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Abstract

We consider an infinite sequence of rooted trees naturally emerging in a number-theoretical context. We advance some ideas on its structure by discussing some elementary properties. Some of those properties are shown to be related to classical results or conjectures in number theory.

1 Introduction

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic states that every natural number $n$ larger than 1 can be represented as a product of distinct primes raised to natural powers:

$$n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_k^{n_k}. \quad (1)$$

Such representation is not unique, but it becomes so if we further require that the distinct primes appear in increasing order or, otherwise said, it’s unique up to a permutation of the factors. Note that the integer $k$ as above, i.e. the number of distinct prime factors of $n$, is an arithmetic function denoted $\omega(n)$ in the number theoretical literature.

Looking for a pure representation of each integer only in terms of prime numbers (the building blocks of arithmetic) we proceed by iterating such decomposition to the successive natural powers $n_i$ in (1). Some examples are $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, $12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$, $18 = 2 \cdot 3^2$, $486 = 2 \cdot 3^5$ and

$$1549681956 = 2^2 \cdot 3^{2^3}. \quad (2)$$

The previous representation identifies, for each integer $n$, a graph theoretical structure which is easily seen to be a planar rooted tree: starting with a given marked vertex called the root (somehow representing the number 1) we draw $k = \omega(n)$ edges emanating from the root, each labeled with one of the primes $p_i$ as above. We draw
the tree starting from the root at the bottom and proceed to the top like in real trees. We then apply the same procedure to the endpoints of each of these edges, say the one labeled by \( p_i \), to which we attach new \( k_i = \omega(n_i) \) edges corresponding to the distinct primes appearing in the decomposition of \( n_i \), and so on. By iterating this procedure, as many times as needed, we end up with a finite rooted planar tree with edges labeled by suitable primes and uniquely associated to each integer. By construction we see that not all labelings are allowed, but they are subject to the only restriction that each branching involves only distinct primes. The labeled tree corresponding to the integer 192 is shown in Fig 1. The representation of an integer with powers of primes can also be also found in [4] where is called prime tower factorization.

Let us consider the set of all finite rooted planar trees \( \mathcal{T} \) (see [13]). Then the previous construction identifies a canonical map \( t \)

\[
\mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{T} ,
\]

by simply ignoring the labeling primes.

We observe moreover that by identifying trees with the same topological structure, no matter how they are embedded in the plane, and calling such set \( [\mathcal{T}] \), we also get a quotient map \( t^\# \)

\[
\mathbb{N} \to [\mathcal{T}] .
\]

Planar rooted trees, or rooted trees, can also be identified by using the parentheses syntax (see [14, 3] and [2] for a polynomial classification). Examples of the map \( t \) are:

\[
t(1) = () ,
\]

\[
t(2) = t(3) = t(p) = (()) , \quad \text{for all primes } p
\]

\[
t(12) = (((()))) ,
\]

\[
t(18) = t(486) = (((()))),
\]
and, from the example (2),

\[ t(1549681956) = (((()))(((())()))) . \] (9)

More examples of the map \( t(n) \), with both the graph representation and the parenthesis syntax, can be found in Figures 2 and 3. In Figure 4 \( \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \) is shown up to \( t(102) \).

By the typical property of \( t^# \) we have, for instance, \( t^#(12) = t^#(18) \) or \( t^#(48) = t^#(162) \). We observe that by decorating unlabeled trees with suitable primes one sees that any finite planar (or general) rooted tree may appear, i.e. both the maps \( t \) and \( t^# \) are surjective.

Listing the integers where new trees arise in \( \mathcal{T} \) we find the sequence

1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 16, 18, 30, 36, 48, \ldots \) (10)

as well as the corresponding subsequence for \( [\mathcal{T}] \)

1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 16, 30, 36, 48, \ldots \) (11)

where we notice e.g. the disappearance of the integer 18 that has, in \( [\mathcal{T}] \), the same structure of 12. The two sequences are identified as \( A284456 \) and \( A279686 \) in [10].

Our main object of interest will be the sequence of trees corresponding to the natural numbers:

\[ \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) = \{t(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} . \] (12)

We will refer to it as the Natural Avenue, since typically an avenue is a road with trees on the side at regular intervals. Similarly \( [\mathcal{T}](\mathbb{N}) \) will be the corresponding sequence of trees generated from the map \( t^# \).

We are ready now to state the general perspective that has inspired our interest and led us to write this note. We have obtained \( \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \) from \( \mathbb{N} \) by erasing the primes labeling the tree corresponding to each integer. In doing so we have lost some information. Is it possible to recover that information, i.e. to invert the map \( t \), for instance by means of local observations? In other words, by observing finite windows of \( \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \) can we discover where we are located? Moreover, concerning the global structure of \( \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \), how are the different trees, or groups of them, distributed? What are their specific densities and fluctuations?

In the following section we start the investigation of the local and the global structures of \( \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \) and introduce some useful concepts.

2 The structure of \( \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}) \)

Here we consider (finite) windows of \( \mathbb{N} \) and we denote them as \([n, n+1, n+2, \ldots, n+l] \). The elements of \( \mathcal{T} \), the planar rooted trees, can be used as letters of an alphabet to form (finite) words. For instance, \([(()), ()]) \text{ and } [((()))]), (())]) \text{ are two words. While}
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the first can be found in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$ only once, in correspondence of the window $[2, 3]$, the second cannot be found at all because there are no three prime numbers of the form $p, p + 1, p + 2$. Words can also include, in their interior (i.e. not at the boundary), a special letter, the asterisk $\ast$, denoting the fact that any possible tree can appear in such a position. A similar word is, for instance, $[(())], \ast, \ast, \ast, ((()))$ that appears in correspondence to the windows $[3, 4, 5, 6, 7], [7, 8, 9, 10, 11], [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], etc.

When a word can be found in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$, like in the previous example, it is called a natural word or observable for short. On the other hand, when a word cannot be found in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$ it is called unnatural.

Observables can be suitably identified by one of their corresponding windows in $\mathbb{N}$. For example, the observable $O(3, 5)$ represents the natural word $[(())], \ast, ((()))$, namely the trees associated to two prime numbers and an arbitrary tree in between; of course, it corresponds precisely to a couple of twin prime numbers. A further observable is $O(3, 4, 5)$ i.e. the sequence $[(())], ((())), ((()))$. Note that $O(3, 5)$ and $O(3, 4, 5)$ are different observables, the first including the asterisk in the middle while the second is a word without asterisks. The second one corresponds to a couple of twin prime numbers separated by a prime raised to a prime power.

It is straightforward to obtain some very basic structural properties of $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$ starting from elementary facts about the decomposition of an integer in primes. Other properties are more challenging and can be proved with advanced mathematical methods. In some other case, these properties are so difficult that a proof is still lacking (some of them are well known classical conjectures). To give a flavour of all that, we investigate the structure of some observables appearing nearby the origin. Denoting by $|O|$ the number of occurrences of the observable $O$ in the Natural Avenue we have the following lemmata and open questions:

- $|O(1)| = 1$,
- $|O(2)| = \infty$, i.e. the observable $[(())]$ appears infinitely many times as a consequence of the Euclid theorem on the existence of infinitely many primes.
- $|O(n)| = \infty$ for $n \geq 2$, by suitably decorating the tree corresponding to $n$ with primes.
- $|O(2, 3)| = 1$, as $(2, 3)$ is the only contiguous couple of prime numbers.
- $|O(4, 5)| = 1$, by using the divisibility properties by 2 and 3. Indeed, we have to solve the equation $2^p + 1 = q$ with $p$ and $q$ prime numbers. Now, if $p > 2$ we have that $(3 - 1)^p + 1$ is always divisible by 3.
- $|O(3, 5)| = \infty$?, This amounts to the twin prime conjecture, a very well-known open problem (although important progress has been achieved recently [14], see also [9] for a recent account).
- \(|O(3, 4)| = \infty\)? Indeed this observable selects precisely the *Mersenne numbers*, i.e. primes of the form \(2^p - 1\) for some prime \(p\) (these are the first entries of each pair). Again, the the cardinality of the set of Mersenne numbers is another long-standing open problem.

- \(|O(5, 6)| = \infty\)? This observable selects primes \(p\) such that \((p + 1)/2\) is also prime or, equivalently, primes \(q\) such that \(2q - 1\) is also prime (see A005383 in OEIS). It is unknown to us whether there are infinitely many such primes.

- \(|O(6, 7)| = \infty\)? This observable selects the Sophie Germain primes, i.e. primes \(q\) such that \(2q + 1\) is also prime (see A005385 in OEIS). It is unknown whether there are infinitely many such primes.

- \(|O(5, 6, 7)| = 1\) as easily checked, for we have triples of consecutive integers of the form \((p, qr, s)\), with \(p, q, r, s\) primes and \(q \neq r\), which can only happen when \(q = 2\) and \(r = 3\).

- \(|O(8, 9)| = 1\). This amounts to finding the solutions of \(2^p \pm 1 = q^r\) with \(p, q, r\) primes and was proved in [11] in relation to the Catalan conjecture.

- \(|O(11, 12)| = \infty\)? This amounts to finding the solutions of \(p + 1 = 2^q r\) with \(p, q, r\) primes with \(r \neq 2\). The sequence of the \(p\) starts with 11, 19, 23, 43, 67, 103, 151, 163, 211, 223, 283, . . . which is not listed in OEIS. It is unknown to us whether there are infinitely many such primes.

- \(|O(12, 13)| = \infty\)? This amounts to finding the solutions of \(p - 1 = 2^q r\) with \(p, q, r\) primes with \(r \neq 2\). The sequence of the \(p\) starts with 13, 29, 41, 53, 89, 97, 137, 149, 173, 233, 269, . . . which is not listed in OEIS. It is unknown to us whether there are infinitely many such primes.

- \(|O(11, 12, 13)| = |O(17, 18, 19)| = 1\). Indeed, any other occurrence of the first observable should then be of the form \(O(q, 2p^3, q + 2)\), with \(q, q + 2, p\) prime. However, for all primes \(p \geq 3\), we claim that at least one among the two integers \(2^p q + 1 \) is always divisible by 5 (and actually only one of them). To see this, write \(2^p q + 1 = 24 \cdot 2^{p-3} + 1 = (2^{p-3} - 1)2^{p-3} + 1\). It follows that one among \(2^p q + 1\) is divisible by 5 or, equivalently, one among \(2^{p-3} - 1\) is divisible by 5. Also notice that the statement we want to prove is certainly true for \(p = 3\), so in the sequel we can assume that \(p \geq 5\) without loss of generality. Consider the five consecutive integers

\[2^{p-3} - 2, 2^{p-3} - 1, 2^{p-3}, 2^{p-3} + 1, 2^{p-3} + 2,\]

then one and only one of them is divisible by 5. But clearly \(2^{p-3}\) is not divisible by 5, so our claim will be proved if we show that both \(2^{p-3} \pm 2\) are not divisible by 5. Write \(2^{p-3} \pm 2 = 2(2^{p-4} \pm 1)\). Thus, it suffices to show that both \(2^{p-4} \pm 1\) are not divisible by 5. Since \(p\) is odd, we can write \(2^{p-4} \pm 1 = 2(2^{p-5}) \pm 1 = \]
$2 \cdot 4^{(p-5)/2} \pm 1 = 2(5 - 1)^{(p-5)/2} \pm 1$, which will be divisible by 5 if and only if $2(-1)^{(p-5)/2} \pm 1$ is divisible by 5. But the latter condition is clearly not satisfied, and the result for the first observable is proved. As for the second, for $p \geq 3$ prime, $2^p \cdot 3 \pm 1 = (5 - 3)^p (5 - 2) \pm 1$ is divisible by 5 if and only if $-2(-3)^p \pm 1 = 2 \cdot 3^p \pm 1$ is divisible by 5, which concludes the proof. Notice that the two integers 12 and 18 correspond to different planar trees belonging to the same non-planar class of equivalence.

- $|O(3, 5)| + |O(2, 4)| + |O(13, 15)| = \infty$. This is a consequence of the result proved in [1] stating that there are infinitely many primes $p$ (Chen primes) such that $p + 2$ is either a prime or a product of two primes not necessarily distinct. In our context this tells us that at least one of the three involved observables appears infinitely many times in $T(\mathbb{N})$.

- Similarly, another example of an observable appearing infinitely many times that is not a single tree arises from Zhang’s theorem, namely the existence of infinitely many primes differing at most by a given (possibly large, but finite) number $C$: choosing finitely many pairs of primes $(p_i, q_i)$ such that $q_i - p_i = 2i$, $i = 1, \ldots, [C/2] + 1$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[C/2]+1} |O(p_i, q_i)| = +\infty$$

(in the sum we can omit those $i$’s for which the pair $(p_i, q_i)$ as above does not exist).

In general, an observable can appear a finite or an infinite number of times in $T(\mathbb{N})$. If it is unique, i.e. it appears only once, it provides the complete information of its location. For instance, if we observe $O(4, 5)$ or $O(8, 9)$ we know for sure where we are placed in $\mathbb{N}$, while the observation of a single tree does not pinpoint our position. If an observable appears only once then every other observable that includes it (as a subword) appears only once as well. We will call *milestones* those observables that appear only once and are irreducible, i.e. do not properly contain any other observable that appears only once. For instance $O(4, 5, 6)$ appears only once but it is not a milestone because it properly contains $O(4, 5)$. The latter observable is a milestone because $O(4)$ and $O(5)$ appear infinitely many times.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us define $\kappa_+(n)$ as the least non-negative integer such that $O(n, n+1, \ldots, n+\kappa_+(n))$ is unique. (If such integer does not exist, set $\kappa_+(n) = +\infty$.) We expect that $\kappa_+$ is always finite (clearly the occurrence of the value $+\infty$ would have some strong consequence on the structure of $T(\mathbb{N})$) but for the time being we have not investigated the problem in detail (see also below) and do not see yet what is the best way to relate it to known facts or conjectures. We also believe that $\kappa_+$ is an unbounded function. It readily follows from the above discussion that $\kappa_+(1) = 0,$
\( \kappa_+(2) = 1, \kappa_+(3) = 2 \) (since \( O(4, 5) \) and thus \( O(3, 4, 5) \) are unique) and \( \kappa_+(4) = 1 \).

We also see that \( \kappa_+(5) = 2 \) as well (note that \( O(5, 6) = O(13, 14) \)).

Defining \( \kappa_- \) in a similar manner but looking at backward windows, we clearly have \( \kappa_-(n) \leq n - 2 \), for \( n \geq 3 \). Moreover \( \kappa_-(1) = 0, \kappa_-(2) = 1, \kappa_-(3) = 1 \). Therefore, \( \kappa_+ \) and \( \kappa_- \) are different and actually one is not even bounded by the other in a strict sense because \( \kappa_-(4) = 2, \kappa_-(5) = 1 \).

So far we mostly focused on “local” observables. However, features of \( T(\mathbb{N}) \) of a more global nature would also be worth of investigation. For instance, it is not difficult to see that the “infinite observable” \( O_+[n] := O(n, n+1, n+2, \ldots) \) completely determines \( n \), i.e. if two natural numbers \( n \) and \( m \) are such that \( O_+[n] = O_+[m] \) then \( n = m \). Indeed, suppose without loss of generality that \( m \geq n \) and set \( r := m - n \). Then it readily follows from the above assumption that eventually \( p + r \) is prime whenever \( p \) is prime (this is a consequence of the fact that every tree appearing in the \( h \)-th slot of \( O_+(n) \) will also appear in the corresponding slot of \( O_+(m) \)). Hence, \( r \) must be equal to 0, for \( r > 0 \) would contradict the well-known fact that \( \limsup_k (p_{k+1} - p_k) = +\infty \) (where \( p_k \) is the \( k \)-th prime number).

Other properties addressing global aspects of \( T(\mathbb{N}) \) will appear in the next section.

For each observable \( O \), we define \( O^t \), the transposed of \( O \), as the word obtained by reversing the sequence of trees \( O \). For example \( O^t(5, 6) = O(6, 7) \). It is not always true that the transposed of an observable is itself an observable, this happens for instance with \( O(1, 2) = [()], [()] \). As one may expect the transposition changes in general the cardinality of the occurrences, for instance \( O(3, 4) \) appears many times while \( O(4, 5) = O^t(3, 4) \) is unique.

### 3 Some perspectives

Below we will lay down some basic directions about the way we plan to examine \( T(\mathbb{N}) \), and state some problems/conjectures. We start with the elementary observation that for each observable that appears infinitely many times there is a corresponding problem of determining its distribution (density and fluctuations).

In particular this applies to the observables made of a single tree, since every tree clearly appears infinitely many times. This problem in its very basic instance, the first nontrivial tree, is the celebrated problem of the prime number distribution, the most fundamental and fascinating topic in analytic number theory. Indicating by \( \pi(x) \) the number of prime numbers less or equal than \( x \), Jacques Hadamard and Charles Jean de la Vallée Poussin proved in 1896 that

\[
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\frac{x}{\log x}} = 1,
\]

a result referred to as the **prime number theorem**. The, still unproven, Riemann hypothesis would imply an accurate estimate of the fluctuations around that density,
namely for large $x$

$$\pi(x) = \text{li}(x) + O(\sqrt{x} \log x).$$

A remarkable consequence of the prime density theorem is that the spacing between consecutive occurrences of primes is unbounded.

From our perspective we have an infinite family of density and fluctuation problems and we expect that some relations should appear between the densities of different trees (see [12]). The list includes some well-known classical problems that have been solved more than a century ago. They deal e.g. with the distribution of products of two distinct primes (“square-free semiprimes”) or, more generally, of square-free integers. These problems correspond in our context to the distribution of the bushes of the form \([(())(())\)] or it’s n-fold generalization \([(())\cdots(())\)] respectively. It turns out that the number of products of distinct primes $p$ and $q$ with $p < q$, $pq \leq x$ goes, for large $x$, like $x \log \log x/ \log x$ [7] (see also [8]). The asymptotic density of all the square free integers is $6/\pi^2 \approx 0.6079$ [6].

Summarizing the above discussion the natural questions that arise from our perspective can be grouped into three areas. **Multiplicities**: to our knowledge the observables in $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$ take only multiplicities 1 or infinity. Are there also observables with finite multiplicity larger than 1? We remind that instead in $[\mathcal{T}](\mathbb{N})$ we found an observable of cardinality 2. Are there infinitely many milestones? A positive answer would imply that $\kappa_+(n)$ exists for every $n$. **Distributions**: compute (by rigorous arguments, but also empirically guess using some high-performance machine) the distribution (densities and fluctuations) of observables with infinite multiplicity. Find possible relations between such densities. **Spacing**: considering an observable with infinite multiplicity, are the spacings between consecutive appearances unbounded like it happens for the primes?

We have also shown that some of the questions that naturally emerge from our considerations are related to classical results or conjectures in number theory. But our perspective poses many more questions, and we hope that they will be useful to shed further light on the overall subject. We plan to return on some of these topics in the future.
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(a) $t(1) = ()$
(b) $t(2) = ()$
(c) $t(3) = ()$
(d) $t(4) = ()$
(e) $t(5) = ()$
(f) $t(6) = ()$
(g) $t(7) = ()$
(h) $t(8) = ()$
(i) $t(9) = ()$
(j) $t(10) = ()$
(k) $t(11) = ()$
(l) $t(12) = ()$

Fig 2. The map $t(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 12$. 
Fig 3. More examples of the map $t(n)$. 
Fig 4. $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N})$ in lexicographic order up to $t(102)$