpha_i]\} \) adapted to \( x \). Here \( \alpha_i \) is a loop at \( x_0 \) makes a counterclockwise turn around \( x_j \) as in [13]. Hence \( [\alpha_1] \) is a loop making a turn around \( h(x_1) = x_j \). But \( [\alpha_1] \) is conjugate to \( \sigma h([\alpha_1]) = \varphi([\alpha_1]) \) which is conjugate to \( [\alpha_1] \) since \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}^{**} \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \). Since \( \alpha_1 \) makes a turn around \( x_1 \) it follows that \( h(x_1) = x_j = x_1 \). Similarly \( h(x_i) = x_i \) for any \( i \).

4.15. We conclude this section interpreting some classical constructions in the theory of braid groups using parallel transport. We consider the (pure version of the) generalized Birman exact sequence associated with \( \mathbb{C}^{**} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\} \), see [5] Thm. 9.1, p. 245).

\[
(1.1) \quad 1 \to \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X) \xrightarrow{\text{Push}} \text{PMod}(\mathbb{P}^1 - X) \xrightarrow{\text{Forget}} \text{PMod}(\mathbb{C}^{**}) \to 1.
\]

The map \( \text{Forget} \) is the natural homeomorphism obtained by filling in the punctures, i.e., it is the map induced by the inclusion \( \mathbb{P}^1 - X \to \mathbb{C}^{**} \). The map \( \text{Push} \) is defined as follows (see [5] Section 4.2.1]). Let \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) : [0, 1] \to M_{0,n} \) be a pure braid in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), with \( \alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = X \). Thinking of \( \alpha \) as an isotopy from \( X \) to \( X \) (sending each \( x_i \) to \( x_i \)) we get by Theorem 3.4 that it can be extended to an isotopy of the whole \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). Denoting by \( \Phi_\alpha \) the homeomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) obtained at the end of the isotopy, we have that \( \Phi_\alpha(x_i) = \alpha_i(1) = x_i \), and thus \( \Phi_\alpha \) can be regarded as an homeomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 - X \). Taking its isotopy class we get \( \text{Push}(\alpha) = [\Phi_\alpha] \in \text{PMod}(\mathbb{P}^1 - X) \). This map is well defined, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of \( \alpha \) within its homotopy class nor on the choice of the isotopy extension.
4.16. It is useful to reinterpret the morphism \( \epsilon \) defined in (2.4) in this setting. In particular we note that \( \text{Im} \, \epsilon \subseteq \text{Aut}^* (\pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)) \). Fix \([\alpha] \in \pi_1 (\mathbb{M}_0, X)\).

Arguing as in Proposition 5.3, note that \( f^\alpha \) extends to a homeomorphism \( f^\alpha : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) that fixes every \( x_i \) individually. Hence \([f^\alpha] \in \text{PMod}(\mathbb{P}^1 - X)\). Since \( \epsilon([\alpha]) = f^\alpha \) we have \( \epsilon([\alpha]) \in \text{Aut}^* (\pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X))\).

Let \( \varepsilon : \pi_1 (\mathbb{M}_0, X) \to \text{Out}^* (\pi_1 (\mathbb{C}^* - X, x_0)) \) denote the composition of \( \epsilon \) with the natural projection \( \text{Aut}^* \to \text{Out}^* \). Also, denote by \( F : \text{PMod}(\mathbb{C}^* - X) \to \text{Out}^* (\pi_1 (\mathbb{C}^* - X, x_0)) \) the isomorphism \( F : [h] \to [h_*] \) coming from Corollary 4.14 of Dehn-Nielsen-Baer Theorem. The following proposition is the analogue of Theorem 1.10 in \([1]\) for configurations of points in \( \mathbb{C}^* \) (instead of \( \mathbb{C} \)).

**Proposition 4.17.** For \([\alpha] \in \pi_1 (\mathbb{M}_0, x)\), let \( f^\alpha \) be the parallel transport as in Lemma 4.13. Then \( \text{Push} ([\alpha]) = [f^\alpha] \). Moreover, the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1 (\mathbb{M}_0, X) & \xrightarrow{\text{Push}} & \text{PMod}(\mathbb{P}^1 - X) \\
\varepsilon & \downarrow & \downarrow F \\
\text{Out}^* (\pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)) & &
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{M}_0, \alpha \) be a pure braid in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), with \( \alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = X \), that we think as an isotopy from \( X \) to \( X \). Let \( \hat{H} : (\mathbb{P}^1 - X) \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{M}_0, \alpha \) be as in Lemma 4.13. Define a map \( \psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) by \( \psi(u, t) := H(u, t) \) for \( u \notin X \) and \( \psi(x_i, t) := \alpha_i (t) \). So \( \psi \) is an ambient isotopy of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) extending the isotopy \( \alpha \). This proves the result, since by Proposition 4.13 \( \varepsilon ([\alpha]) = f^\alpha \), so \( \varepsilon ([\alpha]) = f^\alpha \mod \text{Inn} \, \pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \), while \( \text{Push} ([\alpha]) = [f^\alpha] \).

**Remark 4.18.** Considering configurations of points in \( \mathbb{C} \) instead of \( \mathbb{C}^* \), Proposition 4.17 corresponds to Theorem 1.10 in \([1]\).

**Proposition 4.19.** Let \( x = (x_0, X) \in \mathbb{M}_0, n + 1 \) and let \( \nu \in \text{Aut}^* \pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \). Then there are \([\alpha] \in \pi_1 (\mathbb{M}_0, X)\), a lifting \( \tilde{\alpha} \) of \( \alpha \) with \( \tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{\alpha}(1) = x_0 \), a parallel transport \( f^\alpha \) such that \( f^\alpha (x_0) = \tilde{\alpha}(t) \) and \( z \in \pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \) such that \( \nu = \text{inn}_z \circ f^\alpha \).

**Proof.** Since \( \text{PMod}(\mathbb{C}^*) \) is trivial (see [5], Proposition 2.3), it follows from (4.1) that \( \text{Push} (\text{and thus } \tilde{\varepsilon}) \) is an isomorphism. In particular, for every \( \nu \in \text{Aut}^* (\pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)) \), there exists \([\alpha] \in \pi_1 (\mathbb{M}_0, X)\) and \( \sigma \in \text{Inn} (\pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)) \) such that \( f^\alpha = \varepsilon ([\alpha]) = \nu \circ \sigma \). Thus \( \nu = \text{inn}_z \circ f^\alpha \) for some \( z \in \pi_1 (\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \).

5. **Topological types of actions**

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( G \) be a finite group and let \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) be oriented topological surfaces both endowed with an action of \( G \). We say that the two actions are topologically equivalent if there are \( \eta \in \text{Aut} \) and an orientation preserving homeomorphism \( \hat{f} : \Sigma_1 \cong \Sigma_2 \) such that \( f(g \cdot x) = \eta(g) \cdot f(x) \) for any \( x \in \Sigma_1 \) and any \( g \in G \), see [9]. An equivalence class is called a topological type of \( G \)-action (sometimes this is called unmarked topological type).

**Definition 5.2.** Fix on \( S^2 \) the orientation by the outer normal. We let \( \mathcal{T}^n (G) \) denote the set of topological types of \( G \)-actions on a topological surface \( \Sigma \) such that \( \Sigma / G \cong S^2 \) (as oriented surfaces) and the projection \( \pi : \Sigma \to \Sigma / G \) has \( n \) branch points.

**Definition 5.3.** If \( G \) is a finite group an \( n \)-datum is an epimorphism \( \theta : \Gamma_n \to G \) is such that \( \theta(\gamma_i) \neq 1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). We let \( \mathcal{T}^n (G) \) denote the set of all \( n \)-data associated with the group \( G \).
5.4. Fix a point \( x = (x_0, X) \in F_{0,n+1}S^2 \) and a geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} = \{[\alpha_i]\}_{i=1}^{n} \) of \( \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \). Denote by \( \chi : \Gamma_n \cong \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) the corresponding isomorphism. If \( \theta : \Gamma_n \rightarrow G \) is a n-datum, the epimorphism \( \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \) gives rise to a topological \( G \)-covering \( p : \Sigma^\theta \rightarrow S^2 - X \). By the topological part of Riemann Existence Theorem this can be completed to a branched \( G \)-cover \( p : \Sigma^\theta \rightarrow S^2 \). By taking the equivalence class of \( \Sigma^\theta \) we get a topological type of \( G \)-action. We get a map

\[
F_{x, \mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{P}^n(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^n(G), \quad (\theta : \Gamma_n \rightarrow G) \mapsto [\Sigma^\theta]
\]

5.5. The group \( \operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \operatorname{Aut} G \) acts on the set \( \mathcal{P}^n(G) \) by the rule

\[
(\nu, \eta) \cdot \theta := \eta \circ \theta \circ \nu^{-1},
\]

where \((\nu, \eta) \in \operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \operatorname{Aut} G \) and \( \theta \in \mathcal{P}^n(G) \) is a datum.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \( G \) be a finite group. Choose

1. an element \( x = (x_0, X) \in F_{0,n+1}S^2 \);
2. a geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} = \{[\alpha_i]\}_{i=1}^{n} \) of \( \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \).

Then the map \( F_{x, \mathcal{B}} \) induces a bijection between \( \mathcal{P}^n(G)/(\operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \operatorname{Aut} G) \) and the set \( \mathcal{P}^n(G) \) of topological types of \( G \)-actions. The bijection does not depend on the choices of the point \( x \in F_{0,n+1}S^2 \) and of the geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} \).

The proof of Theorem 5.6 is based on the following two Propositions.

**Proposition 5.7.** The map \( F_{x, \mathcal{B}} \) is constant on the orbits of the action of \( \operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \operatorname{Aut} G \).

**Proof.** Let \( \theta : \Gamma_n \rightarrow G \) be a datum and \((\nu, \eta) \in \operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \operatorname{Aut} G \). Let \( \theta' = \eta \circ \theta \circ \nu^{-1} \). We want to show that \( \Sigma^\theta \) and \( \Sigma^\theta' \) have the same topological type of \( G \)-action. Set \( \mathfrak{p} = \chi \circ \nu \circ \chi^{-1} \). Observe that \( \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Aut}^*(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)) \) since \( \nu \in \operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \). By the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer Theorem there is \( \sigma \in \operatorname{Im}(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)) \) and an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism \( h : (S^2 - X, x_0) \rightarrow (S^2 - X, x_0) \) such that \( h(x_0) = x_0 \) and \( \sigma \circ h = \mathfrak{p} \). Let \( p, p' \) denote the projections:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^0 & \quad \Sigma^0' \\
\downarrow p & \downarrow p' \\
(S^2 - X, x_0) & \quad (S^2 - X, x_0).
\end{align*}
\]

Choose \( \tilde{x}_0 \in \Sigma^0_0 \) and \( \tilde{x}'_0 \in \Sigma'^0_0 \) both over \( x_0 \). We have that \( h_*(p_*(\pi_1(\Sigma^0_0, \tilde{x}_0))) = (\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p})(\ker(\theta \circ \chi^{-1})) = \sigma^{-1}(\ker(\theta \circ \chi^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{-1})) = \ker(\theta \circ \chi^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{-1}) \), where the last equality holds because \( \sigma \) is an inner automorphism. Moreover, since \( \eta \in \operatorname{Aut} G \), \( \ker(\theta \circ \chi^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{-1}) = \ker(\eta \circ \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{-1}) \). Thus \( h_*(p_*(\pi_1(\Sigma^0_0, \tilde{x}_0))) = \ker(\eta \circ \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{-1}) = (p')_*(\pi_1(\Sigma'^0_0, \tilde{x}'_0)) \). By the lifting theorem we get an oriented homeomorphism \( \bar{h} : \Sigma^0_0 \rightarrow \Sigma'^0_0 \), such that the diagram commutes and which extends to the compactifications. Hence the \( G \)-actions on \( \Sigma^0 \) and \( \Sigma'^0 \) have the same topological type. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.8.** If \( \theta \in \mathcal{P}^n(G) \), then \( F_{x, \mathcal{B}}(\theta) \) does not depend on the choices of the point \( x \in F_{0,n+1}S^2 \) and of the geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} \).

**Proof.** First fix \( x \) and consider two geometric bases \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{B}' \). Let \( \chi, \tilde{\chi} : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) denote the corresponding isomorphisms. Then \( \nu := \chi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\chi} \in \operatorname{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \). For a datum \( \theta \), we have \( \theta \circ \chi^{-1} = \tilde{\theta} \circ \nu^{-1} \circ \chi^{-1} \). So \( F_{x, \mathcal{B}}(\theta) = F_{x, \mathcal{B}'}(\theta \circ \nu^{-1}) \). By Proposition 5.7, \( F_{x, \mathcal{B}'}(\theta \circ \nu^{-1}) = F_{x, \mathcal{B}'}(\theta) \). Hence \( F_{x, \mathcal{B}}(\theta) = F_{x, \mathcal{B}'}(\theta) \) as desired. Now suppose that \( x, y \in F_{0,n+1}S^2 \). Let \( \chi : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \) and \( \tilde{\chi} : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0) \) be the isomorphisms associated with two geometric bases \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{B}' \).
and \( \overline{h} \). Then \( \nu := \chi \circ \chi^{-1} : \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \to \pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0) \) sends a geometric basis to a geometric basis. Hence by Corollary 4.13, there is \( \sigma \in \text{Im}(\pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0)) \) and an orientation preserving homeomorphism \( h : (S^2 - X, x_0) \to (S^2 - Y, y_0) \) such that \( h(x_0) = y_0 \) and \( \sigma \circ h_* = \nu \). Given a datum \( \theta, h_* \) maps the kernel of \( \sigma \circ \chi^{-1} \) to the kernel of \( \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \). By the lifting theorem there is an oriented diffeomorphism \( \tilde{h} \) that extends to the compactifications. Hence the \( G \)-actions on \( \Sigma_{0}^\mu \) and \( \Sigma_{0}^\nu \) have the same topological type.

We recall two basic facts about monodromy maps. Let \( p : E \to B \) be a topological \( G \)-covering. For \( b \in B \) and \( e \in p^{-1}(b) \), we denote by \( \mu_{p,e} \) the monodromy map \( \mu_{p,e} : \pi_1(B, b) \to G \) such that \( g = \mu_{p,e}[\alpha] \) maps \( e \) to \( \alpha e (1) \), where \( \alpha e \) is the lifting of \( \alpha \) with initial point \( e \).

**Lemma 5.9.** Let \( p : E \to B \) be a topological \( G \)-covering. Fix \( b_0, b_1 \in B \) and \( e_i \in p^{-1}(b_i) \). Let \( \delta \) be a path from \( e_0 \) to \( e_1 \) and \( \gamma = p \circ \delta \). Then \( \mu_{p,e_0} = \mu_{p,e_1} \circ \gamma \). In particular, if \( b_0 = b_1 \), \( \mu_{p,e_0} \) and \( \mu_{p,e_1} \) differ by an inner automorphism of \( \pi_1(B, b_0) \) or - equivalently - of \( G \).

**Lemma 5.10.** Let \( p : E \to B \) and \( p' : E' \to B' \) be \( G \)-coverings. Let \( \tilde{h} : E \to E' \) be a \( G \)-equivariant homeomorphism and denote by \( h : B \to B' \) the induced homeomorphism. Fix \( e_0 \in E \). Then \( \mu_{p,e_0} = \mu_{p',\tilde{h}(e_0)} \circ h_* \).

**Proof of Theorem 5.6.** By Proposition 3.7, \( \mathcal{F}_{p,G} \) induces a map between \( \mathcal{D}^n(G) \) and \( (\text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \text{Aut} G) \). To prove the statement we have to check the following:

1. if two epimorphisms \( \theta, \theta' : \Gamma_n \to G \) give rise to the same topological type of \( G \)-action, then \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \) are in the same orbit for the action of \( \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \text{Aut} G \);
2. every topological type of \( G \)-action with \( n \) branch points can be constructed from a datum in \( \mathcal{D}^n(G) \).

To prove (1), consider the branched covers \( p : \Sigma \to S^2 \) and \( p' : \Sigma' \to S^2 \) associated with \( \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \) and \( \theta' \circ \chi^{-1} \) and suppose that there exists \( \eta \in \text{Aut} G \) and an orientation preserving homeomorphism \( \tilde{h} : \Sigma \to \Sigma' \) such that \( \tilde{h}(g \cdot e) = \eta g \tilde{h}(e) \). We get an induced homeomorphism \( h : \Sigma/G \to \Sigma'/G \) and an isomorphism \( h_* : \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \to \pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0) \). Fix \( e_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0) \). From Lemma 5.10 it follows that \( \mu_{p,e_0} = \eta \circ \mu_{p',\tilde{h}(e_0)} \circ h_* \). Now fix \( e_0' \in (p')^{-1}(x_0) \) and a path in \( \Sigma' \) from \( \tilde{h}(e_0) \) to \( e_0' \). Finally let \( \gamma = p' \circ \delta \). By Lemma 5.7 we get that \( \mu_{p',e_0'} = \mu_{p',e_0'} \circ \gamma \). Thus

\[
\mu_{p,e_0} = \eta \circ \mu_{p',e_0'} \circ \gamma \circ h_*.
\]

Observe that, since \( \tilde{h} \) preserves the orientation, so does \( h \), hence \( \gamma \circ h_* : \pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0) \to \pi_1(S^2 - Y, y_0) \) lies in \( \text{Aut}^*(\pi_1(S^2 - X, x_0)) \). Let \( \nu := \chi^{-1} \circ (\gamma \circ h_*) \circ \chi \in \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \) be the corresponding automorphism in \( \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \). (Again we are using that \( \chi \) comes from a geometric basis.) Also, observe that \( \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \) coincides with \( \mu_{p,e_0} \) up to an inner automorphism of \( G \), and the same holds for \( \theta' \circ \chi^{-1} \) and for \( \mu_{p',e_0'} \).

We get that there exists \( \eta \in \text{Aut} G \) such that formula (5.1) becomes

\[
\theta \circ \chi^{-1} = \eta \circ \theta' \circ \chi^{-1} = (\gamma \circ h_*) = \eta \circ \theta' \circ \nu \circ \chi^{-1}.
\]

Thus \( (\eta, \nu) \theta' = \theta \); that is, they are in the same orbit for the action of \( \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \text{Aut} G \). To prove (2) assume that \( G \) acts effectively on a surface \( \Sigma \) in such a way that \( \Sigma/G \cong S^2 \). Up to diffeomorphism we can assume that the set of critical values of \( p : \Sigma \to S^2 \) coincides with \( X \). Fix a point \( x_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0) \). Let \( \theta := \mu_{p,x_0} : \Gamma_n \to G \) be the monodromy of the unramified cover. Since \( \Sigma_0^\mu \) is connected \( \theta \) is surjective, and \( \theta(\gamma_0) \neq 1 \) since all the points of \( X \) are branch points. So it is an \( n \)-datum.
By construction the associated cover coincides with \( \Sigma \). Finally, it follows from Proposition 5.8 that the bijection induced by \( \mathcal{F}_{x,\mathcal{B}} \) does not depend on \( x \) and \( \mathcal{B} \).

\[\square\]

6. Tools for the construction

This section is dedicated to some tools that we will need in the following section for the construction of the families. We start with some considerations from group theory, that will be at the basis of the construction of the ordered set \( (\mathcal{I}, \geq) \) of Theorem 1.5.

Consider an exact sequence of groups

\[(\ast) \quad 1 \to N \xrightarrow{i} K \xrightarrow{\rho} H \to 1\]

and an epimorphism

\[f : N \to G\]

onto a finite group \( G \).

**Definition 6.1.** An extension \( a \) of \((\ast), f)\) is a pair \(a = (H_a, f_a)\), whose first element is a subgroup \(H_a\) of \(H\) of finite index; and whose second element is a morphism \(f_a : p^{-1}(H_a) \to G\) such that \(f_a i = f\). We denote by \(\mathcal{I}(\ast, f)\) the set of all extensions.

If \(a = (H_a, f_a)\) is an extension we set \(K_a := p^{-1}(H_a)\).

\(K_a\) is a subgroup of \(K\) and \(f_a\) is defined on \(K_a\).

On the set \(\mathcal{I}(\ast, f)\) we introduce the order relation

\[a \geq b \iff H_a \subset H_b \text{ and } f_a = f_b|_{H_a}.\]

**Proposition 6.2.** \((\mathcal{I}(\ast, f), \geq)\) is a directed set.

**Proof.** Given \(a, b \in \mathcal{I}(\ast, f)\), set \(H_c := \{h \in H_a \cap H_b : f_a(h) = f_b(h)\}\). Then \(H_c\) has finite index in \(H\) since \(G\) is finite. Set \(f_c := f_a|_{H_c}\). Then \(c := (H_c, f_c) \in \mathcal{I}(\ast, f)\) and \(c \geq a, c \geq b\). \[\square\]

In the following lemmas we describe two natural bijections between the sets \(\mathcal{I}(\ast, f)\), when \(f\) and \((\ast)\) change under some specific rule.

**Lemma 6.3.** Given \(f : N \to G\) and \(\eta \in \text{Aut } G\), set \(\bar{f} := \eta \circ f\). Then

\[\Phi : \mathcal{I}(\ast, f) \to \mathcal{I}(\ast, \bar{f}), \quad \Phi(H_a, f_a) := (H_a, \eta \circ f_a).\]

(6.1) is an order preserving bijection.

The proof is immediate.

**Lemma 6.4.** Consider a commutative diagram of groups

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
(\ast) & 1 \to N & \xrightarrow{i} & K & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H & \to 1 \\
{\downarrow}^{\alpha} & \downarrow^{\gamma} & \downarrow^{\beta} \\
(\tilde{\ast}) & 1 \to \tilde{N} & \xrightarrow{i} & K & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H & \to 1
\end{array}
\]

with exact rows and \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) isomorphisms. In other words \((\ast)\) and \((\tilde{\ast})\) are isomorphic short exact sequences. Given \(f : \tilde{N} \to G\) set \(f := \tilde{f} \circ \alpha : N \to G\). Then the map

\[\Phi : \mathcal{I}(\ast, f) \to \mathcal{I}(\tilde{\ast}, \tilde{f}), \quad \Phi(H_a, f_a) := (\beta(H_a), f_a \circ \gamma^{-1}|_{\gamma(K_a)}).\]

(6.2) is an order preserving bijection.
Proof. If \( a = (H_a, f_a) \), set \( K_a = p^{-1}(H_a) \) as above. Set \( \hat{H}_a := \beta(H_a) \). Then \( \hat{K}_a := p^{-1}(\beta(H_a)) = (\beta^{-1}p)^{-1}(H_a) = (p\gamma^{-1})^{-1}(H_a) = \gamma(K_a) \). Set also \( f_a := f_a \circ \gamma^{-1}|_{\hat{K}_a} \). Then it is immediate to check that \( \hat{a} := (\hat{H}_a, f_a) = \Phi(a) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{I}(*, f) \) and that \( \Phi \) is an order preserving bijection. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( N, H \) and \( G \) be groups and let \( \varepsilon : H \to \text{Aut} N, h \mapsto \varepsilon_h \) be a morphism. Let \( f : N \to G \) and \( \varphi : H \to G \) be morphisms. There is a morphism \( f' : N \rtimes_e H \to G \) extending both \( f \) and \( \varphi \) (when \( N \) and \( H \) are included in \( \varepsilon \)).

The proof is elementary.

**Lemma 6.6.** Let \( N, H, G, \varepsilon : H \to \text{Aut} N \) and \( f \) be as above. Assume that \( f \) is surjective, that \( N \) is finitely generated and that \( G \) is finite. Then (a) \( H' := \{ h \in H : \varepsilon_h(\ker \theta) = \ker \theta \} \) is a finite index subgroup of \( H \); (b) there is a morphism \( \bar{\varepsilon} : H' \to \text{Aut} G \) such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
N & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_h} & N \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
G & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}} & G
\end{array}
\]

commutes for \( h \in H' \); (c) \( H' := \text{ker} \bar{\varepsilon} \) is a finite index subgroup of \( H \) and (d) there is a unique morphism \( f' : N \rtimes_e H' \to G \) that extends \( f \) and such that \( f'|_{H'} \equiv 1 \).

Proof. The subgroup \( \text{ker} f \) has index \( d := |G| < \infty \) in \( N \). Since \( N \) is finitely generated, there are a finite number of index \( d \) subgroups of \( N \) (see e.g. [13], p. 56) or [11], p. 128). The natural action of \( \text{Aut} N \) on the subgroups of \( N \) preserves the index. Therefore the orbit of \( \text{Aut} N \) through \( \text{ker} f \) is finite. Hence \( (\text{Aut} N)_{\text{ker} f} \) has finite index in \( \text{Aut} N \). Since \( H/H'' \) injects in \( \text{Aut} N/(\text{Aut} N)_{\text{ker} f} \), also \( H'' \) has finite index in \( H \). The existence of \( \bar{\varepsilon}_h \) follows immediately from the inclusion \( \varepsilon_h(\text{ker} f) \subset \text{ker} f \) for \( h \in H'' \). Since \( \text{Aut} G \) is finite \( H' \) has finite index in \( H'' \) and in \( H \). By construction for any \( h \in H' \) we have \( f = \varepsilon_h \circ f = f \circ \varepsilon_h \), i.e., \( \bar{\varepsilon}_h \) holds with \( \varphi : H' \to G \) the trivial morphism. \( \square \)

**Theorem 6.7.** If the sequence \((*)\) splits, then \( \mathcal{I}(*, f) \neq \emptyset \).

Proof. By Lemma 6.6, we can assume that the split exact sequence \((*)\) is a semidirect product. The result then follows from Lemma 6.6. \( \square \)

6.8. We dedicate the second part of this section to some considerations on coverings and fiber bundles, which will be fundamental tools for our construction.

In the following we assume that all the spaces considered are semilocally 1-connected. Let \( X \) be a connected space and let \( x \in X \). For every subgroup \( H \subset \pi_1(X, x) \) there is a pointed covering \( p : (E, e) \to (X, x) \) such that \( \text{Im} p_* = H \). Moreover \( p \) is unique up to pointed isomorphism. If \( \beta \in \Omega(X, x, x') \) and \( \beta_\# : \pi_1(X, x) \to \pi_1(X, x') \) is the induced isomorphism, then the pointed coverings of \( X \) associated with \( H \subset \pi_1(X, x) \) and with \( \beta_\# H \subset \pi_1(X, x') \) are isomorphic. Indeed if \( \beta_\# \) denotes the lifting with \( \beta_\#(0) = e \) and \( e' = \beta_\#(1), \) then \( p_* \pi_1(E, e') = \beta_\# H, \) so \( E \) is associated with both subgroups. If \( X \) is a complex manifold any covering has a unique complex structure such that \( p \) is holomorphic and the coverings associated to \( H \subset \pi_1(X, x) \) and with \( \beta_\# H \subset \pi_1(X, x') \) are biholomorphic.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let \( E, \hat{B} \) and \( B \) connected and locally arcwise connected topological spaces. Let \( p : E \to \hat{B} \) be a fiber bundle and let \( q : B \to \hat{B} \) be a covering. Let
$E := q^* \tilde{E}$ be the pull-back bundle. Consider the diagram:

\[ (E, e) \overset{q}{\longrightarrow} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{e}) \]
\[ \psi \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \varphi \]
\[ (B, b) \overset{q}{\longrightarrow} (\tilde{B}, \tilde{b}). \]

Then $q : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ is also a covering. Moreover if the fibre of $p$ is arcwise connected, then $q_* \pi_1(E, e) = p_*^{-1}(q_* \pi_1(B, b))$.

Proof. Fix $\bar{B}$, set $\bar{e} = p(\bar{e})$ and let $V \subset \bar{B}$ be an evenly covered open subset of $\bar{B}$, i.e. $q^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup U_i$ and $q|_{U_i}$ is a homeomorphism of $U_i$ onto $V$. We claim that $p^{-1}(V)$ is an evenly covered neighbourhood of $e$. Indeed $\bar{q}^{-1}(p^{-1}(V)) = \bigsqcup \bar{\psi}^{-1}(U_i)$. Moreover $\bar{\psi}^{-1}(U_i) = (q|_{U_i})^* \tilde{E}$ is mapped homeomorphically on $p^{-1}(V)$ by $\bar{q}$ since $q|_{U_i}$ is a homeomorphism onto $V$. This proves the first assertion. Next choose $e \in \bar{q}^{-1}(V)$ and set $b = \psi(e)$. Obviously $q(b) = b$. Set $F := p^{-1}(b)$, $\bar{F} := \bar{\psi}^{-1}(b)$. The diagram (6.4) induces a morphism of the homotopy exact sequences of the bundles:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\pi_2(B) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F, e) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(E, e) & \overset{p_*}{\longrightarrow} & \pi_1(B, b) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(F) = 1 \\
\downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \\
\pi_2(\bar{B}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\bar{F}, \bar{e}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\tilde{E}, \tilde{e}) & \overset{\bar{q}_*}{\longrightarrow} & \pi_1(\tilde{B}, \tilde{b}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(F) = 1.
\end{array}
\]

Set $H := q_* \pi_1(B, b) \subset \pi_1(\tilde{B}, \tilde{b})$, and $K := p_*^{-1}(H) \subset \pi_1(\tilde{E}, \tilde{e})$. In the lower row we can substitute $\pi_1(B, b)$ with $H$ and $\pi_1(E, e)$ with $K$ and the row remains exact. Clearly $\bar{q}_*$ maps into $K$ since the diagram commutes. So we get the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\pi_2(B) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F, e) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(E, e) & \overset{p_*}{\longrightarrow} & \pi_1(B, b) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(F) = 1 \\
\downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \\
\pi_2(\bar{B}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\bar{F}, \bar{e}) & \longrightarrow & K & \overset{\bar{q}_*}{\longrightarrow} & H & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(F) = 1.
\end{array}
\]

Now $q_*$ is an isomorphism. Applying the short five lemma [3, p. 16], we get that $K = \text{Im } \bar{q}_*$ as desired. \qed

The following lemma is a sort of converse which will be needed later.

**Lemma 6.10.** Let $A, E, B, \tilde{B}$ be connected and locally arcwise connected topological spaces. Consider the diagram:

\[ (A, a) \overset{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{e}) \]
\[ \varphi \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \varphi \]
\[ (B, b) \overset{q}{\longrightarrow} (\tilde{B}, \tilde{b}). \]

Assume (a) that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $p : \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ are fibre bundles with arcwise connected fibres, (b) that $q$ and $\bar{q}$ are finite degree coverings, (c) that $\bar{q}_* \pi_1(A, a) = p_*^{-1}(q_* \pi_1(B, b))$. Then $A$ is isomorphic to $q^* \tilde{E}$ as a fibre bundle over $B$.

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 6.9 Using the same notation as in (6.4) we obtain $\bar{q}_* \pi_1(E, e) = p_*^{-1}(q_* \pi_1(B, b)) = \bar{q}_* \pi_1(A, a)$. Moreover $\bar{q}$ is also a covering. So there is $w : (A, a) \rightarrow (E, e)$ such that $\bar{q} \circ w = \bar{q}$. It remains to show that $\bar{\psi} \circ w = \varphi$. Combining (6.5)
Choose a geometric basis $B$ of a complex manifold making $q$. Indeed, $\chi$ contains the same information as the basis $B$.

7. Construction of the families of $G$-curves

7.1. Fix an element $x = (x_0, X) \in M_{0,n+1}$ and set $N_x := \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - x_0) = \pi_1(M_{0,n+1}, x)$ and $H_X := \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X)$. Consider the split exact sequence in the top row of (2.3), namely:

$$(*) : 1 \to N_x \xrightarrow{i} K_x \xrightarrow{p} H_X \to 1.$$  

Here $i : \mathbb{P}^1 - X \to M_{0,n+1}$ is the map $i(x') := (x', x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $p : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n}$ is the fibration. Now let $G$ be a finite group and let $\theta : \Gamma_n \to G$ be a datum. Choose a geometric basis $\mathcal{B} = \{[\alpha_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ of $N_x$. As in 4.4 let $\chi : \Gamma_n \to N_x$ be the isomorphism induced from the basis $\mathcal{B}$. We apply the group theoretical considerations of Section 4 to the exact sequence $(*)$ with $f := \theta \circ \chi^{-1} : N_x \to G$. We get a directed set $I(x, f)$, which is nonempty since $(*)$ splits. To stress the dependence from the choices made, we will set

$$I(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) := I(x, \theta \circ \chi^{-1}).$$

Indeed $\chi$ contains the same information as the basis $\mathcal{B}$.

**Definition 7.2.** A collection of families is an indexed set $\{\mathcal{E}_a \to Y_a\}_{a \in I}$ where

1. $(I, \geq)$ is a directed set;
2. $(Y_a, y_a)$ is a pointed smooth complex quasiprojective variety;
3. $\mathcal{E}_a \to Y_a$ is a family of curves;
4. if $a, b \in I$, $a \geq b$, then there is an étale cover of finite degree $v_{ab} : (Y_a, y_a) \to (Y_b, y_b)$ such that $\mathcal{E}_a \cong v_{ab}^* \mathcal{E}_b$.

In this section we construct a collection of families indexed by $I(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)$.

7.3. Fix $a = (H_a, f_a) \in I(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)$. Let $q_a : (Y_a, y_a) \to (M_{0,n}, X)$ be the pointed covering with $q_a \ast \pi_1(Y_a, x_0) = H_a$. Endow $Y_a$ with the unique structure of a complex manifold making $q_a$ an unramified analytic cover. Consider the diagram

$$(E_a := q_a^* M_{0,n+1}, e_a) \xrightarrow{q_a} (M_{0,n+1}, x)$$

$$(Y_a, y_a) \xrightarrow{q_a} (M_{0,n}, X),$$

with $e_a := (y_a, x)$. Notice that $p : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n}$ is the universal family of lines with $n$ holes and hence $\psi_a : E_a \to Y_a$ is also a holomorphic family of curves (lines with holes).
By Lemma 6.19 applied to the diagram (7.2) the map $\tilde{q}_a : E_a \to M_{0,n+1}$ is the covering such that $\tilde{q}_a \pi_1(E_a, e_a) = K_a := p_a^{-1}(H_a)$. Hence $f_a : K_a \to G$ gives a morphism $\pi_1(E_a, e_a) \to G$ and thus a pointed $G$-covering $u_a : (E^*_a, z_a) \to (E_a, e_a)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} u_{a*} = (\tilde{q}_a)_*^{-1}(\ker f_a)$. In other words, $u_a$ is the covering such that

$$\operatorname{Im} u_{a*} = q_a^{-1}(\ker f_a).$$

Composing with $\psi_a$ we finally get a holomorphic family of noncompact Riemann surfaces $\pi_a = \psi_a \circ u_a : E^*_a \to Y_a$. The following diagram describes the whole situation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(E^*_a, z_a) & \xrightarrow{u_a} & (E_a, e_a) \\
\downarrow \pi_a & & \downarrow \pi_a \\
(Y_a, y_a) & \xrightarrow{q_a} & (M_{0,n}, X).
\end{array}$$

It might help to compare this diagram with the corresponding diagram of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\ker f_a & \hookrightarrow & K_a & \hookrightarrow & K_x \\
\downarrow f_a & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\
H_a & \hookrightarrow & H_X
\end{array}$$

Summing up $p$ is the universal family of lines with $n$ holes, $q_a$ is a covering used as a base change, $\psi_a$ is the pull-back family of lines with $n$ holes, $u_a$ is a Galois cover and $\pi_a$ is a family of non-compact Riemann surfaces. Each fibre of $\pi_a$ covers the corresponding fibre of $\psi_a$. More precisely, if $y \in Y_a$ and $X = q_a(y) \in M_{0,n}$, looking at the fibres over $y$ we have the unramified $G$-covering

$$E^*_a \to E_{a,y} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus X.$$

The last step in the construction is the fibrewise compactification, which is an application of Grauert-Remmert Extension Theorem. (See [10, Ch. XII, Thm. 5.4, p. 340])

**Theorem 7.4 (Grauert-Remmert Extension Theorem).** Let $Y$ be a connected complex manifold and $Z \subset Y$ a closed analytic subset such that $Y^\circ := Y \setminus Z$ is dense in $Y$. Let $f^\circ : X^\circ \to Y^\circ$ be a finite unramified cover. Then up to isomorphism there exists a unique normal analytic space $X$ and a unique analytic covering $f : X \to Y$ such that $X^\circ \subset X$ and $f^\circ = f|_{X^\circ}$.

**Corollary 7.5.** In the hypotheses above, if $Z$ is a smooth divisor, then $X$ is smooth.

**Proof.** Let $D$ be the unit disc. Using a local chart $U \cong D^n$ of $Y$ such that $U \cap Z = D^n \setminus \{z_1 = 0\}$ we get a finite cover of $D^n \times D^{n-1}$. By the topological classification of coverings disc, it is of the form $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1^m, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ for some $m \geq 1$, hence extends to an analytic cover $D^n \to D^n$. So by uniqueness $f^{-1}(U) \cong D^n$. In particular $f^{-1}(U)$ is smooth. \qed

**Lemma 7.6.** The unramified covering $u_a : E^*_a \to E_a$ extends uniquely to an algebraic ramified cover $u_a : \mathcal{E}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a$, with $\mathcal{E}_a$ and $Y_a$ smooth and quasi-projective.

**Proof.** Consider $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M_{0,n}$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M_{0,n}$. Recall that this means that $x_{n+1} = 0, x_{n+2} = 1, x_n = \infty$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-3}) \in F_{0,n-3} C^{**}$. Let $Z_i \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times M_{0,n}$ be the smooth divisor $Z_i := \{x_0 = x_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The divisors $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ are pairwise disjoint, so their union, which we denote by $Z$, is a smooth divisor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times M_{0,n}$. The map $\tilde{q}_a$ in (7.2) obviously extends to a map

$$\tilde{q}_a : \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times M_{0,n}.$$

Then $\tilde{q}_a^* Z$ is a smooth divisor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a$. Since $M_{0,n+1} = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times M_{0,n}) - Z$, $E_a = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a) - \tilde{q}_a^* Z$. It follows that we can apply Grauert-Remmert Extension
Theorem to the topological covering \( u_a : \mathcal{C}_a^* \to E_a \), which can be thus completed to a ramified cover \( u_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \), with \( \mathcal{C}_a \) smooth. To prove the quasi-projectivity one uses a similar argument. An étale analytic cover of a quasiprojective variety is quasiprojective and the covering map is algebraic, see e.g. [10, Ch. XII, Thm. 5.1, p. 333]. Since \( M_{0,n} \) and \( M_{0,n+1} \) are quasiprojective, and \( q_a \) and \( q_b \) are étale, we get that \( Y_a \) and \( E_a \) are quasiprojective and \( q_a \) and \( q_b \) algebraic morphisms. Let \( Y_a \) be a projective manifold containing \( Y_a \) as an open subset. Then \( E_a \) is a Zariski open subset of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \) and we can apply Grauert-Remmert Extension Theorem to \( u_a : \mathcal{C}_a^* \to E_a \) this time viewing \( E_a \) as an open subset of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \). We obtain a ramified cover \( \tilde{u}_a : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_a \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \). Since \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \) is projective, \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_a \) is also projective. By uniqueness \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_a = \tilde{u}_a^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a) \). So it is quasi-projective.

\[ \square \]

7.7. Notice that the projection \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \to Y_a \) extends extends \( \psi_a \) in (7.2), while the composition \( \mathcal{C}_a \xrightarrow{u_a} \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \xrightarrow{\psi_a} Y_a \), extends \( \pi_a \). We denote the extensions by the same symbol. We claim that

\[ \pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \]

is a submersion. Indeed, let \( U \cong \mathbb{D}^n \) be a local chart in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \) such that \( U \cap \pi^* Z = U \cap \pi^* Z_i = \{ x_i = 0 \} \) for some \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) (with \( x_{n-2} = 0, x_{n-1} = 1, x_n = \infty \)). Denoted by \( w = x_0 - x_i \), we get that \( w, x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are local coordinates on \( U \) and \( \pi^* \psi_a^{-1}(U) : \pi_a^{-1}(U) \to U \) is of the form \( (w, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (w^m, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), for some \( m \geq 2 \). We conclude that locally \( \pi_a(w, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). Thus \( \pi_a \) is a submersion onto a smooth base and its fibers are smooth curves.

If \( y \in Y_a \), the fibre \( \mathcal{C}_{a,y} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) of \( \pi_a \) over \( y \) is the unique smooth compactification of the unramified cover (7.3), i.e. the one given by Riemann’s Existence Theorem.

We call

\[ \mathcal{C}_a \xrightarrow{u_a} \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \xrightarrow{\psi_a} Y_a \]

the family of \( G \)-coverings associated with the datum \( \theta \in \mathcal{P}^n(G) \), the point \( x = (x_0, X) \in M_{0,n+1} \), the geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} \) of \( \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \) and the extension \( a \in \mathcal{I}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \).

**Theorem 7.8.** If \( x \in M_{0,n+1}, \mathcal{B} \) is a basis an basis of \( N_x \) and \( \theta \) is an \( n \)-datum, then

\[ \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) := \{ \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \}_{a \in \mathcal{I}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)} \]

is a collection of families in the sense of Definition 7.3.

**Proof.** It remains only to prove property (4). We start with an observation. If \( p_i : (E_i, e_i) \to (B, b) \) are coverings and \( \text{Im } p_{1*} \subset \text{Im } p_{2*} \), the unique continuous map \( f : (E_1, e_1) \to (E_2, e_2) \) such that \( p_2 \circ f = p_1 \) is a covering map. Indeed let \( f : (X, x) \to (E_2, e_2) \) be the covering with \( \text{Im } f_* = p_{2*}(\text{Im } p_{1*}) \). Then \( p_2 \circ f \) is a covering isomorphic to \( p_1 \), so we can assume \( p_1 = p_2 \circ f \).

Now, given a = \((H_a, f_a)\) and b = \((H_b, f_b)\), a \( \geq \) b means that \( H_a \subset H_b \subset \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X) \), hence \( K_a = p_a^{-1}(H_a) \subset K_b = p_b^{-1}(H_b) \) and f_a : K_a \to G is the restriction of f_b. We have coverings \( q_i : (Y_i, y_i) \to (M_{0,n}, X) \) with \( H_i = \text{Im } q_{i*} \) for i = a, b. By the observation at the beginning there is a unique covering map v : \((Y_a, y_a) \to (Y_b, y_b)\) such that \( q_b \circ v = q_a \) and \( \text{Im } v_* = q_{b*}(H_a) \). For the same
reason, since \( \text{Im} \bar{q}_a = K_i \) for \( i = a, b \) there is a covering \( \bar{v} : (E_a, e_a) \rightarrow (E_b, e_b) \) such that \( \bar{q}_b \circ \bar{v} = \bar{q}_a \). We claim that

\[
(7.6) \quad \psi_b \bar{v} = v \psi_a.
\]

Indeed \( q_b \psi_b \bar{v} = p \bar{q}_b \bar{v} = p \bar{q}_a = q_a \psi_a \). Hence \( \psi_b \bar{v} \) and \( v \psi_a \) lift the same map with respect to the covering \( q_b \). Since \( \psi_b \bar{v} (e_a) = y_b = v \psi_a (e_a) \) we conclude that \( \psi_b \bar{v} = v \psi_a \) as claimed.

Finally we have the coverings \( u_i : \mathcal{C}_i^* \rightarrow E_i \) such that \( \text{Im} u_i = \bar{q}_i^{-1}(\ker f_i) \), see \((7.3)\). Since \( \bar{v}_* = \bar{q}^{-1}_a \circ \bar{q}_a \) and \( \ker f_a \subset \ker f_b \) we have \( \bar{v}_* (\bar{q}_a^{-1}(\ker f_a)) = \bar{q}_a^{-1}(\ker f_a) \subset \bar{q}_b^{-1}(\ker f_b) \). This means that

\[
(7.7) \quad \text{Im} (\bar{v} \circ u_a)_* = \bar{v}_* (\bar{q}_a^{-1}(\ker f_a)) \subset \text{Im} u_b .
\]

So we can apply once more the observation at the beginning and we get a covering \( \bar{v} : \mathcal{C}^*_a \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*_b \) such that

\[
(7.8) \quad u_b \bar{v} = \bar{v} u_a \quad \text{Im} \bar{v}_a = u_b^{-1}(\text{Im}(\bar{v} \circ u_a)_*). \]

Composing with \( \psi_a \) and \( \psi_b \) and using \((7.6)\) we get a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}^*_a & \xrightarrow{\bar{v}} & \mathcal{C}^*_b \\
\pi_a & & \pi_b \\
Y_a & \xrightarrow{u} & Y_b.
\end{array}
\]

with \( \pi_a, \pi_b \) bundles and \( \bar{v}, \bar{v} \) coverings. We claim that

\[
(7.9) \quad \text{Im} \bar{v}_a = \pi_b^{-1}(\text{Im} \psi_v).
\]

Indeed starting from \((7.7)\) we compute

\[
\text{Im} (\bar{v} \circ u_a)_* = \bar{q}_a^{-1}(\ker f_a) = \bar{q}_a^{-1}(K_a \cap \ker f_b) = \bar{q}_a^{-1}(K_a) \cap \bar{q}_b^{-1}(\ker f_b) \]

\[
\bar{q}_a^{-1}(\ker f_b) = \text{Im} u_b, \quad K_a = p_a^{-1}(H_a) \]

\[
\bar{q}_b^{-1}(K_a) = \bar{q}_a^{-1} p_a^{-1}(H_a) = (p \bar{q}_a)_a^{-1}(H_a) = (q_a \psi_a)^{-1}(H_a) = \]

\[
= \psi_b^{-1}(\bar{q}_a^{-1}(H_a)) = \psi_b^{-1}(\text{Im} \psi_v) \]

\[
\text{Im} (\bar{v} \circ u_a)_* = \psi_b^{-1}(\text{Im} \psi_v) \cap \text{Im} u_b .
\]

So from \((7.8)\) we get

\[
\text{Im} \bar{v}_a = u_b^{-1}(\text{Im}(\bar{v} \circ u_a)_*) = u_b^{-1}(\psi_b^{-1}(\text{Im} \psi_v) \cap \text{Im} u_b) = u_b^{-1}(\psi_b^{-1}(\text{Im} \psi_v)) = \]

\[
= \pi_b^{-1}(\text{Im} \psi_v).
\]

This proves \((7.10)\). Applying Lemma \(6.10\) to the diagram \((7.9)\) we get that \( \bar{v} : \mathcal{C}^*_a \rightarrow v^* \mathcal{C}^*_b \) is an isomorphism of bundles over \( Y_a \). The map \( \bar{v} \) is an isomorphism of the coverings \( \mathcal{C}^*_a \rightarrow E_a \) and \( v^* \mathcal{C}^*_b \rightarrow E_b \). By the uniqueness statement in Grauert-Riemann Extension Theorem, it extends to an isomorphism of the coverings \( \mathcal{C}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \) and \( v^* \mathcal{C}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y_a \). This extension is an isomorphism of the families of curves: \( \mathcal{C}_a \cong v^* \mathcal{C}_b \).

\[\Box\]

8. Independence from the choices

In this section, we conclude the proof of our Theorem \(1.5\). We present two main arguments. The first one is Theorem \(8.2\) whose proof will take up most of the section. It states the independence of the collection \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) on \( x \in \mathbb{M}_{0,n+1} \), on the geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} \), and on the Aut \( G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \)-orbit of \( \theta \). Secondly, we show (Theorem \(8.10\)) that every curve in a family of the collection \( \pi_n : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_a \) has the topological type associated with \( \theta \), and that, conversely, for any \( G \)-curve \( C \) with topological type \( [\theta] \), there is at least one fiber of \( \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_a \) which is (unmarkedly) \( G \)-isomorphic to \( \mathcal{C} \) (and there are only finitely many such fibers).
Definition 8.1. We say that two collections of families \( \{ \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \}_{a \in \mathcal{I}} \) and \( \{ \overline{\mathcal{C}}_a \to \overline{Y}_a \}_{a \in \mathcal{I}} \) are equivalent if there is an order preserving bijection \( a \mapsto \overline{a} \) of \( \mathcal{I} \) onto \( \mathcal{I} \) and for every \( a \in \mathcal{I} \) a biholomorphism \( \omega_a : Y_a \to \overline{Y}_a \) such that

(1) \( \mathcal{C}_a \cong \omega_a \overline{\mathcal{C}}_a \);

(2) if \( a, b \in \mathcal{I} \) and \( a \geq b \), the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_a & \xrightarrow{\omega_a} & \overline{Y}_a \\
\downarrow{\varepsilon_{ab}} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon_{ab}} \\
Y_b & \xrightarrow{\omega_b} & \overline{Y}_b
\end{array}
\]

In the following we conclude the independence of our collection from the choices made: different choices yield equivalent collections.

Theorem 8.2. Up to equivalence the collection of families \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) is independent of the choices of \( x \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) and only depends on the Aut \( G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \)-orbit of \( \theta \). In particular the collection \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) only depends on the topological type \( [\theta] \).

The proof of Theorem 8.2 is organized as follows: we start by showing that the action of Aut \( G \) on \( \theta \) does not change the collection (Lemma 8.3); next we prove that changing \( x \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) by parallel transport leads to equivalent collections (Lemma 8.4). The combination of these two results implies that, up to equivalence, the collection \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) does not change under the action Aut \( G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \) on \( \theta \) (Lemma 8.5). Finally we combine these results together and complete the proof of Theorem 8.2.

Lemma 8.3. Let \( \theta \in \mathcal{P}^n(G) \) and \( \eta \in \text{Aut} G \). Let \( \Gamma : I \to \mathcal{X}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \to \mathcal{X}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta), a \mapsto \overline{a} \) be the bijection of Lemma 6.3. Then \( Y_a = Y_\overline{a} \) and \( C_a = \overline{C}_a \). So \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) = \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \overline{\theta}) \). In particular, for \( z \in N_a \), \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) = \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta \circ \text{inn}_z) \).

Proof. Let \( \chi : \Gamma \to N_x \) be the isomorphism induced from the basis \( \mathcal{B} \). Set \( f := \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \) and \( \overline{f} := \theta \circ \text{inn}_z \). By Lemma 6.3 we get a bijective correspondence \( I(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \to I(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \), which sends \( a \to \overline{a} \) and \( \eta \circ f \). It follows that \( K_a = K_\overline{a} \) and \( \text{ker} f = \text{ker} \overline{f} \). Therefore \( Y_a = Y_\overline{a} \) and \( \mathcal{C}_a = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_a \). For the last statement, just observe that \( \theta \circ \text{inn}_z = \text{inn}_\theta z \).

Lemma 8.4. Let \( \theta \in \mathcal{P}^n(G) \) and \( x, x' \in M_{0,n+1} \). Let the notation be as in Proposition 6.2. \( \beta \) is a path in \( M_{0,n} \) from \( x \) to \( x' \). \( f^\beta \) represents the parallel transport along \( \beta \), \( f^\beta(x) = x_0 \), \( \beta(t) = \overline{\beta}(t, x_0) \). Then the collections \( \mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) and \( \mathcal{R}(x', f^\beta(x), \theta) \) are equivalent.

Proof. Let \( \chi : \Gamma \to N_x \) be the isomorphism induced from the basis \( \mathcal{B} \). Set \( f := \theta \circ \chi^{-1} \) and \( \overline{f} := f \circ (f^\beta)^{-1} \). We show that, if \( a \in I(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) and \( \overline{a} = \Phi(a) \), where \( \Phi \) is the map in (6.2), then the families \( \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}_a \to \overline{Y}_a \) are canonically isomorphic. Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
N_a & \xrightarrow{\iota} & K_a & \xrightarrow{p_a} & H_X & \xrightarrow{1} \\
\downarrow{f^\beta} & & \downarrow{\beta_a} & & \downarrow{\beta_a} & \\
N_{x'} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & K_{x'} & \xrightarrow{p_a} & H_{X'} & \xrightarrow{1}
\end{array}
\]

Assume \( a = (H_a, f_a) \) and \( \overline{a} = (H_\overline{a}, f_\overline{a}) \). By the definition of \( \Phi \) we have \( H_a = \beta_a(H_\overline{a}), K_a = \beta_a(K_\overline{a}), f_a = f_a \circ (\beta_a)^{-1} \), \( \text{ker} f_a = \beta_a(\text{ker} f_\overline{a}) \). It follows from 6.3 that there are canonical isomorphisms \( \overline{Y}_a \cong Y_{\overline{a}}, E_{\overline{a}} \cong E_a, C_{\overline{a}} \cong \overline{C}_a \). By compactifying we get that the families \( \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}_a \to \overline{Y}_a \) are isomorphic.
Lemma 8.5. Let \((\eta, \nu) \in \text{Aut} G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n\). Then the collections \(\mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) and \(\mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \eta \circ \theta \circ \nu^{-1})\) are equivalent.

Proof. We have \(\nu := \chi \circ \nu \circ \chi^{-1} \in \text{Aut}^* N_x\). Set \(\theta := \eta \circ \theta \circ \nu^{-1}, f := \theta \circ \chi^{-1} : N_x \to G\), and \(\tilde{\theta} := \theta \circ \chi^{-1} = \eta \circ f \circ \nu^{-1}\). By Proposition 4.19 there are \([\alpha] \in \pi_1(M_{0,n}, X)\), a lifting \(\tilde{\alpha}\) of \(\alpha\) with \(\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{\alpha}(1) = x_0\), and a parallel transport \(f_\alpha\) such that \(f_\alpha(x_0) = \tilde{\alpha}(t)\) and \(z \in \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)\) such that \(\tilde{\nu} = \text{inn}_z \circ f_\alpha\). Note that, in particular, \(f_\alpha(x_0) = x_0\). We get \(f = \eta \circ f \circ (f_\alpha)^{-1} \circ \text{inn}_{x_0}^{-1}\). The statement follows from the previous two Lemmas.

Proof of Theorem 8.2. Since changing geometric bases of \(N_x\) adapted to \(X\) corresponds to acting with \(\text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n\), by the previous Lemma it follows that if the point \(x\) is fixed, changing the adapted basis does not matter. Next fix \(x, \bar{x} \in M_{0,n+1}\). Choose a path \(\tilde{\beta}\) in \(M_{0,n+1}\) joining \(x\) to \(\bar{x}\). Set \(\beta := p \circ \tilde{\beta}\) and let \(f\beta\) be a parallel transport such that \(f\beta(x_0) = \bar{x}_0\). Let \(\mathcal{B}\) be an adapted basis at \(x\). Then \(f\beta(\mathcal{B})\) is an adapted basis at \(\bar{x}\). By Lemma 8.5 we get that \(\mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) and \(\mathcal{R}(x, f\beta(\mathcal{B}), \theta)\) are equivalent. In other words we have independence from \(x\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) as long \(\mathcal{B}\) is adapted to \(x\). We also have that \(\theta\) only matters through its Aut \(G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n\)-orbit by Lemma 8.5. It remains to show independence from the Aut \(G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n\)-orbit. It follows from the definitions in 1.3 that this is equivalent to showing that if \(x \in M_{0,n+1}\), \(\mathcal{B}\) is a basis adapted to \(x\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) is an arbitrary basis of \(\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0)\), then the collections \(\mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) and \(\mathcal{R}(x, f\beta(\mathcal{B}), \theta)\) are equivalent. Let us prove this statement. There is a permutation \(\sigma \in S_n\) such that \(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\) is adapted to \((x_0, x_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_n})\). Define

\[
\tau : M_{0,n} \to M_{0,n}, \tau(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := (x_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_n})
\]

\[
\bar{\tau} : M_{0,n+1} \to M_{0,n+1}, \bar{\tau}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) := (x_0, x_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_n}).
\]

Set \(\bar{x} = \bar{\tau}(x)\) and \(\bar{X} = \tau(X)\). By the previous results we know that \(\mathcal{R}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) and \(\mathcal{R}(\bar{x}, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) are equivalent. It remains to check that also \(\mathcal{R}(\bar{x}, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) and \(\mathcal{R}(x, f\beta(\mathcal{B}), \theta)\) are equivalent. Consider the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(x_x) & 1 & N_x \\
\downarrow \bar{\tau} & \downarrow & \downarrow \tau \\
(x_{\bar{x}}) & 1 & N_{\bar{x}} \\
\end{array}
\]

To check commutativity: observe that \(\bar{\tau}\) sends the fiber over \(X\) to the fiber over \(\bar{X} := (x_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, x_{\sigma_n})\), i.e. \(\bar{\tau}(\mathbb{P}^1 - X) \times \{X\} = (\mathbb{P}^1 - X) \times \{\bar{X}\}\) and on the first factor it is the identity map. We use this diagram with \(f = \bar{\tau} \circ \bar{\chi}^{-1}\). We get the usual correspondence \(a \mapsto a, \bar{T}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \to \bar{T}(\bar{x}, \mathcal{B}, \theta)\) with

\[
(8.1) \quad H_a = \tau_*(H_a), \quad K_a = \bar{\tau}_*(K_a), \quad \ker f_a = \bar{\tau}_*(\ker f_a).
\]

Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(w_a, z_0) & (E_a, c_a) & M_{0,n+1} \\
\downarrow \psi_a \quad \downarrow \varphi_a & \quad \downarrow & \\
\bar{w}_a & (E_{\bar{a}}, c_{\bar{a}}) & (M_{0,n}, \bar{X}) \\
\bar{\psi}_a & \quad \downarrow \varphi_{\bar{a}} & \\
\bar{w}_a & (Y_{\bar{a}}, y_{\bar{a}}) & (M_{0,n}, \bar{X}) \\
\end{array}
\]

By a repeated use of the lifting theorem and using (8.1) we can show the existence of homeomorphisms \(w_a, w_{\bar{a}}\) and \(\bar{w}_a\) making the diagram commute. Indeed \((\text{Im}(\tau \circ q_a))_* = \tau_*(H_a) = \text{Im} q_{\bar{a}}\) by the first equation in (8.1). So \(w_a\) is the isomorphims
between the pointed coverings \( \tau \circ q_a \) and \( q_a \). By the same argument, using the second equation in (5.11), we get the isomorphism \( \tilde{w}_a \). Consider the cube on the right in the diagram. All its faces (except the left one) commute. But then \( q_a \psi_a \tilde{w}_a = \tilde{p}_a \tilde{w}_a = \tilde{p}_a \tilde{q}_a = \tau \tilde{p}_a = \tilde{q}_a \psi_a \tilde{w}_a \). So \( \psi_a \tilde{w}_a \) and \( w_a \psi_a \) lift the same map with respect to \( q_a \). Since \( \psi_a \tilde{w}_a(e_a) = y_a = w_a \psi_a(e_a) \) we conclude that \( \psi_a \tilde{w}_a = w_a \psi_a \).

Finally consider the horizontal square on the left of the diagram. We want to show that \( \text{Im}(\tilde{w}_a \circ u_{a*}) = \text{Im} u_{a*} \). We compose with the injective morphism \( q_{a*} \) and compute \( q_{a*}(\text{Im}(\tilde{w}_a \circ u_{a*})) = \tau_* q_{a*}(\text{Im} u_{a*}) = \tau_* (\ker f_a) \). By the third equation in (5.11) this equals \( f_a = q_{a*}(\text{Im} u_{a*}) \). Thus \( q_{a*}(\text{Im}(\tilde{w}_a \circ u_{a*})) = q_{a*}(\text{Im} u_{a*}) \) and \( \text{Im}(\tilde{w}_a \circ u_{a*}) = \text{Im} u_{a*} \). So the lifting theorem again yields existence of an isomorphism \( \tilde{w}_a \) making everything commutative. The homeomorphisms \( w_a, \tilde{w}_a \) and \( \psi_a \) are in fact biholomorphisms as observed in 6.8. It follows that \( \psi_a \tilde{w}_a = w_a \psi_a \).

By the uniqueness statement in Grauert-Riemann Extension Theorem, \( \tilde{w}_a \) extends to a biholomorphism between \( \mathcal{C}_a \) and \( \mathcal{C}_a \). Thus \( \mathcal{C}_a \cong \tilde{w}_a^* \mathcal{C}_a \).

Property (2) in the Definition 8.1 follows again by the lifting theorem:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_a & \xrightarrow{w_a} & Y_a \\
\downarrow{q_a} & & \downarrow{q_a} \\
M_{0,n} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{ab}} & M_{0,n} \\
\end{array}
\]

we have \( q_a \tilde{w}_a w_a = q_a w_a = \tau q_a = \tau q_b = q_b \psi_b = q_b v_{ab} \). So \( \psi_{ab} w_a(y_b) = \psi_{ab}(y_b) = y_b = w_b(y_b) = \psi_{ab} \psi_b(y_b) \). So the two maps coincide: \( \psi_{ab} w_a = \psi_{ab} \psi_b \). This proves (2).

\[\text{Theorem 8.6.} \]

Let \( G \) be a finite group and \( \theta \in \mathcal{P}_n(G) \). Choose a point \( x \in M_{0,n+1} \) and a geometric basis \( \mathcal{B} \) of \( N_x \). Let \( \pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \) be any family in the collection \( \mathcal{A}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \). Then every curve in the family has the topological type given by \( [\theta] \in \mathcal{P}_n(G)/\text{Aut} G \times \text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \). Conversely, every algebraic curve with a \( G \)-action of the topological type given by \( [\theta] \) is (unmarkedly) \( G \)-isomorphic to some fiber. Moreover, there are only finitely many such fibers.

\[\text{Proof.} \]

Consider \( \pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \) and let \( y, y' \in Y_a \). Let \( \beta \) be a path in \( Y_a \) from \( y \) to \( y' \), and let \( f^\beta \) represent the parallel transport along \( \beta \). By Lemma 5.5 we get a \( G \)-equivariant diffeomorphism \( \mathcal{C}_a, y \to \mathcal{C}_a, y' \). Hence the \( G \)-actions on \( \mathcal{C}_a, y \) and \( \mathcal{C}_a, y' \) have the same topological type. This proves the first statement. Now let \( C \) be an algebraic curve such that \( G \) acts effectively on \( C \) in such a way that \( C/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \).

We get the ramified covering \( \pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). By acting via \( \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \), one can move any three branch points of \( \pi \) to 0, 1 and \( \infty \). We can thus assume that the set of critical values of \( \pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) coincides with \( Y \in M_{0,n} \). Set \( C^* := \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 - Y) \). Fix a point \( y_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 - Y \) and consider the monodromy \( f : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - Y, y_0) \to G \) associated with \( \pi|_{C^*} : C^* \to \mathbb{P}^1 - Y \). Finally fix a basis \( \mathcal{B}' \) of \( \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - Y, y_0) \) to \( Y \). Let \( \chi : \Gamma_n \to \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - Y, y_0) \) denote the associated isomorphism. Denote by \( \theta' = f \circ \chi : \Gamma_n \to G \) the datum associated with \( C \). We get a collection \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x, \mathcal{B}', \theta') \). Assume that \( C \) has the same topological type of \( G \)-action as \( [\theta] \), namely that \( [\theta] = [\theta'] \in \mathcal{P}_n(G)/\text{Aut}^* \Gamma_n \times \text{Aut} G \). By Theorem 5.2 the collections \( \mathcal{A}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x, \mathcal{B}', \theta') \) are equivalent. Thus there exist \( \tilde{a} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(y, \mathcal{B}', \theta') \) and a biholomorphism \( \tilde{w}_a : Y_a \to Y_a \) as in Definition 8.1. In particular, \( \mathcal{C}_a \cong \tilde{w}_a^* \mathcal{C}_a \). It follows that \( C \), which is the central fiber for \( \pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \), is \( G \)-isomorphic to some fiber of \( \pi_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to Y_a \). To check that only finitely many fibers can be \( G \)-isomorphic to \( C \) we argue as follows. For any \( \sigma \in S_n \), there is a unique \( g_\sigma \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that \( g_\sigma(y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}) = 0, g_\sigma(y_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}) = 1, g_\sigma(y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) = \infty \). If \( f : C \to \mathcal{C}_a, y \) is a \( G \)-isomorphism
for some \( y \in Y_a \), then \( f \) descends to an isomorphism \( \tilde{f} \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{P}^1 \) that maps branch points to branch points. So if \( X := q_a(y) \), we have \( \tilde{f}([y_1, \ldots, y_n]) = [x_1, \ldots, x_n] \). Then there is a permutation \( \sigma \) such that \( \tilde{f}(y_i) = x_i \) for any \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). So \( \tilde{f} = g \) and \( X = \langle g(y_1), \ldots, g(y_n) \rangle \). This shows that there is a finite number of possibilities for \( X \), so a finite number of possibilities for \( y \) since \( q_a \) is finite. \( \square \)

9. The Abelian Case

We conclude looking at the special case where the group \( G \) is abelian.

**Theorem 9.1.** If \( G \) is abelian, then there exists \( a \in \mathcal{I}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) such that \( Y_a = M_{0,n} \).

**Proof.** Let \( N_x \), \( K_x \) and \( H_X \) be as in (2.3) and consider the splitting exact sequence \((\ast_x)\), i.e. the top row of (2.3). Let \( \chi : \Gamma_0 \to N_x \) be the isomorphism induced from the basis \( \mathcal{B} \). Set \( \theta := \theta \circ \chi^{-1} : \Gamma_0 \to G \). Now let \( \varphi : H_X \to G \) be any morphism. Let

\[
\varepsilon : \pi_1(M_{0,n}) \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0))
\]

denote the morphism giving the semidirect product in \((\ast_x)\). By the considerations in (2.3), \( \varepsilon \) is just the restriction to \( \pi_1(M_{0,n}) \) of the morphism \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) giving the splitting of the exact sequence in the second row of (2.3). In [1, Corollary 1.8.3] it is explicitly described the image via \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) of the generators of the pure braid group of \( n - 1 \) strings of the plane. To be more precise, the notation in [1] corresponds to identify

\[
M_{0,n} \cong \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{0,n-1} C : x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1 \}
\]

instead of (2.1). By this description one sees that \( \varepsilon \) sends a generator \( \gamma_j \) of \( \pi_1(M_{0,n}) \) to a conjugate of it. In the setting of Lemma 6.5 we have \( f \circ \varepsilon_H(\gamma_j) = f(\gamma_j) \) since \( G \) is abelian. Similarly \( \text{im}_\varphi(\lambda_1) \) is the identity since \( G \) is abelian. It follows immediately that there exists \( f_a : K_x \to G \) extending both \( f \) and \( \varphi \). Thus \( (H_x, f_a) \in \mathcal{I}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \) and this concludes. \( \square \)

9.2. An important point to stress is that, in the general case, \( H_a \subseteq H_X \) and \( Y_a \neq M_{0,n} \) for every \( a \in \mathcal{I}(x, \mathcal{B}, \theta) \). We now show this via an easy example. As in the proof of Theorem 9.1 we use the description in [1] of image via \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) of the generators of the pure braid group of the plane and we show that, in general, there may not exist any morphism \( \tilde{f} : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \rtimes H_X \to G \) extending \( f \). Thus, in this case \( H_a \subseteq H_X \) for any \( a \in \mathcal{I} \). Let \( \theta : \Gamma_0 \to S_3 \) be given by \( \theta(\gamma_1) = (12), \theta(\gamma_2) = (23), \theta(\gamma_3) = (13), \) and \( \theta(\gamma_4) = (12) \). With the notation in [1], \( \pi_1(M_{0,4}) \) is free on the generators \( A_{12} \) and \( A_{13} \). We have \( \theta(\varepsilon(A_{12})\gamma_1) = (23), \theta(\varepsilon(A_{12})\gamma_2) = (13), \theta(\varepsilon(A_{12})\gamma_3) = (23), \theta(\varepsilon(A_{12})\gamma_4) = (12) \). Now note that, on one side, \( \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4 = 1 \) and thus \( \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4 \in \ker \theta \), but on the other side \( \theta(\varepsilon(A_{12})(\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4)) = (23)(13) = (123) \neq 1 \). With the notation of Lemma 6.5 it follows that \( A_{12} \notin H'' \), so \( H'' \neq H_X \). It follows from Lemma 6.5 that for any \( a \in \mathcal{I} \) we have \( H_a \subseteq H'' \). Thus in particular, \( H_a \subset H'' \neq H_X \). Thus there do not exist any morphism \( f : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - X, x_0) \rtimes H_X \to G \) extending \( f \). Geometrically, one can interpret this fact as follows. On \( M_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \) there is the universal family of elliptic curves \( \mathcal{E} \to M_{0,4} \). We denote by \( E_\lambda \) the fiber of \( \mathcal{E} \to M_{0,4} \) over \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \). The family corresponding to \( \theta \) shows that every elliptic curve has an effective action of \( S_3 \), which is built as follows: \( S_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \), where \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) is the multiplication by \(-1\) on \( E \) and \( \mathbb{Z}/3 \) is a subgroup of the translations \((E, +)\). So to build such an action one has to choose a line inside \( E_3[3] \). If an extension \( f : \pi_1(M_{0,5}) \to S_3 \) exists, then there is a family of lines \( l_\lambda \subset E_\lambda[3] \cong H_1(E_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}/3) \) defined over \( M_{0,4} \). Equivalently, fixing a base point \( \lambda_0 \in M_{0,4} \), there is a line \( l_{\lambda_0} \subset E_{\lambda_0} \) which is stable under the action of the monodromy of the family \( \mathcal{E} \). But the image of this monodromy is \( \Gamma_2 \), the congruence subgroup of level 2, which fixes no line in \( H_1(E_{\lambda_0}, \mathbb{Z}/3) \).
9.3. It follows from the previous remarks that, in the general case, \( Y_a \) cannot be \( M_{0,n} \) itself, but is necessarily a finite cover of it. As pointed out in the introduction, this corrects an inaccuracy in [9]. There it is claimed that \( Y = M_{0,n} \) always. In particular the authors concluded that the image of the family in \( M_g \) is always a unirational variety. By Theorem 9.1 their proof works for abelian covers. We wonder if unirationality of the moduli image holds true in the general case.
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