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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system on a finite $p$-group $S$, and let $P$ be a strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed subgroup of $S$. We define the concept “$\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroups with respect to $P$”, and show that an $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism between subgroups of $P$ can be factorised by some automorphisms of $P$ and $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroups with respect to $P$. When $P$ is taken to be equal $S$, Alperin-Goldschmidt fusion theorem can be obtained as a special case. We also show that $P \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ if and only if there is no $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$. The following definition is made: a $p$-group $P$ is strongly resistant in saturated fusion systems whenever there is an over $p$-group $S$ and a saturated fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ on $S$ such that $P$ is strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed, $P \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. It is shown that several classes of $p$-groups are strongly resistant, which appears as our second main theorem. We also give a new necessary and sufficient criteria for a strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed subgroup to be normal in $\mathcal{F}$. These results are obtained as a consequences of developing a theory of quasi and semi-saturated fusion systems, which seems to be interesting for its own right.

1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper are finite. Alperin fusion theorem (more precisely Alperin-Goldschmidt fusion theorem) is one of the basic and important tool for studying saturated fusion systems. In the paper at hand, we obtain a generalization of Alperin fusion theorem for saturated fusion systems. In fact, we shall introduce quasi and semi-saturated fusion systems and prove an analogue of Alperin fusion theorem for these classes of fusion systems. Due to a correspondence between semisaturated and saturated fusion systems, which will be established later, we obtain several new results in saturated fusion systems.

We postpone the definitions of quasi and semi-saturated fusion systems, instead we shall start with something more interesting; a general version of Alperin fusion theorem for saturated fusion systems. Before establishing the theorem, we first need to make some definitions:

Definitions

(a) Let $G$ be a group and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, and $D \geq 1$ be a strongly closed subgroup in $S$ with respect to $G$. We say that a proper subgroup $H$ of $G$ is strongly $D$-embedded in $G$ if
i) $D^x \leq H$ for some $x \in G$.
ii) for all $g \in G \setminus H$, the subgroup $H \cap H^g$ does not contain any $G$-conjugate of any nontrivial subgroup $U$ of $D$.
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(b) Let $S$ be a $p$-group and $F$ be a saturated fusion system on $S$, and $P$ be a strongly $F$-closed subgroup of $S$. A subgroup $Q$ of $P$ is called $F$-centric with respect to $P$ if $C_P(Qψ) ≤ Qψ$ for all $ψ ∈ Hom_F(Q, P)$. Moreover, we say that a proper subgroup $Q$ of $P$ is an $F$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$ if

i) $Q$ is an $F$-centric subgroup with respect to $P$.

ii) $Q$ is fully normalized.

iii) $Out_F(Q)$ has a strongly $Out_P(Q)$-embedded subgroup.

Now we are ready to the generalization of Alperin fusion theorem:

**Theorem A.** Let $F$ be a saturated fusion system on a $p$-group $S$ and $P$ be a strongly $F$-closed subgroup of $S$, and let $Q, R ≤ P$ and $ψ : Q → R$ be an $F$-isomorphism. Then there exists

(a) a sequence of isomorphic subgroups $Q = Q_0, Q_1, ..., Q_n = R$ of $P$,

(b) a sequence: $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$ of $P$ where each $S_i$ is an $F$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$ or $S_i = P$ such that $Q_i−1, Q_i ≤ S_i$ for $i = 1, ..., n$,

(c) $ψ_i ∈ Aut_F(S_i)$ such that $ψ_i(Q_{i−1}) = Q_i$ and $ψ = (ψ_1|Q_1) ◦ (ψ_2|Q_2) ◦ ... ◦ (ψ_n|Q_n)$.

Moreover, $P ≤ F$ if and only if there is no $F$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$.

The above theorem simply says that an $F$-isomorphism between subgroups of a strongly $F$-closed subgroup $P$ can be factorized by some automorphisms of $P$ and $F$-essential subgroups with respect to $P$.

Let $Q$ be a fully normalized subgroup of $P$. Later we shall see that $Aut_P(Q)$ is strongly closed in $Aut_S(Q)$ with respect to $Aut_F(Q)$ (see Lemma 3.15) in that case. Hence, $Out_P(Q)$ is strongly closed in $Out_S(Q)$ with respect to $Out_F(Q)$. For $Q$ to be essential with respect to $P$, we further require that $Q$ is an $F$-centric subgroup with respect to $P$ and $Out_F(Q)$ has a strongly $Out_P(Q)$-embedded subgroup. This leads some restrictions on the structure of $Aut_F(Q)$ and $Out_F(Q)$ (see Proposition 3.21):

(a) $Out_P(Q) ∩ O_P(Out_F(Q)) = 1$.

(b) $Inn(Q) = Core_G(D)$ where $G = Aut_F(Q)$ and $D = Aut_P(Q)$.

Therefore, our essential subgroups with respect to $P$ are slightly different than the “usual ones”, and in fact has a general form: If we take $P = S$, that $Out_F(Q)$ has a strongly $Out_P(Q)$-embedded subgroup is equivalent to say that $Out_F(Q)$ has a strongly $p$-embedded subgroup as $Out_P(Q) ∈ Syl_p(Out_F(Q))$ in that case, and so $Q$ turns to be an “usual essential” subgroup. Consequently, the Alperin fusion theorem corresponds to the special layer where $P = S$ in our theorem.

The “moreover” part of the theorem supplies a natural machinery to investigate whether $P$ is normal in $F$ by using only the internal structures of $P$. In the following theorem, we shall observe that when $P$ is in some certain class of $p$-groups, it is always normal in $F$, regardless of the structure of over group $S$ and saturated fusion system $F$ on $S$. Note that a $p$-group $P$ is said to be generalized extraspecial $p$-group if $Φ(P) = P′ ≅ C_p$.

**Theorem B.** Let $F$ be a saturated fusion system on a $p$-group $S$ and $P$ be a strongly $F$-closed subgroup of $S$. Suppose that one of the following hold:

(a) $P$ is a generalized extraspecial $p$-group such that $P$ is not in the form of $E × A$ where $A$ is an elementary abelian group and $E$ is
(i) a dihedral group of order 8 when \( p = 2 \).
(ii) an extraspecial \( p \)-group of order \( p^3 \) with exponent \( p \) when \( p > 2 \).

(b) \( p > 2 \) and \( P \) is a metacyclic \( p \)-group.
(c) \( p = 2 \) and \( P \) is a metacyclic \( p \)-group such that \( P \) is not dihedral, semidihedral or generalized quaternion.

(d) \( P \) is isomorphic to one of the following \( p \)-groups of rank 2:

\( (i) \ C(p, r) = \langle a^p = b^p = c^{p^{r-2}} = 1 \mid [a, b] = c^{p^{r-3}}, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle \) where \( p \geq 3 \) and \( r \geq 4 \).

\( (ii) \ G(p, r, c) = \langle a^p = b^p = c^{p^{r-2}} = 1 \mid [b, c] = 1, [a, b^{-1}] = c^{p^{r-3}}, [a, c] = b \rangle \) where \( p \geq 5 \) and \( r \geq 4 \).

Then \( P \leq F \), that is, \( F = N_F(P) \). Moreover, if (c) holds and \( P \) is not homocyclic abelian, then \( F = SC_F(P) \).

Recall that a \( p \)-group \( S \) is called resistant in saturated fusion systems whenever there is a saturated fusion system \( F \) on \( S \), \( S \leq F \). The above theorem leads us to make the following definition:

We say that a \( p \)-group \( P \) is strongly resistant in saturated fusion systems whenever there is an over \( p \)-group \( S \) and a saturated fusion system \( F \) on \( S \) such that \( P \) is strongly \( F \)-closed, \( P \leq F \). Only known strongly resistant \( p \)-groups are abelian \( p \)-groups, which is due to G. Glauberman, and hence the above theorem demonstrates the first examples of nonabelian class of \( p \)-groups which are strongly resistant. The (b) and (d) part of the theorem also implies that if \( P \) is of rank 2 and is not of maximal class then \( P \) is strongly resistant in saturated fusion systems when \( p \geq 5 \) (see [3] Theorem A.1 for the list of \( p \)-groups of rank 2).

We would like to note that the class of \( p \)-groups in the above theorem are already known to be resistant in saturated fusion systems (see [7] Theorem 5.3, Proposition 5.4), [2] Theorem 3.7 and [3] Theorems 4.2 and 4.3). Hence, Theorem B is a vast generalization of those results.

We also adopt a useful definition from [6]. Let \( \Gamma \) be the nontrivial semidirect product of \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \). We say that \( P \) is of odd type if \( P \) has no subgroup isomorphic to \( Q_8 \) and \( \Gamma \). Notice that \( P \) is always of odd type when \( p \) is odd. Recall that \( \Omega_i(P) \) denote the subgroup \( \langle \{ x \in P \mid x^{p^i} = 1 \} \rangle \) of \( P \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \) and we simply use \( \Omega(P) \) in place of \( \Omega_1(P) \). Now set

\[
\Omega^*(P) = \begin{cases} 
\Omega(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is off odd type} \\
\Omega_2(P) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The following theorem generalizes a result of Aschbacher (see [1] Proposition 4.62]).

**Theorem C.** Let \( F \) be a saturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( S \) and \( P \) be a strongly \( F \)-closed subgroup of \( S \). Then \( P \leq F \) if and only if \( \Omega^*(P) \) has a subgroup series \( 1 = Q_0 \leq Q_1 \leq Q_2 \cdots \leq Q_{n-1} \leq Q_n = \Omega^*(P) \) such that

\( (a) \ Q_i \) is strongly \( F \)-closed for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \).

\( (b) \ [Q_i, P] \leq Q_i \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \).

As an application of the above theorem, we obtain another class of \( p \)-groups which are strongly resistant.

**Corollary D.** Let \( P \) be a \( p \)-group of odd type such that \( \Omega(P) \leq Z(P) \). Then \( P \) is strongly resistant in saturated fusion systems.
We think that Theorem A has a potential to generalize many theorems whose proofs rely on Alperin fusion theorem. Therefore, it may have further applications beyond those presented here. The following Corollary of Theorem A is worth to state separately, which generalizes the Frobenius $p$-nilpotency theorem in finite groups.

**Corollary E** (A general version of Frobenius $p$-nilpotency theorem). Let $G$ be a group and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, and $D$ be a strongly closed subgroup in $S$ with respect to $G$. Then $G$ is $p$-nilpotent if and only if $N_G(U)$ is $p$-nilpotent for all $U \leq D$ such that $C_D(U) \leq U$.

**The organization of the paper:** In the following section, we introduce our notation and note our convention. We also give some preliminary information about saturated fusion systems and comprime actions.

In section 3, we introduce quasi and semi-saturated fusion systems, and prove analogues of Alperin fusion theorem for those classes of fusion systems (see Theorems 3.5 and 3.14). Characterization of essential subgroups in semisaturated fusion systems are obtained (see Proposition 3.21 and Corollary 3.22). The following equivalence is established (see Corollary 3.25):

A $p$-group $P$ is strongly resistant in saturated fusion systems if and only if $P$ is resistant in semisaturated fusion systems.

We end this section with the proofs of Theorems A and C.

In section 4, each class of $p$-groups that appears in Theorem B is separately investigated and it is shown that they are resistant in semisaturated fusion systems. Then Theorem B follows by Corollary 3.25.

**Some remarks and comments:** Some of the theorems proven in this article are more general than what is stated in the introduction as they are extracted from some more general results in semisaturated fusion systems.

In fact, most of the arguments could be easily adapted to obtain a more general result under a weaker definition for semisaturated fusion systems. In that regard, our current definition is not optimal. This is one of our motivations to define quasisaturated fusion systems. An ideal definition for semisaturated fusion systems is possibly between the quasi and semi-saturated fusion systems named here. However, our definition here is ideal and practical to reach its applications in saturated fusion systems, which is our main aim in the current article.

2. **Preliminaries**

2.1. **Some basics related to fusion systems.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ and $Q \leq P$.

- A subgroup $R$ of $P$ is called an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate of $Q$, if there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(Q, R)$ such that $R = Q\phi$. The set of all $\mathcal{F}$-conjugates of $Q$ is denoted by $Q^\mathcal{F}$.
- For $x \in P$, we set $x^\mathcal{F} = \{x\phi \mid \phi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(\langle x \rangle, P)\}$.
- $Q$ is said to be strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed if $x^\mathcal{F} \subseteq Q$ for all $x \in Q$.
- We say that $Q$ is fully normalized if $|N_P(Q)| \geq |N_P(R)|$ for every $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate $R$ of $Q$.
- Similarly, $Q$ is said to be fully centralized if $|C_P(Q)| \geq |C_P(R)|$ for every $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate $R$ of $Q$.
- For $x \in S$, the conjugation map induced by $x$ is denoted by $c_x$. 
Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_F(Q, P)$. We define

$$N_\phi(Q) = \{x \in N_P(Q) \mid \exists y \in N_P(Q\phi) \text{ such that } \phi^{-1}(c_x)\phi = c_y \text{ on } Q\phi\}.$$  

We also note that the standard notation for $N_\phi(Q)$ is $N_\phi$.

- We say that $Q$ is receptive if $\phi : R \to Q$ is an $F$-isomorphism, then $\phi$ extends to $\overline{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_F(N_\phi(R), P)$.
- We say that $Q$ is $F$-centric, if $C_P(R) \leq R$ for all $R \in Q^F$.

Let $G$ be a group and $D \leq S \leq G$. We say that $D$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$ if whenever $x^g \in S$ for some $x \in D$ and $g \in G$, then $x^g \in D$. Note that if $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $D$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$ if and only if $D$ is strongly $F$-closed in $S$ where $F = F_S(G)$, which is the fusion system induced by $G$ on $S$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $F$ be a fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. We say $F$ is saturated provided that

(a) $\text{Aut}_P(Q)$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\text{Aut}_F(P)$, and

(b) For every subgroup $Q$ of $P$ and every morphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}_F(Q, P)$ such that $Q\phi$ is fully normalized extends to a morphism $\overline{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_F(N_\phi(Q), P)$.

We also note that when $F$ is saturated and $Q \leq P$ is fully normalized, then $\text{Aut}_P(Q) \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Aut}_F(Q))$.

The following type of calculations are standard and used frequently.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $Q \leq P$, $s \in N_P(Q)$, $\phi \in \text{Hom}_F(Q, P)$ and $\overline{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_F((Q, s), P)$ such that $\overline{\phi}$ is an extension of $\phi$. Then $c_s^{-1}c_{\phi(s)}\phi$ is a group acting on a group $G$ via automorphisms. We say that $A$ acts on $G$ coprimely if $\text{gcd}(|A|, |G|) = 1$.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $A$ be a group acting on a group $G$ coprimely. Then the following hold:

(a) $G = [G, A]C_G(A)$. Moreover, if $G$ is abelian, then $G = [G, A] \times C_G(A)$.

(b) $[G, A, A] = [G, A]$.

(c) Let $N$ be an $A$-invariant normal subgroup of $G$ and set $G = G/N$. Then $C_{G/A}(A) = \overline{C_G(A)}$.

(d) Write $\overline{G} = G/\Phi(G)$. If $[\overline{G}, A] = 1$, then $[G, A] = 1$.

**Proof.** See [5] Corollaries 3.28 and 3.29 for (c) and (d), and see [5] Lemmas 4.28 and 4.29, Theorem 4.34 for (a) and (b).

Recall that a $p$-group $P$ is of odd type if $P$ has no subgroup isomorphic to $Q_8$ and $\Gamma$. In the case that $p$ is odd, $P$ is always of odd type. Set

$$\Omega^*(P) = \begin{cases} \Omega(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is of odd type} \\ \Omega_2(P) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $A$ be a group acting on a $p$-group $P$ coprimely. Then the following hold:

(a) If $P$ is cyclic and $C_P(A) \neq 1$, then $[P, A] = 1$, that is, $C_P(A) = P$.

(b) If $[\Omega^*(P), A] = 1$, then $[P, A] = 1$.

**Proof.** (a) follows from Lemma 2.3(a), and (b) follows from [6] Corollary D.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $P$ be a $p$-group and $A \leq \text{Aut}(P)$. Then the following hold:
(a) \( C_A(\Omega^*(P)) \leq O_p(A) \) and \( C_A(P/F(P)) \leq O_p(A) \).

(b) Suppose that \( A \) stabilises a subgroup series \( 1 = S_0 \leq S_1 \leq S_2 \leq \ldots \leq S_{n-1} \leq S_n = \Omega^*(P) \). If \( B \leq A \) such that \( [S_{i+1},B] \leq S_i \) for \( i = 0,1,\ldots,n-1 \), then \( B \leq O_p(A) \).

**Proof.** (a) directly follows from Lemma 2.3(b) and Lemma 2.3(d).

(b) Since each \( S_i \) is \( A \)-invariant, \( N = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} C_A(S_{i+1}/S_i) \) is a normal subgroup of \( A \) that contains \( B \). Let \( a \in N \) of order coprime to \( p \). Since \( [\Omega^*(P),a,\ldots,a] = 1 \), we obtain that \( \Omega^*(P),a = 1 \) by Lemma 2.3(b). It follows that \( a \) is \( p \)-element by part (a), and so \( a = 1 \). Hence, we obtain that \( B \leq N \leq O_p(A) \) as desired. \( \square \)

3. Main Results

3.1. Quasi and Semi-saturated fusion systems.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \) and \( R < P \). We say that \( R \) is **reproductive** and write \( R \in \mathcal{F}^r \) if

(a) \( R \) is receptive.

(b) For each \( Q \in R^\mathcal{F} \), there exists \( \psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,R) \) such that \( N_\psi(Q) > Q \).

**Definition 3.2.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \). We say that \( \mathcal{F} \) is **quasisaturated** if each proper subgroup of \( P \) has an \( \mathcal{F} \)-conjugate lying in \( \mathcal{F}^r \).

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \). A subgroup \( Q \) of \( P \) is called **\( \mathcal{F} \)-essential** if the following hold:

(a) \( Q \in \mathcal{F}^r \), that is, \( Q \) is reproductive,

(b) \( H_Q \) is proper in \( Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \) where \( H_Q = \langle \phi \in Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \mid N_\phi(Q) > Q \rangle \).

Note that if the fusion system \( \mathcal{F} \) is obvious from the context, we may simply say that \( Q \) is an essential subgroup of \( P \).

Let \( \mathfrak{V} \) be a collection of subgroups of \( P \). A subset \( \mathfrak{U} \) of \( \mathfrak{V} \) is called an **\( \mathcal{F} \)-conjugacy representative of** \( \mathfrak{V} \) if each member of \( \mathfrak{V} \) has exactly one \( \mathcal{F} \)-conjugate in \( \mathfrak{U} \).

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \). A subset \( \mathcal{E} \) of \( \mathcal{F}^r \) is called an **essential collection** if there exists an \( \mathcal{F} \)-conjugacy representative \( \mathfrak{U} \) of \( \mathcal{F}^r \) such that

\( \mathcal{E} = \{ Q \in \mathfrak{U} \mid Q \text{ is } \mathcal{F} \text{-essential} \} \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a quasisaturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \) and \( \mathfrak{U} \) be an essential collection. Let \( Q,R \leq P \) and \( \psi : Q \rightarrow R \) be an \( \mathcal{F} \)-isomorphism. Then there exists

(a) a sequence of isomorphic subgroups \( Q = Q_0,Q_1,\ldots,Q_n = R \) of \( P \),

(b) a sequence of subgroups \( S_1,S_2,\ldots,S_n \) of \( P \) such that \( Q_{i-1},Q_i \leq S_i \) for \( i = 1,\ldots,n \),

(c) \( \psi_i \in Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(S_i) \) such that \( \psi_i(Q_{i-1}) = Q_i \) and \( \psi = (\psi_1|Q_1) \circ (\psi_2|Q_2) \circ \ldots \circ (\psi_n|Q_n) \).

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on \( |P : Q| \). When \( Q = P \), the claim is obviously correct, and so assume \( Q < P \). There exists an \( \mathcal{F} \)-conjugacy representative \( \mathfrak{U} \) of \( \mathcal{F}^r \) such that \( \mathcal{E} = \{ Q \in \mathfrak{U} \mid Q \text{ is essential} \} \) by Definition 3.4.
Let’s first consider the case that $R \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists $\phi \in \text{Aut}_F(R)$ such that $N_{\varphi}(Q) > Q$ where $\varphi = \psi \phi$ as $R$ is reproductive. We also see that $\varphi$ extends to $\overline{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_F(N_{\varphi}(Q), P)$ as $R$ is receptive. By induction applied to $N_{\varphi}(Q)$, we obtain that $\overline{\varphi}$ has such a decomposition, and so does $\varphi$. It follows that $\psi$ has such a decomposition if $\phi$ does. If $R$ is essential, then $R \in \mathcal{C}$, and so we are done. Then we may assume that $R$ is not essential, that is, $H_R = \text{Aut}_F(R)$ where $H_R$ is defined as in Definition 3.1. Thus, $\text{Aut}_F(R)$ is generated by automorphisms $\phi_i$ for $i \in I$ such that $N_{\phi_i}(R) > R$. Moreover, $\phi_i$ extends to $\overline{\phi}_i \in \text{Hom}_F(N_{\phi_i}(R), P)$ as $R$ is receptive. The inductive argument yields that $\overline{\phi}_i$ for $i \in I$ have such decompositions, and hence each $\phi_i$ has such a decomposition. It follows that $\phi$ has the desired decomposition as well, which completes the proof for this part.

Now if $R \notin \mathcal{U}$, then there exists $R^* \in R^F$ such that $R^* \in \mathcal{U}$ as $\mathcal{F}$ is quasisaturated and $\mathcal{U}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy representative of $\mathcal{F}^*$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_F(R, R^*)$. It follows that both $\psi f$ and $f$ have such decompositions by the previous paragraph, and so $\psi f \circ f^{-1} = \psi$ has such a decomposition as well. □

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. Then each $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup of $P$ is $\mathcal{F}$-centric.

**Proof.** Let $Q$ be an essential subgroup of $P$. Then $H_Q$ is proper in $\text{Aut}_F(Q)$, and so there exists $\phi \in \text{Aut}_F(Q)$ such that $N_\phi(Q) = Q$. Since $C_P(Q) \leq N_\phi(Q)$, we get that $C_P(Q) \leq Q$. Moreover, $Q \in \mathcal{F}^*$, and so $Q$ is receptive, which yields that $Q$ is fully centralized (see [1, Proposition 4.15]). It then follows that $Q$ is $\mathcal{F}$-centric as desired. □

**Definition 3.7.** Let $P$ be a $p$-group and $\mathcal{E}$ be a fusion system on $P$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is **semisaturated** if there exists a over group $S \geq P$ and over fusion system $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{E}$ such that

(a) $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated.
(b) $P$ is strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed.
(c) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}|_{\leq P}$.

In the above case, we say that $\mathcal{E}$ is a semisaturated fusion system induced by $(\mathcal{F}, S)$. Let $R \leq P$. We say that $R$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized if $|N_S(R)| \geq |N_S(Q)|$ for all $Q \in R^\mathcal{E}$, and $R$ is fully $\mathcal{E}$-normalized if $|N_P(R)| \geq |N_P(Q)|$ for all $Q \in R^\mathcal{E}$. Similarly, we shall use several wellknown definitions with “with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ or $\mathcal{F}$” to make a distinction about whether the considered structure is $P$ with $\mathcal{E}$ or $S$ with $\mathcal{F}$. However, if the considered structure is obvious from the context, we may remove these adds. Note also that saturated fusion systems are also semisaturated as $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}|_{\leq S}$.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ induced by $(\mathcal{F}, S)$. Let $R \leq P$ and let $R$ be a fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized. Then the following hold:

(a) $R$ is a receptive subgroup of $P$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$.
(b) For each $Q \in R^\mathcal{E}$, there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{E}(Q, R)$ such that $N_\phi(Q) = N_P(Q)$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$.
(c) $R$ is fully $\mathcal{E}$-normalized.

**Proof.** (a) Since $R$ is fully normalized with respect to $\mathcal{F}$, it is also receptive with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. It then follows that $R$ is also receptive with respect to $\mathcal{E}$.

(b) Let $Q \in R^\mathcal{E}$. Since $R$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized, there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(Q, R)$ such that $N_\phi(Q) = N_S(Q)$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(Q, R) = \text{Hom}_\mathcal{E}(Q, R)$ as $Q, R \leq P$. It then follows that $N_\phi(Q) = P \cap N_S(Q) = N_P(Q)$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$.
(c) Let $Q \in R^E$ such that $Q$ is fully $E$-normalized. By part (b), we can pick $\phi \in Hom_E(Q, R)$ such that $N_\phi(Q) = N_P(Q)$. Since $R$ is receptive, $\phi$ extends to $\overline{\phi} \in Hom_E(N_P(Q), P)$. Then we get that $\overline{\phi}(N_P(Q)) \leq N_P(R)$. Since $Q$ is fully normalized, we get that $R$ is also fully $E$-normalized.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.9.** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ induced by $(F, S)$. Let $Q \leq P$ and $R \in Q^E$. Assume that $Q$ is fully normalized with respect to $E$ and $R$ is a fully $F$-normalized. Then there exists $\phi \in Hom_E(Q, R)$ such that $\phi$ extends to $\overline{\phi} \in Hom_E(N_P(Q), N_P(R))$.

**Proof.** See the proof of Lemma 3.8(c).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.10.** All semisaturated fusion systems are quasisaturated.

**Proof.** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ induced by $(F, S)$ and let $Q < P$. Then $Q^F = Q^E$ as $P$ is strongly $F$-closed. Now choose $R \in Q^E$ such that $R$ is fully $F$-normalized. Then we obtain that $R$ is receptive by the Lemma 3.8 (a) and (b), which proves the claim.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.11.** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ and let $R$ a fully normalized subgroup of $P$ with respect to $E$. Then the following hold:

(a) $R$ is a receptive subgroup of $P$ with respect to $E$.

(b) For each $Q \in R^E$, there exists $\phi \in Hom_E(Q, R)$ such that $N_\phi(Q) = N_P(Q)$ with respect to $E$.

**Proof.** Let $E$ be induced by $(F, S)$ where $F$ is saturated, and let $R^* \in R^E = R^F$ such that $R^*$ is fully $F$-normalized.

We can pick $f \in Hom_E(R, R^*)$ such that $f$ extends to $\overline{f} \in Hom_E(N_P(R), N_P(R^*))$ by Corollary 3.9.

Let $Q \in R^E$ and $\phi \in Hom_E(Q, R)$. Set $\phi \circ f = \psi$. Since $R^*$ is receptive, $\psi$ extends to $\overline{\psi} \in Hom_E(N_\psi(Q), N_P(R^*))$. We now claim that $N_\psi(Q) = N_\psi(Q)$. Let $x \in N_\psi(Q)$. Then there exists $y \in N_P(R)$ such that $c_x^\phi = c_y$. Then we have

$$c_x^\psi = c_x^\phi \circ f = c_y \circ f = c_y \overline{f}.$$

We get that $x \in N_\psi(Q)$ as $y \overline{f} \in N_P(R^*)$. Now let $x \in N_\psi(Q)$. Then there exists $z \in N_P(R^*)$ such that $c_x^\psi = c_x^\phi \circ f = c_z$. It follows that

$$c_x^\phi = c_z \circ f^{-1} = c_z \overline{f}^{-1}.$$

We get that $x \in N_\phi(Q)$ as $z \overline{f}^{-1} \in N_P(R)$. Consequently, $N_\phi(Q) = N_\phi(Q)$. Now we are ready to prove (a). Observe that $\overline{\psi} \circ \overline{f}^{-1} \in Hom_E(N_\phi(Q), N_P(R))$, and restriction of $\overline{\psi} \circ \overline{f}^{-1}$ to $Q$ is $\phi \circ f \circ f^{-1} = \phi$ as desired.

Since $\psi = \phi \circ f$, we can choose $\phi$ such that $N_\psi(Q) = N_P(Q)$ by Lemma 3.8 (b). Then (b) follows from the fact that $N_\phi(Q) = N_\phi(Q)$.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.12.** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. Then proper fully normalized subgroups of $P$ are reproductive.

**Definition 3.13.** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. An essential collection $\mathcal{E}$ is called a **main essential collection** if each member of $\mathcal{E}$ is fully normalized.
**Theorem 3.14.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. Then a main essential collection always exists (possibly empty). Moreover, for any main essential collection $\mathcal{E}$, we have $\mathcal{E} = \langle \text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{E} \text{ or } Q = P \rangle$.

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{U}$ be $\mathcal{E}$-conjugate representative of proper fully normalized subgroups of $P$. By Corollary 3.12, we have $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^*$. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}$ is also $\mathcal{E}$-conjugate representative of $\mathcal{E}^*$ as each proper subgroup has an $\mathcal{E}$-conjugate lying in $\mathcal{U}$. Now set $\mathcal{E} = \{Q \in \mathcal{U} \mid Q \text{ is } \mathcal{E} \text{-essential}\}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{E}$ is an essential collection whose members are fully normalized, which makes $\mathcal{E}$ a main essential collection. We obtain that $\mathcal{E} = \langle \text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{E} \text{ or } Q = P \rangle$ by Theorem 3.13. □

Consequently, fully normalized essential subgroups are our main objects right now. Thus, we shall focus on the structure of $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$ where $Q$ is a fully normalized $\mathcal{E}$-essential subgroup.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ induced by $(\mathcal{F}, S)$. Let $Q$ be a receptive subgroup of $S$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\text{Aut}_P(Q)$ is strongly closed in $\text{Aut}_S(Q)$ with respect to $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{F}(Q)$.

*Proof.* Let $c_x \in \text{Aut}_P(Q)$ where $x \in N_P(Q)$ and $\psi \in \text{Aut}_\mathcal{F}(Q)$ such that $c_x^\psi \in \text{Aut}_S(Q)$. We need to show that $c_x^\psi \in \text{Aut}_P(Q)$.

We see that $c_x^\psi \in \text{Aut}_S(Q)^\psi \cap \text{Aut}_S(Q)$, and so $x \in N_S(Q)$. On the other hand, $Q$ is receptive, and so $\psi$ extends to $\overline{\psi} \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{F}(N_S(Q), P)$. It then follows that $c_x^\psi = c_x^{\overline{\psi}} = c_x^{x_{\overline{\psi}}}$ on $Q$. Since $P$ is strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed, we obtain that $x_{\overline{\psi}} \in P$, and so $x_{\overline{\psi}} \in P \cap N_S(Q) = N_P(Q)$. Thus, $c_x^\psi = c_x^{x_{\overline{\psi}}} \in \text{Aut}_P(Q)$ as desired. □

**Remark 3.16.** In the above lemma, $\text{Aut}_S(Q)$ is not necessarily a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{F}(Q)$ unless $Q$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ and let $R \leq P$ a fully $\mathcal{E}$-normalized. Let $T \in Syl_p(\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q))$ such that $\text{Aut}_P(Q) \leq T$. Then $\text{Aut}_P(Q)$ is strongly closed in $T$ with respect to $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$.

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{E}$ be induced by $(\mathcal{F}, S)$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated, and let $R \in Q^\mathcal{E} = Q^\mathcal{F}$ such that $R$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized. There exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{E}(R, Q)$ such that $\phi$ extends to $\overline{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{E}(N_P(R), N_P(Q))$ by Corollary 3.9.

As $R$ is a receptive subgroup of $S$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$, we see that $\text{Aut}_P(R)$ is strongly closed in $\text{Aut}_S(R)$ with respect to $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{F}(R)$ by Lemma 3.15. Note also that $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{F}(R) = \text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(R)$ as $R \leq P$ and $\text{Aut}_S(R)$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$ as $R$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized. Clearly, $\overline{\phi}$ induces an isomorphism $\Psi : \text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(R) \to \text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$ with $\Psi(f) = f^{\overline{\phi}}$ for $f \in \text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(R)$. Hence, we get that $\Psi(\text{Aut}_P(R)) = \text{Aut}_P(Q)$ is strongly closed in $T^* = \Psi(\text{Aut}_S(R))$ with respect to $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$. Notice that $T^*$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$. It follows that $\text{Aut}_P(R)$ is strongly closed in each Sylow subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\mathcal{E}(Q)$ that contains $\text{Aut}_P(R)$, which proves the claim. □

**Definition 3.18.** Let $G$ be a group and $S \in Syl_p(G)$, and $D > 1$ be a strongly closed subgroup in $S$ with respect to $G$. We say that a proper subgroup $H$ of $G$ is strongly $D$-embedded in $G$ if

i) $D^x \leq H$ for some $x \in G$. 
ii) for all \( g \in G - H \), the subgroup \( H \cap H^g \) does not contain any \( G \)-conjugate of any nontrivial subgroup \( U \) of \( D \).

**Remark 3.19.** In the case that \( D = S \), being strongly \( D \)-embedded in \( G \) is equivalent to being strongly \( p \)-embedded in \( G \).

**Lemma 3.20.** Let \( G \) be a group and \( S \in Syl_p(G) \), and \( D > 1 \) be a strongly closed subgroup in \( S \) with respect to \( G \). Let \( H = \{ x \in G \mid D \cap D^x > 1 \} \).

(a) If \( H \) is proper in \( G \) then \( H \) is strongly \( D \)-embedded in \( G \).

(b) Assume that \( K \) is strongly \( D \)-embedded in \( G \) and \( D \leq K \). Then \( H \leq K \). Moreover, \( O_p(G) \cap D = 1 \).

**Proof.** (a) Assume \( H < G \). Clearly we have \( S \leq H \) as \( D \leq S \). Pick \( x \in G \setminus H \) such that \( H \cap H^x \) contains \( V > 1 \) where \( V \) is conjugate to a subgroup of \( D \). Then we have \( V \leq R \cap T^x \) for some \( R, T \in Syl_p(H) \). Since \( S \leq H \), set \( R = S^a \) and \( T = S^b \) for some \( a, b \in H \).

Clearly, \( D^a \) is strongly closed in \( S^a \) and \( V \leq S^a \). Since \( V \) is conjugate to a subgroup of \( D^a \), we have \( V \leq D^a \). Similarly, we have \( V \leq D^b \), and so \( 1 < V \leq D^a \cap D^b \). Then \( D \cap D^{ba^{-1}} \neq 1 \). Thus, we get \( bx a^{-1} \in H \), and so \( x \in H \), which is a contradiction. Hence \( H \) is strongly \( D \)-embedded in \( G \).

(b) Let \( K \) be strongly \( D \)-embedded in \( G \) and \( D \leq K \). Let \( x \in G \) such that \( D \cap D^x > 1 \). Then \( K \cap K^x \) contains \( D \cap D^x > 1 \), which forces that \( x \in K \). Thus, \( H \leq K \) as desired.

Since \( S \leq H \), we see that \( O_p(G) \leq H \cap H^x \) for any \( x \in G - H \). Note that \( H \) is strongly \( D \)-embedded in \( G \) by part (a), and so \( O_p(G) \cap D \leq H \cap H^x \cap D = 1 \).

\( \square \)

**Proposition 3.21.** Let \( E \) be a semisaturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \) and \( Q \) be a fully normalized \( E \)-essential subgroup of \( P \). Then the following hold:

(a) \( Q \) is \( E \)-centric.

(b) Let \( H = \langle \phi \in Out_E(Q) \mid Out_P(Q) \cap Out_P(Q)^\phi > 1 \rangle \). Then \( H \) is strongly \( Out_P(Q) \)-embedded in \( Out_E(Q) \). Moreover, \( Out_P(Q) \cap O_P(Out_E(Q)) = 1 \).

(c) \( Inn(Q) = Core_G(D) \) where \( G = Aut_E(Q) \) and \( D = Aut_P(Q) \).

**Proof.** Part (a) directly follows from Lemma 3.6.

(b) Set \( K = \langle \phi \in Aut_E(Q) \mid N_\phi(Q) > Q \rangle \). Since \( Q \) is essential, \( K \) is proper in \( Aut_E(Q) \). Moreover, we see that \( Aut_P(Q) \) is strongly closed in \( S \) with respect to \( Aut_E(Q) \) by Lemma 3.17 where \( S \in Syl_p(Aut_E(Q)) \) such that \( Aut_P(Q) \leq S \).

Since \( Q \) is \( E \)-centric, \( N_\phi(Q) > Q \) if and only if \( Aut_P(Q) \cap Aut_P(Q)^\phi > Inn(Q) \) if and only if \( Out_P(Q) \cap Out_P(Q)^\phi > 1 \). It follows that \( K/Inn(Q) = H = \langle \phi \in Out_E(Q) \mid Out_P(Q) \cap Out_P(Q)^\phi > 1 \rangle \) is proper in \( Out_E(Q) \). Note that \( Out_P(Q) = Aut_P(Q)/Inn(Q) \) is strongly closed in \( S/Inn(Q) \) with respect to \( Out_E(Q) = Aut_E(Q)/Inn(Q) \). Then \( H \) is strongly \( Out_P(Q) \)-embedded in \( Out_E(Q) \) by Lemma 3.20(a). We also see that \( Out_P(Q) \cap O_P(Out_E(Q)) = 1 \) by Lemma 3.20(b).

(c) Let \( C = Core_G(D) \) where \( G = Aut_E(Q) \) and \( D = Aut_P(Q) \). We have clearly \( Inn(Q) \leq C \) as \( Inn(Q) \leq D \). Suppose that \( C > Inn(Q) \) and write \( \overline{C} = C/Inn(Q) \). Then \( 1 < \overline{C} \leq Out_P(Q) \), which yields that \( 1 < \overline{C} \leq Out_P(Q) \cap Out_P(Q)^\phi \) for all \( \phi \in Out_E(Q) \) as \( \overline{C} \leq Out_E(Q) \). This contradicts with the fact that \( H \) is proper in \( Out_E(Q) \). Thus, \( C = Inn(Q) \) as desired. \( \square \)
Corollary 3.22. Let \( E \) be a semisaturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \) and \( Q \) be a fully normalized \( E \)-centric subgroup of \( P \). Then \( Q \) is essential if and only if \( \text{Out}_E(Q) \) has a strongly \( \text{Out}_P(Q) \)-embedded subgroup.

**Proof.** If \( Q \) is essential then the result follows by Proposition 3.21. Suppose that \( \text{Out}_E(Q) \) has a strongly \( \text{Out}_P(Q) \)-embedded subgroup. Then \( \langle \phi \in \text{Out}_E(Q) \mid \text{Out}_P(Q) \cap \text{Out}_P(Q)^{\phi} > 1 \rangle \) is proper in \( \text{Out}_E(Q) \) by Lemma 3.20. It follows that \( \langle \phi \in \text{Out}_E(Q) \mid N_{\phi}(Q) > QC_P(Q) \rangle \) is proper in \( \text{Out}_E(Q) \). Since \( Q \) is \( E \)-centric, we have \( Q = QC_P(Q) \), and so \( Q \) is essential. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.23. Let \( E \) be a semisaturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \), and \( Q \) be a fully normalized subgroup of \( P \). Then the fusion system \( N_E(Q) \) is also semisaturated.

**Proof.** Let \( E \) be induced by \((\mathcal{F}, S)\) where \( \mathcal{F} \) is saturated, and let \( R \in Q^E = Q^F \) such that \( R \) is fully \( \mathcal{F} \)-normalized. There exists \( \phi \in \text{Hom}_E(Q, R) \) such that \( \phi \) extends to \( \overline{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_E(N_P(Q), N_P(R)) \) by Corollary 3.9.

We have that \( N_F(R) \) is saturated as \( R \) is fully \( \mathcal{F} \)-normalized. Moreover, \( N_P(Q) \) is strongly \( N_F(R) \)-closed. Then it is easy to see that \( N_E(R) = N_F(R) \leq N_P(Q) \), and so \( N_E(R) \) is semisaturated. On the other hand, the isomorphism \( \overline{\phi}^{-1} : N_P(R) \rightarrow N_P(Q) \) induces an isomorphism of fusion systems from \( N_E(R) \) to \( N_E(Q) \). It then follows that \( N_E(Q) \) is semisaturated as desired. \( \square \)

The following lemma creates a bridge between saturated and semisaturated fusion systems.

Lemma 3.24. Let \( E \) be a semisaturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \) induced by \((\mathcal{F}, S)\) and \( Q \leq P \). The following hold:

(a) \( Q \) is strongly \( E \)-closed if and only if \( Q \) is strongly \( \mathcal{F} \)-closed.

(b) \( Q \leq E \) if and only if \( Q \leq \mathcal{F} \), and in particular \( P \leq E \) if and only if \( P \leq \mathcal{F} \).

**Proof.** For any \( x \in Q \), we have \( x^E = x^F \) as \( Q \leq P \). Thus (a) follows directly.

(b) If \( Q \leq \mathcal{F} \) then it is obvious that \( Q \leq E \). Thus, assume \( Q \leq E \) and consider the ascending central series of \( Q \):

\[
1 = Q_0 \leq Q_1 \leq Q_2 \ldots \leq Q_{n-1} \leq Q_n = Q.
\]

Since \( Q_i \) is characteristic in \( Q \), we have \( Q_i \leq E \) and in particular, \( Q_i \) is strongly \( E \)-closed. It follows that \( Q_i \) is strongly \( \mathcal{F} \)-closed by part (a). Then we get that \( Q \leq \mathcal{F} \) by an Aschbacher’s result (see [1 Proposition 4.62]). \( \square \)

Corollary 3.25. A \( p \)-group \( P \) is strongly resistant in saturated fusion systems if and only if it is resistant in semisaturated fusion systems.

Theorem 3.26. Let \( E \) be a semisaturated fusion system on a \( p \)-group \( P \) and \( D \) be a strongly \( E \)-closed subgroup of \( P \). Then \( D \leq E \) if and only if \( \Omega^*(D) \) has a subgroup series

\[
1 = Q_0 \leq Q_1 \leq Q_2 \ldots \leq Q_{n-1} \leq Q_n = \Omega^*(D)
\]

such that

(a) \( Q_i \) is strongly \( E \)-closed for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \).

(b) \( |Q_{i+1}, D| \leq Q_i \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \).
Proof. First suppose that $D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}$. Set $Q_i = Z_i(D) \cap \Omega^*(D)$ where $Z_i(D)$ is the $i$'th center of $D$. Since $Q_i$ is characteristic in $D$ and $D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}$, we see that each $Q_i$ is strongly $\mathcal{E}$-closed. Moreover, $[Q_{i+1}, D] \leq Z_i(D) \cap \Omega^*(D) = Q_i$. Thus,

$$1 = Q_0 \leq Q_1 \leq Q_2 \ldots \leq Q_{n-1} \leq Q_n = \Omega^*(P)$$

is the desired subgroups series of $\Omega^*(D)$.

Now suppose that $\Omega^*(D)$ has such a subgroup series. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be induced by $(F, S)$ and set $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_{\leq D}$. Hence, we see that $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{F}_{\leq D}$, that is, $\mathcal{E}'$ is also semisaturated. Moreover,

$$D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}' \iff D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{F} \iff D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}$$

by Lemma 3.24(b). Thus, it is enough to show that $D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}'$. Note that each $Q_i$ is also strongly $\mathcal{E}'$-closed by Lemma 3.24(a).

Suppose that $Q$ is a fully normalized $\mathcal{E}'$-essential subgroup of $D$. Clearly, $\Omega^*(Q) \leq \Omega^*(D)$. Set

$$S_i = \Omega^*(Q) \cap Q_i$$

for $i = 0, 1, ..., n$.

We get that each $S_i$ are $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$-invariant as $\Omega^*(Q)$ is characteristic in $Q$ and each $Q_i$ is strongly $\mathcal{E}'$-closed. Set $A = \text{Aut}_D(Q)$. Then we see that

$$[S_{i+1}, A] \leq S_i$$

for $i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$ as $[Q_{i+1}, D] \leq Q_i$.

It follows that $\text{Aut}_D(Q) \leq O_p(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(Q))$ by Lemma 2.3(b), and so $\text{Out}_D(Q) \leq O_p(\text{Out}_{\mathcal{E}}(Q))$. On the other hand, $\text{Out}_D(Q) \cap O_p(\text{Out}_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)) = 1$ by Proposition 3.21(b), and hence $\text{Out}_D(Q) = 1$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}'$ by Theorem 3.14, which completes the proof. 

\[\square\]

Remark 3.27. For any $p$-group $P$, let $W(P)$ be a characteristic subgroup of $P$, which is uniquely determined by $P$. Suppose that for any $p$-group $P$

1. $C_{\text{Aut}(P)}(W(P))$ is a $p$-group.
2. For all $Q \leq P$, $W(Q) \leq W(P)$.

Then the previous theorem stays correct if we replace $\Omega^*$ with $W$ as we only use the above two properties of $\Omega^*$ in the proof. By taking $W(P) = P$ for all $p$-groups $P$, we have Aschbacher’s result (II Proposition 4.62) for semisaturated fusion systems:

Corollary 3.28. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$, and $D$ be a subgroup of $P$. Then $D \trianglelefteq \mathcal{E}$ if and only if there is a central series

$$1 = Q_0 \leq Q_1 \leq Q_2 \ldots \leq Q_{n-1} \leq Q_n = D$$

of $D$ such that $Q_i$ is strongly $\mathcal{E}$-closed for $i = 0, 1, ..., n$.

Lemma 3.29. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ and $Q \leq P$ be strongly $\mathcal{E}$-closed. Then $\mathcal{E}/Q$ is a semisaturated fusion system on $P/Q$. 

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be induced by $(\mathcal{F}, S)$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated. Then $Q$ is strongly $\mathcal{F}$-closed by Lemma \ref{lem:fclosed}a. Hence, $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}/Q$ is saturated by [1] Proposition 5.11. Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = P/Q$ is strongly $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$-closed. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}/Q$ is semisaturated as $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}$.

Lemma 3.30. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. Then $P \leq \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $P$ does not have any fully normalized essential subgroup.

Proof. If $P$ does not have any fully normalized essential subgroup, then we get $P \leq \mathcal{E}$ by Theorem 3.12. Now assume that $P \leq \mathcal{E}$ and $Q$ is a fully normalized essential subgroup of $P$. Then $Aut_P(Q) \leq Aut_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$.

Then $Inn(Q) = Core_{Aut_P(Q)}(Aut_P(Q)) = Aut_P(Q)$ by Proposition 3.21(c), and so $QC_P(Q) = NP(Q)$. We get $C_P(Q) \leq Q$ by Proposition 3.21(a), and so $Q = NP(Q)$. Hence, $Q = P$ which is a contradiction.

3.2. Proof of Theorems $A$ and $C$. The proof of Theorem C directly follows from Theorem 3.26 as saturated fusion systems are also semisaturated.

Now we shall prove Theorem A. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}|_{\leq P}$. First we note the following:

- For $Q \leq P$, we have $Q^\mathcal{E} = Q^\mathcal{F}$.
- $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups with respect to $P$ are exactly $\mathcal{E}$-centric subgroups of $P$.
- Let $Q$ be a $\mathcal{F}$-normal subgroup of $P$. Then $Q$ is an $\mathcal{E}$-essential subgroup of $P$ if and only if $Q$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$ by Proposition 3.21 Lemma 3.8(c) and Corollary 3.22.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-conjugacy representative of proper fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized subgroups of $P$. By Corollary 3.12 and Lemma 3.8(c), we have $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^\mathcal{E}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}$ is also $\mathcal{E}$-conjugacy representative of $\mathcal{F}^\mathcal{E}$ as each proper subgroup has an $\mathcal{E}$-conjugate lying in $\mathcal{U}$. Now set $\mathcal{E} = \{Q \in \mathcal{U} \mid Q$ is $\mathcal{E}$-essential$\}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a main collection whose each member is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized. Next we see that each member of $\mathcal{E}$ is also $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$. We have $\mathcal{E} = (Aut_{\mathcal{E}}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{E} or Q = P)$ by Theorem 3.14. Moreover, we also know that $Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = Aut_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$, and so the first part of Theorem A follows.

Now we are going to show that $P \leq \mathcal{F}$ if and only if there is no $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$.

Suppose first that $P \leq \mathcal{F}$. Then we get that $P \leq \mathcal{E}$ by Lemma 3.24 and so there is no fully formalized $\mathcal{E}$-essential subgroup by Lemma 3.30. Then we see that there is no $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$, which completes the proof for this part.

Now suppose that there is no $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroup with respect to $P$. Then there is no fully normalized $\mathcal{E}$-essential subgroup, and so $P \leq \mathcal{E}$ by Theorem 3.14. Then we get $P \leq \mathcal{F}$ by Lemma 3.24.

4. Applications

Recall that a group is called $p$-closed if it has a unique Sylow $p$-subgroup. Note that all subgroups and homogeneous images of a $p$-closed group are $p$-closed. On the other hand, if a group $G$ is not $p$-closed, then $G/N$ is not $p$-closed as well for every normal $p$-subgroup $N$ of $G$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. If $Q$ is a fully normalized essential subgroup of $P$ then $Aut_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$ is not $p$-closed.
Proof. Suppose that \(\text{Aut}_E(Q)\) is \(p\)-closed, and let \(S\) be the normal Sylow \(p\)-subgroup of \(\text{Aut}_E(Q)\). Since \(\text{Aut}_P(Q)\) is strongly closed in \(S\) with respect to \(\text{Aut}_E(Q)\) by Lemma 3.17 we get that \(\text{Aut}_P(Q) \subseteq \text{Aut}_E(Q)\), which causes a contradiction as in the proof of Lemma 3.30. \(\square\)

4.1. Generalized extraspecial \(p\)-groups. Recall that a \(p\)-group \(P\) is said to be \textbf{generalized extraspecial} \(p\)-group if \(\Phi(P) = P' \cong C_p\). The following result shows that generalized extraspecial \(p\)-groups are resistant in semisaturated fusion systems with two possible exceptional cases.

\textbf{Theorem 4.2.} Let \(E\) be a semisaturated fusion system on a generalized extraspecial \(p\)-group \(P\). Then \(E = E_G(P)\) unless \(P = E \times A\) for an elementary abelian group \(A\) where \(E\) is a dihedral group of order 8 when \(p = 2\) or is an extraspecial \(p\)-group of order \(p^3\) with exponent \(p\) when \(p > 2\).

Proof. Let \(A\) be a group acting on a \(p\)-group \(P\) and let \(H\) be an \(A\)-invariant subgroup of \(P\). We denote the set of all \(A\)-invariant cyclic subgroups of \(P\) that are not contained in \(H\) by \(C_A(G,H)\). We give some sufficient conditions for \(C_A(G,H)\) to be nonempty by the means of a counting argument.

\textbf{Proposition 4.3.} Let \(A\) be a group acting on a \(p\)-group \(P\) via automorphisms of order \(r^k\) where \(r\) is a prime dividing \(p - 1\) and let \(H\) be an \(A\)-invariant subgroup of \(P\). Set \(|P| = p^n\) and \(|H| = p^s\). If \(n \equiv c \mod r\) then \(C_A(G,H)\) is nonempty. Moreover, if \(C_A(G,H) \neq \emptyset\), then \(A\) acts fixed point freely on each member of \(C_A(G,H)\).

\textbf{Lemma 4.4.} The number of the nontrivial cyclic subgroups of a \(p\)-group of order \(p^n\) is congruent to \(n\) modulo \(p - 1\).

Proof. Let \(a_k\) be the number of the cyclic subgroups of \(P\) of order \(p^k\) for \(k \geq 0\). Define an equivalence relation on \(P\) by \(x \sim y\) if and only if \(|x| = |y|\). Then the size of the class of \(x\) is equal to \(\varphi(p^k)a_k\) where \(|x| = p^k\) and \(\varphi\) is the Euler phi function. Then we get that

\[ |P| = p^n = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k \varphi(p^k) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k p^{k-1} (p - 1) \]

where \(d\) is the exponent of \(P\). It follows that

\[ \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1} = 1 + p + p^2 + \ldots + p^{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k p^{k-1}. \]

Now the left hand side is congruent to \(n\) modulo \(p - 1\) and the right hand side is congruent to \(\sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k\) modulo \(p - 1\). Clearly, \(\sum_{k=1}^{d} a_k\) is equal to the number of the nontrivial cyclic subgroups of \(P\) and the result follows. \(\square\)

\textbf{Proof of Proposition 4.3.} Let \(C\) be the nontrivial cyclic subgroups of \(P\) that are not contained in \(H\). Then \(|C|\) of is congruent to \(n - c\) modulo \(p - 1\) by Lemma 1.3. Since \(r\) is a divisor of \(p - 1\), we get that \(|C| \equiv n - c \mod r\). By hypothesis, \(n - c \not\equiv 0 \mod r\), and so \(|C| \not\equiv 0 \mod r\).
Now consider the action of $A$ on $C$. Then we have $|C| \equiv |C_A(G,H)| \mod r$, and so $C_A(G,H) \neq \emptyset$. Now assume $C_U(A) \leq H$ and pick $U \in C_A(G,H)$. Clearly, $A$ acts nontrivially on $U$ as $U$ is not contained in $H$. Since the action of $A$ on $U$ is coprime, we have $U = [U, A] \times C_U(A)$, and so $C_U(A) = 1$ as $U$ is cyclic.

The following is well known.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $P$ be a nonabelian metacyclic $p$-group of order $p^3$. Then it has exactly $p$ cyclic maximal groups and a unique maximal subgroup isomorphic to $C_p \times C_p$.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $P$ be a generalized extraspecial $p$-group. Suppose that one of the maximal subgroup of $P$ is elementary abelian. Then $P = E \times A$ where $E$ is a nonabelian $p$-group of order $p^3$ and $A$ is elementary abelian.

**Proof.** Let $P$ be a generalized extraspecial $p$-group and $M$ be a maximal subgroup of $P$ such that $M$ is elementary abelian. Let $E$ be a minimal nonabelian subgroup of $P$.

Notice that $P' \leq E$, as otherwise, $P' \cap E = 1$ which leads that $E$ is abelian. Clearly $1 < \Phi(E) \leq \Phi(P)$, which forces that $\Phi(E) = \Phi(P) \cong C_p$. Since all maximal subgroups of $E$ are abelian, we have $Z(E) = \Phi(E)$ and $|E : Z(E)| = p^2$. Thus, $E$ is a nonabelian $p$-group of order $p^3$.

Clearly, $M \cap E$ is a maximal subgroup of $E$. Then we can pick $x \in M \cap E$ and $y \in E - M \cap E$ such that $(x, y) = E$. Since $|P'| = p$, we get that $|P : C_P(y)| = p$, that is, $C_P(y)$ is a maximal subgroup of $P$, and so $|M : C_M(y)| = p$. We see that $C_M(y) \leq C_M(x)$ as $x \in M$, which yields that $C_M(y) = C_M(E)$.

Write $C = C_M(E)$. Clearly, $P = CE$ and $E \cap C = Z(E) = P'$. We see that $C$ is elementary abelian, and so $C = P' \times A$ for some $A \leq C$. Then $P = E \times A$ as desired. □

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$. If $Q$ and $R$ are $E$-conjugate normal subgroups of $P$, then there exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}_E(P)$ such that $R = Q\psi$.

**Proof.** There exists $\psi \in \text{Hom}_E(Q,R)$ such that $N_P(\psi) = N_P(Q) = P$ by Lemma 3.8 Since $R$ is receptive, $\psi$ extends to $\overline{\psi} \in \text{Hom}_E(N_P(Q), P) = \text{Hom}_E(P, P) = \text{Aut}_E(P)$. □

**Proof of Theorem 4.2** Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a generalized extraspecial $p$-group $P$ such that $E \neq N_E(P)$. It then follows that $P'$ is not strongly closed in $P$ by Corollary 3.29. Hence, there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}_E(P',P)$ such that $R = P'\phi \neq P'$.

Suppose that $R \cap P$. Then there exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}_E(P)$ such that $R = P'\psi$ by Lemma 4.4, which leads a contradiction as $P'\psi = P$.

It follows that any $\text{Aut}_E(P)$-conjugate of $R$ is not normal in $P$.

Since $|P'| = p$ and $R$ is cyclic, we see that $|P : C_P(R)| = p$. Write $M = C_P(R)$. Then $\phi^{-1}$ extends to $\phi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}_E(M, P)$ by Lemma 3.11(a). Set $N = M\phi^{-1}$. Notice that $P' \leq N$ as $N$ is a maximal subgroup of $P$. We see that there exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}_E(P)$ such that $N\psi = M$ Lemma 4.7 and so $\phi^{-1}\psi \in \text{Aut}_E(M)$. Moreover, $R\phi^{-1}\psi = P'\psi = P'$. Since $R \neq P'$, we get that $P'$ is not a characteristic subgroup in $M$. We have that $1 \leq \Phi(M) \leq \Phi(P) = P' \cong C_p$. Then we obtain that $\Phi(M) = 1$, and so $M$ is elementary abelian. It follows that $P = E \times A$ where $E$ is a nonabelian $p$-group of order $p^3$ and $A$ is elementary abelian by Lemma 4.6.

Let $p = 2$. Then $M \cap E \cong C_2 \times C_2$, and so $E$ is not quaternion, which forces that $E$ is a dihedral group of order 8. It is left to show that $E$ is of exponent $p$ when $p > 2$. Suppose that $E$ is of exponent $p^2$. We see that there exists a fully normalized essential subgroup $Q$ of $P$ by Lemma 3.30. Moreover, $Q = N_i \times A$
for some \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, p + 1 \) where each \( N_i \) is maximal subgroup of \( E \) as \( C_P(Q) \leq Q \) by Proposition 3.21(a). Without loss of generality, we set \( N_1 = C_P \times C_P \) and \( N_i = C_{p^2} \) for \( i > 1 \) by Lemma 4.4. Notice that \( N_1 \times A = M \). If \( M \) is not essential, then all essentials are of the form \( Q = N_i \times A \) for some \( i > 1 \), and hence \( \Phi(Q) = P' \). It follows that \( P' \) is invariant under \( \text{Aut}_E(Q) \) and \( \text{Aut}_E(P) \), which yields that \( P' \) is strongly \( E \)-closed by Theorem 3.14. This contradiction yields that \( M \) is an essential subgroup of \( P \).

Now let's consider \( D = \text{Aut}_P(M) \). Clearly, \( D \) is of order \( p \) and centralizes a hyperspace of \( M \). Since \( M \) is abelian, \( \text{Aut}_E(M) = \text{Out}_E(M) \). We see that \( D = \text{Aut}_P(M) \) is strongly closed in \( G = \text{Aut}_E(M) \) and \( O_p(G) \cap D = 1 \) by Proposition 3.21(b). Pick \( x \in G \) such that \( D \neq D^x \). Set \( H = (D, D^x) \). Notice that \( H \) is not a \( p \)-group, and moreover it is not \( p \)-closed. Note that \( D^x \) also centralizes a hyperspace of \( M \), and so \( |M : C_M(H)| \leq p^2 \). Since \( H \) has some nontrivial \( p' \)-elements and \( H \) acts faithfully on \( M \), we obtain that \( |M : C_M(H)| = p^2 \), and \( H \) acts on \( M/C_M(H) \cong C_p \times C_p \). There is an induced homomorphism \( f : H \rightarrow GL(2, p) \) by the action of \( H \) on \( M/C_M(H) \). Set \( K = \text{Ker}(f) \). Let \( \phi \) be a \( p' \)-element of \( K \). Then we have \([M, \phi, \phi] = 1\) and so \([M, \phi] = \{1\}\) by coprime action. Since the action of \( K \) on \( M \) is faithful, we obtain that \( \phi = 1 \), that is, \( K \) is a \( p \)-group. Since \( H \) is not \( p \)-closed, \( \langle f(H) \rangle \cong H/K \) is not \( p \)-closed as well, and so \( SL(2, p) \leq f(H) \).

Note that \( D \cap K = 1 \) as \( D \) acts nontrivially on \( M/C_M(H) \) and \( D \) is of order \( p \). Let \( S \) be a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( H \) such that \( D \leq S \). Then \( K \triangleleft S \) as \( K \) is a normal \( p \)-subgroup in \( H \). On the other hand, \( D \triangleleft S \) as \( D \) is strongly closed in \( S \) with respect to \( H \). It follows that \([D, K] = 1\). Then we obtain that \([D^x, K] = 1\) in a similar way, and so \([K, H] = 1\) as \( H = \langle D, D^x \rangle \). Consequently, we have \( K \leq Z(H) \).

Let \( i \) be the unique involution lying in \( SL(2, p) \), and consider \( U = f^{-1}((i)) \). Note that \([U : K] = 2\) and \( K \) is a \( p \)-group where \( p > 2 \) and \( U \triangleleft H \) as \( \langle i \rangle \triangleleft GL(2, p) \). Let \( \langle \phi \rangle \) be a Sylow \( 2 \)-subgroup of \( U \). Since \( K \leq Z(H) \), we get \( \langle \phi \rangle \triangleleft U \), and so \( \langle \phi \rangle \) is characteristic in \( U \). It follows that \( \langle \phi \rangle \triangleleft H \) as \( U \triangleleft G \). Consequently, we get \( \phi \in Z(H) \) as \([\phi] = 2\).

Clearly \( \phi \) centralizes \( D = \text{Aut}_P(M) \), and so \( N_\phi(M) = P \), and \( \phi \) extends to \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}_E(P) \). Since \( p \) is odd, we can also choose \( \alpha \) of order 2. Note that

\[
C_M(\alpha) = C_M(\phi) = C_M(H) < C_M(D) = C_M(P).
\]

The second equality comes from the fact that \( f(\phi) = i \) is the unique involution in \( SL(2, p) \), which acts fixed point freely on \( M/C_M(H) \).

Let \( |P| = p^n \). Then \( M \) is of order \( p^{n-1} \), and clearly \( n - (n - 1) = 1 \neq 0 \mod 2 \). It follows that there exists an \( \alpha \)-invariant cyclic subgroup \( R \) of \( P \) such that \( R \not\subseteq M \) by Proposition 4.3. Then we have \( R \cong C_{p^2} \) as \( M = \Omega(P) \). It is easy to observe that \( P = MR \) and \( M \cap R = P' \) by using the fact that \( P = E \times A \). Since \([D, \phi] = 1\) which is the trivial isomorphism of \( M \), we get that \([P, \alpha] \) acts trivially on \( M \), that is, \( [P, \alpha] \leq C_P(M) = M \). Thus, \( \alpha \) acts trivially on \( P/M \), and so \( \alpha \) acts trivially on \( R/R \cap M \). Since \( R \) is cyclic, we get that \([R, \alpha] = 1\), and so \([P', \alpha] = 1\). Hence, \( P' \leq C_M(\alpha) \).

Notice that \([M, \alpha] = [P, \alpha] \) as \([P, \alpha, \alpha] = [P, \alpha] \leq M \). Hence, we get \([M, \alpha] \triangleleft P \).

\[
[M, \alpha, P] \leq [M, \alpha] \cap P' \leq [M, \alpha] \triangleleft C_M(\alpha) = 1.
\]

Thus, \( P \) centralizes \([M, \alpha] \). \( P \) also centralizes \( C_M(\alpha) \) as \( C_M(\alpha) < C_M(P) \). It then follows that \( P \) centralizes \( M \) as \( M = [M, \alpha] \times C_M(\alpha) \), which is impossible as \( M = C_P(M) \). This contradiction shows that \( E \) is of exponent \( p \) when \( p > 2 \) as desired.

\(\square\)
4.2. **Metacyclic p-groups.** In that subsection, we shall observe that a metacyclic p-group is resistant in semisaturated fusion system unless it is dihedral, semidihedral or generalized quaternion.

The following can be obtained by the proof of [2, Proposition 2.1]. To be precise, we shall give the proof.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let $P$ be a 2-generated group. If $A \leq \text{Aut}(P)$ is not p-closed, then $A$ acts transitively on the set of all maximal subgroups of $P$. In particular, all maximal subgroups of $P$ are isomorphic.

**Proof.** Consider the action of $A$ on $P/\Phi(P) \cong C_p \times C_p$. Then we have a homomorphism from $A$ to $\text{GL}(2,p)$. Since the kernel of this action is a $p$-group, the image of $A$, $\overline{A} \leq \text{GL}(2,p)$ is not $p$-closed, and hence $\text{SL}(2,p) \subseteq \overline{A}$. The action of $\text{SL}(2,p)$ is transitive on the maximal subgroups of $C_p \times C_p$. Thus, the action of $A$ on the set of all maximal subgroups of $P$ is transitive as well. \hfill \Box

We need the general version of [2, Proposition 3.2](a).

**Lemma 4.9.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $P$ be a metacyclic $p$-group. Then $\text{Aut}(P)$ is $p$-closed unless $P \cong C_{p^n} \times C_{p^n}$.

**Proof.** Let $P$ be a minimal counter example to the theorem and set $A = \text{Aut}(P)$. Clearly, $P$ is not cyclic and $P$ is a 2-generated group. Moreover, all maximal subgroups of $P$ are isomorphic by Lemma 4.8. It follows that if $P$ is abelian $P \cong C_{p^n} \times C_{p^n}$. So we may assume $P$ is nonabelian.

Note that $P'$ is cyclic, and so it has a unique subgroup $U$ of order $p$. Thus, $U$ is a characteristic subgroup of $P$ and $U \leq P' \leq \Phi(P)$. Assume $U < P'$. Then $P/U$ is nonabelian and we obtain that $\text{Aut}(P/U)$ is $p$-closed by the minimality of $P$, and so the image of $A$ in $\text{Aut}(P/U)$ is $p$-closed. On the other hand, $C_A(P/U)$ is a $p$-group, and so $A$ is $p$-closed. This contradiction shows that $P' = U$, that is, $|P'| = p$. In particular, $P' \leq Z(P)$ and $P$ is of class 2. Since $P$ is of class 2 and $p > 2$, we obtain that the exponent of $\Omega(P)$ is $p$ by Theorem 4.8. Note that if $|\Omega(P)| = p$, then $P$ is cyclic by [5, Theorem 6.11], which is a contradiction. Thus, $|\Omega(P)| \geq p^2$. Clearly $\Omega(P)$ is also metacyclic. Then there exists a cyclic normal subgroup $N$ of $\Omega(P)$ such that $\Omega(P)/N$ is cyclic. It follows that both $N$ and $\Omega(P)/N$ has order $p$ since $\Omega(P)$ is of exponent $p$. Thus, $\Omega(P) \cong C_p \times C_p$.

Let $\overline{A}$ be the image of $A$ in $\text{Aut}(\Omega(P))$. Moreover, $C_A(\Omega(P))$ is a $p$-group, and so $\overline{A}$ is not $p$-closed. It follows that $A$ acts transitively on the set of maximal subgroups of $\Omega(P)$ by Lemma 4.8. This is not possible as $P'$ is a maximal subgroup of $\Omega(P)$. This contradiction completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 4.10.** Let $p$ be an odd prime, $P$ be a metacyclic p-group and $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on $P$. Then $E = N_{\mathcal{E}}(P)$.

**Proof.** Let $P$ be a minimal counter example to the theorem and let $E$ be a minimal semisturated fusion system on $P$ such that $E \neq N_{\mathcal{E}}(P)$. Thus, we see that $P$ has a fully normalized essential subgroup $Q$ by Lemma 3.35. Clearly, $P$ is nonabelian. We also note that each proper subgroup of $P$ is also metacyclic.

We see that $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$ is not $p$-closed by Lemma 4.11 and so $\text{Aut}(Q)$ is not $p$-closed. It follows that $Q \cong C_{p^n} \times C_{p^n}$ by Lemma 4.9.

Let $R = N_P(Q)$ and assume that $R < P$. The minimality of $P$ forces that $R$ is normal in $N_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$, and so $\text{Aut}_P(Q) < \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(Q)$ which is not the case by Lemma 4.11. This contradiction shows that $Q < P$. \hfill \Box
Set $\mathcal{D} = N_{E}(Q)$ and assume that $\mathcal{D} < E$. The minimality of $E$ forces that $N_{P}(Q) = P$ is normal in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, $\text{Aut}_{P}(Q) \lhd \text{Aut}_{E}(Q) = \text{Aut}_{F}(Q)$ which is not possible by Lemma 3.11. Hence, we observe that $\mathcal{D} = E$, that is, $E = N_{E}(Q)$.

Now set $U = \Phi(Q)$ and assume that $U \neq 1$. Clearly $U$ is normal in $E$. Write $E = E/U$ and $P = P/U$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a semisaturated fusion system on $\mathcal{P}$. By the minimality of $P$, we get $K_{E}(E) = \mathcal{E}$. Thus, we observed that $\text{Aut}_{P}(P) \lhd \text{Aut}_{E}(P)$. Since $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Q)$ is the restriction of the automorphisms of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{E}}(P)$ to $Q$. Notice that the image of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ on $Q$ is exactly $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Q)$, and so $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Q) \lhd \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Q)$.

Set $A = \text{Aut}_{E}(Q)$ and consider the action of $A$ on $Q/U$. Note that $C_{A}(Q/U)$ is a $p$-group (see [5 Corollary 3.29]). Set $C = C_{A}(Q/U)$ and $D = \text{Aut}_{P}(Q)$. Then we see that $A/C = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Q)$ and $CD/C = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Q}}(Q)$, and so $CD \leq A$. Since $\text{Inn}(Q) = 1$, $A = \text{Out}_{E}(Q)$, and so there is a strongly $D$-embedded subgroup in $A$ by Proposition 3.21(b), and moreover $O_{p}(A) \cap D = 1$. Since $CD \leq O_{p}(A)$, we get that $D = \text{Aut}_{P}(Q) = 1$. This is impossible as $Q$ is $E$-centric and $P > Q$. This contradiction shows that $U = 1$ and $Q = C_{p} \times C_{p}$.

Since $\text{Aut}_{P}(Q) > 1$ and a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $GL(2, p)$ is of order $p$, we see that $\text{Aut}_{P}(Q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $GL(2, p)$.

Thus, $p = |\text{Aut}_{P}(Q)| = |N_{P}(Q)/C_{P}(Q)| = |P/Q|$. In particular, we see that $P$ is a nonabelian group of order $p^{3}$. Then we get that $P \triangleleft E$ by Theorem 4.2, which leads the final contradiction. □

Theorem 4.11. Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a finite metacyclic 2-group $P$. If $P$ is not dihedral, semidihedral or generalized quaternion, then $E = N_{E}(P)$.

We need the following well known fact.

Lemma 4.12. [4 Sec 5, Theorem 4.5] Let $P$ be a nonabelian 2-group such that $|P : P'| = 4$. Then $P$ is either dihedral, semidihedral or generalized quaternion group.

Lemma 4.13. [2 Proposition 3.2] Let $P$ be a metacyclic 2-group. If $\text{Aut}(P)$ is not a 2-group then $P \cong C_{8}$ or $P \cong C_{2^{n}} \times C_{2^{n}}$.

We also need to prove [2] Lemma 2.4 for semisaturated fusion systems.

Lemma 4.14. Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a 2-group $P$ and let $Q$ be an fully normalized abelian $E$-essential subgroup of $P$. Then the following hold:

(a) If $|Q| \leq 4$, then $Q \cong V_{4}$ and $P$ is dihedral or semidihedral.

(b) If $|Q| > 4$, and $P$ has rank 2, then $|P : Q| = 2$ and $P$ is wreathed.

Proof. (a) We know that $\text{Aut}_{E}(Q)$ is not 2-closed by Lemma 4.11 and so $Q \cong V_{4}$. Note that $C_{P}(Q) = Q$ by Proposition 3.21(a). It follows that $P$ is dihedral or semidihedral by [2 Corollary 2.3 (3)].

(b) Since $\text{Aut}_{E}(Q)$ is not 2-closed, it is not a 2-group. We also have that rank of $Q$ is 2, and so $Q = C_{2^{n}} \times C_{2^{n}}$ by [2 Corollary 2.3 (4)].

Note that $\text{Aut}_{E}(Q) = \text{Out}_{E}(Q)$ as $Q$ is abelian, and so $O_{2}(\text{Aut}_{E}(Q)) \cap \text{Aut}_{P}(Q) = 1$ by Proposition 3.21(b). Set $A = \text{Aut}_{E}(Q)$. Consider the action of $A$ on $Q/\Phi(Q) \cong V_{4}$. Note that $C_{A}(Q/\Phi(Q))$ is a 2-group, and so the image of $A$ in $GL(2, 2) \cong S_{3}$, $\overline{A}$, is not 2-closed, and so $\overline{A} \cong S_{3}$. We get that $C_{A}(Q/\Phi(Q)) = O_{2}(A)$ as $O_{2}(\overline{A}) = 1$. On the other hand, we have that $\text{Aut}_{P}(Q) \cap O_{2}(A) = 1$ and $\text{Aut}_{P}(Q) > 1$, which forces that $|\text{Aut}_{P}(Q)| = 2$. Let $R = N_{P}(Q)$. Then $|R : Q| = 2$. As in the computation carried in [2] Lemma 2.4, we can get that $R$ is wreathed. Notice that $Q$ is characteristic in $R$. Hence, $Q \triangleleft N_{P}(R) \subseteq N_{P}(Q) = R$. It follows that $R = P$, which completes the proof. □
Proof of Theorem 4.11. Let $P$ be a minimal counterexample to the theorem. We see that $P$ has an fully normalized essential subgroup $Q$ by Theorem 3.14. Clearly, $P$ is nonabelian.

If $Q$ is abelian, then $P$ is dihedral, semidihedral or wreathed by Lemma 4.14. By our hypothesis, $P$ is not dihedral or semidihedral, and $P$ is not wreathed as $P$ is metacyclic. It follows that $Q \cong Q_3$ by Lemma 4.11.

We claim that $Z = Z(P)$ is strongly $E$-closed. Note that $Z \subseteq C_P(Q) = Z(Q)$ as $Q$ is $E$ centric, and so $Z = Z(Q)$. Clearly, this is correct for any $E$-essential subgroup. Since $Z$ is invariant under $Aut_E(Q)$ and $Aut_E(P)$, we get that $Z$ is strongly closed by Theorem 3.14.

Now consider the fusion system $E/Z$ on $P/Z$. We claim that $P/Z \not\leq E/Z$. We can definitely suppose that $P/Z$ is nonabelian.

Assume that $P/Z$ is dihedral, semidihedral or quaternion. Notice that $P' \geq Q' = Z(Q) = Z(P)$. Thus, $P/P' \cong (P/Z(P))/(P'/Z(P)) \cong C_2 \times C_2$. This implies that $P$ is either dihedral, semidihedral or quaternion by Lemma 4.12 which is not the case. Then by induction applied to $P/Z$, we get that $P/Z \not\leq E/Z$. Write $\overline{P} = P/Z$. It follows that $Aut_{\overline{P}}(\overline{Q}) \not\leq Aut_{\overline{E}}(\overline{Q})$. Note that $|Aut_{\overline{P}}(\overline{Q})| > 4 = |Inn(Q)|$, and $Aut_{\overline{P}}(\overline{Q}) \leq S_4 \leq Aut(Q)$. Thus, $Aut_{\overline{P}}(\overline{Q})$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Aut_E(Q)$. The kernel of the action of $Aut_E(Q)$ to $Q/Z$ is a 2-group as $Z = \Phi(P)$, which yields that $Aut_{\overline{P}}(\overline{Q}) \not\leq Aut_E(Q)$ as $Aut_{\overline{P}}(\overline{Q}) \not\leq Aut_{\overline{E}}(\overline{Q})$. We have a contradiction by Lemma 4.11 which completes the proof.

4.3. Some $p$-groups of rank 2. The complete classification of $p$-groups of rank 2 for $p \geq 3$ can be found in [3] (see Theorem A.1). In that subsection, we prove the following:

Theorem 4.15. The following $p$-groups of rank 2 are resistant in semisaturated fusion systems.

(a) $P = C(p, r) = \langle a^p = b^p = c^{p^{-2}} = 1 \mid [a, b] = c^{p^{-3}}, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ where $p \geq 3$ and $r \geq 4$.
(b) $P = G(p, r, c) = \langle a^p = b^p = c^{p^{-2}} = 1 \mid [b, c] = 1, [a, b^{-1}] = c^{p^{-3}}, [a, c] = b \rangle$ where $p \geq 5$ and $r \geq 4$.

Let $\alpha$ act on a group $G$ via automorphisms $G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\alpha$ acts on $G$ via a power automorphism by $n$ if $g^\alpha = g^n$ for all $g \in G$.

Lemma 4.16. Let $P$ be a nonabelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ and let $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $P$ stabilizing a subgroup series $1 < U < M < P$. Suppose that $\alpha$ acts on $P/M$, $M/U$ and $U$ via power automorphism by $n$, $m$ and $k$, respectively. Then the following hold:

(a) If $U = Z(P)$, then $k \equiv nm \pmod{p}$.
(b) If $U \neq Z(P)$, then $m \equiv nk \pmod{p}$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that $U = Z(P)$. Pick $x \in P - M$ and $y \in M - Z(P)$. Then for some $s \in M$ and $t \in Z(P)$ we have

$$[x, y]^n = [x^n s, y^m t] = [x^n s, y^m] = [x^n, y^m][s, y^m]x^n = [x^n, y^m] = [x, y]^{nm}.$$ 

Since $[x, y] \neq 1$, we get $Z(P) = \langle [x, y] \rangle$, and the result follows.

(b) Suppose that $U \neq Z(P)$. Then $UZ(P) = M$, $Z(P) \cong M/U$ and $M/Z(P) \cong U$. It follows that $\alpha$ acts via an power automorphism on $Z(P)$ by $m$ and on $M/Z(P)$ by $k$. Then consider an $\alpha$-invariant subgroup series $1 < Z(P) < M < P$ and apply part (a).
Lemma 4.17. Let $E$ be a semisaturated fusion system on a $p$-group $P$ where $p \geq 5$, and $Q \cong C(p,r)$ be a fully normalized $E$-centric proper subgroup of $P$ where $r \geq 3$. Then

(a) If $Q$ is $E$-essential, then there exists $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_E(Q)$ of order $q^k$ where $q$ is a prime dividing $p - 1$ such that $(\alpha)$ normalizes $\text{Aut}_P(Q)$, $[\text{Aut}_P(Q), \alpha] \not\leq \text{Inn}(Q)$ and $[Z(\Omega(Q)), \alpha] = 1$.

(b) If $Q$ is not $E$-essential, then $\text{Aut}_E(Q)$ is $p$-closed.

**Proof.** First notice that $Q = \Omega(Q)Z(Q)$ where $\Omega(Q)$ is a nonabelian $p$-group of order $p^3$. Then one can easily obtain that $\Phi(\Omega(Q)) = \Phi(\Omega(Q))$. Since $C_{\text{Aut}(Q)}(\Omega(Q)/\Phi(\Omega(Q)))$ is a $p$-group, $C_{\text{Aut}(Q)}(\Omega(Q)/\Phi(\Omega(Q))$ is also a $p$-group. Set $V = \Omega(Q)/\Phi(\Omega(Q)) \cong C_p \times C_p$.

(a) Now assume that $Q$ is $E$-essential. Then $\text{Aut}_E(Q)$ is not $p$-closed by Lemma 4.1, which leads that $G = \text{Out}_E(Q)$ is not $p$-closed as well. Notice that $G$ acts on $V$ induced by the action of $\text{Aut}_E(Q)$ on $V$. We have $C_{\text{Aut}(Q)}(V)$ is a $p$-group, and so $C_G(V)$ is also a $p$-group. Consequently, the image of $G$ in $\text{GL}(2, p)$, $\overline{G}$, is not $p$-closed. Hence, $\overline{G} \geq \text{SL}(2, p)$.

Next we obtain that $C_G(V) = O_p(G)$ as $O_p(\overline{G}) = 1$. Set $D = \text{Out}_P(Q)$. We have that $D \cap O_p(G) = 1$ and $D > 1$ is strongly closed in a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ by Proposition 3.21 and so we see that $[D] = p$, and the image of $D$, $\overline{D}$, is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, p)$.

Thus, $S = O_p(G)D$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. There exists a cyclic subgroup $\overline{U}$ of order $p - 1$ normalizing $\overline{D}$. It follows that $U$ also normalizes $S$. Note that $D$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$. Hence, we get that $U$ also normalizes $D$. Next we see that $U$ can be chosen of order $p - 1$.

Note that $[\overline{U}, \overline{D}] \neq 1$, since otherwise we have $\text{SL}(2, p)$ is $p$-nilpotent by Burnside $p$-nilpotency theorem, which is impossible as $p \geq 5$. Thus, $[U, D] \neq 1$, and so there exists $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(U)$ such that $[Q, D] \neq 1$. Hence, we get that there exists $\langle \alpha \rangle \leq \text{Aut}_E(Q)$ of order $q^k$ such that $\langle \alpha \rangle$ normalizes $\text{Aut}_P(Q)$ and $[\text{Aut}_P(Q), \alpha] \not\leq \text{Inn}(Q)$.

It is left to show that $\alpha$ acts trivially on $Z(\Omega(Q))$. Since the image of $\alpha$ is in $\text{SL}(2, p)$, it is in the form of $\begin{bmatrix} \delta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $\langle \alpha \rangle$ stabilizes a subgroup series $1 < Z(\Omega(Q)) < M < \Omega(Q)$. Moreover the action of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ on $V$ is equivalent to the action of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ on $\Omega(Q)/Z(\Omega(Q))$. It then follows that $z^\alpha = z^{\delta \delta^{-1}} = z$ for all $z \in Z(\Omega(Q))$ by Lemma 3.16.

(b) Suppose that $\text{Aut}_E(Q)$ is not $p$-closed. Then we see that $\overline{G} = G/O_p(G)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\text{SL}(2, p)$ where $G = \text{Out}_E(Q)$ as in part (a). Moreover, $D = \text{Out}_P(Q)$ has order $p$. Then it is routine to check that $N_G(D)$ is strongly $D$-embedded in $G$. It follows that $Q$ is essential by Corollary 3.22. Hence, if $Q$ is not $E$-essential, then $\text{Aut}_E(Q)$ is $p$-closed.  

**Proof of Theorem 4.15.** Let $Q$ be an fully normalized $E$-essential subgroup of $P$.

Suppose that (a) holds. Since $Q$ is $E$-centric, we see that $Q \cong C_{p^r}(Q) \geq Z(P) = \langle c \rangle$. On the other hand, $Q > Z(P)$, and so $Q$ is a maximal subgroup of $P$ and $|Q : Z(P)| = p$. It follows that $Q$ is abelian and $Q \cong C_{p^{r-1}} \times C_p$. Since $\text{Aut}_E(Q)$ is not $p$-closed by Lemma 4.1, we see that $r = 3$ by Lemma 4.10. This is impossible as $r \geq 4$. This contradiction shows that there is no $E$-essential subgroup of $P$, and so $P \nsubseteq E$ by Lemma 3.30.

Now assume (b) holds. Let $Q$ be a fully normalized essential subgroup of $P$. We see that a proper centric subgroup of $P$ is isomorphic to one of the following by Lemma 4.8: $C_p \times C_{p^{-3}}$, $C_p \times C_{p^{-2}}$, $C_{p^2}$, a nonabelian metacyclic group of order $p^{r-1}$, or $C(p, r - 1)$. By using Lemmas 4.1, 4.9 and the fact that $r \geq 4$, we see that there are two possibilities: either $Q \cong C(p, r - 1)$ or, $Q \cong C_p \times C_p$ and $r = 4$. 

First suppose that $Q \cong C(p, r - 1)$. Then $Q = \langle a, b, c^p \rangle$ (see \cite[Lemma A.8]{3}).

We see that there exists $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}_k(Q)$ of order $q^k$ where $q$ is a prime dividing $p - 1$ such that $\alpha$ normalizes $\operatorname{Aut}_k(Q)$, $[\operatorname{Aut}_k(Q), \alpha] \not\subseteq \operatorname{Inn}(Q)$ and $[Z(\Omega(Q)), \alpha] = 1$ by Lemma \ref{lemma:11}. Firstly, $\alpha$ extends to $\overline{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(N_k(Q), P) = \operatorname{Aut}_k(P)$ as $\alpha$ normalizes $\operatorname{Aut}_k(Q)$. Note that we can also choose $\overline{\alpha}$ of order $q^k$. Since $\log_p(|P|/|Q|) = 1 \neq 0 \bmod q$, there exists an $\overline{\alpha}$-invariant cyclic subgroup $R$ of $P$ such that $R \not\subseteq Q$ by Proposition \ref{proposition:3.3}. Then $R = \langle t \rangle$ where $t = xc^j$ for some $x \in \langle a, b \rangle = \Omega(P)$ and $j$ is a positive number coprime to $p$. Note that

$$t^p = (xc^j)^p = x^p c^{j p} w_1^{(\overline{t})} w_2^{(\overline{t})} = c^{j p}$$

for some $w_1, w_2 \in \Omega(P)$ and so

$$R \cap Q \geq \langle c^p \rangle \geq Z(\Omega(Q)).$$

Since $\overline{\alpha}$ acts trivially on $Z(\Omega(Q))$, we obtain that $\overline{\alpha}$ acts trivially on $R$, which leads that $[P, \overline{\alpha}] \leq Q$ due to the fact that $P = QR$. Hence, we obtain that $[\operatorname{Aut}_k(Q), \alpha] \leq \operatorname{Inn}(Q)$. This contradiction shows that $Q \not\cong C(p, r - 1)$.

Now suppose that $r = 4$ and $Q \cong C_p \times C_p \times C_p$. Then $Q = \langle ab^i, c^p \rangle$ for some $i = 0, \ldots, p - 1$. Note that $Q$ is a maximal subgroup of $T = \langle a, b, c^p \rangle$, and in fact $N_k(Q) = T$. Note that $\operatorname{Aut}_k(Q)$ is not $p$-closed by Lemma \ref{lemma:11} Then we see that $G = \operatorname{Aut}_k(Q) = \operatorname{Out}_k(Q) \geq \operatorname{SL}(2, p)$, and $D = \operatorname{Out}_k(Q)$ has order $p$. Let $\alpha \in N\left(\langle D \rangle \cap \operatorname{SL}(2, p)\right)$ of order $q^k$ where $q$ is a prime dividing $p - 1$. Note that we can choose $q^k \geq 3$ as $p \geq 5$. We see that $\alpha$ extends to $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(T, P) = \operatorname{Aut}_k(T)$. The equality holds as $T = \Omega(P)$. On the other hand, $T \cong C(r, 3)$ and it is not essential by the first part, and so $\operatorname{Aut}_k(T)$ is $p$-closed by Lemma \ref{lemma:4.1}. Then we see that $\operatorname{Aut}_k(T)$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $\operatorname{Aut}_k(T)$ by Lemma \ref{lemma:3.1} for some $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(\operatorname{Aut}_k(T))$. Since $S \not\subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_k(T)$, we get that $\operatorname{Aut}_k(T) \triangleleft \operatorname{Aut}_k(T)$, and so $\psi$ extends to $\overline{\psi} \in \operatorname{Aut}_k(P)$. Note that we can also choose $\overline{\psi}$ of order $q^k$. Since $\log_p(|P|/|T|) = 1 \neq 0 \bmod q$, there exists an $\overline{\psi}$-invariant cyclic subgroup $R = \langle x \rangle$ of $P$ such that $R \not\subseteq T$ by Proposition \ref{proposition:3.3}. Note that $|R| = p^2$ and $R \cap T = Z(T)$, and $RT = P$. Set $x^n = x^m$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\overline{\psi}$ induces a power automorphism on both $Z(T)$ and $P/T$ by $n$. Let $\overline{\psi}$ induce a power automorphism on $Q/Z(T)$ and $T/Q$ by $i, j$ respectively.

$$1 \leq Z(T) < Q \leq T < P.$$ 

Note that $T$ is a nonabelian group of order $p^3$. Then we get $ij \equiv n \bmod p$.

by Lemma \ref{lemma:4.10}(a).

Now consider the subgroup series of $T = P/Z(T)$:

$$T < \overline{Q} \leq T < \overline{T}.$$ 

Note that $\overline{Q} \neq Z(\overline{T})$ as $Q$ is not normal in $P$. It follows that $j \equiv ni \bmod p$
by Lemma 4.16(b). Since the image of $\psi$ on $Q$ has determinant 1, $ni \equiv j \equiv 1 \mod p$, and so $i \equiv n \mod p$, which yields that

$$n^2 \equiv ni \equiv 1 \mod p$$

$$i^2 \equiv ni \equiv 1 \mod p.$$ 

Thus, $\psi^2$ acts trivially on each section of the subgroup series, and so $\psi^2 = 1$ due to the coprime action. Since $|\psi| = q^k \geq 3$, we obtain a contradiction.

4.4. **Proof of Theorem B.** We obtain that $P \trianglelefteq \mathcal{F}$ by Theorems 4.2, 4.10, 4.11, 4.15 and Corollary 3.25. Now suppose that (c) holds and $P$ is not homocyclic abelian. Then we see that $\text{Aut}(P)$ is a 2-group by Lemma 4.13 and so $\mathcal{F} = SC_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$. □
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