Abstract

Enriched curves have been studied over algebraically closed fields by Mainò ([Mai98]) and recently over general base schemes in [BH19]. In this paper, we study enriched curves from a logarithmic viewpoint: we give a succinct definition of the stack of rich log curves, which is an open substack of the stack of log curves, and define an enriched curve to be a curve with a minimal rich log structure on it. This logarithmic view point turns out to be a natural language for enriched structures, leading naturally to a simple
modular compactification. This modular compactification is a smooth log blowup of the stack of log curves, answering affirmatively two questions from [BH19]. We also generalise the concept of rich curves to $r$-rich curves, and show similar results. We include a chapter phrasing some of the key definitions solely in the language of real tropical geometry.

1 Introduction

The theory of enriched curves, or curves with enriched structures, has been studied mainly in the context of limits of linear series. Let $\mathcal{C}/k[[t]]$ be a prestable curve with smooth generic fibre, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on the generic fiber. One of the basic goals is to understand the ways in which $\mathcal{L}$ can extend to the whole of $\mathcal{C}$. In [Mai98] they study this using so-called enriched structures on prestable curves over algebraically closed fields, as defined in the following (paraphrased) definition.

**Definition 1.1** (First definition of [Mai98]). Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ with $k = \overline{k}$ be a stable curve with irreducible components $C_1, \ldots, C_n$. An enriched structure on $C$ is a collection of line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n$ on $C$ such that there is a regular smoothing $\mathcal{C}/\text{Spec } k[[t]]$ with $\mathcal{L}_i \cong O_{\mathcal{C}}(C_i)|_C$. An enriched curve is a stable curve together with an enriched structure.

This definition cannot easily be extended to (pre-)stable curves over general bases since the notion of components breaks down. In a recent paper by Biesel and Holmes ([BH19]), a generalisation was proposed. They define the functor of enriched structures on the category of controlled curves, the largest subcategory of curves where the combinatorial notion of a dual graph makes sense, and extend this functor to general curves. They show that this functor of enriched structures is representable by an algebraic space over $\mathcal{M}$, the stack of prestable curves, and they also define a modular compactification.

In this paper, we take a different approach to constructing these moduli spaces, through log geometry, replacing the combinatorics by tropical curves and monoids. We work with log curves throughout this paper. We define when a log curve is called rich, and define the algebraic stack with log structure $\text{Min}(\text{RLC})/\text{Sch}$ of rich log curves$^1$. Using this machinery, results such as base changes of rich log curves being rich follow automatically. Our main theorem consists of the following results on this stack $\text{Min}(\text{RLC})$.

---

$^1$In fact, $\text{Min}(\text{RLC})$ consists of the minimal rich log curves. It represents the stack $\text{RLC}$ fibred over $\text{LogSch}$ of rich log curves. For more details, see Section 2.1
**Theorem A** (Theorem 4.13, Corollary 5.7). The stack \( \text{Min}(RLC)/\text{Sch} \) of rich log curves is a smooth algebraic stack with log structure. The forgetful map to \( \mathcal{M} \), the stack of prestable marked curves, is log étale. There is a canonical bijection between the \( k \)-points of the fiber of \( \text{Min}(RLC) \) over a geometric point \( \text{Spec} \, k \) to enriched curves over \( \text{Spec} \, k \).

In [BH19] the question is asked whether there is a natural smooth compactification of this stack \( \text{Min}(RLC) \). In this paper, we answer that question affirmatively.

**Theorem B** (Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.21). The stack \( \text{Min}(RLC) \) has a natural modular compactification \( \text{Min}(ARLC) \) consisting of almost rich log curves. The stack \( \text{Min}(ARLC) \) is a smooth log blowup of \( \mathcal{M} \) and the embedding \( \text{Min}(RLC) \to \text{Min}(ARLC) \) is a map of log stacks.

To illustrate the simplicity of our definitions, we directly give the definition of almost rich log curves.

**Definition 1.2.** Let \( C/S \) with \( S \) a geometric point be a log curve, let \( \mathcal{M}_S \) be the characteristic monoid on the base, and let \( \Gamma \) be the corresponding tropical curve. Then \( C \) is called **almost rich** if for every cut in the graph of \( \Gamma \), there is a shortest edge in this cut. A log curve is almost rich if it is almost rich over all strict geometric points.

**Example 1.3.** Consider the case where the underlying algebraic curve \( C/S \) is given by three copies of \( \mathbb{P}_S^1 \) with each pair intersecting once, and where \( S \) is a geometric point (see Figure 1a). The dual graph of \( C/S \) is given in Figure 1b, with the edges labelled \( E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \). This graph has cuts \( \{e_1, e_2\}, \{e_1, e_3\}, \{e_2, e_3\} \), as removing any two of the three edges cuts the graph into exactly two connected components. Then a log curve \( C/S \) lying over \( C/S \) induces three lengths \( \ell(e_1), \ell(e_2), \ell(e_3) \) in the characteristic monoid \( \mathcal{M}_S \). By definition the curve \( C/S \) is almost rich if every cut of the dual graph has a smallest element. For this curve, that is equivalent to \( \ell(e_1), \ell(e_2), \ell(e_3) \) being pairwise comparable.

For example, the minimal log curve \( C_0/S_0 \) over \( C/S \), which has \( \mathcal{M}_{S_0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{N} \cdot e_i \) and \( \ell(e_i) = e_i \), is not almost rich. In contrast, a log curve \( C_1/S_1 \) having \( \mathcal{M}_{S_1} = \mathbb{N}^3 \) and \( \ell(e_1) = (1, 0, 0), \ell(e_2) = (1, 1, 0), \ell(e_3) = (1, 1, 1) \) is almost rich since all of \( (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1) \) are comparable.

By Theorem B the stack \( \text{Min}(ARLC)_{S_0}/S_0 \) is a log blowup of \( S_0 \). This log blowup corresponds, similarly to a toric blowup, to a subdivision of \( \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0} \). This subdivision (or rather, an intersection of it with the plane defined by \( a+b+c=1 \)) is shown in Figure 1c. This entire example is treated in more detail in Example 6.16.

In [BH19], the stack of enriched curves is studied as the first step in describing a universal Néron-model-admitting stack \( \mathcal{M} \) over the stack of curves. This stack
\( \widetilde{M} \) is not quasi-compact, but can be written as a colimit of quasi-compact stacks \( \bigcup_{r} \mathcal{M}^{\leq r} \), with \( \mathcal{M}^{\leq 1} \) coinciding with the stack of enriched curves ([BH19, Theorem 6.9]). We prove the following theorems on this stack.

**Theorem C** (Lemma 7.2, Theorem 7.3). There is an infinite sequence of smooth algebraic log stacks \( \text{Min}(RLC_{r}) \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cap \{\infty\} \) with \( \text{Min}(RLC_{1}) = \text{Min}(RLC) \) and \( \text{Min}(RLC_{\infty}) \) such that

\[
\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}} \text{Min}(RLC_{r}) = \text{Min}(RLC_{\infty}) \cong \widetilde{M}.
\]

Here \( \text{Min}(RLC_{r}) \) is the stack of \( r \)-rich log curves. Under this isomorphism, the stack \( \text{Min}(RLC) \) is naturally isomorphic to \( \mathcal{M}^{\leq 1}/\text{Min}(LC) \).

**Theorem D** (Theorem 6.1). For \( r < \infty \) the stack \( \text{Min}(RLC_{r}) \) has a natural modular compactification \( \text{Min}(ARLC_{r}) \) consisting of almost \( r \)-rich log curves. The stack \( \text{Min}(ARLC_{r}) \) is a smooth log blowup of the stack \( \mathcal{M} \) and the embedding \( \text{Min}(RLC_{r}) \to \text{Min}(ARLC_{r}) \) is a map of log stacks.

This gives \( \mathcal{M} \) as an infinite union of quasi-compact stacks, with a compactification known for each step. Previously an explicit modular compactification of \( \mathcal{M}^{\leq r} \) was only known for \( r = 1 \). One can then, analogously to [SST18], define the Chow group of the stack \( \widetilde{M} \) as \( \lim_{r} \text{CH} \left( \text{Min}(ARLC_{r}) \right) \). This is a possible avenue to intersection theory on \( \widetilde{M} \).

## 2 Conventions and notation

There are multiple notions of log curves and tropical curves, and log stacks. In this section we explain our conventions, and we introduce our notation for the
stacks of (minimal) (almost) \(r\)-rich curves we will be defining in this paper. For a general reference on log schemes, we refer to [Ogu18].

For us, a log scheme \(X\) consists of a scheme \(X\) and a sheaf of monoids \(M_X\) on its small étale site together with a monoid map \(\alpha : M_X \to O_X\) such that the natural map \(\alpha^*O_X^* \to O_X^*\) is an isomorphism. As usual, we denote the characteristic sheaf \(M_X/O_X^*\) by \(\overline{M}_X\).

All log structures/log schemes will be fine and saturated (fs), meaning the sheaf of monoids is locally modelled on fine (finitely generated and integral), saturated monoids. In particular, all of our log blowups are saturated.

Of special interest to us will be log curves \(C/S\). For a general reference, see [Kat00]. A log curve is a map \(\pi : C \to S\) of log schemes such that the underlying algebraic map is a prestable curve and \(\pi\) is log smooth and integral. In the case where \(S\) is a geometric point, the log structure becomes very explicit on the level of characteristic monoids, as follows. Letting \(E\) denote the set of nodes (or equivalently, the set of edges of the dual graph), the log structure on \(S\) will be a monoid \(M\) over \(k\) together with a monoid map \(N_E \to M\). Then the log structure on \(C\) is uniquely determined by these data, as in [Kat00, Table 1.8]. We often call the image of \(e \in N_E\) in the monoid \(M\) the length or weight of edge \(e\).

Related is that for a prestable curve \(C/S\), there is a unique initial log curve structure we can put on it (in the category of log curve structures on \(C/S\)). In the case where \(S\) is a geometric point, then this \(C/S\) will satisfy that \(M_S = N_E\) where \(E\) is the set of edges of the dual graph of \(C\). The length of the \(e\)th edge will then be the \(e\)th basis vector.

Finally, we discuss what tropical curves are for us. Fix a log smooth curve \(C/S\), and let \(s\) be a strict geometric point of \(S\). Then \(C_s/\overline{s}\) has a dual graph \((V, E)\), a characteristic monoid \(\overline{M}_S\), and a metrisation, namely a length function \(E \to \overline{M}_S\) (with the image of an edge \(e\) also called the length of an edge). This triple of data \(((V, E), \overline{M}_S, E \to \overline{M}_S)\) is known as an abstract tropical curve, and it is the tropical curve of \(C\) at \(s\), also denoted by \(\mathcal{C}_s\). Furthermore, if we have an étale specialisation between two geometric points \(s\) and \(t\) (see [CCUW20, Appendix A]), roughly meaning that \(t\) lies in the closure of \(s\), then we get contraction maps \(\mathcal{C}_t \simeq \mathcal{C}_s\), contracting some of the edges. The data of all these tropical curves at geometric points together with the contractions is known as the tropical curve of \(C\), also denoted as \(\mathcal{C}\). For a further reference to this, see Definition 2.3.3.3 of [MW18].

Remark 2.1. A more common definition of an abstract tropical curve is a graph metrised in \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) (for an example, see Definition 2.13 of [Cha16]). One can see the more general definition of metrising in some sharp monoid \(\overline{M}\) as giving a family of \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\)-metrised graphs, parametrised by \(\text{Hom}(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})\). This perspective is worked out in Section 3.
2.1 Log stacks

In this paper we deal with stacks over schemes and stacks over log schemes. For an in depth treatment of the relation, we refer to [Gil11]. In this subsection, we treat a few of their definitions and results. We denote by $\text{LogSch}$ the category of all log schemes, and by $\text{Log}$ the wide subcategory of log schemes with strict maps. This latter category is in fact a CFG (category fibred in groupoids) over $\text{Sch}$.

**Definition 2.2.** A log CFG is a CFG $\underline{X}/\text{Sch}$ together with a CFG map $M : \underline{X} \to \text{Log}$ over $\text{Sch}$. Such a log CFG $X = (\underline{X}, M)$ induces a CFG $Y$ over $\text{LogSch}$ by having as objects pairs $(x \in \underline{X}, f : T \to M(x))$ where $f : T \to M(x)$ is the identity on $T$. We say that $X$, together with its log structure, represents $Y$, and denote this by $X = \text{Min}(Y)$.

**Example 2.3.** If we consider the CFG $\text{Sch}_{S}$ of schemes over $S$ for some scheme $S$, then a log structure $M : \text{Sch}_{S} \to \text{Log}$ consists of lifting $S$ to a log scheme $S$. The induced CFG over $\text{LogSch}$ is then simply $\text{LogSch}_{S}$, and $\text{Min}(\text{LogSch}_{S})$ is the stack $\text{Sch}_{S}$ together with its log structure.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $X$ be a CFG over $\text{LogSch}$. Then we denote by $\text{Log}(X)$ the CFG over $\text{Sch}$ consisting of the same objects as $X$, but only maps lying over a strict map.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map in $\text{CFG}/\text{LogSch}$, with $X,Y$ representable by log CFG’s. Then $f$ is an open immersion, if the resulting map $\text{Min}(X) \to \text{Min}(Y)$ is an open immersion. It is log open if the resulting map $\text{Log}(X) \to \text{Log}(Y)$ is an open embedding.

**Remark 2.6.** This latter definition mimics many propositions about other log properties. For example, a map $X \to Y$ of log schemes is log étale if and only if $\text{Log}(X) \to \text{Log}(Y)$ is étale ([Ols03, Theorem 4.6]).

The important question for us is whether a CFG over $\text{LogSch}$ is represented by a log CFG over $\text{Sch}$. For this, we need the definition of minimal objects.

**Definition 2.7** ([Gil11]). Let $F : X \to \text{LogSch}$ be a CFG. Then an object $z \in X$ is minimal if for every diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
     w_1 & \rightarrow & z \\
     \downarrow j & & \downarrow i \\
     w_2 & \rightarrow &
\end{array}
\]

with $Fi$ and $Fj$ being the identity on the underlying schemes, there is a unique map $w_1 \to z$ making the diagram commute.
Example 2.8. Let $S$ be a log scheme. In the category $\text{LogSch}_S$, the minimal objects are the strict maps $T \to S$, and hence correspond to maps of schemes $T \to S$. More generally, if our CFG $C/\text{LogSch}$ is represented by a log CFG $(C, M)$, then the minimal objects are pairs $(c \in C, f : X \to M(c))$ where $f$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 2.9 ([Gil11]). Let $F : X \to \text{LogSch}$ be a CFG. It is represented by a log CFG if and only it satisfies both of the following two conditions.

1. For every $w \in C$, there is a minimal object $z$ and a map $i : w \to z$ with $Fi$ the identity on the underlying schemes.

2. For every minimal object $z$, for every map $i : w \to z$, we have that $w$ is minimal if and only if $Fi$ is strict.

Furthermore, if this is the case $C$ is represented by the stack $\text{Min}(C)$ of minimal objects in $C$, with its natural log structure.

We often consider the log stack $\mathbf{LC}$ of log smooth curves, and the stack of prestable curves $\bigsqcup_{g,n \geq 0} M_{g,n}$. We can give the latter a log structure coming from the boundary divisor of singular curves. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10 (Theorem 4.5, [Kat00]). The stack $\mathbf{LC}/\text{LogSch}$ is representable, and the stack with $\bigsqcup_{g,n \geq 0} M_{g,n}$ with its natural log structure is naturally identified with $\text{Min}(\mathbf{LC})$.

When the base is unspecified, we work over $\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. The reader who prefers to work over $\mathbb{C}$ instead, can base change everything to $\text{Spec} \mathbb{C}$ where all of our results will still hold.

3 A tropical story

In this section we give an overview of the main ideas of this paper written solely in the language of real tropical curves. We start with recalling some definitions about real tropical curves.

Definition 3.1. A real tropical curve is a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ together with a length function $E \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We denote by $M_\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^E$ the moduli space of these real tropical curve structures on a fixed graph $\Gamma$.

These moduli spaces fit together in families, as we will shortly see. Note that for a contraction of graphs $\Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma'$, we have an inclusion of edges $E(\Gamma') \to E(\Gamma)$ and hence we can consider both $M_{\Gamma'}$ and $M_{\Gamma}$ as subspaces of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^E$. 
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Definition 3.2. Fix $\Gamma$ a graph. Denote $\overline{M}_\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'} M_{\Gamma'} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{E(\Gamma)}$.

Proposition 3.3. We have that $\overline{M}_\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{E(\Gamma)}$.

Example 3.4. Let $\Gamma$ be the 2-gon, i.e. the graph with two vertices and two edges connecting both vertices. Figure 2 contains a picture of $\overline{M}_\Gamma$ for $\Gamma$ a 2-gon, including four real tropical curves corresponding to points in $\overline{M}_\Gamma$.

Remark 3.5. If one wants to consider the moduli space of real tropical curves, one needs to take in account isomorphisms of real tropical curves. For example, in Figure 2, curves on opposite sides of the diagonal are isomorphic as real tropical curves. One could consider quotienting $\overline{M}_\Gamma$ by the isomorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$, resulting in some different cone $\overline{M}_\Gamma / \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. This turns out to be too coarse. The right thing to do is to consider the quotient cone stack. This perspective is treated in detail in [CCUW20]. In this section, instead of working over this cone stack, we will work with structures over $\overline{M}_\Gamma$ invariant under the natural $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$-action. By abstract nonsense this amounts to the same.

Definition 3.6. A family of real tropical curves consists of a cone $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a graph $\Gamma$ and a linear map $\sigma \rightarrow \overline{M}_\Gamma$.

Example 3.7. Let $C \in \overline{M}_\Gamma$ be a real tropical curve. Then the map $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \overline{M}_\Gamma$ mapping $\lambda$ to $C$ with all edge lengths scaled by $\lambda$ is a family of real tropical curves.

Example 3.8. There is a universal family of real tropical curves $C_\Gamma$ over the moduli space $\overline{M}_\Gamma$ itself.

Example 3.9. Let $C/S$ be a log curve with $S$ a geometric point with characteristic monoid $\overline{M}$. Its corresponding tropical curve is a graph $\Gamma$ together with edge lengths in $\overline{M}$. Let $\sigma$ be the cone $\text{Hom}(\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$. Then evaluation of the edge lengths defines a family of real tropical curves $\sigma \rightarrow \overline{M}_\Gamma$. 

Figure 2: The moduli space $\overline{M}_\Gamma$
We can now define, just like in the introduction, when such a family is almost rich.

**Definition 3.10.** Let \( \ell : \sigma \to \overline{M}_\Gamma \) be a family of real tropical curves. Let \( E = E(\Gamma) \) and for \( e \in E \) denote \( \ell(e) : \sigma \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) the length of the \( e \)th edge. Then we say this family is **almost rich** if for every cut \( c \subset E \) of \( \Gamma \), there is an \( e \in c \) such that \( e \) is universally the smallest edge in \( c \), meaning that for all \( x \in \sigma \) and for all \( e' \in c \) we have \( \ell(e)(x) \leq \ell(e')(x) \).

**Example 3.11.** If \( \sigma \) is a line, the corresponding real tropical curve is almost rich.

**Example 3.12.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a graph. The universal family of real tropical curves \( C_\Gamma \) is almost rich if and only if \( \Gamma \) is a tree with loops added.

**Example 3.13.** Let \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) be the 2-gon. Let \( \sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the cone generated by \((1,0)\) and \((1,1)\). Choose a bijection \( E \to \{1,2\} \) and let \( \sigma \to \mathbb{R}^E = \overline{M}_\Gamma \) be the natural inclusion for this bijection. This is an almost rich curve. It is even a maximal almost rich subcurve of the universal curve \( \overline{M}_\Gamma \to \overline{M}_\Gamma \). There is one other maximal almost rich subcurve, obtained by choosing the other bijection \( E \to \{1,2\} \).

Blowups on the logarithmic side of the story correspond exactly to subdivisions of \( M_\Gamma \) on the tropical side. Like in the previous example, we can explicitly write down this subdivision.

**Definition 3.14.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a graph. Let \( f : \text{Cuts}(\Gamma) \to E \) be a choice function, sending a cut \( c \) to an element of \( c \). Then the corresponding cone \( \overline{M}_f \subset \overline{M}_\Gamma \) is defined to consist of those real tropical curves such that for every cut \( c \) we have that \( f(c) \) is the shortest edge in that vertex. We define the almost rich subdivision of \( \overline{M}_\Gamma \) to be the set of these cones for every possible choice function.

**Example 3.15.** For the 2-gon, we get the subdivision described in Example 3.13 and shown in Figure 3a. For the 3-gon, we get the subdivision from Figure 1c as described in Example 1.3 and in more detail in Example 6.16.

**Remark 3.16.** In this section, we only investigate the case for almost rich curves, also known as almost \( r \)-rich curves for \( r = 1 \). For larger \( r \), one still gets a corresponding tropical story, and subdivisions of the tropical moduli spaces. For \( \Gamma \) the graph with two vertices and three edges and for \( r = 2 \) we give the corresponding subdivision in Figure 3b.

Many logarithmic theorems in this paper have an analogue or shadow in this tropical world. For example, the fact that the stack \( \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}) \) is lci, is equal to the fact that every maximal dimensional cone in the almost rich subdivision of \( \overline{M}_\Gamma \) has a \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \)-basis. Similarly, the fact that \( \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}) \) is smooth, is equal to the fact that every maximal dimensional cone has a \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \)-basis that is also a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^{E(\Gamma)} \).
4 The stack of $r$-rich log curves and the stack of $r$-enriched curves

In this section we will define $r$-richness of log curves with $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cap \{\infty\}$, and study the moduli spaces of $r$-rich curves. For $r = 1$ we get the simpler notion of richness, and throughout this and the following sections, one can always keep the case of $r = 1$ in mind as a representative case.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $M$ be an fs monoid and $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ a subset of $M$. Then for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $A$ is called $r$-close if there are positive integers $\lambda_i \mid r$ and $a \in M$ such that for all $i$ we have $\lambda_i a = a_i$. We call a set $\infty$-close if it is $r$-close for some $r$. For an $\infty$-close set, we call the largest such $a$ dividing all elements of $A$ the root of $A$.

Note that being $\infty$-close just means lying on the same ray $\mathbb{N} \to M$ sending 1 to a non-divisible element. That immediately gives us the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** For $M$ an fs monoid and $A$ and $A'$ two non-disjoint $\infty$-close subsets, the union $A \cup A'$ is $\infty$-close.

**Remark 4.3.** Note that if $A$ is a set such that all subsets of size 2 are $r$-close, then $A$ is $r$-close itself.

Throughout the rest of this section, $r$ will lie in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cap \{\infty\}$.

**Definition 4.4.** Given a connected graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, a cut of $\Gamma$ is a subset of $E$ such that $V \sqcup (E \setminus c)$ has exactly two connected components, and every edge in $c$ connects these two components. We denote the set of all cuts by $\text{Cuts}(\Gamma)$.
Definition 4.5. Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ be a log curve over an algebraically closed field and let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be the corresponding tropical curve. The curve $C/\text{Spec } k$ is called $r$-rich if for every cut $c$ of $\Gamma$, the set of lengths of the edges in $c$ are $r$-close. A log curve $C/S$ is called $r$-rich if it is $r$-rich over all strict geometric points. When $r = 1$, we also call this rich.

Example 4.6. A curve $C/\text{Spec } k$ with $k = \overline{k}$ is rich if and only if for each cut of its dual graph $\Gamma$, all edges in the cut have equal lengths in the characteristic monoid.

By Remark 4.3 we have the following, more concrete reformulation, in terms of so-called circuit-connected components.

Definition 4.7. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A path is a sequence of directed edges $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ with $e_i$ ending where $e_{i+1}$ starts, and such that every vertex in $V$ is incident to at most two of the edges. It is a circuit if furthermore $e_n$ ends where $e_1$ starts. We call a subset of $E$ circuit-connected if for any two distinct edges $e, e'$, there is a circuit containing $e, e'$. This partitions $E$ into a collection $T$ of maximal circuit-connected components [Hol15, Lemma 7.2].

Lemma 4.8. Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ be a log curve over an algebraically closed field and let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be the corresponding tropical curve. Then $C/\text{Spec } k$ is $r$-rich if and only if for every circuit-connected component, the labels of the edges it contains are $r$-close.

Proof. This follow from combining Remark 4.3 together with the fact that any cut is contained in a circuit-connected component, and the fact that any size two subset of a circuit-connected component can be extended to a cut.

Remark 4.9. There is yet another equivalent definition in terms of the existence of many piecewise linear functions. Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ be a log curve over an algebraically closed field and let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be the corresponding tropical curve. Then $C$ is $r$-rich if and only if for every cut of $\Gamma$, there exists a piecewise linear function on $\Gamma$ such that the slope on an edge in $c$ divides $r$ and the slope on all other edges is 0. Here we say an integer divides $\infty$ if it is non-zero.

Example 4.10. Consider the 2-gon over a point $\text{Spec } k$: this is the genus 1 curve obtained by taking two copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$, glueing the two copies of $(0 : 1)$ together, and glueing the two copies of $(1 : 0)$ together. The minimal log structure on it is not rich, as the characteristic monoid of the minimal log structure is $\mathbb{N}^2$ on the base with the two edges having labels $e_1$ and $e_2$ respectively. A rich log structure is then up to isomorphism a map $\mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ which on the level of characteristic monoids sends $e_1$ and $e_2$ to the same element in $\mathbb{N}$. If they are sent to 1, the set of such possible maps up to isomorphism will turn out to be in bijection with $\mathbb{N}^\times$. This example will be generalised and explained in more detail in Example 4.23.
Remark 4.11. The notion of $r$-richness is connected to, but slightly different both from the notion of being $r$-aligned from [Hol15] and from the notion of being log aligned from [HMOP20]. The difference from being $r$-aligned, except for the important fact that being $r$-aligned is a condition of a scheme instead of a log scheme, is mainly that for $r$-aligned one requires the labels in a circuit-connected component to all be of the form $\lambda_i a$ for some $1 \leq \lambda_i \leq r$, instead of requiring the labels in a cut to be of the form $\mu_i a$ for some $1 | \mu_i | r$. The reason for cuts is that, while circuit-connected components can split when contracting edges, cuts do behave nicely under contraction (cf. Lemma 6.11).

The difference from being log aligned is that then one requires the labels of the edges in a circuit-connected component to lie on an extreme ray.

Both of these alternative notions make sense in their own right, but both are not closed under pullback and hence one cannot form an algebraic or log stack by taking the category fibred over groupoids of these objects; one has to work with the terminal object in the right category instead.

With a notion of $r$-richness, we can now define the category of objects we are interested in.

**Definition 4.12.** Define $\text{RLC}_r \to \text{LogSch}$ to be the sub-CFG consisting of $r$-Rich Log Curves.

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.13.** The stack $\text{RLC}_r/\text{LogSch}$ is a log open substack of the stack of log curves $\text{LC}$, and is represented by a smooth algebraic stack $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$.

We will prove this using Gillam’s framework of minimality, as discussed in 2.1. We will define a notion of basicness, show that it is equivalent to minimality, and then show that the conditions for Theorem 2.9 are satisfied and hence $\text{RLC}_r$ is represented by some log CFG (category fibred in groupoids) $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)/\text{Sch}$. Next we will show that $\text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r)$ (for a definition see again 2.1) is an open substack of $\text{Log}(\text{LC})$, hence algebraic, and finally we will be able to conclude Theorem 4.13 by studying the inclusion $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r)$.

### 4.1 Minimality

We first give a definition of what minimal objects in $\text{RLC}_r$ should look like.

**Definition 4.14.** Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ be a $r$-rich log curve over an algebraically closed field and let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be the corresponding tropical curve. Let $T$ denote the set of circuit-connected components, for $t \in T$ let $a_t \in \mathbb{M}_k$ be the root of $T$, and for $e \in t$ let $\lambda_e | r$ be such that the length of the $e$th edge is $\lambda_e a_t$. Then the
natural map $\mathbb{N}^E \to \overline{M}_k$ factors through the root map $\mathbb{N}^E \to \mathbb{N}^T$ where $T$ is the set of circuit-connected components and $\mathbb{N}^E \to \mathbb{N}^T$ sends $e$ to $\lambda_e$, and $\mathbb{N}^T \to \overline{M}_k$ sends $t$ to $a_t$. The log curve $C/\text{Spec} \ k$ is called basic r-rich if the resulting map $\mathbb{N}^T \to \overline{M}_k$ is an isomorphism. An r-rich log curve $C/S$ is called basic r-rich if it is basic r-rich over all strict geometric points.

**Proposition 4.15.** Let $C/S$ be a prestable curve, and consider a smooth r-rich log structure $C/S$ with sheaves $(M_C, M_S)$ on it. Then there is a basic r-rich log structure $C'/S'$ on $C/S$ log structure such that there is a map between the log curves $(C, S) \to (C', S')$ that is the identity on schemes. Both the basic log structure and the map are unique up to unique isomorphism.

**Proof.** First, we recall some fact about maps between log structures. Let $M$ be a log structures on a scheme $X$. Then for $M' \to M$ a map of log structures, we have $M' = M \times_M M'$. This means $M' \to M$ is uniquely determined by its map on the characteristic monoids. Vice versa, if we have a map of sharp sheaves of monoids $M' \to M$, there is a unique lift $M \times_M M' \to M$. In other words, the category of maps of log structures to $M$ is equivalent to the category of sharp monoid maps to $M$.

Now as both being r-rich and being basic r-rich is defined on the level of characteristic monoids, we can reduce the proposition to the level of characteristic monoids. Define the category $GS$ having objects $(M_S/N^E, \beta)$ with $\beta : M_S \to \overline{M}_S$ a map of sharp monoids over $N^E$. It remains to show this category has an initial object. Locally, this is immediate, as we can take $\overline{M}_S = \mathbb{N}^T$ with $\mathbb{N}^E \to \overline{M}_S$ the root map. Then by uniqueness and uniqueness of isomorphisms, this construction glues to a global construction. 

This proposition on the prevalence of basic curves, also sometimes phrased as the set of basic r-rich log curves being weakly terminal in the category of r-rich log curves, turns out to be enough to both characterise the minimal objects and to fulfil the first condition of the descent lemma Theorem 2.9.

**Lemma 4.16.** Let $X$ be a category, and $W$ a subcategory such that for each object in $X$ there is a map to an element of $W$, unique up to unique isomorphism. Then an object in $X$ is minimal if and only if this map is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** We start by proving that an element $w \in W$ is minimal. We do this by directly verifying the definition. Let’s say we have three objects $x_1, x_2, x$ where $x$ is in $W$, and we have maps $x_2 \to x_1, x_2 \to x$. Now all these three objects have a map to an element of $W$, but by the property of $W$ used on $x_2$, all these objects
must be $x$, and we get a commutative diagram

```
               x
            /   \
          x1    x2
            \   /
              \_/  \
                  x
```

The arrow $x \to x$ has to be an isomorphism, which provides us with the necessary map $x_1 \to x$. Again as $W$ is weakly terminal, such a map must be unique, hence $x$ is minimal.

Now that we have proven that an object of $W$ is minimal, it remains to prove that any map between two minimal objects is an isomorphism, as we already know that any object admits a map to an object in $W$ and is minimal if this map is an isomorphism. So if we have such a map $f : w \to z$, then by minimality applied to the to maps $f : w \to z, id : w \to w$ the map $f$ has a unique left-inverse $g : z \to w$. Then again, $g$ has a unique left-inverse $h$. But now $f = (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f) = h$, so $g$ is a two-sided inverse of $f$ and $f$ is an isomorphism.

From this proposition, we immediately get the connection between basic $r$-rich log curves and minimal objects.

**Corollary 4.17.** An $r$-rich log curve is minimal if and only if it is basic.

**Proposition 4.18.** The category $RLC_r$ satisfies the conditions of the descent lemma (Theorem 2.9).

**Proof.** The first condition, of every $r$-rich log curve admitting a map to a basic $r$-rich log curve, is directly given by Proposition 4.15.

It remains to show that the pullback of a basic $r$-rich log curve along a map of log schemes $f$ is basic if and only if $f$ is strict. Note that every morphism is by definition the composition of a strict morphism and a morphism lying over the identity of the algebraic scheme of the source. Then it suffices to prove that the pullback of a basic $r$-rich log curve along a strict morphism is basic, and the pullback along a non-strict morphism lying over the identity of the algebraic scheme is not basic. For the first of these statements, note that given a strict morphism $f : U \to V$, any strict geometric point of $U$ is a strict geometric point of $V$, and hence any condition on strict geometric points of $V$ will also hold for strict geometric points of $U$. In particular includes basic $r$-richness. For the second of these statements, we have by definition that over a geometric point, a map from a basic $r$-rich log structure to a $r$-rich log structure is an isomorphism if and only if both log structures are basic. 
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Then by Theorem 2.9 we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.19.** The subcategory $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$ of minimal objects of $\text{RLC}_r$ is a stack over $\text{Sch}$ and together with its natural log structure represents $\text{RLC}_r$.

### 4.2 Algebraicity

There is the natural inclusion of CFGs $\text{RLC}_r \rightarrow \text{LC}$. We will later see in Section 6 that for $r \leq \infty$ this is an open embedding (cf. Definition 2.5) followed by a log blow up (and hence a log étale monomorphism), but it is not an open embedding itself. The issue is that minimal $r$-rich log curves are not necessarily minimal log curves. There is always a map from a minimal $r$-rich log curve to a minimal log curve lying over the identity of the underlying algebraic scheme, but this map is not necessarily strict. The following proposition shows that this non-strictness is the only issue here.

**Proposition 4.20.** Let $\pi : C \rightarrow S$ be a log curve, and let $U$ be the full subcategory of objects $T$ in $\text{Sch}/S$ such that the strict pullback $C_T$ is $r$-rich. Then $U \rightarrow S$ is an open embedding.

**Proof.** We will prove this proposition étale locally. By [HMOP20, Lemma 3.40] we can assume our curve $C/S$ is nuclear as defined in [HMOP20, Definition 3.39]. Now let $\overline{s}$ be a strict geometric point where the scheme is rich, and shrink $S$ such that every tropical curve of a point of $S$ is a contraction of the tropical curve at $\overline{s}$. Let $E$ then be the edge set of the tropical curve $\mathcal{E}/S$. Then we can describe the entire tropical curve $\mathcal{E}/S$ by giving for each geometric point $t$ of $S$ a map $E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t$, with the image of an edge $e$ vanishing exactly if $e$ gets contracted over $\overline{t}$. Let $T$ be the set of circuit connected components of $E$, and let $q : \mathbb{N}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^T$ be the root map at $\overline{s}$, as defined in Definition 4.14. For $e \in E$, let $l_e$ denote the length of edge $e$ as a global section of the characteristic monoid $\mathcal{M}_S$. For all pairs $e, e' \in E$ in the same circuit-connected component there are integers $\lambda_e, \lambda_{e'}$ with $\lambda_{e'}q(e) = \lambda_eq(e')$. Then we have $\lambda_{e'}l_e = \lambda_el_{e'}$ in the stalk $\mathcal{M}_{\overline{s}}$, hence this equality also holds in some open neighbourhood $V_{e,e'}$ of $\overline{s}$. Then we see that $\bigcap_{t \in T} \bigcap_{e, e' \in t} V_{e,e'}$ is an open neighbourhood of $\overline{s}$ that is contained in the $r$-rich locus. Hence, the $r$-rich locus $U$ is open.

Now by definition of $U$, the open $U$ has the universal property that for any strict map $f : T \rightarrow S$, the pullback $C_T$ is $r$-rich if and only if $f$ factors through $U$.

**Corollary 4.21.** The map $\text{RLC}_r \rightarrow \text{LC}$ is a log étale monomorphism.

**Proof.** Note that for a map of stacks $f : X \rightarrow Y$ over $\text{LogSch}$, we have that $f$ is log étale if and only if $\text{Log}(X) \rightarrow \text{Log}(Y)$ is étale by [Ols03, Theorem 4.6].
Proposition 4.20, we then have that \( \text{RLC}_r \to \text{LC} \) is log open, hence it is log étale. Also, it is a sub-CFG, which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.13.** It remains to show that \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \) is an algebraic stack and smooth. By Proposition 4.20 the map of CFGs over \( \text{Sch} \) from \( \text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r) \) to \( \text{Log}(\text{LC}) \) is an open immersion, as for any scheme \( S \) with a log curve \( \pi : C \to S \) obtained from a map \( S \to \text{Log}(\text{LC}) \), the fibre product \( \text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r) \times_{\text{Log}(\text{LC})} S \) is by definition the \( r \)-rich locus \( U \) of \( \pi \) defined in Proposition 4.20. In particular, \( \text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r) \) is algebraic, as an open sub-CFG of the algebraic stack \( \text{Log}(\text{LC}) \).

Then by Theorem B.2 of [Wis16], the stack \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \) of minimal (or equivalently basic) rich log curves represents \( \text{RLC}_r \), and is an open substack of the stack \( \text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r) \). That makes \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \) an algebraic stack (as \( \text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r) \) is algebraic), and then \( \text{RLC}_r \) is represented by \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \) with the natural log structure of \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{RLC}_r \to \text{LogSch} \).

For smoothness, note that the characteristic monoid at a geometric point of \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \) is free by Definition 4.14. Then Theorem III.3.3.1 of [Ogu18] states that étale locally around a geometric point \( x \) we can write the map from \( \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r) \) to \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \) as a composite of a strict log étale (and hence étale) map, and the map \( f_\theta \) which is a base change of \( \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[P] \to \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \), where \( P \) is the characteristic monoid at \( x \). As \( P \) is free, \( f_\theta \) is the map \( \mathbb{A}^n_\mathbb{Z} \to \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \) for some \( n \), and hence is smooth, so the map \( \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r) \to \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \) is smooth. \( \square \)

**Example 4.22.** Let \( C/\pi \) be a compact type prestable curve over a geometric point. Then by definition the dual graph \( (V,E) \) is a tree. Then the set of circuit-connected components is \( E \) itself, and one can check the minimal log structure is in fact the minimal \( r \)-rich log structure. Letting \( X \) denote the stack of compact type curves, it follows that the map \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \times_{\text{Min}(\text{LC})} X \to X \) is an isomorphism. \( \square \)

**Example 4.23.** Let \( C/S \) be a prestable curve over a geometric point \( S = \text{Spec} k \). Let \( E \) be the edge set of the corresponding dual graph, and let \( T \) be the set of circuit-connected components. A \( 1 \)-rich log structure \( (M_C, M_S) \) on \( C \) is completely specified by a map of log structures from the minimal log structure \( \mathbb{N}^E \oplus k^* \) on the base to \( M_S \). As we are working over an algebraically closed field, that would just be a map of monoids \( \mathbb{N}^E \oplus k^* \to \mathbb{N}^T \oplus k^* \) that, on the level of characteristic monoids, is the linearisation of the quotient map \( c : E \to T \).

These maps are specified by giving \( v \in (k^*)^E \), and denote by \( \varphi_v \) the map sending \( (e,1) \in \mathbb{N}^E \oplus k^* \) to \( (c(e),v_e) \). An automorphism of the log structure \( \mathbb{N}^T \oplus k^* \) is given by \( w \in (k^*)^T \), by for \( t \in T \) sending \( (t,1) \in \mathbb{N}^T \oplus k^* \) to \( (t,w_t) \). These automorphisms induce isomorphisms \( \varphi_v \to \varphi_{v \circ c^*(w)} \). Then the minimal rich log structures correspond exactly to the maps \( \varphi_v \) up to isomorphism.

Three examples: for a 1-gon (a graph with one vertex and one edge), all choices of \( \varphi_v \) are isomorphic, and there is only one minimal rich log structure (up to unique
isomorphism). For a \( n \)-gon in general, we get \((k^*)^n/k^*\) isomorphism classes, where the action of \( k^* \) is coordinate-wise multiplication. In full generality, these explicit constructions give a natural bijection between the minimal rich log structures on \( C/S \) and \( \mathbb{G}_{m}^{E}/\mathbb{G}_{m}^{T} \).

5 Comparison to Mainò

Although it will follow from Chapter 7 in [BH19] and Section 6, we will show here directly that our notion of basic 1-rich log structures on a curve coincides with the notion of enriched structures on a curve when working over an algebraically closed field. We first give maps between the sets of basic 1-rich log structures and the sets of enriched structures on a prestable curve. Throughout this section, we fix \( C/\text{Spec } k \) a prestable curve with \( k \) algebraically closed, with dual graph \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) and components \( (C_v)_{v \in V} \), and we let \( C_v^c = \bigcup_{w \neq v} C_w \). For enriched structures, we use Definition 1.1 and the equivalent following definition paraphrased from [Mai98].

**Proposition 5.1** ([Mai98, Proposition 2.14]). Let \( C/\text{Spec } k \) be as described above. Then a sequence of line bundles \( (L_v)_{v \in V} \) is an enriched structure if and only if the following two conditions hold:

1. for every vertex \( v \in V \) we have \( L_v|_{C_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_v}(-C_v \cap C_v^c) \) and \( L_v|_{C_v^c} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_v}(C_v \cap C_v^c) \);

2. the tensor product \( \prod_{v \in V} L_v \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_C \).

Now we can introduce the enriched structure associated to a basic 1-rich log structure.

**Definition 5.2.** Let \( M \) be a basic 1-rich log structure on \( C/\text{Spec } k \). Let \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) denote the dual graph of \( C \). For \( v \in V \), let \( \delta_{M,v} \) be a piecewise linear function on \( \Gamma \) taking values in \( M^{\text{gp}} \) that has slopes \(-1\) going out from \( v \) and slopes 0 everywhere else, and let \( L_v = \mathcal{O}_C(-\delta_{M,v}) \). Define \( M^{as} \) to be the family \( (L_v)_{v \in V} \).

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( M \) be a basic 1-rich log structure on \( C/\text{Spec } k \). The family \( M^{as} \) is an enriched structure on \( C \).

**Proof.** Because \( \sum_v \delta_{M,v} \) is constant, we have \( \prod \mathcal{L}_v \cong \mathcal{O}_x \). Then by Proposition 2.4.1 of [RSPW19], these \( \mathcal{L}_v \) satisfy

\[
\mathcal{L}_v|_{C_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_v}(-C_v \cap C_v^c)
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{L}_v|_{C_v^c} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_v}(C_v \cap C_v^c)
\]

and hence form an enriched structure by [Mai98, Definition 2.14].  

\[\square\]
We will now describe the map the other way. Note that given a regular smoothing $C/\text{Spec } k[[t]]$, we can put a canonical log curve structure on it. We do this by giving $\text{Spec } k[[t]]$ the log structure by the divisor $t = 0$ and $C$ the log structure by the divisor $C$. This is in fact a 1-rich log structure, and restricts to a 1-rich log structure on $C/\text{Spec } k$. Then by Proposition 4.17 this corresponds to a unique basic 1-rich log structure on $C/\text{Spec } k$.

**Definition 5.4.** Let $(\mathcal{L}_v)_v$ be an enriched structure on $C/\text{Spec } k$. Let $C/\text{Spec } k[[t]]$ be a regular smoothing such that $\mathcal{L}_v \cong O_C(C_v)|_C$. Let $(M_C, M_S)$ be the basic 1-rich log structure on $C$ induced by this regular smoothing, as described above. This is called the basic 1-rich log structure associated to $(\mathcal{L}_v)_v$.

A priori this depends on the choice of the regular smoothing, but we will show that these two procedures are actually inverses, which means the choice does not matter. To show that, we have the following two propositions.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let $C/S$ with $S = \text{Spec } k[[t]]$ be a regular smoothing of $C$. Let $N_C$ be the associated basic 1-rich log structure on $C$. Then the associated enriched structure $(O_C(-\delta_{N_C,v}))_{v \in V}$ is isomorphic to the enriched structure $(O_C(C_v)|_C)_{v \in V}$.

**Proof.** We need to show that for every $v \in V$, the line bundle $O_C(-\delta_{N_C,v})$ is isomorphic to $O_C(C_v)|_C$. We fix a $v \in V$. We introduce some other log structures: we let $M_C$ be the associated divisorial 1-rich log structure on $C/S$ and we let $M_C$ be the restriction of this to $C$.

We recall the definition of $O_C(-\delta_{N_C,v})$: we start with the exact sequence

$$0 \to O_C \to N_C^{\text{gp}} \to \overline{N_C} \to 0.$$ 

Then $\delta_{N,v}$ lives in $\overline{N_C}$, hence the inverse image in $N_C^{\text{gp}}$ is an $O_C^\times$-torsor, which corresponds to a line bundle on $O_C$ by adding the infinity section. This line bundle is then $O_C(-\delta_{N_C,v})$. Note that the image $\alpha$ of $\delta_{N,v}$ in $\overline{M_C}$ induces the same line bundle as $\delta_{N,v}$. This section $\alpha$ is the restriction of a piecewise linear function $\beta \in \overline{M_C}$. We have $O_C(-\beta)|_C = O_C(-\alpha) = O_C(-\delta_{M,v})$. Recall that $M_C$ is by definition the divisorial 1-rich log structure associated to the divisor $C$. The corresponding log structure $M_S$ on $S$ is induced by the map $N \to k[[t]]$ sending 1 to $t$. Then $\beta$ is the piecewise linear function that is 1 on $C_v$ and 0 on $C_w$ with $w \neq v$, and trivial everywhere on $C \setminus C$ (where the characteristic monoid is trivial).

Now we can compute $O_C(-\beta)$ by hand. The preimage of $-\beta$ in $M_C^{\text{gp}}$ consists of functions in the function field invertible outside of $C$ that have a simple zero at $C_i$ and are units everywhere else, which after filling in the infinity section corresponds exactly to the line bundle $O_C(C_i)|_C$.

**Proposition 5.6.** Let $M, M'$ be two basic 1-rich log structures on $C/\text{Spec } k$ such that the enriched structures $(O_C(-\delta_{M,v}))_{v \in V}$ and $(O_C(-\delta_{M',v}))_{v \in V}$ are isomorphic. Then $M$ and $M'$ are isomorphic.
Proof. By the description of $O_C(-\delta_{M,v})$ given in [RSPW19, 2.4.1] we can identify $O_C(-\delta_{M,v})|_{C_v}$ with $O_{C_v}(C_v \cap C'_v)$ and $O_C(-\delta_{M,v})|_{C_{v'}}$ with $O_{C_{v'}}(-C_v \cap C'_v)$. By the proof of [BV12, Theorem 3.6], $O_C(-\delta_{M,v})$ and $O_C(-\delta_{M',v})$ naturally come with sections $s, s'$. With the identifications made, one can check that these sections are 1 on $C_v$ and 0 on $C'_v$. This means that the line bundles with sections $(O_C(-\delta_{M,v}), s)$ and $(O_C(-\delta_{M',v}), s')$ are isomorphic. Then the Deligne–Faltings structures associated to $M$ and $M'$ as in the proof of [BV12, Theorem 3.6] are isomorphic, and per the equivalence of categories proven in [BV12, Theorem 3.6], we get that $M$ and $M'$ are isomorphic.

Corollary 5.7. Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ be a prestable curve over an algebraically closed field, and let $k \rightarrow \text{Min}(\text{LC})$ be the corresponding map. Then the set $\text{Min}(\text{RLC})_{\text{Spec } k}(k)$ of $k$-points of the fiber is in natural bijection with the set of enriched structures on $C/\text{Spec } k$.

6 A compactification

Throughout this section, we will have $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. In this section, we will give a compactification of $\text{RLC}_r$. This will be a stack, which will be called $\text{ARLC}_r$ for “almost $r$-rich log curves”, such that the corresponding map between the algebraic stacks with log structures $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \rightarrow \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ is an open dense immersion with $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ compact. We will prove the following part of the second main theorem.

Theorem 6.1. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. The stack $\text{RLC}_r$ has a canonical modular compactification, a log blowup and a sub-CFG of $\text{LC}$, which is itself represented by an algebraic stack.

For this, we will give a definition of almost $r$-richness. First we need an adequate generalisation of $r$-closeness, which we give in the following lemma.

Definition 6.2. Let $M$ be a monoid and $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ a subset of $M$. Then for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $A$ is called almost $r$-close if for any sequence $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$ of divisors of $r$ the ideal generated by all $\lambda_ia_i$ is principal, or equivalently there is a smallest element amongst all $\lambda_ia_i$.

Definition 6.3. Let $C/\text{Spec } k$ be a log curve over an algebraically closed field and let $\Gamma$ be the corresponding tropical curve. Then the edges of $\Gamma$ have a length in $M_k$, given by a function $\ell : E \rightarrow M_k$. Now let $c$ be a cut of $\Gamma$. Then $C/\text{Spec } k$ is called almost $r$-rich if for any cut in $\Gamma$, the set of edge lengths of the edges in $c$ is almost $r$-close. A log curve $C/S$ is called almost $r$-rich if it is almost $r$-rich over all strict geometric points.
Example 6.4. Any $r$-rich log curve is almost $r$-rich. In fact, any $\infty$-rich log curve is almost $r$-rich.

Example 6.5. For $r = 1$, this means that for every cut there is an edge with the smallest length among all edges in that cut. In other words, the ideal generated by the edge lengths of the edges in a cut is principal.

Example 6.6. We consider an almost 1-rich log structure on the 2-gon over $\text{Spec } k$. Note that there is only one cut of the 2-gon, cutting both edges at once. The almost richness then means that the labels of the two edges should be comparable. That means the map $f : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \overline{M}_k$ should factor through the map $i_1 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}^2, (a, b) \mapsto (a+b, b)$ or $i_2 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}^2, (a, b) \mapsto (a, a+b)$. It can also factor through both, if and only if $f(1, 0) = f(0, 1)$. Note that even if it factors through $i_1$, the resulting map $\mathbb{N}^2 \oplus k^* \to k$ is not necessarily a log structure: if the labels of the two edges are the same in $\overline{M}_k$, then it is only a pre-log structure. We see that every log structure factors uniquely through either one of the two log structures $\mathbb{N}^2 \oplus k^*$ where one of the edges has as label a unit vector and the other has $(1, 1)$, or through the log structure $\mathbb{N} \oplus k^*$ where they both have label 1. In the next example we will see that we get a bijection between minimal almost 1-rich log curves and $\mathbb{P}^1$, with the bijection sending a log structure to the “ratio” of their labels. Note that $\mathbb{P}^1$ is also the log blowup of the log point with log structure $\mathbb{N}^2$ in the ideal generated by the two unit vectors.

Example 6.7. We consider the universal deformation $C_U/U$ of the 2-gon over $k[[u, v]]$ with its minimal log structure. This is not almost rich, and in fact there are no almost rich log structures on $C_U/U$, as the labels of the edges over the closed point are already incomparable in the structure sheaf, let alone in the log structure sheaf. But we can characterise when $C/S$, the pullback along a morphism $S \to U$, is almost rich. Let $I$ be the monoid ideal generated by the labels of the two edges. By definition, $C/S$ is almost rich if and only if the pullback of $I$ has a smallest element (under the $\leq$ relation). This is exactly the universal property of the log blowup of $U$ in $I$, so almost rich curves coming from a pullback to $U$ are represented by a log blowup of $U$. The underlying scheme of this log blowup is $k[[u, v]]$ blown up in the origin.

Remark 6.8. For almost rich curves, there is no equivalent reformulation of almost richness using the terminology of circuit-connected components. The problem is that circuit-connected components do not behave well under contraction maps, and one circuit-connected component may split into several. Indeed, using that insight one can prove immediately that the CFG of log curves where on strict geometric points every circuit-connected component has a smallest edge is not compact. Cuts behave better under contraction maps, as we will see later in Lemma 6.11.

Definition 6.9. Define $\text{ARLC}_r/\text{LogSch}$ to be the sub-CFG of $\text{LC}/\text{LogSch}$ consisting of Almost $r$-Rich Log Curves.
We will prove that $\text{ARLC}_r$ is a log blowup of $\text{LC}$ using nuclear charts, as defined in [HMOP20]. We start with a few general lemmas on blowups, and then, given a nuclear chart $\mathfrak{U}$ of $\text{LC}$, write down an explicit ideal of the characteristic monoid such that $\mathfrak{U} \times_{\text{LC}} \text{ARLC}_r$ is exactly this blowup of $\mathfrak{U}$ in this ideal.

**Definition 6.10.** A nuclear chart is a nuclear curve of $\text{MLC}$ (see Definition 3.39 of [HMOP20]). This automatically comes with a strict étale map to $\text{LC}$.

In fact, all nuclear charts of $\text{LC}$ together form a strict étale cover. So to show that the map $\text{ARLC}_r \to \text{LC}$ is a log blowup, we need to show that this is the case for nuclear charts, in a way compatible with refinements of nuclear charts. The following propositions show that exactly this is the case. We start with two general lemmas on respectively cuts and blowups.

**Lemma 6.11.** Let $\Gamma = V \sqcup E$ and let $\Gamma \to \Gamma'$ be a contraction in a set of edges $S \subset E$. Then we have an inclusion $\text{Cuts}(\Gamma') \subset \text{Cuts}(\Gamma)$, with $\text{Cuts}(\Gamma') = \{ c \in \text{Cuts}(\Gamma) \mid c \cap S = \emptyset \}$.

**Proposition 6.12.** Let $S$ be a log scheme and $I_1, I_2$ two monoid ideal sheaves. Then the blowup $B$ of $\text{Bl}_{I_2} S$ in the pullback of $I_1$ is equal to the blowup $B' = \text{Bl}_{I_1 I_2}$.

**Proof.** We check that they satisfy the same universal property. The log scheme $B$ satisfies the universal property that for any $T \to B$, the pullback of $I_1$ and $I_2$ to $T$ locally have a smallest element; the log scheme $B'$ satisfies the universal property that for any $T \to B'$, the pullback of $I_1 I_2$ locally has a smallest element. Clearly, if $I_1$ and $I_2$ locally have a smallest element, then $I_1 I_2$ locally has a smallest element. Conversely, if $I_1 I_2$ locally has a smallest element $ab$ with $a \in I_1, b \in I_2$, then by $ab \leq ac$ for $c \in I_2$ we see $b$ is a smallest element of $I_2$ and similarly $a$ is a smallest element of $I_1$. \(\square\)

Now we can explicitly write down the ideal we want to blow up in.

**Definition 6.13.** Let $\mathfrak{U} \to \text{LC}$ be a nuclear chart. Let $u$ be a point of the closed stratum and $\Gamma$ the corresponding tropical curve. Then define the log ideal $I_\Gamma$ of $\mathfrak{U}$ to be

$$\prod_{e = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \in \text{Cuts}(\Gamma)} \prod_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \mid r} (\ell(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell(e_n)^{\lambda_n})$$

where $\ell(e_i)$ denotes the length of edge $e_i$ (which is defined up to multiplication with units).

**Proposition 6.14.** Let $\mathfrak{U} \to \text{LC}$ be a nuclear chart with corresponding graph $\Gamma$. Then $\mathfrak{U} \times_{\text{LC}} \text{ARLC}$ is the blowup $\mathfrak{U}$ in the ideal $I_\Gamma$. 
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Proof. Denote the blowup of \( \mathfrak{U} \) in \( I_\Gamma \) by \( \pi : \mathfrak{W} \to \mathfrak{U} \). Let \( f : S \to \mathfrak{U} \) be a morphism. Then the claim is that the natural curve \( C_S/S \) we get is almost \( r \)-rich if and only if \( S \) factors through the blowup \( \pi \). It is enough to do this for the case that \( S \) is a strict geometric point of \( \mathfrak{U} \), as both almost \( r \)-richness and factoring through the blowup can be decided on strict geometric points. So from now on, we assume that \( S \) is \( \text{Spec } k \) with \( k = \overline{k} \), and we let \( \Gamma_S \) denote the dual graph of \( C_S \). Note that \( \Gamma_S \) is a contraction of \( \Gamma \), and in particular \( E(\Gamma_S) \) is a subset of \( E(\Gamma) \). We use the notation \( \ell_s, \ell_\mathfrak{U}, \ell_\mathfrak{W} \) for the length of an edge in respectively \( S, \mathfrak{U} \) and \( \mathfrak{W} \).

Assume \( S \to \mathfrak{U} \) factors through \( \pi \). Let \( c' = \{ e_1, \ldots, e_n \} \) be a cut of \( \Gamma_S \) and \( \lambda_i \mid r \) positive integers. Then by expanding the contracted edges, \( c' \) induces a cut \( c \) of \( \Gamma \), so we see \( (\ell_S(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_S(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \) is the pullback \( f^*(\ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \). Since \( f \) factors through \( \pi \), and the pullback of \( \pi^*(\ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \) is principal by the universal property of the log blowup, we have that \( (\ell_S(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_S(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \) is principal. As this is true for any cut, we have that \( C_S \) is almost \( r \)-rich.

Now assume \( C_S \) is almost \( r \)-rich. We will prove that \( S \to \mathfrak{U} \) factors through the blowup. By the universal property it is enough to have that \( f^*(\ell(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \) is principal for any cut \( c = \{ e_1, \ldots, e_n \} \) of \( \Gamma \) and \( \lambda_i \mid r \). We consider two possibilities: either an edge in \( c \) is contracted in \( \Gamma_S \), or none are. In the first case, we see that \( f^*(\ell(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \) is trivial and hence principal. In the second case, \( c \) induces a cut \( c' \) of \( \Gamma_S \), and \( f^*(\ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) = (\ell_S(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_S(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \), which is principal by our almost \( r \)-richness assumption.

To show that these ideals are compatible with refinement, we mainly need to show that cuts behave well with respect to contractions.

**Proposition 6.15.** Given a refinement \( f : \mathfrak{U}' \to \mathfrak{U} \) of nuclear charts, with graphs \( \Gamma', \Gamma \), we have \( f^*I_\Gamma = I_{\Gamma'} \).

**Proof.** Note that we have a specialisation morphism \( \Gamma \to \Gamma' \), which contracts those edges whose length becomes 0. We then can use Lemma 6.11 to classify the cuts of \( \Gamma \) into cuts meeting a contracted edge, and cuts of \( \Gamma' \). Then for any cut \( c \) of \( \Gamma \) and any choice of \( \lambda_i \mid r \), if the specialisation morphism \( \Gamma \to \Gamma' \) contracts an edge in the cut, then \( f^*(\ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \) is the unit ideal. And if \( c \) maps to a cut \( c' \) under the contraction morphism, then \( f^*(\ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_\mathfrak{U}(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) = (\ell_\mathfrak{W}(e_1)^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \ell_\mathfrak{W}(e_n)^{\lambda_n}) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Example 6.16.** Consider the curve of arithmetic genus 1 with dual graph \( \Gamma \) a triangle, with its universal deformation \( C \) over \( S = \text{Spec } k[[x, y, z]] \) with labels of edges \( x, y, z \). Putting the trivial log structure \( k[[x, y, z]] \to k[[x, y, z]] \) on it, this is in fact a nuclear chart. We fix \( r = 1 \). In this case the ideal \( I_\Gamma \) we blow up in is the ideal \((x^2 y, x^2 z, y^2 x, y^2 z, z^2 x, z^2 y, xyz)\). As \( xyz \) is contained in the convex hull of the other generators, this is the same as the blowup in the ideal.

22
$(x^2y, x^2z, y^2x, y^2z, z^2x, z^2y)$. This blowup satisfies the universal property that the pullback of this ideal is principal.

There is a corresponding story to be told on the level of rational polyhedral cone complexes. Letting $M$ denote the monoid $\mathbb{N}^3$, the scheme $S$ corresponds to the rational cone $\sigma = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$. Then subcones of subdivisions of $\sigma$ correspond to sub CFGs of the CFG $S$ satisfying certain (in)equalities. For example, the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(1,1,1)$ corresponds to the sub CFG where all three edges have the same length. Now because all subsets of size 2 are in a cut, the sub CFG $\text{ARLC}_1 \times_{\text{LC}} S$ of $S$ is defined by the fact that the edges are pairwise comparable. That defines a subdivision of the cone, as shown in the following picture, where the subdivision has been intersected with the hyperplane $\{(a, b, c) \mid a + b + c = 1\}$.

![Figure 4: A subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$ intersected with the plane $a + b + c = 1$.](image)

Here the subdivision is given by the planes $a = b, a = c, b = c$. That means that on one side $a \geq b$, and on the other side $a \leq b$, so $a$ and $b$ are comparable. Note that the union of the vertices in this picture correspond exactly to the sub CFG $\text{RLC}_1 \times_{\text{LC}} S$.

One can also see the compatibility with generalisation in this picture. Contracting a certain edge in a tropical curve corresponds to setting a certain edge length to 0. On the cone side, this corresponds to intersecting with $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$ with $\mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}$. 
or the subdivision of the triangle in Figure 4 with one of the edges of the triangle. With this perspective one gets exactly the subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^2$ corresponding to the 2-gon, also shown in Figure 2.

Proposition 6.14 and Proposition 6.15 together form the following proposition.

**Corollary 6.17.** The stack $\text{ARLC}_r$ is the log blowup of $\text{LC}$ in the logarithmic ideal descended from the $I_\Gamma$.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** We have already shown that $\text{ARLC}_r$ has a monomorphism from $\text{RLC}_r$, and that is represented by a log blowup $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ of $\text{Min}(\text{LC})$. Log blowups are always proper ([Ogu18, Theorem 2.6.3.3]), so $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ is proper over $\text{Min}(\text{LC})$.

Next, we will prove the map $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ is an open embedding. By Proposition 4.20 and [Wis16, Theorem B.2], we have the following open embeddings of algebraic stacks

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) & \to & \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Log}(\text{RLC}_r) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Log}(\text{ARLC}_r)
\end{array}
$$

The map $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ is defined by mapping a minimal $r$-rich log curve to the unique minimal almost $r$-rich log curve lying over it, and a priori, filling in this map does not make the diagram commute. However, we claim that a minimal $r$-rich log curve already is a minimal almost $r$-rich log curve. To show this, it is enough to consider the case of a curve $C$ over a geometric point $S = \text{Spec } k$ with the dual graph having only one circuit-connected component. Let $\Gamma$ be the corresponding tropical curve with vertices $V$ and edges $E$, and let $M = \mathbb{N}^E$ denote the characteristic monoid of the minimal log structure on $S$. Then we consider a minimal $r$-rich log structure $M_r$, together with the unique minimal almost $r$-rich log structure $f : M_a \to M_r$ lying over it. Then the claim is that $f$ is an isomorphism. It is enough to check this on characteristic monoids. By the assumption that there is one circuit-connected component, we have that $\overline{M} = \mathbb{N}$. We also have a map $g : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}_a$, denoting the edge lengths. For every edge $e$ we have that $g(e) \in \mathbb{N}$ is a divisor of $r$. Also, $g$ factors through a map $h : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}_a$.

Note that for any cut $c \subset E$, by almost richness of $\overline{M}_a$, there is a minimal element $x$ among $A = \{ \frac{r}{g(e)} h(e) \mid e \in c \} \subset \overline{M}_a$. Then for all $x' \in A$, we have $x' \geq x$ or equivalently $x' - x \in \overline{M}_a$. However, by construction $\tilde{f}$ is constant with value $r$ on the set $A$, so $\tilde{f}(x' - x) = 0$. But by construction $\tilde{f} : \overline{M}_a \to \overline{M}_r$ is a sharp morphism, so we have $x' = x$ and $A$ consists of a single element. Equivalently,
r/f(e)q(e) is constant. Then as $\overline{M}_a$ and $\overline{M}_r$ are both fs and minimal we see that $\overline{M}_e = \overline{M}_r$, proving the claim.

That means that the above diagram with $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ filled in is commutative, and hence $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ is an open embedding.

Lastly, we need that the image of $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$ in $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_r)$ is dense. This follows from all our stacks having the stack of smooth curves as a dense open.

Furthermore, we will prove the stack $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_1)$ is in fact smooth. For this we first need the following lemma on graphs.

**Lemma 6.18.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Let $P$ be the set of partial orders on $E$ such that every cut of $G$ has a smallest element, and let $\leq$ be a minimal element of $P$ (i.e., such that there is no strictly weaker inequality also in $P$). Then every circuit-connected component has a unique minimal edge, and for every other edge there is a unique largest edge smaller than it.

**Proof.** We will prove this with induction to $|E|$, with the base case being trivial.

For the general case, we use that cuts always lie in a single circuit-connected component to reduce to the case where $G$ is circuit-connected. Then, as any two edges always lie in a cut together, for every two edges there is an edge that is smaller or equal to both. In particular, there is a smallest edge $m$. Clearly, every cut that contains $m$ already has a smallest element. The cuts that do not contain $m$ correspond to the cuts of the graph $G'$ where $m$ is contracted. This graph $G'$ is not necessarily circuit-connected, but by the induction hypothesis we know that this lemma holds for $G'$. Then adding the relation $m \leq e$ for the minimal $e \in E(G')$ proves our claim also holds for $G$. \[\square\]

**Example 6.19.** Figure 5 contains an example of Lemma 6.18. The graph $G$ with edge set $E = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ is described in Figure 5a. If we want a partial order on $E$ such that every cut has a smallest element, it is both necessary and sufficient that $\{a, b, c\}$ contains a smallest element and all of $\{d, e, f\}$ are pairwise comparable. One such choice might be $a \leq b, c$ and $d \leq e \leq f$. The corresponding description of $\leq$ is shown in Figure 5b.

**Corollary 6.20.** The characteristic monoid of a minimal almost 1-rich log curve over an algebraically closed field $k$ is free.

**Proof.** For this, we first need to know what the log structure of a minimal almost 1-rich log curve over a geometric point with tropical curve $\Gamma$ with edges $E$ and with set of circuit-connected components $T$ looks like. In particular, we aim to describe its characteristic monoid $\overline{M}$. Luckily, we have an explicit description of what this looks like. We pick an $f : \text{Cuts}(\Gamma) \to E$ sending a cut to one of its
smallest elements (breaking ties in a consistent way), and then we define $M_f \subset \mathbb{Z}^E$ to be the monoid generated by $\mathbb{N}^E$ and $e - f(c)$ for every cut $c$ and every edge $e \in c$. This is the characteristic monoid of a pre-log structure corresponding to $\overline{M}$, so one has to divide out by the kernel of the structure morphism $M_f \to k$.

We first give an explicit formula for $M_f$. To get this, recall that by Lemma 6.18 the pre-order generated by $f(c) \leq e$ for $e$ an edge in a cut $c$, is generated by a small set of inequalities: for each edge $e$ except the smallest ones in each circuit-connected component, take the largest one that is smaller than it $g(e) := \max\{e' : e' < e\}$, and set $g(e) \leq e$. Then we see that $M_f \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^E$ is generated by the minimal elements in every circuit-connected component, and by the elements $e - g(e)$ for all other edges $e$. In total $M_f$ has $|E|$ generators and rank $|E|$, hence it is free.

The characteristic monoid $\overline{M}$ of the logification of this pre-log structure is the quotient of $M_f$ by the kernel of the morphism $M_f \to k$. The target is a sharp monoid, so the kernel is downward closed, hence the inclusion of the kernel in $M_f$ is isomorphic to the natural inclusion $\mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^{|E|}$ for some $n \leq |E|$, so this quotient $\overline{M} = \mathbb{N}^{|E| - n}$ is also free. \hfill $\square$

We already knew the stack $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_1)$ is log étale over $\text{Min}(\text{LC})$, as it is a blowup. We then get the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.21.** The stack $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_1)$ is smooth.

*Proof.* We will use Theorem III.3.3.1 of [Ogu18], which étale locally around a geometric point $\overline{x}$ writes the map $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_1) \to \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ as a composite of a strict log étale (and hence étale) map, and the map $f_{\theta}$ which is a base change of $\mathbb{Z}[P] \to \mathbb{Z}$, where $P$ is the characteristic monoid at $\overline{x}$. By Corollary 6.20, the monoid $P$ is free, hence $\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{A}^n_\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n$, which is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}$. So in total, we get a composite of smooth maps, hence $\text{Min}(\text{ARLC}_1)$ is smooth over $\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. \hfill $\square$
7 Comparison to Holmes-Biesel

There has already been a more involved definition of a moduli space of enriched structures on curves over general schemes in [BH19], and in this section we prove that this is the same as $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$. In Theorem 5.9 of [BH19], it is proven that their stack of enriched structures on curves is isomorphic to a certain sub-stack of a universal Néron-model-admitting stack. We will give an isomorphism of $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$ to the same stack.

We recall a definition from [Hol15].

**Definition 7.1** (Definition 10.1, [Hol15]). Let $C/S$ be a nodal curve over an algebraic stack, smooth over a dense open substack $U \subset S$. Write $J$ for the Jacobian of $C_U/U$. This is an abelian scheme over $U$. A Néron-model-admitting-morphism for a curve $C/S$ is a morphism $f : T \to S$ of algebraic stacks such that

1. $T$ is regular;
2. $U \times_S T$ is dense in $T$;
3. $f^*J$ admits a Néron model over $T$.

In Corollary 10.3 of [Hol15], they define the stack $\widetilde{M}$ over $\text{Min}(\text{LC})$, the universal Néron-model admitting morphism. This stack is not quasi-compact. They define $\mathcal{M}^{\leq r}$ as the universal $r$-strongly aligned curve, and show that these are in fact Néron-model-admitting over $\text{Min}(\text{LC})$, and in fact $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_r \mathcal{M}^{\leq r}$. This definition of being $r$-strongly aligned in the case $r = 1$ closely resembles our notion of 1-richness, and the notion of being $r$-strongly aligned for some $r$ resembles our notation of being $\infty$-rich, except for the fact that their definitions do not work with log structures, and cannot be checked just on geometric points. Nevertheless, the resulting universal stacks $\mathcal{M}^{\leq 1}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ from their paper are in fact equal as we will prove to $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$ and $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty)$ respectively.

**Lemma 7.2.** For $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ the natural map $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Min}(\text{LC})$ is a Néron-model-admitting-morphism and hence factors uniquely through $\mathcal{M}$. For $r = 1$ this map factors through $\mathcal{M}^{\leq 1}$.

**Proof.** We need to prove exactly the three statements in 7.1 for the universal curve over $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$. First, note that $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$ is log smooth over Spec $\mathbb{Z}$ because for $r < \infty$ it is an open subset of a log blowup and for $r = \infty$ it has as an open cover by such sets. In particular, it is log regular. Furthermore, note that the log structure on the base of a minimal rich log curve is by definition locally free. Then by Theorem III.1.11.6 of [Ogu18] the stack $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$ is also regular.
Then as $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$ is log regular, the locus where the log structure is trivial is dense. (This locus is exactly the locus where the curve is smooth.)

The third part is a bit more complicated, as a curve $C/S$ being aligned in the notion of $[\text{Hol15}]$ (which is immediately the case if it is the underlying algebraic curve of an $\infty$-rich log curve) does not imply that a Néron model exists; there are some complications having to do with regularity. However, for this one can use $[\text{HMOP20}]$, where they do take a logarithmic approach. There is a small change of notation; in $[\text{Hol15}]$ Néron models are required to be separated, and in $[\text{HMOP20}]$ they do not. In $[\text{HMOP20}]$ they prove that (not necessarily separated) Néron models always exists for a curve over a log regular base. Furthermore by $[\text{HMOP20}, \text{Theorem 8.3}]$, as the universal curve over $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r)$ is log aligned, the Néron model is separated. Hence the third condition of a Néron-model-admitting-morphism is satisfied as well.

For the second part, by $[\text{Hol15}, \text{Definition 12.1}]$ the stack $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}$ is the largest substack of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ where the pullback of the curve is regular. Again by Theorem III.1.11.6 of $[\text{Ogu18}]$, to prove that the universal curve over $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$ is regular, we just need to show that the stalks of the characteristic monoid are locally free, as log regularity follows immediately from log smoothness. We know by the local descriptions of log structures of log curves from $[\text{Kat00}]$ that, as the base of the curve is locally free, we only need to check locally freeness at the nodes. For a geometric point $\bar{s}$ where the tropical curve has edge set $E$ and circuit-connected components $T$, and for $e \in E$ contained in $t \in T$, we need to check whether the monoid $\mathbb{N}^2 \oplus \mathbb{N}^T$ is free, where the map $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^2$ is the diagonal and the map $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^T$ sends $1$ to $1 \cdot t$ as the curve is $1$-rich. We see this monoid is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{T | T + 1}$, so indeed the universal curve over $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$ is regular, and hence $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ factors through $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}$. \qed

**Theorem 7.3.** The natural maps $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}$ and $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are isomorphisms.

**Proof.** First, we will construct maps the other way. Note that by Theorem 1.2 of $[\text{Hol19}]$ Néron-model admitting morphisms are aligned (as in $[\text{Hol15}]$). With $j : U \to \mathfrak{M}$ the embedding of the locus of smooth curves, that means the log structure $j_* \mathcal{O}_U$ is log aligned (as in $[\text{HMOP20}]$), hence this gives a map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty)$. Also, for $1$-strongly aligned curves this log structure is $1$-rich, hence this map restricts to a map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1} \to \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$.

We claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are regular and separated. To see this, we note that $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1)$ and $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty)$ are regular as by 7.2, and $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_r) \to \text{Min}(\text{LC})$ for $r < \infty$ is an open embedding followed by a log blowup, and $\text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty)$ is the limit of separated stacks. Also, all mentioned morphisms are isomorphisms on the dense locus of smooth curves. Any morphism on a
regular separated stack that is the identity on an open is the identity, which means that \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1} \) and \( \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) have respectively \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1} \to \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_1) \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \text{Min}(\text{RLC}_\infty) \) as inverses, and hence are isomorphisms.

\[\square\]
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