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Abstract

We extend the result of Ali and Silvey [Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 28.1 (1966), 131-142] who first reported that any $f$-divergence between two isotropic multivariate Gaussian distributions amounts to a corresponding strictly increasing scalar function of their corresponding Mahalanobis distance. We report sufficient conditions on the standard probability density function generating a multivariate location-scale family and the generator $f$ in order to generalize this result. In that case, one can compare exactly $f$-divergences between densities of these location families via their Mahalanobis distances. In particular, this proves useful when the $f$-divergences are not available in closed-form as it is the case for example for the Jensen-Shannon divergence between multivariate isotropic Gaussian distributions. Furthermore, we show that the $f$-divergences between these multivariate location-scale families amount equivalently to $f$-divergences between corresponding univariate location-scale families. We present several applications of these results.
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1 Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a measure space where $\mathcal{X}$ denotes the sample space of outcomes, $\Sigma$ the finite $\sigma$-algebra of events, and $\mu$ a positive measure, often chosen as either the Lebesgue measure or the counting measure. The Csiszár $f$-divergence [3] $I_f(P : Q)$ between two probability measures $P$ and $Q$ dominated by $\mu$ is a statistical divergence induced by a convex generator $f(u)$:

$$I_f(P : Q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} f \left( \frac{dQ}{dP} \right) d\mu.$$

The $f$-divergences include the Kullback-Leibler divergence, the sided $\chi^2$-distances, the Jeffreys and Jensen-Shannon symmetrizations of the KL divergence, the squared Hellinger divergence, and the $\alpha$-divergences among others [20].

Let $p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}$ and $q = \frac{dQ}{d\mu}$ denote the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of $P$ and $Q$, respectively. Then the $f$-divergence can be expressed as

$$I_f(P : Q) = I_f^p(p : q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} p f \left( \frac{q}{p} \right) d\mu.$$

Since $I_f^p(p : q) = I_f^q(p : q)$ for any other measure $\nu$ such that $P, Q \ll \nu$, we can arbitrarily let $\nu = \frac{P + Q}{2}$, and define $I_f(P : Q) = I_f^p(p : q)$.

A statistical divergence is said proper when $I_f(p : q) = 0$ if and only if $p = q \mu$-almost everywhere. The $f$-divergence is proper when $f$ is strictly convex at 1 (i.e., $f''(1) > 0$ when $f$ is smooth). Since $I_f(p : q) = I_g(p : q)$ when $g(u) = f(u) + \lambda(u - 1)$ for any real $\lambda$, we may assume without loss of generality that $f'(1) = 0$. The Kullback-Leibler divergence [2] is a $f$-divergence obtained for the generator $f_{KL}(u) = -\log u$.

The reverse $f$-divergence is a $f$-divergence obtained for the conjugate generator $f^*(u) = uf(1/u)$ (with $f^{**}(u) = f(u)$):

$$I_f(q : p) = I_{f^*}(p : q).$$

Since we have $I_{\lambda f}(p : q) = \lambda I_f(p : q)$ for any $\lambda > 0$, let us fix the scale of the $f$-divergence by assuming $f''(1) = 1$. Amari termed such $f$-divergences satisfying $f(1) = 0$, $f'(1) = 0$, and $f''(1) = 1$ standard $f$-divergences [2]. Denote the Euclid norm by $\| \cdot \|$. Standard $f$-divergences are called invariant divergences in information geometry because we have for any two close parametric distributions $p_\theta$ and $p_{\theta + \Delta \theta}$ of a statistical model $\{p_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ the following second-order Taylor expansion:

$$I_f(p_\theta : p_{\theta + \Delta \theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta^\top I(\theta) \Delta \theta + o(\|\Delta \theta\|^2),$$

\[2\]
where \( I(\theta) = -E_\theta [\nabla^2 \log p_\theta(x)] \) denotes the Fisher information matrix which can be defined under mild regularity conditions of the statistical model.

The \( f \)-divergences enjoy the following monotonicity property \([6]\): Let \( Y = t(X) \) with \( X \sim p \) be a transformation from a measurable space \((\mathcal{X}, \Sigma)\) onto another measurable space \((\mathcal{Y}, \Sigma')\). Then \( I_f(P : Q) \geq I_f(Pt^{-1} : Qt^{-1}) \) where \( Pt^{-1} \) and \( Qt^{-1} \) denotes the induced distributions of \( P \) and \( Q \) by \( t \) in \( \mathcal{Y} \). This monotonicity gap \( I_f(P : Q) - I_f(Pt^{-1} : Qt^{-1}) \) can further be exactly characterized using Bregman divergences \([5]\). This gap is zero if and only if \( t(X) \) is a sufficient statistic.

Ali and Silvey \([1]\) independently discovered this class of \( f \)-divergences by considering the more general setting of \((f, g)\)-divergences defined as

\[
I_{f,g}(p : q) = g(I_f(p : q)),
\]

for a strictly increasing function \( g \). The \((f, g)\)-divergences include the \( f \)-divergences (for \( g = \text{id} \)) but also the \( \alpha \)-skewed Bhattacharyya distances by taking \( g(v) = -\log v \), and the Hellinger metric distance by taking \( g(v) = \sqrt{v} \).

A \( f \)-divergence is asymmetric when \( f(u) \neq uf(1/u) \) (e.g., the Kullback-Leibler divergence). However, even for asymmetric \( f \)-divergences like the Kullback-Leibler divergence, some parametric families of distributions yield symmetric divergences. This is the case for the \( f \)-divergences between isotropic Gaussian distributions or \( f \)-divergences between Cauchy distributions which are always symmetric \([21]\).

In \([2]\) we present the main contribution in Proposition \([1]\) and illustrate its use with multivariate location normal distributions or multivariate Cauchy distributions. In \([3]\) we consider the case of normal location subfamilies and normal scale subfamilies, and show how the potentially multivariate \( f \)-divergences amount to corresponding univariate \( f \)-divergences in Proposition \([2]\). Finally, section \([4]\) mentions some applications of these results in clustering.

## 2 Multivariate location-scale families and subfamilies

### 2.1 Multivariate location-scale families and their subfamilies

Let \( X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \sim p_X \) be a random vector and define \( Y = t(X) = (t_1(X), \ldots, t_d(X)) \sim p_Y \) for a differentiable and invertible function \( t(\cdot) \) with non-singular Jacobian matrix \( \text{Jac}_t(x) := \left[ \frac{\partial t_i(X)}{\partial x_j} \right]_{i,j} \).

Then the probability density of \( Y \) can be written as

\[
p_Y(y) = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| p_X(t^{-1}(y)) = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| p_X(x).
\]

Consider \( Y = t_{l,P}(X) = PX + l \) for \( P \) a \( d \times d \) positive-definite scale matrix, and \( l \in \mathbb{R}^d \) a location parameter. Hereafter we denote \( P > 0 \) if \( P \) is a positive-definite matrix. Then the density of \( p_{l,P}(x) \) of the continuous random distribution \( Y \) is

\[
p_{l,P}(y) = |\text{Jac}_{t_{l,P}^{-1}}(y)| p_X(t_{l,P}^{-1}(y)) = |\text{Jac}_{t_{l,P}^{-1}}(y)| p_X(x),
\]

\[
= |P^{-1}| p(P^{-1}(y - l)),
\]

where \( p(x) := p_X(x) \) denotes the standard probability density function since \( \text{Jac}_{t_{l,P}^{-1}}(y) = P^{-1} \).

The space of multivariate location-scale parameters \((l, P)\) is \( \mathbb{H}_d = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++} \), where \( \mathbb{P}_{++} \) denotes the set of \( d \times d \) positive-definite matrices. \( \mathbb{P}_{++} \) is an open cone in the set of real \( d \times d \) matrices.
When \( d = 1 \) and \( P = s \), we have \( Y = t_{l,s}(X) = sX + l \), \( X = t_{-l,s}^{-1}(Y) = \frac{1}{s}(Y - l) \) and we recover the univariate location-scale densities \( p_{l,s}(y) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{y - l}{s} \right) \).

We can define equivalently the density of a location-scale family by \( p_{l,P}(x) = |P|^{-1} p \left( P^{-1}(x - l) \right) \) since \( |P^{-1}| = |P|^{-1} \). By writing \( P = (\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} \) (the square root of a covariance matrix is unique) and letting \( l = \mu \), we express the density functions of a multivariate location-scale family as

\[
p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} p \left( \Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu) \right), x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

The standard density is \( p(x) = p_{0,I_{d}}(x) \), where \( I_{d} \) is the unit matrix of degree \( d \).

We may interpret the location-scale family \( F = \{ p_{l,P}(x) : (l, P) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}d \} \) as obtained by the action of the affine group \( \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes \text{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{R}) \) equipped with the (outer) semidirect product:

\[
(l_1, A_1) \cdot (l_2, A_2) = (l_1 + A_1l_2, A_1A_2).
\]

The affine group can be handled as a matrix group with the following matrix mapping of its elements:

\[
(l, A) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} A & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The set \( F_{\Sigma} = \{ p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d \} \) is called a location (sub)family, and the set \( F_{\mu} = \{ p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x) : \Sigma \in \mathbb{P}_{+}(d) \} \) is called a scale (sub)family.

**Remark 1.** Let \( \{ p_{i}(x) \}_{i} \) be a set of univariate densities for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \), and consider the \( d \)-variate probability density function

\[
p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{i}(x_{i}).
\]

The density \( p(x) \) is a probability distribution on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and we may consider the induced multivariate location-scale family of probability distributions

\[
p_{l, A}(x) = |A|^{-1} p(A^{-1}(x - l)),
\]

for \( l \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( A \in \text{GL}(d) \).

### 2.2 The \( f \)-divergences between densities of a multivariate location family

Let us define the squared Mahalanobis distance \([15]\) between two multivariate normal distributions \( N(\mu_1, \Sigma) \) and \( N(\mu_2, \Sigma) \) as follows:

\[
\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) := (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1).
\]

Since the covariance matrix \( \Sigma \) is positive-definite, we have \( \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \geq 0 \) and zero if and only if \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 \). The squared Mahalanobis distance generalizes the squared Euclidean distance when \( \Sigma = I \), the identity matrix: \( \Delta_{I}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \| \mu_1 - \mu_2 \|^2 \).

The Kullback-Leibler divergence \([7]\) between two multivariate isotropic normal distributions corresponds to half the squared Mahalanobis distance:

\[
D_{\text{KL}}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2),
\]
where
\[ D_{KL} [p : q] = \int p(x) \log \left( \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right) d\mu(x). \]

We shall make the following set of assumptions for the standard density \( p \) and \( f \):

**Assumption 1.** (i) We assume that there exists a function \( p : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) such that \( p \) is in \( C^1 \) class, \( p'(x) < 0, x > 0 \), and furthermore \( p(x) \equiv \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

(ii) We assume that \( f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies that it is in \( C^2 \) class, \( f(1) = 0 \) and \( f''(x) > 0, x > 0 \).

(iii) For every \( t \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| f \left( \frac{\tilde{p}(\|x + t\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)} \right) \right| \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2) \, dx < +\infty. \]

(iv) For every compact subset \( K \) of \( \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{t \in K} \left| f'( \frac{\tilde{p}(\|y\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|y + t\|^2)} ) \right| \left| \tilde{p}'(\|y\|^2) \right| \|y\| \, dy < +\infty. \]

We let
\[ I_f (p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \left( \frac{p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}(x)}{p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x)} \right) p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x) \, dx. \]

This is well-defined due to Assumption (iii).

**Proposition 1** (\( f \)-divergence between location families). Under Assumption (i), there exists a strictly increasing and differentiable function \( h_f \) such that
\[ I_f (p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = h_f \left( \Delta_\Sigma^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \right), \ \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]

**Proof.** Step 1. We let \( d = 1 \) and \( \Sigma = 1 \).

\[ I_f (p_{\mu_1, 1} : p_{\mu_2, 1}) = I_f (p_{0, 1} : p_{\mu_2 - \mu_1, 1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \left( \frac{\tilde{p}(x - (\mu_2 - \mu_1))^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)} \right) \tilde{p}(x^2) \, dx. \]

Let
\[ F(t) := I_f (p_{0, 1} : p_{t, 1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \left( \frac{\tilde{p}(x - t)^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)} \right) \tilde{p}(x^2) \, dx, \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

By Assumption (i), \( F(t) = F(-t), \ t \in \mathbb{R}. \) Hence if we let \( h_f(s) := F(\sqrt{s}) \), then \( h_f \) satisfies Eq. (ii).

Now it suffices to show that \( F \) is strictly increasing and differentiable. By Assumption (iv),
\[ F'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( f \left( \frac{\tilde{p}(x - t)^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)} \right) \right) \tilde{p}(x^2) \, dx \]
\[ = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'( \frac{\tilde{p}(x - t)^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)} ) (t - x) \tilde{p}'((x - t)^2) \, dx \]
\[ = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'( \frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}(y + t)^2} ) y \tilde{p}'(y^2) \, dy \]
\[ = -2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( f'( \frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}(y + t)^2} ) \right) \, dy + f'(\frac{y^2}{\tilde{p}(y - t)^2}) \right) \left. \right|_{t=0}^{y=\infty} \tilde{p}'(y^2) \, dy. \]
By the mean-value theorem and Assumption (i) and (ii),
\[ f'(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y + t)^2)}) - f'\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y - t)^2)}\right) > 0, \quad \tilde{p}'(y^2) < 0, \]
for every \( y, t > 0 \). Hence \( F'(t) > 0 \) for every \( t > 0 \).

**Step 2.** We let \( \Sigma = I_d \).

\[ I_f(p_{\mu_1, I_d} : p_{\mu_2, I_d}) = I_f(p_{0,\Sigma} : p_{\mu_2 - \mu_1, I_d}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\|x - (\mu_2 - \mu_1)\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)dx. \]

Let
\[ F(t) := I_f(p_{0,1} : p_t, I_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\|x - t\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]  

(2)

By changing the variable \( x \) by an orthogonal matrix, \( F(s) = F(t) \) if \( \|s\| = \|t\| \). Hence we can assume that \( t = (t, 0, \ldots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0 \). For \( x_2, \ldots, x_d \in \mathbb{R} \), let
\[ F_{x_2,\ldots,x_d}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(x_1 - t)^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_d^2}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)dx_1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Then, we can show that \( F'_{x_2,\ldots,x_d}(t) > 0 \) for every \( t > 0 \) and every \( x_2, \ldots, x_d \in \mathbb{R} \), in the same manner as in Step 1. By this and Assumption (iv),
\[ F'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} F'_{x_2,\ldots,x_d}(t)dx_2 \ldots dx_d > 0, \quad t > 0. \]

Hence if we let \( h_f(s) := F(\sqrt{s}) \), then \( h_f \) satisfies Eq. (1).

**Step 3.** Finally, we consider the general case. Let \( \mu := \Sigma^{-1/2}(\mu_2 - \mu_1) \). Then,
\[ I_f(p_{\mu_1,\Sigma} : p_{\mu_2,\Sigma}) = \sqrt{\det \Sigma} I_f(p_{0, I_d} : p_{\mu, I_d}). \]

Hence this case is attributed to Step 2. \( \square \)

**Example 1.** Let us report two examples which satisfy Assumptions (4) for \( f(u) = -\log u \):

1. **(MVN) Multivariate normal location families:**
\[ \tilde{p}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right), \quad t \geq 0. \]

2. **(MVC) Multivariate Student location families:**
\[ \tilde{p}(t) = \frac{\Gamma((\nu + d)/2)}{\Gamma(\nu/2)(\nu\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{1}{(1 + t/\nu)^{(\nu+d)/2}}, \quad t \geq 0, \]
where \( \Gamma(t) \) is the Gamma function:
\[ \Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty x^{t-1}e^{-x}dx. \]

The case that \( \nu = 1 \) is the multivariate Cauchy case. We remark that if \( X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \) follows the standard MVC, then \( X_1, \ldots, X_d \) are not statistically independent (17).
3 The $f$-divergences between normal location and scale subfamilies

3.1 Normal location families

The affine group acts on a probability density function $p(x)$ by creating the location-scale family of distributions. We have the following property of $f$-divergences between two densities of a multivariate location-scale family [19]:

**Proposition 2.** We have

$$I_f[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = I_f[p_0, I : p_{\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)}] = I_f[p_{\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) : p_0, I}].$$

Ali and Silvey [1] proved that all $f$-divergences between any two normal distributions with identical covariance matrix $\Sigma$ amounts to an increasing function $h_f$ of the squared Mahalanobis distance $\Delta^2_\Sigma$:

**Proposition 3** (Section 6 of [1]).

$$I_f[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = h_f(\Delta^2_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)),$$

where $\Delta^2_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2) = (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1)$.

We can rewrite the Mahalanobis distance as follows:

$$\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \sqrt{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1)},$$

where the norm $\|x\|_Q = \sqrt{x^T Q x}$ is defined for any positive-definite matrix $Q$. By using the Cholesky decomposition $\Sigma = LL^T$ for a lower triangular matrix $L$, we have

$$\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \Delta_f(L^T \mu_1, L^T \mu_2) = \||L^T (\mu_1 - \mu_2)\|_2^2,$$

where $I$ denotes the identity matrix.

Proposition 3 implies that (i) all $f$-divergences between normal distributions with same covariance matrix are always symmetric, and (ii) all Voronoi diagrams of a finite set of fixed-covariance matrix normal distribution generators concerning $f$-divergences coincide.

3.2 Some illustrating examples

Table I lists some examples of $f$-divergences with their corresponding monotone increasing functions $h_f$. Let us report some examples:

- For the $\chi^2$ divergence with $f_{\chi^2}(u) = (u - 1)^2$, we have $h_{\chi^2}(u) = h_{\chi^2}(u) = 1 - \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}u\right)$, and more generally for the order-$k$ chi divergences ($f$-divergence generator $f_{\chi,k}(u) = (u - 1)^k$) between $p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}$ and $p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}$, we get [20]:

$$h_{\chi,k}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\binom{k}{i} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}i(i-1) \Delta^2_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)\right).$$
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We observe that the order-$k$ $\chi$-divergence between isotropic Gaussians diverges as $k$ increases. It is easy to check that we can compute $h_f$ in closed form for any convex polynomial $f$-divergence generator $f(u)$.

- For the Kullback-Leibler divergence, we have $D_{KL}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2_{\Sigma} (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ so $h_{KL}(u) = \frac{1}{2} u$. In fact, when $\mu_1 = \mu$ and $\mu_2 = \mu + d \mu$, we have

$$I_f[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{f''(1)} \|d\mu\|_{\Sigma^{-1}}^2 + o(\|d\mu\|_{\Sigma^{-1}}^2).$$

Notice that because $f$-divergences are symmetric, the Chernoff information coincides with the Bhattacharyya distance.

- The total variation divergence (a metric $f$-divergence obtained for $f_{TV}(u) = |u - 1|$) between two multivariate Gaussians $p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}$ and $p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}$ with the same covariance matrix is reported indirectly in [24]: The probability of error $P_e$ (with $P_e \leq \frac{1}{2}$) in Bayesian binary hypothesis with equal prior is $P_e(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - D_{TV}[p_1, p_2]) = Q\left(\frac{1}{2} \|\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)\|\right)$ (Eq. (2) in [24]) where $Q(x) = 1 - Q(-x) = 1 - \Phi(x)$ where $\Phi(x)$ denotes the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. So we get the function $h_{TV}$ as a definite integral of a function of a squared Mahalanobis distance:

$$D_{TV}[p_1, p_2] = 1 - 2 P_e(p_1, p_2) = 1 - 2 Q\left(\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_\Sigma^2(\mu_1, \mu_2)\|\right).$$

In general, a sufficient condition for expressing the $f$-divergence as a series of order-$k$ chi divergences is given in [21]. However, the condition $\frac{p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}(x)}{p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x)} < 1 + r_f$ where $r_f$ is the radius of convergence of the analytic $f$-generator does not hold since the density ratio between location normal distributions is unbounded:

$$\frac{p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}(x)}{p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x)} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\Delta^2_\Sigma (x, \mu_2) - \Delta^2_\Sigma (x, \mu_1))\right).$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$f$-divergence</th>
<th>$f(u)$</th>
<th>$h_f(u)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$-squared divergence</td>
<td>$(u - 1)^2$</td>
<td>$1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}u\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order-$k$ $\chi$ divergence</td>
<td>$(u - 1)^k$</td>
<td>$\sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}i(i - 1)u\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kullback-Leibler divergence</td>
<td>$-\log(u)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squared Hellinger divergence</td>
<td>$(\sqrt{u} - 1)^2$</td>
<td>$1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}u\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amari’s $\alpha$-divergence</td>
<td>$\frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left(1 - u^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}\right)$</td>
<td>$\frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1-\alpha^2}{8}u\right)\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jensen-Shannon divergence</td>
<td>$u \log u - (1 + u) \log \frac{1+u}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}u - I_{JS}(u)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total variation distance</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>u - 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The $f$-divergences between two normal distributions with identical covariance matrix can always be expressed as an increasing function of the squared Mahalanobis distance: $I_f[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = h_f(\Delta^2_\Sigma (\mu_1, \mu_2))$. 
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Notice that the Fisher-Rao distance between two multivariate normal distributions with the same covariance matrix is also a monotonic increasing function of their Mahalanobis distance [4]:

\[
\rho(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}, p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = \sqrt{2} \arccosh \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta^2_{\chi} (\mu_1, \mu_2)}{4} \right),
\]

where \( \arccosh(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \) for \( x \geq 1 \). That is, we have \( h_p(u) = \sqrt{2} \arccosh \left( 1 + \frac{u}{4} \right) \).

### 3.3 Cauchy location families

Notice that for \( d = 1 \), since the \( \chi^2 \)-divergence (a \( f \)-divergence for \( f(u) = (u - 1)^2 \)) between two Cauchy location densities \( p_{l_1, s} \) and \( p_{l_2, s} \) with prescribed scale \( s \) is [21]:

\[
D_{\chi^2}(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = \frac{(l_2 - l_1)^2}{2s^2} := \chi_s(l_1, l_2),
\]

we have

\[
D_{\chi^2}(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2_{\chi^2}(l_1, l_2),
\]

where \( \Delta^2_{\chi^2}(l_1, l_2) = \frac{(l_2 - l_1)^2}{s^2} \). Thus it follows that \( h_{\chi_1}(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} u^2 \).

Now, since any \( f \)-divergence between any two Cauchy location densities \( p_{l_1, s} \) and \( p_{l_2, s} \) is a scalar function of the \( \chi^2 \)-divergence [21]: \( I_f(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = g_f(\chi_s(l_1, l_2)) \), we have

\[
I_f(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = g_f \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2_{\chi^2} \right).
\]

Therefore it follows that

\[
h_f(u) = g_f \left( \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right).
\]

See Table 1 of [21] for several examples of scalar functions corresponding to \( f \)-divergences.

Now we let \( d \geq 2 \). Contrary to the normal case, it is in general difficult to obtain explicit expressions for \( h_f \) in the Cauchy case. Here, we give one example to illustrate that difficulty. Let \( f(u) := (u - 1)^2 \) (the corresponding divergence is the \( \chi^2 \) divergence), \( d = 3 \), and \( F \) as Eq. (2). Then,

\[
F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p(||x - t||^2)}{p(||x||^2)} \, dx - 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\]

By calculations, we find that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2}{(1 + (x_1 - t)^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^4} \, dx_1 = \frac{\pi}{16(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{3/2}} \left( 8 + \frac{16t^2}{1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} + \frac{5t^4}{(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2} \right)
\]

Therefore,

\[
F(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\pi}{16(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{3/2}} \left( 8 + \frac{16t^2}{1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} + \frac{5t^4}{(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2} \right) \, dx_2 dx_3 - 1 = \frac{2}{3} t^2 + \frac{t^4}{8}.
\]

Hence,

\[
h_f(s) = \frac{2}{3} s + \frac{s^2}{8}, \quad s \geq 0.
\]

Contrary to the normal case (see Table 1), \( h_f \) for the Cauchy family is a polynomial. This holds also true for the case that \( d = 5, 7, \ldots \).
3.4 The special case of the Jensen-Shannon divergence

The Jensen-Shannon divergence [14] is a symmetrization of the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

\[ D_{JS}[p, q] = \frac{1}{2} \left( D_{KL} \left[ p : \frac{p + q}{2} \right] + D_{KL} \left[ q : \frac{p + q}{2} \right] \right). \]

The JSD is a f-divergence for the generator \( f_{JS}(u) = u \log u - (1 + u) \log \frac{1 + u}{2} \), is always upper bounded by \( \log 2 \), and can further be embedded into a Hilbert space [9]. The JSD can be interpreted as the transmission rate in a discrete memoryless channel [9].

Although we do not have a closed-form formula for the Jensen-Shannon divergence

\[ D_{JS}\left[ p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma} \right], \]

knowing that \( D_{JS}\left[ p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma} \right] = h_{f_{JS}}(\Delta^2_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)) \), allows one to compare exactly the JSDs since \( f_{JS} \) is a strictly increasing function:

\[ D_{JS}\left[ p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma} \right] > D_{JS}\left[ p_{\mu_3, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_4, \Sigma} \right] \iff \Delta^2_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2) > \Delta^2_{\Sigma}(\mu_3, \mu_4). \]

In [16], a formula for the differential entropy of the Gaussian mixture \( m(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} p_{\mu, \sigma}(x) + \frac{1}{2} p_{-\mu, \sigma}(x) \) is reported using a definite integral which we translate using the squared Mahalanobis distance as follows:

\[ h(m(x; \mu, \sigma)) = \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\sigma^2}(-\mu, \mu) - I_{JS}(\Delta_{\sigma^2}(-\mu, \mu)), \]

where

\[ I_{JS}(\Delta^2) := \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi \Delta^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta^2}{8} \right) \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\Delta^2}} \log \cosh(x) \log \cosh(x) dx. \]

We have \( I_{JS}(0) = 0 \) and the function \( I_{JS} \) can be tabulated as in [16].

Since the Jensen-Shannon divergence between two distributions amounts to the differential entropy of the mixture minus the average of the mixture entropies, we get:

\[ D_{JS}\left[ p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma} \right] = D_{JS}\left[ p_{0,1, p_{\Delta^2_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}} \right], \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \log |2\pi e\Sigma| + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2) - I_{JS}(\Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2)) - \frac{1}{2} \log |2\pi e\Sigma|, \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2) - I_{JS}(\Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2)), \]

since \( h[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}] = h[p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \log |2\pi e\Sigma| = \frac{d}{2} \log(2\pi e) + \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma| \).

3.5 Normal scale families

Consider the subfamily \( \{ p_{\mu, \Sigma} : \Sigma \succ 0 \} \) of d-variate Gaussian distributions with a prescribed mean \( \mu \). Ali and Silvey proved that

\[ I_f[p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}] = \sum_{i=1}^d e_f(|1 - \lambda_i|), \]

where the \( \lambda_i \)'s are the eigenvalues of \( \Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1} \). Thus the \( f \)-divergences are all matrix spectral divergences [18]. We have

\[ I_f[p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}] = I_f[p_{\mu, 1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}}] = \sum_{i=1}^d e_f(|1 - \lambda_i|). \]
For example, we have

\[
D_{KL}[p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}] = \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}| + \text{tr}(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} - I),
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{d} e'_{KL}(\lambda_i(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1})),
\]

with

\[
e'_{KL}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \log u + u - 1,
\]

which can be rewritten as

\[
e_{JKL}(u) = u + \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - u),
\]

which is an increasing function of \(u\).

The probability density function of a normal with covariance matrix \(\Sigma\) and mean \(\mu\) can also be written using the squared Mahalanobis distance as follows:

\[
p(x; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_\Sigma^2(x, \mu) \right).
\]

### 3.6 Multivariate \(f\)-divergences as equivalent univariate \(f\)-divergences

Ali and Silvey \[1\] further showed how to replace a \(d\)-dimensional \(f\)-divergence by an equivalent 1-dimensional \(f\)-divergence for the fixed covariance matrix normal distributions:

**Proposition 4** \([1]\), Section 6. Let \(\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)\) denote the Mahalanobis distance. Then we have

\[
I_f[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = I_f[p_{0,1} : p_{\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}].
\]

We can show this assertion by the change of variable \(y = \frac{1}{\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)} (x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_2 - \mu_1)\).

Property 4 yields a computationally efficient method to calculate stochastically the \(f\)-divergences when not known in closed form (e.g., the Jensen-Shannon divergence). We can estimate the \(f\)-divergence using \(s\) samples \(x_1, \ldots, x_s\) i.i.d. from a propositional distribution \(r\) as:

\[
\hat{I}_f[p : q] = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{r(x_i)} p(x_i) f \left( \frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)} \right).
\]

Estimating \(f\)-divergences between isotropic Gaussians requires \(O(sd)\) time. Thus Proposition 4 allows to shave a factor \(d\).

Notice that \(\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \Delta_1(0, \Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2))\), and therefore we can write:

\[
I_f[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = h_f(\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)) = h_f(\Delta_1(0, \Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2))) = I_f[p_{0,1} : p_{\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}].
\]

In general, the Rao geodesic distance between multivariate Gaussian distributions is not known in closed form \([10]\). For the special cases of Gaussian distributions with prescribed covariance matrix or prescribed location parameter, the Rao distance is available in closed-form \([23]\).
4 Minimax center and $k$-center clustering

Let $(\mu_1, \Sigma), \ldots, (\mu_n, \Sigma)$ be $n$ multivariate Gaussian distributions with prescribed covariance matrix $\Sigma$. Let us consider the following minimax optimization problem:

$$(\text{OPT}) : \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} I_f[p_{\mu, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_i, \Sigma}].$$

See [12] for some applications.

Since $I_f[p_{\mu, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_i, \Sigma}] = h_f(\Delta_2^2(\mu, \Sigma))$, and $h_f$ is a monotone increasing function, we get the following equivalent optimization problems for (OPT):

$$\min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_2^2(\mu, \Sigma) \equiv \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu, \Sigma).$$

Consider the Cholesky decomposition $\Sigma = LL^\top$, and let $\mu' = L^\top \mu$, $\mu'_i = L^\top \mu_i$. We have $\Delta_2^2(\mu, \mu_i) = \| \mu' - \mu'_i \|^2 = \Delta_2^2(\mu', \mu'_i)$. Thus OPT is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mu' \in \mathbb{R}^d} \| \mu' - \mu'_i \|.$$  

That is, (OPT) amounts to find the circumcenter of a minimum enclosing ball in Euclidean geometry [3]. The optimal solution $\mu^* = L^{-\top} \mu'^*$ is independent of the choice of the $f$-divergence, where $\mu'^* = \arg \min_{\mu' \in \mathbb{R}^d} \| \mu' - \mu'_i \|$ is unique [25]. Notice that we can define $g_f(u) = h_f(\sqrt{u})$, and $g_f$ is an increasing function. Also the total variation distance is the unique metric $f$-divergence [13]:

$$I_{f_{TV}}[p_{\mu, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_i, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \| p_{\mu, \Sigma} - p_{\mu_i, \Sigma} \|_1.$$

We can extend the minimax optimization to $k$-center clustering [11] since $x^\alpha$ for any $\alpha > 0$ is an increasing function [22].
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