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Abstract: Let \(\Gamma\) be an antipodal distance-regular graph with diameter 4. Then \(\Gamma\) is tight in the sense of Jurišić, Koolen, and Terwilliger \cite{7} whenever \(\Gamma\) is locally strongly regular with nontrivial eigenvalues \(p, -q (p > -q)\). In this case, the intersection numbers of \(\Gamma\) are parametrized by \(p, q\). We denote \(\Gamma\) by AT4\((p, q, r)\), where \(r\) is the size of antipodal classes of \(\Gamma\). In this paper, we give a new feasibility condition for the AT4\((p, q, r)\) family. We determine a sufficient and necessary condition for the second subconstituent of \(\Gamma\) to be antipodal tight while \(r = 2\). From this, we prove the nonexistence of AT4\((q^3 - 2q, q, 2)\) when \(q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\).
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1 Introduction

Let \(\Gamma\) be a distance-regular graph with diameter \(d \geq 3\), and eigenvalues \(k = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_d\). Jurišić et al. \cite{7} showed that the intersection numbers \(a_1, b_1\) satisfy the following inequality
\[
(\theta_1 + \frac{k}{a_1 + 1})(\theta_d + \frac{\theta_d}{a_1 + 1}) \geq -\frac{ka_1b_1}{(a_1 + 1)^2}.
\] (1.1)

We say \(\Gamma\) is tight when \(\Gamma\) is not bipartite, and equality holds in (1.1). Jurišić et al. \cite{7} showed that \(\Gamma\) is tight if and only if each local graph is connected strongly regular, with eigenvalues \(a_1, b^+ = -1 - \frac{b_1}{1+\theta_1}, \) and \(b^- = -1 - \frac{b_1}{1+\theta_1}\).

Let \(\Gamma\) be an antipodal tight distance-regular graph of diameter 4. Then \(\Gamma\) is locally strongly regular with nontrivial eigenvalues \(p, -q (p > -q)\). Let \(r\) be the size of its antipodal classes. Then we call \(\Gamma\) an antipodal tight graph of diameter 4 and with parameters \(p, q, r\), denoted by AT4\((p, q, r)\); see \cite{3, 4}.

\textsuperscript{*}Corresponding author. Email addresses: koolen@ustc.edu.cn
Let $\Gamma$ be an AT4($p,q,r$) graph. In \cite{4} several feasibility conditions for the AT4 family were introduced. In the present paper, we give a new feasibility condition of $p,q,r$. From this we show that, if $\Gamma$ is an AT4($sq,q,q$) graph, then $s \leq q$. We also determine a sufficient and necessary condition so that the second subconstituent of $\Gamma$ is still an antipodal tight graph while $r = 2$. From this, we prove the nonexistence of AT4($q^3 - 2q,q,2$) when $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In particular, we show that the AT4($21,3,2$) graph does not exist.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and notation. Throughout this paper, we denote by $\Gamma$ a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$. The distance between two vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$, denoted by $\partial(x,y)$, is the length of a shortest path between $x$ and $y$ in $\Gamma$. The diameter $d$ of $\Gamma$ is the maximum distance between any two vertices of $\Gamma$. Assume that $\Gamma$ has diameter $d$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ and for each $x \in V(\Gamma)$, let $\Gamma_i(x)$ be the set of vertices in $\Gamma$ at distance $i$ from $x$. For notational convenience, we define $\Gamma_{-1} = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{d+1} = \emptyset$. We abbreviate $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_1(x)$. We say $\Gamma$ is regular with valency $k$ whenever $|\Gamma(x)| = k$ for all $x \in V(\Gamma)$. The $i$-th subconstituent $\Delta_i(x)$ of $\Gamma$ with respect to $x \in V(\Gamma)$ is the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced by $\Gamma_i(x)$. We abbreviate $\Delta(x) := \Delta_1(x)$ and call this the local graph of $\Gamma$ at $x$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with diameter $d$. We say $\Gamma$ is distance-regular whenever for all integers $0 \leq i \leq d$ and for all vertices $x,y \in V(\Gamma)$ with $\partial(x,y) = i$, the numbers

$$a_i = |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|, \quad b_i = |\Gamma_{i+1}(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|, \quad c_i = |\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|$$

are independent of $x,y$. Observe that $\Gamma$ is regular with valency $k = b_0$ and $a_i + b_i + c_i = k$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The array \{0, $b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d$\} is called the intersection array of $\Gamma$. For $x,y \in V(\Gamma)$ with $\partial(x,y) = 2$, the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced by $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ is called the $\mu(x,y)$-graph of $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma$ is distance-regular, then we simply call it the $\mu$-graph of $\Gamma$

Let $\Gamma$ be a k-regular graph with $n$ vertices. We say $\Gamma$ is strongly regular with parameters $(n,k,a,c)$ whenever each pair of adjacent vertices has the same number $a$ of common neighbors, and each pair of distinct non-adjacent vertices has the same number $c$ of common neighbors. Note that a connected strongly regular graph is distance-regular with $d = 2$ and parameters $(n,b_0,a_1,c_2)$.

A graph $\Gamma$ of diameter $d$ is antipodal if for any vertices $x,y,z$ such that $\partial(x,y) = \partial(x,z) = d$, it follows that $\partial(y,z) = d$ or $y = z$. The property of being at distance $d$ or zero induces an equivalence relation on $V(\Gamma)$, and the equivalence classes are called antipodal classes. For an antipodal graph $\Gamma$, the antipodal quotient of $\Gamma$ is the graph with the antipodal classes as vertices, where two classes are adjacent if they contain adjacent vertices in $\Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a graph. Let $\Omega$ denote the set of triples of vertices $(x,y,z)$ of $\Gamma$ such that $\partial(x,y) = 1$ and $\partial(x,z) = \partial(y,z) = 2$. For $(x,y,z) \in \Omega$, we define the (triple) intersection number $\alpha(x,y,z) := |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|$. We say that the intersection number $\alpha$ of $\Gamma$ exists whenever $\alpha = \alpha(x,y,z)$ is independent of all $(x,y,z) \in \Omega$.

We recall some results about the AT4 family, which will be used later.
Proposition 2.1 [4] Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p,q,r)$. Then

(i) its nontrivial eigenvalues are $pq + p + q > p > -q > -q^2$ and its intersection array is

$$\{q(pq + p + q), (q^2 - 1)(p + 1), \frac{(r - 1)q(p + q)}{r}, 1; 1, \frac{q(p + q)}{r}, (q^2 - 1)(p + 1), q(p + q + p + q)\}.$$ 

(ii) the local graphs are strongly regular with eigenvalues $a_1, p, -q$, and parameters

$$(k', a', c') = (p(q + 1), 2p - q, p).$$

(iii) the graph is 1-homogeneous, and in particular $\alpha = (p + q)/r$,

(iv) the parameters $p, q, r$ are integers, such that $p \geq 1, q \geq 2, r \geq 2$ and

1. $pq(p + q)/r$ is even, $r(p + 1) \leq q(p + q)$, and $r|p + q$,

2. $p \geq q - 2$, with equality if and only if $q^3_4 = 0$,

3. $(p + q)|q^2(q^2 - 1)$ and $(p + q^2)|q^2(q^2 - 1)(q^2 + q - 1)(q + 2)$.

(v) $(p = 1)\mu = 1$ iff $\alpha = 1$ iff $p + q = r$ iff $c_2 = \mu + 1$ iff $r(p + 1) = q(p + q)$ iff $\Gamma$ is the unique $\text{AT4}(1,2,3)$ graph, i.e., the Conway-Smith graph.

Proposition 2.2 [5] Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p,q,r)$. Then exactly one of the following statements holds.

(i) $\Gamma$ is the unique $\text{AT4}(1,2,3)$ graph, i.e., the Conway-Smith graph.

(ii) $\Gamma$ is an $\text{AT4}(q - 2, q, q - 1)$ graph.

(iii) $\Gamma$ is an $\text{AT4}(q,s,q)$ graph, where $s$ is an integer.

(iv) $(p + q)(2q + 1) \geq 3r(p + 2)$ and $\alpha \geq 3$, in particular, $r \leq q - 1$.

3 A New Feasibility Condition for the AT4 Family

Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p,q,r)$. Jurišić et al. [5] showed that if $p > 1$, then all of the $\mu$-graphs are complete multipartite if and only if $\Gamma$ is $\text{AT4}(sq,q,q)$. They also classified all $\text{AT4}(sq,q,q)$ graphs in [6], in which they showed $(sq,q,q)$ is just one of $\{2,2,2, (4,2,2), (3,3,3), (8,4,4), (9,3,3)\}$. Moreover, Jurišić et al. [8] showed that if $\Gamma$ is a graph whose $\mu$-graph is $K_{t \times n}$ and the intersection number $\alpha$ exists, then $\alpha = t$. In this section, we will give a new feasibility condition of $p,q$ and $r$ by studying the eigenvalues of the $\mu$-graphs.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p,q,r)$. Then

$$r \leq \frac{p + q^3}{p + q}. \quad (3.1)$$

If the equality holds, then the $\mu$-graph of $\Gamma$ is a strongly regular graph with parameters

$$(n, k, a, c) = \left(\frac{q(p + q)}{p}, p, (q - 1)(q - 2) + \frac{2(p - 1)}{q + 1}, \frac{q + q^3}{q + 1}\right).$$

Proof. From [5] Proposition 2.1, the spectrum of the local graph $\Delta(x)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
    p(q + 1) & 1 & -q \\
    \frac{(q^2 - 1)(pq + p + q)}{p + q} & \frac{pq(p + q + p + q + 1)}{p + q} & \frac{p + q + q^2}{p + q} \\
    1 & -q & \frac{(q^2 - 1)(pq + p + q)}{p + q}
\end{pmatrix}.$$
Let $x$ and $y$ be distance 2 vertices, and $\{y_1 = y, y_2, \ldots, y_r\}$ be an antipodal class. Then consider the union of the $\mu$-graphs $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. This graph has eigenvalues $p$ with multiplicity $r$ and $-q$ with multiplicity at least $m_2 := q(p + q) - 1 - \frac{(pq+p+q)(q^2-1)}{p+q}$ by interlacing.

Assume the left eigenvalues are $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_t$. Then we have $t = q(p+q) - r - m_2$, $\sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i = m_2 q - rp$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i^2 = pq(p+q) - rp^2 - m_2 q^2$. Then by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have $(\sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i^2/t)^2 \leq (\sum_{i=1}^t \theta_i/t)^2$. Simplify this inequality to get

$$\frac{q^2 - 1}{p + q} + \frac{q(p + q) - r(p + 1)}{pq} \geq 1.$$  \hfill (3.2)

By [5, Corollary 4.5], $pq - r(p + q) + q^3 > 0$, so simplifying Equation (3.2), we obtain $r \leq \frac{q + q^3}{p + q}$. The equality implies $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \ldots = \theta_t$, then the $\mu$-graph has just three distinct eigenvalues, which is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n, k, a, c) = (\frac{q(p+q)}{p+q}, p,(q-1)(q-2) + \frac{2(p-1)}{q+1}, \frac{p+q^3}{q+1})$.

**Corollary 3.2** Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $AT4(qs, q, q)$. Then $s \leq q$.

**Proof.** The result follows by (3.1).

From (iv)(3) of Proposition 2.1, we can get $p \leq q^2(q^2 - 1) - q$. We can get a better upper bound of $p$ from Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3** Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $AT4(p, q, r)$. Then $p \leq q^3 - 2q$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1 $2 \leq r \leq \frac{p + q^3}{p + q}$, so $p \leq q^3 - 2q$.

**Remark.** Note that Theorem 3.1 gives a sufficient condition so that the $\mu$-graph is a strongly regular. This condition is not necessary. For example, the $\mu$-graph of $AT4(2, 2, 2)$ is $K_{2,2}$, which is also a strongly regular graph and does not satisfy the condition $r = \frac{p + q^3}{p + q}$. Moreover, from Theorem 3.1 we know that the $\mu$-graph of $AT4(351, 9, 3)$ is a strongly regular graph with $(n, k, a, c) = (1080, 351, 126, 108)$.

4. **$AT4(q^3 - 2q, q, 2)$**

Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $AT4(p, q, 2)$. By Lemma 8.5 of [9], we know that the spectrum of $i$-th subconstituent $\Delta_i(x)$ of $\Gamma$ is determined by the parameters $p, q$. We can see more from this result.

**Lemma 4.1** Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $AT4(p, q, 2)$. For each vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, the spectrum of the second subconstituent $\Delta_2(x)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
  pq^2 & pq & p + q - q^2 & p & -q & -q^2 \\
  1 & (q^2-1)(pq+p+q) & pq(p+1)(q+1) & m_1 & m_2 & m_3
\end{pmatrix}$$
Proof. The nontrivial eigenvalues of \( \Gamma \) are

\[
m_1 = \frac{-q(p+1)(p-q^3+2q)(pq+p+q)}{(p+q)(p+q^2)},
\]

\[
m_2 = \frac{p(q^2-1)(pq+p+q)}{p+q},
\]

\[
m_3 = \frac{p(p-q+2)(q^2-1)(pq+p+q)}{(p+q)(p+q^2)}.
\]

Then it satisfies

\[
1 + \left( \frac{pq^2}{1} \right) \left( \frac{pq}{p+q} \right) \left( \frac{pq(p+1)(q+1)}{p+q} \right) = m_0 + m_1 + m_2 + m_3
\]

Simplifying this, we have

\[
m_0 + m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = \frac{2p^2q^3 + pq^2q^2 - 3pq^2q - 2p^2 + 3pq^3 - 4pq - 2p + q^3 - 2q}{p+q},
\]

\[
m_0(p+q+q) + m_1(p) + m_2(-q) + m_3(-q^2) = -pq(p+q+pq),
\]

\[
m_0(p+q+q)^2 + m_1p^2 + m_2(-q)^2 + m_3(-q^2)^2 = \frac{pq^3[p(q^2-1)(p+2) - q^2(q^2+1)(q+1)]}{p+q} + p^2(q^2-1)(p+2)
\]

\[-(p+q-2q^2)pq(p+1)(q+1) - p^2q^4,
\]

\[
m_0(p+q+q)^3 + m_1p^3 + m_2(-q)^3 + m_3(-q^2)^3 = \frac{pq^3(q^2-1)(pq+p+q)(p^2-2) - (p+q-q^2)^3pq(p+1)(q+1)}{p+q} - p^3q^6.
\]
We can get
\[
\begin{align*}
m_0 &= 0, \\
m_1 &= \frac{-q(p + 1)(p - q^3 + 2q)(pq + p + q)}{(p + q)(p + q^2)}, \\
m_2 &= \frac{p(q^2 - 1)(pq + p + q)}{p + q}, \\
m_3 &= \frac{p(p - q + 2)(q^2 - 1)(pq + p + q)}{(p + q)(p + q^2)}.
\end{align*}
\]

By Proposition 2.1 we have \((p + q)|q^2(q^2 - 1)\) and \((p + q^2)|q^2(q^2 - 1)(q^2 + q - 1)(q + 2)\), note that \(m_3\) can be expressed by

\[
m_3 = (q^2 - 1)(pq + p - q^3 - 3q^2 + q + 2) - \frac{2q^2(q^2 - 1)}{p + q} + \frac{q^2(q^2 - 1)(q^2 + q - 1)(q + 2)}{p + q^2}.
\]

Thus \(m_i \in Z\) for all \(i = 1, 2, 3\).

**Theorem 4.2** Let \(\Gamma\) be an antipodal tight graph \(\text{AT4}(p, q, 2)\). For each vertex \(x \in V(\Gamma)\), the second subconstituent \(\Delta_2(x)\) is an antipodal distance-regular graph of diameter four if and only if \(p = q^3 - 2q\). Moreover, \(\Delta_2(x)\) is an \(\text{AT4}(q^3 - q^2 - q, q, 2)\) graph when \(p = q^3 - 2q\).

**Proof.** On one hand, if \(\Delta_2(x)\) is an antipodal distance-regular graph of diameter four, then it has just five distinct eigenvalues. Then one of \(m_i\) in Lemma 4.1 must be zero. Note \(m_2 > 0\), if \(m_1 = 0\), we can get \(p = q^3 - 2q\); if \(m_3 = 0\), we can get \(p = q - 2\), which is ruled out by [10, Theorem 2].

On the other hand, if \(p = q^3 - 2q\), then \(m_1 = 0, m_2 = q(q^2 - 2)(q^3 + q^2 - 2q - 1)\) and \(m_3 = (q - 1)(q^2 - 2)(q^3 + q^2 - 2q - 1)\).

Let \(v \in V(\Delta_2(x))\), and \(u\) be the antipodal vertex of \(v\). Then \(\partial(u, v) = 4\). Thus the distance of \(u\) and \(v\) in \(\Delta_2(x)\) is at least 4. Note that \(\Delta_2(x)\) has 5 distinct eigenvalues, the diameter of \(\Delta_2(x)\) is at most 4. So \(d_{\Delta_2(x)}(u, v) = 4\). and for each vertex \(v \in V(\Delta_2(x))\), \(k_4(v) \geq 1\) by [10, Theorem 1],

\[
n - p_d(k) \leq \frac{\sum_{x} n}{n - k_4(x)} \leq n - 1. \tag{4.1}
\]

By a simple calculation (see [10, Section 6]), \(p_d(k) = n_1 \frac{n_0}{\sum_{x} 1} = 1\), the equality in (4.1) holds, which implies the graph is distance-regular, and \(k_4(v) = 1\) for all \(v \in V(\Delta_2(x))\). Thus \(\Delta_2(x)\) is an antipodal distance-regular graph. From [10 Lemma 4.1], the antipodal quotient of \(\Delta_2(x)\) is a strongly regular graph with parameters \((n/2, k, a_1, 2c_2) = ((q - 1)(q^2 + q - 1)(q^3 + q^2 - 2q - 1), q^3(q^2 - 2), q(q + 1)(q^2 - q - 1), q^3(q - 1))\). So the intersection array of \(\Delta_2(x)\) is \(\{q^3(q^2 - 2), (q - 1)^3(q + 1)^2, q^3(q - 1)/2, 1, 1, q^3(q - 1)/2, (q - 1)^3(q + 1)^2, q^3(q^2 - 2)\}\). \(\Delta_2(x)\) is an \(\text{AT4}(q^3 - q^2 - q, q, 2)\) graph by [10, Theorem 5.4].

**Corollary 4.3** If \(\text{AT4}(q^3 - q^2 - q, q, 2)\) does not exist, neither does \(\text{AT4}(q^3 - 2q, q, 2)\).
Proof. It holds directly from Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.4 Neither $\text{AT4}(q^3 - q^2 - q, q, 2)$ nor $\text{AT4}(q^3 - 2q, q, 2)$ exists when $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. We only need to show that $\text{AT4}(q^3 - q^2 - q, q, 2)$ does not exist while $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. $c_2 = q^3(q - 1)/2$, and $\mu' = q^3 - q^2 - q$, so $c_2 \mu' = q^3(q - 1)(q^3 - q^2 - q)/2$. Since $c_2 \mu'$ is odd while $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By [3, Theorem 3.1], the graph does not exist.

Theorem 4.5 Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p, 3, r)$. Then $\Gamma$ must be one of the following:

(i) $\text{AT4}(3, 3, 3)$, that is $3O_0^-(3)$;
(ii) $\text{AT4}(9, 3, 3)$, that is $3O_3(3)$;
(iii) $\text{AT4}(9, 3, 2)$.

Proof. Assume $\Gamma$ is an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p, 3, r)$. By Proposition 2.2, $2 \leq r \leq 3$. If $r = 3$, then $\Gamma$ might be $\text{AT4}(3, 3, 3)$ or $\text{AT4}(9, 3, 3)$ by [6]. The uniqueness of $3O_7(3)$ refers to a manuscript (in preparation) by Jurisic and Koolen. If $r = 2$, then $p \leq 21$ by Corollary 3.3. All possible parameters are listed in Table 1, in which $\text{AT4}(9, 3, 2)$ is the unique open case.

5 Case $r = \frac{p+q^3}{p+q}$

Here we give a note about $\text{AT4}(p, q, r)$ with $r = \frac{p+q^3}{p+q}$. If $r = 2$, then the feasibility conditions in Proposition 2.1 always hold. If $r > 2$, then we can get more information from Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 5.1 Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p, q, r)$ with $r > 2$. If $r = \frac{p+q^3}{p+q}$, then $(q+r)$ divides $r(r-2)(r^2-r-1)(r-1)^2$.

Proof. If $r = \frac{p+q^3}{p+q}$, then $p = \frac{q^3 - rq}{r-1}$. By (iv)(3) in Proposition 2.1, we have $(p+q^2)$ divides $q^2(q^2-1)(q^2+q-1)(q+2)$. Submit $p = \frac{q^3 - rq}{r-1}$, we can get $(q+r)$ divides $(r-1)q(q+1)(q^2+q-1)(q+2)$. Thus $(q+r)$ divides $r(r-2)(r^2-r-1)(r-1)^2$.

Corollary 5.2 For a given integer $r > 2$, the set of feasible parameters $\{(p, q)|r = \frac{p+q^3}{p+q}\}$ is finite.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, $(q+r)$ divides $r(r-2)(r^2-r-1)(r-1)^2$. Such $q$ is finite, and we are done.

Remark. Let $\Gamma$ be an antipodal tight graph $\text{AT4}(p, q, 3)$. If $\frac{p+q^3}{p+q} = 3$, then

$q \in \{2, 3, 7, 9, 17, 27, 57\}$.

Table 1 gives us the existence for $q = 2, 3, 9$, which are $\text{AT4}(1, 2, 3), \text{AT4}(9, 3, 3)$ and $\text{AT4}(351, 9, 3)$. We propose the rest as an open problem.

Problem 5.3 Classify all $\text{AT4}(p, q, 3)$ graphs with $\frac{p+q^3}{p+q} = 3$. 
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Table 1
AT4 family, $\alpha = (p + q)/r$, $c_2 = q\alpha$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>graph</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>$\mu$-graph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) known examples, where “!” indicates the uniqueness of the corresponding graph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>! Conway-Smith</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$K_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>! J(8,4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$K_{2,2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>! halved 8-cube</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$K_{3\times2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>! $3.O_6'(3)$</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$K_{3,3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>! Soicher1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$2 \cdot K_{2,2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>! $3.O_7(3)$</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$K_{4\times3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>Meixner1</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$2 \cdot K_{3\times4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>! Meixner2</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$K_{3\times4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>Soicher2</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$K_2$-ext. of $\frac{1}{2}Q_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>$3.Fi_{24}$</td>
<td>31671</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>SRG(1080, 351, 126, 108)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (b) Remaining open cases of small members of the AT4 family and some ideas for their $\mu$-graphs (whose valency is $p$) |
|------|-------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|---------------------------|
| B1   |                   | 96   | 4   | 4   | 2   | 4       | 16   | $2 \cdot K_{4,4}$         |
| B2   | does not exist    | 115  | 3   | 5   | 2   | 4       | 20   | $2 \cdot$Petersen         |
| B3   |                   | 115  | 3   | 5   | 4   | 2       | 10   | Petersen                  |
| B4   |                   | 117  | 9   | 3   | 2   | 6       | 18   | no idea                   |
| B5   |                   | 176  | 8   | 4   | 3   | 4       | 16   | no idea                   |
| B6   | does not exist    | 204  | 4   | 6   | 2   | 5       | 30   | $5 \cdot K_{3\times2}$    |
| B7   |                   | 204  | 4   | 6   | 5   | 2       | 12   | $2 \cdot K_{3\times2}$    |
| B8   | does not exist    | 261  | 21  | 3   | 2   | 12      | 36   | SRG(36, 21, 12, 12)        |
| B9   |                   | 288  | 6   | 6   | 2   | 6       | 36   | $3 \cdot K_{6,6}$         |
| B10  |                   | 288  | 6   | 6   | 3   | 4       | 24   | $2 \cdot K_{6,6}$         |
| B11  | does not exist    | 329  | 5   | 7   | 2   | 6       | 42   | $7 \cdot K_{6}$           |
| B12  |                   | 336  | 16  | 4   | 2   | 10      | 40   | $2 \cdot K_{5\times4}$    |
| B13  |                   | 416  | 20  | 4   | 2   | 12      | 48   | $2 \cdot K_{6\times4}$    |

Remark: Table 1 lists some known examples and open cases of small members of the AT4 family (see [2, Table 2]). We will explain the difference between Table 1 in this paper and [2, Table 2]. Firstly note that the cases $B2, B6$ and $B11$ are ruled out by [1, Theorem 2]. Since $A10$ and $B8$ satisfy the equality in Theorem 3.1, the $\mu$-graphs are both strongly regular graphs, and moreover $B8$ is ruled out by Corollary 4.4. Note that the smallest eigenvalue of the $\mu$-graph is at least $-q$ by interlacing. From this we can get the $\mu$-graph of $B4$ cannot be $K_{9,9}$, and the $\mu$-graph of $B5$ cannot be $2 \cdot K_{8,8}$. 
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