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Abstract

It is one of the great challenges of science to clarify when, where, why, and how the first life arose, and what the first life was. Here, assumed the conditions of life are 1) bounded, 2) replicating, 3) able to inherit information, and 4) metabolizing energy. The various hypotheses also provide little explanation of how the four conditions for life were established. It is not always clear "how" a chemical process that simultaneously satisfies all four conditions emerged after the materials for life were in place. This study considered a model "Multi-set chemical lattice model" that allows virtual molecules of multiple types to be placed in each cell on a two-dimensional space. Using only the processes of molecular diffusion, reaction, and polymerization, by modeling chemical reactions by 15 kinds of molecules and two kinds of polymerized molecules, and using the morphogenesis rule of the Turing model, it was able to model and show the process of the emergence of cell-like form having the four conditions of life. This model will allow us to revisit and refine each of the hypotheses of the birth of life in the future.

Teaser

Clarification of the conditions for emerging self-replicating boundary through the interactions of artificial molecules.

MAIN TEXT

Introduction

It is one of the great challenges of science to clarify when, where, why, and how the first life arose, and what the first life was. Toward the goal of elucidating this, research concerning the origin of life has long been conducted and a vast number of papers and books for example (1),(2) have been published. It is impossible to review all of these studies, and it is not the purpose of this paper, but only the framework can be summarized as follows. Literature references for each hypothesis and research approach have been omitted. The framework is summarized with references to literature (1) and others.
The study of "when" life came into being has been studied from two perspectives. One is to examine the geological perspective from when the Earth's environment became compatible with the survival of life, and the other is to search for the first life from the record of life from fossils sources. From examining the geology of the earth, there are various theories, such as 4.4 billion years ago, that the environment and conditions were ripe for the birth of life. There is also debate over whether it was before or after the Late Heavy Bombardment (the period between 4.1 and 3.8 billion years ago, when many meteorite impacts occurred). On the other hand, from the approach to discovering the first life from fossils, it was discovered the traces of life 3.8 billion years ago, but there are limitations to tracing fossils to the first life. In the panspermia hypothesis, which postulates the origin of life in the universe, the time of the birth of life is completely unknown.

Even excluding the panspermia hypothesis, there are many hypotheses as to "where" the first life was born, including hydrothermal vents, submarine subsurface, land-based hot springs and geysers, which have various advantages and disadvantages. In each hypothesis, many studies have been conducted to examine the materials and the physical conditions necessary for the composition of life in the proposed location.

As for "why" life emerged, there are also not a scientific hypothesis that directly answers why life emerged, but research from non-equilibrium thermodynamics, such as dissipative structure and entropy, may help answer this question. The idea of life as a physical phenomenon began with Schrödinger, who proposed "negative entropy "(3), and the dissipative structure of Prigogine(4). Considering the life as a kind of dissipative structure phenomenon, it may be possible to approach the "why" question of the origin of life by exploring the conditions to emerge dissipative structures. It is also possible that this question can be answered from the approach from complex adaptive systems or self-organizing phenomena.

Concerning "What was the first life?" and "How it came into being ?," there are many hypotheses, including the RNA world hypothesis(5). There are two major classifications: the "RNA world hypothesis" that RNA with an autocatalytic function is the first, and the "Metabolic world (chemical evolution) hypothesis (6)" that explains by the catalytic function of mineral surfaces and so on. In addition there are also other theories such as the "Protein world hypothesis (7)". On the other hand, researches are being conducted to predict the first forms of life from the oldest real living organisms.

There is also a field of research that seeks to understand the origin of life by artificially constructing life. The attempt to create the smallest cell (protocell) that has the essential function of a cell is also being conducted (8). In the field of synthetic biology, some research are also underway to synthesize life from genetic information. The Craig Bender Institute reports that it has successfully created synthetic life by synthesizing a bacterial DNA molecule and transferring it to another cell (9).

The research field of "artificial life," which seeks to understand the essential nature of life based on computer simulations, has flourished since the 1990s. In this field, there has been much research on models that behave like life from mathematical aspect. However, in this field, there are many studies that mimic biological movements and studies of the mathematical aspects that lie behind biological phenomena, but not many studies that focus on the emergence of life. A recent example of artificial life is Lenia (10). This is a
model based on Life Games that spins out life-like movements, but does not necessarily simulate the emergence of life.

As described above, there have been many verifications of the origin of life, beginning with Opalin's coacervate theory (11), and Miller's experiment (12) has led to a great deal of research examining the process of creating the materials of life, but not creating life itself. However, it is clear that life cannot be spontaneously synthesized simply by the accumulation of some high concentration of the materials necessary for life.

When considering the origin of life, it is necessary to consider the conditions to determine as the emergence of life. And for this discussion, the definition of life as a premise must first be clarified. However, the definition of life is also much debated. Avoiding discussion and literature review here, but I considered four conditions that are generally acceptable: 1) bounded, 2) replicating, 3) able to inherit information, and 4) metabolizing energy. But any one of these conditions cannot be called life. To reach the level of life, these functions must be fulfilled simultaneously.

An example of a life emergence scenario is presented in a volcanic hot spring on land, where life-supporting organic matter is concentrated and polymerized in a dry-wet cycle, while at the same time being trapped in a compartment made of fatty acids (13). However, the process by which this spherical fat retains the ability to metabolize and replicate is not clear. The same can be said for the hydrothermal vent hypothesis (14). Even if the first life was self-replicating RNA, the process how RNA acquired membranes and evolved to metabolize and self-replicate is not at all clear. The other hypotheses also provide little explanation of how the four conditions for life were established. In explanations of various hypotheses, they are vaguely explained as "the result of a long process of trial and error" or as having the function of life when they reach a "certain level of complexity". It is not always clear "how" a chemical process that simultaneously satisfies all four conditions emerged after the materials for life were in place.

The author has been studying the conditions for the simultaneous emergence of the four conditions of life using the cellular automaton model (CA). The CA model is discretization model of time and space in which the state of each lattice cell is determined by the state of its neighbors. A well-known example of CA is Conway's Life Game (15). In the author's early work, a CA model was used to realize the process of self-replication of a two-dimensional shape similar to a biological cell using only the information from neighboring lattice cells (16). However, dozens of complex transition rules were required for the cellular shape to be replicated. Next, in a virtual particle reaction field with specific particle transformations and temperature fields, we simulated the process of cell shape emergence and partial replication through a combination of particle transformations and binding (17). However, even with this model, no clear results have been obtained that allow for stable cell shape and continuous self-replicate.

On the other hand, many mathematical studies have been conducted on the phenomenon of emergence of various forms from local interactions in reaction-diffusion equations, including the Turing pattern model (18). By improving the external sum rule type CA, I have shown that various shapes such as self-replication, growth, and branching can be emerged and controlled with a small number of parameters (19). I have also shown by computer modeling that Turing patterns can be formed with time-course changes and adjacent interactions of only one type of virtual molecules (20). However, while these
models can simulate the emergence of relatively simple shapes such as spots and stripes, they cannot reproduce the emergence process of complex structural systems such as biological cells that retain genetic information and self-replicating functions.

To overcome the shortcomings of the conventional CA model, which cannot represent complex constructs such as proteins, this study considered a model that allows virtual molecules of multiple types to be placed in each cell on a two-dimensional space, as shown in Fig. 1. This model is capable of describing a wide variety of states and interactions in a limited number of lattice cell spaces, such as migration (diffusion), transformation (chemical reaction), and linkage (polymerization) of virtual molecules. Hereinafter referred to as the "Multi-set chemical lattice model".

The two-dimensional "multi-set chemical lattice model" based on the author's previous models can describe molecular diffusion, circulating reaction systems, and molecular polymerization. The parameters required for the model can also be described with the number or ratios of molecules, and can be internalized within the calculation field. This paper shows that it is possible to create a phenomenon that satisfies the four conditions of life: the emergence, maintenance, and self-replication of a cell-like boundary with the ability to retain the genetic information.

Although 15 types of artificial molecules are assumed in this model, the number of chemical reactions combinations among the 15 types molecules is enormous, and it is difficult to find the reactions among these combinations that cause life emergence phenomena. For this reason, the algorithm for generating Turing patterns identified in the author's papers (19) and (20) was modified to procedures for diffusion and reaction of 15 different virtual molecules and polymerization of virtual molecules. Using only the processes of molecular diffusion, reaction, and polymerization, this model was able to realize a process in which metabolism begins, boundaries are created, and these boundaries replicate, and retaining the information necessary for the maintenance of form (equivalent to genetic information).

This study neither provides a new hypothesis of life emergence nor determines a conventional hypothesis. However, it proposed a concrete and simple mathematical model to explain the point that until now has only been vaguely expressed as "the conditions for life have been established as a result of a long process of trial and error". If future research can reproduce the equivalent of this mathematical model in actual chemical reactions, it will lead to the possibility of true life emergence, and this study represents the first step toward that goal.

Results

Emergence of cell-like shapes and its replication patterns

In this study, 15 types of artificial molecules (from molecule 1 to molecule 15) and two types of polymerized molecules were assumed shown in Method section.

- Polymerized molecule 1: Molecule 6 and molecule 7 polymerized in the ratio of morphology parameter w. w is a parameter that controls the shape of the Turing pattern (spots, stripes, and so on), which appears in equation (1) in Method section, and it is possible to embed that information in the lattice space with polymerized molecules. The
replication of the polymerized molecule1 can then represent the propagation of genetic information.

- Polymerized molecule 2 : Polymerized molecule 2 to form the cell shape (equivalent to membrane molecules)

The calculation results of the constructed model are shown with the distribution of polymerized molecules 1 and 2. In particular, polymerized molecule 2 indicates the cellular region, and this distribution is focused on below.

The results of the time series of the distribution of polymerized molecules 2 are shown in Fig. 2A, which was calculated by the initial conditions shown in Fig. 4A and 4B, using the standard parameters shown in Table 1. The reddish color in the figures indicates the distribution of polymerized molecules2. In the initial stage of the time step, an accumulated region of polymerized molecules 2 was formed in the center of the lattice field, and then the region of polymerized molecules 2 was continuously broken up. At the same time, it was confirmed that the information of the w value was retained in the polymerized molecule 1 and transmitted to the field.

Even if the initial conditions and parameter values are the same, the pattern of cell-like patterns changes with each calculation. This is due to the fact that excess molecules that cannot be divided into the six orientations in the molecular diffusion process are assigned to the orientations by random numbers, and that there are parts where the presence or absence of molecular conversion is determined probabilistically based on reaction probabilities. Fig. 2B shows five cases of calculations with standard state initial conditions and parameters. In each calculations, the cell replication patterns differ in detail, but are similar in that the polymerized molecules2 are replicated sequentially, it is indicating that the trends of morphogenesis are the same.

The morphology parameter w of the Ishida model (19) is set by the ratio of molecules 6 and 7 in each cell. Morphology parameter w is a parameter that controls the shape of the Turing pattern (spots, stripes, and so on), which appears in equation (1) in Method section. This ratio is recorded in the polymerized molecule 1 and diffused into space. The calculation results with morphological parameter w are shown in Fig. 3A.

When the w value is small, the area of polymerized molecule 2, which is the cellular region, spreads out in a mesh-like pattern over the entire space. As the w value increases, the replication of polymerized molecules 2 becomes intermittent, and at even larger w values, the region of polymerized molecules disappears. This is the same as the result by w-value shown in Ishida (20), indicating that the cell-like shape can be determined from the w-value. It was found that the Turing pattern can be formed even with this multiset chemical model. And it was shown that cell shape replication can also be achieved by changing the conditions of chemical reactions and polymerization.

Fig. 4C shows the results with differences in the initial configuration of molecules 2 and 3. Three cases of the initial configuration of molecules 2 and 3 are shown in Fig. 4D. Observing time steps of about 50-200 in the initial stage of the calculation shows that no differences due to the initial configurations. It can be seen that a slight change of the initial placement does not create a difference in the results.
Although there are a great many possible calculation cases when considering all combinations of parameters in this model, the following cases were the ones where there was a significant change from the standard parameters.

Fig. 5A shows the morphological patterns with the number of molecules 14 in the initial arrangement. Fig. 5B also shows the morphological patterns with the number of molecules 15 in the initial arrangement. As described in the method session, after molecules 14 and 15 react with molecule 13, the polymerized molecule 2 is controlled to form when molecule 13 is present. The calculation results show that the rate of replication varies depending on the value of molecule 14 and molecule 15. It was found that the number of molecules 14 and 15 has the similar effect as the w value. Fig. 5C shows the results for different residual rates of molecule 2. If the residual rate of molecule 2 is small, molecule 2 diffuses quickly and does not form a clear diffusion difference with molecule 3, so Turing pattern is not formed. Fig. 5D shows the results with residual rates of molecule 3. In this case, on the contrary, as the residual rate of molecule 3 increases, diffusion becomes slower.

The effect of other parameters on the results is shown in the Supplementary File.

**Evaluation by Entropy**

If the molecular networks set up in Method section emerges the four conditions of life, the formation of boundaries and self-replication of cell shape can be visually and qualitatively confirmed from the distribution of polymerized molecule 2. It is also believed that quantitative evaluation will be possible by using entropy as an indicator. Looking at life from an entropic perspective, the entropy of form (entropy of molecular arrangement, herein called “morphological entropy”) is kept lower than the state in which molecules are uniformly distributed in space (the state of maximum entropy), because a specific population of molecules can form in a specific region. On the other hand, in order to maintain the form of life and keep entropy low, there is a constant inflow of high quality energy and a constant release of low quality heat energy. This increases the total entropy including the external space. Since this model is a virtual chemical reaction world, the entropy increase can be evaluated in terms of entropy production based on chemical reactions.

Fig. 6A shows the results of the time series of total entropy generation. It can be seen that entropy is continuously produced. In the entire lattice space, entropy is increasing due to continuous molecular reactions. Fig. 6B shows the time-series variation of total morphological entropy. It shows the difference from the maximum entropy state for the placement entropy at each time step, with higher values in the graph indicating lower spatial placement entropy. In the process of replication of the polymerized molecule 2, the morphological entropy is found to decrease, and the higher the spatial order, the higher the morphological entropy value.

From Fig. 6A and 6B, it can be seen that the entropy of molecular configuration becomes lower, but the entropy production increases. As the entropy of the entire lattice space increases, the configuration entropy of the polymerized molecules decreases. This is thought to reproduce the entropic conditions of a situation in which life is active. It is not
merely the formation of polymerized molecular forms, but chain of cyclic reactions of the molecules, which is considered to be the expression of metabolism. Fig. 6C shows the time series variation of morphological entropy with parameter w. When w = 0.60, the pattern structure is spread over the entire lattice space, and the value of morphological entropy is high at that time.

Discussion

The model shows that a self-replicating cell-like region (aggregate of polymerized molecules 2) can be generated by only local chemical reaction network model of virtual molecules, while retaining the information of the morphological parameter w in the form of the molecular ratio of polymerized molecule 1 in lattice field. It does not require information on distant lattice cells, as in the conventional model, and there are no externally set numbers, such as the morphological parameter w. The only numbers needed for the calculation are the number of molecules in the field and the values specific to the molecules (reaction rate and residual rate). Specifically, it is the ratio of molecules 6 and 7 and the number of molecules 14 and 15.

It was also found that these values can control the emergent morphological patterns. Furthermore, it was found that small changes in the number of molecules 14 and 15 present can control the degree of activity of division of the cell-like region. These may correspond to their functions as morphogens or hormones in living organisms. It may be possible to induce or suppress replication by locally adjusting the number of these molecules. For example, by adjusting and varying the number of the molecule 14 and w-value, it is possible to make the stational region of polymerized molecules 2 in the center of the lattice space, or to induce replication. Fig. 3B shows an example case. This figure compares the results of the standard case with the results of the calculation when the number of molecular 14 is 6 and w = 0.661.

The same can also be achieved by changing the ratio of molecules 6 and 7 in polymerized molecule 1. In this model, polymerized molecule 1 was set to replicate while maintaining the ratio of molecules 6 and 7 in polymerized molecule 1 placed under initial conditions. Therefore, the same ratio was maintained throughout the space, but it is possible to represent evolution by allowing this ratio to change through mutation or other means. For example, if an environment in which the number of molecule 14 and 15 locally fluctuates is assumed, it is thought that by mutating the ratio of molecules 6 and 7 in polymerized molecule 1 during the process of division, one that can easily survive in such an environment may be emerged. This will be the subject of future research.

Thus, while conventional CA models, such as the Ishida model(19), are set up with meta-rule and numerical values and only show the formation of abstract state patterns in lattice cell space, our model is able to present a model that is essentially different from these previous ones by considering the formation and degradation of polymerized molecules.

The evaluation by entropy value also shows that the morphology entropy of the molecular arrangement will remain low, although the entropy is constantly increasing throughout the lattice space. This would mean that in order to maintain a low morphology entropy, there is an increase in entropy production throughout the system, which would reproduce a structure equivalent to that of the entropy of living organisms. Therefore, the region where the polymerized molecule 2 accumulates in the lattice space may be considered to be "alive".
The above demonstrates the possibility that simple networks of chemical reactions can produce a phenomenon that has the basic requirements of life: the formation of domains, replication, metabolism, and the inheritance of morphological information. In the various conventional hypotheses for the emergence of life, even if the materials necessary for life were available or simple membranes were formed, it was unclear how these materials could simultaneously acquire the conditions for life. However, this model shows results in which those conditions can be acquired at the same time, so it provides a clue of the process to establish those conditions of the first life forms.

Furthermore, this model is constructed solely from molecular reactions and molecular polymerization and decomposition; if chemical reactions under similar conditions could be realized in vitro, it is possible that similar phenomena shown in this model could be produced. This may provide a pathway to consider a methodology to experimentally create life.

Thus, it is believed that using this model as a basis, the scenario of life emergence can be further clarified and contribute to the testing of each hypothesis. For example, it would be possible to draw a specific scenario by using this model to consider the process of the formation of the first RNA, which is a difficulty of the RNA world hypothesis, and to examine the process how RNA acquires metabolism and membranes. Considering the specifics, the model was able to show the formation of regions where polymerized molecules are assembled. If similar accumulation of polymerized molecules can be demonstrated experimentally, it will be possible to create phase-separated regions. In recent years, it has been shown that there are various phase-separated regions within the cell (21). A phase-separated region of polymerized molecules without membrane may have been the starting point of the first life. If a phase-separated region of macromolecules is formed, an environment favorable to dehydration reactions can be constructed within it, and the synthesis of peptides and nucleic acids may be advanced. If polymerization of peptides and nucleic acids proceeds and catalysts are generated in these regions, a reaction loop that produces the materials for membrane formation may also be created, and more reliable membranes may be formed.

Thus, if the conditions shown in this model can be realized, the region can be maintained by metabolism in the cellular region and outside, and self-replication can also be controlled. Then, if the energy inflow to sustain the reaction and the supply of raw material molecules to form the region continues, the cell-like region can be sustained. This area can be a base for the emergence of complex polymers and other materials.

As for the informer that holds the genetic information, this model also assumes a polymerized molecule with simple composition ratio of two types of molecules. Such an informant is a more likely first form than the scenario of the first appearance of RNA, which holds complex information as code. A more natural scenario would be considered that a self-replicator which carries information with the number of molecules or compositional ratio of molecules in polymerize molecules was first born.

When a sequence of such simple polymerized molecules acquire catalytic functionality incidentally, it is possible to create an efficient new reaction network in the cellular region. This can lead to the formation of membranes and the advantageous organization of specific molecular sequences. Furthermore, as some of the molecular sequences in them are processed as information, they are thought to evolve into informants that become primordial RNA. The present results do not only reinforce the RNA world hypothesis, but can also be considered in the protein world hypothesis or metabolic first hypothesis as well.
Nick Lane, in his book (22), explains the origin of life as the porous compartments inside alkaline hydrothermal vents being the first cells, where proton gradients at the acid-alkaline interface generated energy for cellular activity and produced more complex materials. However, the process how cells acquire mechanisms to control replication while retaining genetic informants after leaving the porous compartment remains ambiguous. It is thought this model could also make the Nick Lane scenario more concrete.

Kauffman, in his book (23), also discusses that the essence of life is "bound closed circuits" which concept is from the paper of Maël Montévil and Matteo Mossio (24). Here he states that a non-equilibrium self-constructing system is made possible by a chain of thermodynamic bound reactions that form a closed circuit. However, in his book, he only explains abstract concepts and does not present concrete simulations or experiments.

In this model, individual chemical reactions bind the next reaction, creating a cyclical reaction network as a whole. Then, by applying processes equivalent to Turing’s model of morphogenesis to these reaction networks, I was able to show the emergence, replication and metabolism of life forms as shown in the Results section. This model may be able to demonstrate Kauffman’s hypothesis of life emergence in a concrete simulation.

This model is currently a simple model, but as the number of molecule type increases and the degree of freedom of the reaction increases, under sufficient energy supply to sustain the reaction, new reaction paths and multiple reaction loops may be formed. This could potentially reproduce the evolution to more complex cells.

In the future, based on the results of this model, taking into account its feasibility through real chemical reactions, it is also necessary to consider the feasibility of cellular emergence in a more realistic manner, for example, by applying it to a biochemical reaction model based on molecular dynamics. In addition, it is necessary to conduct simulations in three dimensions.

In this study, by modeling chemical reactions by 15 kinds of molecules and two kinds of polymerized molecules, and using the morphogenesis rule of the Turing model, I was able to model and show the process of the emergence of cell-like form having the four conditions of life. I believe that I have constructed a model for a basic discussion of "how life constructed the four conditions of life". This model will allow us to revisit and refine each of the hypotheses of the birth of life in the future.

If you watch the video of the results of this model, you will see that the emergence and division of cell-like regions is indeed a vital biological movement, and I was able to show that such "animate" behavior can be produced by simple chains of reactions model. This animate behavior convinces us that this model captures the essence of life emergence.

**Materials and Methods**

**Model Configuration**

In this study, a model was constructed which can generate the four conditions of life from only hypothetical chains of chemical reactions and molecular polymerization reactions. In order to simulate life emergence, the computational model must be capable of handling molecular behavior and chemical reactions. Molecular Dynamics (MD) is a model for calculating the behavior of molecules, but it requires a large amount of computation just to calculate the behavior of a small number of molecules because it solves each individual molecule mechanically. This method can only calculate a very limited time within a very limited space. There are coarse-grained models of MD, but even these are limited in the spatio-temporal domain they handle, making it difficult to compute a single whole living
cell. For this reason, it is necessary to reduce the number of degrees of freedom to reduce
the computational complexity.

From a macro perspective, it is common to express the number of molecules in terms of
concentration, which is a continuous quantity, and to express changes in concentration
over time using differential equations. However, in many cases, such as in cells, a
relatively small number of molecules or their polymers express important functions, and
there are limitations to using concentration alone.

The approach used in this study is based on a discrete lattice model in which space is
divided into lattices. The CA model is a typical lattice model, but with one state per cell
(although some models have multiple fractional states), the CA model has difficulty
handling multiple types of molecules, making it difficult to handle complex chemical
reactions and molecular structures.

Therefore, in this model, the model allows multiple molecules of multiple types to be
placed in each cell. The use of this model makes it possible to represent the behavior of a
wide variety of molecules.

The computational representation of chemical reactions of molecules has been modeled as
a multiset chemical model, which is also known as "artificial chemical model" (25).
Multiset is the concept of a mathematical class plus multiplicity. Using the multiset
concept, it is possible to construct a model that takes into account the number of
molecules as well as the type of molecules. For example, considering molecule a and
molecule b, a multiset representation of the state with three molecules a and two
molecules b would be \{a, a, a, b, b\}. When these molecules transform molecule a and
molecule b into molecule c through chemical reactions, it can be expressed by the
following multiset rewriting rule.
\{a, a, a, b, b\} → \{ a, c, c \}
The rewriting rule can also be set to occur with a certain reaction probability. Once the
rewriting rule and the reaction probability of the chemical reaction is determined, this
modeling allows the number of molecules to be rewritten.

In this model, a two-dimensional lattice cell space is considered, virtual molecules are
placed in each cell, and the reaction of the molecules in each cell is based on the idea of a
multiset rewriting rule, where the transition of molecular species occurs stochastically.
Thus, this model is a "lattice-type multiset chemical model," and the model was
considered by a two-dimensional lattice model. 3D models are the subject of future
research. An overview of the model is shown in Fig. 7A. In each cell, the number of
molecules is recorded for each molecular species. The following is modeling method of
the diffusion and reaction processes of each molecule on the cell.

As shown in Fig. 7A, molecular diffusion can be represented by the exchange of
molecular numbers between adjacent cells. In this model, the diffusion of molecules and
polymerized molecules in each cell is represented by the following process, as shown in
Fig. 7A. The process of replacing molecules from cell which has a large number of
molecules to neighbor cell which have a small number of molecules is molecular
diffusion. The residual rate was defined here as an alternative parameter to the diffusion
coefficient.
The residual ratio \( r \) is the parameter of each molecular kinds, which is the fraction of unmoved molecules in each cell. The parameter \( r \) is a molecule-specific attribute value, fixed for all cells and all time-steps. As shown in Fig.7A, the following calculations are performed, where \( b_{n,t} \) is the number of molecules in cell \( n \) at time \( t \): 1) A proportion, \( b_{n,t} \times (1 - r) \times 1/6 \), of the molecules diffuse toward the six adjacent cells evenly. 2) The residual, \( b_{n,t} \times r \), molecules remain in the original cell. If the number of molecules is not an integer multiple of 6, the remainder of the molecules are distributed between adjacent cells with equal probability.

Next, as shown in Fig.7B, chemical reactions can be represented by rewriting the number of molecular types based on reaction probabilities, it’s based on the idea of the multiset rewriting rule. In this model, 15 types of molecules were assumed to replace the algorithm of previous studies of the Ishida model (19)(20) with molecular reactions, as described below. Since this is an artificial chemical model, it does not correspond to real molecules, and molecular species are represented as "molecule 1", "molecule 2", .... The chemical reaction was then assumed to occur at each time step with a certain probability of change in the number of molecules in each cell, as shown in Fig.7B. For example, in the case of a reaction from molecule \( A \) to molecule \( B \), molecule \( A \) is converted to molecule \( B \) with the reaction probability \( p \). Assuming that the number of molecules \( A \) at time \( t \) is \( A_n \) and the number of molecules \( B \) is \( B_n \), the number of molecules at the next time step is as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{n,t+1} &= A_{n,t} \times (1 - p) \\
B_{n,t+1} &= B_{n,t} + A_{n,t} \times p
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, concerning reaction \( Molecule \ A + Molecule \ B \rightarrow Molecule \ C + Molecule \ D \), it is conducted with increase or decrease of the number of molecules according to the reaction probability. In this way, chemical reactions will be described the change in the number of molecules of each molecular species.

The 15 kind molecules set up in the model have the following roles.
- Molecule 1; Molecule that is the material to be converted into each molecule. (Initially, a large number of them are placed in the lattice space.)
- Molecule 2, Molecule 3; Molecules corresponding to diffusing substances in the Turing pattern model. (The difference of diffusion coefficients is expressed by the difference in their residual rate.)
- Molecule 4, Molecule 5; substances that change from Molecules 2 and 3 during diffusion, respectively.
- Molecule 6, Molecule 7; Molecules that are materials that constitute "polymerized molecule 1". Polymerized molecule 1 is a polymer of molecules 6 and 7, and the ratio of molecules 6 and 7 represents the morphology parameter \( w \).
- Molecules 8, 9, 10, 11; Molecules for describing the transition equation of the Ishida model (19)(equation (1) described below) in chemical reactions.
- Molecule 12; Molecule that is the material that makes up the "polymerized molecule 2" that represents the boundary of the cell.
- Molecules 13, 14, 15; Molecules for describing the transition equation of the Ishida model (equation (2) described below) in chemical reactions.

A large number of Molecule 1 that is the material to be converted into each type of molecule initially are placed in the lattice cell space. Then, it was modeled that molecule
1 distributed in lattice space stochastically changes to other molecules. These chemical reactions are assumed to change into several molecular species and then back to molecule 1, creating a cyclic chemical reactions world. For example,

\[
\text{Molecule 1} \rightarrow \text{Molecule 2} \rightarrow \text{Molecule 3} \\
\text{Molecule 3} + \text{Molecule 4} \rightarrow \text{Molecule 5} + \text{Molecule 6} \\
\text{Molecule 6} \rightarrow \text{Molecule 1}
\]

Within the lattice space, the creation of new molecules is not assumed, and the total number of molecules combined with molecular types is assumed constant.

Furthermore, macromolecules such as cell membranes and genes play an essential role in the emergent processes of life, and for this reason, methods to represent molecular polymers are necessary. In this model, it was considered a virtual box that is filled with virtual molecules collectively, as shown in Fig.7C. The virtual empty box in the space was placed to wait the polymerization of molecules when the box was filled with molecules. When the box is emptied after removal of molecules from the box, it represents a state in which the polymerized molecules are broken down and returned to small molecules. Furthermore, the box itself was modeled to be diffuse in the lattice space.

**Conditions for chemical reactions to guide cellular emergence**

Based on the model foundation above, multiple types of molecules are placed in lattice cell space to calculate diffusion and chemical reactions processes. However, as the number of molecules types increases, the number of possible reaction path combinations increases enormously, and it becomes difficult to find the combination that will cause the desired reaction (the objective of creating a cell shape that meets the conditions of life).

In this study, I used the algorithms of Ishida(19) and Ishida(20) model to emerge Turing patterns. Replacing these algorithms with equivalent molecular reactions, it was set up the molecular species and chemical reactions. The algorithm for the formation of cellular regions in Ishida (19) and Ishida (20) is based on the transformation of the differential equation of the Turing pattern into the transition rule of the CA model. The models of Markus et al. (26) and Young (27) are the Turing patterns models with CA model. The Young model has been proposed as a simple model for reproducing animal body patterns. Ishida (19) is an applied model of the Young model.

Young’s model uses a real number function (Fig.8A) to derive distance effects, with two constant values, \(u_1\) (positive) and \(u_2\) (negative). The calculation begins by randomly distributing black cells on a rectangular grid (Fig.8B). Then, for each cell at position \(R_0\) in the two-dimensional field, the next cell state (black or white) of \(R_0\) results from the sum of the function values of black cells at \(R_i\) positions. \(R_i\) is assumed to be a black cell within a radius \(r_2\) from the \(R_0\) cell, and \(i\) is the number of black cells with radius \(r_2\) from \(R_0\). The function \(v(r)\) is a continuous step function as shown in Fig.8A. The activation area, indicated by \(u_1\), has a radius of \(r_1\), and an inhibition area, indicated by \(u_2\), has a radius of \(r_2\) \((r_2 > r_1)\) (Fig.8B). At position \(R_0\), when \(R_i\) is assumed to be a grid within \(r_2\), function \(v(|R_0 - R_i|)\) values are summed. Function \(|R_0 - R_i|\) indicates the distance between \(R_0\) and \(R_i\). If \(\sum_i v(|R_0 - R_i|) > 0\), the grid cell at point \(R_0\) is marked as a black cell. If \(\sum_i v(|R_0 - R_i|) < 0\), the grid cell becomes a white cell. If \(\sum_i v(|R_0 - R_i|) = 0\), the grid cell does not change state(27). Young showed that a Turing pattern can be generated using these functions. Spot patterns or striped patterns can be created with relative changes in \(u_1\) and \(u_2\).
Ishida (19) modified this Young model and converted it into the following equivalent model. It has been shown that Turing patterns emerge even with this model. In Young’s model, let \( u_1 = 1 \) and \( u_2 = w \) (here \( 0 < w < 1 \)). If the state of the cell is set to 0 (white) or 1 (black), this model can be arranged as indicated below. The state of cell \( i \) is expressed as \( c_i(t) \) (\( c_i(t) = [0, 1] \)) at time \( t \). The following state \( c_i(t + 1) \) at time \( t + 1 \) is determined by the states of the neighboring cells. Here, \( N_1 \) is the sum of the states of the domain within the \( s_1 \) mesh of the focal cell. Similarly, \( N_2 \) is the sum of the states of the domain within the \( s_2 \) mesh of the focal cell, assuming that \( s_1 < s_2 \).

\[
N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} c_i(t),
\]

\[
N_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{s_2} c_i(t).
\]

Here, \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are the numbers of cells within \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) meshes of focal cells, respectively. In addition, \( s_2 = 2 \times s_2 \) was assumed in the paper (19). The next time-state of the focal cell is determined using expression (1). Here, there are two parameters, namely, \( w \) and \( s \), that determine the Turing pattern.

\[
\text{Cell state at the next time step} = \begin{cases} 
1: & N_1 > N_2 	imes w \\
\text{Unchanged}: & N_1 = N_2 	imes w \\
0: & N_1 < N_2 	imes w
\end{cases} \tag{1}
\]

Furthermore, in the Ishida model (19), two parameters \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are used to modify expression (1) to transition to state 1 only in a specific range as follows. In this way, Ishida (19) shows that not only simple Turing patterns, but also a variety of dynamic patterns, like Conway's life game, are emergent.

\[
\text{Cell state at the next time step} = \begin{cases} 
0: & N_1 > N_2 	imes w_2 \\
1: & N_1 > N_2 	imes w_1 \\
\text{Unchanged}: & N_1 = N_2 	imes w_1 \\
0: & N_1 < N_2 	imes w_1
\end{cases} \tag{2}
\]

\[
N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i(t)
\]

\[
N_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i(t)
\]

Here, \( 1 > w_2 > w_1 > 0 \), and \( N_1 \) is the sum of the states of the domain within \( s \) meshes of the focal cell. Similarly, \( N_2 \) is the sum of the states of the domain within \( t \) meshes of the focal
cell, assuming that $s < t$. $S$ is the number of cells within $s$ meshes from focal cell. $T$ is the number of cells within $t$ meshes from focal cell. As with the Young model, we used “Unchange” as the equality in expression (2) to prevent all cells becoming state 1 or 0 in the next time step when $N_1 = 0$ and $N_2 = 0$.

However, in the Yong model and Ishida's modified model, it is a simple algorithm, but in order to determine the transition of a particular cell, it is necessary to accumulate the information of distant cells within a certain range, such as $N_1$ and $N_2$ above. If the transition model depends on information from these distant cells, it is difficult to replace that algorithm with a model of multiset chemical reactions. A model is needed in which transitions of a cell are determined solely by information within that cell and its neighbors.

The other model proposed by Ishida(20) does not use information from distant cells, and the token changes in 16 steps as shown in Fig.8C, indicating that Turing patterns can be generated only by exchanging information with neighboring cells. However, token’s 16-step change model can be done theoretically by assuming 16 chemical reactions, but the model becomes complicated. The Ishida(20) model also determines the state of the space according to the number of tokens in each stage of each cell after the tokens have diffused for a certain period of time. The diffusion process and the transition decision process are separated in time, and the token placement is also reset, making it not a continuous time reaction model. In addition, a decision routine involving a shape parameter $w$ by the number of tokens is conducted, and this part needs to be expressed in chemical reactions.

In this research model, the process of diffusion is assumed to be the diffusion of molecules with two different diffusion coefficients in accordance with the Turing pattern model rather than the token change model. As described below, I considered two types of molecules with different diffusion coefficients and used the process in which these molecules are transformed into another molecule during diffusion.

The chemical reactions in this model is described below. As an initial condition, 1,000,000 molecules of molecule1, 50,000 molecules of molecule 6 and 7, and 100,000 molecules of molecule 12 were placed in each cell throughout the lattice space. In addition, 100 molecules of molecule 2 and 3 were placed in several cells in the center of lattice space as the initial arrangement. Molecules 2 and 3 correspond to the two types of diffusing substances in the Turing pattern model. Molecules 2 and 3 then diffuse into adjacent cells at each time step, while simultaneously changing into molecules 4 and 5 at a constant reaction rate.

(Reaction)

Molecule 2 $\rightarrow$ Molecule 4 (Reaction rate 5%)
Molecule 3 $\rightarrow$ Molecule 5 (Reaction rate: 2%)

The reaction probability is set higher than that of molecule 3 $\rightarrow$ 5 in order to allow molecule 2 to react quickly at short distances and change to molecule 4. The residual rates were set as molecule 2 = 0.75 and molecule 3 = 0.05, with molecule 2 diffusing slowly and molecule 3 spreading quickly. This results in a higher concentration of molecule 4 at short distances and a higher concentration of molecule 5 at long distances, reproducing the same situation as the two types of morphogens with different diffusion coefficients in the Turing pattern model.
Next, reactions were set up to produce as many molecules 8, 9, and 11 as the number of molecules 4 and 5 in each cell. Molecules 8, 9, and 11 are used to determine the formation of polymerized molecule 2 through the reactions described below. In expression (1) of Ishida(19), molecule 4 (and 8) corresponds to $N_1$ in expression (1) and molecule 5 (and 9) corresponds to $N_2-N_1$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Reaction)} \\
Molecule \, 4 + Molecule \, 1 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 4 + Molecule \, 8 \quad (\text{Reaction rate; 100\%}) \\
Molecule \, 4 + Molecule \, 1 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 4 + Molecule \, 11 \quad (\text{Reaction rate; 100\%}) \\
Molecule \, 5 + Molecule \, 1 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 5 + Molecule \, 9 \quad (\text{Reaction rate; 100\%}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Molecules 8 and 9 react to molecule 10 with the ratio of molecule 6 in polymerized molecule 1. In expression (1), the number of molecule 10 corresponds to $N_2\times w$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Reaction)} \\
Molecule \, 8 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 10 \quad \text{(reacts in the ratio of molecule 6 in polymerized molecule 1)} \\
Molecule \, 9 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 10 \quad \text{(reacts at the ratio of molecule 6 in polymerized molecule 1)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here molecules 8 and 9 are reset to molecule 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Reaction)} \\
Molecule \, 8 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 1 \quad (\text{Reaction rate; 100\%}) \\
Molecule \, 9 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 1 \quad (\text{Reaction rate; 100\%}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

The following reaction leaves whichever of molecules 10 and 11 is more, and the other is reduced to molecule 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Reaction)} \\
Molecule \, 10 + Molecule \, 11 &\rightarrow Molecule \, 1 + Molecule \, 1 \quad (\text{Reaction rate; 100\%}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

In each cell, the presence of this molecule 11 is the same as the determination of the transition expression (1). The number of molecular 4 corresponds to the number of state 1 cells ($N_1$) in the expression (1). Molecule 10, which was reduced from molecules 8 and 9 with the ratio of molecule 6 in polymerized molecule 1, corresponds to ($N_2 \times w$). The number of molecules 10 are compared to the number of molecules 11 (the same number as molecule 4). If there are molecules 11 in lattice cell, then same condition of $N_1 > (N_2 \times w)$ is satisfied. To be equivalent to expression (1), a series of reactions must proceed quickly in a short time, and for this reason, both reaction rates are set to 100\%.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Synthesis reaction of polymerized molecule 1)} \\
\text{If molecule 11 is present in the cell and there is an empty box, the polymerized molecule 1 is synthesized in the same molecule 6/molecule 7 ratio of the other polymerized molecules}
\end{align*}
\]
1 in the cell. The polymerized molecule 1 has the role of an informant and holds information of the morphological parameters (ratio of molecule 6/molecule 7; this corresponds to $w$ in expression (1)). As polymerized molecules 1 are synthesized and diffuse into the lattice space, information is propagated outside the cellular domain. This is the prototype of genetic information. The transmission method of genetic that determines cell shape differs from that of real life forms, where genetic information is stored only within the biological cell, but it is more appropriate for early unstable cells to use informant filled in surrounding space. Thus, the morphological parameter $w$ in the Young model and Ishida model(19)(20) is embedded in the lattice space in the form of the ratio of molecules in the polymerized molecule 1.

Furthermore, in the self-replication control model of Ishida(19), it has been found that by modifying the transition formula as in expression (2), cell shape replication can be emerged and various pattern formations can be controlled. In order to achieve the equivalent action of this model, the chemical reaction equivalent to the algorithm in expression (2) was set up as follows.

(Reaction)
\[
\text{Molecule } 14 + \text{Molecule } 11 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 14 + \text{Molecule } 1 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 15 + \text{Molecule } 11 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 15 + \text{Molecule } 13 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 13 + \text{Molecule } 11 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 11 + \text{Molecule } 11 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]

Molecules 14 and 15 were placed uniformly three and nine molecules in each cell respectively. The above three reactions result in a process where molecule 13 remain when there are more than 4 molecules and less than 18 (9 x 2) molecules of molecule 11.

Now, the algorithm corresponding to expression (2), in which the reaction proceeds when the number of molecules is within a certain range, can be realized in molecular reactions. It was further assumed that when molecule 13 is present, polymerized molecule 2, which corresponds to the membrane molecule, is generated, while at the same time new molecules 2 and 3 are generated from molecule 1.

(Reaction)
\[
\text{Molecule } 11 + \text{Molecule } 1 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 11 + \text{Molecule } 2 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 11 + \text{Molecule } 1 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 11 + \text{Molecule } 3 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 13 + \text{Molecule } 1 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 13 + \text{Molecule } 2 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 13 + \text{Molecule } 1 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 13 + \text{Molecule } 3 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 14 + \text{Molecule } 1 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 14 + \text{Molecule } 2 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]
\[
\text{Molecule } 14 + \text{Molecule } 1 \rightarrow \text{Molecule } 14 + \text{Molecule } 3 \text{ (Reaction rate: 100\%)}
\]

(Synthesis reaction of polymerized molecule 2)

If there are 13 molecules in the cell and an empty box, 100 molecules of molecule 1 2 are linked together to synthesize polymerized molecule 2. While CA-based models such as the Young model and the Ishida model(19)(20), lattice cells state were color-coded with a sign of 0 and 1, this model represents the state of a lattice cell by the presence of polymerized molecule 2, which can represent cell shape by the localized clustering of polymerized molecules 2.
After the above reactions proceed in each cell, molecular removal reactions are assumed to proceed in order to establish cyclical reaction networks.

(Reaction)

Molecule 4 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 5%)
Molecule 5 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 5%)
Molecule 8 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 100%)
Molecule 9 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 100%)
Molecule 10 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 5%)
Molecule 11 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 5%)
Molecule 13 → Molecule 1 (Reaction rate; 75%)

It is also natural for polymerized molecules to decompose at a certain rate. Polymerization molecule 1 was set not to decompose in this study for simplicity. For the degradation of polymerized molecule 2, if molecule 13 is not present, there is a 5% probability that it will be degraded back to molecule 12.

By setting up the molecular diffusion and reaction in each lattice as described above, it is possible to realize algorithms similar to the Ishida models and to generate a variety of forms including Turing patterns. The system then sets up a cyclical reaction path where molecules decompose at a certain ratio and return to molecule 1, and this chain of reactions is thought to be modeled metabolism at the same time. The whole reaction pathways are shown in Fig.9.

On the other hand, the energy balance is assumed to be uniform for all reactions because of artificial molecules reactions. It is also assumed that the lattice space is sufficiently energized for chemical reactions to proceed. Therefore, if the molecules necessary for the reaction are present in each cell, the reaction is assumed to proceed with the probability indicated by the reaction rate.

**Method of Evaluation by Entropy**

**Evaluation equation for morphological entropy**

The entropy of the molecular configuration can be calculated from the number of cases W of molecular configuration by the formula \( S = k \log W \). The number of cases of \( m \) molecules to be distributed equally among \( X \) spatial cells is

\[
\frac{m!}{(m/X)!} X.
\]

Applying Stirling's formula; \( \log n! \sim n \log n - n \)

Maximum configuration entropy = \((m \log m - (m)-(m/X)\log(m/X)-(m/X))X,\)

this is the maximum value of morphological entropy. Using this maximum configuration entropy as a reference, we calculated the configuration entropy of the state in which molecules are assembled at a specific location in the spatial field, and evaluated the morphological entropy by the difference between the two values.

\[
\text{Configuration entropy} = (m \log m - m) - \sum X \{(UX)\log(UX) - (UX)}
\]

Here \( UX \) is the number of molecules in each cell.
Morphological entropy = Maximum configuration entropy - Configuration entropy

Evaluation formula for entropy production

The amount of entropy production will be the sum of entropy production by diffusion and entropy production by chemical reactions. The formulation of entropy production by diffusion and chemical reactions is as follows.

The general formulation of entropy production by diffusion is as follows.

$$ S_D = \int V \sigma\, dV = \int V k \frac{D}{C} (\nabla C)^2 \, dV $$

$D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $C$ is the concentration, and $k$ is Boltzmann's constant. Since the cell space is a virtual field, $k = 1$ and $D = 1$ were assumed. Since $\nabla C$ is the gradient of concentration, it corresponds to the difference in the number of molecules between neighboring cells. From this, the entropy production in the cell space can be expressed by the following equation.

$$ S_D = \sum_x \text{(difference in number of molecules between adjacent cells)}^2 / \text{number of molecules} $$

The formulation of a typical entropy production from chemical reaction is as follows.

$$ S_R = \int V \sigma\, dV = \int V k \ln \frac{v_+}{v_-} (v_+ - v_-) \, dV $$

$v_+$ represents the rate of the forward reaction, $v_-$ the rate of the reverse reaction, and entropy production follows the rate of reaction. The change of entropy with chemical reactions varies in a complex manner depending on the type of reaction, but since this model uses an artificial reaction model, the reaction from molecule 1 to other molecular species is assumed to increase entropy production by one unit for each reaction. The reaction to molecule 1 from another molecule was then assumed to be a reduction in entropy production by one unit per reaction. The total amount of entropy production of the spatial lattice field was calculated by summing the values of each entropy production.

$$ S_R = \sum_x \text{(Number of reactions that do not return to molecule 1 - Number of reactions from each molecule back to molecule 1)} $$

Model Implementation

The model was programmed in JavaScript language. The program consists of a loop with an initialization part including variables setting, a part for calculating chemical reactions and diffusion, and a part for drawing. The flow of the calculation is shown in Fig.S1.

Configuration of lattice cell grids

This model used 2D hexagonal grids wherein the transition rules are simple to apply. Square grids are commonly used in 2D CA, however, a hexagonal grid is isotropic, whereas a square grid is not. Since this model includes the process of distributing artificial molecules to adjacent cells, it is simpler to apply when the distances between adjacent cells are equal. This model can also be applied to a square grid, but the pattern that is created is not isotropic. This models were implemented under the following conditions:
- Calculation field: 100 × 100 cells in hexagonal grids
- Periodic boundary condition

**Conditions of calculations**

Molecular diffusion can be controlled by residual rate of molecule and the following values were set as the standard case in this model. The higher the residual rate, the higher the percentage of molecules remaining in the lattice cell. The smaller the value, the more quickly diffused.

(Residual rate of standard case)

Molecule 1 = 0.0
Molecule 2 = 0.75
Molecule 3 = 0.05
Molecule 4 = Equivalent to Molecule 2
Molecule 5 = Equivalent to Molecule 3
Molecule 6 = 0.0
Molecule 7 = 0.0
Molecule 8 = 1.0
Molecule 9 = 1.0
Molecule 10 = 1.0
Molecule 11 = 1.0
Molecule 12 = 0.0
Molecule 13 = 1.0
Molecule 14 = 1.0
Molecule 15 = 1.0
Polymerized molecule 1 = 0.1
Polymerized molecule 2 = 0.75

**Initial conditions**

As an initial condition, molecule 1 was placed throughout the lattice with 1,000,000 molecules in each cell, molecule 6 and 7 with 50,000 molecules, and molecule 12 with 100,000 molecules. In addition, place 100 molecules of Molecule 2 and 3 in some cells in the center area of lattice field (Fig. 4A). In addition, ten polymerized molecule 1, which was polymerized with ratio molecules 6 and 7 with shape parameter w, were placed in the central region of the space as shown in Fig. 4B.

For the determination of the standard case value of each parameter, the parameters were adjusted through several trials and errors, referring the values of the Ishida model. Table 1 shows the values of each parameter for the standard case and the settings for the calculation case with modified parameters for the standard case.
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Figures and Tables

![Multi-set chemical lattice model](image)

**Fig. 1. Schematic overview of the "Multi-set chemical lattice model".** This model allows multiple virtual molecules of multiple types to be placed in a single cell on two-dimensional lattice space, and is capable of describing a wide variety of states
and interactions in a limited number of cells, such as diffusion, chemical reaction and polymerization of molecules.

Fig. 2. Time series results of emergence patterns and five examples of calculations with standard parameters. (A) This figure shows the time series results distribution of polymerized molecules 1 and 2 with standard parameters. The reddish color in the figure indicates the distribution of polymerized molecules 2. (B) Since random numbers are used in the diffusion and reaction processes, the detailed patterns change by calculation, but the trend of patterns formation tends to be the same.

Fig. 3. Result of morphological patterns with morphological parameter w. (A) The standard case is \( w = 0.650 \). When the \( w \) value is small, the area of polymerized
molecule 2, which is the cellular region, spreads out in a mesh-like pattern over the entire space. As the w value increases, the replication of polymerized molecules 2 becomes intermittent, and at even larger w values, the region of polymerized molecules disappears. (B) Left side of the figure is the results for the standard case at w=0.650. Right side of the figure is the results in which the single cell shape is maintained (w = 0.661 and the number of molecules 14 is 6 for the standard parameters set).

Fig. 4. Initial configuration of molecules and polymerized molecules and Calculation results of the cases with different initial configurations of molecules 2 and 3. (A) It was placed 100 molecules of Molecule 2 and 3 in some cells in the center area of lattice field. (B) Ten polymerized molecule 1, which was polymerized with ratio molecules 6 and 7 with shape parameter w, were placed in the central region of the lattice space. (C) Observing time steps of about 50-200 in the initial stage of
the calculation shows that no differences due to the initial values. (D) Three cases of the initial configuration of molecules 2 and 3.

**Fig. 5. Morphological patterns with the change of parameters.** (A) Morphological patterns change with the number of molecule 14 in the initial conditions. Each image is the result of time step 1000 and 2000 for each condition. This calculation
results show that the rate of replication varies depending on the initial number of molecule 14. It was found that the number of molecule 14 has the similar effect as the $w$ value. (B) Morphological patterns change with the number of molecule 15 in the initial conditions. Each image is the result of time step 1000 and 2000 for each condition. This calculation results show that the rate of replication varies depending on the initial number of molecule 15. It was found that the number of molecule 15 has the similar effect as the $w$ value. (C) Morphological patterns change with residual rates of molecule 2. Each image is the result of time step 1000 and 2000 for each condition. When the residual rate of molecule 2 is small, molecule 2 diffuses quickly and does not form a clear diffusion difference with molecule 3. (D) Morphological patterns change with residual rates of molecule 3. Each image is the result of time step 1000 and 2000 for each condition. When the residual rate of molecule 3 increases, diffusion becomes slower.
Fig. 6. Evaluation by Entropy. (A) Time-series of entropy production at standard parameters. It can be seen that entropy is continuously produced. In the entire lattice space, entropy is increasing due to continuous molecular reactions. (B) Time-series variation and pattern of morphological entropy at standard parameters. It shows the difference from the maximum entropy state for the placement entropy at each time step, with higher values in the graph indicating lower spatial placement entropy. In the process of replication of the polymerized molecule 2, the shape entropy is found to decrease, and the higher the spatial order, the higher the entropy value. (C) Time-series variation and pattern of morphological entropy with parameter w. When w = 0.60, the pattern structure is spread over the entire lattice space, and the value of morphological entropy is high at that time.

Fig. 7. Schematic of molecules diffusion, chemical reaction and virtual box model to represent the state of polymers. (A) Molecular diffusion can be represented by the exchange of molecular numbers between adjacent cells. (B) Chemical reactions is represented by rewriting the number of molecular types based on reaction probabilities. Figure shows one example of the case of a reaction from molecule a to molecule b with reaction rate \( p \). (C) It was assumed a virtual box that is filled with virtual molecules collectively. The empty box in the lattice space was placed to represent the polymerization of molecules when the box was filled with molecules. When the box is emptied after removal of molecules from the box, it represents a state in which the polymerized molecules are broken down and returned to small molecules.
Fig. 8. Outline of Young’s model (27) and Ishida (20)’s token model. (A) In Young’s model, function $v(r)$ is a continuous step function representing the activation area and inhibition area. (B) In Young’s model, the activation area has a radius $r_1$, and the inhibition area has an outer radius $r_2$. (C) In a two-dimensional hexagonal grid, two-state cells (black and white) are assumed. The illustration shows the modification process of the tokens that are produced in the black cells. The number labeling the token is modified up to $X$ times in each cell, and some tokens remain in their cells without modification. The frequency distribution of token numbers determines the subsequent state of each cell. Here $X$ is the maximum number that can be attached to a token and is a positive integer.
Fig. 9. Chemical reactions network map. This figure summarizes the network of chemical reactions in this model. Molecular diffusion and a cyclic network of molecular reactions were constructed to create an algorithm identical to the Ishida model (19). These reaction networks allow for the formation of cell-like shapes and the emergence of self-replication.
Table 1. Standard parameters set and the settings for the calculation cases with modified parameters for the standard case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focused parameter</th>
<th>Standard set of parameters</th>
<th>Modified parameters for the standard case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter w</td>
<td>0.650 0.575 0.600 0.625 0.650 0.675 0.700 0.725</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial arranged number of mol. 14</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial arranged number of mol. 15</td>
<td>7 8 9 10 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial configuration of mol. 2 and mol. 3</td>
<td>Case1</td>
<td>Case1 Case2 Case3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual rate of mol. 2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.65 0.70 0.75 0.80 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual rate of mol. 3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01 0.05 0.10 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction rate of mol. 2 → mol. 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 5 7 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction rate of mol. 3 → mol. 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 2 3 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual rate of polymerized molecule 1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual rate of polymerized molecule 2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.65 0.75 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal rate of mol. 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 5 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal rate of mol. 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 5 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal rate of mol. 10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 5 7 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal rate of mol. 11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 5 7 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal rate of mol. 13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>55 65 75 80 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Additional results

Fig. S2 shows the morphological change with different reaction probabilities from molecule 2 to molecule 4, and Fig. S3 shows the morphological change with different reaction probabilities from molecule 3 to molecule 5. It can be seen that the morphological pattern can be changed even by controlling the reaction probability of these molecules.

Fig. S4 shows the morphological change with the residual rate of polymerized molecule 1, which is molecule 6 and molecule 7 polymerized in the ratio of shape parameter w. Differences in residual rate affect the distribution of green cells in the figures, but the effect of residuals is not considered significant.

Fig. S5 shows the morphological change with the residual rate of polymerized molecule 2, which is molecule 12 polymerized. It seems that the greater the residual rate, the more active the division of the cell-like region.

Fig. S6 shows the morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 4, and Fig. S7 shows morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 5. In both cases, a removal rate of 0 indicates that the morphological pattern cannot be formed. The fact that the pattern form can also be controlled by the removal rate of tokens is also pointed out in the paper by Ishida [20]. The morphological pattern changes depending on the degree of degradation of the molecules, it suggest that there is some optimal range of removal rates for the formation of replicated patterns.

Fig. S8, S9, and S10 show the changes in morphology patterns for different removal rates of molecules 10, 11, and 13, and it can be seen that the morphogenesis changes are not as significant as the results for molecules 4 and 5.
Fig. S1. Outline of Young’s model and Ishida’s token model. (A) In Young’s model, function $v(r)$ is a continuous step function representing the activation area and inhibition area. (B) In Young’s model, the activation area has a radius $r_1$, and the inhibition area has an outer radius $r_2$. (C) In a two-dimensional hexagonal grid, two-state cells (black and white) are assumed. The illustration shows the modification process of the tokens that are produced in the black cells. The number labeling the token is modified up to $X$ times in each cell, and some tokens remain in their cells without modification. The frequency distribution of token numbers determines the subsequent state of each cell. Here $X$ is the maximum number that can be attached to a token and is a positive integer.

Fig. S2: Morphological change with different reaction probabilities of reaction from molecule 2 to molecule 4. It can be seen that the morphological pattern can be changed even by controlling the reaction probability of these molecules.
Fig. S3: Morphological change with different reaction probabilities from molecule 3 to molecule 5. It can be seen that the morphological pattern can be changed even by controlling the reaction probability of these molecules.

Fig. S4: Morphological change with the residual rate of polymerized molecule 1, which is polymerized of molecule 6 and molecule 7 in the ratio of shape parameter $w$. Differences in residual rate affect the distribution of green cells in the figures, but the effect of residuals change is not considered significant.
Fig.S5: Morphological change with the residual rate of polymerized molecule 2, which is polymerized of molecule 12. It seems that the greater the residual rate, the more active the division of the cell-like region.

Fig.S6: Morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 4. A removal rate of 0 indicates that the morphological pattern cannot be formed. The morphological pattern changes depending on the degree of degradation of the molecules.
Fig.S7: Morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 5. A removal rate of 0 indicates that the morphological pattern cannot be formed. The morphological pattern changes depending on the degree of degradation of the molecules.

Fig.S8: Morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 10. The morphological pattern changes depending on the removal rate of molecule.

Fig.S9: Morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 11. It can be seen that the morphogenesis changes are not as significant as the results of figure 18 and 19 of molecules 2 and 3.
**Fig.S10: Morphological pattern change with different removal rate of molecule 13.** It can be seen that the morphogenesis changes are not as significant as the results of figure 18 and 19 of molecules 2 and 3.

**Movie S1.**
Simulation Video of Standard Parameters case (Fig.2A)
https://youtu.be/DHrNWryH1Rg